

Neeta Kumar¹, Jugal Kishore², Neeru Gupta³

^{1,3}Scientist, Indian Council of Medical Research, New Delhi.

²Director Professor & Head Department of Community medicine, Vardhmaan Mahavir Medical College & SJH, New Delhi.

Correspondence to:
Dr. Neeta Kumar,
Scientist, Indian Council of Medical Research, New Delhi-110029.

E-mail Id: neeta@icmr.org.in

How to cite this article:
Kumar N, Kishore J, Gupta N. Role of Vitamin D from sun in breast cancer prevention. *Epidem Int* 2016; 1(4): 1-4.

ISSN: 2455-7048

Role of Vitamin D from sun in breast cancer Prevention

For our vitamin-D needs the solar ultraviolet B photons (290-315 nm) penetrates into the skin where they cause the photolysis of 7-dehydrocholesterol to precholecalciferol. Once formed, precholecalciferol undergoes a thermally induced rearrangement of its double bonds to form cholecalciferol. The majority of South Asians never reached sufficiency in vitamin D status. Lifestyle differences, with lower oral intake, sun exposure and rates of cutaneous production due to darker skin, indicate that standard advice on obtaining sufficient vitamin D needs modification for the South Asian community. This becomes more important when required for cancer prevention.

In view of ample evidences,¹⁻⁶ of protective role of sun exposure especially in breast cancer as it raises vitamin D level⁷, It is felt that there is need that it be highlighted in cancer prevention guidelines. There is need to generate country/region specific data also to document quantitative narration on time and type of sun exposure for cancer prevention. Serum vitamin D status of American people using data of National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2012 in USA (NHANES)⁸ reported serum 25 (OH) D among 20-49 yrs age group (n=5454) 62.06±0.84 nmol/L, higher than average 25nmol/L in south Asian population in this report. Some of the reasons for low vitamin D are old age, female gender, high altitude, dark skin, less sun light, dietary habits and absence of vitamin D in diet.

Indian socio-religious practices have not been facilitating for adequate sun exposure hence many diseases attributed to be increased. There is increasing prevalence of rickets, osteoporosis, cardiovascular diseases, diabetes, and infection such as tuberculosis is increasing apart from cancers specially breast cancer in India. Genes of breast cancer are closely linked to vitamin D receptor gene (VDR) and have been reported to be affecting breast cancer occurrence if vitamin D is low⁹. Sun energy for vitamin D has been reported to be more efficient than dietary vitamin D supplement¹⁰. It is cost effective, safer, available and logistically feasible in Indian conditions. Hence should be mandatory part of cancer prevention guidelines, at least in tropical regions where sun exposure does not lead to increased risk of skin cancer as happens in Mediterranean regions¹¹.

Multi-level modeling has suggested that, in comparison to sun exposure (1.59, 95 %CI = 0.83-2.35), dietary intake of vitamin D had no impact on 25(OH)D levels (-0.08, 95 %CI = -1.39 to 1.23). Hence there is need for community based data generation for specific quantity of sun and time as per geographical location¹². Chart of region specific timing as per quality of sun rays in that particular region and season would help community to follow cancer protective life style. This model¹³ (as done by Webb et al) have not been included in cancer preventing guidelines while it can be life saving and combat rising trends of breast cancer in India. At present sun exposure has not been included in cancer prevention guidelines of India since guidelines are made on the basis of research mostly done outside India.

Despite reassuring studies, the public health and medical communities have not adopted use of sunlight for vitamin D hence cancer prevention¹⁴. Hence this low cost, effective and minimal or low side effect measure required to be highlighted and this education should reach to the community for cancer prevention.

Breast cancer prevention guidelines Worldwide (including India, viz. http://cancerindia.org.in/cp/images/PDF/Operational_Framework_Management_of_Common_Cancers.pdf, as accessed on 7/12/2016) recommended increased physical activity, weight control, healthy diet, use of tamoxifen, bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy and mastectomy in high risk cases after completing family. These may provide 50% reduction of breast cancer occurrence. However guidelines nowhere included sun exposure for cancer prevention while it has also been reported to prevent breast cancer by 50%. For Mediterranean regions, Sun exposure may not be safe after certain limits however such is not the risk with tropical regions. Still tropical countries have not modified their cancer prevention guidelines.

