

2289

AND SCIENCE TO

ISSN: 0974- 6846

Role of public participation in sustainability of historical city: usage of TOPSIS method

Ali Assari^{1*,} T.M. Maheshand² and Erfan Assari¹

¹Department of Civil Engineering, Isfahan Sciences and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Isfahan, Iran ²Institute of Development Studies, University of Mysore, Manasagangotri, Mysore-570 006, Karnataka State, India

*ali_assari1358@yahoo.com

Abstract

It is clearly known that support and participation of public and social groups is essential to the achievement of sustainable development in historical city. In this case, public participation and heritage awareness facing the historical cities and analyzing them is of the first useful step. In this research article we try to find out the parameters that affect sustainable development in heritage area and relationship among them (in public point of view). We also ranked these parameters. TOPSIS was demonstrated as a model for selection and ranking of strategic plans in Balanced Scorecard and Goal Programming model. The results of this study revealed that the social side of sustainable development has more weightage compared to economic and environment aspect. Parking space and traffic, historical environment in new design, air and sound pollution are the three most important indicators.

Keywords: Sustainable development, public participation, TOPSIS, heritage awareness, PIS, NIS.

Introduction

During the recent decades, issues related to sustainability of historical city have tied to topics and theories in terms of participation of whole citizens in the city. It is widely accepted that sustainable development of cities greatly depends on the functions of public participation.

Sustainable development is development that meets the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs (World Commission on Environment & Development, 1987). Francois Jegou & Ezio Manzini (2004) states that, the first guideline for sustainable development in historical cities is use which already exists. And the conservation of built heritage is a priority in any sustainable development framework (Doratli, 2004).

Public participation is currently regarded as a key to sustainable conflict resolution and development (Sirisrisak, 2009). The rise of public participation in city development could be seen through written works since the 1940s by some notable contributors such as Kurt Lewin, Patrick Geddes, and Lewis Mumford (Fisher, 2001). It is widely accepted that sustainable development of cities depends greatly on the functions of public participation (Azman et al., 2010). The success of heritage conservation and development in historical city mainly depends on two set of factors: 1) Awareness, participation and appreciation towards heritage values and its economic potential; 2) Education programmes designed for each historical cities (Assari et al., 2011).

Charters and ethics for historical cities

The public participation concept was included in the Washington charter for the conservation of historic towns, adopted in 1987 by ICOMOS, which emphasized that "The participation and the involvement of the residents are essential for the success of the conservation program and should be encouraged. The conservation of historic towns and urban areas concerns their residents first of all". Brazilian Seminar about the Preservation and

Revitalization of Historic Centers defines: The preservation of urban historical sites demands the integrated action of federal, state and local entities, and also the participation of the community concerned with planning decisions as part of the full exercise of citizenship (ICOCOMOS, 1987).

Vol. 5 No. 3 (Mar 2012)

For public awareness in 12th General Assembly ICOMOS in Mexico 1999 emphasized that "Interpretation and presentation programmes should facilitate and encourage the high level of public awareness and support necessary for the long term survival of the natural and cultural heritage" (ICOMOS, 1999). The education and sensitization for conservation should begin in schools and continue in universities and beyond. These institutions have an important role in raising visual and cultural awareness - improving ability to read and understand the elements of our cultural heritage - and giving the cultural preparation needed by candidates for specialist education and training.

Practical hands-on training in craft work should be encouraged (ICOMOS,1993). European charter of the architectural heritage define: The public should be properly informed because citizens are entitled to participate in decisions affecting their environment. Each generation has only a life interest in this heritage and is responsible for passing it on to future generations (European Charter of the Architectural Heritage,1975) and totally integrated conservation cannot succeed without the cooperation of all (European Charter of the Architectural Heritage, 1975).

