Advances in Biological Research 2 (3-4): 44-48, 2008 ISSN 1992-0067 © IDOSI Publications, 2008

Evaluation of the Antibacterial Potential of Some Plants Against Human Pathogenic Bacteria

S. Satish, M.P. Raghavendra and K.A. Raveesha

Herbal Drug Technology Laboratory, Department of Studies in Microbiology, University of Mysore, Manasagangotri, Mysore-570 006, India

Abstract: Plants are rich source of antibacterial agents, which could be exploited in human disease management. Aqueous extracts of leaves of 46 plants selected based on an ethnobotanical survey from Mysore region Karnataka (India) were subjected to *in vitro* antibacterial activity assay against 14 important human pathogenic bacteria employing cup diffusion method. Antibacterial activity of the twelve plants aqueous extracts was compared with antibiotics. MIC was determined for aqueous extracts of the plants that recorded antibacterial activity. It is indicated that only twelve plants (26%) exhibited antibacterial activity against test pathogens and the spectrum of activity was varied among the pathogens. The inhibitory activity was highly significant in the aqueous extracts of *Acacia nilotica, Oxalis corniculata* and *Lawsonia inermis*. Most of the plant extracts showed significant antibacterial activity than bacitracin. MIC of aqueous extract of twelve plants varied between 4-50 µl. Results indicate the potential of these plants for further work on isolation and characterization of the active principle responsible for antibacterial activity and its exploitation as therapeutic agent.

Key words: Antibacterial activity · Acacia nilotica · Oxalis corniculata · Lawsonia inermis

INTRODUCTION

Many higher plants accumulate extractable organic substances in quantities sufficient to be economically useful as pharmaceuticals/antibiotics. Species of higher plants are much less surveyed for antibacterial activity [1]. Plants have been a rich source of medicines because they produce wide array of bioactive molecules, most of which probably evolved as chemical defense against predation or infection. It is estimated that only one percent of 2,65,000 flowering plants on earth have been studied exhaustively for their chemical composition and medicinal value [2].

In many developing countries traditional medicine is one of the primary health care systems [3, 4]. India is well known for Ayurveda, which is one of important traditional medicine practiced. Herbs are widely exploited in the traditional medicine and their curative potentials are well documented [5]. Plants grown in this region are not systematically tested for their biological activities in general and antimicrobial activity in particular.

Alternatives to available antibiotics for disease management are increasingly felt due to the increase in the resistance of bacterial isolates. This has necessitated the requirement of second and third line drug [6]. Antibacterial active principles isolated from higher plants is appears to be one of the important alternative approaches to contain antibiotic resistance and the management of disease. It is believed that plant based drugs cause less or no side effect when compared with synthetic antibiotics [7]. Large scale evaluation of the local flora exploited in traditional medicine for various biological activities is a necessary first step in the isolation and characterization of the active principle and further leading to drug development. In view of these forty-six plant species were screened for antibacterial potential against important fourteen human pathogenic bacteria was screened for antibacterial activity against fourteen important human pathogenic bacteria.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant Material: Fresh disease free leaves of forty-six plant species were collected from Mysore, Karnataka, India [Table - 1]. The leaves were washed thoroughly several times with running tap water and once with sterile distilled water. The leaf material was then air-dried on a sterile blotter under shade. A voucher specimen of all the plants has been deposited in the herbarium of Department of Studies in Botany, University of Mysore, Mysore.

Corresponding Author: Dr. S. Satish, Herbal Drug Technology Labora, Department of Studies in Microbiology, University of Mysore, Manasagangotri, Mysore-570 006, India

