The Impact of Microfinance on Women Empowerment: A Case Study of the Family Bank, Gezira State, Sudan (2016-2017)

Rajaa H. Mustafa¹, Fatima O. Mohamed² and Mohamed B. Elgali³

Abstract

The impact of microfinance on women's empowerment remains debatable. While some studies suggest that microfinance helps women increasing their income earning abilities, leading to greater power within the household, others think that it may also lead to a more vulnerable position for woman. This study aims to evaluate empirically the impact of microfinance on women's empowerment in Gezira State taking the Family Bank, Wad Medani Branch as a case study. A sample of 117 women who are clients of the bank were randomly selected for data collection. Descriptive statistics were used to analyze the main features of women under study. Then, the impact of microfinance on women's empowerment is examined and analyzed through a cross sectional impact methodology, referred to as the control group method. Microfinance borrowers are compared to (soon -to-be microfinance borrowers) and the difference between these two groups is attributed to the acquisition of microfinance. An econometric analysis is then employed on the data gathered via the questionnaire. The results confirm that microfinance has a significant positive impact on women's empowerment. Furthermore, the variables of women social status, education level and number of children in the household have a positive significant effect on the level of women empowerment in the study area. The, results emphasized that there is a room for empowerment through the microfinance programs, therefore, this study recommends for more improvements in various aspects related to the arrangements and provision of the microfinance from the financial institutions side.

Keywords: Women, Empowerment, Microfinance,

^{1.} Rajaa H. Mustafa, Associate Professor in Agricultural Economics , University of Gezira, Sudan

^{2.} Fatima O. Mohamed, University of Gezira, Sudan

^{3.} Mohamed B. Elgali, Associate Professor in Agricultural Economics, University of Gezira, Sudan

أثر التمويل الأصغر على تمكين المرأة: دراسة حالة بنك الأسرة، ولاية الجزيرة، السودان (2016-2017م) رجاء حسن مصطفى¹ فاطمة عثمان محمد² محمد بابكر الجعلي³ المستخلص

لا يـزال تـأثير التمويل الاصـغر على تمكـين المرأة موضع جـدال. بينما تشـير بعيض الدراسيات إلى أن التموسل الاصغر يساعد النسياء على زيادة قدراتهن على كسب الدخل، مما يكسبهن مزسداً من القوة داخل الأسرة، يعتقد آخرون أنه قد يــؤدى أيضـا إلـى وضـع أكثـر ضـعفا بالنسـبة للمــرأة. تهــدف هــذه الدراســة إلـى إجــراء تقييم تطبيقي لتأثير التموسل الاصغر على تمكين المرأة في ولاية الجزسرة مع أخذ بنك الأسرة فرع ودمدني كحالية دراسية ميدانيه . ته جمع البيانيات عن طريق الاستيان باختيار عينية عشوائية من 117 امرأة من عميلات البنيك لجمع البيانيات. وتم استخدام الإحصاء الوصفى لتحليل السمات الرئيسية للنساء قيد الدراسة. كما تم فحص تأثير التموسل الاصغر على تمكين المرأة وتحليله من خلال منهجية تافير المقطع العرضي، والتي يشار إلها باسم طريقة المجموعة الضابطة. حيث تستم مقارنة المقترضات بالآتي: سيحصلن على القرض قربباً وبعزى الفرق بين هاتين المجموعتين إلى التموسل الاصغر. تهم تطبيق تحليه الانحدار الخطي المتعدد على البيانات التي تهم جمعها عن طريق الاستنيان. أظهرت النتائج أن التموسل الأصغر لــه تــأثير معنـوى إيجـابي علـى تمكـين المـرأة. عــلاوة على ذلـك، فـإن لمتغيرات الحالــة الاجتماعية ومستوى التعليم للمرأة وعدد الأطفال في الأسرة تأثير معنوى ايجابي علـى مســتوى تمكــين المــرأة فـى منطقــة الدراســة. وأكــدت النتــائج أن هنــاك مجــالاً للتمكين من خللال برامج التموسل الأصغر، للذلك توصى هذه الدراسة بمزسد من التحسينات في مختلف الجوانب المتعلقة بتنظيم وتقديم مثل هذه القروض من جانب المؤسسات التمويلية.