Plenty research¹⁵ have documented 30-50% increased cancer risk if vitamin D levels are below 20 ng/ml. This review provides comprehensive overview on how this effective preventive strategy is ignored in absence of data on region specific prevention guidelines. American researchers identified 190 women with incident breast cancer from a cohort of 5009 white women who completed the dermatological examination and 24-h dietary recall conducted from 1971–1974 and who were followed up to 1992. Measures of sunlight exposure and dietary vitamin D intake were found to be associated with reduced risk of breast cancer, with RRs ranging from 0.67–0.85. The associations with sun exposures, however, varied by region of residence. The risk reductions were highest for women who lived in United States regions of high solar radiation, with RRs ranging from 0.35–0.75. No reductions in risk were found for women who lived in regions of low solar radiation¹⁶.

According to one pooled analysis, individuals with serum 25(OH)D of approximately 52 ng/ml had 50% lower risk of breast cancer than those with serum <13 ng/ml. This serum level corresponds to intake of oral 4000 IU/day. This exceeds the National Academy of Sciences upper limit of 2000 IU/day. A 25(OH)D level of 52 ng/ml could be maintained by intake of 2000 IU/day and, when appropriated, about 12 min/day in the sun (which is equivalent to oral intake of 3000 IU of Vitamin D₃) was considered as another alternative¹⁷. Again there are controversies on time and amount of exposure that how the incident sunrays should fall on the earth in different geographical regions and what is the color of the skin etc.

For people of South Asian ethnicity living at latitudes distant from the equator, specific sun-exposure

guidance is given in one study -ie, equivalent to 45 min unshaded noontime exposure 3 times/wk, with 35% skin surface area exposed) can help achieve a vitamin D status >10 ng 25(OH)D/mL [mean: 15 ng 25(OH)D/mL]. Studies on dietary/ supplementary strategies in attempts to reach concentrations ≥20 ng/mL have been in plenty. There has been a paucity of information regarding the effect of controlled UV exposures/ sun rays on 25(OH)D production in South Asians condition¹⁸

One study done in USA¹⁹ documented rising sun exposure time on vitamin D levels and reported that after threefold increasing dose of sun exposure - The application of doses ranging from 0.65 to 3.9 SEDs (sun exposure doses), equivalent to 15–90 min unshaded noontime summer sunlight exposure under a clear sky, significantly increased circulating 25(OH)D in all study groups, and 25% of individuals in the highest responding dose group attained concentrations ≥20 ng/mL

In Australia, Sun exposure is the cause of around 99% of non-melanoma skin cancers and 95% of melanomas^{iv}. Hence their cancer prevention statement also contains guidelines to tell Australians on how much sun they need to avoid vitamin D deficiency and stay healthy without increasing their risk of skin cancer. Even during childhood sun exposure makes an important contribution to the lifetime risk of skin cancer. Hence cancer council has issued guidelines to avoid sun in Australian condition. Such is not the situation with tropical countries where high skin melanin sufficiently reduces that risk. However it warrants longer duration of exposure for same amount of vitamin D formation.

Clearly South Asian community with high skin melanin content and low risk of skin cancer, requires more time of sun exposure and region specific data.^{20,21} It became more clear with study²² reporting more specifically that midday exposures to summer sunlight at 53.5°N (1.3 SED- sun exposure diaries; 3 times/wk for 6 wk; 35% skin surface), produced vitamin D sufficiency [25(OH)D concentration ≥20 ng/mL] in 90% of white adults, but failed to produce sufficiency in any subject of South Asian ethnicity²³ The Flexible Spending Account (FSA)-funded research suggested that the typical daily intake of vitamin D from food contributed less than UVB exposure to average year-round 25OHD levels in both Caucasian and Asian women. A study from Hawaii, collected 100 cord plasma samples²⁴ from apparently healthy full-term newborns and their mothers. Results suggested that prenatal oral supplement of 400 IU vitamin D/day do

not protect against vitamin D deficiency, even in subjects living in the tropics where ample sun irradiance exists for cutaneous vitamin D synthesis. The reason was less sun exposure.

