iMedPub Journals http://journals.imed.pub

THE INTERNATIONAL ARABIC JOURNAL OF ANTIMICROBIAL AGENTS
ISSN: 2174-9094

2020

Vol. 10 No. 2:1 doi: 10.3823/844

Impact of the inpatient infectious disease consultations at a tertiary care university hospital

Abstract

Background: The role of the infectious disease specialist continues to evolve. The purpose of this study is to evaluate the value of infectious disease consultation in the inpatient setting.

Methods: This is a prospective cohort study that took place in a tertiary care university hospital. During the period from April to June 2016, 224 cases of patients receiving antibiotics in the hospital with the request of an infectious diseases' consultation, were evaluated. The following variables were assessed: the referring department, purpose of the consultation, the antibiotic used before requesting the infectious diseases consultation, the antibiotic adjustments after the infectious disease's visit, whenever the antibiotic usage was switched to a mono or combination therapy.

Results: The most frequent requesting departments were Oncology (23.2%) and Urology (21.4%). The purpose of consultations was diagnosis (29%), therapy (41%), both diagnosis and therapy (21%), and prophylaxis (9%). An infectious diseases consultation was given at a rate of 4.9 consultations per 100 hospitalized patients. Antibiotic was discontinued in 14.7% of cases. There was no indication for the antibiotic treatment in 11.6% of cases. Modifying the antibiotic therapy was done in 25.4% of cases. Adjusting the antibiotic dosage was done in only one case. Carbapenem antibiotics were discontinued in 31.6% of cases and Quinolones discontinuation accounted for 22.7% of cases.

Conclusion: Infectious disease consults contributed to the optimization of the diagnostic and therapeutic approaches for suspected or confirmed infections in hospitalized patients.

Keywords

Infectious Disease, Consultation Services, Antibiotic Stewardship, Antibiotic De-Escalation, Inpatient Treatment, Impact Assessment.

Waked Rami¹, Jaafar Danielle², Chedid Marie¹, Saliba Gebrael¹, Haddad Elie¹, Choucair Jacques¹

- 1 Department of Infectious Diseases, Saint Joseph University, Beirut, Lebanon.
- **2** Departement of Infectious Disease, Villeneuve-Saint-Georges, Paris, France.

Contact information:

Dr Rami Waked.

Address: Faculty of Medicine Saint Joseph University, Medical sciences campus Damascus street, PO BOX 11-5076, Beirut 1107, Lebanon.

ramiwaked12@hotmail.com

Received 21-05-20120; Accepted 16-06-2020

Introduction

Global antimicrobial resistance is growing and poses a public health threat [1]. Patients with infections caused by multidrug resistant bacteria have a higher risk of poor outcome and death compared to patients with non-resistant bacteria [2]. The rate of multi-drug resistant bacteria is on the risewhile only a limited number of new antimicrobial drugs are being developed [3]. Prolonged and misuse of antibiotics in the healthcare setting has been demonstrated to increase the spread of resistant bacteria [4].

Antibiotic stewardship programs (ASPs) help optimize antibiotic usage. They are defined by the Infectious Diseases Society of America as "coordinated interventions designed to improve and measure the appropriate use of antimicrobials by promoting the selection of the optimal antimicrobial drug regimen, dose, duration of therapy and route of administration" [5]. Several studies reported the importance of such programs to decrease the economic cost and improve effectiveness of antibiotic usage [6-7]. The role of the infectious disease (ID) specialist has been constantly evolving during the past decade [8]. The ID consultant is not only required to assist in the diagnosis, management and treatment of patients, but also to manage the hospital's infection control [9, 10].

In view of that, the need to point out the importance of the ID role in the hospital is essential. The aim of this study is to emphasize the role of infectious disease specialists in providing consultations to patients admitted to a tertiary care hospital.

Methods

Study design

This is a prospective descriptive study that took place in a tertiary care university hospital, Hotel Dieu de France, located in Beirut, Lebanon. This hospital accounts for a total of 430 care beds. The analysis was conducted over 3 months from April to June 2016. During the study period, the ID department consisted of 3 full-time ID consultants and two fellow residents. The ID consultations are typically initiated by a primary ("attending") physician. The antibiotic control policy of the hospital is that broad-spectrum antimicrobials are restricted, and their use requires approval by an ID physician.

