

## LSE Research Online

Victoria de Menil, Martin Knapp, David McDaid, Shoba Raja, Joyce Kingori, Milka Waruguru, Sarah Kippen Wood, Saju Mannarath and Crick Lund

Cost-effectiveness of the mental health and development model for schizophrenia-spectrum and bipolar disorders in rural Kenya

# Article (Accepted version) (Refereed)

#### Original citation:

de Menil, Victoria, Knapp, Martin, McDaid, David, Raja, Shoba, Kingori, Joyce, Waruguru, Milka, Kippen Wood, Sarah, Mannarath, Saju and Lund, Crick (2015) Cost-effectiveness of the mental health and development model for schizophrenia-spectrum and bipolar disorders in rural Kenya. Psychological Medicine . ISSN 0033-2917

DOI: 10.1017/S0033291715000719

© 2015 Cambridge University Press

This version available at: http://eprints.lse.ac.uk/62040/

Available in LSE Research Online: May 2015

LSE has developed LSE Research Online so that users may access research output of the School. Copyright © and Moral Rights for the papers on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Users may download and/or print one copy of any article(s) in LSE Research Online to facilitate their private study or for non-commercial research. You may not engage in further distribution of the material or use it for any profit-making activities or any commercial gain. You may freely distribute the URL (http://eprints.lse.ac.uk) of the LSE Research Online website.

This document is the author's final accepted version of the journal article. There may be differences between this version and the published version. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite from it.

### Cost-effectiveness of the Mental Health and Development model for schizophrenia-spectrum and bipolar disorders in rural Kenya

Authors:
Victoria de Menil (VdM), corresponding author
Martin Knapp (MK)
David McDaid (DM)
Shoba Raja (SR)
Joyce Kingori (JK)
Milka Waruguru (MW)
Sarah Kippen Wood (SKW)
Saju Mannarath (SM)
Crick Lund (CL)

**Authors' contributions:** SR, CL, JK, MW, SKW and SM designed the study; VdM, MK, and DM proposed the framework for economic analysis; VdM conducted the analysis and drafted the article; all authors revised the article and approved the final version.

**Funding:** The intervention was funded by the European Commission's Non-State Actor funding stream (DCI-NSAPVD/2008/156-918) and the UK Department for International Development's Civil Society Challenge Fund (CSCF 450).

Competing Interests: Shoba Raja, Joyce Kingori, Milka Waruguru and Saju Mannarath are employees of BasicNeeds.

#### Abstract (164 words)

**Background.** The treatment gap for serious mental disorders across low-income countries is estimated to be 89%. The model for Mental Health and Development (MHD) offers community-based care for people with mental disorders in eleven low- and middle-income countries.

**Methods.** In Kenya, using a pre-post design, 117 consecutively enrolled participants with schizophrenia-spectrum and bipolar disorders were followed-up at 10 and 20 months. Comparison outcomes were drawn from the literature. Costs were analysed from societal and health system perspectives.

**Results.** From the societal perspective, MHD cost Int\$ 594 per person in the first year and Int\$ 876 over two years. The cost per healthy day gained was Int\$ 7.96 in the first year and Int\$ 1.03 over two years – less than the agricultural minimum wage. The cost per DALY averted over two years was Int\$ 13.1 and Int\$ 727 from the societal and health system perspectives, respectively – on par with antiretrovirals for HIV.

**Conclusions.** MHD achieved increasing returns over time. The model appears cost-effective and equitable, especially over two-years. Its affordability relies on multi-sectoral participation nationally and internationally.

#### 4,309 words

#### **Background**

The treatment gap for schizophrenic disorders across 50 low- and middle-income countries has been estimated at 69% with a median treated prevalence rate of 128 per 100 000 population per year, and it rises it 89% in low-income countries, such as Kenya (Lora et al., 2012). In Kenya 0.6% of the population report symptoms of psychosis (Jenkins et al., 2012). If symptoms translate to a diagnosis, then approximately 230,000 Kenyans are affected by psychosis. Within the public health system, the only source of specialist psychiatric care until recently was in hospitals (Kiima and Jenkins, 2010). This is comparable to other African settings, such as South Africa, where only 7% of people with severe mental disorders receive specialist mental health care (Williams et al., 2008).

In 2005, the non-governmental organization (NGO) BasicNeeds introduced its model for Mental Health and Development (MHD) to Kenya. MHD is a community-based intervention operating as a public-private partnership. It combines medical care in outpatient clinics with social support and economic opportunities through self-help groups.

