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Relative Contribution of Three Virginia Rivers to Spawning Activity of Striped Bass, Morone saxatilis

## INTRODUCTION

Initial documentation of spawning activity of striped bass in the tidal freshwater portions of Virginia rivers was provided by Tresselt (1952), Massman et al. (1952, 1962), Rinaldo (1971) and Merriner et al. (1980). Recently, a series of pilot ichthyoplankton surveys of these habitats has resulted in data describing patterns of abundance of eggs and larvae in three separate rivers during three separate years: the Pamunkey and Mattaponi Rivers in spring 1980 (Grant and Olney 1981); the James River in 1981 (Grant and Olney 1982); and the Rappahannock in 1982 (Olney et al. 1983). These surveys were conducted in response to the objectives of the Emergency Striped Bass Study (Chafee Amendment to the Anadromous Fish Act) and have provided spatial and temporal details of spawning activity (Figures 1-4) used in the preparation of management regulations promulgated by the Virginia Marine Resources Commission.

An important but neglected objective of this recent research effort was the provision of reliable data on annual variability of egg deposition
between river systems and the relative contribution of each of Virginia's major rivers to the annual spawn. Comparisons of the three-year data set (1980-1982) indicated an order of magnitude difference in absolute egg abundance on the Rappahannock River in 1982 (Olney et al. 1983), however concurrent data from the James and York river systems were lacking during that year. As a result, an assessment of the relative contribution of the Rappahannock to the total Virginia spawn in 1982 was not possible. Efforts to obtain additional funding to accomplish these objectives in 1983 were thwarted by changing priorities in the Emergency. Striped Bass Study monitoring program. Thus, the ancillary collection of 140 samples in 17 total cruises on the James, Pamunkey, and Rappahannock during a separately funded project in 1983, was considered fortuitous. The results of our analysis of these collections as well as a reexamination of the 1980-1982 survey data are the subjects of this report.

## METHODOLOGY

Sampling strategies employed during pilot surveys in 1980-1982 were repeated in spring 1983. Weekly surveys were conducted on each river during the six-week period 5 April - 13 May 1883 (Tables 1-3). One weekly sampling cruise on the James River was missed (week of April 18) as a result of severe weather. Plankton sampling did not continue beyond 13 May since the objectives of a separately funded project that supported these cruises did not include assessment of larval abundance. As a result, larval striped bass were only collected on two cruises in each river between 2-13 May 1983.

Regular collections at each station consisted of 2-10 minute stepped oblique (usually $0.5-2$ min. per 2 -meter interval) tows of a 60 cm bongo sampler equipped with .333 mm mesh nets. Both nets were metered with General Oceanics flowmeters for volumetric estimates and catches were combined on board before preservation with 5-8\% buffered formalin. All collections were made in daylight hours. Ancillary data at each station included surface and bottom measurements of temperature, salinity and dissolved oxygen. Maximum depth of visibility was determined by Secchi disc.

Whole collections were sorted for Morone saxatilis eggs and larvae under a stereomicroscope. We elected a conservative count in collections with damaged eggs, talleying only intact eggs and separated embryoes.

Egg production estimates were calculated following a modification of the techniques of Houde (1977) after Sette and Ahlstrom (1948) and Ahlstrom (1954, 1959). Tidally averaged water volume estimates (Table 4) were calculated based on Cronin (1971). Mean low and mean high water volumes $\left(10^{6} \mathrm{~m}^{3}\right)$ were averaged at each river mile and means were summed over each three-mile stratum. Catches of striped bass eggs were standardized between stations to obtain abund ance per $m 100^{3}$ of water strained:

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{j}={ }_{\frac{c_{j}}{v_{j}}} \times 100 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $\quad n_{j}=$ number of eggs $/ 100 m^{3}$ at station $j$
$c_{j}=$ the egg count at station $j$
$v_{j}=\begin{aligned} & \text { the volume strained by both nets (in cubic meters) at } \\ & \text { station } j\end{aligned}$

Numbers of eggs were estimated in the 3 -mile stratum represented by each randomly selected station:

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{j}=\frac{c_{j}}{v_{j}} \cdot v_{j} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{j}}=\text { number of eggs estimated in the stratum represented by } \\
& \text { station } j
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{j}= & \text { volume }\left(10^{6}\right. \text { cubic meters) of the stratum represented by } \\
& \text { station } j \text { (Table 4) }
\end{aligned}
$$

$c_{j}=$ defined in equation 1 $v_{j}=$ defined in equation 1

Cruise production estimates, variance estimates, and annual egg production estimates were calculated without modification to Houde's (1977:65) equations 4-6. Striped bass spawning periods were assumed to be 44 days in duration (1 April -15 May) in calculations of egg production and variance. Exceptions occurred in data sets from the Pamunkey River in 1980 when a cruise date fell on 16 May and from the James River in 1981 where a single egg was collected during the cruise of 21-22 May. This latter value was omitted from the data set.

Duration of the egg stage was calculated for each cruise following a modification of Setzler et a1. (1980:15):

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=\frac{-4.60 T+131.6}{24} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I=\text { duration of the egg stage (days) } \\
& T=\text { average water column temperature } \\
& \left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \text { ) during cruise } j\right. \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Female biomass necessary to produce the observed annual egg deposition was calculated following a modification of Houde (1977:66):

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{F}=\frac{P_{a}}{F} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B_{F}=\text { female biomass }(\mathrm{kg}) \\
& P_{a}=\text { calculated annual egg production } \\
& F=\text { fecundity estimate of } 2.146 \times 10^{5} \text { eggs } / \mathrm{kg} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The fecundity value utilized in equation 4 was derived following Setzler et al. (1980:13) using the equation $F=2.18 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~W}-1.17 \times 10^{4}$ where W is weight in kg . A value of 3.4 kg was used in this relationship since this value represented the mean weight of a 1978 yearclass female striped bass captured in pound nets on the Rappahannock River in spring 1983 (Loesch and Kriete 1983). This estimated fecundity value was used in calculations of $B_{F}$ (equation 4) in 1980 and 1982 although fishery data were not available on the York River system in 1980 and 1978 yearclass fish may not have contributed significantly to the spawn on the Rappahannock in 1982.

Comparable values of $F$ are reported by Hardy (1978) on a range of female sizes from $2.3-29.5 \mathrm{~kg}$. Fecundity values expressed as eggs per unit body weight may not vary significantly with age. In Hardy's (1978) data set, $F$ ranged from $1.42 \times 10^{5}$ eggs $/ \mathrm{kg}\left(22.6 \mathrm{~kg}\right.$ individual) to $2.79 \times 10^{6}$ eggs $/ \mathrm{kg}$ ( 14.5 kg individual).

RESULTS OF THE 1983 SURVEYS

## Physical Characteristics

Mean water column temperatures ranged from $11.1-16.4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ during April and from 17.5-21. $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in May (Tables 1-3). During initial cruises on all rivers, temperatures ranged from $11.4-12.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and represented values within the range of observed spawning ( $10.0-25.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, Hardy 1978) but well below peak activity ranges. A cold front and severe weather depressed temperatures on the Rappahannock and Pamunkey rivers during the week of April 22 (Tables 1 and 3) and forced cancellation of a weekly cruise on the James.

Saline waters (using $0.50 / 00$ as the upper limit for designation of fresh water) were infrequently encountered indicating that sampling was confined to known spawning regions (Figs. 1-4). Dissolved oxygen levels remained at or near saturation, ranging from $7.6-11.4 \mathrm{mg} / 1$ and water transparency, as measured by Secchi depth, only rarely exceeded 0.5 m .

## Egg and Larval Catches

Tables 5-10 present catch data for eggs and larvae in all rivers. During initial cruises (5 April, 8 April), eggs were present in low densities at only one station each on the Pamunkey and James. On April 9, however, spawning was well underway on the Rappahannock with egg densities ranging from $1.9-27.9$ eggs $/ 100 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ at six positive stations (Table 5). In the subsequent weekly sampling period (13-15 April) spawning activity increased moderately with density values estimated between 0.7 - 30.8 eggs $/ 100 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ at 10 total positive stations on the Rappahannock and Pamunkey rivers. This trend was reversed during the third weekly sampling period (22-23 April) when lowered water temperatures interrupted spawning and depressed density estimates on each river (Tables 5 and 7).