Data and study selection procedure

The population studied was all adult patients (18 years and older) that were admitted during the studied period with an infection (primary or secondary) for whom an initial formal ID consultation request was made. All stays transferred to the ID department and stays outside the studied period were excluded. There was no direct patient approach and cases were followed through an electronic medical record. Each unique consultation was considered as the unit of analysis. There were 224 cases during that period. The medical committee of the hospital approved this study. The paper and electronic medical records (DX care version 7.7.2) were assessed searching for an infectious disease consultation.

Studied variables, outcome, and analysis

The following variables were collected using a standardized data collection form: referring department, purpose of the consultation, antibiotic used before the ID consultation was requested, antibiotic modifications after the ID's visit (changing the type, dose or range of the antibiotic when applicable, modifying the duration of antibiotic use), whenever the antibiotic usage was switched to a mono or combination therapy. The primary outcome was to evaluate the antibiotic changes done following the ID consultation and to study the patients' characteristics in this po-

pulation. The secondary outcome was to study the diagnosis posed following the ID consult and the interventions done per diagnosis. All analyses were performed using Excel version 16.0 (Microsoft Corporation).

Results

During the study period, 224 cases of patients receiving antibiotics for an infection in the hospital with the request of an ID consultation, were evaluated. The mean age of the population was 63 years with a standard deviation of 17.9 and a median of 67. The characteristics of the population can be found in **Table 1**. The most frequent requesting medical and surgical departments were oncology (52 patients) and urology (48 patients), respectively.

Table 1. Characteristics of the 224 patients followed by the infectious disease specialist during this study.

Characteristics	No. of patients
Neoplasm ^a	90
Arterial hypertension	67
Diabetes	40
Chronic kidney disease	17
End stage renal disease	17
Immunosuppression ^b	7

a: Neoplasm included solid and hematologic cancers; b: Immunosuppression consisted of patients treated for Crohn's disease, renal transplant, myasthenia gravis and a case of severe combined immunodeficiency.

The purpose of consultations was diagnosis (29%), therapy (41%), both diagnosis and therapy (21%), and prophylaxis (9%). An ID consultation was given at a rate of 4.9 consultations per 100 hospitalized patients.

Antibiotic was discontinued in 14.7% (33 patients) of cases. There was no indication for the

antibiotic treatment in 11.6% (26 patients) of cases. Modifying the antibiotic therapy was done in 25.4% (57 patients) of cases (whenever empirically, after the results of a culture, or based on guidelines). The most frequently isolated bacteria were gram negative with *Escherichia Coli* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* being the most common (42% of cases). As for gram positives, *Staphylococcus aureus* followed by *Enterococcus* were the most isolated bacteria (17% of cases). Extended spectrum beta-lactamase producing *Enterobacteriaceae* were isolated in 42% of gram-negative bacteria. Methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* was isolated only in 10% of *Staphylococcus spp*.

Changing to a broader spectrum antibiotic was carried in 23 patients (10.2% out of total patients and 20.1% out of those already on broad spectrum antibiotics) whereas changing to an antibiotic with a narrower spectrum of action was conducted in 29 cases. Adjusting the antibiotic dosage was done in only one case. Carbapenem antibiotics were discontinued in 31.6% of cases (31 out of 98 patients) and Quinolones discontinuation accounted for 22.7% of cases (5 out of 22 patients). Adjusting the antibiotic duration was performed in 20 cases, with prolonging or shortening the duration of the antibiotic therapy in 7 and 13 cases, respectively. A combination antibiotic therapy was indicated in 3.57% of patients (8 cases). Changing the treatment to monotherapy was performed in 54.1% (13 out of 24 cases). Antibiotic was already started in 132 cases (59%) before an ID consultation was requested. 87 (39%) cases were on antibiotics listed in the restricted antimicrobial list of the hospital. Details are shown in **Table 2**. The top referring departments are detailed in **Table 3**. ID diagnosis are shown in Table 4.

Table 2. Different characteristics of the 224 patients receiving antibiotics followed during their stay in the hospital (percentage in parentheses).