- رجاء حسن مصطفي، استاذ الاقتصاد الزراعي المشارك، جامعة الجزيرة ، السودان2
 - 2. فاطمة عثمان محمد، جامعة الجزيرة ، الاقتصاد الزراعي
 - محمد بابكر الجعلى، استاذ الاقتصاد الزراعي المشارك، جامعة الجزيرة ، السودان

1. INTRODUCTION

About 70% of the women in the world living under poverty line, so they need to rise up their living standards and create a better life for their families. The idea of microfinance programs is therefore; initiated to solve this problem through giving women opportunity with facilities to get out from poverty cycle (Dobra, 2011).

By providing small loans to poor individuals especially women, microfinance would help its borrowers to take up income generating activities which will improve their economic situation. In addition to the improved income earning ability; microfinance has been increasingly promoted for its positive impact on empowerment. Theory suggests that microfinance leads to women's empowerment by enabling poor women to earn an independent income and contribute financially to their families, which is supposed to give them greater power within the household. Also, microfinance is seen as a tool for enabling women to free themselves from household confines and get exposure to the outside community. The exposure to the outside community, together with the formation of networks with other women, is expected to lead to greater self-confidence and courage. It is also argued that, microfinance not only open up the opportunity of self-employment, but also contributes to the improvements of the situation for the entire household. Furthermore, microfinance positively affects the social situation of poor individuals by promoting self-confidence and expanding the capacity to play a more important role in the society (Dobra, 2011).

The idea of Family Bank was initiated by the private sector which represents the Business of Women Coalition of the Alliance of Work, and some civil society organizations in Sudan. The owners are interested in poverty issues; the State government of Khartoum therefore, sets up financial institutions specialized in poverty alleviation. It provides services to the economically active poor individuals, as well as providing integrated financial services for this group, as a mechanism to remove them from the cycle of poverty (Alawad, 2010).

Although the status of the Sudanese women has greatly improved over the last decades, however gender inequality still exists in some aspects in the society (Mustafa, 1997). The objective of this paper is to investigate the main features of women situation in the Gezira State including their socio-economic characteristics and to evaluate the effect of microfinance on women empowerment in the Gezira area through studying the case of the Family Bank.

The following sections of the paper explain the methodological approach, then display and discuss the results and finally set the study conclusion.

2. METHODOLOGY

Data and information used in this paper were collected from two sources. The primary source was a survey through questionnaires, where, 117 women who are clients of the Family Bank were randomly selected to represent the study population. Eighty four of them were classified as microfinance borrowers who had already received microfinance from the bank, while thirty three of the respondents have been accepted into a microfinance program but not yet received the loan; this group is defined as soon-to-be microfinance borrowers. To avoid biases that may arise due to pre-existing attributes; this study has used soon-to-be microfinance borrowers, accepted borrowers who have not yet received a loan, as the control group while the treatment group is the microfinance borrowers. It is argued that soon-to-be microfinance borrowers should have similar entrepreneurial ability and dedication as those who are already microfinance borrowers. This in turn makes the comparison between the two predefined groups more valid than a comparison between microfinance borrowers and non-microfinance borrowers. The empirical material was gathered during the year 2017. The respondents are distributed into three

localities in Gezira State (North of Gezira, Umalgura and Greater Madani).

In addition to the primary source of information, secondary data were also compiled from published and unpublished materials related to the topic, available documents and annual reports of the Family Bank, Bank of Sudan and Ministry of Social Affairs besides the internet websites as well.

2.1 Data Analysis:

The analysis in this paper comprises a descriptive and regression analysis. The descriptive part describes the main socioeconomic features of the study population, through manipulating personal information regarding the demography of age, number of children, monthly income and age at marriage.

A Multiple Linear Regression was applied to determine the effect of microfinance and some selected variables on women empowerment and a comparison between the two groups of women under study in this aspect was then made.

