Outcomes following SARS-CoV-2 infection in patients with chronic liver disease: an international registry study.

Thomas Marjot, Andrew M. Moon, Jonathan A. Cook, Sherief Abd-Elsalam, Costica Aloman, Matthew J. Armstrong, Elisa Pose, Erica J. Brenner, Tamsin Cargill, Maria-Andreea Catana, Renumathy Dhanasekaran, Ahad Eshraghian, Ignacio García-Juárez, Upkar S. Gill, Patricia D. Jones, James Kennedy, Aileen Marshall, Charmaine Matthews, George Mells, Carolyn Mercer, Ponni V. Perumalswami, Emma Avitabile, Xialong Qi, Feng Su, Nneka N. Ufere, Yu Jun Wong, Ming-Hua Zheng, Eleanor Barnes, Alfred S. Barritt, IV, Gwilym J. Webb



PII: S0168-8278(20)33667-9

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhep.2020.09.024

Reference: JHEPAT 7956

To appear in: Journal of Hepatology

Received Date: 2 September 2020

Revised Date: 22 September 2020

Accepted Date: 25 September 2020

Please cite this article as: Marjot T, Moon AM, Cook JA, Abd-Elsalam S, Aloman C, Armstrong MJ, Pose E, Brenner EJ, Cargill T, Catana MA, Dhanasekaran R, Eshraghian A, García-Juárez I, Gill US, Jones PD, Kennedy J, Marshall A, Matthews C, Mells G, Mercer C, Perumalswami PV, Avitabile E, Qi X, Su F, Ufere NN, Wong YJ, Zheng MH, Barnes E, Barritt AS IV, Webb GJ, Outcomes following SARS-CoV-2 infection in patients with chronic liver disease: an international registry study., *Journal of Hepatology* (2020), doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhep.2020.09.024.

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2020 Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of European Association for the Study of the Liver.



Outcomes following SARS-CoV-2 infection in patients with chronic liver disease: an international registry study.

Thomas Marjot¹*⊠, Andrew M Moon²*, Jonathan A Cook³, Sherief Abd-Elsalam⁴, Costica Aloman ⁵, Matthew J Armstrong⁶, Elisa Pose^{7,8}, Erica J Brenner⁹, Tamsin Cargill¹, Maria-Andreea Catana¹⁰, Renumathy Dhanasekaran¹¹, Ahad Eshraghian¹², Ignacio García-Juárez¹³, Upkar S Gill¹⁴, Patricia D Jones¹⁵, James Kennedy¹, Aileen Marshall¹⁶, Charmaine Matthews¹⁷, George Mells¹⁸, Carolyn Mercer¹, Ponni V Perumalswami¹⁹, Emma Avitabile⁷, Xialong Qi²⁰, Feng Su²¹, Nneka N Ufere²², Yu Jun Wong²³, Ming-Hua Zheng^{24,25}, Eleanor Barnes^{1*}, Alfred S Barritt IV²*, Gwilym J Webb^{1,18}*

- Oxford Liver Unit, Translational Gastroenterology Unit, Oxford University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK
- Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, University of North Carolina, North Carolina, USA
- 3. Centre for Statistics in Medicine, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK
- 4. Tropical Medicine and Infectious diseases Department, Tanta University, Tanta, Egypt
- Department of Medicine, Section of Hepatology, Rush University Medical Center, Chicago, Illinois, USA
- 6. Liver Unit, Queen Elizabeth Hospital Birmingham, Birmingham, UK
- Liver Unit, Hospital Clínic, Barcelona, Spain; Institut d'Investigacions Biomèdiques, August Pi i Sunyer, Barcelona, Spain.
- Centro de Investigación Biomédica en Red de Enfermedades Hepáticas y Digestivas, Barcelona, Spain
- **9.** Division of Pediatric Gastroenterology and Hepatology, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, North Carolina, USA
- 10. Division of Gastroenterology/Hepatology, Department of Medicine, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

- Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Department of Medicine, Stanford University School of Medicine, Palo Alto, California, USA
- 12. Shiraz Transplant Center, Abu-Ali Sina Hospital, Shiraz, Iran
- Department of Gastroenterology, Instituto Nacional de Ciencias Médicas y Nutrición Salvador Zubirán, Mexico City, Mexico
- 14. Barts Liver Centre, Barts Health NHS Trust & Barts & The London School of Medicine & Dentistry, QMUL, London
- 15. Division of Digestive Health and Liver Diseases, Department of Medicine, University of Miami Miller School of Medicine, Miami, FL, USA
- 16. Sheila Sherlock Liver Unit, Royal Free Hospital, London, UK
- **17.** Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Royal Liverpool Hospital, Liverpool University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Liverpool, UK
- **18.** Cambridge Liver Unit, Addenbrooke's Hospital, Cambridge University Hospitals, Cambridge, UK
- **19.** Division of Liver Diseases, Department of Medicine, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, New York, USA
- **20.** CHESS Center, Institute of Portal Hypertension, The First Hospital of Lanzhou University, Lanzhou, China
- 21. Division of Gastroenterology, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA
- 22. Liver Center, Gastrointestinal Division, Massachusetts General Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA
- **23.** Department of Gastroenterology & Hepatology, Changi General Hospital; Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine, National University of Singapore
- 24. MAFLD Research Center, Department of Hepatology, The First Affiliated Hospital of Wenzhou Medical University, Wenzhou, Zhejiang, China
- **25.** Key Laboratory of Diagnosis and Treatment for The Development of Chronic Liver Disease, Zhejiang Province, Wenzhou, Zhejiang, China.

Author contributions

Concept and design: TM*, AMM*, GJW*, EBa*, ASB* Acquisition of data: all authors except JAC Statistical analysis: JAC, TM, AMM, GJW Interpretation of data: TM, AMM, GJW, EBa, ASB, JAC Drafting and critical revision of manuscript: TM, AMM, GJW, EBa, ASB * These authors contributed equally.

Corresponding author

Dr Thomas Marjot Oxford Liver Unit, Translational Gastroenterology Unit, Oxford University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK <u>Thomas.marjot@ndm.ox.ac.uk</u> Tel +44 (0)1865 281547

The corresponding author had full access to all the data in the study and had final responsibility for the decision to submit for publication.

Word count

8659 including figure/table legends, and references. Number of figures: 6 Number of tables: 2

Conflicts of interest:

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Financial support:

The COVID-Hep.net registry is supported by the European Association for the Study of the Liver (EASL) (2020RG03). This work was also supported by the National Institutes of Health grant T32 DK007634 (AMM and EJB), and North Carolina Translational and Clinical Sciences Institute (CTSA grant number UL1TR002489). We acknowledge the support of the National Institutes of Health, through Grant Award Number UL1TR002489. EB is supported by the

Oxford NIHR Biomedical Research Centre and is an NIHR Senior Investigator. The views expressed in this article are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR, or the Department of Health.

Data availability statement:

Data may be made available upon request to corresponding author.

sumation

Abstract

Background

Chronic liver disease (CLD) and cirrhosis are associated with immune dysregulation leading to concerns that these patients may be at risk of adverse outcomes following SARS-CoV-2 infection. However, the impact of COVID-19 among patients with pre-existing liver disease remains ill-defined.

Methods

Data for CLD patients with SARS-CoV-2 were collected by two international registries. Comparisons were made with non-CLD patients with SARS-CoV-2 from a UK hospital network.