In 2011 BasicNeeds invested approximately £2 million to deliver MHD across eleven countries to 39,518 people with mental illness or epilepsy and their carers (Raja et al., 2012). A study conducted in rural Kenya suggests that MHD is effective at improving quality of life, clinical symptoms and functioning (Lund et al., 2012). The aim of the present paper is to examine MHD's cost-effectiveness compared with usual care.

#### Methods

Data were collected from July 2009 to May 2011 in Nyeri and Meru districts. Using a pre-post design, measures of wellbeing, service use and economic impact were administered at baseline, 10 and 20 months. Comparison results were drawn from the literature, outside the primary setting. We reviewed prospective and retrospective cohort studies of the natural course of schizophrenia and bipolar disorder from low-income countries (Thirthalli et al., 2009, Haro et al., 2011, Ran et al., 2001) and selected one from Ethiopia (Kebede et al., 2005) for being closest in context.

The Kenyan sample was 117 adults (18 years and older) with schizophrenia-spectrum and bipolar disorders sequentially enrolled in MHD.

#### Intervention

MHD comprises five modules: capacity-building; community mental health; livelihoods; research; and collaboration (Raja et al., 2012).

Non-governmental partners were CARITAS in Nyeri and a national women's organization, *Maendeleo ya Wanawako*, in Meru. The Ministry of Health provided psychiatric nurses and health facilities. The Ministry of Gender Children and Social Services registered self-help groups and coordinated training in livelihoods and farming practices with the Ministry of Agriculture, Livestock and Fisheries.

#### Activities:

Consultation meetings were held for affected persons, caregivers and other stakeholders to discuss mental health symptoms and sensitize the public to mental illness and ways of addressing it. This was the first step to case-finding and mobilization.

New outpatient mental health clinics were opened, operated by a psychiatric nurse or a primary care provider with training in mental health care and a community health worker (CHW), whose role was to accompany patients to the clinic, translate in case of language problems and provide aftercare support through home visits. Clinics ran daily at the district hospital and monthly in health centres and dispensaries. Users paid standard public health fees of Int\$ 0.5 (Ksh 20) per visit. The primary treatment available through these clinics was psychiatric medicines and basic counselling. Clinicians prescribed first-generation antipsychotics (fluphenazine, haloperidol and chlorpromazine) in combination with trihexyphenidyl (brand Artane) to control extra-pyramidal symptoms for schizophrenia-spectrum disorders, and carbamazepine for bipolar disorder. Tricylic antidepressants (imimpramine, amitriptaline) were also available to treat co-occurring mood disorders.

Home visits were made by CHWs monthly in Nyeri and quarterly in Meru to assess: a) medication adherence and side-effects; b) family and community integration; c) particular needs; and d) programme data. Patients with particular needs received additional visits.

Self-help groups were established to offer psychosocial support and be a vehicle for livelihoods and self-advocacy. Groups averaged 15-25 people in size, met once a month and contained both users and carers, facilitated initially by a CHW. Examples of livelihood activities included drought-resistant farming and making detergent.

BasicNeeds and partners collaborated to deliver training, lasting 1-2 days in: 1) group formation and management; 2) book-keeping; 3) livelihoods; 4) advocacy; and 5) group dynamics. In addition, external training was offered on: 1) financial literacy; and 2) livelihood skills.

Mental Health Action Groups met quarterly in each district to address issues raised by users and carers, for example, a rape, medicine shortages, and user fees. Action Groups had 7-10 members including lawyers, priests, a user, a carer, a youth representative and the MHD coordinator.

#### **Usual Care**

In the absence of a local comparison group, 'usual care' was characterized by obtaining evidence from the literature, using a prospective cohort study from rural Ethiopia (Kebede et al., 2005), observing the natural course of 307 individuals with schizophrenia over four years. At baseline, 89% of the sample were treatment-naïve, and at follow-up patients had received a "first-generation" neuroleptic 28% of the intervening time. Follow-up treatment was measured on a monthly basis using clinical files completed by psychiatric nurses for those showing up to treatment.

One- and two-year time-frames were adopted for analysis, because outcomes in the comparison study were annual. Mean time to follow-up was 294 days (sd 36) and 593 days (sd 39), so costs and outcomes were annualized based on linear trends, as done elsewhere (McCrone et al., 2004).

#### Costs

Primary analysis was undertaken from the societal perspective and secondary analysis from a health system perspective. Kenyan shillings were converted at a rate of 42.48 per international dollar.

Government costs were drawn from World Health Organization unit cost estimates for Kenya. In the absence of adherence data, attendance at monthly outpatient clinics was assumed to be perfect (the conservative, high-cost estimate).