Definition of historical city in Iran

Each historic city in Iran should be an organic and alive system that its form and function have direct effect on human's attitude and behavior (Tavasoli, 2002). According to Abdi & Namin (2008) the following classification could be suggested for historical city in Iran: 1. Cities with single heritage or monument (containing the monument and building which has remained from the



Vol. 5 No. 3 (Mar 2012) ISSN: 0974- 6846

past) as: a) Heritage out of city boundary b) Heritage within the city boundary.

 Cities with historical areas (it should be mentioned that in many cases inappropriate physical-oriented policies have caused deterioration and obliteration in such areas).
 Cities with multi historical heritage sets (most of historical heritage sets in Iran are from a specific era but in some cases, expansions like Bazaar & religious centers were added to them later (Assari *et al.*, 2011).

Sustainability of historic city is an integral of economic, social, and environmental considerations. Sustainable urban heritage is a kind of changing in city structure that attempts to invent new solution which has balance with environment, social and economic purposes (Tavakoli, 2010).

For sustainable development in historical city all important and effective elements in fields of urban sustainability should be analyzed (Assari & Assari, 2012). For this reason the most principal indicators of sustainable city are classified in Fig. 1 that was prepared as a questionnaire. Thereafter, the main factors of sustainability were demonstrated in Iranian historical cities.



Methodology

The research methodology of this article was designed that is how to rank all the affected parameters on sustainability of historic city by help of technique for order preference by similarity to ideal solution (TOPSIS).

In this research, questionnaire method used for collecting primary data was prepared based on literature review and the problem faced by the historical city in Iran. It had five options (index) ranked 1-5 for the raised questions that could be found as follows: NI= not important LI=low important A= average I= important VI= very important.

The sample reviewed 70 cases of heritage society who are the resident in historical area of Isfahan; the reliability (alpha cronbach) is 0.817 in this questionnaire, and random selection and data was analyzed by TOPSIS method.

TOPSIS (Technique for Order-Preference by Similarity to Ideal Solution)

TOPSIS is one of the useful Multi Attribute Decision Making techniques, which is very simple and easy to implement, so that it is used when the user prefers a simpler weighting approach (Ball & Korukoğlu, 2009). It was firstly proposed by Hwang and Yoon (1981). According to this technique, the best alternative would be the one that is nearest to the positive ideal solution and farthest from the negative ideal solution (Asgharpour, 1999; Benitez *et al.*, 2007).

The positive ideal solution is a solution that maximizes the benefit criteria and minimizes the cost criteria, whereas the negative Ideal solution maximizes the cost criteria and minimizes the benefit criteria. TOPSIS method can be used with both normal numbers and fuzzy numbers. It is a method for ranking the parameters and in this article writers uses it to rank all the

> affective parameters on role of public participation on sustainable development which were about 18 parameters that collected from people who lives in heritage area in Isfahan city (Fig.2). The method is calculated as follows:

> Step 1.Decision matrix is normalized via Eq. (1):

$$nij = \frac{rij}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{j} (rij)^2}}$$
(1)

nij: stands for the score of each parameter which has been none scaled.

rij : is stands for utility of each parameter.

i=number of question (1 to 18)

j=rank of question (1 to 5)

Step 2.Weighted normalized decision matrix is formed:

$$V=N_{d}*W_{n,n}$$
(2)

V: stands for the none scaled weight matrix

Step 3. Positive ideal solution (PIS) and negative ideal solution (NIS) are determined:

 $\begin{array}{l} PIS = A+ = \{(maxV_{ij}), (max V_{ij}), _{i,j=1,2,..,m}\} = \{V_{1+}, V_{2+}, ..., V_{n+}\} (3) \\ NIS = A- = \{(minV_{ij}), (min V_{ij}), _{i=1,2,..,m}\} = \{V_{1-}, V_{2-}, ..., V_{n-}\} \\ \text{Step 4. The distance of each alternative from PIS and NIS are calculated:} \\ \end{array}$

$$D_{-} = \sqrt{\sum_{j=1} (vij - vj -)^{2}}$$
(5)
$$D_{+} = \sqrt{\sum_{j=1} (vij - vj +)^{2}}$$
(6)

Step 5. The closeness coefficient of each alternative is



calculated:

 $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{i}+} = \frac{\mathbf{D}\mathbf{i}_{-}}{(\mathbf{D}\mathbf{i}+)+(\mathbf{D}\mathbf{i}-)}$

Step 6. By comparing C_{li} values, the ranking of alternatives are determined.