Results

Between 25th March and 8th July 2020, 745 CLD patients were reported from 29 countries including 386 with cirrhosis and 359 without. Mortality was 32% in patients with cirrhosis compared with 8% in those without (p<0.001). Mortality in cirrhosis patients increased according to Child-Turcotte-Pugh class (CTP-A (19%), CTP-B (35%), CTP-C (51%)) and the main cause of death was respiratory failure (71%). After adjusting for baseline characteristics, factors associated with death in the total CLD cohort were age (OR 1.02; 1.01–1.04), CTP-A (OR 1.90; 1.03–3.52), CTP-B (OR 4.14; 2.4–7.65), CTP-C cirrhosis (OR 9.32; 4.80–18.08) and alcohol related liver disease (ALD) (OR 1.79; 1.03–3.13). When comparing CLD versus non-CLD (n=620) in propensity-score-matched analysis there were significant increases in mortality with CTP-B +20.0% (8.8%–31.3%) and CTP-C cirrhosis +38.1% (27.1%–49.2%). Acute hepatic decompensation occurred in 46% of patients with cirrhosis, of which 21% had no respiratory symptoms. 50% of those with hepatic decompensation had acute-on-chronic liver failure.

Conclusions

This is the largest reported cohort of CLD and cirrhosis patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection to date. We demonstrate that baseline liver disease stage and ALD are independent risk factor for death from COVID-19. These data have important implications for the risk stratification of patients with CLD across the globe during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Key words

SARS-CoV-2; COVID-19; chronic liver disease; cirrhosis; acute-on-chronic liver failure.

Lay Summary

This international registry study demonstrates that patients with cirrhosis are at increased risk of death from COVID-19. Mortality from COVID-19 was particularly high among patients with more advanced cirrhosis and those with alcohol-related liver disease.

Highlights

- Patients with cirrhosis have high rates of hepatic decompensation and death following SARS-CoV-2 infection.
- Mortality increased in step-wise fashion according to Child-Turcotte-Pugh class.
- Other risk factors for death included advancing age and alcohol-related liver disease.
- Patients with advanced cirrhosis had increased risk of mortality compared to propensityscore-matched patients without liver disease.
- The majority of deaths in cirrhosis patients were from COVID-19 lung disease.

Introduction

Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) infection has emerged as a major international public health crisis. Whilst medical comorbidities including hypertension, chronic lung disease and heart disease[1] have been implicated as risk factors for poor outcomes following SARS-CoV-2 infection, the impact of underlying chronic liver disease (CLD) remains incompletely defined. The global burden of CLD is vast, with cirrhosis now estimated to affect 112 million people worldwide resulting in 2 million deaths per year through hepatic decompensation and hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC)[2,3]. Cirrhosis is characterised by immune dysregulation leading to concerns that these patients may be at increased risk for complications following SARS-CoV-2 infection[4]. International guidelines have tended to advocate for enhanced physical distancing for patients with cirrhosis, however this must be balanced against the risks of delayed or altered standard of care for this vulnerable patient group[5]. A precise understanding of outcomes from COVID-19 in CLD patients across the entire spectrum of liver disease severity is therefore urgently required in order to allow accurate risk stratification.

High mortality rates from COVID-19 among patients with cirrhosis have been reported in several recently published series. However, these studies were limited to small cohorts of fewer than 50 cirrhosis patients, often lacked a comparison cohort without CLD, or used hospital coding data that is prone to misclassifying liver disease severity[6–11]. Furthermore, these studies were restricted to single geographical regions limiting generalizability particularly in light of the wide global variability in liver disease aetiology[12].

In the present work, we report on the largest cohort of patients with CLD and laboratory proven SARS-CoV-2 infection to date, collected through two collaborative, large-scale international reporting registries. We also offer comparisons with a contemporaneous cohort of patients without CLD testing positive for SARS-CoV-2 at a large hospital network in the United Kingdom (UK).

Methods

Setting and study design

We conducted a multinational cohort study using an open online reporting form for patients with laboratory-confirmed SARS-CoV-2 and CLD. Data were collected between 25th March 2020 and 8th July 2020 through two collaborating online registries (SECURE-cirrhosis co-ordinated by University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, USA and COVID-Hep.net co-ordinated by University of Oxford and supported by The European Association for the Study of the Liver). The registries were widely advertised through the communication channels of multiple endorsing gastroenterology and hepatology societies, direct emails to hepatology providers, and through social media. Submitting clinicians were asked to complete a case report form of clinical data at the end of their patient's disease course, defined as resolution of clinical signs of COVID-19, discharge from hospital, or death. A copy of the data collection tool is available as a **Supplementary appendix** and was identical for both registries.

To provide a comparison cohort of patients without CLD, data were extracted using an identical data collection tool from electronic patient records of consecutive patients testing positive for SARS-CoV-2 over the same time period at Oxford University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust (OUHFT), an organisation of four hospitals in and around Oxford in the UK. Positive cases from OUHFT were defined as detection of SARS-CoV-2 by reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) on nasopharyngeal swabs. Cases of SARS-CoV-2 infection with CLD who were identified in the non-CLD cohort were incorporated in the CLD cohort. To minimise potential reporting bias, data extraction for the non-CLD cohort was performed by investigators blinded to the clinical characteristics and outcomes reported in CLD patients. All data for both CLD and non-CLD cohorts were uploaded real-time to the same secure, online, data capture tool[13].

All submitted report forms for both CLD and non-CLD cohorts were manually reviewed to assess for data quality, completeness and inconsistencies and in some instances, submitting clinicians were contacted and asked to provide additional data where appropriate.

Ethical and regulatory approval

The data collected contained no personal health identifiers and both registries were deemed not to constitute human research by both the University of Oxford Clinical Trials and Research Governance (CTRG) and the University of North Carolina Office of Human Research Ethics; formal local audit approval was sought and received for data acquisition from OUHFT electronic health records (ref: OUH5595).

Participants

All cases of laboratory-confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection in patients with CLD aged >16yrs, from any location, and with any symptom profile or disease severity were included in the analysis. Cases were excluded if any of the following conditions were met: SARS-CoV-2 infection was not laboratory-confirmed, the submission was a duplicate, if hospitalisation status or mortality outcome was not known or not reported, or if the patient was not aged over 16 years at the time of diagnosis. The current study contains 152 cases included in a previously published preliminary analysis[14].

Variables and definitions

Liver disease stage was categorized by the reporting clinician as CLD with or without cirrhosis. Those with cirrhosis were then further sub-categorized by the reporting clinician according to Child-Turcotte-Pugh class (CTP-A, CTP-B, CTP-C). Throughout this paper the following terminology will be used to define the groups; CLD without cirrhosis, cirrhosis, total CLD cohort (CLD), and patients without liver disease (non-CLD).

Obesity was defined as a BMI of $>30 \text{ kg/m}^2$; where data on BMI was unavailable obesity was assumed to be absent. For analysis of ethnicity, only white ethnicity (as the majority classification) as compared to other ethnicities was considered in analysis. For the non-CLD cohort, where ethnicity was not recorded, white ethnicity was assumed.[15]

Acute hepatic decompensation was defined as one or more of new or worsening ascites, new or worsening hepatic encephalopathy, spontaneous bacterial peritonitis, and/or variceal haemorrhage. The EASL Chronic Liver Failure Consortium (CLIF-C) definitions were used to

determine the presence of ACLF in patients with acute hepatic decompensation, and to calculate the CLIF-C organ failure score[16,17]. Model for end stage liver disease (MELD) included serum sodium, creatinine, bilirubin and international normalised ratio (INR)[18].

Statistical methods

Patient factors and outcome are summarised for both cohorts by occurrence of mortality using standard summary statistic (number of events and percentage for binary and median and interquartile range for continuous measures). Univariable analysis of mortality by patient characteristics was performed using logistic regression. Multivariable comparisons of factors associated with death within cohorts were assessed using logistic regression. The Hosmer-Lemeshow goodness of fit test was calculated for the multivariable logistic regression. Only patients with data available for each reported data point (with the exceptions of values assumed with regard to obesity and ethnicity explained above) were used in multivariable analyses. For sensitivity analysis, models were repeated with either forwards or backwards stepwise selection as described. Fisher's exact test was used to compare proportions between two populations and the Chi squared test for trend was used to compare proportions between 3 or more groups. Exact (Clopper-Pearson) binomial confidence intervals were calculated when describing proportions. Nominal statistical significance at 2-sided 5% level was adopted for comparison of outcomes.

