

## ePub<sup>WU</sup> Institutional Repository

Giuseppe Delmestri and Royston Greenwood

How Cinderella Became a Queen: Theorizing Radical Status Change

Article (Accepted for Publication)  
(Refereed)

*Original Citation:*

Delmestri, Giuseppe and Greenwood, Royston (2016) How Cinderella Became a Queen: Theorizing Radical Status Change. *Administrative Science Quarterly*. ISSN 0001-8392

This version is available at: <http://epub.wu.ac.at/4810/>

Available in ePub<sup>WU</sup>: April 2016

ePub<sup>WU</sup>, the institutional repository of the WU Vienna University of Economics and Business, is provided by the University Library and the IT-Services. The aim is to enable open access to the scholarly output of the WU.

This document is the version accepted for publication and — in case of peer review — incorporates referee comments.

# **‘FROM A CINDERELLA INTO A QUEEN’: RADICAL STATUS RECATEGORYIZATION**

Giuseppe Delmestri (WU Vienna), Royston Greenwood (Alberta U.)

*accepted in Administrative Science Quarterly (12/2015)*

## **ABSTRACT**

Status orders are critically important – yet shifts in the status and social meaning of a market category and of the organizations associated with it have been little investigated. In particular, there is limited understanding of how a deeply institutionalized low status category might extend its reach to high status positions. Instead, most studies have examined the status of organizations *within* a category. Status recategorization - i.e. the vertical extension and reclassification of an entire category, involving the displacement of deeply institutionalized cognitive understandings and their associated socio-cultural practices, has been neglected. Applying qualitative methods to a case study of grappa in Italy, we theorize how status recategorization might occur in mature contexts where the exigencies of status imperatives are pressingly felt. Our primary contribution is identification of a form of theorization – *theorization by allusion* – that involves the mechanisms of category detachment, emulation, and sublimation, and which is particularly appropriate for change involving status because of its singular avoidance of contestation and resistance.

## **KEY WORDS:**

Institutional change, categories, status, qualitative methods

## INTRODUCTION

In the Autumn of 1974, Giannola Nonino, a regional producer of Italian ‘grappa’, who six years earlier had unsuccessfully attempted to launch an upgraded *Grappa invecchiata Sauvignon*, repeated the attempt, and failed anew. Two similar attempts by different distillers also failed and in one case led to bankruptcy. These four failures confirmed Martegani’s (1968: 16, 18) assessment that grappa’s name ‘was its main handicap’ and the reason why ‘every disinterested attempt to change it has fallen on deaf ears.’ Grappa was known as a coarse spirit consumed ‘at the margin of society’ by peasants and alpine soldiers ([Solari, 2007: 337](#)), and was associated with ‘artisanal’ and even clandestine production in hidden shacks or woodlands (Wilson and West, 1981). At the time, artisanal family firms were considered primitive – paradoxical in a country that about 15 years later would give rise to the Slow Food Movement that praised such organizations (Rao and Giorgi, 2006; van Bommel and Spicer, 2011). Hemingway had caught grappa’s lowly status in *His Fair Lady*: ‘The Ardito said they all drank rum and ether before going over, usually with a chaser of opium, or, in an emergency, they drank Grappa. “A thimble full and you’re equal to a platoon,” he said.’ (1985: 92).

More formally, in the 1970s grappa was rooted at the lowest level of the wider class of ‘spirits’ in which foreign categories – cognac and whisky – monopolized positions of high status (Martegani, 1968), a hierarchy reinforced by an institutional infrastructure of producers, suppliers, distributors, and consumers, and constraining social practices. Grappa was perceived as being unworthy of comparison with foreign spirits because they were accorded a distinctly higher status. In Negro, Hannan and Rao’s (2010: 1450) terms, there was high ‘intensional consensus’ that ‘grappa’ was the lowest category of spirits. Hence, despite her use of pomace from the luxury *Picolit* grape and of small hand-blown Venetian design flacons, Giannola Nonino’s attempt to sell *Grappa di Picolit* for 8,000 Italian Lire a 25cl flagon, about twelve times the then price per litre, was received with social scorn and laughter.

Only four years later, in 1978, the very same *Grappa di Picolit* was selling for 26,000 Lire – an increase in real terms of 70% over its 1974 price and 25 times higher than existing offerings. Renowned gourmet-intellectual Luigi Veronelli described it as ‘splendid ... with unequalled qualities of eurythmic composition and elegance’ (Veronelli, 1978: 54), an assessment echoed by the equally well known and respected journalist Gianni Brera who, despite remembering the traditionally ‘plebeian’ image of grappa and its producers, praised *Grappa di Picolit’s* ‘most serene and noble flairs’ (*Il Giornale*, November 30<sup>th</sup> 1979). As the Noninos achieved success, other artisanal distillers produced their versions of premium quality grappa and they, too, were successful. Such was the shift in attitude that by the late 1980s the traditional image of grappa as exclusively ‘coarse, earthy, a man’s drink’ (Nickerson, 1955, quoted by Felten, 2007) found only in ‘greasy spoons’ (Filippi, 1987: 73) had been broken. Distillers sold grappa across a wide price range (as is the case for all spirits) but the previously derided high quality premium grappa had supplanted cognac and whisky as the preferred spirit and ascended into *salotti bene* – i.e., high culture social gatherings (Venturini, 1987:7). Artisanal family producers of grappa were no longer dismissed as embarrassing but feted as exemplars of cultured Italian life style. Premium grappa had been recategorized into the same class as cognac and whisky, and the pejorative distinction between low status ‘domestic’ and high status ‘foreign’ had been dissolved. Thanks to the success of its premium subcategory, the grappa category as a whole was now classified alongside the other spirits.

Notably, all of this occurred without any technological or process innovations for the improvement of quality. The Noninos used *the same* artisanal production methods in 1978 as for their 1974 failure, methods that were very similar to those of the other failed attempts. As Giannola Nonino put it: ‘We ennobled the family product, born poor, without disnaturing it!’ (email, 7.7.15). Industry expert Luigi Odello recognized the lack ‘of any technical innovation’ (email, 6.6.15) and was surprised by the Noninos’ success because the Picolit grape ‘is not even particularly suitable to make grappa’ (interview, 12.11.09). Indeed, critics insisted

that traditional artisanal production methods should be used in order that the ‘character’ of grappa might be preserved (Veronelli, 1978: 10). As Zatterin (1978: 5) romanced: ‘virtue lies among those artisanal producers...who distil pomace just from the press, as the peasant does, but without his technical crudeness, and that do not try to imitate foreign competitors by smoothing the taste through aging and adding aromas, but preserve its original and naïve personality, burning in taste, to drink in small sips or in one shot.’

The grappa story is theoretically interesting because, although the importance of status is recognized within organization theory ([Podolny, 1993: 1994](#): for reviews, see Sauder, Lynn and Podolny, 2012; Jensen, Kim & Kim, 2011), its connection to the category literature has been selective. Changes in the status, valuation and social meaning of a market category, and of the organizations associated with that category, have not yet been investigated even though it has been shown that status orders have significant consequences (Bitektine, 2011; Jensen & Kim, 2015). Instead, as Sharkey (2014) points out, the literature has restricted itself to shifts in the status of organizations *within* categories. Recategorization of an entire category through vertical status extension and/or reclassification in a higher status class, involving the displacement of deeply institutionalized cognitive understandings along with their associated sociocultural practices, have been neglected, not least, as Durand and Paoletta note, because the categorization literature ‘has overemphasized the stability of categories and the inertia of classificatory systems, overlooking category dynamics and their development and evolution’ (2013: 1109; see also, Piazza and Castellucci, 2014; Kenney and Fiss, 2013; Lounsbury and Rao, 2004).

This paper responds to this theoretical blind spot. Building upon Jensen, Kim and Kim (2011) and Starkey (2014) we foreground the status dimension of category systems, and in particular the status of *the category* within which an organization is embedded. We treat change in the status of a category as our ‘dependent variable’ and ask how a low status category can change its meaning and achieve high status. We offer an

empirical account of how the subcategory of high priced premium grappa was successfully ‘theorized’ so that not only did the subcategory achieve legitimation, but the cultural meaning attached to the grappa category as a whole was changed. Grappa was no longer dismissed as a poor ‘domestic’ spirit associated with lowly social practices but as an exemplar of sophisticated cultural Italian lifestyle.

Theorization, conceived as ‘the development and specification of abstract categories and the formulation of patterned relationships such as of chains of cause and effect’ (Strang & Meyer, 1993: 492) is central to the institutional account of change (Scott, 2014; Tolbert & Zucker, 1996) because it is the means by which ‘renegotiations of meaning take place’ ([Aldrich and Fiol, 1994: 649](#)). Successful theorization ‘increases the zone of acceptance’ of new ideas by providing rationales for their adoption and diffusion (Rao, Monin and Durand, 2003: 816). Our first contribution, therefore, is articulation of a novel form of theorization – *theorization by allusion* - and of its component mechanisms. We elaborate why and for whom this form of theorization is particularly appropriate for the accomplishment of radical status recategorization.

A second contribution arises from the notable emphasis within this form of theorization upon the role of visuals and on the ‘Trojan horse’ capacity of material objects ([Appadurai, 1986: 29](#)). This emphasis connects to the emerging interest within organization theory – and especially institutional theory – of the ‘visual dimension’ (Meyer, Höllerer, Jancsary and Van Leeuwen, 2013). We highlight why the visual is particularly relevant for status recategorization. Our third contribution emphasizes the important role of social practices that embed, express and infuse value into categories. Highlighting the connection between practices, value and categories, we will suggest, offers a distinctly institutional approach to category research

## **THEORETICAL CONTEXT**

Categories, according to Lamont and Molnar (2002: 168), are socially constructed labels that differentiate ‘object, people, practices, and even time and space.’ They simplify complex situations by delimiting how

attention is to be allocated and how information is to be selected and understood (Lounsbury and Rao, 2004). Within organization theory the ‘dominant view’ (Durand & Paoletta, 2013: 1106) of categorization is based on prototype theory (Rosch, 1973: 1975; Rosch and Mervis, 1981) according to which ‘elements that entities hold in common with one or more others constitute category prototypes (i.e., typical members) for audiences. Possessing more (or fewer) of these features or elements...makes it possible to categorize an entity more (or less) securely in that category’ (Durand and Paoletta, 2013: 1101). In this sense, categories provide cognitive boundaries around and between clusters of social objects that share a ‘family resemblance’ (Rosch & Mervis, 1975) – such as categories of restaurants, e.g., ‘Italian’ or ‘Chinese’. Categories are linked together in increasingly more encompassing superordinate categories (or classes) such as ‘ethnic’ (which would encompass ‘Italian’ and ‘Chinese’), ‘fast food’, and ‘vegetarian’, which in turn are all within the class of ‘restaurants’ (Haack, Pfarrer, and Scherer, 2014).

A different approach, also developed within cognitive psychology but essential to an institutional understanding, sees categories as an ongoing display of increasing abstraction, i.e., of ‘category extension’ (Hofstadter and Sander, 2013: 187), whereby a category grows horizontally to encompass new sets of situations and acquires different meanings reflected and reproduced through socio-cultural practices. Hofstadter and Sander use the example of ordering a ‘coffee’, which in English can have the minimal meaning of ordering a cup of filter coffee; this is the least abstract definition of the ‘coffee’ category – *coffee1* (Hofstadter and Sander, 2013: 194). An extended and more abstract definition - *coffee2* - would include in the term ‘coffee’ such beverages as ‘macchiato’ and ‘cappuccino’. An additional level of abstraction - *coffee3* – occurs in the phrase ‘Let’s go out for a coffee’, which could imply ordering a tea or a small snack, and mean ‘chatting while eating or drinking something light’ (Hofstadter and Sander, 2013: 185). In other words, higher levels of abstraction (‘category extensions’) of *coffee2* and *coffee3* introduce into the meaning of ‘coffee’ a more extended typology of products *and* an array of their implicated social practices.

This theme of horizontal category extension is characteristic of the institutional approach. From this perspective, a category's meaning is derived not solely from consensus over its definitional properties (as in prototype theory) but also from the social and cultural practices and behaviors associated with it, and that give it expression ([Delmestri and Wezel, 2011](#); [Khairi and Wadhvani, 2010](#); [Durand and Paoella, 2013](#)). From an institutional view, in other words, 'categorizing is not purely cognitive, but socio-cultural as well because it is anchored in the context in which categorization occurs' (Glynn and Navis, 2013: 6).

### **Status orders**

Practices, however, are not only associated with categories in their horizontal extensions. They also express and contribute to the social valuation of a category - and of the category's members - within a *vertically* ordered social space ([Bourdieu, 1984, cited by Lamont, 2012](#)). Engaging in practices associated with specific products that signal a particular 'taste', is a means by which actors occupy and display a specific position within the hierarchical structure of a field (Bourdieu, 1984). Hence, by associating products with certain practices actors can effect a change in the symbolic status order within the field, *if* that association is considered legitimate.

For example, 'champagne' can be cognitively defined as an alcoholic drink from a certain region, produced in a certain way, and that has a distinctive color, fizz, and taste. But champagne is also associated with particular socio-cultural behaviors and practices – such as happiness, gaiety, success, and with certain social classes and lifestyles – visibly expressed and reinforced through widespread use at celebrations such as weddings, births and awards *and* by its absence from other social occasions such as supporters' celebrations of soccer victories (where beer, male chants and pub crawling are deemed acceptable). These wider socio-cultural associations and practices are not only important components of a category's institutional meaning but also of its value and thus its status, *and* they are a means by which those meanings become represented and socially maintained. 'Uncorking a beer' to celebrate an event such as a wedding would represent a change in the rank order of the product, the associated practices and the actors performing them.



Hence, and importantly, categories are not only horizontally constituted within systems of classification (as, for example, ‘grappa’, ‘cognac’ and ‘brandy’ are categories within the broader class of ‘spirits’; and as ‘artisans’ and ‘mass producers’ are categories of ‘distillers’), they are also *vertically* connected through status orders (hierarchies). As we noted earlier, for example, the grappa category and its artisanal producers were once of distinctly lower status than the categories of cognac and whisky (as depicted in Figure 1a)<sup>1</sup>.

---- Figure 1 about here ----

Status ordering has been widely addressed in sociology, psychology and economics – especially with regard to social categories such as women *vs.* men, or illegal immigrants *vs.* citizens (for a review, see Berger, Ridgeway and Zelditch, 2002). In that literature, a central issue in defining the value of categories is how they ‘fit in one or several hierarchies’. For example, ‘determining whether Italians and Jews are black or white’ was fundamental to defining ‘their relative positioning’ within the American racial order (Lamont, 2012: 206). In organization theory, by contrast, the status ordering of categories has been largely overlooked (Piazza and Castellucci, 2014; Chen, Peterson, Phillips, Podolny and Ridgeway, 2012). Instead, research has heavily focused upon the horizontal dimension (e.g., Lounsbury and Rao, 2004; although see Jensen, 2010). As Sharkey (2014: 1381) concludes: ‘knowledge of how status influences market outcomes is based primarily on insights gleaned from empirical analyses of groups of organizations engaged in similar activities, such as banking (Jensen, 2003) or wine production (Benjamin and Podolny, 1999; Zhao and Zhou, 2011)’.