NGO costs were derived from bottom-up patient-level data and top-down analysis. Costs associated with the MHD research module and national advocacy were excluded, since they do not affect clinical outcomes. Set-up costs were also excluded. Present value (December 2011) of capital costs was calculated using linear discounting with the Central Bank of Kenya interest rate of 7%.

User costs were measured with an adaptation of the Economic Status Instrument, a multiple choice and short-answer interview piloted in India.(Murthy et al., 2005) Baseline costs were used to estimate usual care. Direct or out-of-pocket fees included: 1) hospitalization; 2) outpatient treatment; 3) medicines; and 4) traditional healing. Resource use was measured using anintervention checklist designed for this study.

Indirect costs were aggregated from time spent in treatment and unpaid care, offset against productivity gains and losses. Productivity was valued using a variant of the human capital approach:(Su et al., 2007) paid and unpaid productive work were valued equally at minimum wage for an unskilled agricultural worker: Int\$ 98.0 (3,765 Ksh) per month, full-time. This approach ensures gender equality and accounts for the non-wage economy. Productivity in the comparison was held constant at baseline levels.

#### **Diagnosis, Treatment and Outcomes**

Primary diagnosis was made by the treating clinician – either a psychiatric nurse or a clinical officer for health (primary care provider) who received top-up training on identifying and treating mental disorders. Diagnoses were made at all three time periods based on clinical presentation. When diagnosis varied between time periods, the treating clinician identified the primary diagnosis after the study's completion. Individuals with comorbid epilepsy were excluded from analysis. No information was gathered on illness onset.

At baseline, patients at the clinic received a mean of 2.4 medicines (sd 0.66, range 1-4). The majority of patients (88.9%, n=104) were prescribed an atypical antipsychotic. The most commonly prescribed antispychotic was chlorpromazine (prescribed to 70.2% of patients, n=82), followed by injectable fluphenazine (42.7%, n=50) and haloperidol (18%, n=22). Those not receiving an antipsychotic were prescribed either a tricyclic (13.7%, n=16) or carbamazepine (5.1%, n=6).

The primary outcome was the General Health Questionnaire (GHQ-12) and the secondary outcome was the WHO Quality of Life questionnaire (WHOQOL-Bref). Both were interview-administered, because of illiteracy.

GHQ-12 was scored from 0-12 with higher scores indicating worse outcomes. The GHQ has been validated in this setting previously, with research from Kenya (Njenga, 2000, Jenkins et al., 2013) suggesting a cut-off of 3 (0-2 healthy, 3-12 sick). This is more conservative than literature from Nigeria (Gureje and Obikoya, 1990), but is consistent with Zambia (Chipimo and Fylkesnes, 2010), and aligns with recommendations of the tool's authors (Goldberg et al., 1998). The GHQ is a general measure of emotional distress, which we considered important to report in this diagnostically heterogeneous sample. Because of the heterogeneity of the sample we chose to use this more general measure rather than disorder-specific clinical outcomes measures

such as the PANSS (for schizophrenia) or PHQ-9 (for depression), as it would have been difficult to compare these outcomes within the sample.

The WHOQOL Bref (WHOQoL Group, 1998) measures quality of life along four domains: physical health, mental health, social relationships, and the environment. Questions are on a Likert scale, resulting in a maximum score of 130. Domains are scored from the mean response to selected questions converted to a scale of 100. WHOQOL was used to triangulate GHQ outcomes, but not to calculate cost-effectiveness.

The comparison outcome of interest from the Kebede study was the Short-Form 36, scored on a Likert scale across eight domains, including mental health (Ware and Sherbourne, 1992). There is good correlation between SF-36 and GHQ, particularly for the mental health subscale (Spearman rank 0.73 (McCabe et al., 1996, Kelly et al., 2008)), so we converted SF-36 scores into GHQ.

In the rural Ethiopian cohort, mean SF-36 mental health scores increased in the first year by 9.2% for those with long-standing schizophrenia and by 18.3% for those with recent onset disorder. It increased over two years by 12.4% and by 20.3% respectively. The sample had 23% recent-onset schizophrenia, so mean SF-36 increase overall was 11.3% in the first year and 14.2% over two years, translating to decreases in GHQ-12 of 1.4 and 1.7 points.

To estimate death in the comparison, we multiplied the death rate of a normal Kenyan population with the same age and sex distribution, times the standardized mortality ratio of 5.98 for schizophrenia, drawn from the same Ethiopian study (Teferra et al., 2011).