To compare the effect of the liver disease stage on risk of death, propensity score 1:1 matched samples (using nearest neighbour approach) were constructed with death as the outcome and liver disease status as the treatment variable for each of CLD without cirrhosis, CTP-A, CTP-B, and CTP-C. Covariables included in the propensity score model were selected as those thought to be independently associated with mortality for those with SARS-COV-2 but unlikely to be greatly otherwise associated with CLD whilst also aiming to provide matched variance ratios of between 0.5–2.0. Given that the non-CLD cohort was UK-derived, we also performed an identical propensity score matched analysis including only UK CLD cases as a sensitivity analysis. Propensity score matching was performed using the *teffects* function in Stata. The average treatment effect on the treated (ATET) was calculated with robust Abaide-Imbeds standard errors[19]. All statistical analyses were conducted using Stata v15.1 (College Station, TX).

Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves were calculated, and the area under the curve (AUC) was evaluated to determine the score accuracy (c-statistic) of baseline CTP score, MELD, and CLIF-C organ failure score as predictors of mortality following SARS-CoV-2 in patients with cirrhosis.

Role of the funding source

The study sponsors had no role in the study design; collection, analysis, and interpretation of data, the writing of the report; and in the decision to submit the paper for publication. The corresponding author had full access to all the data in the study and had final responsibility for the decision to submit for publication. ASB, EB, JAC, AMM, TM, and GJW had access to the dataset. AMM, TM, and GJW performed the analyses with support from JAC.

Results

Chronic liver disease cohort

Between 25th March and 8th July 2020, there were 1000 case submissions for CLD patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection entered on to the COVIDCirrhosis.org and the EASL supported COVID-Hep.net registries. After exclusions, primarily of patients who had undergone liver transplantation (n=162), 745 cases remained from 130 different institutions across 29 countries (**Fig. 1, supplementary figure 2, supplementary table 1**).

Major contributing countries included UK 184 (25%), United States of America (USA) 183 (25%), China 118 (16%), Spain 63 (8%), Singapore 30 (4%), Egypt 29 (4%), Mexico 27 (4%), and Iran 18 (2%). Major liver disease aetiologies included non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) 322 (43%), alcohol-related liver disease (ALD) 179 (24%), chronic hepatitis B virus infection (HBV) 92 (12%), and chronic hepatitis C virus infection (HCV) 96 (13%) (**Supplementary table 2**). The number of patients with a history of hepatocellular carcinoma was 48 (6%). Major comorbidities included hypertension 303 (41%), diabetes mellitus 274 (37%), obesity 207 (28%), heart disease 146 (20%), chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) 56 (8%), non-HCC malignancy 42 (6%) (**Table 1**). Within the 745 total cases, 359 (48%) had CLD without cirrhosis and 386 (52%) had cirrhosis.

In patients with cirrhosis, baseline Child-Turcotte-Pugh (CTP) class was CTP-A 171 (44%), CTP-B 124 (32%) and CTP-C 91 (24%) (**Table 1**). Baseline model for end stage liver disease (MELD) score was known in 331 (86%) of patients with cirrhosis with median score of 12 (IQR 8-19).

Non-CLD cohort

Within the same time period, data were collected using an identical case report form for 643 consecutive patients testing positive for SARS-CoV-2 at OUHFT. After excluding those with a previous liver transplant (n=1), CLD (n=8), those where hospitalisation or mortality status was unknown (n=6), and cases <16 years old (n=8), a total of 620 non-CLD cases remained (**Supplementary figure 1**). The non-CLD cohort differed significantly from the CLD cohort with regards to age, sex, ethnicity, smoking status, baseline serum creatinine, and rates of comorbidities including heart disease, diabetes mellitus, HCC and non-HCC malignancy (**Supplementary table 3**).

Presenting symptoms

Data on presenting symptoms were available in 729 (98%) of CLD patients. There were no differences between CLD patients with and without cirrhosis in the proportion presenting with respiratory symptoms (80% v. 80%; p=0.853), gastrointestinal symptoms (21% v. 21%; p=0.928) and those who were asymptomatic (13% v. 14%; p=0.832). Data on presenting symptoms were available in 522/620 (84%) of non-CLD patients. Compared to non-CLD patients, those with CLD had comparable rates of respiratory symptoms (81% vs. 80%; p=0.774) but higher rates of gastrointestinal symptoms (12% vs. 21%; p<0.001) at presentation.

Targeted antiviral therapy

Data on the use of targeted antiviral therapy was available for 735/745 (99%) of the CLD cohort. Targeted therapy was used in 315 (42%) of total CLD cases with the most frequently used agents being chloroquine/hydroxychloroquine 162 (22%), lopinovir/ritonavir 114 (15%), and interferonalpha 69 (9%) (**Supplementary table 4**). The proportion of patients receiving any targeted antiviral therapy was significantly lower in those with cirrhosis compared to those with CLD without cirrhosis (33% v. 52%; p = <0.001).

Outcomes

Hospitalisation and intensive care unit (ICU) admission

Within the total CLD cohort 668 (90%) were hospitalised, 235 (32%) were judged to require ICU care, 177 (24%) were ultimately admitted to ICU, 132 (18%) received invasive ventilation, 32 (4%) commenced renal replacement therapy, and 150 (20%) died. When comparing CLD without cirrhosis, CTP-A, CTP-B, and CTP-C cirrhosis, there was no difference in rates of hospitalisation (p=0.690). However, there were significantly higher rates in requirement for ICU (p<0.001), admission to ICU (p<0.001), renal replacement therapy (p=0.002), and death (p=<0.001) with increasing liver disease severity (**Fig. 2**). Fifty-eight patients in the total CLD cohort were declined ICU admission despite having severe enough disease and this was due to ICU being deemed inappropriate in 54 (93%) and lack of ICU availability in 4 (7%).

Mortality

Death occurred in 150/745 (20%) of the total CLD cohort, including 27/359 (8%) CLD patients without cirrhosis and 123/386 (32%) of patients with cirrhosis. Mortality rates in those with cirrhosis increased according to CTP class; CTP-A 33 (19%), CTP-B 44 (35%), and CTP-C 46 (51%) (Fig. 2; Supplementary table 5). Of the 123 patients with cirrhosis who died, cause of death was secondary to COVID-19 lung disease in 87 (71%), liver-related in 23 (19%), and cardiac-related in 6 (5%). The median age of death was 61 years (IQR 54-71) in those with cirrhosis and 68 years (IQR 53-80) in those without (Fig. 3). In the total CLD cohort there were stepwise increments in the rates of mortality following hospitalisation, admission to ICU, and invasive ventilation (Table 2). Case fatality rates after each of these stages in the COVID-19 disease course were also heavily influenced by baseline liver disease severity (Table 2). The clinical course and final outcomes of patients with and without cirrhosis are graphically represented in (Fig. 4).

Factors associated with mortality

Among CLD patients, factors significantly associated with death by univariable analysis were age (OR 1.03 per year; 95%CI 1.01–1.04; p<0.001), white ethnicity (OR 2.52; 95%CI 1.73–3.68; p<0.001), heart disease (OR 1.76; 95%CI 1.16–2.66; p=0.008), and baseline serum creatinine (OR 1.19 per mg/dL; 95%CI 1.04–1.38;p=0.014) (**Table 1**). The presence of cirrhosis versus CLD without cirrhosis was significant in univariable analysis (OR 1.98; 95%CI 1.52–2.59). CTP class was also associated with death compared to CLD without cirrhosis; CTP-A (OR 2.94; 95%CI 1.70–5.08; p<0.001), CTP-B (OR 6.76; 95%CI 3.95–11.58; p<0.001), and CTP-C (OR 12.57; 95%CI 7.12–22.18; p<0.001). Regarding aetiology of liver disease, negative associations for mortality were found for NAFLD (OR 0.55; 95% CI 0.38–0.81; p=0.002) and HBV (0.45 95%CI 0.23-0.88; p=0.02) whereas ALD showed a positive association with death (OR 3.11 95%CI 2.12–4.55; <0.001) (**Table 1**).