Peak spawning activity during the sampling period was observed in the last week of April on the Rappahannock and Pamunkey rivers but not until the subsequent sampling period (2 May) on the James. During these cruises, recorded egg density ranges at positive stations were $1.0-477$ eggs $/ 100 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ on the Rappahannock (Table 5), 1.2-195 eggs $/ 100 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ on the James (Table 6) and 0.8-66 eggs $/ 100 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ on the Pamunkey River (Table 7). At periods of peak spawning activity, eggs were distributed between river miles 40-61 (Rappahannock), 33-58 (James) and 27-51 on the Pamunkey (river miles 27 29 are actually located in the York River below West Point, Virginia).

Data describing distribution and abundance of larval striped bass are limited since sampling ceased on 13 May. Sampling during the period 2-13 May (two cruises on each river) yielded 439 total larvae on the Rappahannock (Table 8), 155 larvae on the James (Table 9) and only 41 specimens on the Pamunkey River (Table 10). Total number of positive stations and density ranges observed were: 10, 4.8-103.1 larvae/100m ${ }^{3}$ - Rappahannock; 9, 0.7 _ 31.5 - James; 7, 1.6-23.2-Pamunkey. Length frequency distributions are presented in Table 11.

EGG PRODUCTION ESTIMATES, 1980-1983

Table 12 summarizes results of ichthyoplankton surveys conducted in the major spawning rivers of Virginia during the four year period 1980-1984. Details of the 1980-1982 surveys are described by Grant and Olney (1981, 1982) and Olney et al (1983). The following comparisons are based on these previous reports and the 1983 data described herein (Tables 13-23).

## Spatial and Temporal Comparisons

## Rappahannock River

Data sets resulting from the 1982 and 1983 surveys (Tables 13 and 14) reveal consistent trends in spatial and temporal distribution of eggs on the Rappahannock River. Spawning was observed along a 26 -mile portion of the river in 1982 (miles $42-68$ ) and along a 23 mile stretch in 1983 . Summed values of $p_{j}$ (estimated total number of eggs represented by each stratum) ranged from $1.1-105.6$ (eggs $\times 10^{6}$ ) within strata between
river miles 39 and 68 in 1982 and from $0.2-101.4$ (eggs X $10^{6}$ ) between river miles 39 and 62 in 1983. Peak spawning tended to shift slightly upstream in the 1983 season. Greatest egg production was observed in an eleven mile segment (51-62) in 1982 and an eight mile segment (48-56) in 1983. Despite this variability, stations representing stratum 54-56 contributed the greatest total percentage production during each year (1982 - 34.5\%; 1983-47.2\%; Tables 13-14). This river segment includes the area from Devil's Reach near Saunders Wharf upstream to Blind Point.

Some temporal variability in cruise egg production values ( $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}}$ ) were observed between years and may have been partly due to mid-spawning temperature depressions observed on the Rappahannock in 1983 during the week of 22 April (Figure 5). Cruise production estimates ranged from 0.007 1.332 (eggs X $10^{8}$ ) in 1982 and $0.009-1.615$ (eggs X $10^{8}$ ) in 1983 (Table 20). Peak production values were observed during the cruises of 21 April 1982 and 29 April 1983.

## James River

Values of $p_{j} c a l c u l a t e d$ from surveys in 1981 and 1983 on the James River are presented in Tables 15 and 16 . Comparisons of these data sets (Fig. 6) are made difficult because of an insufficient level of sampling effort during both years ( 4 cruises in 1981 and 5 cruises in 1983). Variances calculated for values of $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{Table} 21)$ are high, especially during 1981, and reflect the sensitivity of variance calculations to large values of $D_{i}$ (the number of days represented by each cruise). Despite these limitations spatial trends are apparent and directly related to a severe
drought in 1981 that confined spawning activity during that year to the upper reaches of the spawning grounds (Grant and Olney 1982). As a result, $65 \%$ of the total 1981 egg production is attributed to stations representing two strata between miles 60-65 (Table 15) while peak egg production in 1983 was observed to cover a large area further down stream (Table 16). These annually shifting centers of spawning activity, however, appear to be the only spatial effect of the drought since total river area used for spawning (approximately 27 miles) did not change between years.

As in the above discussion, temporal trends are obscured due to insufficient sampling effort (as a result of funding delays, sampling did not begin in 1981 until 22 Apri1). Cruise production estimates (Table 21) peaked in the first week of May 1983 but variance associated with 1981 data precludes similar observations.

## Pamunkey River

Data sets resulting from the 1980 and 1983 surveys are presented in Tables 17-18 and 22. Spawning was observed in both years along similar portions of the estuary although 1983 sampling included an additional stratum in the York River below West Point (miles 27-29). This stratum may have produced eggs in 1980 as well (Grant and Olney 1981). Within these strata, summed values of $p_{j}$ ranged from $1.3-18.2\left(\operatorname{eggs} \times 10^{6}\right)$ in 1980 and from 0.8-19.2 (eggs X $10^{6}$ ) in 1983. During both years, spawning activity was observed to be evenly spread over approximately 20 river miles (27-47) although almost $32 \%$ of total 1980 production was attributed to stations within stratum 33-35.

Some temporal variability in values of $P_{i}$ were observed between years and, as in the case of the Rappahannock data set, these differences may have been due to mid-spawning temperature depressions in 1983 (Fig. 7). Cruise production estimates ranged from 0.5-26.9 (eggs X $10^{6}$ ) in 1980 and 0.4 58.3 (eggs $\times 10^{6}$ ) in 1983. Peak production values were observed on 22 April 1980 and 27 Apri1 - 4 May 1983 (Tab1e 22).

## Mattaponi River

Egg production estimates based on the 1980 survey are presented in Fig. 8 and Tables 19 and 23 [and spatial and temporal trends in egg distribution were described by Grant and 01ney (1981)]. This system was not surveyed in 1983.

## Annual Production and Female Biomass

Values of annual egg production calculated from 1980-1983 surveys of Virginia's major spawning grounds (Tables 20-23) varied from 1.499-7.242 (eggs $X 10^{8}$ ). The upper value of this range may be more justifiably reported as $5.81 \times 10^{8}$ eggs (Rappahannock 1983) since large variance estimates and confidence intervals associated with James River data (Table 21) indicate considerable error. These values are comparable to annual egg production estimates reported during some years in the Roanoke system (Hassler et al. 1981), larger than values reported by Boynton (cited by

Setzler et al. 1980:46) on the Potomac but smaller than Potomac river production values calculated by Polgar (1977).

Biomass of 1978 yearclass females (average weight, 3.4 kg ; calculated fecundity given in equation 4) necessary to produce the observed 1983 egg deposition was estimated to be $2707 \mathrm{~kg}( \pm 1498 \mathrm{~kg}$ ) on the Rappahannock River and $1022 \mathrm{~kg}( \pm 756 \mathrm{~kg})$ on the Pamunkey. These values were not calculated for the James in 1983 due to the large errors associated with James River egg production estimates. Summing the upper and lower biomass estimates for the Rappahannock and Pamunkey rivers yields a total biomass range of 1475 5983 kg necessary to produce the cumulative egg deposition in these two rivers in 1983. Thus, if only 1978 yearclass females (average weight, 3.4 kg ) were spawning in 1983, these values indicate that as few as 434 or as many as 1760 individuals could have produced the observed spawn in these two rivers.

DISCUSSION

Variance estimates of cruise egg abundance and total egg production based on Houde's (1977) model are forced by values of $D_{i}$ and $A_{i j}$ (Houde's 1977:65 equation 4). As a result, James River estimates are large since sampling effort was reduced relative to other rivers and total James River volume ( $508.75 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ ) exceeds the volume of all other rivers combined ( $370.58 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ ). Thus, our original objective of examining the relative contribution of three rivers based on annual egg production fails since reliable data from the James in 1983 are not available. Examination of cruise production estimates on each river, however, provides some insight
into relative spawning activity on these rivers (Tables 20-23) since values of $P_{i}$ have lower variances than values of $P_{a}$. During periods of peak spawning activity in 1982 and 1983, Rappahannock River production was one order of magnitude above James River estimates and two orders of magnitude above those from the Pamunkey River. These data suggest that the greatest spawning activity occurred on the Rappahannock in spring 1982 and 1983.