Jensen, Kim and Kim (2011: 108), reflecting on Carroll and Swaminathan’s (2000) study on microbreweries and their products, touch on the vertical and horizontal aspects of categorization when they ask:

‘...is the microbrewing category a horizontal (product) category or a vertical (status) category? The microbrewing category is a new horizontal product category that encompasses different product

---

<sup>1</sup> The figure is a modification of Jensen, Kim, and Kim’s (2011: 93) status-identity framework.

characteristics including natural ingredients and traditional brewing methods, but it could also be viewed as a new vertical status category that positions microbreweries and specialties beers above the major breweries and mass market beers’.

However, despite this early insight, Jensen, Kim and Kim (2011) turned their attention to the status order of organizational members *of* a category (e.g., individual retail banks) rather than of the categories themselves (e.g., ‘retail banks’ vis-à-vis ‘investment banks’) and the treatment of a status order as a *dependent* variable remains overlooked. This lack of attention to how and why vertical status change might occur is unfortunate given the profound social consequences that might follow. For example, the shift of business schools from trade schools to prestigious research institutions was a status recategorization that changed the landscape of higher education ([Khurana, 2010](#)). Similarly, the barber surgeon moved from being viewed as contaminated because of ‘contact with blood or body fluids’ to the elite professionals of health care ([Bagwell, 2005: 872](#)). As Kennedy, Lo and Lounsbury (2010: 392) point out: ‘Changes in the meaning of the various categories used to understand the world are essential to stories of epic changes in science, technology, and society more generally.’ Given these consequences, it follows that change along the vertical dimension of a category is potentially as important as change along the horizontal dimension.

Status orders are particularly difficult to change ([Lounsbury and Rao, 2004](#); [Washington and Zajac, 2005](#); [Malter, 2014](#)), not only because of their underpinning cognitive and cultural imperatives but because ‘status maintenance concerns are central’ to organizations and markets ([Blader and Chen, 2011: 1041](#); see also [Malter, 2014](#)). There is, in effect, a status imperative, that applies to both organizations and to categories or classes. As Chen and colleagues point out (2012: 302), ‘once a status hierarchy is established, it tends to remain and be self-sustaining.’ ‘Ivy League’ schools, a category of universities in the US, for example, have retained their prominence. So, too, have the ‘Magic Circle’ of UK law firms and the ‘Big Four’ international accounting firms. ‘Oxbridge’ and elite UK ‘public schools’ (such as Eton and Harrow) in the UK, and the Grande Écoles, a category of higher education institutions in France, have similarly retained their privilege

and prestige for centuries (Kodeih and Greenwood 2014). [Malter \(2014\)](#) notes that the *grandes crus classés* of the Médoc, five growth classes of wine producers, have remained virtually unchanged for 150 years.

Yet, as our grappa example illustrates, categories and their vertical status are not static. Change occurs. Nevertheless, even though recent work has begun to explore status dynamics along the horizontal dimension of Figure 1a, especially those dynamics to do with the emergence and impact of *new* categories (e.g., Alexy and George, 2013; Jones, Maoret, Massa, and Svejenova, 2012; Khaire and Wadhvani, 2010; Navis and Glynn, 2010; Weber, Heinze and DeSoucey, 2008; Wry, Lounsbury and Glynn, 2011), status change involving mature categories remains largely neglected. Bluntly put, we ‘know little about how status dynamics occur’ (Piazza and Castellucci, 2014:309) and thus lack ‘coherent theories of how status changes’ (Sauder, Lynn and Podolny, 2012: 277).

The grappa case provides an opportunity to examine radical status recategorization and is especially interesting because it runs counter to existing theory. It does not conform to the established idea that imperatives and social penalties enforce category status. Further, according to Hannan, Polos and Carroll (2007) category change is easier for those with ‘fuzzy identities’ but much more difficult to accomplish if boundaries between categories are sharply defined. Yet, grappa was universally seen as a very clearly demarcated low status category unequivocally associated with undesirable behaviors and practices. In addition, the recategorization of grappa did not, as might be extrapolated from the findings of previous studies, originate from newcomers to the field or from central players (Hardy and Maguire, 2008). On the contrary, change arose from the efforts of a regional – i.e., ‘peripheral’ (Leblebici, Salancik, Gopay and King, 1991) – low-status player. For all these reasons, the grappa story represents an ideal context for theory development (Eisenhardt and Graebner, 2007; Siggelkow, 2007).

In providing our interpretation we run counter to the thesis of resource partitioning theory that has been found relevant in an apparently similar craft beer case in the United States (Carroll and Swaminathan, 2000). According to that theory, in highly concentrated industries ‘generalists’ dominate a market and leave ‘resource spaces’ that ‘small-scale specialists’ can occupy and exploit. In Carroll and Swathinathan’s study (2000: 718) ‘over 80%’ of the market was held by the four largest firms. Similarly, in their study of the resource partitioning in the Scottish whisky industry, McKendrick and Hannan (2014: 1274) found that four firms controlled ‘between 54% and 62%’ of the market. In our case, in contrast, industry concentration was significantly lower. An EU study of *Industry Concentration in the Beverage Industry* (Balliano and Lanzetti, 1976) found that during the late 1960s to the mid-1970s (i.e., immediately preceding the status recategorization of grappa), the grappa industry was ‘highly fragmented with 400 distillers’ and that the largest five firms held no more than 30-35% of the market. Interestingly enough, these five firms, which entered the market in the second half of the 1960s, promoted themselves as modern industrial firms in contrast to the then prevalent artisanal family firms. The EU study also found low industry concentration for whisky and cognac but not for Italian brandy where two producers had a 60% market share – total brandy sales accounted for only 36% of the Italian spirits market in 1973. The rise in status of grappa, therefore, cannot be explained as a result of consolidation within the spirits industry.

A further important difference between the grappa story and that of resource partitioning theory is that the market position that premium grappa sought (successfully) to exploit *was already occupied* by premium spirits such as cognac and whisky. It was not an evacuated and open ‘resource space’ as in the beer industry (Carroll and Swathmanthan, 2000: 720; see also, Liu and Wezel, 2015: 295). Moreover, artisanal distillers such as Nonino were not ‘small-scale’ microdistillers equivalent to those established by farms, microbreweries and small wineries in the US (Saulny, 2007), but could be significant in size. The Noninos, for example, became one of the largest producers without losing their identity as an artisanal distiller. They became the 5<sup>th</sup> largest producer in 2007 with a market share of 6.42%, compared to the 8.78% market

share of the largest firm. The US microdistillery movement, in contrast, is more clearly a resource partitioning story in that craft-based peripheral producers occupy the resource space that dominant central firms do not (Verhaal, 2014). Finally, in the craft beer study consumers were reacting against the homogeneous product of the generalists and were appealing for change. In contrast, there was no such consumer pull factor in the grappa case – on the contrary, there was a distinct resistance to the idea.

In approaching the grappa case, our starting assumption was that changes in a category's meaning and status are dependent upon a convincing 'theorization' (Strang and Meyer, 1993). Theorization, as noted above, refers to the way that proponents of change invoke 'culturally resonant claims (Weber, Rao and Thomas, 2009: 111) in order to render ideas 'into understandable and compelling formats' (Greenwood, Suddaby and Hinings, 2002:183). Theorizations are the means by which proposals for institutional change – such as radical status recategorization – earn endorsement and acceptance (Greenwood and Suddaby, 2006; Nigam and Ocasio, 2010; Phillips and Oswick, 2012). Put simply, any theorization involves specification of a general problem for which a proposed change is a 'solution or treatment', and the provision of a compelling justification of that solution (Tolbert and Zucker, 1996).

It is known that the form that such theorizations might take can vary (Suddaby & Greenwood, 2005; Jones et al, 2012; Maguire, Hardy and Lawrence, 2004). It is also known that context matters. Theorizations in emerging fields, for example, differ from those in mature fields (David, Sine and Haveman, 2013; Jones et al, 2012; Maguire et al, 2004). It has also been suggested that theorizations in professional contexts differ from those in economic contexts: 'In economic settings...legitimizing principles are more likely to be quasi-scientific, emphasizing the "soundness" of ideas. In highly professionalized settings, we expect appeals to normative alignment to be more salient' (Greenwood et al, 2002: 75; see also Rao et al, 2003). Nevertheless, for the most part our understanding of theorization remains overly general. Hence, our

motivating question in analyzing the grappa case was: *What particular form of theorization enables status recategorization to occur in a mature context, and, importantly, why?*

## METHODS

### Research design

Given that our purpose is theory elaboration, we use an inductive historical approach (Lee, Mitchell, and Sablynski, 1999) by analyzing a case study of the successful redefinition of a mature category. The grappa case provides an appropriate research setting because of its clearly demarcated change process. Further, the lively opposition and discourse among very different actors (ranging from distillery owners, farmers, journalists, writers and elite wine critics) make it a ‘revelatory’ and ‘exemplary’ case (Yin, 2009). The case has the advantage of being well documented; and, because the events are of relatively recent origin, many of the participants are still alive and were available for interview. Moreover, although we focus upon the successful status change we were able to compare that process with four previous failures.

### Data sources.

Our primary data sources are extensive archival materials supplemented by interviews with key industry actors. The archival materials include the personal archives of Luigi Veronelli, ‘internationally acknowledged as Italy’s most celebrated wine and food critic’ (Negro, Hannan and Rao, 2010: 1461), of Luigi Odello, the founder of the Tasters’ Study Center and the catalyst for the founding of regional associations of grappa producers, and those of the Nonino family. We also accessed the archives of the *Istituto Nazionale Grappa*, of *Assodistill*, and of the *Centro Documentazione Grappa Luigi Bonollo*. These materials generated leads to other possible archives, resulting in the collection of documentary data through a variant of the ‘snowball’ interview approach. The same materials suggested important interview sources, which we pursued. We undertook extensive web searches, including examination of the historical material at [www.archive.org](http://www.archive.org) and collected articles containing the word ‘grappa’ published between 1984 and 2010 in *Il Sole 24 Ore*, the main Italian business newspaper, and *La Stampa*, a leading Italian newspaper. We arranged a

database from the archives and interviews and classified 324 newspaper articles according to the developed categories.

We conducted 29 formal, 13 informal and six telephone interviews supplemented by email exchanges, and visited several distilleries and attended industry presentations. A summary of those interviewed and the frequency and nature of the interactions, is given in Table 1. Importantly, we interviewed the Nonino family both in the early stage of the data collection process and at the end of data analysis. This allowed us to be better prepared for requesting additional materials from them.

--- Table 1 about here ---

As part of the data collection we collected photographic material (historical print ads, pictures of bottles, labels and distilleries), video materials (historical advertisements and company documentaries) and historical artifacts (including the original Nonino *Grappa di Picolit* bottle of the 1970s and bottles of other producers). We had access to collections of historical bottles at the Distillerie Franciacorta, the Nonino private archives, and in several restaurants, where we were allowed to take pictures.

### **Data analysis.**

Our data analysis resembles the sequence proposed by Langley (1999). Thus, the initial stage involved compilation of an ‘event history’ in order to document ‘who did what, and when’, and ‘who said what, and when.’ From this analysis, we charted the chronology of the grappa story, identifying the various actors involved and the nature of their involvement. The second stage of analysis involved temporal bracketing in order to identify the critical junctures within the flow of events (Langley, 1999). At this point, we were primarily using the words and phrases of the interviewees and the archival materials, and interrogating them through the central question motivating our study. We sought to identify tentative second-order themes that were then more explicitly explored by arranging the data around them. As our initial interpretations began to emerge we checked for data consistency through several iterations during and after

data collection. These preliminary analyses led to further data collection. For example, our analysis identified previous failed attempts to elevate grappa's status – therefore, in order to systematically compare these with the successful effort, additional data were collected and we reexamined the data collected to that point. The second-order themes are summarized in Figure 2 and illustrative evidence is given in Table 2.

--- Insert Figure 2 and Table 2 about here ---

The third stage involved iteration between our second order themes and extant theory (Langley, 1999). Our purpose was to juxtapose our data and emerging themes with existing theory so as to generate more abstract aggregate dimensions (see Figure 2). Repeated iterations prompted further data collection and analysis in order to clarify and confirm the emerging model. For example, because of the current orthodoxy that warns against 'heroic' entrepreneurs (Hardy and Maguire, 2008) we verified the role of the Nonino family who figured prominently in the interviews and the archival materials.

A particular concern was to ensure that our interpretations were sound. We triangulated data from several sources – especially by cross-referencing different archival materials. We paid attention to triangulating data from interviews on the precise sequence of events by consulting historical documents, other published sources and the artifacts themselves. For example, the first appearances of grappa made from the pomace of a specific winegrower and of single grape varietal pomace are even today questioned by producers and journalists. Several distillers dismissed the idea that the Noninos were the first to associate grappa with luxury wine and, instead, named Nannoni, a distiller of pomace from Super Tuscan wines. We checked the conflicting claims and systematically tried to falsify the 'heroic' story. We interviewed the owner-manager of Nannoni's who confirmed that, far from being the first, she had mimicked the Noninos. Paolo Marolo, the owner of another distillery, founded in 1977, told us that, when, inspired by Nonino he started to produce single grape grappa, a large established producer had told him that this innovation would fail



within a few years. In addition to triangulating the data we compared the successful with four previous failed attempts. The comparison is summarized in Table 3.

--- Insert Table 3 about here ---

We used three of Lincoln and Guba's (1985: 301) procedures for establishing the trustworthiness of qualitative data: the first author had prolonged exposure to the setting, including attendance at industry events and constant conversations with interviewees (beyond the formal interviews); we repeatedly triangulated our data sources, and, where appropriate, collected additional material for confirmatory purposes; and, finally, we used 'member checks', presenting our emerging model to industry participants in verbal and written form. In addition, during data analysis our combination of 'insider' and 'outsider' authors provided the benefit of 'intimacy with local settings and the potential for distancing' (Langley, Smallman, Tsoukas, & Van de Ven, 2013).

### **TURNING CINDERELLA INTO A QUEEN**

The modern history of grappa is of competing yet complementary attempts to improve its legitimacy and status. The earliest attempt began in the 1960s and sought to replace artisanal production with US-style mass production procedures in order to provide a consistency of flavor and remove any health concerns. The strategy was to create a *national* spirit for a *mass* market through professionally managed corporations using modern technologies supplying 'more and more grappa, but always the same' (Martegani, 1968: 133; Stock, 1978). This was not an attempt to radically raise the status of grappa, but to improve its legitimacy as an acceptable drink. Producers accepted and underlined its lower status in their marketing campaigns - one advertisement, for example, pictured a mini-skirted female (Picture 1, Appendix 1). One producer directly compared grappa to whisky, vodka, gin and cognac pretending (unsuccessfully) that it was of the same lineage (Picture 2):

Vodka bottle asks Whisky bottle: 'My Friend, do you know the blond in the sack?' Whisky bottle replies: 'Oh, yes, yes, she belongs to the club'. Grappa bottle thinks: 'These foreigners!!'