Sl.No.	Name of the Plant	Family
1	Acacia nilotica (L.) Del.	Mimosaceae
2	Achras zapota L.	Sapotaceae
3	Aegle marmelos Corr.	Rutaceae
4	Aloe vera Linn.	Liliaceae
5	Anacardium occidentale L.	Anacardiaceae
6	Artocarpus heterophyllus Lamb.	Moraceae
7	Azadirachta indica A. Juss.	Meliaceae
8	Boerhaavia rependa Willd.	Nyctanginacea
9	Calotropis gigantea R. Br.	Asclepidaceae
10	Catharanthus roseus (L.) G. Don.	Apocyanaceae
11	Clerodendron inerme Gaertn.	Verbenaceae
12	Coleus aromaticus Benth.	Lamiaceae
13	Cuscuta chinensis Lam.	Cuscutaceae
14	Datura stramonium L.	Solanaceae
15	Delonix regia Raf.	Caesalpinaceae
16	Derris indica (Lawk.) Bennet	Fabaceae
17	Dolichos lablab L.	Fabaceae
18	Emblica officinalis Gaertn.	Euphorbiaceae
19	Eucalyptus globulis Labill.	Myrtaceae
20	Euphorbia pulcherrima Willd.	Euphorbiaceae
21	Hibiscus vitifolius L.	Malvaceae
22	Jacaranda acutifolia Humb and Bonpl.	Bignoniaceae
23	Lantana camara L.	Verbenaceae
24	Lawsonia inermis L.	Lythraceae
25	Leucas aspera L.	Myrtaceae
26	Macroslen parasiticus (L.) Danser.	Loranthaceae
27	Mimosa pudica L.	Mimosaceae
28	Mimusops elengi L.	Rubiaceae
29	Morinda tinctoria Roxb.	Sapotaceae
30	Moringa oleifera Lam.	Moringaceae
31	Murraya koenigii (L.) Spreng.	Rutaceae
32	Oxalis corniculata L.	Oxalidaceae
33	Peltophorum pterocarpum(DC.) Baker ex Heyne.	Caesalpinaceae
34	Phyllanthus acidus Linn.	Euphorbiaceae
35	Plumbago zeylanica L.	Plumbaginacea
36	Polyanthia longifolia HK. F & T.	Annonaceae
37	Psidium guajuva L.	Myrtaceae
38	Punica granatum L.	Punicaceae
39	Salvia offinalis L.	Lamiaceae
40	Samanea saman Prain.	Mimosaceae
41	Sapindus laurifolius Vahl.	Sapindaceae
42	Spathodea campanulata Beaur.	Bignoniaceae
43	Syzygium cumini (L.) Skeels	Myrtaceae
44	Tabebuia argentia Britt.	Bignoniaceae
45	Tamarindus indica L.	Caesalpinaceae
46	Viscum orientale Willd.	Viscaceae

Extraction: Leaf samples (50g) of the plants were thoroughly washed, blot dried and macerated with 100ml sterile distilled water in a waring blender (Waring international, New Hartford, CT, USA) for 10 min. The macerate was first filtered through double layered muslin cloth and then centrifuged at 4000*g* for 30 min. The supernatant was filtered through Whatmann No. 1 filter paper and heat sterilised at 120°C for 30 min. This served as a mother extract. The extracts were preserved aseptically in sterile brown bottles at 5°C until further use.

Bacterial Cultures: Clinical isolates of *Citrobacter* sp., *Escherichia coli, Klebsiella* sp., *Proteus mirabilis, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Salmonella typhi, Salmonella typhimurium, Salmonella paratyphi* A, *Salmonella paratyphi* B, *Shigella boydii, Shigella flexneri, Shigella sonnei, Staphylococcus aureus* and *Streptococcus faecalis* were obtained from the Department of Microbiology, Government Medical College, Mysore, India. All the test strains were maintained on nutrient agar slopes (Hi-Media) and were subcultured once in every two-week. These bacteria served as test pathogens for antibacterial activity assay.

Antibacterial Activity Assay: Antibacterial activity of the aqueous extracts was determined by cup diffusion method on nutrient agar medium [8]. Cups are made in nutrient agar plate using cork borer (5 mm) and inoculum containing 10⁶ CFU/ml of bacteria were spread on the solid plates with a sterile swab moistened with the bacterial suspension. Then 50 μ l of the aqueous extract was placed in the cups made in inoculated plates, the treatments also included 50 μ l of sterilized distilled water, which served as control. All the plates were incubated for 24 h at 37°C and zone of inhibition if any around the wells was measured in mm (millimeter). For each treatment 12 replicates were maintained. Antibiotics (10mcg) Bacitracin and Ciprofloxacin were used as reference to determine the sensitivity of each bacterial species tested.