As discussed by Houde (1977) variance estimates so obtained are subject to considerable uncertainty and probably do not account for all sources of variability in these data sets. Among those unaccounted sources are day to day variability in spawning and within stratum variability. Reduction in values of $D_{i}$ can improve these estimates and within stratum replication (presently absent in our sampling strategy) could improve production estimate reliability. Some balance between these increases in sampling effort and cost effectiveness of ichthyoplankton survey data must be reached, however. We believe, that despite the uncertainty surrounding production values presented herein, these data are useful first-order estimates of Virginia's contribution to the total Chesapeake Bay striped bass egg production.
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Fig. 1. Spatial extent of striped bass eggs, Pamunkey River, spring 1980. Darkest area represents greatest spawning activity.


Fig. 2. Spatial extent of striped bass eggs, Mattaponi River, spring 1980. Darkest area represents greatest spawning activity.


Fig. 3 . Spatial extent of striped bass eggs, James River, spring 1981. Darkest area represents greatest spawning activity.


Fig. 4. Spatial extent of striped bass eggs, Rappahannock River, spring 1982. Darkest area represents greatest spawning activity.

WEEKLY EGG PRODUCION ESTMAIES FOR RAPPAHANNOCK RIVER - 1982
 IGURE 5


WEEKLY EGG PRODUCTUN ESTMAIES FOR PAMUNKEY RIVER - 1980


WEEKLY EGG PRODUCTON ESTMAIES FOR PAMUNKEY RIVER - 1983



Table 1. Physical data and water volume filtered ( $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ ) from egg production survey of the Rappahannock River, spring 1983. Mean temperatures ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), salinities (o/oo) and dissolved oxygen concentrations (mg/1) are presented. Secchi disc depth in meters.

| Strata |  | 4/9 | Date |  |  | 5/5 | 5/13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 4/15 | 4/22 | 4/29 |  |  |
| 39-41 | River Mile | n.s. | n.s. | n.s. | 40 | n.s. | n.s. |
|  | Temp |  |  |  | 14.5 |  |  |
|  | Sal |  |  |  | 0.0 |  |  |
|  | DO |  |  |  | 9.9 |  |  |
|  | Sechi |  |  |  | 0.3 |  |  |
|  | Volume |  |  |  | 100.1 |  |  |
| 42-44 | River Mile | 44 | n.s. | 42 | 44 | 44 | 44 |
|  | Temp | 12.3 |  | 11.8 | 14.3 | 18.4 | 18.5 |
|  | Sal | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . 17 |
|  | $\mathrm{DO}_{2}$ | 8.8 |  | 9.3 | 9.8 | 11.2 | 9.1 |
|  | Sechi | 0.3 |  | - | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
|  | Volume | 106.9 |  | 81.9 | 103.5 | 108.4 | 53.7 |
| 45-47 | River Mile | 46 | n.s. | 46 | 46 | 46 | 47 |
|  | Temp | 12.3 |  | 11.8 | 14.1 | 18.5 | 18.9 |
|  | Sal | 0.1 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | DO | 9.3 |  | 8.5 | 9.4 | 9.9 | 9.8 |
|  | Sechi | 0.3 |  | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
|  | Volume | 98.2 |  | 90.4 | 90.5 | 118.3 | 19.7 |
| 48-50 | River Mile | 50 | 50 | 49 | 50 | 50 | 49 |
|  | Temp. | 12.4 | 13.3 | 11.9 | 14.5 | 18.8 | 19.2 |
|  | Sal | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | $\mathrm{DO}_{2}$ | 9.1 | 8.5 | 8.7 | 9.3 | 10.0 | 9.5 |
|  | Sechi | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
|  | Volume | 90.7 | 91.3 | 91.0 | 116.5 | 161.7 | 18.7 |
| 51-53 | River Mile | 53 | 52 | 52 | 53 | 53 | 51 |
|  | Temp | 12.3 | 13.4 | 11.3 | 14.6 | 19.1 | 19.4 |
|  | Sal | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | $\mathrm{DO}_{2}$ | 8.4 | 8.3 | 8.9 | 9.3 | 10.1 | 9.9 |
|  | Secchi | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
|  | Volume | 87.9 | 155.9 | 117.9 | 137.0 | 139.6 | 12.7 |
| 54-56 | River Mile | 56 | 56 | 55 | 56 | 56 | 54 |
|  | Temp | 12.1 | 13.3 | 11.5 | 15.5 | 19.8 | 19.6 |
|  | Sal | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | $\mathrm{DO}_{2}$ | 8.4 | 8.3 | 9.2 | 9.5 | 9.3 | 9.9 |
|  | Secchi | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
|  | Volume | 113.3 | 87.6 | 119.7 | 72.8 | 89.9 | 20.7 |

Table 1 (Cont'd)

| Strata |  | Date |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 4/9 | 4/15 | 4/22 | 4/29 | 5/5 | 5/13 |
| 57-59 | River Mile | 57 | 58 | 58 | 57 | 57 | 59 |
|  | Temp | 12.1 | 13.5 | 11.6 | 16.0 | 19.6 | 20.1 |
|  | Sal | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | $\mathrm{DO}_{2}$ | 9.5 | 9.5 | 8.0 | 9.6 | 10.3 | 10.9 |
|  | Secchi | 0.1 | - | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.5 |
|  | Volume | 50.2 | 119.9 | 75.7 | 105.3 | 164.3 | 26.3 |
| 60-62 | River Mile | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 62 |
|  | Temp | 12.3 | 13.4 | 11.3 | 16.3 | 20.4 | 21.0 |
|  | Sal | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | DO | 8.4 | 9.5 | 9.2 | 9.5 | 11.4 | 11.1 |
|  | Secchi | 0.2 | - | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.6 |
|  | Volume | 120.6 | 109.5 | 92.9 | 119.9 | 136.1 | 20.0 |
| 63-65 | River Mile | 64 | 64 | 65 | 64 | n.s. | n.s. |
|  | Temp | 12.3 | 13.6 | 11.8 | 15.8 |  |  |
|  | Sal | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |
|  | $\mathrm{DO}_{2}$ | 8.1 | 9.5 | 8.8 | 9.9 |  |  |
|  | Secchi | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |  |  |
|  | Volume | 71.6 | 85.9 | 82.0 | 97.2 |  |  |
| 66-68 | River Mile | 67 | 68 | 68 | 67 | n.s | n.s. |
|  | Temp | 11.9 | 13.4 | 11.1 | 16.4 |  |  |
|  | Sal | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |
|  | DO | 9.5 | 8.9 | 10.4 | 9.6 |  |  |
|  | Sechi | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 |  |  |
|  | Volume | 131.9 | 86.2 | 67.9 | 112.9 |  |  |

Table 2. Physical data and water volume filtered ( $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ ) from egg production survey of the James River, spring 1983. Mean temperatures ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), salinities (o/oo) and dissolved oxygen concentrations (mg/1) are presented. Secchi disc depth in meters.

| Strata |  | 4/8 | 4/14 | $\frac{\text { Date }}{4 / 26}$ | 5/2 | 5/8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 33-35 | River Mile | n.s. | n.s. | n.s. | 33 | n.s. |
|  | Temp |  |  |  | 17.7 |  |
|  | Sal |  |  |  | 0.0 |  |
|  | DO |  |  |  | 9.2 |  |
|  | Secchi |  |  |  | 0.3 |  |
|  | Volume |  |  |  | 142.6 |  |
| 36-38 | River Mile | n.s. | n.s. | 36 | 36 | n.s. |
|  | Temp |  |  | 12.5 | 17.8 |  |
|  | Sal |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
|  | DO ${ }_{2}$ |  |  | 9.0 | 9.5 |  |
|  | Secchi |  |  | 0.3 | 0.3 |  |
|  | Volume |  |  | 93.1 | 104.9 |  |
| 39-41 | River Mile | n.s. | n.s. | 39 | 39 | n.s. |
|  | Temp |  |  | 13.0 | 17.5 |  |
|  | Sal. |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
|  | DO, |  |  | 8.5 | 9.3 |  |
|  | Sechis |  |  | 0.3 | 0.3 |  |
|  | Volume |  |  | 111.4 | 117.4 |  |
| 42-44 | River Mile | 42 | 44 | 42 | 42 | 42 |
|  | Temp | 12.8 | 13.4 | 13.1 | 17.7 | 18.8 |
|  | Sal | 0.1 | 0.0 . | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | D0 | 8.4 | 8.1 | 8.1 | 8.8 | 8.7 |
|  | Secchi | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.5 |
|  | Volume | 83.8 | 102.6 | 105.1 | 116.9 | 144.9 |
| 45-47 | River Mile | 46 | 47 | 45 | 45 | 45 |
|  | Temp | 12.4 | 13.2 | 13.3 | 17.9 | 19.2 |
|  | Sal | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | DO | 8.6 | 9.3 | 9.0 | 8.8 | 8.6 |
|  | Secchi | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.5 |
|  | Volume | 124.8 | 120.7 | 102.8 | 122.5 | 212.8 |
| 48-50 | River Mile | 49 | 48 | 50 | 50 | 50 |
|  | Temp | 12.4 | 13.2 | 12.8 | 18.2 | 19.7 |
|  | Sal | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | DO | 9.6 | 8.1 | 9.0 | 8.9 | 9.7 |
|  | Sechi | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.6 |
|  | Volume | 185.9 | 259.1 | 190.3 | 218.3 | 171.6 |