#### **Statistical Analysis**

Data were analyzed in SPSS 19.

Using the GHQ cut-off of 3, we translated scores into a binary outcome of sickness or health. With GHQ scores on the y-axis and time on the x-axis, sick days were defined as x when y equalled 3.

$$x = \frac{(y-b)}{m}$$
 with  $m = \frac{\Delta y}{\Delta x} = \frac{\Delta GHQ}{\Delta time}$ 

In the first year:

$$x = (3 - GHQ_{Baseline}) * \frac{365 days}{|\Delta GHQ|}$$

GHQ outcomes were also used to calculate Disability Adjusted Life Years (DALYs), a common measure of disease burden in low-income setting studies (Rushby and Hanson, 2001). GHQ outcomes were also used to calculate Disability Adjusted Life Years (DALYs), a common measure of disease burden in low-income setting studies (Rushby and Hanson, 2001). The term disability in the context of DALYs is used broadly to refer to "any short-term or long-term loss of health" (Salomon et al., 2013), and can be understood as a synonym for morbidity – which is to say all ill health other than mortality. It is distinct from narrower definitions of disability found within the field of psychiatric and physical rehabilitation. Health is measured within the Global Burden of Disease methodology – as employed, for example, by the World Health Organization and World Bank – on the basis of preestablished health states, each associated with a disability weight (Institute of Health Metrics and Evaluation, 2010). Years Lost to Disability (YLD) were calculated using a weight of 0.689 for schizophrenia and psychosis (combining health states for acute and residual schizophrenia), and 0.480 for bipolar. Years Lost to Disability (YLD) were calculated using a weight of 0.689 for schizophrenia and psychosis (combining health states for acute and residual schizophrenia), and 0.480 for bipolar. No ageweighting was applied, and DALYs in the second year were discounted. At two years, we assumed those who recovered in the first year would have been sick were it not for the intervention.

#### **Cost-effectiveness**

The incremental cost-effectiveness ratio (ICER) comparing costs (C) and effects (E) of an intervention (i) to its comparison (c) is:

$$ICER = \frac{C_i - C_c}{E_i - E_c}$$

#### **Ethics**

The study design was approved by the Human Research Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Health Sciences (REC Ref: 098/2009) at the University of Cape Town (UCT) and by the National Ethics Review Committee at the Kenya Medical Research Institute (KEMRIRES 7/3/1). Participants provided informed consent, or assent and their caregiver consented.

#### Results

The sample consisted of cases of schizophrenia (n=74, 63%), bipolar disorder (n=26, 22%) and psychosis (other) (n=17, 15%). Mean age at baseline was 37 years (sd 11). The population was 55.6% male. One third (31.7%) were educated to less than primary level, and 42.7% had primary education. 60.7% were unemployed, and 50.4% were never married. Mean household size was 6, and the main source of family income for 64.0% was farming, and for 30.5% casual labour. Median household cash income was Ksh 700 (Int\$ 18.5) per month.

#### **Resource Use**

Most participants received the main components of the intervention –attending mental health clinics (100%), receiving a diagnosis (99%), medication (99%), counselling (97%), home-visits (87%), and enrolling in a self-help group (97%).

#### Costs

From the societal perspective, MHD cost Int\$ 594 in the first year, as opposed to Int\$ 220 for usual care (as measured by baseline costs). At two years, the intervention cost Int\$ 876 vs Int\$ 440 for usual careTable 1). The difference in year two resulted from return to productive work. Before the intervention, 92% of costs were borne by the service user, whereas after two years, users made savings of Int\$ 289 (Figure 1). Most (87% in the first year) direct costs were born by the NGO. Government costs doubled during the intervention, as coverage increased; however they remained modest throughout at only 4%-5% of total.

The change in WHOQOL was 18 points out of 130 (13.8%) in 10 months and 27 points (20.7%) over 20 months. Mean change across WHOQOL domains was 15.3% in 10 months and 27.5% in 20 months. Greatest change was in the environmental domain (plus 21% at 10 months and 34% at 20 months); smallest change was in the physical domain. The environmental domain covers seven questions relating to health services, physical environment, money, living conditions, transportation, access to information, and leisure.

There was high correlation between WHOQOL and GHQ-12 scores at 10 months (r(103)) = -0.723 p<0.001) and 20 months (r(95)) = -0.625, p<0.001), but less so at baseline (r(110)) = -0.197, p=.04). Despite low baseline correlation, the change in scores remained reasonably correlated at 10 months (r(104))=-0.568, p<0.001) and 20 months (r(94))=-0.334, p<0.001), supporting the validity of the GHQ-12 as a measure of quality of life in this population.