Multivariable analysis of factors associated with death among CLD patients demonstrated persisting positive associations between age (OR 1.02; 95%CI 1.01–1.04; p=0.011), the different stages of cirrhosis compared with CLD without cirrhosis; CTP-A (OR 1.90; 95%CI 1.03–3.52; p=0.040), CTP-B (OR 4.14; 95%CI 2.4–7.65; p<0.001), CTP-C (OR 9.32; 95%CI 4.80–18.08; p<0.001) and ALD (OR 1.79; 95%CI 1.03–3.13; p=0.040) (**Table 1**). Data was available for all patients in all categories except 34/745 patients (5%) lacking baseline serum creatinine which were excluded from multivariable analysis.

When either stepwise forwards or backwards selection of variables was used with a threshold of p<0.2, the same factors remained significantly associated with death: age (OR 1.03/year; 95%CI 1.01–1.04; p=0.002), CTP-A (OR 2.09; 95%CI 1.18–3.72; p=0.012), CTP-B (OR 4.38; 95%CI 2.41–7.95; p=<0.001), CTP-C (OR 9.42; 95%CI 4.96–17.90; p=<0.001) and ALD (OR 1.66; 95% CI 1.02–2.68; p=0.041). In a separate multivariable analysis in patients with cirrhosis the factors associated with death were CTP-B (OR 2.19; 95% CI 1.12–3.96; p=0.009) and CTP-C cirrhosis (OR 4.60; 95% CI 2.41–8.79; p<0.001) (as compared to CTP-A), and baseline MELD (OR 1.06; 95% CI 1.03–1.11; p<0.001) (**Supplementary table 6**).

To assess the ability of scoring systems to predict mortality in cirrhosis patients following SARS-CoV-2 infection an area-under the curve (AUC) analysis was performed. The AUC of

baseline CTP score, baseline MELD, and CLIF-C organ failure score were 0.65, 0.64, and 0.75 respectively (**Supplementary figure 3**).

To further assess for an association between liver disease and mortality, propensity score matched models were constructed for each stage of chronic liver disease (CLD without cirrhosis, CTP-A, CTP-B, and CTP-C) compared to the non-CLD comparison cohort using the following variables: age in years, interactions with age, sex, COPD, diabetes mellitus, and heart disease. Each stage of liver disease represented a binary treatment variable with death as the binary outcome variable. In the total CLD cohort model, mortality was not significantly different in CLD patients without cirrhosis as compared with non-CLD patients -3.4% (95%CI -7.2–0.31%; p=0.248). However, among those with cirrhosis there was an incremental increase in mortality with each CTP class compared with non-CLD: CTP-A +2.0% (95%CI -6.2%–10.2%; p=0.631), CTP-B +20.0% (95%CI 8.8%–31.3%; p<0.001), CTP-C +38.1% (95%CI 27.1%–49.2%; p<0.001) (**Fig 5A**).

Given that our comparison cohort was UK-derived, we also performed an identical propensity score matched analysis including only UK CLD cases. This demonstrated similar findings: CLD without cirrhosis +4.4% (95%CI -6.9%–15.8%; p=0.445), CTP-A +8.5% (95% CI -9.2–26.2; p=0.349), CTP-B +17.8% (95%CI 2.5–33.1%; p=0.023), and CTP-C +50.5% (95%CI 28.1%–72.8%; p=<0.001) (**Fig. 5B**).

Patient characteristics for each liver disease stage after propensity score matching of both the total and UK CLD cohorts are presented in **Supplementary table 7**.

Acute hepatic decompensation and acute-on-chronic liver failure

Within the 386 patients with cirrhosis, acute hepatic decompensation events following SARS-CoV-2 infection was reported to have occurred in 179 (46%). Rates of decompensation events differed significantly according to stage of liver disease with 51 (30%) CTP-A, 70 (56%) CTP-B, and 58 (64%) CTP-C cirrhosis (**Fig. 6A**). Acute hepatic decompensation was only reported in 3 (1%) of CLD patients without cirrhosis. Decompensation events in those with cirrhosis included new or worsening ascites 109 (28%), hepatic encephalopathy 104 (27%), spontaneous bacterial

peritonitis 13 (3%), and variceal haemorrhage 11 (3%). In patients with cirrhosis who had acute hepatic decompensation, 21% had no respiratory symptoms at presentation. Rates of mortality were increased in cirrhosis patients with acute hepatic decompensation compared to those without (44% v. 22%; p<0.001). Of those with cirrhosis and acute hepatic decompensation who died (n=78), cause of death was reported to be COVID-19 lung disease in 50 (64%), liver-related in 18 (23%), and cardiac related in 5 (6%).

Among the 179 patients with cirrhosis and acute hepatic decompensation, 89 (50%) met criteria for ACLF. Within the whole cirrhosis cohort, those with ACLF had a higher mortality than those without (65% vs. 22%; p<0.001). Case fatality rates strongly correlated with CLIF-C organ failure score; score 1 (33%), 2 (48%), 3 (71%), 4 (81%), 5 (100%) (**Fig 6B**).

Journal Prock

Discussion

In this large, multinational cohort of patients with CLD and laboratory confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection we show that baseline liver disease severity is a major determinant of outcome. As the severity of liver disease progresses from CLD without cirrhosis through to each Child-Turcotte-Pugh class of cirrhosis we observed a stepwise increased risk for all major adverse outcomes including ICU requirement and death.

Whilst patients with CLD without cirrhosis appear to have a similar risk of mortality following SARS-CoV-2 infection to patients without liver disease, patients with cirrhosis have an elevated risk (Fig. 5) with a mortality of 32% observed in the current study. Furthermore, in contrast to CLD patients without cirrhosis who display a striking age-related gradient for mortality with the highest risk of death in the 8th decade of life, mortality in patients with cirrhosis was more evenly distributed across age categories including a high mortality rate (31%) in those under 40 years (Fig. 3). Our observed mortality rate in cirrhosis is comparable to the rates reported in recently published smaller studies in Northern Italy[8] (34%, n=50) and North America[7] (30%, n=36). Despite modest sample sizes, the former study showed higher mortality in cirrhosis patients with SARS-CoV-2 compared with bacterial infection (34% v. 17%; p=0.03), and the latter study demonstrated a trend towards increased mortality in hospitalised cirrhosis patients with COVID-19 compared to those without (30% v. 20%; p=0.11). Our observed rates of mortality in cirrhosis patients with COVID-19 (32%) also far exceeded that previously reported in hospitalised patients with cirrhosis in the era preceding COVID-19 (5-8%)[20,21], and in patients with cirrhosis admitted with influenza (18%)[22]. Furthermore, using propensity score matched analysis we demonstrate an incremental rise in the risk of death with each liver disease stage compared to a contemporaneous UK cohort of patients without CLD testing positive for SARS-CoV-2. These trends remain after restricting the analysis to CLD patients from the UK, including a statistically significant increased risk of death with CTP-B and CTP-C cirrhosis. SARS-CoV-2 infection in patients with cirrhosis therefore appears to be a particularly lethal combination and represents the coming together of biological processes characterised by immune dysregulation in the context of viral infection and disordered coagulation.

In the current study, the predominant cause of death was COVID-19 lung injury, with only 19% of mortality in the patients with cirrhosis accounted for by liver-related complications. This implicates liver dysfunction as a potential driver of ongoing lung injury. Indeed, the significance of hepatic dysfunction in patients with bacterial chest sepsis is well recognized, with the presence of CLD already integrated into validated prognostic scoring systems for community acquired pneumonia[23,24]. The mechanisms for enhanced respiratory compromise in CLD patients with SARS-CoV-2 require further investigation but may include altered pulmonary dynamics through worsening ascites or encephalopathy, immune dysfunction in viral infection, increased burden of venous thromboembolic disease, and coexisting lung disease (e.g. hepatopulmonary syndrome, portopulmonary hypertension, or hepatic hydrothorax).