2.2 The Empirical Model:

Due to the purpose of this study and its definition of empowerment, a quantitative method of analysis makes it easier to compile data and therefore, possible to give precise and meaningful expression to qualitative ideas. The questionnaire used in this paper is based on questions for measuring empowerment used in other studies such as Bali (2007) and Hashemi, Schuler, and Riley (1996). The emphasis of this research is on women empowerment and the main part of the questions deals with this topic. The questionnaire included multiple choice questions where answer choices were provided to the respondents, *i.e* dichotomous questions that had only two alternatives, *yes* or *no*, beside questions where the respondents answered with a number e.g (age, number of times of getting a microfinance.... etc). The same specific questions were asked to all the respondents. The questionnaire was conducted with both female microfinance borrower and soon-to-be female microfinance borrowers. From the data gathered via the questionnaire, the relationship between microfinance and women's empowerment is analyzed through a cross sectional impact methodology, referred to as the control group method. The quantitative data analysis has been conducted comparing microfinance borrowers with soon-to-be borrowers using the data gathered from microfinance the questionnaire. For more explanation, both the treatment group and the control group have been accepted into a microfinance program. The difference between the two groups is that the treatment group, microfinance borrowers, has received microfinance and the control group, soon-to-be microfinance borrowers, has not yet received the microfinance but is about to within a short period of time. To estimate the effect of microfinance on empowerment this study constructs a multiple linear regression model with the ordinary least squares (OLS) method.

This study argues for an interpretation of the concept using both Kabeer's (1999) and the World Bank's (2012) view of women empowerment. Thus, this study defines women empowerment as the process in which women challenge existing norms of the society, in which they live, to improve their well-being. Furthermore, in this study women's empowerment is defined within four subgroups: decision-making power in the household, ownership of assets, voice, and mobility each one of them has a definition:

Decision-making power in the household is defined as ability to make and influence process of reaching decisions. Ownership of assets; is the attribute of an economic good. The voice is the freedom of expression, while mobility is the freedom of movement. The definitions and examples of empowerment indicators subgroups used within this study are presented in table (1) below.

Subgroup	Definition	Example		
1. Decision-Making power in the household	The ability to make and influence process of reaching decisions	Make small purchases without consulting husband		
2. Ownership of Assets	The attribute of an economic good	Land ownership in her own name		
3. Voice	The freedom of expression	Comfortable giving opinion in public		
4. Mobility	The freedom of movement	Ability to visit the local markets		

Table 1: Empowerment indicators subgroups

Source: adapted from Graflund, (2013)

2.3 Model Specification

Women empowerment is measured via an empowerment index. The index is built on the empowerment indicators, which in turn are derived from the respondent's answers on the thirteen empowerment questions in the questionnaire. To be able to measure the respondent's answers to these questions; *yes* answer is transformed to a one and a *no* answer is transformed to a zero. The values for each of the thirteen empowerment indicators are then summed into an aggregate index with one point increments from 0 to 13. An individual with a high aggregate empowerment indicator is considered to be more empowered than an individual with a low aggregate empowerment index score.

Equation (1) below defines the multiple linear regression model with ten independent variables and the empowerment index as the dependent variable. Gezira J. of Econ. & Soci. Scie.

Empowerment index = $\alpha + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \beta_3 X_3 + \beta_4 X_4 + \beta_5 X_5 + \beta_6 X_6 + \beta_7 X_7 + \beta_8 X_8 + \beta_9 X_9 + \beta_{10} X_{10}$ (1)

Where:

- α Constant
- $\beta_1 \dots \beta_{10}$ Coefficients
- X₁ state of finance (Received the loan or not yet)
- X₂ No. of times of getting Microfinance
- X₃ Place (Urban or Rural)
- X₄ Age
- X₅ Education
- X₆ Women own work
- X₇ Household income
- X₈ Social status
- X₉ Age at marriage
- X₁₀ Number of children

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.0 Socio-economic Characteristics of Women in Gezira State:

The socio-economic characteristics of women analyzed include the demography of age, number of children, monthly Income and age at marriage (table 2).

3.1 Women Demography:

Description	Microfinance Borrowers		Soon to-be- Microfinance Borrowers		Total	
	Mean	S.D	Mean	S.D	Mean	S.D
Age	42	10	40	10	41	10
Number of Children	3.3	2.2	2.3	2-4	3.03	2.3
Monthly Income in thousand SDG	1.9	0.83	1.39	12.59	1.72	0.81
Age at Marriage	21.9 2	7.48	17.76	2.48	20.7 4	8.95

Table 2: Women demography, Gezira State, 2016/2017

Source: Author's survey

Table (2) gives information about some selected demographic characteristics of the sample of the microfinance borrowers and soon-to-be microfinance borrowers. Microfinance borrowers tend to be older and have slightly higher monthly income. Also, they slightly have large family size compared to soon-to-be microfinance borrowers and all of women in the sample have at least one child. Soon-to-be microfinance borrowers get married on average at younger age than microfinance borrowers.