Table 2 (Cont'd)

| Strata |  | Date |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 4/8 | 4/14 | 4/26 | 5/2 | 5/8 |
| 51-53 | River Mile | 52 | 52 | 52 | 52 | 52 |
|  | Temp | 12.4 | 13.5 | 13.2 | 18.5 | 19.9 |
|  | Sal | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | $\mathrm{DO}_{2}$ | 9.5 | 9.5 | 9.0 | 8.9 | 8.7 |
|  | Secchi | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
|  | Volume | 132.8 | 148.9 | 115.0 | 142.5 | 134.7 |
| 54-56 | River Mile | 56 | 55 | 56 | 56 | 56 |
|  | Temp | 12.6 | 13.6 | 12.8 | 19.2 | 20.0 |
|  | Sal | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | $\mathrm{DO}_{2}$ | 8.6 | 8.1 | 9.0 | 8.7 | 9.7 |
|  | Sechi | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.5 |
|  | Volume | 176.4 | 94.6 | 67.7 | 182.8 | 203.2 |
| 57-59 | River Mile | 58 | 58 | 58 | 58 | 58 |
|  | Temp | 12.8 | 13.7 | 12.8 | 19.5 | 20.2 |
|  | Sal | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
|  | $\mathrm{DO}_{2}$ | 8.1 | 8.1 | 9.1 | 8.6 | 9.4 |
|  | Secchi | 0.3 | 0.2 | - | 0.4 | - |
|  | Volume | 130.0 | 117.9 | 140.5 | 82.4 | 138.8 |
| 60-62 | River Mile | 62 | 60 | 60 | 60 | n.s. |
|  | Temp | 12.7 | 13.6 | 12.9 | 19.6 |  |
|  | Sal | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
|  | DO | 9.5 | 8.1 | 9.1 | 8.6 |  |
|  | Sechi | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 |  |
|  | Volume | 61.9 | 87.9 | 98.4 | 64.6 |  |
| 63-65 | River Mile | 63 | 64. | n.s. | n.s. | n.s. |
|  | Temp | 12.7 | 13.6 |  |  |  |
|  | Sal | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathrm{DO}_{2}$ | 8.5 | 8.5 |  |  |  |
|  | Secchi | 0.3 | 0.3 |  |  |  |
|  | Volume | 110.6 | 115.2 |  |  |  |

Table 3. Physical data and water volume filtered (m) from egg production survey of the Pamunkey River, spring 1983. Mean temperatures $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$, salinities (o/oo) and dissolved oxygen concentrations (mg/l) are presented. Secchi disc depth in meters.

| Strata |  | Date |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 4/5 | 4/13 | 4/23 | 4/27 | 5/4 | 5/11 |
| 27-29 | *River Mile | n.s. | n.s. | n.s. | 27/29 | 28 | n.s. |
|  | Temp |  |  |  | 13.9/13.7 | 19.4 |  |
|  | Sal |  |  |  | 1.78/0.5 | 0.3 |  |
|  | $\mathrm{DO}_{2}$ |  |  |  | 8.9/8.9 | 11.5 |  |
|  | Secchi |  |  |  | 0.4/0.4 | 0.2 |  |
|  | Volume |  |  |  | 87.6/95.2 | 41.8 |  |
| 30-32 | River Mile | 31 | 31 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 31 |
|  | Temp | 11.7 | 12.9 | 13.0 | 13.8 | 19.7 | 19.0 |
|  | Sal | 1.1 | 0.1 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 1.5 |
|  | DO | 8.7 | 8.6 | 7.6 | 9.1 | 10.9 | 8.1 |
|  | Secchi | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
|  | Volume | 99.2 | 106.7 | 99.5 | 93.3 | 90.7 | 136.5 |
| 33-35 | River Mile | 33 | 34 | 34 | 35 | 33 | 33 |
|  | Temp | 11.5 | 13.6 | 12.7 | 13.7 | 19.3 | 19.2 |
|  | Sal | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 |
|  | D0, | 9.1 | 8.1 | 8.1 | 9.1 | 10.8 | 8.1 |
|  | Sechic | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.4 |
|  | Volume | 108.7 | 110.4 | 99.2 | 106.0 | 93.1 | 90.6 |
| 36-38 | River Mile | 38 | 37 | 37 | 36 | 36 | 36 |
|  | Temp | 11.6 | 14.0 | 12.6 | 14.0 | - | 19.4 |
|  | Sal | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | DO | 8.5 | 8.9 | 8.1 | 9.0 | 9.5 | 8.4 |
|  | Secchi | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.3 |
|  | Volume | 104.4 | 99.5 | 100.3 | 78.6 | 92.7 | 70.2 |
| 39-41 | River Mile | 39 | 41 | 40 | 39 | 40 | 41 |
|  | Temp | 11.5 | 13.9 | 12.4 | 14.1 | 19.8 | 19.5 |
|  | Sal | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 8.5 | 8.3 | 8.1 | 9.0 | 10.7 | 8.9 |
|  | Sechi | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
|  | Volume | 84.6 | 107.9 | 138.0 | 106.7 | 64.3 | 75.3 |
| 42-44 | River Mile | 44 | 44 | 43 | 43 | 43 | 44 |
|  | Temp | 11.4 | 14.3 | 12.3 | 14.5 | 20.7 | 19.9 |
|  | Sal | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | DO | 9.0 | 8.6 | 8.1 | 9.1 | 8.7 | 9.3 0.5 |
|  | Sechi | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 47.9 |
|  | Volume | 164.5 | 139.0 | 103.0 | 75.5 | 78.2 | 47.9 |

Table 3 (Cont'd)

| Strata |  | Date |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 4/5 | 4/13 | 4/23 | 4/27 | 5/4 | 5/11 |
| 45-47 | River Mile | 45 | 45 | 47 | 46 | 47 | 45 |
|  | Temp | 11.6 | 14.5 | 12.6 | 14.9 | 20.2 | 20.0 |
|  | Sal | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | $\mathrm{DO}_{2}$ | 9.4 | 9.3 | 7.8 | 9.5 | 10.0 | 9.0 |
|  | Secchi | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
|  | Volume | 90.8 | 99.6 | 154.7 | 85.1 | 124.4 | 81.8 |
| 48-50 | River Mile | 49 | 48 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 |
|  | Temp | 12.1 | 14.3 | 13.0 | 14.7 | 20.4 | 20.1 |
|  | Sal | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | $\mathrm{DO}_{2}$ | 9.9 | 8.7 | 8.0 | 8.9 | 9.0 | 8.8 |
|  | Secchi | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.6 |
|  | Volume | 111.1 | 167.3 | 117.8 | 124.0 | 76.4 | 95.3 |
| 51-53 | River Mile | 53 | 53 | n.s. | 51 | 52 | 52 |
|  | Temp | 12.6 | 14.2 |  | 15.0 | 20.4 | 20.1 |
|  | Sal | 0.1 | 0.1 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 8.4 | 8.1 |  | 8.8 | 9.2 | 8.8 |
|  | Sechi | 0.5 | 0.6 |  | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
|  | Volume | 125.3 | 122.4 |  | 106.5 | 65.6 | 87.3 |
| 54-56 | River Mile <br> Temp | n.s | n.s. | n.s. | n.s. | n.s. | n.s. |
|  | Sa1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathrm{DO}_{2} \mathrm{Sechi}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Volume |  |  |  |  |  |  |

*Miles 27-29 are in the main stem of the York River.