This ‘modernist’ movement, in other words, sought to promote grappa as an acceptable, cheap product and was successful in improving sales. Grappa production doubled between 1967 and 1975. Significantly, however, the price of a 75 cl bottle remained within the 1,900 to 2,500 Lire range. Grappa’s image had become that of a ‘standardized’ product with no health risks but its derisory status remained unchanged: ‘grappa as a liquor was presented as strong, sharp, burning, for cold climates, for “real men”, genuine, sincere, simple, unrefined, unsophisticated, with a low or at most medium target, but always for an “unsophisticated” market.’ (Finzi, 2007: 26). Irrespective of taste or quality, grappa was priced below all other spirits (Finzi, 2007). Veronelli (1978), for example, listed the prices for four categories of spirits. The mean and median prices were 4,243 Lire and 3,500 Lire for grappa, 10,708 and 7,500 Lire for French marc, 12,562 and 12,000 Lire for French calvados, and 7,412 and 6,000 for fruit spirits.

### **Birth of the ‘Traditional Artisanal Movement’**

In reaction, an alternative movement – led by a group of Northern Italian local politicians, journalists, writers, and small, family owned artisanal producers – criticised mass production as a betrayal of local traditions and of producing a monotonous, plain, and flavorless grappa (for similar stories see Carroll and Swaminathan, 2000; McKendrick and Hannan, 2014). Promoting artisanal methods, the ‘traditionalists’ defended grappa as an acceptable and culturally valuable spirit associated with Italian subalpine culture.

Several public intellectuals were also critical of the mass production modernist movement and linked artisanal methods with the rediscovery of local culinary and wine traditions. They emphasized that quality could not be derived from mass production techniques and stressed that for several decades high quality grappa had been produced by family owned artisanal distillers (Veronelli, 1971). Gian Arturo Rota, publisher of the leading wine guide *I Vini di Veronelli*, complained that even wine was in danger of becoming commoditized by mass producers ‘whose only categories would have been red, white, and rosé’ (field notes, 26.5.2009). In resisting the trend towards mass standardization these activists were laying the

foundation for the later emergence of the Slow Food movement (as acknowledged by Slow Food founder Petrini, 2013).

Luigi Veronelli, a prominent journalist and broadcaster, was instrumental in the rediscovery and promotion of Italian regional traditions. Throughout the 1950s and 1960s he sought to invoke images of ‘traditional’ Italian culture by reporting stories that emphasized the ‘authenticity’ of local artisanal processes and the important role of family firms in preserving Italian traditions. Using bucolic images and text emphasizing tradition, local community, and place of origin, Veronelli (1971) likened grappa to whisky and cognac by their common use of artisanal traditions. In the monthly magazine *Epoca* in 1972 (original manuscript, Veronelli’s archives) the journalist wrote about the ‘minimal distiller’ Romano Levi: ‘Taste the grappa of this creator, worthy as an artisan of the XVII century, and the memory of even the best cognac will fade.’ In this sense, Veronelli’s ideas were similar to those of localism and anti-corporatism that flourished in the US in the 1920s and 1930s (Ingram, Yue, and Rao, 2010).

Several regional producers were also proud of traditional artisanal processes and of the variations in taste that they produced. The Venetian distiller Modin favoured old copper alembics modelled after distillers of French cognac (Martegani, 1968). Franco Barbero and Giovanni Bosso, preferred the traditional Piedmontese bain-marie, and claimed ‘their’ grappa to be part of a forgotten heritage of the Kingdom of Piedmont-Sardinia. In 1968 these and other artisanal distillers inaugurated the *National Conference on Grappa* in order to promote the use of discontinuous steam stills (and especially the bain-marie still of French origin), which, it was claimed, would preserve quality and maintain the grape’s original flavour. A year later the first regional quality label - the *Marchio del Tridente* – was instituted in Trentino.

These early efforts to improve the status of grappa failed. Not one artisanal producer - not even a member of the grappa ‘aristocrazia’ endorsed by Veronelli, (1971) - was able to sell grappa at a premium price. And

not one of the artisanal products became known beyond a small circle of distillers and aficionados (Finzi, 2007). The Modin distillery, directly copying cognac, aged its grappa *Antico Alambicco* for 15 years but could not sell the product - even at a price far below that which Nonino would reach a year later. Modin went bankrupt in 1977 because 'Consumers were not ready to pay for grappa... at such high prices' (Modin's owner: field notes, 5.3.13). Moreover, irrespective of the quality of their grappa few producers were optimistic of ever being able to capture a premium price given that *all* grappa was perceived as inferior. As the then President of the *Istituto Nazionale Grappa* later concluded: 'nobody imagined that single varietal grappa would have the success it is experiencing these days' (Vie del Gusto, 2005).

### **The Leap for Status**

The second daughter of a successful entrepreneur, Giannola married Benito Nonino in 1962 and was distressed by the lowly status of grappa:

When my girlfriends invited me to dinner ... I always took a bottle of our best grappa as a gift. It never happened that after dinner my friends would open the bottle. Instead, they offered us vodka, or whisky. I knew that they would also offer my grappa - but to the plumber, or the baker! (Amodeo, 1991: 142).

Towards the end of the 1960s Giannola began the first of three attempts to alter the status of grappa despite being fully aware of the considerable difficulties that would be involved (Compagno, 2000). The disapproval attached to grappa was deeply institutionalized and could not be avoided by simply re-naming the product. A 1951 Italian law mandated that grappa distillers name their product '*acquavite di vinaccia*' or '*grappa*' - both universally recognized as the names of grappa. Compounding these difficulties, the Nonino distillery was a small, relatively unknown operation in the Friulian regional market of Italy's far northeast. Martegani (1968), who was sympathetic to the artisanal philosophy, did not include the Noninos on his list of the 26 most important Italian distillers.

Despite these challenges, in 1968 the Noninos introduced a grappa distilled from pomace supplied by renowned local winegrowers. They also used a new bottle (compare Pictures A3 and A4, Appendix 1). They priced it at 5800 Lire per 75cl, compared to the median for grappa of 3500 Lire (in 1978 prices). But this attempt to raise the status of *their* grappa became the Noninos' first failure:

I was sure that with this product [pointing at the bottle on the table] I would be able to explain that it is not true that grappa is not good. As for all things, you have good and bad ones...But no, it was not taken into consideration, despite that I declared the pomace...was from this specific winemaker who made highly regarded wines...(But) the market I aimed at didn't consider the bottle sufficiently elegant and sophisticated to be urged to taste it...(The) consumer that I wanted was the snobbish wife that dined in three-star French restaurants, who was used to drinking in elegant crystal glasses and to talking about what she was drinking (Giannola Nonino - field notes, 18.4.13).

The Noninos realized that, although they had changed how their grappa was presented, it was still perceived as grappa and dismissed accordingly. They also recognized that they had to somehow break that association and to overcome the xenophile attitude of consumers who regarded whisky and cognac as being of a different class (Tiraforti, 1990: 22, 148). As they intensified their efforts to become members of the existing 'market circle of imported spirits' (Compagno, 2000: 127) they began to conceive of grappa as a cousin of wine and to mimic its production practices:

I always read newspapers and noticed that people didn't want to drink ordinary wine; they wanted to have 'branded' drinks, produced with a specific grape, from a specific area. So I asked myself: Why don't we do the same with grappa? ...We decided accordingly to use the *Picolit* grape, the most prestigious and the rarest (quoted by Turani, *La Repubblica*, 1985).

Further, they invited Veronelli to Percoto and offered to dedicate to him the first distillation of the 1973 *Grappa di Picolit* if he would attend the distilling celebration. Impressed with 'the assertiveness with which she had addressed him', and seeing her 'as an ally for *his* battles', Veronelli accepted the invitation (Rota - field notes, 26.5.09). At the celebration he acclaimed *Grappa di Picolit* as 'reminiscent...of acacia honey, ripe quinces, freshly picked figs' (Virbila, 1988: 40) and in his weekly newspaper column favourably noted the similarity of the *Picolit* grappa taste to that of *Picolit* wine. In associating grappa with wine Veronelli particularly emphasized its distillation from artisanal production processes and its dialect name, which were closer to *his* interest of promoting traditional Italian culture. Unwittingly, however, in using terms such as

'*sgnapa*' and '*fiasca*' (bottle in straw holder) he reinforced the image of grappa as an unsophisticated drink, undermining Giannola's purpose.

The connection to wine was furthered in three other ways: through the increasingly distinctive appearance of *Grappa di Picolit*, the functioning of the bottle, and the initiation of an award for winemakers. *Grappa di Picolit's* appearance was, again, altered in order to make it more stylish and noticeably different from traditional presentations. Giannola commissioned an architect to design the 25 cl flasks, which were then hand-blown in Murano, the historical centre of Italy's glass industry. The result was a stylized bottle reminiscent of a perfume flagon, with a 'minimalist' black and white label that reflected the wider cultural trend towards abstract branding of product names and logos, and with tiny ribbons of different colours attached to indicate different single grape varieties - red for Picolit, green for Ribolla and so on (see Picture A5, Appendix 1). This styling not only distinguished *Grappa di Picolit*, it connected it to cultural expressions of high style. Further, the process of opening the delicate 25 cl bottle very deliberately involved untying its red ribbon, turning the bottle upside down to allow the cork to be wetted, carefully uncorking the bottle and pouring the spirit by holding the small bottle in the palm. This process required care and was by no means conducive to a quick drink. It was predisposed to careful tasting and appreciation. Finally, the oenological technical Award, the *Nonino Risit d'Aur*, celebrated winemakers (but *not* producers of spirits) who used 'traditional' grapes that were, at that time, unlawful in Italy because of their vulnerability to phylloxera. By implication, the Nonino name was being associated with wine, and more generally with tradition and cultural practices.

Despite these efforts the Noninos failed, again, to sell a single bottle of their premium-priced grappa. Moreover, established producers invoked lawsuits against the use of particular grape denominations and accused the Noninos of fraud because, they alleged, it was impossible to transfer the flavors of grapes into grappa (Nonino's archives). Nevertheless, there was a deliberate intensification of effort. The previous

failures had primarily emphasized the similarity of their production processes to those of wine (especially the use of pomace from the Picolit grape), and had altered the appearance of the bottle. Importantly, in their next attempt the Noninos significantly amplified these efforts, highlighting similarities between the production practices of grappa and those of French wine. Grappa was presented as a high quality single varietal product that could be differentiated by its region of origin and by the name of the distiller. Giannola also continued to portray her husband as a skilled and dedicated artisan carefully deploying ‘traditional’ technologies (discontinuous steam stills) that would yield fresh flavours and nuances of taste. In effect, she was distancing her product from other variants of grappa, and, more broadly, contrasting the authenticity of ‘real’ artisanal distillers and their practices (in her view, just Nonino and a handful of others) with those of modern producers. In accordance with this exclusive approach, the Noninos did not join any association of grappa producers.

In addition to these amplifications of previous efforts, two new initiatives were introduced. Giannola realized that somehow she had to break into the exclusive social practices that were dismissive of grappa’s status. She opposed the idea of using standard advertising and distribution channels. When interviewed for *La Repubblica* the interviewer commented upon the nice room in which he was received, to which Nonino responded that she receives people in that way because it helps establish the credibility of her story, whereas more normal channels of advertising would not convey her message: ‘You’re kidding. Advertise *Grappa di Picolit*? No, no. ... I had personally to go in the most important restaurants and hotels to present and explain it’ (Turani, *La Repubblica*, 24.2.1985).

Nonino’s approach, in other words, was to seek access to the social practices of the social elite. Drawing upon her social network, Giannola, attired in high fashion, personally gave the stylized 25 cl. bottles as gifts to members of the Italian social and cultural elite – including Gianni Agnelli (Fiat’s major shareholder), Eugenio Scalfari (founder of *La Repubblica*, Italy’s second largest daily newspaper), Marcello Mastroianni

(the star of Fellini's *La Dolce Vita*), and Indro Montanelli (Italy's most prominent post-war journalist). Giannola also successfully approached leading restaurateurs who, influenced by Veronelli, were themselves translating French 'nouvelle cuisine' to the Italian context. Obtaining access to leading restaurateurs was possible only because of Giannola's growing social prominence in cultural circles, which she was assiduously cultivating. Both in her actions and her attire Giannola contradicted the common image of the artisanal distiller:

I personally had to go to the top restaurateurs, dressed in new Armani fashion. And, after lunch or dinner, I took out my bottle, told the sommelier the story of *Grappa di Picolit*, and then asked him to taste it. ... I thought that for the product to be known I had to start from the restaurateur, because the restaurant is the place where, if you find a sensitive sommelier, you have the chance to let him taste the grappa. If you let him taste an exquisite product he would then go to the wine shops, to the *botteghe*, to the *enoteche* (wine cellars), that were just then being founded, and he would ask for it (Nonino - field notes, 18.4.13).

Giannola's access to elite cultural settings was helped in 1977 when a distinguished wine sales agent agreed to represent the Nonino distillery, consolidating and widening relationships with elite restaurants and their sommeliers. Agents of other famous Italian and foreign wines – including Angelo Gaia's Barbaresco, Champagne Roederer, and Mario Schioppetto – soon followed (Nonino's archives). The use of wine agents was a deliberate choice:

Instead of using the distribution channels of spirits, we immediately chose agents of prestigious Italian and foreign wines, the only ones who daily visited the most prestigious restaurants and wine cellars; in other words, the places where our jewels had to be positioned, shown and consumed (Nonino - email 6.6.13).

In addition to accessing elite restaurants the Noninos courted the wine media. In December 1974 Giannola invited Isi Benini, an important wine journalist, to attend her next 'distillation party,' which led to a photo appearing in the *Messaggero Veneto* showing Benini with Veronelli and Giannola standing in front of a clearly 'traditional' mill. Again, the purpose was to distance *Grappa di Picolit* from mere 'grappa' by redefining 'traditional' practices as authentic rather than old fashioned and dated. In 1977 Nonino instituted a literary prize for Italian and (later) for international literary works that acclaimed individuality and opposition to mass consumerism and supported 'the enduring relevance of farming culture' (Nonino 2006: 13). The



literary awards attracted immediate media interest and are still a prominent cultural event. Early recipients included Leonardo Sciascia, Jorge Amado, Claude Lévi-Strauss, Peter Brook, and Norbert Elias. Importantly, each year the ceremony foregrounds the Nonino name – thus implicitly associating it with high culture. Moreover, although there is no explicit reference to *Grappa di Picolit*, the hosting of the award in the Nonino distillery reminds observers that the Noninos are distillers of a premium grappa (e.g., Picture A6, Appendix 1). Through these Awards, in other words, the Noninos indirectly but carefully promoted a renewed image of artisanal tradition and of ‘family’ whilst displaying themselves as supporters of elite cultural events. A second award, initiated in 1979, was for journalists who supported rural culture. This award, which was received by journalists of the main Italian newspaper *Corriere della Sera* and of the public broadcaster RAI3, was discontinued in 1982.