Determination of Minimal Inhibitory Concentration (**MIC**): MIC was determined by both agar and broth dilution methods. For broth dilution tests, 0.1ml of standardized suspension of bacteria (10^6 CFU/ml) was added to each tube containing different concentrations of the aqueous extracts ($02-50 \mu$ l/ml) and incubated for 24h. at 37° C. In agar plating method dilutions having $02-50 \mu$ l of aqueous extracts was placed in the cups on the inoculated plate and incubated as mentioned above. The lowest concentration of the tube or plate that did not show any visible growth by macroscopic evaluation was considered as the MIC.

The data was subjected to stastical analysis of. SPSS for windows.

RESULTS

Among the forty-six plant species tested only twelve species recorded different degrees of antibacterial activity as evidenced by the zone of inhibition [Table 2], whereas the other plant species did not show any inhibitory activity.

Advan. Biol. Res., 2 (3-4): 44-48, 2008

Table 2: Antibacterial activity measured as a zone of inhibition (mm) of aqueous extracts of twelve plant species on fourteen human pathogenic bacteria														
SI.														
No. Plants	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
1 Acacia nilotica	13.33±1.11	1 15.75±0.13	3 11.00±0.90) 15.92±1.84	4 09.17±0.17	7 11.42±0.4	7 11.92±0.47	7 12.42±0.78	08.83±0.11	15.00±0.6	0 14.33±1.11	1 13.25±0.2	8 38.50±3.6	1 12.83±0.43
2 Anacardium occidentale	e 00.00±0.00	09.17±0.11	l 08.92±0.02	2 09.92±0.15	5 09.17±0.11	12.08±0.1	5 10.17±0.17	7 09.00±0.00	08.33±0.14	07.00±0.0	0 10.08±0.23	3 00.00±0.0	0 09.83±0.1	1 00.00±0.00
3 Emblica officinalis	00.00±0.00	0 14.25±0.13	3 09.58±0.15	5 13.08±0.22	3 11.08±0.29	0 11.83±0.1	7 10.58±0.15	5 12.25±0.13	00.00±0.00	00.00±0.0	0 14.75±0.22	2 00.00±0.0	0 14.92±1.0	6 15.42±0.87
4 Lawsonia inermis	10.17±0.11	1 12.17±0.58	8 10.00±0.17	7 08.92±0.15	5 10.17±0.11	11.42±0.2	6 10.67±0.56	5 16.92±0.68	11.83±0.11	13.17±1.4	6 10.75±0.91	1 13.50±1.3	6 17.50±1.3′	7 16.83±0.92
5 Macroslen parasiticus	08.83±0.11	1 00.00±0.00	00.00±0.00	09.00±0.2	1 08.83±0.11	09.17±0.1	1 08.58±0.34	4 09.92±0.15	08.50±0.15	5 0.00±0.00	0.00 ± 0.00	0.00±0.00	09.42±0.13	5 00.00±0.00
6 Manilkara zapota	16.33±0.15	5 15.75±0.35	5 00.00±0.00	0 16.00±1.8	08.75±0.18	3 11.83±0.3	7 11.92±0.47	7 14.92±0.15	08.67±0.14	15.50±0.5	8 14.33±1.11	1 13.58±0.1	9 24.25±2.8	3 12.17±0.64
7 Oxalis corniculata	16.58±0.61	1 17.50±0.38	8 12.23±0.19	00.00±0.00	0 17.00±0.37	7 14.08±1.0	9 19.08±0.88	8 16.17±0.34	20.25±0.64	10.17±0.4	7 15.25±1.44	4 00.00±0.0	0 15.50±1.9	6 20.00±1.82
8 Punica granatum	09.83±0.11	1 12.33±0.14	4 09.08±0.19	0 12.17±0.2	1 10.67±0.14	10.58±0.1	9 08.00±0.00	0 10.42±0.15	09.92±0.19	08.00±0.3	0 14.25±0.28	8 0.00±0.00	15.08±1.40	0 13.50±0.19
9 Samanea saman	14.83±0.27	7 15.83±0.10	0 15.92±0.50	0.00±0.00	0.00 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00	12.83±0.21	0.00 ± 0.00	0.00±0.00	0.00 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00	21.08±1.2	8 0.00±0.00
10 Syzygium cumini	09.50±0.15	5 0.00±0.00	09.50±0.15	5 11.33±0.22	2 10.00±0.00	09.83±0.2	1 09.25±0.13	3 08.50±0.15	10.75±0.25	5 08.00±0.0	0 13.83±0.52	2 07.33±0.1	4 09.00±0.00	0 00.00±0.00
11 Tamarindus indica	00.00±0.00	00.00±0.00	0 10.00±0.25	5 10.50±0.00	5 13.17±0.21	09.58±1.1	6 09.33±0.56	5 00.00±0.00	10.33±0.14	00.00±0.0	0 09.83±0.17	7 0.00±0.00	10.63±0.8	1 22.00±0.00
12 Viscum orientale	09.42±0.23	3 0.00±0.00	09.67±0.14	10.50±1.00	5 00.00±0.00	0 12.75±0.2	5 12.17±0.68	8 11.08±0.15	12.00±0.00	0 12.17±0.7	8 13.00±0.90	0 11.67±0.5	0 11.42±0.69	9 00.00±0.00
13 Bacitracin	0.00 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00	$0.00{\pm}0.00$	0.00 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00	$0.00{\pm}0.00$	0.00 ± 0.00	0.00±0.00	0.00 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00	26.75±0.84	4 0.00±0.00
14 Ciprofloxacin	19.62±0.18	8 0.00±0.00	20.25±0.16	5 18.25±0.10	5 34.25±0.16	5 27.75±0.1	6 27.63±0.18	8 20.25±0.16	18.75±0.31	17.75±0.1	6 27.63±0.18	8 21.75±0.1	6 18.13±0.48	8 0.00±0.00
1. Citrobacter sp.	2. Escheric	chia coli	3. Klebsiel	<i>la</i> sp.	4. Proteus	mirabilis	5. Pseudon	nonas aerugi	nosa	6. Salmon	ella paratypi	hi A		
7. Salmonella paratyphi B	8. Salmone	ella typhi	9. Salmone	ella typhimus	rium	10. Shigel	la boydii	11. Shigella	ı flexneri	12. Shigei	<i>la sonnei</i> Va	lues are exp	ressed as me	ean ± S.D.
of 12 replicates	13. Staphy	lococcus au	reus	14. Strepto	ococcus faec	alis								