Table 4. Tidally averaged total water volume $\left(10^{6} \mathrm{M}^{3}\right)$ per stratum on four Virginia rivers. Calculations based on Cronin 1971. Pamunkey River stratum 27-29 is actually within the main stem of the York River.

| Stratum Midpoint | James | Rappahannock | Pamunkey | Mattaponi |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 28 |  |  | 26.36 |  |
| 31 |  |  | 15.40 | 10.04 |
| 34 | 69.37 |  | 13.64 | 7.47 |
| 37 | 76.49 |  | 12.36 | 8.82 |
| 40 | 68.54 | 24.31 | 12.74 | 8.13 |
| 43 | 57.30 | 31.07 | 12.10 | 9.09 |
| 46 | 42.43 | 27.79 | 12.22 | 7.64 |
| 49 | 34.68 | 18.39 | 11.79 |  |
| 52 | 35.07 | 15.09 | 10.17 |  |
| 55 | 29.55 | 18.97 |  |  |
| 58 | 37.99 | 12.30 |  |  |
| 61 | 27.86 | 19.20 |  |  |
| 64 | 18.60 | 16.24 |  |  |
| 67 | 10.87 | 9.25 |  |  |

Table 5. Total catches of striped bass eggs from the Rappahannock River, spring 1983 (n.s. = stratum not sampled).

| River Mile Stratum |  | Date |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 4/9 | 4/15 | 4/22 | 4/29 | 5/5 | 5/13 |
| 39-41 | River Mile <br> Total eggs <br> Egg density <br> (No. $/ 100 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ ) | n.s. | n.s. | n.s. | $\begin{array}{r} 40 \\ 1 \\ 1.00 \end{array}$ | n.s. | n.s. |
| 42-44 | River Mile | 44 | n.s. | 42 | 44 | 44 | 44 |
|  | Total eggs | 2 |  | 0 | 1 | 17 | 0 |
|  | Egg density $\text { (No. } / 100 \mathrm{~m}^{3} \text { ) }$ | 1.87 |  |  | 0.97 | 15.68 |  |
| 45-47 | River Mile | 46 | n.s. | 46 | 46 | 46 | 47 |
|  | Total eggs | 2 |  | 0 | 8 | 42 | 1 |
|  | Egg density $\text { (No. } / 100 \mathrm{~m} 3 \text { ) }$ | 2.04 |  |  | 8.84 | 35.50 | 5.08 |
| 48-50 | River mile | 50 | 50 | 49 | 50 | 50 | 49 |
|  | Total eggs | 0 | 9 | 1 | 174 | 28 | 0 |
|  | Egg density $\text { (No. } / 100 \mathrm{~m}^{3} \text { ) }$ |  | 9.86 | 1.10 | 149.36 | 17.32 |  |
| 51-53 | River mile | 53 | 52 | 52 | 53 | 53 | 51 |
|  | Total eggs | 5 | 17 | 1 | 221 | 18 | 0 |
|  | Egg density $\text { (No. } / 100 \mathrm{~m}^{3} \text { ) }$ | 5.69 | 10.90 | 0.85 | 161.31 | 12.89 |  |
| 54-56 | River mile | 56 | 56 | 55 | 56 | 56 | 54 |
|  | Total eggs | 14 | 22 | 1 | 347 | 9 | 2 |
|  | Egg density $\text { (No. } / 100 \mathrm{~m}^{3} \text { ) }$ | 12.36 | 25.11 | 0.84 | 476.65 | 10.01 | 9.66 |
| 57-59 | River mile | 57 | 58 | 58 | 57 | 57 | 59 |
|  | Total eggs | 14 | 33 | 3 | 108 | 10 | 0 |
|  | Egg density $\text { (No. } / 100 \mathrm{~m} 3 \text { ) }$ | 27.89 | 27.52 | 3.96 | 102.56 | 6.09 |  |
| 60-62 | River mile | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 62 |
|  | Total eggs | 14 | 25 | 0 | 23 | 1 | 0 |
|  | Egg density $\text { (No. } / 100 \mathrm{~m}^{3} \text { ) }$ | 11.61 | 22.83 |  | 19.18 | 0.73 |  |
| 63-65 | River Mile | 64 | 64 | 65 | 64 | n.s. | n.s. |
|  | Total eggs | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
|  | Egg density <br> (No. $1100 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 5 (Cont'd)

| River Mile |  | $4 / 9$ | $4 / 15$ | $4 / 22$ | $\frac{\text { Date }}{4 / 29}$ | $5 / 5$ | $5 / 13$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Stratum |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $66-68$ | River Mile | 67 | 68 | 68 | 67 | n.s. | n.s. |
|  | Total eggs |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
|  | Egg density |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (No./100m3) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 6. Total catches of striped bass eggs from the James River, spring 1983 (n.s. = stratum not sampled).

| River mileStratum |  |  |  | Date |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 4/8 | 4/14 | 4/26 | 5/2 | 5/8 |
| 33-35 | River mile | n.s. | n.s. | n.s. | 33 | n.s. |
|  | Total eggs |  |  |  | 19 |  |
|  | Egg density |  |  |  | 13.32 |  |
|  | (No. $1100 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 36-38 | River mile | n.s. | n.s. | 36 | 36 | n.s. |
|  | Total eggs |  |  | 3 | 52 |  |
|  | Egg density |  |  | 3.22 | 49.57 |  |
|  | (No./100m ${ }^{3}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 39-41 | River mile | n.s. | n.s. | 39 | 39 | n.s. |
|  | Total eggs |  |  | 10 | 43 |  |
|  | Egg density |  |  | 8.98 | 36.63 |  |
| 42-44 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | River mile | 42 | 44 | 42 | 42 | 42 |
|  | Total eggs | 0 | 0 | 22 | 7 | 0 |
|  | Egg density |  |  | 20.93 | 5.99 |  |
|  | (No./100m3) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 45-47 | River mile | 46 | 470 | 45 | 45 | 45 |
|  | Total eggs | 0 |  | 4 | 16 | 1 |
|  | Egg density |  |  | 3.89 | 13.06 | 0.47 |
|  | (No. $/ 100 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 48-50 | River mile | 49 | 48 | 50 | 50 | 50 |
|  | Total eggs | 0 | 0 | 0 | 233 | 1 |
|  | Egg density <br> (No./100m3) |  |  |  | 106.73 | 0.58 |
| 51-53 | River mile | 52 | 52 | 52 | 52 | 52 |
|  | Total eggs | 1 | 12 | 0 | 278 | 3 |
|  | Egg density <br> (No. $/ 100 \mathrm{~m} 3$ ) | 0.75 | 8.06 |  | 195.09 | 2.23 |
| 54-56 | River mile | 56 | 55 | 56 | 56 | 56 |
|  | Total eggs | 0 | 19 | 0 | 19 | 13 |
|  | Egg density <br> (No. $/ 100 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ ) |  | 20.08 |  | 10.39 | 6.40 |
| 57-59 | River mile | 58 | 58 | 58 | 58 | 58 |
|  | Total eggs | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 18 |
|  | Egg density (No. $/ 100 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ ) |  |  | 0.71 | 1.21 | 12.97 |

Table 6 (Cont'd)

| River mile |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stratum |  | 4/8 | 4/14 | 4/26 | 5/2 | 5/8 |
| 60-62 | River mile | 62 | 60 | 60 | 60 | n.s |
|  | Total eggs | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Egg density } \\ & \text { (No. } 1100 \mathrm{~m}^{3} \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 63-65 | River mile | 63 | 64 | n.s. | n.s. | n.s. |
|  | Total eggs | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Egg density } \\ & \text { (No. } / 100 \mathrm{~m}^{3} \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 7. Total catches of striped bass eggs from the Pamunkey River, spring 1983 (n.s. = stratum not sampled).