Cases										
Diagnosis	N		Yes							
	No.	%	No.	%						
Antibiotic discontinuation	190	84.8	33	14.7						
Changing the antibiotic class	167	74.5	57	25.4						
Carbapenem discontinuation	67	68.3	31	31.6						
Quinolone discontinuation	17	77.2	5	22.7						
Changing to an antibiotic with a broader spectrum of action	201	89.7	23	10.2						
Changing to an antibiotic with a narrower spectrum of action	195	87	29	13						
Antibiotic dosage adjustment	223	100	1	0						
Prolonging the duration of treatment	217	96.8	7	3.1						
Shortening the duration of treatment	211	94.2	13	5.8						
Antibiotic not indicated	198	88.4	26	11.6						
Combined antibiotic needed instead of monotherapy	216	96.4	8	3.57						
Monotherapy needed instead of a combination of antibiotic therapy	211	94	13	5.8						
Antibiotic already started before ID consult	92	41	132	59						
Antibiotic used listed on the restricted antimicrobial list of the hospital	137	61.1	87	38.8						

Table 3. Number of infectious disease consultations per referring department.

	Total of patients						
Referring department	No.	%					
Oncology	52	23.2					
Urology	48	21.4					
Cardiology	21	9.3					
Gastroenterology	15	6.7					
Neurology	11	4.9					
Pulmonology	14	6.25					
Nephrology	14	6.25					
General surgery	14	6.25					
Gynecology	10	4.4					
Orthopedics	7	3					
Endocrinology	4	1.7					
Neurosurgery	3	1.3					
Cardiac surgery	4	1.7					
Otolaryngology	3	1.3					
Dermatology	2	0.9					
Rheumatology	2	0.9					

Discussion

This study performed over a short period of time shows the impact of the ASPs and ID consultations in reducing of the inpatient antibiotic consumption. The percentage of antibiotic discontinuation obtained (14.7%) was slightly lower than the one seen in other studies. [11-12]. The most frequent requests for ID consultations came from the Oncology department, probably reflecting the complexity and disease severity in these patients.

The population in this study showed that the most common sites of infection were urinary (32%) followed by pulmonary/ENT infections (18%) and skin and soft tissue infections (17%) respectively. The rate of ID consults per admission (4.9/100 admissions) is comparable to the one in other studies [13].

There is a rise in the multidrug resistant germs especially in the hospital setting [14-17]. Prior antimicro-

Table 4. Shows the ID diagnosis of the 224 patients receiving antibiotics with the most important ID interventions per diagnosis.

Diagnosis	Urinary infections		Pulmonary and ear- nose -throat infections		Skin and soft tissue infections		Gastrointestinal tract		Perioperative prophylaxis				Febrile neutropenia		Bacteremia		Neurologic tract infections		Gynecologic stract infections	
	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Antibiotic discontinuation	68	3	34	5	35	6	28	4	15	7	3	3	5	1	5	0	3	0	0	2
Changing the antibiotic class	55	16	31	8	26	12	18	14	20	2	5	1	5	1	3	2	2	1	0	0
Antibiotic not indicated	62	9	32	7	29	8	31	1	17	5	4	2	5	1	5	0	3	0	0	0
Combined antibiotic needed instead of monotherapy	71	0	36	3	34	4	31	1	22	0	6	0	6	0	5	0	3	0	0	0
Mono-therapy needed instead of a combination of antibiotic therapy	65	6	37	2	36	2	29	3	22	0	6	0	6	0	5	0	3	0	0	0
Antibiotc already started before ID consult	34	37	16	23	17	21	5	27	13	9	1	5	2	4	2	3	1	2	0	0
Antibiotic used listed on the restricted antimicrobial list of the hospital	39	32	24	15	22	16	26	6	12	10	5	1	3	3	3	2	2	1	2	0

bial therapy, especially with a broad-spectrum agent, has been demonstrated to be a risk factor for multidrug resistant infections [16]. A multidrug resistant infection is associated with an increased mortality rate [16, 18-19]. A wide variety of nosocomial infections may occur in patients during their stay in the hospital. In addition to that, antimicrobial resistance has been increasing in the Middle East and particularly in Lebanon [20-21]. This study demonstrates the importance of the ID consultation in preventing these complications: 14.7% had their antibiotic discontinued, 25.4% had an antibiotic modification, carbapenem and quinolones usage was reduced.