Although baseline CTP score, baseline MELD, and CLIF-C organ failure score were all significantly associated with mortality, their ability to effectively discriminate those who survived or died following SARS-CoV-2 infection was limited (AUROC of 0.65, 0.64, and 0.75 respectively) (Supplementary figure 3). However, Patients with advanced liver disease did have a particularly poor prognosis following SARS-CoV-2 infection with diminishing chances of recovery as they moved through the disease course. Only 46% of hospitalised patients with CTP-C cirrhosis survived, and this proportion dropped to 21% in those admitted to ICU and further still to 10% in those receiving invasive ventilation. These findings have important prognostic implications and highlight the need for careful monitoring of patients with cirrhosis throughout their hospital admission. Our data will also help inform clinical decisions regarding both the escalation of care to ICU and the use of COVID-19 palliative care guidelines in patients with advanced liver disease who undergo rapid inpatient clinical deterioration[25]. The high mortality in cirrhosis patients should also prompt consideration of novel targeted therapies such as dexamethasone with proven efficacy in hospitalized patients with COVID-19. However, our data show that cirrhosis patients are significantly less likely to received targeted antiviral therapy than CLD patients without cirrhosis which may reflect clinician concerns regarding the safety profile of various agents currently in development (Supplementary table 4). This highlights the importance of carefully evaluating drug hepatotoxicity during COVID-19 clinical trials to ensure that cirrhosis patients are not unnecessarily denied potentially disease modifying treatments[26].

For patients with cirrhosis, navigating through the COVID-19 pandemic is extremely challenging. In light of our data, which links liver disease severity with death following SARS-CoV-2 infection, a careful balance must be struck between protecting these patients from exposure to the virus whilst striving to deliver gold-standard treatment. The approach to each patient will be guided by individual risk, institutional resources and the local burden of COVID-19, however resumption of hepatology services wherever possible in order to prevent liver disease progression may ultimately represent the best strategy to protect patients from poor outcomes following future SARS-CoV-2 infection. The association between liver disease and adverse COVID-19 outcomes is also evidenced by recent findings from our registries which show that restoring hepatic function by liver transplantation in patients with decompensated cirrhosis returns the risk of mortality back to that of the general population[27].

SARS-CoV-2 infection in patients with cirrhosis also appears to precipitate marked deterioration in liver function with high rates of acute hepatic decompensation (46%) observed in our cohort. Importantly, 22% of those with acute hepatic decompensation did not have respiratory symptoms typical of COVID-19 at the time of diagnosis, thus highlighting the importance of maintaining a low threshold for SARS-CoV-2 testing in patients presenting with complications of cirrhosis. The mechanisms by which SARS-CoV-2 infection causes hepatic dysfunction requires further exploration. Direct infection of liver cell types including cholangiocytes[28,29] and hepatocytes[30] has been suggested, but the latter requires confirmatory testing since single cell RNA sequencing has shown relatively sparse hepatocyte expression of the receptors necessary for viral uptake[31]. However, given the profound multi-systemic involvement of COVID-19, particularly in the severe and critical forms of disease, liver injury is likely to be multifactorial with contributions from systemic inflammation, intrahepatic immune activation, microvascular thrombosis, perturbations of the gut-liver-axis, and drug toxicity[32–36]. In our cohort, patients who suffered acute hepatic decompensation had a 2-fold increased rate of mortality compared to those without and case fatality strongly correlated with degree of organ failure. However, even in patients with acute hepatic decompensation, lung disease remained the predominant cause of death, again suggesting that SARS-CoV-2 induced liver dysfunction may help propagate respiratory failure.

The large number of patients included in this study have allowed us to demonstrate for the first time that ALD is an independent risk factor for mortality following SARS-CoV-2 infection. The harmful use of alcohol is estimated to cause 3.3 million deaths every year, corresponding to nearly 6% of all deaths globally[37]. More than 200 life-limiting health conditions have been linked to alcohol consumption, with liver disease and cirrhosis having the highest alcoholattributable fraction[37]. Unfortunately, despite a concerted effort by the hepatology community to improve patient care and promote minimum unit pricing of alcohol[38], the burden of ALD continues to rise in many areas of the world[39-41]. Of particular concern is the reported rise in alcohol consumption during the COVID-19 pandemic in parallel with deteriorating parameters of mental health[42-44]. Our data shows that ALD is a significant predictor of COVID-19 mortality, increasing the risk of death 1.8-fold. However, the patients with ALD in the current study did tend to have more severe underlying liver disease, for example the proportion without cirrhosis was only 6% in ALD patients compared to 62% in those with NAFLD (Supplementary table 5). This is consistent with previous work showing that ALD often presents in the more advanced stages of disease[45]. Although liver disease stage was controlled for within multivariable analysis of the total CLD cohort, a separate analysis of risk factors for death restricted to patients with cirrhosis did not demonstrate any significant associations with liver disease aetiology (Supplementary table 6). Further work is therefore required to decipher the significance of a direct, independent role of alcohol and ALD on the COVID-19 disease course. The immunomodulating effects of excess alcohol are well established, predisposing to a range of viral and bacterial infections, [46-48] and to the development of acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) in critically ill patients with sepsis[49]. However, the mechanisms through which alcohol consumption and ALD may impact on the pathogenesis of COVID-19 are not explained by the current study and require further exploration.

The strengths of the current study include the international nature of case submissions which gives a truly global perspective on the impact of SARS-CoV-2 infection in patients with CLD. Clinician reporting also minimises the risk of misclassification of liver disease severity and outcomes although we accept that centralised definitions for cirrhosis and cause of death are lacking. In addition, comparing cases with a matched group of contemporaneous UK patients without CLD strengthens the associations between liver disease severity and mortality observed in the entire CLD cohort. However, our findings must be interpreted in the context of the study's

potential limitations. Firstly, our registry data is vulnerable to reporting bias, possibly leading to over-representation of patients with more advanced liver disease or more severe COVID-19. Notably, our registries contained predominantly hospitalised patients and therefore cannot comment in detail on the clinical course of COVID-19 in patients remaining in the community who either recovered or died without coming to the attention of secondary care. However, clinicians were encouraged to submit all consecutive cases from their centre and our reported rates of inpatient mortality in are comparable to those of previous studies [7,8]. It is also reassuring that the registry includes a large proportion of patients without cirrhosis and with nonsevere COVID-19. Our registry data also may not capture cases in areas with limited availability of SARS-CoV-2 testing. However, the inclusion of patients exclusively with a laboratory proven diagnosis of SARS-CoV-2 infection does ensure standardization and guarantees that like-for-like comparisons are made between patient groups. Lastly, although we attempted to collect data on major covariables, there remains a possibility of unmeasured confounding not captured by our report form which was designed to allow rapid data entry during the peak of the pandemic. This includes the absence of surrogate biomarkers of inflammation such as full blood count and Creactive protein.

In conclusion, this international study across 130 centres and 29 countries reports on the largest cohort of patients with CLD and laboratory confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection to date. We show for the first time that stage of liver disease is strongly associated with COVID-19 mortality and report high rates of hepatic decompensation and death in patients with cirrhosis. Furthermore, we show that alcohol aetiology of liver disease is an independent risk factor for death following SARS-CoV-2 infection even after controlling for liver disease severity. These findings have important implications for the risk stratification of patients with CLD across the globe during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to acknowledge all those who submitted cases to the registry (**Supplementary** table 8).