Mean GHQ decreased from 8.8 (sd 2.7) to 5.6 (4.3) and 1.8 (2.6) at 10 and 20 months (Table 2). Mean annualized scores were 4.6 (sd 3.7) and 1.2 (sd 2.5) at one and two years. Mean change in annualized GHQ was a decrease of 4.2 points (sd 3.3) in the first year and 8.3 (sd 3.2) over two years.

Mean healthy days gained in the first year of MHD was 55 (sd 88), and 456 (202) over two years. Estimates for usual care were 8 healthy days (sd 48) in the first year and 33 (sd 128) over two years.

The change in DALYs between baseline and follow-up was positive in the first year but negative in the second year (0.002 and -0.173 DALYs respectively) for those in the MHD group. This means that the health of the group as a whole deteriorated over time, despite the intervention, because of deaths. In the comparison group, health deteriorated more over two years, as reflected by a greater negative change in DALYs (-1.052 in the first year and -2.250 in two years).

Six people died in the course of study, whereas the expected mortality over two years of a Kenyan population with the same age, sex and disease profile was 14. One death occurred in the first ten months and the remainder occurred thereafter. Causality was established for two cases as asthma and aggravated assault.

Six subjects (5.1%) were lost to follow-up, because they had moved (n=4), or their whereabouts were unknown (n=2). Those who moved did so for jobs (n=2) or to marry (n=1). There was no statistical difference in any variables studied between those lost and those retained.

#### **Cost-Effectiveness**

From the societal perspective, the incremental cost of MHD was Int\$ 445 per DALY averted in the first year, and Int\$ 13.1 over two years (Table 3). The health system ICER was Int\$ 621 per DALY averted in the first year and Int\$ 727 over two years. The conventional threshold for cost-effectiveness acceptability in low-income settings is per capita GDP per DALY averted (Chisholm, 2005) – Int\$ 1,920 in Kenya in 2009 – making MHD cost-effective at one and two years, from both the societal and health system perspectives.

Average cost per incremental healthy day from the societal perspective was Int\$ 7.96 in the first year and decreased to Int\$ 1.03 over two years. From the health system perspective, a healthy day cost Int\$ 11.11 in the first year, and dropped to Int\$ 2.54 over two years.

We tested sensitivity relative to: 1) comparison outcomes; 2) government costs; and 3) GHQ cut-off. Scenario 2 (the worst-case scenario) reanalysed Ethiopian comparison data according to a person-month analysis (Alem et al., 2009). We

multiplied the proportion in remission by percentage time in remission and applied that to days in a year, arriving at an estimate of 93 healthy days per year. In addition, cost estimates for government outpatient clinics were tripled to account for potentially longer time spent with clinicians in mental health consults than in general health. Scenario 3 adjusted the GHQ cut-off, coding anything greater than 2 as sick, whereas previously values between 2 and 3 were healthy.

Scenarios 2 and 3 proved sensitive for healthy days in the first year, but not over two years. DALY results were relatively insensitive to both scenarios, as those outcomes appear driven by differences in mortality, rather than remission.

In scenario 2, MHD cost Int\$ 23.70 in the first year (table 3),, but decreased to Int\$ 2.92 over two years. The DALY ICER in the first year was Int\$676, and over two years Int\$784. The increased DALY in two years is driven by mortality, which is not accounted for in the same way in the healthy day outcomes.

In scenario 3, healthy days among people in MHD dropped in the first year to 25 days, and over two years to 404 days. Comparison outcomes fell to 1 healthy day in the first year and 7 days over two years. The resulting ICERs per healthy day were Int\$ 15.35 in the first year and Int\$ 2.60 in two years, while ICERs per DALY were Int\$ 620 in the first year and Int\$ 726 over two years.

#### **Conclusions**

Our findings suggest that the model for Mental Health and Development achieves increasing returns over time. Positive outcomes are driven by a reduction in both morbidity and mortality.

MHD appears cost-effective from both societal and health systems perspectives. Over two years, the cost was approximately a dollar per healthy day (Int\$ 1.03) from the societal perspective and Int\$ 2.54 from the health systems perspective – less than a daily farming wage (Int\$ 4.2). The incremental cost per DALY averted (year of healthy life) over two years was Int\$ 13.1 from the societal perspective and Int\$ 727 from the health systems perspective.

