Regulation of cochlear outer hair cells

Insights from mathematical modelling

Dr Greg O'Beirne Department of Communication Disorders University of Canterbury

Dr Robert Patuzzi The Auditory Laboratory, Physiology University of Western Australia





THE UNIVERSITY OF WESTERN AUSTRALIA



Mid-modiolar cross-section of the cochlea





View of the cochlea after removal of the otic capsule



Mid-modiolar cross-section of the cochlea







Inner hair cells



Outer hair cells



- Outer hair cells (OHCs)
 perform mechanoelectrical
 transduction, but also carry
 out electromechanical
 transduction (EMT)
- That is, they are **motile**.



Outer hair cells



- The "active process" increases basilar membrane vibration x1000 (or 60 dB)
- Allow sharp mechanical tuning and frequency resolution
- Compressive nonlinearity allows a million-fold range of sound pressures



Outer hair cell mathematical model

O'Beirne, G.A. and Patuzzi, R.B. (2007). Mathematical model of outer hair cell regulation including ion transport and cell motility. Hearing Research 234:29-51.





Outer hair cell mathematical model

- incorporates known OHC electrophysiology
- includes effect of hair cell motility on the conductance of the apical membrane
- simulates perturbations by systematic and timed variation of model parameters



- predicts changes in active gain due to OHCs, and therefore hearing loss
- provides a plausible and consistent explanation for slow oscillatory behaviour observed in the cochlea



The low-frequency "bounce phenomenon"

 Oscillation of psychophysical thresholds in human subjects

(Hirsch and Ward, 1952; Zwicker and Hesse, 1984; Wareing and Patuzzi, 2001)

- Mechanical in origin (Kemp, 1982, 1986; Kirk and Patuzzi, 1997; Kirk et al., 1997)
- Oscillations in measures of hair cell function (OAEs,

LF CM) (Kirk and Patuzzi, 1997; Kirk et al., 1997; O'Beirne and Patuzzi, submitted.)





How? Why? What?

- How does the presentation of a low-frequency tone cause oscillations in hair cell performance?
- How do the hair cells perform *better than normal*?
- Why aren't they that good all the time?
- What is the underlying mechanism for the bounce?



What do OHCs need for maximum amplification?

 The OHC active process depends on the efficiency of each step from sound-induced movement to hair-cell produced movement.



O'Beirne (2005)

- In terms of normal regulation, the most critical factors are:
 - i. that the hair bundles are in their most sensitive position *(i.e. maximal receptor current for a given displacement)*
 - ii. that the resistance of the OHC basolateral wall is high *(i.e. maximal receptor potential for a given receptor current)*
 - iii. that Vm is in the most sensitive region for motor proteins *(i.e. maximal force production for given receptor potential)*



How does the OHC maintain hair bundle angle?

- Motility
 - Contractions or elongations of the hair cell alter the resting hair bundle angle (operating point)
 - Two types of motility:
 - slow (calcium-based)
 - fast (electromotility)







Frolenkov et al. (1998)



Homeostatic regulation of the OHCs - interlocking feedback loops

- Interlocking negative feedback loops within the OHCs
 - i) control **operating point** via slow motility and fast electromotility,
 - ii) control basolateral permeability
 via the effect of intracellular calcium
 on Ca²⁺-gated K⁺ channels,
 - iii) control **membrane potential** via the OHC voltage divider (apical and basolateral conductances).
- Every loop acts to reduce (but not eliminate entirely) the effect of any perturbation.
- Must be slow.
- Regulatory feedback loops prone to oscillation in response to transient stimuli.



O'Beirne & Patuzzi (2007). Hear Res 234:29-51.