The COVID-Hep.net registry is supported by the European Association for the Study of the Liver (EASL) (2020RG03). This work was also supported by the National Institutes of Health grant T32 DK007634 (AMM and EJB), and North Carolina Translational and Clinical Sciences Institute (CTSA grant number UL1TR002489). We acknowledge the support of the National Institutes of Health, through Grant Award Number UL1TR002489. EB is supported by the Oxford NIHR Biomedical Research Centre and is an NIHR Senior Investigator. The views expressed in this article are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR, or the Department of Health.

We thank all the following endorsing societies: European Association for Study of the Liver, United European Gastroenterology, British Association for Study of the Liver, International Liver Cancer Association, British Society of Gastroenterology, Gastroenterological Society of Australia, British Liver Trust, European Liver Patients' Association, Hellenic Association of the Study of the Liver, Hepatology Society of the Philippines. Chinese Portal Hypertension Diagnosis and Monitoring Study Group, ERN RARE LIVER.

References

- [1] Yang J, Zheng Y, Gou X, Pu K, Chen Z, Guo Q, et al. Prevalence of comorbidities and its effects in patients infected with SARS-CoV-2: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Int J Infect Dis 2020;94:91–5. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijid.2020.03.017.
- [2] Asrani SK, Devarbhavi H, Eaton J, Kamath PS. Burden of liver diseases in the world. J Hepatol 2019;70:151–71. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhep.2018.09.014.
- [3] Pimpin L, Cortez-Pinto H, Negro F, Corbould E, Lazarus J V., Webber L, et al. Burden of liver disease in Europe: Epidemiology and analysis of risk factors to identify prevention policies. J Hepatol 2018;69:718–35. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhep.2018.05.011.
- [4] Albillos A, Lario M, Álvarez-Mon M. Cirrhosis-associated immune dysfunction: distinctive features and clinical relevance. J Hepatol 2014;61:1385–96.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhep.2014.08.010.
- [5] Boettler T, Newsome PN, Mondelli MU, Maticic M, Cordero E, Cornberg M, et al. Care of patients with liver disease during the COVID-19 pandemic: EASL-ESCMID position paper. JHEP Reports 2020;2:100113.

https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhepr.2020.100113.

- [6] Sarin SK, Choudhury A, Lau GK, Zheng M-H, Ji D, Abd-Elsalam S, et al. Pre-existing liver disease is associated with poor outcome in patients with SARS CoV2 infection; The APCOLIS Study (APASL COVID-19 Liver Injury Spectrum Study). Hepatol Int 2020. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12072-020-10072-8.
- [7] Bajaj JS, Garcia-Tsao G, Biggins S, Kamath PS, Wong F, McGeorge S, et al. Comparison of mortality risk in patients with cirrhosis and COVID-19 compared with patients with cirrhosis alone and COVID-19 alone: multicentre matched cohort. Gut 2020:gutjnl-2020-322118. https://doi.org/10.1136/gutjnl-2020-322118.
- [8] Iavarone M, D'Ambrosio R, Soria A, Triolo M, Pugliese N, Del Poggio P, et al. High rates of 30-day mortality in patients with cirrhosis and COVID-19. J Hepatol 2020. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhep.2020.06.001.
- [9] Hashemi N, Viveiros K, Redd WD, Zhou JC, McCarty TR, Bazarbashi AN, et al. Impact of chronic liver disease on outcomes of hospitalized patients with COVID 19: A multicentre United States experience. Liver Int 2020:liv.14583. https://doi.org/10.1111/liv.14583.
- [10] Singh S, Khan A. Clinical Characteristics and Outcomes of Coronavirus Disease 2019 Among Patients With Preexisting Liver Disease in the United States: A Multicenter Research Network Study. Gastroenterology 2020. https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2020.04.064.
- [11] Qi X, Liu Y, Wang J, Fallowfield J, Wang J, Li X, et al. Clinical course and risk factors for mortality of COVID-19 patients with pre-existing cirrhosis: a multicentre cohort study. Gut 2020. https://doi.org/10.1136/gutjnl-2020-321666.
- [12] Moon AM, Singal AG, Tapper EB. Contemporary Epidemiology of Chronic Liver Disease and Cirrhosis. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2019. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cgh.2019.07.060.
- [13] Harris PA, Taylor R, Minor BL, Elliott V, Fernandez M, O'Neal L, et al. The REDCap consortium: Building an international community of software platform partners. J Biomed Inform 2019;95:103208. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbi.2019.103208.
- [14] Moon AM, Webb GJ, Aloman C, Armstrong MJ, Cargill T, Dhanasekaran R, et al. High Mortality Rates for SARS-CoV-2 Infection in Patients with Pre-existing Chronic Liver Disease and Cirrhosis: Preliminary Results from an International Registry. J Hepatol 2020. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhep.2020.05.013.
- [15] Collins GS, Altman DG. An independent and external validation of QRISK2 cardiovascular disease risk score: a prospective open cohort study. BMJ 2010;340:c2442. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.c2442.

- [16] Moreau R, Jalan R, Gines P, Pavesi M, Angeli P, Cordoba J, et al. Acute-on-chronic liver failure is a distinct syndrome that develops in patients with acute decompensation of cirrhosis. Gastroenterology 2013;144:1426–37, 1437.e1-9. https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2013.02.042.
- [17] Jalan R, Saliba F, Pavesi M, Amoros A, Moreau R, Ginès P, et al. Development and validation of a prognostic score to predict mortality in patients with acute-on-chronic liver failure. J Hepatol 2014;61:1038–47. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhep.2014.06.012.
- [18] Clerical Changes for Implementation of Adding Serum Sodium to the MELD Score. Organ Procurement and Transplantation Network 2015.
- [19] Garrido MM, Kelley AS, Paris J, Roza K, Meier DE, Morrison RS, et al. Methods for constructing and assessing propensity scores. Health Serv Res 2014;49:1701–20. https://doi.org/10.1111/1475-6773.12182.
- [20] Schmidt ML, Barritt AS, Orman ES, Hayashi PH. Decreasing mortality among patients hospitalized with cirrhosis in the United States from 2002 through 2010. Gastroenterology 2015;148:967-977.e2. https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2015.01.032.
- [21] Kanwal F, Tansel A, Kramer JR, Feng H, Asch SM, El-Serag HB. Trends in 30-Day and 1-Year Mortality Among Patients Hospitalized With Cirrhosis From 2004 to 2013. Am J Gastroenterol 2017;112:1287–97. https://doi.org/10.1038/ajg.2017.175.
- [22] Schütte A, Ciesek S, Wedemeyer H, Lange CM. Influenza virus infection as precipitating event of acute-on-chronic liver failure. J Hepatol 2019;70:797–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhep.2018.11.015.
- [23] Fine MJ, Auble TE, Yealy DM, Hanusa BH, Weissfeld LA, Singer DE, et al. A prediction rule to identify low-risk patients with community-acquired pneumonia. N Engl J Med 1997;336:243–50. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJM199701233360402.
- [24] Shah BA, Ahmed W, Dhobi GN, Shah NN, Khursheed SQ, Haq I. Validity of pneumonia severity index and CURB-65 severity scoring systems in community acquired pneumonia in an Indian setting. Indian J Chest Dis Allied Sci n.d.;52:9–17.
- [25] Introduction to the ESMO COVID-19 Palliative Care Pathways n.d. https://www.esmo.org/covid-19-and-cancer/covid-19-full-coverage/covid-19-usefulresources/covid-19-palliative-care-pathways.
- [26] Boettler T, Marjot T, Newsome PN, Mondelli MU, Maticic M, Cordero E, et al. Impact of COVID-19 on the care of patients with liver disease: EASL-ESCMID position paper after 6 months of the pandemic. JHEP Reports Innov Hepatol 2020;2:100169. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhepr.2020.100169.
- [27] Webb GJ, Marjot T, Cook JA, Aloman C, Armstrong MJ, Brenner EJ, et al. Outcomes

following SARS-CoV-2 infection in liver transplant recipients: an international registry study. Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol 2020. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2468-1253(20)30271-5.