The need of the hour is to revise cancer prevention guidelines to include sun light as prevention measure, also generate region specific time and type of exposure to achieve average 20 ng/mL vitamin D concentration in blood.

References

1. Available from: <http://www.cancer.org.au/preventing-cancer/sun-protection/sunsmart-position-statements.html>.
2. Gorham ED, Garland CF, Garland FC, Grant WB, Mohr SB, Lipkin M, Newmark HL, Giovannucci E, Wei M, Holick MF. Optimal vitamin D status for colorectal cancer prevention: A quantitative meta analysis. *Am. J. Prev. Med.* 2007; 32: 210–216. Available from: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0749379706004983>.
3. Hanchette CL, Schwartz GG. Geographic patterns of prostate cancer mortality. Evidence for a protective effect of ultraviolet radiation. *Cancer.* 1992; 70: 2861–2869. Available from: [http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/1097-0142\(19921215\)70:12%3C2861::AID-CNCR2820701224%3E3.0.CO;2-G](http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/1097-0142(19921215)70:12%3C2861::AID-CNCR2820701224%3E3.0.CO;2-G).
4. Bischoff-Ferrari HA, Giovannucci E, Willett WC, Dietrich T, Dawson-Hughes B. Estimation of optimal serum concentrations of 25-hydroxy vitamin D for multiple health outcomes. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 2006; 84: 18–28. Available from: <http://ajcn.nutrition.org/content/84/1/18.short>.
5. Ahonen MH, Tenkanen L, Teppo L, Hakama M, Tuohimaa P. Prostate cancer risk and prediagnostic serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D levels (Finland). *Cancer Causes Control.* 2000;11:847–852. Available from: <http://link.springer.com/article/10.1023/A:1008923802001>.
6. Bertone-Johnson ER, Chen WY, Holick MF, Hollis BW, Colditz GA, Willett WC, Hankinson SE. Plasma 25-hydroxyvitamin D and 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D and risk of breast cancer. *Cancer Epidemiol. Biomark. Prev.* 2005; 14: 1991–1997. Available from: <http://cebp.aacrjournals.org/content/14/8/1991.short>.
7. Garland CF, Gorham ED. Vitamin D and prevention of breast cancer: Pooled analysis. 13th Workshop on Vitamin D (Victoria, British Columbia, Canada, April 2006). *The Journal of Steroid Biochemistry and Molecular Biology.* 2007 March 103(3):708–711. Available from: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0960076006003918>.
8. John EM, Schwartz GG, Dreon DM, Koo J. Vitamin D and Breast Cancer Risk: The NHANES I Epidemiologic Follow-up Study, 1971–1975 to 1992. *Cancer epidemiology biomarker and prevention.* 1999 May; 8(5). Available from: <http://cebp.aacrjournals.org/content/8/5/399.short>.
9. Watt BD, Wilson RG, Mansi JL. Vitamin D receptor gene polymorphisms are associated with breast cancer risk in a UK Caucasian population. *Br J Cancer.* 2001 Jul; 85(2): 171–175. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2364044>.
10. Stone EM, Stolte H, Cashman KD, Macdonald H, Lanham-New S, Hiom S, Webb A, Fraser D. UK Food Standards Agency Workshop Report: an investigation of the relative contributions of diet and sunlight to vitamin D status. *Br J Nutr.* 2010 Aug; 104(4):603–11. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2364044/>.
11. Moan J, Porojnicu AC, Dahlback A, Setlow RB. Addressing the health benefits and risks, involving vitamin D or skin cancer, of increased sun exposure. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA.* 2008;105:668–673. Available from: <http://www.pnas.org/content/105/2/668.short>.
12. Looker AC, Pfeiffer CM, Lacher DA, Schleicher RL, Picciano MF, Yetley EA. Serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D status of the US population: 1988–1994 compared with 2000–2004. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2008 Dec;88(6):1519–27. doi: 10.3945/ajcn.2008.26182. available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Serum+25-hydroxyvitamin+D+status+of+the+US+population>.
13. Grant WB. Lower vitamin-D production from solar ultraviolet-B irradiance may explain some differences in cancer survival rates. *J. Natl. Med. Assoc.* 2006; 98:357–364. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2576130/>.
14. Giovannucci E, Liu Y, Rimm EB, Hollis BW, Fuchs CS, Stampfer MJ, Willett WC. Prospective study of predictors of vitamin D status and cancer incidence and mortality in men. *J. Natl. Cancer Inst.* 2006; 98:451–459. Available from: <http://jnci.oxfordjournals.org/content/98/7/451.short>.
15. Garland CF, Gorham ED, Mohr SB, Grant WB, Giovannucci EL, Lipkin M, Newmark H, Holick MF, Garland FCJ. Vitamin D and prevention of breast cancer: Pooled analysis. *Steroid Biochem. Mol. Biol.* 2007; 103: 708–711. Available from:

- <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0960076006003918>.
16. Lee JE, Li H, Chan AT, Hollis BW, Lee IM, Stampfer MJ, Wu K, Giovannucci E. Circulating levels of vitamin D and colon and rectal cancer: The Physicians' Health Study and a meta-analysis of prospective studies. *Ma J. Cancer Prev. Res.* 2011; 4:735–743. Available from: <http://cancerpreventionresearch.aacrjournals.org/content/4/5/735.short>.
 17. Kushi LH, Colleen Doyle. American Cancer Society guidelines on nutrition and physical activity for cancer prevention. Reducing the risk of cancer with healthy food choices and physical activity. CA: A cancer Journal for clinicians. 2012 January/February; 62(1):30–67. Available from: <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.3322/caac.20140/full>.
 18. Darling AL, Hart KH, Macdonald HM, Horton K, Kang'ombe AR, Berry JL, Lanham-New SA. Vitamin D deficiency in UK South Asian Women of childbearing age: a comparative longitudinal investigation with UK Caucasian women. *Osteoporosis Int.* 2013 Feb; 24(2):477–88. Available from: <http://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s00198-012-1973-2>.
 19. Webb AR, Kline L, Holick ML. Influence of Season and Latitude on the Cutaneous Synthesis of Vitamin D₃: Exposure to Winter Sunlight in Boston and Edmonton Will Not Promote Vitamin D₃ Synthesis in Human Skin. *The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism.* 2008; 67(2). Available from: <http://press.endocrine.org/doi/abs/10.1210/jcem-67-2-373>.
 20. Farrar MD, Kift R, Felton SJ, Berry JL, Durkin MT, Allan D, Vail A, Webb AR, Rhodes LE. Recommended summer sunlight exposure amounts fail to produce sufficient vitamin D status in UK adults of South Asian origin. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2011; 94(5):1219–24. Available from: <http://ajcn.nutrition.org/content/94/5/1219.short>.
 21. Farrar MD, Webb AR, Kift R, Durkin MT, Allan D, Herbert A, Berry JL, Rhodes LE. Efficacy of a dose range of simulated sunlight exposures in raising vitamin D status in South Asian adults: implications for targeted guidance on sun exposure. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2013 Jun; 97(6):1210–6. Available from: <http://ajcn.nutrition.org/content/97/6/1210.short>.
 22. Rhodes LE, Webb AR, Berry JL, Felton SJ, Marjanovic EJ, Wilkinson JD, Vail A, Kift R. Sunlight exposure behaviour and vitamin D status in photosensitive patients: longitudinal comparative study with healthy individuals at U.K. latitude. *Br J Dermatol.* 2014; 171(6):1478–86. Available from: <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/bjd.13325/pdf>.
 23. Kift R, Berry JL, Vail A, Durkin MT, Rhodes LE, Webb AR. Lifestyle factors including less cutaneous sun exposure contribute to starkly lower vitamin D levels in U.K. South Asians compared with the white population. *Br J Dermatol.* 2013 Dec; 169(6):1272–8. Available from: <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/bjd.12518/full>.
 24. Halm BM, Lai JF, Pagano I, Cooney W, Soon RA, Franke AA. Vitamin D deficiency in cord plasma from multiethnic subjects living in the tropics. *J Am Coll Nutr.* 2013; 32(4):215–23. Available from: <http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/07315724.2013.821886>.