Table 1 presents the result of questionnaire

$$nij = \frac{rij}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{rij}(rij)^2}}$$

Table 2 provides the first process on the result of questionnaire by multiply each cell by itself and second process each cell should divided to sqrt

$$n1,1 = \frac{9 \cdot 9}{\sqrt{228}} = 5.36,$$

$$n1,2 = \frac{11 \cdot 11}{\sqrt{583}} = 5.01, n1,3 = \frac{15 \cdot 15}{\sqrt{7264}} \approx 2.64, n1,4 = \frac{26 \cdot 26}{\sqrt{9415}} = 6.97, n1,2 = \frac{9 \cdot 9}{\sqrt{10877}} = 0.78$$

Matrix 3: the matrix of weight that were calculated SQRT/ SUM (SQRT)

V	$V_{n,n} = \frac{s}{SUM}$	(SQRT)	W _{1,1}	$=\frac{15.10}{325.8}=$	0.046	W _{2,2} =	24.15 325.8
0	.074	W _{3,3} =	$\frac{85.23}{325.8}$ =().262			
V	$V_{4,4} = \frac{97.0}{325}$	03 .8 =0.298	3 V	$N_{5,5} = \frac{10}{3}$	$\frac{04.29}{25.8}$ =0.3	320	
	0.046345	0	0	0	0		
	0	0.074112	0	0	0		
	0	0	0.2616	0	0		
	0	0	0	0.297823	0		
	0	0	0	0	0.320113		

Table 3 represents the matrix of multiply of tables 2 by matrix 3.

Table 4 presents the max and min of each column in Table 3 that highlighted with red and blue color.

=

Vol. 5 No. 3 (Mar 2012)

12) ISSN: 0974- 6846

Table 5 represents the forth step in TOPSIS method (it has five parts)-distance between max point and each point.

Table 6 represents the forth step in TOPSIS method (it has five parts)-distance between min point and each point.

Result and findings

Table 7 shows the last part of normal TOPSIS with about 18 affected parameters on sustainability of historical city (in public point of view) and also about 70 cases who live in Isfahan city (n=70). It is completely obvious that question number 18,14,17,5,2,16 are the six first important parameters on sustainability of historical city. First rank goes to parking and traffic problem with the weight of 0.323025; the second rank is Pay attention for historical environment in new designs. Air and sound pollution with .4003 ranked third. Table 8 provides six of the most important parameters that affect on sustainability of historical city by their weight **Conclusion**

The result showed that out of eighteen questions from sustainability of historic city, many people tend to emphasize the social side of sustainable development and environment part had less importance in public point of view. We suggested planners and politician should pay more attention to environment and economic aspects of sustainability in order to establish a balance between all three aspects of sustainable development and improve heritage awareness for now and next generation.

It seems that the main problem for historical cities in public point of view is traffic and parking space, and the problem coming through traffic congestion such as air and sound pollution. The second problem encounters a balance between new design and heritage area, developing the city infrastructure and economic profit for local residence. Regarding these problems the

Table1. The result of the questionnaire

			-		
No: of	NI (Not	LI (Not	A	l (Not	VI (very
question	important)	important)	(Average)	important)	important)
1	9	11	15	26	9
2	1	4	28	30	7
3	2	8	30	20	10
4	5	5	26	15	19
5	4	6	38	15	6
6	0	3	15	28	24
7	3	7	11	22	27
8	1	6	14	26	23
9	4	7	9	22	26
10	0	3	17	31	19
11	6	9	23	17	15
12	2	2	17	28	20
13	4	7	22	26	9
14	1	4	12	13	40
15	2	3	20	28	17
16	3	3	12	23	28
17	1	0	18	15	36
18	2	1	3	12	52