The effort to elevate grappa’s status ‘completely changed the spirits market’ (Compagno, 2000: 137). Influential wine critics and enogastronomic writers (several of whom acted as jurors for the *Premio Nonino*) wrote favourably about *Grappa di Picolit*, endorsing its claim to high status and applauding the contribution of family owned artisanal distilleries as authentic exponents of Italian culture. *Grappa di Picolit* became a fashionable drink in high social circles and by 1978 was commanding a premium price in Italy and abroad. Even the renowned wine commentator Gianni Brera, who only a few years earlier had publicly hated and ridiculed grappa, admitted that:

Long since was Giannola greeting me with exquisite gifts of emblematic flasks: on my part I also gifted them away. Then, I learned the prices, and felt an acute remorse. Four-five times the price of a normal whisky. Are we crazy? Therefore, even I tasted it! (*Il Giornale*, 30.11.1979).

*Grappa di Picolit* falsified the assumption of grappa as being of low quality and status and the Noninos were soon followed by an emerging community of ‘purists’, such as Romano Levi (founded in 1924) and Luigi Barile (founded in 1979), who used artisanal methods to distill their variants of *grappa monovitigno* (single grape grappa) and for the first time were able to command premium prices. New stylish packaging, single varietal grape spirits, explicit association with family and artisanship, and even, in one case, the use of an

award, were adopted by rivals to the Noninos. In 1984 the Noninos gained regulatory permission to directly distil grapes, a method immediately copied by Italo Maschio who refined and popularized it.

The association of grappa with wine intensified in the 1980s. *Grappa Segnana*, for example, was acquired in 1985 by Ferrari Brut, producer of Italy's most famous high quality 'Champagne', as part of a strategy to reinforce the association between the world of grappa and that of wine. However, such was the success of single grape grappa that demand continued to grow regardless of whether it was labeled *monovitigno*, provided it was artisanal. By the mid-2000s, single grape grappa would represent about one quarter of retail sales and significantly more in the premium hotel, restaurant and café market (Centro Documentazione Grappa Luigi Bonollo, 2005). Moreover, the social preference for artisanal methods reached the point where even non-single grape grappa was accepted into the pantheon of spirits.

Not all grappa was of premium quality. The mass production distillers still produced a low status version and intensified their TV advertisements - a strategy that would prove ruinous by the end 1980s. Prices for their non-premium grappa ranged from 2,000 Lire per 75cl, and the median was 3,500 Lire (Veronelli, 1978). In contrast, the 27 artisanal distilleries classified as 3-star by Veronelli (1978) commanded a median price of 7,000 Lire for 75cl of their grappa. *Grappa di Picolit* was the clear outlier with a price of 26,000 for 25cl. Artisanal producers who did not produce premium grappa, caught between the mass producers and the premium priced artisanal producers, either disappeared or tried to switch into the new category position created by Nonino. From 1974 to 1996 the number of distillers fell from 400 (in 1974) to 121, although the number had increased to 139 by 2009.

By the end of the 1980s, the premium sub-category of grappa had definitively emerged. In 1988 the renowned monthly magazine *Largo Consumo* celebrated the emergence of '*grappa di prestigio*' in the Italian spirits industry (quoted by Tiraforti, 1990: 157). Moreover, the value of grappa as a high status spirit was

no longer bound solely to the Nonino name. Although between 1984 and 2009 the Nonino name was mentioned 56 times by the *Sole 24* (followed by Bottega 23, Maschio 20, Nardini 18), Figure 3 shows that the percentage of articles citing them noticeably declined over this period.

----- Figure 3 about here -----

Interestingly, the Noninos adopted an uncooperative position within the field because of their resistance to any attempts to water down their definition of quality standards. They only collaborated with a small number of ‘purists’ – such as Giacosa, Nannoni, and Marolo - who supported the strict view on how grappa should be distilled. However, after the breakthrough by the Noninos most other artisanal players sought to advance and consolidate their collective interests by creating field-level agencies that could advance the interest for grappa and lobby for supportive regulations. In 1982 the newly founded Italian association of grappa tasters instituted the yearly award *Alambicco d’Oro*. In 1990, Luigi Odello established the ‘Tasters’ Study Center, and the related taster’s magazine *L’Assaggio*, which was the catalyst for the founding of other regional employers’ associations. Six years later, the National Association of Grappa Producers was founded and was used by the ‘artisanal’ movement to successfully lobby for legislation that would define sub-categories of grappa. In 1997 regulations specified that only grappa distilled in and using grapes from Italy could be called ‘grappa’. Moreover, labels could indicate the origin of the grapes if they were named by EU regulation CE 1576/1989 - i.e., Grappa di Barolo, Grappa del Piemonte, Grappa della Lombardia, Grappa del Trentino, Grappa del Friuli, Grappa del Veneto, and Südtiroler Grappa. Regulations also specified that ‘old’ grappa was to age at least 12 month, and ‘aged’ grappa for at least 18 months. In 1989 and 2008 EU regulations protected grappa as a purely Italian denomination.

The acceptance of premium grappa was reflected in its sales and price trajectory and in the contrasting fortunes of mass producers and regional artisans. The price of premium quality, single grape and aged grappa reached more than €2,000 per bottle (Torazza, 2010). Further, even though sales of grappa *per se* declined from a high of 227,600 hectolitres in 1975 to 100,000 hl per year in 1990, the sales of artisanal

distillations *increased*. According to Nielsen data, high priced quality grappa moved from a 0% share of the grappa market in 1975 to 45% by 1997 (Compagno, 2000: 151) and by 2005 it was estimated to have reached 55-60% (Centro Documentazione Grappa Luigi Bonollo, 2005).

Moreover, the growing social acceptance of grappa as a legitimate alternative to foreign spirits led to an increase in its share of the spirits market. If we consider only the sales of grappa, whisky and brandy/cognac - for which comparable figures are available (Balliano & Lanzetti, 1976; Compagno, 2000) - then the market share of grappa grew from 38% in 1973 to 41% in 1997 (despite a fall in the early 1990s resulting from the decline of the mass producers and artisans who did not switch into the premium category), that of whisky from 24% to 31%, whereas brandy and cognac fell from 38% to 28%. There was, too, an increase in the number of consumers of grappa from 4.6 million in 1980 to 8 million in 2006 – despite a contraction of the spirits market as a whole (Assodistil, 2009; Venturini, 1987; Odello, 1995). Not all of these consumers were purchasing premium grappa but the combination of the significant rise in their number, and the substantial market share of premium grappa, is a further indication that the portrayal of grappa as a poor spirit had been abandoned.

The Noninos were publicly feted for their accomplishments. Giannola was awarded the title of *Cavaliere del Lavoro* from the President of the Italian Republic, and Giannola and Benito Nonino were awarded the prestigious Leonardo Prize for their service ‘...as the true Ambassadors for Italian Grappa throughout the world’. Grappa has been lauded in the media as an exemplary Italian cultural product and ‘*lo spirito nazionale*’ and its consumption is no longer associated with bleak social practices, but as ‘an existential approach focused on tasting and enjoying rather than on gobble and fill’ (Finzi, 2007: 27). Significantly, its consumption had become socially acceptable to women, younger adults, and, notably, the higher social classes (Finzi, 2007). Artisanal firms are proclaimed as proudly upholding the traditions and innovations of Italian culture – e.g. ‘Journey to the court of queen pomace’ (*Il Sole 24 Ore*, 1985), ‘Grappa crosses the

English Channel and challenges whisky' (*Il Sole 24 Ore*, 1986), 'Ancient alembics and winning strategies reward grappa' (*Il Sole 24 Ore*, 1996), 'Grappa defeats whisky' (*La Stampa*, 1997), 'The University of Grappa is born' (*La Stampa*, 2004), 'Magic grappa leaves behind vodka for the Russians' (*La Stampa*, 2007). Opinion leaders, such as Luigi Odello applaud grappa's new status:

In the 1980s there was an abandonment of *xenomania*, which for years had characterized the preference for whisky (the spirit for career oriented people) and cognac (the spirit for meditation by the fire, perfect for evenings among older business men). Grappa proposed itself as the spirit for those who wanted to distinguish themselves claiming specific values: selective rescue of tradition, back to the origins, ideal connection with the healthy rural society (Odello - field notes, 12.11.09).

In other words, and in sharp contrast to the bankruptcy outcome of the efforts of the Modin distillery and the two humiliating failures that Giannola Nonino had experienced in 1968 and 1974, surveys now regularly find 'grappa', more so even than 'whisky' or 'cognac', associated with social and cultural practices that symbolize 'education, hedonistic sophistication, elegance' (Finzi, 2007: 31). The successful emergence of high status grappa has led not only to an extension of the grappa category, but changed how the parent category of grappa is regarded. In Italy 'grappa' is no longer associated with low social practices but receives the same consideration as 'whisky' and 'cognac'. Figure 1b, which depicts the situation between the late 1980s to the late 1990s, shows that the status of premium grappa had become comparable to that of whisky and cognac; by the 2000s, the status of lower priced grappa was no longer below that of other spirits.

Critically, although cheap grappa still exists, the cognitive referent for the grappa category, i.e. what Italians and foreigners think of when they think of grappa, is no longer that of a poor quality cheap spirit associated with the 'greasy spoon' and regarded with emotional distaste; instead, they think of grappa as a premium spirit associated with sophisticated social practices (personified by the Noninos) that exemplify 'the taste of Italy' (quoted by Virbila, 1987, *The New York Times*). Much in the way that 'cognac' and 'whisky' is associated with France and Scotland, Italians now proudly associate grappa with the prestige of *their*

country. The story of grappa, in other words, is of a metamorphosis ‘from a Cinderella into a queen’ within the aristocracy of spirits (Apple Jr, 1997).

## DISCUSSION

So what does the above account tell about status recategorization? Before addressing this question, it is worth more formally summarizing the ‘dependent variable’ of our story – namely, the change in meaning, value and status of the grappa category vis-à-vis other categories of spirits. At the outset, grappa was not much more than *grappa1*. Despite the existence of high quality products, no sophisticated sub-system of definitions had developed, it was just a *white* or *coloured* spirit for a brief and harsh alcoholic experience; no lexicon for describing its smell and taste had emerged; no complex social practices were associated with its consumption beyond its use in greasy spoons or mountain huts or as an almost magical medicine for the poor (Venturini, 1987). It remained firmly locked into *grappa1*, pinned down to its mundane materiality by the status imperative imposed by its socio-cultural position in society. In Hofstadter and Sander’s (2013: 187) terms, its horizontal ‘category extension’ remained ‘tiny’. In sharp contrast, cognac and whisky implied a highly refined taste and quality associated with rich, high status social practices. These categories had climbed the abstraction ladder to *cognac3* and *whisky3*. To enjoy a ‘spirit’ during social gatherings meant conversing of politics, high culture and the qualities of the spirit while leisurely smelling and sipping ‘cognac’ or ‘whisky’ – but *not* ‘grappa’. Until, that is, grappa moved to *grappa3*, becoming synonymous with elegance and sophistication and associated with the social practices of what would later become the ‘Slow Food’ lifestyle. ‘Let’s have a single varietal grappa’ began to mean an after dinner practice of pouring from elegant bottles, sipping and discerning taste nuances in an hedonistic mode, and enjoying a self conception of Italians as lovers of tradition and the beauty of ‘healthy rural society’ (Odello - field notes, 12.11.09).

Diagrammatically, the recategorization of grappa in Figure 1 shows the horizontal and vertical status ordering before (Figure 1a) and after (Figure 1b) change took place: before, foreign spirits collectively

constituted a separate high status class, the ‘club’ to which grappa could not have access (see exemplary Picture A2); after, the horizontal classification ‘domestic’/‘foreign’ had dissolved, grappa had joined the club and the vertical status positions available for category members stretched to the top of the overall class of spirits. Our findings highlight three mechanisms by which this status change was effected: ‘category detachment’, ‘category emulation’ and ‘category sublimation’. These mechanisms, together, constitute *‘theorization by allusion’*, which, we will propose, is particularly relevant for changes that involve status.

### **Three mechanisms**

*‘Category detachment’* is the distancing of a social object – in our case, *Grappa di Picolit* – from its existing category. It is the presentation and signaling of an object in such a way that audiences have serious difficulty associating it with the meanings and practices of the undesired category. In the grappa case this was achieved in four significant and sustained ways.

*First*, the material appearance of the artifact itself – *Grappa di Picolit* – was fundamentally altered such that ‘audiences’ could not easily recognize it as ‘belonging’ to the low-status grappa category. In other words, there was an attempt at visually undermining the prevailing cognitive and social understandings. It is interesting that this emphasis upon the appearance of the artifact contrasts with the linguistic emphasis of most previous accounts of theorization, (e.g., King and Pearce, 2010; King and Soule, 2007; Greenwood et al, 2002; Jones et al. 2012). Our case thus resonates with the recent call for inclusion of ‘the *visual* mode of meaning construction’ (Meyer et al, 2013: 490). Differently from Meyer and colleagues (2013), however, the persuasive visual detachment of *Grappa di Picolit* was reached not only through aesthetic arrangements (the label, the bottle design and the ‘minimalist’ use of colors) but also through the embedding of the physical object itself (its small bottle size and the flagon shape) into new high status socio-cultural practices – such as after dinner tasting rituals in restaurants and private homes. In Appadurai’s terms (1986: 28), there was a ‘diversion’ of an object (i.e., the bottle reminiscent of a flagon in a perfume shop) into the ‘unlikely context’ of grappa consumption.

*Second*, the disproportionately high price asked for *Grappa di Picolit* leveraged the symbolic meaning of price differentials. Price signals are not simply an economic act – they are a known mechanism for signaling a category’s meaning and by which a ‘category’s identity and value [are] institutionalized’ (Khaire and Wadhvani, 2010: 1295). Changing a price, therefore, disturbs the ‘webs of meaning’ within which a category is embedded (Velthuis, 2005: 11). In the grappa case, the dramatic price increase was an attempt to ‘educate’ audiences on the appropriate value of the product *and* a statement of ‘status aspiration’ (Kodeih and Greenwood, 2014: 34). In the socio-cultural domain to which the Noninos aspired – the world of art and culture – the relationship between status claims and price is particularly relevant because quality is difficult or impossible to ascertain (Malter, 2014). The disproportionately high price of grappa, therefore, was an explicit symbolic expression of detachment from a lowly category because the price increase was so unlikely that it attracted attention and generated puzzlement. Importantly, setting the price far beyond the consumer’s ‘latitude of acceptance’ runs counter to the established assimilation-contrast theory in marketing science, whereby price increases in luxury goods (which in our case was successful only after a large leap) should occur in steps in order to avoid reaching the ‘zone of rejection’ (Anderson, 1973: 39; Sherif and Hovland, 1961). Significantly, the first Nonino failure had made use of a modest price increase that could have been considered as within such an acceptance zone, although it turned out that it was not.

*Third*, category detachment reaches beyond the product itself to the producer and the producer’s relationships with others in the undesired market and organization category. The Noninos deliberately distanced themselves from other distillers and thus from the broader category of ‘grappa’ and its traditional negative image, a pattern of behavior that echoes but rearranges Podolny’s (2005) concept of ‘status leakage’, whereby high-status organizations risk status reduction if they are associated with low-status ones. Phillips and Kim (2009; see also Phillips and Owen, 2004; Phillips, 2013) highlight ‘deception’ as a means



by which high status actors avoid such risks. In similar vein, low-status organizations that aspire to a higher status position have to avoid being associated with fellow low-status category members.