| River mile stratum |  | Date |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 4/5 | 4/13 | 4/23 | 4/27 | 5/4 | 5/11 |
| 27-29 | River mile | n.s. | n.s. | n.s. | 27/29 | 28 | n.s. |
| (This | Total eggs |  |  |  | 2/61 | 1 |  |
| stratum | Egg density |  |  |  | 2.3/64.1 | 2.39 |  |
| is in | (No./100m ${ }^{3}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| York R.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30-32 | River mile | 31 | 31 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 31 |
|  | Total eggs | 0 | 2 | 2 | 61 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Egg density |  | 1.87 | 2.01 | 65.38 |  |  |
|  | (No./100m3) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 33-35 | River mile | 33 | 34 | 34 | 35 | 33 | 33 |
|  | Total eggs | 0 | 34 | 3 | 70 | 34 | 4 |
|  | Egg density |  | 30.80 | 3.02 | 66.04 | 36.52 | 4.42 |
|  | $\text { (No. } / 100 \mathrm{~m}^{3} \text { ) }$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 36-38 | River mile | 38 | 37 | 37 | 36 | 36 | 36 |
|  | Total eggs | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 15 | 4 |
|  | Egg density |  |  |  | 53.44 | 16.18 | 5.70 |
|  | (No./100m3) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 39-41 | River Mile | 39 | 41 | 40 | 39 | 40 | 41 |
|  | Total eggs | 3 | 6 | 3 | 49 | 10 | 14 |
|  | Egg density | 3.55 | 5.6 | 2.17 | 45.92 | 15.55 | 18.59 |
|  | (No. $1100 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 42-44 | River mile | 44 | 44 | 43 | 43 | 43 | 44 |
|  | Total eggs | 0 | 1 | 2 | 10 | 18 | 1 |
|  | Egg density <br> (No. $1100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ ) |  | 0.72 | 1.94 | 13.25 | 23.02 | 2.09 |
| 45-47 | River mile | 45 | 45 | 47 | 46 | 47 | 45 |
|  | Total eggs | 0 | 1 | 0 | 56 | 37 | 3 |
|  | Egg density |  | 1.00 |  | 65.8 | 29.74 | 3.67 |
|  | $\text { (No. } / 100 \mathrm{~m}^{3} \text { ) }$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 48-50 | River Mile | 49 | 48 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 |
|  | Total eggs | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 1 |
|  | Egg density |  |  |  | 0.81 | 15.71 | 1.05 |
|  | $\text { (No. } / 100 \mathrm{~m}^{3} \text { ) }$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 51-53 | River mile | 53 | 53 | n.s. | 51 | 52 | .52 |
|  | Total eggs | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 4 | 1 |
|  | Egg density <br> (No/100 ${ }^{3}$ ) |  |  |  | 0.94 | 6.10 | 1.15 |

Table 8. Catches of striped bass larvae in the Rappahannock River, spring 1983.

|  |  | Date |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| River Mile |  | 5/5 | 5/13 |
| Stratum |  |  |  |
| 42-44 | River mile | 44 | 44 |
|  | Total larvae | 41 | 3 |
|  | Larval density $\text { (No. } / 100 \mathrm{~m}^{3} \text { ) }$ | 37.8 | 5.6 |
| 45-47 | River mile | 46 | 47 |
|  | Total larvae | 122 | 13 |
|  | Larval density <br> (No. $1100 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ ) | 103.1 | 65.9 |
| 48-50 | River mile | 50 | 49 |
|  | Total larvae | 63 | 0 |
|  | Larval density (No./100m3) | 38.9 | 0 |
| 51-53 | River mile | 53 | 51 |
|  | Total larvae | 111 | 5 |
|  | Larval density (No. $/ 100 \mathrm{iin}^{3}$ ) | 79.5 | 39.4 |
| 54-56 | River mile | 56 | 54 |
|  | Total larvae | 35 | 1 |
|  | Larval density (No. $/ 100 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ ) | 38.9 | 4.8 |
| 57-59 | River mile | 57 | 59 |
|  | Total larvae | 45 | 0 |
|  | Larval density $\text { (No. } / 100 \mathrm{~m}^{3} \text { ) }$ | 27.4 | 0 |
| 60-62 | River mile | 61 | 62 |
|  | Total larvae | 0 | 0 |
|  | Larval density (No. $/ 100 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ ) | 0 | 0 |
|  | Total larvae per cruise | 417 | 22 |

Table 9. Catches of striped bass larvae in the James River, spring 1983 (n.s. $=$ stratum not sampled).

|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| River mile |  |  |  |
| stratum |  | 5/2 | 5/8 |
| 33-35 | River mile | 33 | n.s. |
|  | Total larvae | 0 |  |
|  | Larval density ( $\mathrm{No} . / 100 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ ) | 0 |  |
| 36-38 | River mile | 36 | n.s. |
|  | Total larvae | 0 |  |
|  | Larval density (No. $/ 100 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ ) | 0 |  |
| 39-41 | River mile | 39 | n.s. |
|  | Total larvae | 0 |  |
|  | Larval density (No. $/ 100 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ ) | 0 |  |
| 42-44 | River mile | 42 | 42 |
|  | Total larvae | 0 | 14 |
|  | Larval density (No. $/ 100 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ ) | 0 | 9.6 |
| 45-47 | River mile | 45 | 45 |
|  | Total larvae | 30 | 15 |
|  | Larval density (No. $/ 100 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ ) | 24.5 | 7.0 |
| 48-50 | River mile | 50 | 50 |
|  | Total larvae | 5 | 54 |
|  | Larval density (No. $/ 100 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ ) | 2.3 | 31.5 |
| 51-53 | River mile |  |  |
|  | Total larvae | 1 | 18 |
|  | Larval density $\text { (No. } / 100 \mathrm{~m}^{3} \text { ) }$ | 0.7 | 13.4 |
| 54-56 | River mile | 56 | 56 |
|  | Total larvae | 0 | 12 |
|  | Larval density $\text { (No. } / 100 \mathrm{~m}^{3} \text { ) }$ | 0 | 5.9 |

## (Table 9 - Continued)

| River mile stratum |  | Date |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 5/2 | 5/8 |
| 57-59 | River mile | 58 | 58 |
|  | Total larvae | 0 | 6 |
|  | Larval density $\text { (No. } / 100 \mathrm{~m}^{3} \text { ) }$ | 0 | 4.3 |
| 60-62 | River mile | 60 | n.s. |
|  | Total larvae | 0 |  |
|  | Larval density (No. $1100 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ ) | 0 |  |
|  | Total larvae |  |  |
|  | per cruise | 36 | 119 |

Table 10. Catches of striped bass larvae in the Pamunkey River, spring 1983 (n.s. = not sampled).

|  |  | Date |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| River mile |  |  |  |
| stratum |  | 5/4 | 5/11 |
| 27-29 | River mile | 28 | n.s. |
| (This stratum | Total larvae | 1 |  |
| is in the York | Larval density | 2.3 |  |
| River) | (No./100m3) |  |  |
| 30-32 | River mile | 30 | 31 |
|  | Total larvae | 0 | 0 |
|  | Larval density (No. $1100 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ ) | 0 | 0 |
| 33-35 | River mile | 33 | 33 |
|  | Total larvae | 0 | 0 |
|  | Larval density (No. $/ 100 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ ) | 0 | 0 |
| 36-38 | River mile | 36 | 36 |
|  | Total larvae | 2 | 0 |
|  | Larval density (No. $/ 100 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ ) | 2.2 | 0 |
| 39-41 | River mile | 40 | 41 |
|  | Total larvae | 0 | 0 |
|  | Larval density (No. $/ 100 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ ) | 0 | 0 |
| 42-44 | River mile | 43 | 44 |
|  | Total larvae | 0 | 3 |
|  | Larval density (No. $/ 100 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ ) | 0 | 6.3 |
| 45-47 | River mile | 47 | 45 |
|  | Total larvae | 2 | 19 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Larva1 density } \\ & \text { (No. } / 100 \mathrm{~m} 3 \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | 1.6 | 23.2 |
| 48-50 | River mile | 50 | 50 |
|  | Total larvae | 0 | 6 |
|  | Larval density (No. $/ 100 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ ) | 0 | 6.3 |
| 51-53 | River mile | 52 | 52 |
|  | Total larvae | 0 | 5 |
|  | Larval density $\text { (No. } / 100 \mathrm{~m}^{3} \text { ) }$ | 0 | 5.7 |
|  | Total larvae per cruise | 5 | 36 |

Table 11. Length (mm NL) frequency distribution of striped bass larvae captured in May 1983. Abbreviations are J - James R.; P Pamunkey R.; R - Rappahannock R.

| Date | 5/2 | 5/4 | 5/5 | 5/8 | 5/11 | 5/13 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| River | J | P | R | J | P | R | Totals |
| $2.0-2.9$ | 8 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 18 |
| $3.0-3.9$ | 20 | 8 | 125 | 14 | 1 |  | 168 |
| $4.0-4.9$ | 8 |  | 176 | 55 | 11 |  | 250 |
| $5.0-5.9$ |  |  | 103 | 43 | 20 | 8 | 174 |
| $6.0-6.9$ |  |  | 7 | 6 |  | 10 | 23 |
| 7.0-7.9 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 2 |
| Totals | 36 | 9 | 417 | 119 | 33 | 21 | 635 |