3.2 Empowerment Indicators:

Table 5. Distribution of women groups by	All	Microfinan ce	Soon-to-be microfinanc	
	Mean S.D	borrowers Mean S.D	e borrowers Mean S.D	
1.Decision Making Indicators		·		
Make small purchases without consulting	0.99	1.00	0.97	
husband	0.09	0.00	0.17	
Have a say in whether to purchase major	0.98	0.99	0.97	
goods for the household (e.g.: TV)	0.15	0.11	0.17	
Have a say in whether to work outside	0.85	0.85	0.85	
home	0.36	0.36	0.36	
Have a say in whether to buy or sell	0.32	0.32	0.30	
property	0.47	0.47	0.47	
Have a say in how many children to have	0.89	0.94	0.76	
	0.32	0.24	0.44	
2. Ownership of Assets Indicators				
Land ownership in own name	0.16	0.18	0.12	
	0.37	0.39	0.33	
Personally own property and/or	0.22	0.23	0.21	
valuables (eg: jewelry)	0.42	0.42	0.42	
Have independent savings	0.32	0.33	0.27	
	0.47	0.47	0.45	
3. Voice Indicators				
Comfortable giving opinion in public	0.99	1.00	0.97	
	0.09	0.00	0.17	
People in the village listen to her ideas and	0.93	0.96	0.85	
opinions	0.25	0.19	0.36	
4. Mobility Indicators				
Ability to visit local markets	0.98	0.99	0.97	
	0.13	0.11	0.17	
Is a part of social assemblies in the	0.68	0.71	0.58	
neighborhood	0.47	0.45	0.50	

Table 3: Distribution of women groups by empowerment indicators subgroups

have been in position in the social	0.25	0.29	0.15
assemblies	0.43	0.45	0.36

Table (3) presents results of the four empowerment indicators for the two surveyed groups; the indicators were separated into the four subgroups and they are corresponding to the questions on empowerment in the questionnaire. To be able to measure respondent's answers to these questions a *yes* is transformed to a one and a *no* is transformed to a zero. In other words, a respondent given a one is seen as more empowered than a respondent given a zero. Microfinance borrowers are seen to be more empowered compared to soon-to-be microfinance borrowers. This is seen via the higher value of the mean of every empowerment indicator as shown by the table (3) above. Also, the majority of both microfinance borrowers and soon-to-be microfinance borrowers show low empowerment levels in the aspect of mobility and assets ownership because of their low levels of income.

3.3 Results of the Multiple Linear Regression Analysis:

The model under consideration regresses women empowerment index against variables considered as the main socio-economic factors affecting women economic positions and activities.

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
	0.66	0.44	0.37	1.24

 Table 4: Summary of the multiple liner Regression model

Source: Author's calculations

The selected variables explain 44 percent of the variability in women empowerment index (table, 4), other factors not included in the model might affect women empowerment including the high level of Gezira J. of Econ. & Soci. Scie.

inflation which negatively affect their real income and hence the level of empowerment. The whole model suggested relationship is statistically significant as shown by the F high value (table, 5).

Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
	Regression	98.912	10	9.891	6.475	0.000
	Residual	126.790	83	1.528		
	Total	225.702	93			

Table 5: ANOVA table results

Source: Author's calculations

Table 6: OLS results of the multiple linear regression model

	Unstandardize d Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.
	В	Std. Error	Beta		
(Constant)	3.883	1.117		3.478	0.001
State of finance (received the loan or not yet)	2.098	0.455	0.417	4.608	0.000
No. of times of getting Microfinance	- 0.08621	0.100	- 0.079	-0.860	0.392
Location	0.181	0.299	0.054	0.606	0.546
Age	- 0.02248	0.018	- 0.140	-1.265	0.210
Education level	0.501	0.207	0.288	2.420	0.018
Own work	0.396	0.327	0.128	1.212	0.229
Income	0.00006687	0.000	0.039	.394	0.695
Social status	0.610	0.232	0.240	2.622	0.010
Age at marriage	-0.005185	0.019	- 0.026	280	0.780
Number of children	0.181	0.072	0.253	2.526	0.013

Source: Author's calculations

The broad picture that emerges from the OLS results in table (6) above shows that microfinance seems to have a significant positive effect on women's empowerment. Although the magnitude of the effect depends on the set of controls used, the microfinance has increased the level of empowerment. A combination of women's increased economic activity and control over income resulting from microfinance is found to improve women's decision-making power, ownership of assets, mobility, and voice. The estimated effect is from the complete specification, including both individual and household characteristics of women. The individual characteristics are: age, age at marriage, education level, own work and social status, while household characteristics are: income and number of children. Three of these independent variables are statistically significant at the 5 percent level. In terms of magnitude, the empowerment index is expected to increase, on average, with 2.09 points if the respondent has received microfinance holding all the other independent control variables constant. The pattern of estimates and significant level across different sets of independent control variables indicates that the estimate for microfinance on women's empowerment is considerable.