Table 3: MIC of aqueous extracts of twelve plant species on fourteen human pathogenic bacteria

1			1 1			1	0								
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
Acacia nilotica	10	10	50	08	40	10	10	10	40	10	10	10	04	10	
Anacardium occidentale	nd	50	40	30	40	10	30	50	50	50	30	nd	30	nd	
Emblica officinalis	nd	10	20	10	30	10	30	10	nd	nd	10	nd	06	08	
Lawsonia inermis	30	20	30	40	40	10	30	10	30	10	30	10	04	08	
Macroslen parasiticus	40	nd	nd	40	40	40	50	40	50	nd	nd	nd	20	nd	
Manilkara zapota	10	10	nd	10	40	10	20	10	40	10	10	10	06	10	
Oxalis corniculata	08	08	04	nd	10	08	06	06	04	10	08	nd	06	08	
Punica granatum	40	30	40	10	20	20	50	40	40	40	10	nd	10	06	
Samanea saman	10	20	10	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	04	nd	
Syzygium cumini	30	nd	40	10	30	20	40	20	30	40	10	50	50	nd	
Tamarindus indica	nd	nd	40	50	10	20	40	40	40	nd	40	nd	30	10	
Viscum orientale	30	nd	40	50	nd	30	10	20	10	40	10	20	10	nd	
1. Citrobacter sp.	Citrobacter sp. 2. Escherichia coli				3. Kle	3. Klebsiella sp.			4. Proteus mirabilis			5. Pseudomonas aeruginosa			
6. Samonella paratyphi A 7. Salmonella paratyphi B				8. Sali	8. Salmonella typhi			9. Salmonella typhimurium			10. Shigella boydii				