Schematic diagram of OHC cellular components





Apex

d-sensitive K⁺ channel

• ATP-sensitive cation channels

Base

- K⁺ leakage channel
- Ca²⁺-sensitive K⁺ channel
- Na+/K+/ATPase
- Ca²⁺/Na⁺ antiport
- v-sensitive Ca²⁺ channel
- ACh-sensitive Ca²⁺ channel

Subsurface/Synaptic Cisternae

- Ca²⁺ induced Ca²⁺ release
- Ca²⁺ leakage
- Ca²⁺ATPase



Simplifications/alterations required for modelling





Apex

• d-sensitive K⁺ channel

• ATP-sensitive cation channels

Base

- K⁺ leakage channel
- Ca²⁺-sensitive K⁺ channel
- Na+/K+/ATPase
- Ca²⁺/Na⁺ antiport
- v-sensitive Ca2+ channel
- ACh-sensitive Ca²⁺ channel

Subsurface/Synaptic Cisternae

- Ca²⁺ induced Ca²⁺ release
- Ca²⁺ leakage
- Ca²⁺ATPase



O'Beirne & Patuzzi (2007). Hearing Research 234:29-51.



Selection of model parameters based on experimental data

- 1. Electrophysiological experiments in guinea pigs
 - perturb the cochlea in some way (e.g. low-frequency tones, perfusions of artificial perilymph, current injection, mechanical bias)
 - measure just about everything simultaneously
 - analyse interactions between measured cochlear parameters
- 2. Simulate the experiment in the mathematical model and finetune parameters to match the experimental data
- 3. Repeat.



Electrophysiological monitoring of cochlear function

Round-window electrocochleography





Electrophysiological monitoring of cochlear function

- Custom-written software allowed nearsimultaneous measurement of:
 - CAP thresholds and waveforms at seven different frequencies
 - Boltzmann analysis of 200 Hz CM
 - Distortion-product OAEs
 - Endocochlear potential (EP)
 - Spectrum of neural noise (SNN)







Examples of experimental perturbations and model results

 Model tested and refined using data from experiments conducted in the guinea pig

Examples:

- Cochlear perfusions
 - Systematic variation of model parameters
- Application of force to the cochlear wall
 - Generation of hair cell regulation curves
- The bounce
 - Accumulation and removal of intracellular calcium
 - Effect on basolateral permeability













sv 1

ST 🖡



GP#PS99 ID#3151971852



application of force to the cochlear wall





force = 135 g



sv **†** st↓





application of force to the cochlear wall





force = 0 g

.".



SV Î

ST 🖡





application of force to the cochlear wall











application of force to the cochlear wall











 OHC homeostatic regulation mechanisms are able to compensate for much of the perturbation, but not all of it.



Finally...

What is the underlying mechanism for the bounce?





Modelling of exposure to LF tones (the bounce)



Presence of LF tone causes cytosolic Ca²⁺ to rise, which causes a slow increase in messengers which accelerate Ca²⁺ removal

Ca²⁺ entry stops but its removal continues, causing Ca²⁺ levels to fall to 4.5% below control levels

The reduced levels of calcium causes Ca²⁺-sensitive channels (SK type) in the OHC membrane to close, increasing basolateral resistance, and increasing the receptor potential that drives the active process (V=IR)

Increased sensitivity at offset of tone as Ca²⁺ falls below resting levels



Factors affecting oscillation amplitude

- Degree of damping of slow oscillations dependent on relative Ca²⁺ fluxes through voltage- and ACh-sensitive Ca²⁺ channels.
 - Ca²⁺ influx through ACh-sensitive channels on hyperpolarization phase reinforces membrane potential oscillations
 - Ca²⁺ influx through L-type v-sensitive channels on depolarization phase *quenches* membrane potential oscillations





Summary

- Known outer hair cell mechanisms are capable of regulating both membrane potential and MET operating point with great accuracy.
- First model to incorporate both the ion transport and mechanical properties of OHCs into a single framework
- Valuable step in understanding how perturbations of the ionic and electrical environment of the cochlea produce hearing loss and tinnitus.
- "Standard set" of model parameters capable of reproducing a number of key features of experimental data, but several key results the model cannot replicate.
- Modelling continuing using two functional pools of cytosolic Ca²⁺, which decouples hair bundle angle and basolateral permeability, providing a better fit to these data.



Acknowledgements



Dr. Peter Sellick Dr. Daniel Brown Dr. Catherine McMahon Dr. Simon Marcon Mr. Neil Wareing

and other colleagues from the Auditory Laboratory, University of Western Australia, and the Department of Communication Disorders, University of Canterbury.