Vol. 5 No. 3 (Mar 2012)

) ISSN: 0974- 6846

Table 2. First process on the result of questionnaire by multiply each cell by itself and second process each cell should be divided to sqrt

No: of question	NI (Not important)	LI (Not important)	A (Average)	I (Not important)	VI (very important)
1	5.36	5.01	2.64	6.97	0.78
2	0.07	0.66	9.20	9.28	0.47
3	0.26	2.65	10.56	4.12	0.96
4	1.66	1.04	7.93	2.32	3.46
5	1.06	1.49	16.94	2.32	0.35
6	0.00	0.37	2.64	8.08	5.52
7	0.60	2.03	1.42	4.99	6.99
8	0.07	1.49	2.30	6.97	5.07
9	1.06	2.03	0.95	4.99	6.48
10	0.00	0.37	3.39	9.90	3.46
11	2.38	3.35	6.21	2.98	2.16
12	0.26	0.17	3.39	8.08	3.84
13	1.06	2.03	5.68	6.97	0.78
14	0.07	0.66	1.69	1.74	15.34
15	0.26	0.37	4.69	8.08	2.77
16	0.60	0.37	1.69	5.45	7.52
17	0.07	0	3.80	2.32	12.43
18	0.26	0.04141644	0.11	1.48	25.93
SQRT	15.10	24.15	85.23	97.03	104.29
SQRT/SUM(SQRT)	0.046	0.074	0.262	0.298	0.320

Table 3. The matrix of multiply of Table 2 by matrix 3

No: of question	NI (Not important)	LI (Not important)	A (Average)	I (Not important)	VI (very important)
1	0.248608	0.371406	0.690609	2.074889	0.248618
2	0.003069	0.049111	2.40639	2.762427	0.150399
3	0.012277	0.196446	2.762438	1.227745	0.306936
4	0.076731	0.076737	2.074898	0.690607	1.108039
5	0.049108	0.110501	4.432178	0.690607	0.110497
6	0	0.027625	0.690609	2.40638	1.767951
7	0.027623	0.150404	0.371394	1.485572	2.237563
8	0.003069	0.110501	0.601598	2.074889	1.623691
9	0.049108	0.150404	0.248619	1.485572	2.074887
10	0	0.027625	0.887049	2.949658	1.108039
11	0.110492	0.248627	1.623699	0.887046	0.690606
12	0.012277	0.012278	0.887049	2.40638	1.227744
13	0.049108	0.150404	1.485578	2.074889	0.248618
14	0.003069	0.049111	0.44199	0.518722	4.910975
15	0.012277	0.027625	1.22775	2.40638	0.887045
16	0.027623	0.027625	0.44199	1.623693	2.406378
17	0.003069	0	0.994478	0.690607	3.97789
18	0.012277	0.003069	0.027624	0.441988	8.299548

Following policies are recommended: a) Improvement in public transport system to reduce fuel consumption, traffic congestion and pollution. b) Improved transport system based on bio-energy and other eco-friendly energy. c) Improvement in traffic flow through proper maintenance of roads, updated traffic regulation and strict enforcement of prescribed standards d) Improvement of infra-structural facilities such as water supply, sewerage, solid waste disposal, energy recovery systems and transportation in an integrated manner e) Improving gardens, parks and open spaces in heritage area for public use and for promotion of environmental consciousness f) Promote adequate financial and legal support for the effective protection of cultural heritage.