MHD seems to be reaching the lowest socio-economic groups. According to census data, on average 14.7% of Central Kenyans have less than primary education, whereas twice as many (32%) had less than primary education in our sample. In addition, economic benefits to service users were substantial: before the intervention, users paid Int\$ 53 in direct costs and bore Int\$ 150 in indirect costs, whereas after two years, the average user made savings of Int\$ 289, largely from return to productivity.

Despite MHD being cost-effective and equitable, the question remains whether it is affordable. MHD direct costs are borne principally (over 80%) by the NGO BasicNeeds, who were financed on this project by UK and European governments. Without this external funding, the direct costs of Int \$540 per year paid by the NGO would be prohibitive to the Kenyan government. To contextualize, the government spend Int\$ 15.4 per capita on health across the whole population, though the amount per capita on people with severe mental disorders is unknown. The long-term sustainability of the MHD intervention relies on continued external funding streams. Further research is necessary to determine whether the programme could be sustained if the NGO were to exit the setting, although the existing data suggest that the intervention is not self-funding. This is a familiar economic barrier in low-income country contexts (McDaid et al., 2008).

The principle limitation of this study is the absence of a control group. Although we were able to make use of another rural East African sample of people with severe mental illness, the Ethiopian sample consisted almost entirely of men and did not contain cases with bipolar disorder. A meta-analysis of studies from mostly high-income countries suggests that men with schizophrenia have almost equal recovery rates to women, with about one in seven people recovering over time (Jääskeläinen et al., 2012). A literature review from low-to-middle income countries, however, suggests that there are sex differences in clinical and functional outcomes with psychotic women having poorer prognoses than their male counterparts, because of greater stigma, coercion and victimization, contributing to less help-seeking (Chandra et al., 2012). If this is the case, then comparison outcomes from a mostly male sample would over-estimate recovery, making our estimates of MHD outcomes conservative. Nonetheless, the Ethiopian context shares many similarities with that of Kenya, including long delays between onset of illness and access to treatment (Bekele et al., 2009).

A further limitation of the study is the use of the GHQ as a measure of outcome. The GHQ is designed as a non-specific measure of distress, rather than a measure of psychosis or of disability. It is therefore a limited measure of disability in the narrower sense of this term, in that persons with disability can often be asymptomatic. It is best understood in this context as a measure of emotional distress (Ogundipe et al., 2014) or a proxy for quality of life (Mosaku et al., 2006), as it has been used in other African studies. Future research of this kind could employ the WHODAS 2.0 (World Health Organization, 2010), which focuses specifically on disability and has been used to generate utility scores for QALYs.

In addition, we did not collect information on the duration of illness or any other measure of the stage of illness, so it was not possible to study the differences in treatment response of those with first episode versus enduring conditions. Finally,

our study did not account for partial remission. This distorts outcomes in the first year, since most improvement took place above the remission threshold. Further research is needed to determine GHQ thresholds for partial remission in Africa. Despite these limitations, this exploratory study provides valuable economic analysis in a context and for a spectrum of illnesses that have not previously been subject to economic evaluation using patient-level data.

From the health system perspective, MHD appears to perform on par with HIV treatments. Highly active anti-retroviral therapy (HAART) combined with Directly Observed Treatment Short-Course (DOTS) for TB in Africa costs Int\$ 596 to Int\$ 1,977, versus Int\$ 727 to Int\$ 784 (best and worst scenarios) for MHD. Furthermore, our data suggest that MHD outperforms WHO CHOICE models for bipolar disorder (Int\$ 2,165 per DALY) (Chisholm et al., 2005), and schizophrenia (Int\$ 1,670 per DALY) (Chisholm et al., 2008).

Internationally, MHD sits within the context of several models addressing the needs of people with mental illness, in particular, Community Based Rehabilitation (CBR) (Helander, 1993), a flagship approach for managing disability. There is considerable overlap between the five branches of CBR (health, education, livelihood, social domain, and empowerment) and the MHD model, although they have different origins and objectives. What distinguishes the MHD model is the strong emphasis on economic empowerment and mobilising local communities to bring its participants into the mainstream, originating from the field of development rather than rehabilitation.

The signature elements of MHD are the centrality of user-led advocacy, the equal emphasis on non-medical aspects of recovery (especially livelihoods), and partnership with local governments. MHD offers specialised mental health and social care in a community setting, addressing a major gap in treatment for a vulnerable population. This study suggests that MHD is cost-effective and equitable. However, its affordability relies on external funding. Nationally, the model's sustainability requires multi-sectoral participation from government, civil society and local communities – taking the clinic into the community and the community into the clinic.

**Acknowledgments:** Special thanks to Erica Breuer for her contributions to cleaning the data and to Annette Bauer for her insights into interpretations of productivity.