*Fourth*, aware of the conditions of her previous failures, Giannola Nonino deliberately crafted a persona signally inconsistent with the traditional meaning of grappa as a *low* status drink for *males*. Her visual appearance—was a stylized personal ‘performance’ conducted in high social and cultural settings and was intended to signify the novelty of her *Grappa di Picolit*. Highlighting the role of personal appearance in category detachment resonates with the linguist Cherry’s (1988) assertion that credibility is not solely a function of how an argument is linguistically framed – an emphasis widely found within the institutional literature (Phillips and Oswick, 2012) – but of how the persuader, the ‘carrier’ of the message, is presented. By creating such a visible persona, Nonino essentially packaged *herself* rather than, and in contrast to, the actual ‘message’ found in previous studies (e.g., Elsbach and Eloffson, 2000; Elsbach and Sutton, 1992).

Ideas similar to category detachment have been previously suggested. Delmestri and Wezel (2011) found that, in Europe, the newly introduced category of ‘multiplex cinemas’ adopted the appearance of the more socially acceptable ‘movie theatre’ in order to reduce the risk that consumers would perceive the multiplex format as violating the ‘cinema as culture’ experience. Ingram and Silverman (2012) show how geographical distance concealed awareness of the slave trade from British society in the 18<sup>th</sup> century, enabling the trade to persist despite its violation of social and cultural norms. Joy (2009), similarly, shows how the meat industry ‘hid’ socially dubious practices both by locating animal factories and slaughterhouses in remote geographical areas and by hiring temporary immigrant workers. Category detachment presents similarities to these studies, but there is an important difference. Detachment is not a strategy of ‘hiding’ an object; on the contrary, it is the deliberate appeal for attention, a frontal call for publicity in the attempt to signal difference from a derided category.

*'Category emulation'* is the presentation of a social object so that it hints at the practices of a different high status category. Emulation signals the status being sought and by implication the status that is being claimed. In the grappa case this was achieved in two primary ways: first, by mimicking the visual appearance and practices of an alternative high-status category – namely, French wine; and second, by engaging the market infrastructure of that alternative category. Visual signaling was achieved through use of a 'single varietal' grape, the highlighting of the distiller's family, and labeling that disclosed the region of origin – all of which are definitive practices of the French wine industry (Malter, 2014; Beverland, 2005). The Noninos scorned any use of traditional channels of distribution and turned to sommeliers in elite restaurants and sales agents of prestigious wines. Through these practices the Noninos implied the resemblance of *Grappa di Picolit* to the experience of fine wine, thereby intimating the similarity of meaning, and status, that audiences were subtly being encouraged to make.

The mechanism of category emulation echoes the findings of Santos and Eisenhardt (2009). However, the grappa story runs counter to their conclusion that drawing templates from 'very proximate markets makes it *less* likely that audiences will be intrigued' (Santos and Eisenhardt, 2009: 652, emphasis added). In our case, the approach to category detachment is consistent with the Santos and Eisenhardt idea that it is difference that attracts interest. But in respect of the appeal for status through category emulation, *Grappa di Picolit* mimicked a proximate – not a distant – class of categories. The invoked comparator market was *potentially* close (before its recategorization nobody would have evaluated grappa as close to fine wine).

Our suggestion is that status shifts in mature industries depend upon the engagement and endorsement of audiences for whom *potential* proximity between categories makes the drawing of comparisons meaningful (in our case both wine and grappa are made of grapes). That is, proximity *enables* comparison. Distant allusions, in contrast, could too easily be dismissed as irrelevant and overly fanciful and their advocates as 'irrelevant gadflies' (Rao et al, 2003: 815). Category emulation, in other words, has to be within the

cognitive reach of authoritative audiences. Taken together, category detachment involves the heightening of the difference – i.e., the distancing – of an object from its previous cognitive location; category emulation, on the other hand, points to the claimed status by appealing to a nearby location and its social practices.

*'Category sublimation'*, from the Latin *sublimare* ('raise to a higher status'), is the shift from local, field-specific references, to broader, societal level frames. It is the process by which recategorization incorporates 'wider cultural material' (Meyer, 2010: 15) and connects to broader legitimating narratives in order to enhance the likelihood of sustained change. In our case, sublimation was accomplished in two ways: first, by participating in the emerging re-evaluation within Italy of the importance and meaning of traditional arrangements (especially, artisanal processes and family governance) that attached the *narrative of tradition* to a new *narrative of modernity*; and, second, by connecting the Nonino name to wider socio-cultural practices.

The emerging narrative of traditional Italian culture challenged the industrial understanding of mass produced quality by emphasizing the importance and authenticity of regional food and wine making. The push to recategorize grappa both leveraged and contributed to the growing re-appreciation of domestic practices (artisanal production, family ownership and management of firms, the use of traditional regional varieties) that represented and would, if adopted, revive those traditions. Positioning grappa as an invocation of that wider cultural narrative served to more securely anchor and thus legitimate the claim to higher status. Proponents of *Grappa di Picolit* thus constantly referred to elements of Italian culture that, in the grappa context, had fallen into disrepute (such as artisanal family production) or were even illegal (use of particular grapes), but which, in wider Italian society, had sustained islands of undisputed excellence, such as Murano glass production (and especially the high-status Venini laboratories).

Connecting change to the refrain of tradition provides 'legitimizing coherence with the old order' (Misangyi, Weaver, and Elms, 2008: 762). But category sublimation also requires invocation of modernity. As Hargadon and Douglas (2001: 476-8) have pointed out, although actors, in order to get acceptance for their claims, need to locate proposed changes 'within the set of understandings and patterns of actions that constitute the institutional environment,' they also need to distinguish their claim 'from what already exists.' This was achieved in our case by linking *Grappa di Picolit* to the cultural movement of Milanese 'design' and fashion that was emerging in the same years (Rindova, Dalpiaz and Ravasi, 2011; Varacca Capello and Ravasi, 2009). The role of the Nonino family in not only riding, but also creating this most peculiar of Italian cultural waves, was consecrated in a dedicated exhibition held in 2003 by the Triennale di Milano, *the* Italian 'design' Museum. It was 'the first "that does not celebrate an artist, an architect, a designer, but a family"' (Bucci, *Corriere della Sera*, 10.10.2003).

The second form of sublimation was through participation in an established societal means of status confirmation – namely, prestigious award ceremonies. Prizes, awards and ceremonies are an institutionalized means by which contemporary societies signal their normative order (Anand and Jones, 2008). They are a highly visible and socially accepted practice for displaying and thus reproducing societal level cultural norms and systems of value. Through the promotion of literary prizes the Noninos successfully engaged with widening circles of social and cultural elites and received widespread media coverage. Interestingly, the award was not a means for establishing status hierarchies among *the participants*, most of whom were already of high status. Nor was it a way by which members of the grappa field distributed prestige, resolved conflicts and tightened horizontal linkages amongst each other (Anand and Watson, 2004) because the participants in the tournament ritual were *not* field members – a field-related award was established by the grappa taster association only in 1982. Furthermore, it was not primarily a method by which to ingratiate journalists (e.g., Barry and Fulmer, 2004; Westphal and Deephouse, 2011), nor a means to define and institutionalize what values do or do not count as legitimate (Lampel and Meyer,

2008) because the values of the literary world were enacted intact. Instead, the awards were intended to legitimate *the organizer*, with the material setting of the distillery playing ‘a “silent” but essential role’ (Monteiro and Nicolini, 2014: 74) by unobtrusively connecting *Grappa di Picolit* to those values.

### **Theorization by Allusion**

We propose that the mechanisms of category detachment, category emulation and category sublimation, constitute a distinctive form of theorization, which we label *theorization by allusion*. We also propose that theorization by allusion is particularly appropriate for recategorization efforts involving shifts from low to high status. Status is a socially constructed attribute that draws heavily upon the legitimacy of relationships and the reciprocation of claims to category membership (Washington and Zajac, 2005). Claims may be more readily and pre-emptively dismissed if they are deemed too fanciful and lacking in credibility – as happened to Nonino in 1968 and 1974. And, claims to status through contested political means, such as those highlighted in studies of social movements (Benford and Snow, 2000) are also likely to be resisted because they openly threaten established interests and provoke contrary claims – as happened to the distillers Ramazzotti in 1970 (who portrayed the *Fior di vite* bottle talking with vodka and whisky bottles) and Modin in 1977 (the distiller who went bankrupt by directly mimicking the expensive practices of the high status *cognac* category without being able to raise prices correspondingly because of rejections by critics and consumers).

Moreover, resistance is predicted to be especially strong in mature contexts (Maguire, Hardy and Lawrence, 2004). As Lounsbury and Rao (2004: 978) emphasize: ‘...powerful incumbent producers within a product category seek to preserve their own dominance by lobbying field-level organizations such as industry media...(who)...are often more receptive to powerful incumbents because they often rely on the endorsement and support of dominant players in order to sell their own products and services.’ Theorization by allusion avoids this triggering of direct contestation. The Noninos were careful not to

openly confront incumbents and proponents of the existing status hierarchy. No attempts were made to directly compare *Grappa di Picolit* with cognac or whisky – i.e., to higher status categories within the same superordinate category. Instead, all efforts were by allusion to neighboring categories, especially that of French wine.

Theorization by allusion is thus distinctive because it avoids or minimizes the brute clash of interests and the noisy, contested processes previously observed (Hardy and Maguire, 2008; King and Pearce, 2010). It resembles more the ‘quiet theorization’ described by Smets, Morris, and Greenwood (2012) and the ‘soft-power strategies’ of Santos and Eisenhardt (2009). And, due to the prominent role of visual artifacts within this form of theorization, it builds on the capacity of a visual to ‘objectify social arrangements’ by ‘disguising itself as information, rather than argument’ (Meyer et al., 2013: 494); and, also, builds upon the way that artifacts can become ‘the Trojan horse of value shifts’ (Appadurai, 1986: 61). Theorization by allusion, in other words, is an indirect and less contentious and thus a more subtle way of building claims for status enhancement.

Category detachment and category emulation may indeed reflect ‘quiet’ theorization, but category sublimation through the appeal to wider social narratives may be more difficult to achieve without more openly confronting institutionalized arrangements. For example, by associating themselves with the push for authenticity and the resurrection of Italian cultural tradition the Noninos became publicly involved (with other artisanal distillers and advocates of a different cultural lifestyle) in the struggle against the proponents of mass production. It is thus an oversimplification to portray attempts to change the status of categories as a fully silent process. Category sublimation, intended to legitimate and anchor category change in higher order frames of meaning, may inevitably generate more ‘noise’. However, such struggles over meanings and values essentially occur *outside* the immediate field and involve a wider constellation of proponents. Moreover, the contestation arising from pursuing category sublimation may, in fostering the

visibility of the issue, serve to draw attention to the claim being advanced. This observation echoes Bruner's (1986: 1991) analysis that to be effective narratives require both 'canonicity' and 'breach' – canonicity *to* established legitimate meanings and breach *from* the very 'canonical scripts' that ground the narrative.

Importantly, it is the combination of the three mechanisms that provides theorization by allusion with its traction and significance. The previous failed attempts at the status recategorization of grappa, compared in Table 3, indicate this fact. The first Nonino failure included category detachment by visual distancing alone, and a measure of category emulation. The attempt by Ramazzotti did not cover any of the three mechanisms. The Modin attempt, whose grappa was considered of high quality (Martegani, 1968), included modest aspects of detachment and sublimation. The second Nonino failure gave additional emphasis to category detachment and sublimation, but it was the third (and successful) effort that fully emphasized all three mechanisms. Our interpretation is that theorization by allusion requires all three mechanisms because each provides an essential fraction of the overall formula for success. Category detachment draws attention to how a phenomenon does not fit the existing category schema. It creates a *problem* for the observer. By itself, the problem would be a transgression that would trigger negative social and cognitive imperatives. However, the cognitive difficulty in assigning the product to a predetermined status position may become an *opportunity* if it is accompanied by category emulation. Category emulation, in other words, provides a *solution* by indicating how the transgression can be classified and thus understood. Detachment and emulation together, therefore, lessen the risk of social punishments – the status imperative – being imposed. Detachment frees the product from the grip of its low status category; emulation anchors it analogically to a proximate higher status world and the practices that characterize it.

However, even this is not enough. Because status classifications are difficult to change, recategorization is possible only if the claim is perceived as reasonable – i.e., as having legitimacy. As Podolny (2005: 103)

comments: ‘people are simply unable to filter out the broader context within which a message is situated.’ In theorization by allusion, category sublimation provides that context by anchoring change to higher-level cultural narratives and in so doing provides the necessary legitimacy. In other words, detachment alone would leave the observer in a state of confusion and lead to the imposition of the status imperative. Emulation and/or sublimation without detachment would be considered too fanciful and would also trigger the status imperative. Detachment and emulation together, we surmise, might be successful (we did not have an example in our case) but success is more likely if, as in the grappa case, it is sublimated through the provision of convincing narratives and rituals. Categorical processes do not occur in a vacuum – on the contrary, they are embedded within a socio-cultural context that needs to be engaged and leveraged if category meanings and practices are to be changed.

---- Figures 4 about here ---

Figure 4 summarizes our reasoning in a theoretical model. Theorization by allusion is used to sustain a category extension claim in two complementary directions. The claim to vertical extension is for a higher status position of the category within the class to which it belongs. When accepted and endorsed by relevant audiences – in our case, prominent consumers, sommeliers in restaurants, wine critics, journalists – vertical extension represents the breaking of what could be called a ‘categorical glass ceiling.’ On the other hand, the claim to horizontal extension is to encompass more and more abstract social practices, akin to Hofstadter and Sander’s (2013) discussion of ‘coffee1’, ‘coffee 2’ and ‘coffee3’ (see theory section). The horizontal breadth of the graphical representation in Figure 4 signifies the extension and abstraction of social practices connected to the category. An important implication of our study is that vertical extension cannot be reached without a corresponding horizontal extension encompassing social practices that can be associated with the sought after higher status position. In other words, extension towards practices of equal status would not work. As displayed by arrow 2 in Figure 4, a radical vertical status upward movement of a category can only be obtained by a complementary social deepening of a category’s meaning, its horizontal extension, so as to encompass more complex and socially valued practices.



The theoretical model of Figure 4 depicts the role of theorization by allusion in delineating and supporting the category extension claim. As emphasized above, all three mechanisms in conjunction are needed to support the claim (arrow 1). Category detachment is both geared towards addressing the vertical status imperative through visual, personal and organizational distancing, and to effecting horizontal extension by the material affordances of objects. Category emulation, on the other hand, directly addresses status by symbolically referring to a different high status category, and also addresses the extension of meaning through importing the social practices attached to that same category. Finally, category sublimation, while contributing to the overall claim, more directly addresses audiences by narratively building the case and thus reinforcing the other two mechanisms in generating legitimacy for the claimant and the claim. Only if the horizontal and vertical category extension claim receives the endorsement of the relevant audiences (arrow 3) can radical status recategorization be achieved.