Table 4. max and min of each column in Table 3 that highlighted with red and blue color

max A	0.248608	0.371406	4.432178	2.949658	8.299548				
min A	0	0	0.027624	0.441988	0.110497				

Table 5. The forth step in TOPSIS method (it has five parts)-distance



ISSN: 0974-6846

Table 6. The forth step in TOPSIS method (it has five parts)-distance between min point and each point

	be	, tw	een max po	int and eacl	h po	oint í Í			betw	een min po	oint and each po	oint. Ó	
(vij-vj+)	(vij-j+)^2		(vij-vj+)	(vij-vj+)^2		(vij-vj+)	(vij-vj+)^2	(vii-vi-)	(vii-vi-)^2	(vii-vi-)	(vii-vi-)^2	(vii-vi-)	(vii-vi-)^2
0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		-3.7416	13.9993	0.2486	0.0618	0.3714	0.1379	0.6630	0.4395
-0.2455	0.0603		-0.3223	0.1039		-2.0258	4.1038	0.0031	0.0000	0.0491	0.0024	2.3788	5.6585
-0.2363	0.0559		-0.1750	0.0306		-1.6697	2.7880	0.0123	0.0002	0.1964	0.0386	2.7348	7.4792
-0.1719	0.0295		-0.2947	0.0868		-2.3573	5.5568	0.0767	0.0059	0.0767	0.0059	2.0473	4,1913
-0.1995	0.0398		-0.2609	0.0681		0.0000	0.0000	0.0491	0.0024	0.1105	0.0122	4.4046	19,4001
-0.2486	0.0618		-0.3438	0.1182		-3.7416	13.9993	0.0000	0.0000	0.0276	0.0008	0.6630	0.4395
-0.2210	0.0488		-0.2210	0.0488		-4.0608	16.4900	0.0276	0.0008	0.1504	0.0226	0.3438	0.1182
-0.2455	0.0603		-0.2609	0.0681		-3.8306	14.6733	0.0031	0.0000	0.1105	0.0122	0.5740	0.3294
-0.1995	0.0398		-0.2210	0.0488		-4.1836	17.5022	0.0491	0.0024	0.1504	0.0226	0.2210	0.0488
-0.2486	0.0618		-0.3438	0.1182		-3.5451	12.5679	0.0000	0.0000	0.0276	0.0008	0.8594	0.7386
-0.1381	0.0191		-0.1228	0.0151		-2.8085	7.8876	0 1105	0.0122	0.2486	0.0618	1 5961	2 5475
-0.2363	0.0559		-0.3591	0.1290		-3.5451	12.5679	0.0123	0.0002	0.0123	0.0002	0.8594	0.7386
-0.1995	0.0398		-0.2210	0.0488		-2.9466	8.6825	0.0491	0.0024	0.1504	0.0226	1.4580	2.1256
-0.2455	0.0603		-0.3223	0.1039		-3.9902	15.9216	0.0031	0.0000	0.0491	0.0024	0.4144	0.1717
-0.2363	0.0559		-0.3438	0.1182		-3.2044	10.2684	0.0123	0.0002	0.0276	0.0008	1,2001	1.4403
-0.2210	0.0488		-0.3438	0.1182		-3.9902	15.9216	0.0276	0.0008	0.0276	0.0008	0.4144	0.1717
-0.2455	0.0603		-0.3714	0.1379		-3.4377	11.8178	0.0031	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.9669	0.9348
-0.2363	0.0559		-0.3683	0.1357		-4.4046	19.4001	0.0123	0.0002	0.0031	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
(vii-vi+)	(vii-vi+)^2		(vii-vi+)	(vii-vi+)^2		SUM	SQRT	(vii-vi-)	(vii-vi-)^2	(vii-vi-)	(vii-vi-)^2	SUM	SORT
-0.8748	0.7652		-8.0509	64.8175		79.5820	8.9209	1 6329	2 6664	0 1381	0.0191	3 3247	1 8234
-0.1872	0.0351		-8.1491	66.4086		70.7117	8.4090	2 3204	5 3844	0.1001	0.0016	11 0470	3 3237
-1.7219	2.9650		-7.9926	63.8818		69.7213	8.3499	0 7858	0.6174	0.0000	0.0386	8 1739	2 8590
-2.2591	5.1033		-7.1915	51.7178		62.4943	7.9053	0.2486	0.0618	0.9975	0.9951	5 2600	2 2935
-2.2591	5.1033		-8.1891	67.0606		72.2717	8.5013	0.2486	0.0618	0.0000	0,0000	19 4765	4 4132
-0.5433	0.2952		-6.5316	42.6618		57.1362	7.5589	1 9644	3 8588	1 6575	2 7472	7 0463	2 6545
-1.4641	2.1435		-6.0620	36.7477		55.4789	7.4484	1.0436	1 0891	2 1271	4 5244	5 7550	2 3990
-0.8748	0.7652		-6.6759	44.5671		60.1340	7.7546	1.6329	2.6664	1.5132	2.2898	5.2978	2.3017
-1.4641	2.1435		-6.2247	38.7464		58.4808	7.6473	1.0436	1.0891	1.9644	3.8588	5.0218	2.2409
0.0000	0.0000		-7.1915	51.7178		64.4657	8.0291	2.5077	6.2884	0.9975	0.9951	8.0229	2.8325
-2.0626	4.2544		-7.6089	57.8960		70.0721	8.3709	0.4451	0.1981	0.5801	0.3365	3,1561	1.7765
-0.5433	0.2952		-7.0718	50.0104		63.0583	7.9409	1.9644	3.8588	1,1172	1.2482	5.8460	2,4178
-0.8748	0.7652		-8.0509	64.8175		74.3538	8.6229	1.6329	2.6664	0.1381	0.0191	4.8361	2.1991
-2.4309	5.9094		-3.3886	11.4824		33.4776	5.7860	0.0767	0.0059	4.8005	23.0446	23.2246	4.8192
-0.5433	0.2952		-7.4125	54.9452		65.6827	8.1045	1.9644	3.8588	0.7765	0.6030	5.9031	2.4296
-1.3260	1.7582		-5.8932	34.7295		52.5763	7.2509	1.1817	1.3964	2.2959	5.2711	6.8407	2.6155
-2.2591	5.1033		-4.3217	18.6767		35.7961	5.9830	0.2486	0.0618	3.8674	14.9567	15.9534	3.9942
-2.5077	6.2884		0.0000	0.0000		25.8800	5.0872	0.0000	0.0000	8.1891	67.0605	67.0607	8.1891