Table 12. Summary of striped bass egg and larval survey data from major Virginia tributaries, 1980-1983.

| 1980-1982 | PAMUNKEY | MATTAPONI | JAMES | RAPPAHANNOCK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| First sampling date | 16 Apr 1980 | 18 Apr 1980 | 22 Apr 1981 | 5 Apr 1982 |
| Last sampling date | 13 Jun 1980 | 14 Jun 1980 | 18 Jun 1981 | 23 Jun 1982 |
| Total survey cruises | 13 | 13 | 9 | 19 |
| Total stations | 108 | 100 | 123 | 174 |
| Total eggs | 500 | 720 | 428 | 1976 |
| Total larvae | 162 | 153 | 431 | 4792 |
| 1983 |  |  |  |  |
| First sampling date | 5 Apr 1983 | - | 8 Apr 1983 | 9 Apr 1983 |
| Last sampling date | 11 May 1983 | - | 8 May 1983 | 13 May 1983 |
| Total survey cruises | 6 | - | 5 | 6 |
| Total stations | 50 | - | 41 | 49 |
| Total eggs | 569 | - | 776 | 1174 |
| Total larvae | 41 | - | 155 | 439 |

Table 13. Estimated total number of eggs ( $\mathrm{X} 10^{6}$ ) in the area represented by each station sampled on the Rappahannock River in 1982. Abbreviations used are NS - not sampled.

| STRATUM |  |  |  |  | DATE |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 Apr | 8 Apr | 16 Apr | 21 Apr | 23 Apr | 30 Apr | 4 May | 11 May | TOTAL | \% TOTAL |
| 39-41 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | 0 |
| 42-44 | NS | NS | NS | 0 | 0 | 1.606 | 0.217 | 0 | 1.823 | 0.6 |
| 45-47 | NS | NS | 0 | 4.141 | 1.000 | 5.764 | 1.198 | 0 | 12.103 | 4.0 |
| 48-50 | NS | 1.017 | 0 | 13.827 | 4.423 | 3.757 | 4.932 | 0 | 27.956 | 9.1 |
| 51-53 | NS | 7.687 | 0.910 | 16.859 | 17.376 | 3.107 | 2.356 | 0 | 48.295 | 15.8 |
| 54-56 | NS | 5.040 | 0.867 | 50.859 | 41.417 | 4.018 | 3.407 | 0 | 105.608 | 34.5 |
| 57-59 | 1.213 | 1.585 | 0 | 41.554 | 3.160 | 1.242 | 6.093 | 0.214 | 55.061 | 18.0 |
| 60-62 | 4.441 | 6.198 | 27.312 | 5.954 | 3.197 | 0.814 | 2.953 | 0 | 50.869 | 16.6 |
| 63-65 | 1.200 | 0 | 0.219 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.976 | 0.499 | 2.894 | 0.9 |
| 66-68 | 0.420 | 0 | 0.561 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.168 | 0 | 1.149 | 0.4 |
| 69-71 | 0 | 0 | 0 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | 0 | 0 |

.

Table 14. Estimated total number of eggs $\left(X 10^{6}\right)$ in the area represented by each station sampled
on the Rappahannock River in 1983. Abbreviations used are NS - not sampled.

| STRATUM | DATE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 9 Apr | 15 Apr | 22 Apr | 29 Apr | 5 May | 13 May | Total | \% Total |
| 39-41 | NS | NS | NS | 0.243 | NS | NS | 0.243 | 0.1 |
| 42-44 | 0.581 | NS | 0 | 0.301 | 4.872 | 0 | 5.754 | 2.7 |
| 45-47 | 0.567 | NS | 0 | 2.747 | 9.866 | 1.412 | 14.592 | 7.0 |
| 48-50 | 0 | 1.870 | 0.202 | 27.467 | 3.185 | 0 | 32.724 | 15.2 |
| 51-53 | 0.859 | 1.645 | 0.128 | 24.342 | 1.945 | 0 | 28.919 | 13.5 |
| 54-56 | 2.345 | 4.763 | 0.159 | 90.421 | 1.899 | 1.833 | 101.420 | 47.2 |
| 57-59 | 3.430 | 3.385 | 0.487 | 12.615 | 0.749 | 0 | 20.666 | 9.6 |
| 60-62 | 2.229 | 4.383 | 0 | 3.683 | 0.140 | 0 | 10.435 | 4.9 |
| 63-65 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | NS | NS | 0 | 0 |

Table 15. Estimated total number of eggs (X10 ${ }^{6}$ ) in the area represented by each station sampled on the James River in 1981. NS - not sampled

STRATUM
DATE

|  | 22 Apr | 27-28 Apr | 5-6 May | 13-15 May | Total | \% Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 33-35 | 0 | NS | NS | NS | 0 | 0 |
| 36-38 | 0 | NS | NS | NS | 0 | 0 |
| 39-41 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 42-44 | 0 | 0 | 6.787 | 0 | 6.787 | 9.5 |
| 45-47 | 0.465 | 0 | 2.384 | 0 | 2.849 | 4.0 |
| 48-50 | 0.441 | 0 | 0.567 | 0 | 1.008 | 1.4 |
| 51-53 | 4.050 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.050 | 5.7 |
| 54-56 | 0.506 | 2.156 | 0 | 0.726 | 3.388 | 4.8 |
| 57-59 | 15.155 | 9.421 | 2.602 | 1.159 | 28.337 | 39.8 |
| 60-62 | 2.028 | 3.069 | 12.871 | 0 | 17.968 | 25.2 |
| 63-65 | 0.565 | 0.106 | 5.127 | 0 | 5.798 | 8.1 |
| 66-68 | NS | 0 | 1.017 | 0 | 1.017 | 1.4 |

Table 16 . Estimated total number of eggs (X10 ${ }^{6}$ ) in the area represented by each station sampled on the James River in 1983. NS - not sampled

| STRATUM | DATE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 8 Apr | 14 Apr | 26 Apr | 2 May | 8 May | Total | \% Total |
| 33-35 | NS | NS | NS | 9.240 | NS | 9.240 | 4.0 |
| 36-38 | NS | NS | 2.463 | 37.916 | NS | 40.379 | 17.6 |
| 39-41 | NS | NS | 6.155 | 25.106 | NS | 31.261 | 13.6 |
| 42-44 | 0 | 0 | 11.993 | 3.432 | 0 | 15.425 | 6.7 |
| 45-47 | 0 | 0 | 1.651 | 5.541 | 0.199 | 7.391 | 3.2 |
| 48-50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37.014 | 0.201 | 37.215 | 16.2 |
| 51-53 | 0.263 | 2.827 | 0 | 68.418 | 0.782 | 72.290 | 31.5 |
| 54-56 | 0 | 5.934 | 0 | 3.070 | 1.891 | 10.895 | 4.7 |
| 57-59 | 0 | 0 | 0.270 | 0.460 | 4.927 | 5.657 | 2.5 |
| 60-62 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | NS | 0 | 0 |
| 63-65 | 0 | 0 | NS | NS | NS | 0 | 0 |
| 66-68 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | 0 |

Table 17. Estimated total number of eggs (X10 ${ }^{6}$ ) in the area represented by each station sampled on the Pamunkey River in 1980. NS - not sampled.

| STRATUM | DATE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 16 Apr | 22 Apr | 24 Apr | 30 Apr | 2 May | 8 May | 16 May | Total | \% Total |
| 27-29 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |  |
| 30-32 | 3.846 | 0.336 | 0 | 0 | 0.813 | 0 | 0 | 4.995 | 8.7 |
| 33-35 | 3.561 | 14.547 | 0.116 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18.224 | 31.8 |
| 36-38 | 1.355 | 2.978 | 1.858 | 0.884 | 0.138 | 0 | 0 | 7.213 | 12.6 |
| 39-41 | 2.304 | 0.684 | 0.191 | 5.038 | 0.873 | 0 | 0.131 | 9.221 | 16.1 |
| 42-44 | 0 | 1.476 | 1.574 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3.050 | 5.3 |
| 45-47 | 0 | 6.793 | 0.498 | 0.120 | 1.348 | 0.466 | 0.278 | 9.503 | 16.6 |
| 48-50 | 0 | 0 | 0.656 | 0 | 0.855 | 2.267 | 0.093 | 3.871 | 6.7 |
| 51-53 | 0 | 0.087 | 0 | 0.180 | 1.045 | 0 | 0 | 1.312 | 2.3 |