- [28] Chai X, Hu L, Zhang Y, Han Y, Lu Z, Ke A, Zhou J, Shi G, Fang N, Fan J, Cai J, Fan J LF. Specific ACE2 Expression in Cholangiocytes May Cause Liver Damage After 2019nCoV Infection. BioRxiv 2020:202020022003931766 n.d.
- [29] Zhao B, Ni C, Gao R, Wang Y, Yang L, Wei J, et al. Recapitulation of SARS-CoV-2 infection and cholangiocyte damage with human liver ductal organoids. Protein Cell 2020. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13238-020-00718-6.
- [30] Wang Y, Liu S, Liu H, Li W, Lin F, Jiang L, et al. SARS-CoV-2 infection of the liver directly contributes to hepatic impairment in patients with COVID-19. J Hepatol 2020. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhep.2020.05.002.
- [31] De Smet V, Verhulst S, van Grunsven LA. Single cell RNA sequencing analysis did not predict hepatocyte infection by SARS-CoV-2. J Hepatol 2020. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhep.2020.05.030.
- [32] Morgan K, Samuel K, Vandeputte M, Hayes PC, Plevris JN. SARS-CoV-2 Infection and the Liver. Pathog (Basel, Switzerland) 2020;9.
 https://doi.org/10.3390/pathogens9060430.
- [33] Assante G, Williams R, Youngson NA. Is the increased risk for MAFLD patients to develop severe COVID-19 linked to perturbation of the gut-liver axis? J Hepatol 2020. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhep.2020.05.051.
- [34] Fraga M, Moradpour D, Artru F, Romailler E, Tschopp J, Schneider A, et al. Hepatocellular type II fibrinogen inclusions in a patient with severe COVID-19 and hepatitis. J Hepatol 2020. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhep.2020.06.021.
- [35] Sonzogni A, Previtali G, Seghezzi M, Grazia Alessio M, Gianatti A, Licini L, et al. Liver histopathology in severe COVID 19 respiratory failure is suggestive of vascular alterations. Liver Int 2020:liv.14601. https://doi.org/10.1111/liv.14601.
- [36] Jothimani D, Venugopal R, Abedin MF, Kaliamoorthy I, Rela M. COVID-19 and Liver. J Hepatol 2020. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhep.2020.06.006.
- [37] WHO. GLOBAL STATUS REPORT on noncommunicable diseases 2014. n.d.
- [38] Williams R, Alexander G, Aspinall R, Batterham R, Bhala N, Bosanquet N, et al. Gathering momentum for the way ahead: fifth report of the Lancet Standing Commission on Liver Disease in the UK. Lancet 2018;392:2398–412. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(18)32561-3.
- [39] Hydes T, Gilmore W, Sheron N, Gilmore I. Treating alcohol-related liver disease from a

public health perspective. J Hepatol 2019;70:223–36. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhep.2018.10.036.

- [40] Moon AM, Yang JY, Barritt AS, Bataller R, Peery AF. Rising Mortality From Alcohol-Associated Liver Disease in the United States in the 21st Century. Am J Gastroenterol 2020;115:79–87. https://doi.org/10.14309/ajg.00000000000442.
- [41] Barritt AS, Jiang Y, Schmidt M, Hayashi PH, Bataller R. Charges for Alcoholic Cirrhosis Exceed All Other Etiologies of Cirrhosis Combined: A National and State Inpatient Survey Analysis. Dig Dis Sci 2019;64:1460–9. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10620-019-5471-7.
- [42] Kim JU, Majid A, Judge R, Crook P, Nathwani R, Selvapatt N, et al. Effect of COVID-19
 lockdown on alcohol consumption in patients with pre-existing alcohol use disorder.
 Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol 2020. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2468-1253(20)30251-X.
- [43] Ahmed MZ, Ahmed O, Aibao Z, Hanbin S, Siyu L, Ahmad A. Epidemic of COVID-19 in China and associated Psychological Problems. Asian J Psychiatr 2020;51:102092. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajp.2020.102092.
- [44] Clay JM, Parker MO. Alcohol use and misuse during the COVID-19 pandemic: a potential public health crisis? Lancet Public Heal 2020;5:e259. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2468-2667(20)30088-8.
- [45] Shah ND, Ventura-Cots M, Abraldes JG, Alboraie M, Alfadhli A, Argemi J, et al. Alcohol-Related Liver Disease Is Rarely Detected at Early Stages Compared With Liver Diseases of Other Etiologies Worldwide. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2019;17:2320-2329.e12. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cgh.2019.01.026.
- [46] Happel KI. Alcohol, Immunosuppression, and the Lung. Proc Am Thorac Soc 2005;2:428–32. https://doi.org/10.1513/pats.200507-065JS.
- [47] Szabo G, Saha B. Alcohol's Effect on Host Defense. Alcohol Res 2015;37:159–70.
- [48] Pasala S, Barr T, Messaoudi I. Impact of Alcohol Abuse on the Adaptive Immune System. Alcohol Res 2015;37:185–97.
- [49] Crews FT, Bechara R, Brown LA, Guidot DM, Mandrekar P, Oak S, et al. Cytokines and Alcohol. Alcohol Clin Exp Res 2006;30:720–30. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1530-0277.2006.00084.x.

Figure legends

Figure 1 - CLD cohort selection

Total combined submissions to the online reporting registries (https://COVID-Hep.net and http://COVIDCirrhosis.org) and number of CLD patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection included in final analysis after exclusions.

Figure 2 - Major outcomes according to liver disease stage

Rates of major outcomes following SARS-CoV-2 infection in patients with CLD separated by liver disease stage. Chi squared test for trend was used to compare outcome proportions between the stages of liver disease (CLD without cirrhosis, CTP-A, CTP-B, CTP-C) including hospitalisation (p=0.690), requirement for ICU (p<0.001), admission to ICU (p<0.001), renal replacement therapy (p<0.001), invasive ventilation (p=0.227), and death (p<0.001). Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals. The discrepancy between the rates of ICU requirement and ICU admission are accounted for by a proportion of severe cases being deemed inappropriate for ICU admission or due to lack of ICU availability. ICU = intensive care unit; RRT = new requirement for renal replacement therapy; CTP = Child-Turcotte-Pugh.

Figure 3 - Case fatality rates following SARS-CoV-2 infection per 10-year age group

Comparison of case fatality rates following SARS-CoV-2 infection per 10-year age group between CLD patients with and without cirrhosis. "n" denotes the number in each cohort and age bracket.

Figure 4 - Clinical course of SARS-CoV-2 infection in CLD patients with and without cirrhosis.

Sankey diagrams displaying the clinical course of patients with CLD and SARS-CoV-2 infection separated into those with and without cirrhosis. Bar widths are proportional to number/percentage of patients and the outcome of survived vs. died are displayed in green and red for each group respectively. ICU = intensive care unit

Figure 5 - Propensity score matched analysis of mortality from SARS-CoV-2 infection by stage of liver disease in comparison to non-CLD cohort.

Plots show propensity-score matched analyses for risk of death for each CLD stage compared to non-CLD patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection. Variables selected for propensity score matching were age in years, interactions with age, sex, COPD, diabetes mellitus, and heart disease. Error bars represent Clopper-Pearson binomial confidence intervals at 95%. Identical analyses were performed for the total CLD cohort (**Fig. 6A**) and then restricted to UK CLD cases (**Fig. 6B**). In the total CLD cohort, the risk of death for each disease stage was; CLD without cirrhosis -3.4% (95%CI -7.2–0.31%; p=0.248), CTP-A +2.0% (95%CI -6.2%–10.2%; p=0.631), CTP-B +20.0% (95%CI 8.8%–31.3%; p<0.001), CTP-C +38.1% (95%CI 27.1%–49.2%; p<0.001) (**Fig. 6A**). In the UK CLD cohort, the risk of death for each disease stage was; CLD without cirrhosis +4.4% (95%CI -6.9%–15.8%; p=0.445), CTP-A +8.5% (95% CI -9.2–26.2; p=0.349), CTP-B +17.8% (95%CI 2.5–33.1%; p=0.023), and CTP-C +50.5% (95%CI 28.1%–72.8%; p=<0.001) (**Fig. 6B**).