In presenting our model, we have emphasized the role of theorization by allusion in effecting status change. It is also important to note, however, that theorization by allusion in the grappa case was predicated upon earlier improvements in quality. There were also ongoing marginal incremental improvements. By itself, high quality might not elicit status change (as in the case of the four failed efforts in the grappa story) but it is most likely a prerequisite for successful theorization. What our story clearly shows, however, is that even a high-quality product won't be recognized as such if it is associated with a low-status category.

## **CONCLUSIONS**

Our aspiration has been to understand how radical status recategorization might occur in mature contexts where the exigencies of status imperatives are pressingly felt. We have defined status recategorization as a process by which a social category is reclassified into a new or different class (in our case that of 'foreign'

spirits) and its scope extended to encompass a higher range of status positions for members of the category. Our primary contribution, therefore, is to the literature on categories, which has begun to incorporate status into analyses of category dynamics. We have provided an exploration of this recently introduced *vertical* dimension of category classifications, and in particular of the status *of the category* (rather than the organization) in which an organization and its products are embedded. In doing so, we have identified a form of theorization – *theorization by allusion* – that is particularly relevant for status change in a mature context because of its singular avoidance of contestation and resistance. We have specified its three mechanisms and explained their relationships. To our knowledge, this is the first empirical study that treats status category change as the dependent variable.

It would be inappropriate to imply that this form of theorization is the only option in these contexts. Rao et al's (2003) account of the emergence of nouvelle cuisine in France offer an interesting contrast. The study shows a process of theorization that was much more open than the 'quiet' theorization of the grappa case. Moreover, its proponents had 'received honors from the French state and...plaudits from the *Guide Michelin*' and were 'at the centre of the French culinary world' (Rao et al, 2003: 804). Theorization by allusion is thus not the only means by which institutional change involving status might occur. However, the grappa and nouvelle cuisine cases taken together suggest *by whom* theorization by allusion could and might not be practised. In the grappa story the Noninos and the other artisanal distillers lacked sufficient sociopolitical resources for a more open challenge to the status quo – hence for them theorization by allusion was the feasible option. But that option would be less relevant for elites. In the nouvelle cuisine case the proponents of change commanded significant resources and were less restricted in the openness of their actions. Conversely, however, it would be difficult for elite players to successfully deploy theorization by allusion because their actions are typically more visible and monitored. In other words, theorization by allusion is likely to be associated with peripheral but not elite actors within a mature industry.

Theorization by allusion, as expressed in our case, draws heavily on the visual and the material as well as the linguistic. Previous studies, in comparison, have given primary attention to the persuasive use of language. Suddaby and Greenwood (2005), for example, identified five forms of rhetoric deployed by proponents and opponents of institutional change and observed how their respective theorizations differed in rhetorical composition. Similarly, Jones et al. (2012) uncovered ‘linguistic patterns’ within the arguments of architects holding different visions of ‘modern architecture’. Smets et al (2012) discerned how lawyers in a global law firm theorized their ‘normative orientation’ by discursively prioritizing some audiences over others. Our account complements these and similar studies (e.g., David et al., 2013; Lounsbury and Crumley, 2007; Maguire et al, 2004) by showing how categories are not articulated and instantiated solely through linguistic means but are also conveyed through materiality and appearance. As such, our second contribution is empirical confirmation that ‘Visual rhetoric and visual framing are central parts of the strategic repertoire of culturally skilled entrepreneurs’ (Meyer et al., 2013). Moreover, we have suggested that in circumstances where contestation is to be avoided the persuasive rhetoric of visuals, and the silent unobtrusive role of material objects may be more appropriate than the use of language.

A third contribution is perhaps more of a reminder than a fully novel insight as it echoes a theme already discussed by Phillips and Kim (2009), i.e. the legitimation of a radical innovation (early lowbrow jazz) through association with highbrow practices (orchestral rendering). It is widely accepted that ‘an actor’s status is a direct function of the average status of an actor’s affiliates’ (Podolny and Phillips, 1996: 453) and considerable attention has been given to how legitimacy (Jensen, 2010) and status are achieved by associating with high status others (e.g., Podolny, 1993; Han, 1994; Baum and Oliver, 1991; Stuart, Hoang and Hybels, 1999; Podolny & Phillips, 1996 Phillips and Kim, 2009; Phillips, 2013). The primary mechanism involved in status gain, in other words, is the ‘accumulation of positive association’ (Washington and Zajac, 2005). This mechanism, however, has become identified as *with whom* one has ties

– i.e., with which organizations/actors. Admittedly, these associations may take place within particular settings – such as Washington and Zajac’s (2005) study of sports teams being invited to the NCAA basketball tournament. But the emphasis is clearly upon the organizations – i.e., the actors – with which the status seeking organization associates.

Our case, in contrast, is a reminder that it is not just affiliations between organizations that matter. It is also affiliations with social practices that express and reproduce the desired status. Status is embedded in social practices, in a similar way as valuation is rooted in social practice (Lamont, 2012; Muniesa, 2011). In the grappa case, in order to secure detachment from its low status category grappa had to break *from* any association with undesired practices, and successfully connect *to* those that are intrinsically associated with the desired status – hence Nonino’s consistent placing and display of *Grappa di Picolit* exclusively in high status settings and at elite and sophisticated cultural occasions, and its signaling of the use of high status practices (those associated with fine-wine). Being with another organization is part of the story – but it also involves being with them in appropriate social places. The mechanism of association, in other words, is not activated solely by connections to other actors, but also by being visible within and through institutionalized practices that convey status and, at the same time, higher value.

**Future research.** Our analysis is developed from one case study and further work is required to both elaborate and validate (or disconfirm) the propositions implied within it. Our research design did not allow us to definitively ascertain whether the three identified mechanisms were additive, complementary, or, more generally, of equal salience. From the previous failed attempts to change the status of grappa we deduce that category emulation alone is insufficient and that it is implausible that status recategorization could occur without the application of category detachment and category sublimation. But understanding their relative salience awaits further research. Does emphasis upon one compensate for only modest attention to the other? The evidence from our case – especially the three failed attempts – certainly

suggests that all three mechanisms are necessary, but further work in different contexts would be profitable. Further, we need to learn more about the sequencing of category detachment and category emulation. Although these are discrete mechanisms – that is, detachment could occur without emulation and emulation could occur without detachment (as in the instances of failure in the grappa case) – it would be interesting to learn whether their respective timing and/or duration have any importance.

Two other possible scope conditions warrant attention. The recategorization of grappa was enabled by a receptive social context. There was an awakening desire for an Italian cultural lifestyle (that would bloom later into the Slow Food Movement). Much as Rao, Monin and Durand (2003) emphasize how societal level shifts enable field-level change, our case was similarly enabled – although the Noninos were active and consecrated members of this movement. It would be interesting to learn whether theorization by allusion is possible only where such a receptive context is to be found. In a more forbidding setting, perhaps a greater measure of direct contestation would be inevitable. Second, our case clearly indicates an institutional entrepreneur working strategically and raises the question of whether theorization by allusion is dependent upon the presence of such an actor. Could theorization by allusion arise from the more distributed, relatively unplanned ‘bottom-up’ processes described by Smets, Morris and Greenwood (2012)? We suspect not – the presence of an institutional entrepreneur seems to be a necessary, although not sufficient, condition for sustained change, but this possible scope condition needs further research.

Paraphrasing Lawrence, Hardy and Phillips (2002) the focus and outcome of our case was a ‘proto’ status category that created a beachhead for others to follow. The Nonino family falsified the institutionalized belief that grappa was low quality and low status, and provided a revised interpretation of the grappa category. Yet, as Khaire and Wadhvani (2010: 1283) rightly point out, ‘emergence is not always followed by stabilization’ – it requires ‘institutional consolidation’. Hence, although for pragmatic purposes we have restricted our analysis to the early phase of the recategorization process, our case suggests that future

research into how status shifts in categories become stabilized and entrenched is necessary. After the premium sub-category had been established, some producers successfully claimed artisanal identities even though they were using undetected, non-artisanal methods. The ensuing competition between ‘pragmatists’ and ‘purists’ (exemplified by the Noninos) spurred successful product innovations, such that in the 1990s some producers started to *directly* and successfully attack whisky and cognac by offering an aged version of grappa. In effect, they were advancing a kind of theorization by direct confrontation, which suggests that the mechanisms supporting change during an emergent phase may significantly differ from those deployed in the consolidation stage. Is theorization by allusion successful and appropriate only for the initial stage of change or does it play a role in consolidation? What other mechanisms might follow in the consolidation stage? And what is the role of competition in the consolidation of a market category?

Pursuing these lines of research should elaborate and nuance our understanding of the social rules that define the construction and stability of status hierarchies, and of the mechanisms by which they might be changed. Understanding these dynamics matters because they are fundamental to the institutionalization of privilege. As Sauder, Lynn and Podolny recently concluded, ‘we still have much to learn’ (2012: 277).

## REFERENCES

**Aldrich, H., and Fiol, C.M.**

1994 "Fools rush in? The institutional context of industry creation", *Academy of Management Review*, 19(4): 645–670.

**Alexy, O., and George, G.**

2013 "Category divergence, straddling, and currency: open innovation and the legitimation of illegitimate categories", *Journal of Management Studies*, 50(2): 173-203

**Amodeo, F.**

1991 "La signora della grappa", Giannola Nonino, Amica

**Anand, N., and Jones, B.**

2008 "Tournament rituals, category dynamics, and field configuration: The case of the Booker Prize", *Journal of Management Studies*, 45: 1036-1060.

**Anand, N., and Watson, M.**

2004 "Tournament rituals in the evolution of fields: the case of the Grammy Awards", *Academy of Management Journal*, 47: 59-80.

**Anderson, R.E.**

1973 "Consumer dissatisfaction: the effect of disconfirmed expectancy on perceived product performance", *Journal of Marketing Research*, 10: 38-44.

**Appadurai, A.**

1986 "Introduction: commodities and the politics of value" In Appadurai, A. (ed) *The Social Life of Things: Commodities in Cultural Perspective*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 3–63.

**Apple Jr., R.W.**

1997 "Grappa, fiery friend of peasants, now glows with a quieter flame", *New York Times*, Dec 31.

**Assodistil**

2009 "I dati di produzione", [http://www.assodistil.it/dati\\_produzione.html](http://www.assodistil.it/dati_produzione.html), Accessed December 2009.

**Bagwell, Ch. E.**

2005 "Respectful Image: Revenge of the Barber Surgeon", *Annals of Surgery*, 241: 872–878.

**Balliano, P., and Lanzetti, R.**

1976 "Evoluzione della concentrazione dal 1968 al 1974 nell'industria delle bevande in Italia", European Commission, Bruxelles.

**Barry, B., and I. S. Fulmer**

2004 "The Medium and the Message: The Adaptive Use of Communication Media in Dyadic Influence." *Academy of Management Review*, 29: 272-292.

**Baum, J.A.C., and Oliver, C.**

1991 "Institutional linkages and organizational mortality", *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 36: 187-218.

**Benford, R. D., and Snow, D. A.**

2000 "Framing processes and social movements: An overview and assessment", *Annual Review of Sociology*, 26: 611-639.

**Benjamin, B. A., and Podolny J. M.**

1999 "Status, quality, and social order in the California wine industry", *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 44(3): 563–589.

**Berger, J., Ridgeway, C.L., and Zelditch, M.**

2002 "Construction of status and referential structures", *Sociological Theory*, 157-179.

**Beverland, M. B.**

2005 "Crafting brand authenticity: the case of luxury wine", *Journal of Management Studies* 42: 1003–1030.

**Bitektine, A.**

2011 "Toward a theory of social judgements or organizations: The case of legitimacy, reputation, and status", *Academy of Management Review*, 36(1): 151-179.

**Blader, S.N., and Chen, Y.**

2011 "What influences how higher status people respond to lower status others? Effects of procedural fairness, outcome favorability, and concerns about status", *Organization Science*, 22(4): 1040-1060.

**Bourdieu, P.**

1984 "Distinctions. A social critique of the judgment of taste", Cambridge: Harvard University Press.

**Bruner, J. S.**

1986 “Actual minds, possible worlds”, Cambridge: Harvard University Press.

**Bucci, S.**

2003 “Dalla vite al Nobel, un distillato di cultura”, Corriere della Sera, Venerdì, 10 Ottobre.

**Carroll, G.R., and Swaminathan, A.**

2000 “Why the microbrewery movement? [Organizational dynamics of resource partitioning in the US brewing industry](#)”, *American Journal of Sociology*, 106: 715-762.

**Centro Documentazione Grappa Luigi Bonollo**

2005 “Grappa & Mercato”, Roma: Agra Editrice.

**Chen, Y-R, Peterson, R.S., Phillips, D.J., Podolny, J.M., and Ridgeway, C.L.**

2012 “Introduction to the Special Issue: bringing status to the table – attaining, maintaining, and experiencing status in organizations and markets”, *Organization Science*, 23 (2): 299-307.

**Cherry, R.D.**

1988 “Ethos versus persona: self-representation in written discourse”, *Written Communication*, 5: 251-276.

**Compagno, C.**

2000 “Il caso Nonino. Lo spirito d’impresa” Isedi, Torino.

**David, R.J., Sine, W.D., and Haveman, H.A.**

2013 “Seizing Opportunity in Emerging Fields: How Institutional Entrepreneurs Legitimated the Professional Form of Management Consulting”. [Organization Science](#), 24:356-377.

**Delmestri, G., and Wezel, F. C.**

2011 “Breaking the wave: The contested legitimation of an alien organizational form”, *Journal of International Business Studies*, 42: 828-852.

**Durand, R., and Paoletta, L.**

2013 “Category stretching: reorienting research on categories in strategy, entrepreneurship, and organization theory”, *Journal of Management Studies*, 50/16: 1100–1123.

**Eisenhardt, K.E., and Graebner, M.E.**

2007 “Theory building from cases: opportunities and challenges”, *Academy of Management Journal*, 50(1): 25-32.

**Elsbach, K. D., and Eloffson, G.**

2000 “How packaging of decision explanations affects perceptions of trustworthiness”, *Academy of Management Journal*, 43(1): 80-89.

**Elsbach, K. D., and Sutton, R.I.**

1992 “Acquiring legitimacy through illegitimate actions – a marriage of institutional and impression management theories”, *Academy of Management Journal*, 35(4): 699-738.

**Felten, E.**

2007 “Not Grandpapa’s Grappa”, *New York Times*, September 29, p4.

**Filippi, L.**

1987 “Nostra signora degli spiriti”, *L’Espresso*, February 22<sup>nd</sup>: 70-74.

**Finzi, E.**

2007 “Gli Italiani e la grappa: 25 anni di rapida evoluzione” In CDG L. Bonollo, *Grappa e consumatore*, Centro Documentazione Grappa Luigi Bonollo, Greve in Chianti.

**Glynn M.A., and Navis, C.**

2013 “Categories, identities, and cultural classification: moving beyond a model of category constraint”, *Journal of Management Studies*, 50(6): 1124-1137.