The independent variables that are significantly related to the women empowerment are: the respondent's education level, social status and number of children in the household.

Age is negatively related to women empowerment. It may be the case that older women are dependent on their husbands and sons for support. On the other hand, well educated women are expected to be more empowered since they have the ability to take their own decisions. This can be observed from the positive relationship between the education level and the empowerment index. The social status of women is positively related to the empowerment. Unmarried woman has more decision making power within the household since she is in most cases the head of the household. Women own work or business has a positive effect on empowerment. This is because a woman with her own work is more likely to have control on both her income and a potential microfinance. A large number of children in the household bring in general, a higher domestic workload for the women. But this is not the case within this study. Large number of children will help women by taking some burden of the domestic workload especially if they are at a higher level of age. Furthermore, it was expected that the number of times of microfinance acquisition was going to have a positive impact on women empowerment. The results in table (6) tell us that the number of times of getting microfinance is not significantly positively correlated with women empowerment. A potential explanation might be that the initial microfinance given enhances women's empowerment the most. The additional microfinances given over the years bring marginally less value to women's empowerment.

4. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

This study tries to figure out how microfinance programs would affect women empowerment taking the Family Bank of Gezira state as a case study. The study has developed an index for women empowerment. Then the index was regressed against microfinance acquisition and some other selected variables among two groups of microfinance borrowers and those who are ready to join a microfinance program but have not yet benefited from it. Number of times of microfinance membership was expected to have a positive impact on empowerment. The findings in this study show that this doesn't have to be the case. The results suggest that, there is a room for empowerment related improvements within the microfinance programs. This study therefore, recommends that more studies should evaluate the relationship between women experience in microfinance programs and women's empowerment in the future. Moreover, it would be relevant to further investigate how microfinance impact different dimensions of women's empowerment to improve the potential of microfinance as an empowerment tool. It is important to keep in mind that a quantitative analysis of aggregated data has its limitations. Reality is not easily captured in numbers and most quantitative analysis is required to some simplification and generalization. This does not

render the results meaningless, but they are to be interpreted carefully, since this study was based on a small sample size from only some parts in Gezira State. More extensive studies that include a larger sample size from different locations could further shed light on how microfinance affects women empowerment. On the other hand and from the financial institutions side, more attention should be given to the arrangement and provision of the microfinance programs. Problems should be studied and solved and the facilities connected with microfinance should be provided for the beneficiaries.

References

Alawad, E. H., (2010) Family Bank an Outlook.

- Bali Swain, R. (2007) "Can Microfinance Empower Women? Self-Help Groups in India" in Dialogue, No. 37, pp. 61-82
- Dobra, A (2011), "Microfinance: Champion in Poverty Alleviation and Failure in Female Empowerment" in Internationale Politic und Gesellschaft, Vol. 3, 2011, pp. 134-144.

Graflund, F., (2013). THE IMPACT OF MICROCREDIT ON WOMEN'S EMPOWERMENTA CASE STUDY OF MICROCREDIT IN THE TANGAIL DISTRICT, BANGLADESH, Department of Economics at the University of Lund, Minor Field Study Series, No. 225.

- Hashemi, S.M., Schuler, S. R., and Riley, A .P. (1996)"Rural CreditPrograms and Women's Empowerment in Bangladesh" in*World Development*, Vol. 24, No: 4, 1996, pp. 635-653
- Kabeer, N. (1999) "Resources, Agency, Achievements: Reflections on the Measurement of Women's Empowerment.
- Mustafa, R. H. (1997), The Impact of New Agricultural Technologies on the Role of Scheme, MSc Thesis.
- The World Bank (2012) "World Development Report 2012: Gender Equality and Development" available at: <u>http://siteresources.worldbank.org/INTWDR2012/Resources/7</u> 778105M 1299699968583/7786210M1315936222006/CompleteMRepor

t.pdf, retrieved on: 2012M 11M01