#### **References**

- Alem, A., Kebede, D., Fekadu, A., Shibre, T., Fekadu, D., Beyero, T., Medhin, G., Negash, A. & Kullgren, G. 2009. Clinical course and outcome of schizophrenia in a predominantly treatment-naïve cohort in rural Ethiopia. *Schizophrenia Bulletin*, 35, 646-654.
- Bekele, Y. Y., Flisher, A., Alem, A. & Baheretebeb, Y. 2009. Pathways to psychiatric care in Ethiopia. *Psychological medicine*, 39, 475.
- Chandra, P. S., Kommu, J. V. S. & Rudhran, V. 2012. Schizophrenia in women and children: A selective review of literature from developing countries. *International Review of Psychiatry*, 24, 467-482.
- **Chipimo, P. J. & Fylkesnes, K.** 2010. Comparative validity of screening instruments for mental distress in zambia. *Clinical practice and epidemiology in mental health: CP & EMH*, 6, 4.
- **Chisholm, D.** 2005. Choosing cost-effective interventions in psychiatry: Results from the CHOICE programme of the World Health Organization. *World Psychiatry*, 4.
- Chisholm, D., Gureje, O., Saldivia, S., Villalón Calderón, M., Wickremasinghe, R., Mendis, N., Ayuso-Mateos, J. L. & Saxena, S. 2008. Schizophrenia treatment in the developing world: an interregional and multinational cost-effectiveness analysis. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization*, 86, 542-551.
- Chisholm, D., Van Ommeren, M., Ayuso-Mateos, J. L. & Saxena, S. 2005. Cost-effectiveness of clinical interventions for reducing the global burden of bipolar disorder. *The British Journal of Psychiatry*, 187, 559.
- **Goldberg, D., Oldehinkel, T. & Ormel, J.** 1998. Why GHQ threshold varies from one place to another. *Psychological medicine*, 28, 915-921.
- **Gureje, O. & Obikoya, B.** 1990. The GHQ-12 as a screening tool in a primary care setting. *Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology*, 25, 276-280.
- Haro, J. M., Novick, D., Bertsch, J., Karagianis, J., Dossenbach, M. & Jones, P. B. 2011. Cross-national clinical and functional remission rates: Worldwide Schizophrenia Outpatient Health Outcomes (W-SOHO) study. *The British Journal of Psychiatry*, 199, 194-201.
- **Helander, E.** 1993. *Prejudice and dignity: an introduction to community-based rehabilitation*, United Nations Development Programme.
- Institute of Health Metrics and Evaluation 2010. GBD 2010 Disability Weights
- Jääskeläinen, E., Juola, P., Hirvonen, N., Mcgrath, J. J., Saha, S., Isohanni, M., Veijola, J. & Miettunen, J. 2012. A systematic review and meta-analysis of recovery in schizophrenia. *Schizophrenia bulletin*, sbs130.
- Jenkins, R., Njenga, F., Okonji, M., Kigamwa, P., Baraza, M., Ayuyo, J., Singleton, N., Mcmanus, S. & Kiima, D. 2012. Psychotic Symptoms in Kenya–Prevalence, Risk Factors, and Relationship with Common Mental Disorders. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 9, 1748-1756.