Table 7. shows "cli+" that means distance between ai and ideal solution

No: of question	(di-+di+)	Cli	SORT Cli	Raked by weight	Number of question
1	10.7443	0.1697	0.1697	<u>1</u>	18
2	11.7327	0.2833	0.1751	<u>11</u>	17
3	11.2089	0.2551	0.2032	<u>13</u>	16
4	10.1988	0.2249	0.2249	4	15
5	12.9145	0.3417	0.2266	<u>9</u>	14
6	10.2133	0.2599	0.2289	8	13
7	9.8474	0.2436	0.2306	<u>15</u>	12
8	10.0563	0.2289	0.2334	<u>12</u>	11
9	9.8882	0.2266	0.2436	<u>7</u>	10
10	10.8615	0.2608	0.2551	3	9
11	10.1474	0.1751	0.2599	<u>6</u>	8
12	10.3588	0.2334	0.2608	<u>10</u>	7
13	10.8220	0.2032	0.2651	<u>16</u>	6
14	10.6052	0.4544	0.2833	<u>2</u>	5
15	10.5341	0.2306	0.3417	5	4
16	9.8664	0.2651	0.4003	<u>17</u>	3
17	9.9771	0.4003	0.4544	<u>14</u>	2
18	13.2763	0.6168	0.6168	<u>18</u>	1

"TOPSIS in heritage conservation in Iran" http://www.indjst.org



Vol. 5 No. 3 (Mar 2012)