11. Shigella flexneri

12. Shigella sonnei

13. Staphylococcus aureus

10. Shigella boydii nd = not determined 14. Streptococcus faecalis

Values are expressed as mean \pm S.D. of 12 replicates

All the test bacteria were inhibited by Acacia nilotica and Lawsonia inermis demonstrating broad spectrum of activity. Manilkara zapota showed inhibitory activity against all bacteria except Klebsiella sp., where as Oxalis corniculata was effective against all the tested bacteria except Shigella sonnei and Proteus mirabilis. Viscum orientale was effective against all test bacteria except E. coli, Pseudomonas a eruginosa Proteus mirabilis and Streptococcus faecalis. Emblica officinalis was not effective against Citrobacter sp., Salmonella typhimurium, Shigella boydii and Shigella sonnei. The antibiotic bacitracin was not effective against any of the Gram-negative test bacteria where as the activity was observed only against Staphylococcus aureus which is Gram-positive. Ciprofloxacin recorded broad spectrum of activity and was not effective against E. coli and Streptococcus faecalis.

Highly significant degree of activity was observed against all the test bacteria in case of Acacia nilotica and Lawsonia inermis. The zone of inhibition in case of Acacia nilotica varied between 9mm to 35.5mm. Where as in case of Lawsonia inermis it varied between 9 to 17.5mm. Highest degree of zone of inhibition was observed against Staphylococcus aureus by both the plants. More than 20mm of zone of inhibition was recorded by Acacia nilotica, Lawsonia inermis, Manilkara zapota, Samanea saman and bacitracin against Staphylococcus aureus. The zone of inhibition in case of Oxalis corniculata was 19mm against Salm. paratyphi B and more than 20mm against Salm. typhimurium.

Minimal Inhibitory Concentration [MIC] of the twelve different plants extract varied against different test pathogens. Some plants extract did not show any activity even at 50 μ l concentration. The MIC of the plant extract required for the test pathogens is presented in Table 3. Lowest MIC of 4 μ l against *Staph. aureus* was observed in case of *Acacia nilotica*, *Emblica officinalis* and *Samanea saman*. Where as highest MIC of 50 μ l was needed to inhibit *Klebsiella* sp. by *Acacia nilotica*.

DISCUSSION

Ethnobotanical approach is one of the common methods that are employed in choosing the plants for pharmacological study [2]. India is one of the twelve mega biodiversity centers having more than 45,000 plant species. Its diversity is unmatched due to the presence of sixteen different agroclimatic zones, 10 vegetative zone and 15 biotic provinces [9]. Use of plants as a source of medicine has been inherited and is an important component of the health care system. Approximately 20% of the plants found in the world have been submitted to pharmacological or biological tests [10]. The systemic screening of plant extracts for antibacterial activity is a continuous effort to find new antibacterial compounds. Considering the rich diversity of plants in Karnataka, it is necessary to screen plants for their antibacterial activity.

A special feature of higher angiospermic plants is their capacity to produce a large number of organic chemicals of high structural diversity. Hence more than 46 plant species of the local flora have been screened for their antibacterial potential for the first time and among these 12 plant species have been identified d to posses antibacterial activity against human pathogenic bacteria. *Acacia nilotica, Oxalis corniculata* and *Lawsonia inermis* possess broad spectrum of activity and a high degree of activity against the test pathogens. The results of the present investigations suggest that these three plants are important candidate plants for further investigations on isolation and characterization of the bioactive principle responsible for antibacterial activity.

Plants are important source of potentially useful structures for the development of new chemotherapeutic agents. The first step towards this goal is the *in vitro* antibacterial activity assay [11] and in the recent years several reports available on the antibacterial activity of plant extracts on human pathogenic bacteria [12-18] Evaluation of parasitic angiosperm plant for antibacterial potential has not been attempted by any of the earlier workers. In the present investigation two angiosperm parasites *Macroslen parasiticus* and *Viscum orientale* were screened and it is interesting to note that *V. orientale* was active against eleven test bacteria and *Macroslen parasiticus* was active against eight test

bacteria. The degree of activity observed was less than 12mm in both the plants. The antibacterial potential of three plants has been demonstrated for the first time and further investigation is in progress to isolate and characterize the active principles.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are grateful to Department of Studies in Botany for providing facilities and the DST for financial assistance. The authors are also thankful to Dr. V. Rajagopal, Head, Department of Microbiology, Government Medical College for providing cultures, Prof. G.R. Shivamurthy, Taxonomist, D.O.S. in Botany, University of Mysore, Mysore for the identification of plant species.