Figure 6 - Rates of acute hepatic decompensation and case fatality rates according to CLIF-C organ failure score.

(A) Rates of acute hepatic decompensation separated according to liver disease stage. Acute hepatic decompensation was defined as one or more of new or worsening ascites, new or worsening hepatic encephalopathy, spontaneous bacterial peritonitis, or variceal haemorrhage.

(**B**) Case fatality rates separated according to CLIF-C organ failure score (using European Association for the study of the Liver-Chronic Liver Failure Consortium organ failures definition)[17].

Table legends

Table 1 - CLD cohort characteristics and factors associated with death following SARS-CoV-2 infection

Patient characteristics of CLD patients with laboratory-confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection. Univariable associations with death and associated p values assessed by logistic regression. Multivariable analysis for association with death performed using logistic regression including all variables. Data available after assumptions detailed in methods for all patients in all categories except 34/745 (5%) patients lacking baseline serum creatinine; these patients were excluded from multivariable analysis. The absence or presence of NAFLD, ALD, HBV, or HCV was determined according to that reported by submitting clinician; a minority of patients had combinations of more than one liver disease aetiology. Patients who were reported by the submitting clinician to have a combination of liver disease aetiology, were classed as having more than one of NAFLD, ALD, HBV, or HCV in the analysis. The Hosmer-Lemeshow goodness of fit was 0.846. CI = confidence interval; IQR = interquartile range; CTP = Child-Turcotte-Pugh; NAFLD = non-alcoholic fatty liver disease; ALD = Alcohol related liver disease; HBV = chronic hepatitis B virus infection; HCV = chronic hepatitis C virus infection; COPD = chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; HCC = hepatocellular carcinoma.

Table 2 - Case fatality rates from different points in the disease course following SARS-CoV-2 infection according to stage of liver disease

Rates of mortality in patients with CLD and SARS-CoV-2 infection following hospitalisation, admission to intensive care unit, and invasive ventilation separated by liver disease stage. ICU = intensive care unit; CTP = Child-Turcotte-Pugh.

Figures

Figure 1 – CLD cohort selection



Figure 2 – Major outcomes according to liver disease stage



Figure 3 – Case fatality rates following SARS-CoV-2 infection per 10-year age group



Case fatality rate (%)

Figure 4 – Clinical course of SARS-CoV-2 infection in CLD patients with and without cirrhosis.



Figure 5 – Propensity score matched analysis of mortality from SARS-CoV-2 infection by stage of liver disease in comparison to non-CLD cohort



Figure 6 – Rates of acute hepatic decompensation and case fatality rates according to CLIF-C organ failure score



Tables

Table 1 – CLD cohort characteristics and factors associated with death following SARS-CoV-2 infection

	Cohort (745)	Survived (595)	Died (150)	Univariable analysis		Multivariable analysis	
	Mean or n	Mean or n	Mean or n				
	(IQR/%)	(IQR/%)	(IQR/%)	Odds Ratio (95%CI)	p value	Odds Ratio (95%CI)	p value
Demographics				C			
Age (years)	59 (47–68)	58 (46–67)	62 (54–72)	1.03 (1.01–1.04)	<0.001	1.02 (1.01–1.04)	0.011
Sex (male)	465 (62.4%)	373 (62.7%)	92 (61.3%)	0.94 (0.65–1.36)	0.759	0.72 (0.47–1.13)	0.154
Ethnicity (white)	363 (48.7%)	263 (44.2%)	100 (66.7%)	2.52 (1.73–3.68)	<0.001	1.40 (0.90–2.18)	0.135
Liver disease severity				X			
CLD without cirrhosis	359 (48.2%)	332 (55.8%)	27 (18.0%)	1.00 (REF)	-	1.00 (REF)	-
CTP-A	171 (23.0%)	138 (23.2%)	33 (22.0%)	2.94 (1.70–5.08)	<0.001	1.90 (1.03–3.52)	0.040
СТР-В	124 (16.6%)	80 (13.4%)	44 (29.3%)	6.76 (3.95–11.58)	<0.001	4.14 (2.24–7.65)	<0.001
CTP-C	91 (12.2%)	45 (7.6%)	46 (30.7%)	12.57 (7.12–22.18)	<0.001	9.32 (4.80–18.08)	<0.001
Aetiology							
NAFLD	322 (43.2%)	274 (46.1%)	48 (32.0%)	0.55 (0.38–0.81)	0.002	1.01 (0.57–1.79)	0.965
ALD	179 (24.0%)	115 (19.3%)	64 (42.7%)	3.11 (2.12–4.55)	<0.001	1.79 (1.03–3.13)	0.040
HBV	96 (12.9%)	73 (12.3%)	23 (15.3%)	0.45 (0.23–0.88)	0.021	0.96 (0.41–2.23)	0.926
HCV	92 (12.3%)	82 (13.8%)	10 (6.7%)	1.30 (0.78–2.15)	0.318	1.09 (0.58–2.06)	0.785
Co-factors							
Smoker	51 (6.8%)	42 (7.1%)	9 (6.0%)	0.84 (0.40–1.77)	0.647	0.49 (0.21–1.19)	0.116
Obesity	207 (27.8%)	161 (27.1%)	46 (30.7%)	1.19 (0.81–1.76)	0.378	1.27 (0.79–2.02)	0.319
Heart disease	146 (19.6%)	105 (17.6%)	41 (27.3%)	1.76 (1.16–2.66)	0.008	1.14 (0.68–1.90)	0.627
Diabetes mellitus	274 (36.8%)	211 (35.5%)	63 (42.0%)	1.32 (0.91–1.90)	0.138	1.19 (0.75–1.90)	0.459
Hypertension	303 (40.7%)	235 (39.5%)	68 (45.3%)	1.27 (0.89–1.82)	0.194	0.98 (0.62–1.53)	0.914
COPD	56 (7.5%)	42 (7.1%)	14 (9.3%)	1.36 (0.72–2.55)	0.347	0.86 (0.40–1.85)	0.707
HCC	48 (6.4%)	34 (5.7%)	14 (9.3%)	1.70 (0.89–3.25)	0.110	1.46 (0.67–3.18)	0.346
Non-HCC cancer	42 (5.6%)	30 (5.0%)	12 (8.0%)	1.64 (0.82–3.28)	0.164	1.28 (0.60–2.72)	0.525
Creatinine (mg/dL)	0.9 (0.7–1.0)	0.8 (0.7–1.0)	0.9 (0.7–1.2)	1.19 (1.04–1.38)	0.014	1.11 (0.94–1.32)	0.208

Table 2 – Case fatality rates from different points in the disease course following SARS-CoV-2 infection according to stage of liver disease

	Case fatality rate				
	Once hospitalised	Once admitted to ICU	Once receiving Invasive ventilation		
CLD without	8%	20%	21%		
cirrhosis	(25/323)	(14/69)	(13/61)		
CTP-A	22%	40%	52%		
	(33/150)	(16/40)	(14/27)		
СТР-В	39%	62%	74%		
	(43/111)	(21/34)	(17/23)		
CTP-C	54%	79%	90%		
	(45/84)	(27/34)	(19/21)		

Highlights

- Patients with cirrhosis have high rates of hepatic decompensation and death following SARS-CoV-2 infection.
- Mortality increased in step-wise fashion according to Child-Turcotte-Pugh class.
- Other risk factors for death included advancing age and alcohol-related liver disease.
- Patients with advanced cirrhosis had increased risk of mortality compared to propensityscore-matched patients without liver disease.
- The majority of deaths in cirrhosis patients were from COVID-19 lung disease.

Journal Prese