**Greenwood, R., and Suddaby, R.**

2006 “Institutional entrepreneurship in mature fields: The big five accounting firms”, *Academy of Management Journal*, 49: 27-48.

**Greenwood, R., Suddaby, R., and Hinings, C.R.**

2002 “Theorizing change: The role of professional associations in the transformation of institutionalized fields”, *Academy of Management Journal*, 45: 58-80.

**Haack, P., Pfarrer, M. D., and Scherer, A. G.**

2014 “Legitimacy-as-feeling: how affect leads to vertical legitimacy spillovers in transnational governance”, *Journal of Management Studies*, 51(4): 634–666.

**Han, S-K**

1994 “Mimetic isomorphism and the effect and its effect on the audit services market”, *Social Forces*, 73: 637-411.



**Hannan, M., Polos, L., and Carroll, G.R.**

2007 “Logics of organization theory: audiences, codes, and ecologies”, Princeton University Press

**Hardy, C., and Maguire, S.**

2008 “Institutional entrepreneurship” In R. Greenwood, C. Oliver, K. Sahlin, and R. Suddaby (eds.), *The SAGE Handbook of Organizational Institutionalism: 198-217*. London: SAGE Publications.

**Hargadon, A. B., and Douglas, Y.**

2001 “When innovations meet institutions: Edison and the design of the electric light”, *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 46: 476-501.

**Hemingway, E.**

1985 “His lady fair” In P. Griffin (ed.): *Hemingway the Early Years*. Oxford University Press, Oxford: 81-100.

**Hofstadter, D., and Sander, E.**

2013 “Surfaces and essences: analogy as the fuel and fire of thinking”, New York, Basic Books.

**Ingram, P., and Silverman, B.**

2012 “The Gentlemen slavers: status, structure and social movements for the conduct of dirty business”, SSRN2070887

**Ingram, P., Yue, L.Q., and Rao, H.**

2010 “Trouble in store: Probes, protests and store openings by Wal-Mart: 1998-2007”, *American Journal of Sociology*, 116(1): 53-92.

**Jensen, M.**

2003 “The role of network resources in market entry: commercial banks’ entry into investment banking, 1991–1997”, *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 48(3): 466–497.

**Jensen, M.**

2010 “Legitimizing illegitimacy: How creating market identity legitimizes illegitimate products”, *Research in the Sociology of Organizations*, 31: 39-80.

**Jensen, M., and Kim, H.**

2015 “The Real Oscar Curse: The Negative Consequences of Positive Status Shifts”, *Organization Science*. Published Online.

**Jensen, M., Kim, B.K., and Kim, H.**

2011 “The importance of status in markets: A market identity perspective” In Pearce, J. L., *Status in Management and Organizations*, 87–117. Cambridge, MA: Cambridge University Press.

**Jones, C., Maoret, M., Massa, F.G., and Svejenova, S.**

2012 “Rebels with a cause: The formation, contestation and expansion of the de novo category Modern Architecture, 1870-1975”, *Organization Science*, 23(6): 1523–1545.

**Joy, M.**

2009 “Why we love dogs, eat pigs, and wear cows”, Conari Press, San Francisco.

**Kennedy, M. T., and Fiss, P. C.**

2013 “An ontological turn in categories research: From standards of legitimacy to evidence of actuality”, *Journal of Management Studies*, 50(6): 1138-1154.

**Kennedy, M.T., Lo, J., and Lounsbury, M.**

2010 “Category currency: the changing value of conformity as a function of ongoing meaning construction”, *Research in the Sociology of Organizations*, 31: 369-397.

**Khaire, M., and Wadhvani, R. D.**

2010 “Changing landscapes: The construction of meaning and value in a new market category—modern Indian art”, *Academy of Management Journal*, 53(6): 1281-1304.

**Khurana, R.**

2010 “From higher aims to hired hands: The social transformation of American business schools and the unfulfilled promise of management as a profession”, Princeton University Press.

**King, B. G., and Pearce, N. A.**

2010 “The contentiousness of markets: politics, social movements, and institutional change in markets”, *Annual Review of Sociology*, 36: 249-267.

**King, B. G., and Soule, S. A.**

2007 “Social movements as extra-institutional entrepreneurs: The effect of protests on stock price returns”, *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 52(3): 413-442.

**Kodeih, F., and Greenwood, R.**

- 2014 ["Responding to institutional complexity: the role of identity"](#), *Organization Studies*, 35(1): 7-39.
- Lamont, M.**
- 2012 ["Toward a comparative sociology of valuation and evaluation"](#). *Annual Review of Sociology*, 38: 201–21
- Lamont, M., and Molnar, V.**
- 2002 "The study of boundaries in the social sciences", *Annual Review of Sociology*, 28: 167-195.
- Lampel, J., and Meyer, A.**
- 2008 "Field-configuring events as structuring mechanisms: How conferences, ceremonies, and trade shows constitute new technologies, industries, and markets", *Journal of Management Studies*, 45(6): 1025-1035.
- Langley, A.**
- 1999 ["Strategies for theorizing from process data"](#), *Academy of Management Journal*, 35: 691-710.
- Langley, A., Smallman, C., Tsoukas, H., and Van de Ven, A.H.**
- 2013 Process studies of change in organization and management: unveiling temporality, activity, and flow. *Academy of Management Journal*, 56(1): 1-13.
- Lawrence, T.B., Hardy, C., and Phillips, N.**
- 2002 "Institutional effects of inter-organizational collaboration: The emergence of proto-institutions", *Academy of Management Journal*, 45(1): 281-290.
- Leblebici, H., Salancik, G. R., Gopay, A., and King, T.**
- 1991 "Institutional change and the transformation of inter-organizational fields: An organizational history of the U.S. radio broadcasting industry", *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 36(3): 333-363.
- Lee, T., Mitchell, T., and Sablinski, C.**
- 1999 "Qualitative research in organizational and vocational behavior", *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 55(2):161-187.
- Lincoln, E.G., and Guba, Y.S.**
- 1985 "Naturalistic Inquiry", SAGE Publications.
- Liu, M., and Wezel, F.C.**
- 2015 "David against goliath? Collective identities and the market success of peripheral organizations during resource partitioning. *Organization Science*, 26(1): 293-309.
- Lounsbury, M., and Crumley, E. T.**
- 2007 "New practice creation: An institutional perspective on innovation", *Organization Studies*, 28(7): 993-1012.
- Lounsbury, M., and Rao, H.**
- 2004 ["Sources of durability and change in market classifications: A study of the reconstitution of product categories in the American mutual fund industry, 1944–1985"](#), *Social Forces*, 82(3): 969-999.
- Maguire, S., Hardy, C., and Lawrence, T. B.**
- 2004 ["Institutional entrepreneurship in emerging fields: HIV/AIDS treatment advocacy in Canada"](#), *Academy of Management Journal*, 47(5): 657-679.
- Malter, D.**
- 2014 ["On the causality and cause of returns to organizational status Evidence from the Grands Crus Classés of the Médoc"](#), *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 59(2): 271-300.
- Martegani, U.**
- 1968 "La grappa nella storia e nel costume italiano", Canesi, Roma.
- McKendrick, D.G., Hannan, M.T.**
- 2014 "Oppositional identities and resource partitioning: Distillery ownership in Scotch whisky, 1826–2009. *Organization Science*, 25(4):1272–1286.
- Meyer J.**
- 2010 ["World society, institutional theories and the actor"](#), *Annual Review of Sociology*, 36: 1–20.
- Meyer, R. E., Höllerer, M. A., Jancsary, D., and Van Leeuwen, T.**
- 2013 "The visual dimension in organizing, organization, and organization research", *Academy of Management Annals*, 7(1): 487–553.
- Misangyi, V. F., Weaver, G. R., and Elms, H.**
- 2008 "Ending corruption: the interplay among institutional logics, resources, and institutional entrepreneurs", *Academy of Management Review*, 33(3): 750–70.
- Monteiro, P., and Nicolini, D.**
- 2014 ["Recovering materiality in institutional work: prizes as an assemblage of human and material entities"](#), *Journal of Management Inquiry*, 2015, Vol. 24(1): 61–81.
- Muniesa, F.**

- 2011 [“A flank movement in the understanding of valuation”](#). *The Sociological Review*, 59/s2: 24–38.
- Navis, C., and Glynn, M. A.**  
2010 [“How new market categories emerge: Temporal dynamics of legitimacy, identity, and entrepreneurship in satellite radio, 1990-2005”](#), *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 55(3): 439-471.
- Negro, G., Hannan, M. T., and Rao, H.**  
2010 [“Categorical contrast and audience appeal: niche width and critical success in winemaking”](#), *Industrial and Corporate Change*, 19(5): 1397-1425.
- Nickerson, J.**  
1955 [“The New York Times”](#), Quoted by Felten, E. 2007, ‘New York Times, September 29: 4.
- Nigam, A., and Ocasio, W.**  
2010 [“Event attention, environmental sensemaking, and change in institutional logics: An inductive analysis of the effects of public attention to Clinton's health care reform initiative”](#), *Organization Science*, 21(4): 823-841.
- Nonino, G.**  
2006 [“Lectio”](#), *Storia di una passione/Story of a passion*, Unpublished manuscript.
- Odello, L.**  
1995 [“Grappa”](#), *Tra assaggi e alambicchi*, Centro Studi Assaggiatori, Brescia.
- Petrini, C.**  
2013 [“Cibo e liberta, Slow Food: storie di gastronomia per la liberazione”](#), Giunti, Firenze and Milano.
- Phillips, D.J.**  
2013 [“Shaping jazz. Cities, labels, and the global emergence of an art form](#). Princeton University Press, Princeton.
- Phillips, D.J., and Owen, D.A.**  
2004 [“Incumbents, innovation, and competence: The emergence of recorded jazz, 1920 to 1929”](#), *Poetics*, 32: 281-295.
- Phillips, D.J., and Kim, Y.-K.**  
2009 [“Why pseudonyms? Deception as identity preservation among jazz record companies, 1920–1929”](#), *Organization Science*, 20(3): 481-499.
- Phillips, N., and Oswick, C.**  
2012 [“Organizational discourse: domains, debates and directions”](#), *Academy of Management Annals*, 6: 435-482.
- Piazza, A., and Castellucci, F.**  
2014 [“Status in organization and management theory”](#), *Journal of Management*, 40(1): 287–315.
- Podolny, J. M.**  
1993 [“A status-based model of market competition”](#), *American Journal of Sociology*: 829-872.
- Podolny, J. M.**  
1994 [“Market uncertainty and the social character of economic exchange”](#), *Administrative Science Quarterly*: 458-483.
- Podolny, J. M.**  
2005 [“Status signals: A sociological study of market competition”](#), Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Podolny, J. M., and Phillips, D. J.**  
1996 [“The dynamics of organizational status”](#), *Industrial and Corporate Change*, 5(2): 453-471.
- Rao, H., and Giorgi, S.**  
2006 [“Code breaking: How entrepreneurs exploit cultural logics to generate institutional change”](#), *Research in Organizational Behavior*, 27: 269–304.
- Rao, H., Monin, Ph., and Durand, R.**  
2003 [“Institutional change in Toque Ville: Nouvelle Cuisine as an identity movement in French gastronomy”](#), *American Journal of Sociology*, 108/4: 795–843.
- Rindova, V. P., Dalpiaz, E., and Ravasi, D.**  
2011 [“A Cultural Quest: A Study of Organizational Use of New Cultural Resources in Strategy Formation”](#), *Organization Science*, 22(2): 413-431.
- Rosch, E. H.**  
1973 [“Natural categories”](#), *Cognitive Psychology*, 4(3): 328-350.
- Rosch, E. H.**  
1975 [“Cognitive reference points”](#). *Cognitive Psychology* 7(4): 543-547.
- Rosch, E.H., and Mervis, C. B.**  
1975 [“Family resemblances: Studies in the internal structure of categories”](#), *Cognitive Psychology*, 7(4): 573-605.

- 1981 "Categorization of Natural Objects", *Annual Review of Psychology* 32: 89–113.
- Santos, F.M., and Eisenhardt, K.M.**
- 2009 "Constructing markets and shaping boundaries: Entrepreneurial power in nascent fields", *Academy of Management Journal*, 52(4): 643-671.
- Sauder, M., Lynn, F., and Podolny, J.**
- 2012 "Status: insights from organizational sociology", *Annual Review of Sociology*, 38: 267-283.
- Saulny, S.**
- 2007 Farmyard stills quench a thirst for local spirits, *The New York Times*, November 25.
- Scott, W.R.**
- 2014 "Institutions and organizations: Ideas, interests, and identities". Sage Publications.
- Sharkey, A. J.**
- 2014 "Categories and organizational status: the role of industry status in the response to organizational deviance", *American Journal of Sociology*, 119(5): 1380-1433.
- Sherif, M., and Hovland, C. I.**
- 1961 "Social judgment: assimilation and contrast effects in communication and attitude change", New Haven: Yale University Press.
- Siggelkow, N.**
- 2007 "Persuasion with case studies", *Academy of Management Journal*, 50(1): 20-24.
- Smets, M., Morris, T., and Greenwood, R.**
- 2012 "From Practice to Field: A Multilevel Model of Practice-Driven Institutional Change", *Academy of Management Journal*, 55(4): 877-904.
- Solari, L.**
- 2007 "Entrepreneurship at the margins of society: Founding dynamics in gray (sex shops) and black markets (Mafia)", *Research in the Sociology of Organizations*, 25: 337–368.
- Stock**
- 1978 "60 anni di grappa" In Veronelli, L. (ed.): *Catalogo Bolaffi delle grappe*, Giulio Bolaffi Editore, Torino: 101-108.
- Strang, D., and Meyer, J.**
- 1993 "Institutional conditions for diffusion", *Theory and Society*, 22(4): 487-511.
- Stuart, T.E., Hoang, H., and Hybels, R.C.**
- 1999 "Interorganizational endorsements and the performance of entrepreneurial ventures", *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 44(2): 315-349.
- Suddaby, R., and Greenwood, R.**
- 2005 "Rhetorical strategies of legitimacy", *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 50(1): 35-67.
- Tiraforti, R.**
- 1990 "Il mercato dei distillati: dall'approvvigionamento delle vinacce alla commercializzazione del distillato". Tesi di laurea, Università degli studi di Venezia.
- Tolbert, P. S., and Zucker, L. G.**
- 1996 "The institutionalization of institutional theory" In Clegg, S., Hardy, C. and Nord, W. (eds.) *Handbook of Organization Studies*, London: Sage, 175-190.
- Torazza, V.**
- 2010 "Grocery: il mercato dei brown spirits vive una fase sfavorevole", *Mark UP*, 188.
- Turani, G.**
- 1985 "Quella cara, carissima grappa d'autore", *La Repubblica*, February 24.
- van Bommel, K., and Spicer, A.**
- 2011 "Hail the Snail: Hegemonic Struggles in the Slow Food Movement", *Organization Studies*, 32(12): 1717-1744.
- Varacca Capello, P., and Ravasi, D.**
- 2009 "The variety and the evolution of business models and organizational forms in the Italian fashion industry", *Business and Economic History On-Line*, 7: 1-19.
- Velthuis, O.**
- 2005 "Talking prices", Princeton University Press.
- Venturini, N.**
- 1987 "La mia grappa", Casa editrice MEB, Padova.