- Jenkins, R., Othieno, C., Okeyo, S., Kaseje, D., Aruwa, J., Oyugi, H., Bassett, P. & Kauye, F. 2013. Short structured general mental health in service training programme in Kenya improves patient health and social outcomes but not detection of mental health problems-a pragmatic cluster randomised controlled trial. *International journal of mental health systems*, 7, 25.
- Kebede, D., Alem, A., Shibre, T., Negash, A., Deyassa, N., Beyero, T. & Medhin, G. 2005. Short-term symptomatic and functional outcomes of schizophrenia in Butajira, Ethiopia. *Schizophrenia Research*, 78, 171-185.
- **Kelly, M. J., Dunstan, F. D., Lloyd, K. & Fone, D. L.** 2008. Evaluating cutpoints for the MHI-5 and MCS using the GHQ-12: a comparison of five different methods. *BMC Psychiatry*, 8, 10.
- Kiima, D. & Jenkins, R. 2010. Mental health policy in Kenya-an integrated approach to scaling up equitable care for poor populations. *International Journal of Mental Health Systems*, 4, 1-8.
- Lora, A., Kohn, R., Levav, I., Mcbain, R., Morris, J. & Saxena, S. 2012. Service availability and utilization and treatment gap for schizophrenic disorders: a survey in 50 low-and middle-income countries. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization*, 90, 47-54B.
- Lund, C., Waruguru, M., Kingori, J., Kippen Wood, S., Breuer, E., Mannarath, S. & Raja, S. 2012. Outcomes of the mental health and development model in rural Kenya: a 2-year prospective cohort intervention study. *International Health*, 5, 43-50.
- Mccabe, C., Thomas, K., Brazier, J. & Coleman, P. 1996. Measuring the mental health status of a population: a comparison of the GHQ-12 and the SF-36 (MHI-5). *The British Journal of Psychiatry*, 169, 516-521.
- Mccrone, P., Knapp, M., Proudfoot, J., Cavanagh, K., Shapiro, D. A., Ilson, S., Gray, J. A., Goldberg, D., Mann, A. & Marks, I. 2004. Cost-effectiveness of computerised cognitive—behavioural therapy for anxiety and depression in primary care: randomised controlled trial. *The British Journal of Psychiatry*, 185, 55-62.
- Mosaku, K. S., Fatoye, F. O., Komolafe, M., Lawal, M. & Ola, B. A. 2006. Quality of life and associated factors among adults with epilepsy in Nigeria. *The International Journal of Psychiatry in Medicine*, 36, 469-481.
- Murthy, R., Kishore Kumar, K., Chisholm, D., Thomas, T., Sekar, K. & Chandrashekar, C. 2005. Community outreach for untreated schizophrenia in rural India: a follow-up study of symptoms, disability, family burden and costs. *Psychological medicine*, 35, 341-351.
- Njenga, F. 2000. Depression in Kenyan professional women. Int Clin Psychopharmacol, 15 Suppl 3, S35-6.
- **Ogundipe, O. A., Olagunju, A. T. & Adeyemi, J. D.** 2014. Suicidal Ideation Among Attendees of a West African HIV Clinic. *Archives of Suicide Research*.
- Raja, S., Underhill, C., Shrestha, P., Sunder, U., Mannarath, S., Wood, S. K. & Patel, V. 2012. Integrating Mental Health and Development: A Case Study of the BasicNeeds Model in Nepal. *PLoS Medicine*, 9, e1001261.
- Ran, M., Xiang, M., Huang, M. & Shan, Y. 2001. Natural course of schizophrenia: 2-year follow-up study in a rural Chinese community. *The British Journal of Psychiatry*, 178, 154.
- **Rushby, J. F. & Hanson, K.** 2001. Calculating and presenting disability adjusted life years (DALYs) in cost-effectiveness analysis. *Health Policy and Planning*, 16, 326-331.
- Salomon, J. A., Vos, T., Hogan, D. R., Gagnon, M., Naghavi, M., Mokdad, A., Begum, N., Shah, R., Karyana, M. & Kosen, S. 2013. Common values in assessing health outcomes from disease and injury: disability weights measurement study for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. *The Lancet*, 380, 2129-2143.
- Su, T. T., Sanon, M. & Flessa, S. 2007. Assessment of indirect cost-of-illness in a subsistence farming society by using different valuation methods. *Health Policy*, 83, 353-362.
- **Teferra, S., Shibre, T., Fekadu, A., Medhin, G., Wakwoya, A., Alem, A., Kullgren, G. & Jacobsson, L.** 2011. Five-year mortality in a cohort of people with schizophrenia in Ethiopia. *BMC Psychiatry*, 11, 165.
- Thirthalli, J., Venkatesh, B., Kishorekumar, K., Arunachala, U., Venkatasubramanian, G., Subbakrishna, D. & Gangadhar, B. 2009. Prospective comparison of course of disability in antipsychotic treated and untreated schizophrenia patients. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica*, 119, 209-217.
- Ware, J. E., Jr. & Sherbourne, C. D. 1992. The MOS 36-Item Short-Form Health Survey (SF-36): I. Conceptual Framework and Item Selection. *Medical Care*, 30, 473-483.
- **Whoqol Group** 1998. Development of the World Health Organization WHOQOL-BREF quality of life assessment. *Psychological medicine*, 28, 551-558.
- Williams, D., Herman, A., Stein, D., Heeringa, S., Jackson, P., Moomal, H. & Kessler, R. 2008. Twelve-month mental disorders in South Africa: prevalence, service use and demographic correlates in the population-based South African Stress and Health Study. *Psychological medicine*, 38, 211.
- **World Health Organization** (ed.) 2010. *Measuring HealthandDisability: Manual for WHO Disability Assessment Schedule WHODAS 2.0*, Geneva.