Table 18. Estimated total number of eggs ( $\mathrm{X} 10^{6}$ ) in the area represented by each station sampled on the Pamunkey River in 1983. NS - not sampled.

| Stratum | DATE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 Apr | 13 Apr | 23 Apr | 27 Apr | 4 May | 11 May | Total | \% Total |
| 27-29 | NS | NS | NS | 16.897 | 0.630 | NS | 17.527 | 19.8 |
| 30-32 | 0 | 0.288 | 0.310 | 10.069 | 0 | 0 | 10.666 | 12.1 |
| 33-35 | 0 | 4.201 | 0.412 | 9.008 | 4.984 | 0.603 | 19.208 | 21.7 |
| 36-38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6.605 | 1.999 | 0.705 | 9.309 | 10.5 |
| 39-41 | 0.452 | 0.713 | 0.276 | 5.850 | 1.981 | 2.368 | 11.642 | 13.2 |
| 42-44 | 0 | 0.087 | 0.235 | 1.603 | 2.785 | 0.253 | 4.964 | 5.6 |
| 45-47 | 0 | 0.122 | 0 | 8.041 | 3.634 | 0.444 | 12.246 | 13.8 |
| 48-50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.096 | 1.852 | 0.124 | 2.072 | 2.3 |
| 51-53 | 0 | 0 | NS | 0.096 | 0.620 | 0.117 | 0.833 | 0.9 |

Table 19 . Estimated total number of eggs (X10 ${ }^{6}$ ) in the area represented by each station sampled on the Mattaponi River, 1980.

| STRATUM | DATE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 18 Apr | 21 Apr | 25 Apr | 29 Apr | 1 May | 9 May | Total | \% Total |
| 30-32 | 4.593 | 0.107 | 0.813 | 0 | 5.561 | 0 | 11.074 | 21.0 |
| 33-35 | 2.237 | 11.700 | 8.366 | 0.515 | 4.930 | 0 | 27.748 | 52.7 |
| 36-38 | 1.301 | 1.105 | 7.494 | 1.837 | 0.287 | 0.291 | 12.315 | 23.4 |
| 39-41 | 0 | 0.316 | 0.750 | 0.159 | 0.140 | 0 | 1.365 | 2.6 |
| 42-44 | 0 | 0.153 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -0.153 | 0.3 |
| 45-47 | 0 | 0.030 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.030 | 0.1 |

Table 20. Annual spawning and female biomass estimates for the Rappahannock River, 1982-3. Abbreviations used are: $D_{i}$ - days represented by each cruise; $d_{i}$ - duration of the egg stage; $P_{i}$ - cruise egg production estimates; $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{P}_{i}}$ - variance estimate; CI - $95 \%$ confidence interval.


Table 21. Annual spawning and female biomass estimates for the James River, 1981 and 1983. Abbreviations used as in Table 20 .

| Year | Cruise Date | Daily Spawning Estimate (eggs X10 ${ }^{6}$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{D}_{i} \\ & \text { (days) } \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{d}_{i}$ <br> (days) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{P}_{i} \\ & \left(\text { eggs } \mathrm{X} 10^{7}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & S_{P_{i}}^{2} \\ & \left(X_{10}^{17}\right) \end{aligned}$ | Annual Egg Production (eggs $\mathrm{X} 10^{8}$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & C I \\ & \left(\text { eggs } \times 10^{8}\right) \end{aligned}$ | Female <br> Biomass <br> (Kg) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1981 | 22 Apr | 0.967 | 24 | 2.3 | 2.321 | 4.678 |  |  |  |
|  | 27-28 Apr | 2.108 | 7 | 1.9 | 1.475 | 0.269 |  |  |  |
|  | 5-6 May | 3.919 | 8 | 1.8 | 3.135 | 2.168 |  |  |  |
|  | 13-15 May | 0.377 | 5 | 1.7 | 0.189 | 0.001 |  |  | $\cdots$ |
| Annual To |  |  | 44 |  | 7.120 | 7.115 | 4.380 | $\pm 8.268$ | Not calculated |
| 1983 | 8 Apr | 0.003 | 10 | 3.1 | 0.263 | 0 |  |  |  |
|  | 14 Apr | 0.973 | 9 | 2.9 | 0.876 | 0.016 |  |  |  |
|  | 26 Apr | 2.503 | 9 | 3.0 | 2.253 | 1.269 |  |  |  |
|  | $2 \text { May }$ | 31.699 | 6 | 1.9 | 19.020 | 2.934 |  |  |  |
|  | 8 May | 0.800 | 10 | 1.7 | 0.800 | 0.895 |  |  |  |
| Annual To | al |  | 44 |  | 23.212 | 5.114 | 7.242 | $\pm 6.269$ | Not calculated |

Table 22. Annual spawning and female biomass estimates for the Pamunkey River, 1980 and 1983. Abbreviations used as in Table 20.

|  | Year | Cruise <br> Date | Daily Spawning Estimate (eggs X10 ${ }^{6}$ ) | $D_{i}$ <br> (days) | $\begin{aligned} & d_{i} \\ & \text { (days) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & P_{i} \\ & \left(\text { eggs } \times 10^{6}\right) \end{aligned}$ | ${\stackrel{S}{P_{i}}}^{2}\left(\mathrm{X} 10^{15}\right)$ | Annual Egg Production (eggs XIO ${ }^{8}$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CI} \\ & \left(\operatorname{eggs} \times 10^{8}\right) \end{aligned}$ | Female <br> Biomass <br> (Kg) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1980 | 16 Apr | 0.615 | 18 | 2.6 | 11.065 | 2.601 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 22. Apr | 6.725 | 4 | 2.1 | 26.901 | 8.522 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 24 Apr | 1. 223 | 4 | 1.9 | 4.893 | 1.333 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 30 Apr | 1.575 | 4 | 2.1 | 6.301 | 3.270 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2 May | 1.268 | 4 | 2.0 | 5.072 | 2.640 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 8 May | 0.390 | 7 | 1.9 | 2.733 | 0.982 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 16 May | 0.006 | 8 | 1.5 | 0.502 | 4.263 |  |  |  |  |
| Annual | Total |  |  | 45 |  | 57.467 | 23.611 | 1.723 | $\pm .581$ | 803 | N |
|  | 1983 | 5 Apr | 0.090 | 5 | 3.2 | 0.452 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 13 Apr | 0.601 | 9 | 2.8 | 5.412 | 7.959 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 23 Apr | 0.176 | 7 | 3.1 | 1.233 | 0.486 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 27 Apr | 10.593 | 5.5 | 2.8 | 58.264 | 1.203 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 4 May | 2.641 | 7 | 2.1 | 18.487 | - 3.399 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 11 May | 0.616 | 7.5 | 1.7 | 4.618 | 5.159 |  |  |  |  |
| Annual | Total |  |  | 44 |  | 88.466 | 41.206 | 2.193 | $\pm 1.624$ | 1022 |  |

Table 23. Annual spawning and biomass estimates for the Mattaponi River, 1980. Abbreviations used as in Table 20 .

| Cruise <br> Date | Daily Spawning <br> Estimate <br> (eggs X10 ${ }^{6}$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & D_{i} \\ & \text { (days) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{d}_{i} \\ & \text { (days) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{P}_{i} \\ & \left(\operatorname{eggs} \times 10^{6}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & S^{2} P_{i} \\ & \left(\times 10^{15}\right) \end{aligned}$ | Annual Egg Production (eggs X10 ${ }^{8}$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & C I \\ & \left(\operatorname{egg~X10}{ }^{7}\right) \end{aligned}$ | Female <br> Biomass <br> (Kg) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| 18 Apr | 0.440 | 18.5 | 2.5 | 8.131 | 1.175 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 21 Apr | 3.816 | 3.5 | 2.2 | 13.357 | 2.235 |
| 25 Apr | 4.356 | 4.0 | 1.8 | 17.424 | 1.195 |
| 29 Apr | 0.837 | 3.0 | 2.1 | 2.512 | 0.137 |
| 1 May | 2.183 | 5.0 | 2.2 | 10.917 | 2.350 |
| 9 May | 0.029 | 10.0 | 1.9 | 0.291 | 0 |
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