ISSN: 0974- 6846

Table 8. Six of most important parameters that affect on sustainability of historical city by their weight

Parameters	Weight	Rank
parking and traffic	0.6168	1
historical environment in new design	0.4544	2
air and sound pollution	0.4003	3
developing in heritage area	0.3417	4
economic profit for local residence	0.2833	5
city infrastructure in heritage area	0.2651	6

References

- 1. Abdi MA and Namin SM (2008) Spatial planning as an approach to achieve sustainable development in historic cities. *World Acad.Sci. Engg. & Technol.* pp: 215-220.
- 2. Asgharpou MJ (1999) Multiple criteria decision making. Tehran Univ. Press, Tehran.
- Assari, A., & Assari, E. (2012). Urban spirit and heritage conservation problems: case study Isfahan city in Iran. Journal of American Science, 8 (1), 202-109.
- Assari, A., Mahesh, T.M, & Assari, E (2012). Conservation of historic urban core in traditional Islamic culture: case study of Isfahan city. Indian Journal of Science and Technology, 5 (1), 1969-1976.
- Assari, a., Mahesh, T. M., Emtehani, M. R., & Assari, E. (2011). comparative sustainability of bazaar in iranian traditional cities: case studies in isfahan and tabriz. International Journal on Technical and Physical Problems of Engineering (IJTPE), 3 (9), 18-24.
- Azman N, Abdul Halim S and Komoo I (2010) Public education in heritage conservation for geopark community: A case for Langkawi Global Geopark. Proc. Social & Behavioral Sci. Esevier . pp: 504-511.
- 7. Ball S and Korukoğlu S (2009) Operating system selection using fuzzy ahp and topsis methods. *Math.* & *Computational Appl*.14 (2), 119-130.
- Benitez J Martin J and Roman C (2007) Using fuzzy number for measuring quality of service in the hotel industry. *Tourism Manage*. 28 (2), 544-555.
- Doratli NH (2004) An analytical methodology for revitalization strategies in historic urban quarters: a case study of the Walled City of Nicosia, North Cyprus. *Cities*, 21 (4), 329-348.
- European Charter of the Architectural Heritage. (1975). available online: http://www.icomos.org/ docs/euroch_e.html. Amsterdam: Adopted by the Council of Europe.
- 11. Fisher F (2001) Building bridges between citizens and local governments through participatory planning and managing conflicts and differencesPart 1:Concept and strategies. Nairobi: UN-HABITAT.

- Hwang C and Yoon K (1981) Multiple attributes decision making methods and applications. Springel, Berlin.
- ICOCOMOS (1987) Preservation and revitalization of historic centers. ICOMOS. Itaipava: ICOMOS Brazilian Committee.
- 14. ICOMOS (1987) Charter for the conservation of historic towns and urban areas. Washington, Dc.
- ICOMOS (1993) Guidelines for education and training in the conservation of monuments, ensembles and sites. available online:http://www.icomos.org/ docs/guidelines_for_education.html. Colombo.
- ICOMOS (1999) International cultural tourism charter. available on line: http://www.international.icomos.org/ charters/tourism_e.htm. Mexico: ICOMOS at the 12th General Assembly.
- 17. Jegou F and Manzini E (2004) Making a habit of sustainability. Dwell.
- 18. Sirisrisak Tiamsoo (2009) Conservation of Bangkok old town. *Habitat International.* 33, 405-411.
- Tavakoli N (2010) Review the relevance between sustainable tourism and urban sustainability in order to present a dynamic pattern. International Conference on Sustainable Tourism Issues Debates and Challenges. *The Technical Educational Institute* of Crete. Greec.
- 20. Tavasoli M (2002) Principals and methods of housing environment design in Iran. Tehran: Iranian Architecture and urban development research center. pp: 28-29.
- 21. World Commission on Environment and Development (1987) Oxford University Press, Oxford.