This study features the importance of the ID consultation in patients receiving antibiotics during their hospitalization. It highlights the discontinuation in antibiotic treatment when not indicated, the adjustments brought to the treatment and to its duration. It also demonstrates the competence of the infectious diseases specialists in sparing the antibiotics with broad spectrum of action (specially carbapenems). Protocols for antibiotic use for the most frequently encountered conditions should be implemented to reduce the dependency on ID advice.

The various purposes of ID consultations shown in this study illustrates the diversity of their work: from antibiotic prophylaxis, antibiotic stewardship, and diagnosis to individual patient management.

Several articles in the literature describe the importance of the ID consultants' activities and roles in the hospital [13, 22]. They play a major role in the diagnosis, optimal use of antimicrobials and prevention of infections in certain populations.

There are some limitations to this study: it was conducted at a single center, and the results may not apply to other settings with different consultation styles. It did not evaluate the cost effectiveness nor the outcome of patients. We could not calculate the percentage of ID consults done out of the ones needed because the ASP in the hospital imposes an ID consultation on every broad-spectrum antibiotic used. This study lacks to determine the

effect of the ID consultations' intervention on patients' bacterial colonization.

Conclusion

ID consultation in patients receiving antibiotics during their hospitalization is very important in terms of adjusting the treatment and its duration. ID specialists contribute to the optimization of diagnostic and therapeutic approaches for suspected or established infections. Our data showed the diversity of work of ID specialists. ID clinicians currently have challenging work that requires a considerable knowledge of infectious diseases and frequent interaction with other subspecialists and clinicians.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The medical committee of the hospital (Hotel Dieu de France, Beirut, Lebanon) approved this study.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests

Funding source

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or notfor-profit sectors

Authors'contributions

DJ collected the data. RW drafted the original manuscript. DJ and EH helped to draft the manuscript.

MC participated in the design of the study. JC and GS conceived the study and participated in its design and coordination. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

References

- 1. Wernli D, Haustein T, Conly J, Carmeli Y, Kickbusch I, Harbarth S. A Call for Action: The Application of the International Health Regulations to the Global Threat of Antimicrobial Resistance. PLoS Med [Internet]. 2011 Apr 19 [cited 2019 Aug 10];8(4). Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/ PMC3079636/
- **2.** WHO|Antimicrobial resistance: global report on surveillance 2014 [Internet]. WHO. [cited 2019 Aug 10]. Available from: http://www.who.int/drugresistance/documents/surveillancereport/en/
- **3.** Boucher HW, Talbot GH, Bradley JS, Edwards JE, Gilbert D, Rice LB, et al. Bad bugs, no drugs: no ESKAPE! An update from the Infectious Diseases Society of America. Clin Infect Dis Off Publ Infect Dis Soc Am 2009;48(1):1–12.
- **4.** Levy SB, Marshall B. Antibacterial resistance worldwide: causes, challenges and responses. Nat Med 2004;10(12):S122-129.
- **5.** Antimicrobial Resistance [Internet]. [cited 2019 Aug 10]. Available from: https://www.idsociety.org/policy--advocacy/antimicrobial-resistance/
- **6.** Schuts EC, Hulscher MEJL, Mouton JW, Verduin CM, Stuart JWTC, Overdiek HWPM, et al. Current evidence on hospital antimicrobial stewardship objectives: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Lancet Infect Dis 2016;16(7):847–56.
- 7. Dik J-WH, Vemer P, Friedrich AW, Hendrix R, Lo-Ten-Foe JR, Sinha B, et al. Financial evaluations of antibiotic stewardship programs—a systematic review. Front Microbiol [Internet]. 2015 Apr 16 [cited 2020 Jun 14];6. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4399335/
- **8.** Eickhoff TC. Editorial. Whither Infectious Diseases? Some Data at Last. J Infect Dis 1992;165(2):201–4.
- 9. Hamory BH, Hicks LL. Infectious disease manpower in the United States-1986. 2. Changes in practice patterns over time and training needs. Manpower and Training Committee, Infectious Diseases Society of America. J Infect Dis 1992;165(2):218–23.
- **10.** McGowan JE. The infection control practitioner: An action plan for the 1990s. Am J Infect Control 1990;18(1):29–39.
- **11.** Brink AJ, Messina AP, Feldman C, Richards GA, Becker PJ, Goff DA, et al. Antimicrobial stewardship across 47 South African hospitals: an implementation study. Lancet Infect Dis. 2016;16(9):1017–25.
- 12. Boyles TH, Whitelaw A, Bamford C, Moodley M, Bonorchis K, Morris V, et al. Antibiotic Stewardship Ward Rounds and a Dedicated Prescription Chart Reduce Antibiotic Consumption and Pharmacy Costs without Affecting Inpatient Mortality or Re-Admission Rates. PLoS ONE [Internet]. 2013 Dec 9 [cited 2019 Nov 6];8(12). Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3857167/