**Verhaal, J. C.**

2014 “Outgrowing your identity: three empirical essays on innovation, identity constraints and product proliferation in specialist organizations”. Dissertation, The University of Utah.

**Veronelli, L.**

1971 “L’aristocrazia delle acqueviti”, Magazine Epoca, suppl#1108, December 19.

**Veronelli, L. ed.**

1978 “Catalogo Bolaffi delle grappe”, Giulio Bolaffi Editore, Torino.

**Veronelli, L.**

1991 “Catalogo Veronelli delle Etichette. Grappe, acqueviti, alcoli”, Veronelli Editore, Bergamo.

**Vie del Gusto**

2005 “Giusta gradazione”, December, <http://www.viedelgusto.it/ita/aziende/giusta-gradazione>, Accessed December 2009.

**Virbila, S.I.**

1988 “Grappa goes sophisticated”, The Wine Spectator, January 31.

**Virbila, S.I.**

1987 “Fare of the country; the most Italian of spirits”, The New York Times, April 19.

**Washington, M., and Zajac, E. J.**

2005 “Status evolution and competition: theory and evidence”, Academy of Management Journal, 48(2): 282-296.

**Weber, K., Heinze, K.L., and DeSoucey, M.**

2008 “[Forage for thought: mobilizing codes in the movement for grass-fed meat and dairy products](#)”, Administrative Science Quarterly, 53(3): 529-567.

**Weber K., Rao, H., and Thomas, L.G.**

2009 “[From streets to suites: how the anti-biotech movement affected German pharmaceutical firms](#)”, American Sociological Review, 74(1): 106-127.

**Westphal, J. D., and D. L. Deephouse**

2011 “[Avoiding Bad Press: Interpersonal Influence in Relations Between CEOs and Journalists and the Consequences for Press Reporting About Firms and Their Leadership.](#)” Organization Science, 22: 1061-1086.

**Wilson, A., and West, C.**

1981 “The marketing of ‘unmentionables’”, Harvard Business Review, Jan./Feb.: 91-102.

**Wry, T., Lounsbury, M., and Glynn, M.A.**

2011 “Legitimizing nascent collective identities: coordinating cultural entrepreneurship”, Organization Science, 22(2): 449-463.

**Yin, R. K.**

2009 “[Case study Research: Design and Methods](#)”, Sage Publications.

**Zatterin, U.**

1978 “Acqua di vite, acqua di vita, Breve storia della grappa” In Veronelli, L. (ed) Catalogo Bolaffi delle grappe: 3-5, Giulio Bolaffi Editore, Torino.

**Zhao, W., and Zhou, X.**

2011 “Status inconsistency and product valuation in the California wine market”, Organization Science, 22(6): 1435–48.

Figure 1 (a): Category Positions in the Superordinate Class of Spirits, mid - 1970s





Figure 1 (b): Category Positions in the Superordinate Class of Spirits, late 1980s



**Figure 2. Final Coding Structure**




Figure 3 Number of articles on grappa and those citing Nonino in Il Sole 24 Ore (1984 – 2009)







Figure 4. Theoretical Model: Category Extension Claim Through Theorization By Allusion



**Table 1: Non-archival Data Sources**

Personal interviews	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Giannola Nonino (2 times in 1993* and 2013)</li> <li>- Benito Nonino (2 times in 1993 and 2013)</li> <li>- Antonella Nonino (2 times in 2013)</li> <li>- Cristina Nonino (1 time in 2013)</li> <li>- Elisabetta Nonino (1 time in 2013)</li> <li>- Daniela Tessaro, assistant of Giannola Nonino (1 time in 1993)</li> <li>- Renzo Snaidero, administrative employee at Nonino (1 time in 1993)</li> <li>- Luigi Odello, founder of Taster Study Center (3 times in 2009, 2010, 2011)</li> <li>- Gian Arturo Rota, GM Luigi Veronelli Editore, married Veronelli's daughter (1 time 2009)</li> <li>- Sandro Bottega, distiller first to imitate unique bottle designs (1 time in 2010)</li> <li>- Giovanni Savio, PR manager Distillerie Bottega (1 time in 2010)</li> <li>- Luigi Bonello, Director Centro Documentazione Grappa (1 time 2009)</li> <li>- Priscilla Occhipinti, owner of Nannoni Distillerie, inventor of <i>grappa di fattoria</i> for Super Tuscan wines (1 time in 2010)</li> <li>- Mr. Occhipinti, father of Priscilla Occhipinti (1 time in 2010)</li> <li>- Roberto Zironi, Head of the Department of Food Science at the University of Udine (1 time in 2010)</li> <li>- Interviews with three barmen in Lombardy, Piedmont and Veneto (2010)</li> </ul>
Informal interviews	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Riccardo Carelli, CEO of Alma, Scuola Internazionale di Cucina Italiana in Colorno (2011)</li> <li>- Marketing executive of Bormioli Rocco about glass production for spirits (2011)</li> <li>- Carlo Vergnano, entrepreneur and former owner of Grappa Piave (2011)</li> <li>- Giovanni Romano, owner of the largest historical collection of grappa bottles (2011)</li> <li>- Giuliano Gozio, current owner of Grappa Piave (2011)</li> <li>- Claudia Bellone, researcher at the AgriFood Economics Department of the University of Padova (2011)</li> <li>- Jacopo Poli, distiller (2011)</li> <li>- Bruno Pilzer, distiller (2011)</li> <li>- John Piggott, professor at the University of Strathclyde Glasgow and expert in spirits (2011)</li> <li>- Alain Bertrand, professor at the Université V. Segalen Bordeaux, Faculté d'œnologie (2011)</li> <li>- Luigi Gatti, consultant in the field of spirits (2011)</li> <li>- Guido Scialpi, journalist in the field of spirits (2011)</li> <li>- Paolo Marolo (2015)</li> </ul>
Telephone interviews	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Giannola Nonino (1 time in 1993)</li> <li>- Antonella Nonino (2 times in 2013)</li> <li>- Luigi Odello, founder of Taster Study Center (1 time 2013)</li> <li>- Elvio Bonollo, owner of Distillerie Bonollo and President of Istituto Nazionale Grappa (1 time in 2013)</li> <li>- Daniele Pozzi, Historian (1 time in 2013)</li> </ul>
Email exchanges	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Giannola Nonino (12 exchanges with detail questions in 2013 and 2015)</li> <li>- Antonella Nonino (5 exchanges with detail questions in 2013 and 2015)</li> <li>- Luigi Odello, founder of Taster Study Center (6 times between 2010 and 2015)</li> <li>- Armando Colliva Marsigli, former secretary of Istituto Nazionale Grappa (1 time in 2010)</li> <li>- Gian Arturo Rota, GM Luigi Veronelli Editore, married Veronelli's daughter (1 time 2010)</li> </ul>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Michael Sundman, Director Business Development, 1983-1989, Seagram International, acquired grappa Piave in 1984 (1 time 2010)</li> <li>- Elvio Bonollo, owner of Distillerie Bonollo and President of Istituto Nazionale Grappa (1 time in 2013)</li> <li>- Daniele Pozzi, Historian (2 times in 2013)</li> </ul>
Exhibitions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Exhibition on the life of Luigi Veronelli in Bergamo (2010)</li> <li>- Extended Exhibition on the life of Luigi Veronelli in Bergamo during EXPO 2015 (2015)</li> <li>- Exhibition of Grappa Pilzer in Tramin (2010)</li> </ul>
Visits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Visit of Distillerie Nonino (1993 and 2013)</li> <li>- Inauguration of new distillery and exhibition Borgo S. Vitale in Franciacorta (2010)</li> <li>- Visit of Alma, Scuola Internazionale di Cucina Italiana in Colorno (2011)</li> <li>- Visit of Distillerie Bottega (2011)</li> <li>- Visit of Distillerie Roner's grappa exhibition (2011)</li> <li>- Visit of Distillerie Marolo (2015)</li> <li>- Presentation of findings at practitioners' workshop <i>The future of spirits</i> Borgonato di Cortefranca (2011)</li> </ul>

\* In 1993 the first author visited the Nonino for the sake of writing a teaching case study. The notes and materials from the visit could be found in his personal archives.

**Table 2. Data Coding: Sources and Exemplary Illustrations**

<b>Dimensions</b>	<b>Data sources</b>	<b>Exemplary illustrations</b>
Category detachment	<i>Personal interview</i>	'We chose a perfume flagon' (Giannola, 7.5.93).
	<i>Personal interview</i>	'For grappa the evolution of packaging has had an enormous importance. First, because of the patent and effective declaration that also its sensory physiognomy had changed, that it was no more the spirit of the Alpine soldiers. (...) The association with hand-blown glass not only has embellished grappa, but it has also underscored its role as ambassador of <i>made in Italy</i> .' (Odello, 14.11.09)
	<i>Newspaper database</i>	'To reach such results grappa has changed tailor (bottles with new and stylized forms, labels studied again and again by <i>designers</i> )' (Paolini, 1985).
	<i>Personal interview</i>	'The only person [in the Consortium] to be respected was Marquis Margili, who had a small production of grappa, and that because of his status had been elected president of the consortium of Friulian grappa. More than once he came to our house and he also instilled in me a little fear, you know, those true noble men, and he told me 'Lady Nonino, it is not possible that you do not belong to the Consortium of Friulian grappa' and I replied 'Change the specification and we enter '. The consortium was a fraud, was the lack of transparency that continues today in the labels of grappa.' (Giannola, 18.4.13)
	<i>Personal interview</i>	'I think it was Veronelli, who, from a cultural social angle, influenced Giannola. (...) For Veronelli there is only the individual and not associationism. He insisted for the individual product and not for the collective label, such as Gallo Nero [Chianti's quality label].' (Rota, 26.5.09).
	<i>Visuals</i>	Comparisons of label designs (abstract and minimalist vs. rich of traditional iconic elements) and glass colors (transparent vs. traditionally dark green or brown).
	<i>Artifacts</i>	Experiencing the process of opening the delicate 25 cl flask and holding the small bottle in the palm.
	<i>Interview in archive</i>	'I went touring for three years in the most important restaurants and hotels in order to make it known, dressing the most beautiful fashion (how many Armani and Valentino I have consumed!)' (Turani, 24.2.1985).
	<i>Article in archive</i>	'True is that without Giannola the Nonino distilleries ... would miss the glamor, creativity, friendliness and preciousness that has been infused by the lady' (Filippi, 1987)
Category emulation	<i>Book in archive</i>	'Monovitigno (the term is mine and Giannola used me, and she was by far the first)' (Veronelli, 1991: 13).
	<i>Article in archive</i>	'Giannola told her husband: single grape grappa, that's the right idea, Benito immediately understood. 'But not any monovitigno. We needed the rarest one, we needed grappa di Picolit' (Amodeo, 1991).
	<i>Newspaper database</i>	'All of a sudden, as in a fairy tale, the rapid social climb in high society: top restaurants, right circles, good homes, ... in the past a poor spirit, today one of the most expensive pieces in delicatessen and wine shop windows' (Paolini, 1985).
	<i>Archive</i>	Analysis of the sales agents contracts in Nonino's archive: access to luxury wine agents and agreement on higher commissions for selling the <i>monovitigno</i> (single grape) grappa

Category sublimation	<i>Personal interview</i>	'We didn't use liquor sellers but wine salespeople and this has opened up for us the restaurants. We required the salespersons not to offer discounts and to choose special places' (Giannola Nonino 7.5.93).
	<i>Article in archive</i>	Veronelli, in his 1974 article in Panorama, announcing the 'birth' of grappa di Picolit dedicates half of the article to make a list of the names of the individual winegrowers who delivered the pomace and, by doing so, emphasizes individuality against standardized mass production.
	<i>Visuals</i>	Ironic/aesthetic drawing of a family tree commissioned by Nonino to the famous artist/cartoonist Altan (1987). Moreover, most of the pictures taken at the awards display the daughters of Nonino.
	<i>Archive</i>	In the self edited chronologies of their company, Nonino talk of the growth of the production capacity (number of steam stills) always in conjunction with members of the family, i.e. company growth is framed along family growth. Moreover, they put great emphasis in their role in the protection of traditional Friulian grape varieties.
	<i>Newspaper database</i>	'Old grappa spirit of the poor and now nectar in artistic bottles' (Paolini, 1985)
	<i>Article in archive</i>	'The thirty years of Monovitigno Nonino, technically created with the separate distillation of the pomace of a single vine (Picolit), are celebrated in the exhibition opened yesterday at the Triennale Milan, the first 'that does not celebrate an artist, an architect, a designer, but a family' (Bucci, 2003).
	<i>Article in archive</i>	'In October [1984] I was in Munich and on the Marienplatz Dallmayr's shop had prepared all windows with our grappas' (Giannola quoted by Mura, 1989: 76)
	<i>Archive</i>	Most of the members of the jury and of the winners of the literary awards are prominent figures in Italian enogastronomic and/or cultural circles.
	<i>Article in archive</i>	'And you also do a literary award. 'Why not? At the beginning it was an award for those who rescued the best grapes from Friuli, then we transformed it in a literary award, every year we chose a foreigner and an Italian. This is also a way to gather, to have a party, to taste our food, our grappas, our Friuli' (Turani, 1985).
<i>Personal interview</i>	'Nobody bought our Grappa di Picolit. Therefore, we have given it away as a gift: to Agnelli, that later sent his driver to buy more, and to Eugenio Scalfari who wrote me a letter saying that my grappas are like Bulgari jewels' (Giannola, 7.5.93).	

**Table 3: Mechanisms Employed in Failed/Successful Recategorization Attempts ([x] = partial)**

	<b>Attempts to Introduce Premium Grappa</b>				
	<i>Nonino</i>	<i>Ramazotti</i>	<i>Nonino</i>	<i>Modin</i>	<i>Nonino</i>
	<i>1968</i>	<i>1970</i>	<i>1974</i>	<i>1977</i>	<i>1978</i>
<b>Mechanisms Associated with Success</b>					
<b>Category detachment</b>					
Visual and material distancing	[x]	[x]	X		X
Distancing through puzzlement			[x]	[x]	X
Personal and organizational distancing					X
<b>Category emulation</b>					
Mimic alternate high status category	[x]	[x]	X		X
Associate with high-status practices & intermediaries					X
<b>Category sublimation</b>					
Participate to narrative of ‘tradition’			X	[x]	X
Participate to narrative of ‘modernity’			[x]		X
<b>Mechanisms Associated with Failure</b>					
Massive use of print and TV advertisement		X			
Marketing emphasizes comparability with high status categories in same class		X		X	
Production practices same as of high status category in same class				X	

Appendix 1. Selected Visual Materials

Picture A1



Source: <http://wp.me/pu7Zk-1L>

Picture A2



Source: first author's archive

Picture A3



Source: Nonino archives

Picture A4



Source: Nonino archives

Picture A5



Source: own picture of book cover

Picture A6



Messaggero Veneto 8.12.74

Picture A7



Source: Nonino archive