REFERENCES

- Balandrin, M.F., J.A. Klocke, E.S. Wurtele and W.H. Bollinger, 1985. Natural plant chemicals: sources of industrial and medicinal materials. Science, 228: 1154-1160.
- Cox, P.A. and M.J. Balick, 1994. The ethnobotanical approach to drug discovery. Sci. Amer., 270: 60-65.
- Fransworth, N.R., 1993. Ethnopharmacology and future drug development: the North American experience. J. Ethnopharmacol., 38: 45-152.
- 4. Houghton, P.J., 1995. The role of plants in traditional medicine and current therapy. J. Altern and Complement Med., 1: 131-143.
- Dubey, N.K., R. Kumar and P. Tripathi, 2004. Global promotion of herbal medicines: India's opportunity. Curr. Sci., 86: 37-41.
- 6. Williams, R.J. and D.L. Heyamnn, 1998. Containment of antibiotic resistance. Science, 279: 1153-1154.
- Shariff, N., M.S. Sudarshana, S. Umesha and P. Hariprasad, 2006. Antimicrobial activity of *Rauvolfia tetraphylla* and *Physalis minima* leaf and callus extracts. Afr. J. Biotechnol., 5: 946-950.
- Anon. Pharmacopiea of India (The Indian Pharmacopiea), 3rd edition, Govt. of India, New Delhi, Ministry of Health and Family Welfare. 1996.
- 9. Anonymous, 1998. Drugs and Pharmaceuticals Industry Highlights Incorporating Patent Information. CDRI, Lucknow, India, 21: 33-34.
- Suffredini, J.B., H.S. Sader, A.G. Goncalves, A.O. Reis, A.C. Gales, A.D. Varella and R.N. Younes, 2004. Screening of antimicrobial extracts from plants native to the Brazilian Amazon rainforest and Atlantic forest. Braz. J. Med. Biol. Res., 37: 379-384.

- Tona, L., K. Kambu, N. Ngimbi, K. Cimanga and A.J. Vlietinck, 1998. Antiamoebic and phytochemical screening of some Congolese medicinal plants. J. Ethnopharmacol., 61: 57-65.
- Samy, R.P. and S. Ignacimuthu, 2000. Antibacterial activity of some folklore medicinal plants used by tribals in Western Ghats in India. J. Ethnopharmacol., 69: 63-71.
- Palombo, E.A. and S.J. Semple, 2001. Antibacterial activity of traditional medicinal plants. J. Ethnopharmacol., 77: 151-157.
- Kumaraswamy, Y., P.J. Cox, M. Jaspars, L. Nahar and S.D. Sarker, 2002. Screening seeds of Scottish plants for antibacterial activity. J. Ethnopharmacol., 83: 73-77.
- Stepanovic, S., N. Antic, I. Dakic and M. Svabicvlahovic, 2003. *In vitro* antimicrobial activity of propilis and antimicrobial drugs. Microbiol. Res., 158: 353-357.

- Bylka, W., M. Szaufer-Hajdrych, I. Matalawska and O. Goslinka, 2004. Antimicrobial activity of isocytisoside and extracts of *Aquilegia vulgaris* L. Lett Appl. Microbiol., 39: 93-97.
- 17. Behera, S.K. and M.K. Misra, 2005. Indigenous phytotherapy for genito-urinary diseases used by the Kandha tribe of Orissa, India. J. Ethnopharmacol., 102: 319-325.
- Govindarajan, R., M. Vijayakumar, M. Singh, Ch.V. Rao, A. Shirwaikar, A.K.S. Rawat and P. Pushpangadan, 2006. Antiulcer and antimicrobial activity of *Anogeissus latifolia*. J. Ethnopharmacol., 106: 57-61.