- **13.** Schlesinger Y, Paltiel O, Yinnon AM. Analysis and impact of infectious disease consultations in a general hospital. J Hosp Infect 1998;40(1):39–46.
- **14.** Yim J, Smith JR, Rybak MJ. Role of Combination Antimicrobial Therapy for Vancomycin-Resistant Enterococcus faecium Infections: Review of the Current Evidence. Pharmacother J Hum Pharmacol Drug Ther 2017;37(5):579–92.
- **15.** Fernando SA, Gray TJ, Gottlieb T. Healthcare-acquired infections: prevention strategies. Intern Med J 2017;47(12):1341–51.
- **16.** Cerceo E, Deitelzweig SB, Sherman BM, Amin AN. Multidrug-Resistant Gram-Negative Bacterial Infections in the Hospital Setting: Overview, Implications for Clinical Practice, and Emerging Treatment Options. Microb Drug Resist 2016;22(5):412–31.
- **17.** Boyanova L, Mitov I. Antibiotic resistance rates in causative agents of infections in diabetic patients: rising concerns. Expert Rev Anti Infect Ther 2013(4):411–20.
- **18.** Falagas ME, Tansarli GS, Karageorgopoulos DE, Vardakas KZ. DeathsAttributabletoCarbapenem-ResistantEnterobacteriaceae Infections. Emerg Infect Dis 2014;20(7):1170–5.
- **19.** Ousaid A, Akrim J, Khayati Y. Overuse of antibiotics as a key driver to antibiotic resistance in Morocco: A short review with potential solutions. Int Arab J Antimicrob Agents 2020, May 12 [cited 2020 Jun 14];10(1). Available from: http://imed.pub/ojs/index.php/1AJAA/ article/view/2368
- 20. Hamze M. Epidemiology and Antibiotic Susceptibility Patterns of Carbapenem Resistant Gram Negative Bacteria Isolated from Two Tertiary Care Hospitals in North Lebanon. Int Arab J Antimicrob Agents [Internet]. 2018 Oct 21 [cited 2020 Jun 14];8(2). Available from: http://imed.pub/ojs/index.php/IAJAA/article/view/2333
- **21.** Jamal S, Al Atrouni A, Rafei R, Dabboussi F, Hamze M, Osman M. Molecular mechanisms of antimicrobial resistance in Acinetobacter baumannii, with a special focus on its epidemiology in Lebanon. J Glob Antimicrob Resist 2018;15:154–63.
- **22.** Sexton DJ, Corey GR, Ingram CW, Morris VM, Haywood HB. Consultation in university-based and community-based infectious disease practices: a prospective study. Clin Infect Dis Off Publ Infect Dis Soc Am 1995;20(2):391–3.

Publish in The International Arabic Journal of Antimicrobial Agents

The Journal is an open access peer-reviewed journal that publishes scientific papers about all aspects of antimicrobials. The journal will publish original research articles, reviews, brief reports and case reports dealing with basic and clinical antibacterial agents, antiviral, antiprotozoals, antituberculuous, antifungal and antihelminthes agents. All manuscripts must be prepared in English, and are subject to a rigorous and fair peer-review process. Accepted papers will immediately appear online. The journal aims to advance the knowledge, attitude and the research of chemotherapy in the Arabic world in cooperation with international, national scientific and public societies as well as research centers with similar aims and objectives.