



University of North Dakota
UND Scholarly Commons

Psychology Student Publications

Department of Psychology

11-9-2020

Sexual Violence Intervention Acceptability Measure (SVIAM)

Sara K. Kuhn

University of North Dakota, sara.kuhn@und.edu

RaeAnn E. Anderson

University of North Dakota, raeann.anderson@UND.edu

Follow this and additional works at: <https://commons.und.edu/psych-stu>

 Part of the [Psychology Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Kuhn, Sara K. and Anderson, RaeAnn E., "Sexual Violence Intervention Acceptability Measure (SVIAM)" (2020). *Psychology Student Publications*. 3.

<https://commons.und.edu/psych-stu/3>

This Questionnaire/Survey is brought to you for free and open access by the Department of Psychology at UND Scholarly Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Psychology Student Publications by an authorized administrator of UND Scholarly Commons. For more information, please contact und.common@library.und.edu.

Sexual Violence Intervention Acceptability Measure (SVIAM)

Sara K. Kuhn & RaeAnn E. Anderson

Department of Psychology, University of North Dakota

Sara K. Kuhn  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3368-478X>

RaeAnn E. Anderson  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9938-0717>

Sexual Violence Intervention Acceptability Measure

1) How positively or negatively do you feel about this program*?

- 1 = Extremely negative about it
- 2 = Negative about it
- 3 = Slightly negative about it
- 4 = Neutral/Neither positive nor negative about it
- 5 = Slightly positive about it
- 6 = Positive about it
- 7 = Extremely positive about it

2) How easy or difficult do you think it will be for you to participate in this program?

- 1 = Extremely difficult
- 2 = Difficult
- 3 = Slightly difficult
- 4 = Neutral/Neither easy nor difficult
- 5 = Slightly easy
- 6 = Easy
- 7 = Extremely easy

3) How much do you agree with this statement:

This program aligns well with my personal value system.

- 1 = Strongly disagree
- 2 = Disagree
- 3 = Somewhat disagree
- 4 = Neutral/Neither agree nor disagree
- 5 = Somewhat agree
- 6 = Agree
- 7 = Strongly agree

4) How clear is your understanding of this program?

- 1 = Not at all clear
- 2 = Somewhat not clear
- 3 = Neutral/Neither clear nor unclear
- 4 = Somewhat clear
- 5 = Very clear

5) How much do you agree with this statement:

I understand how this program works.

- 1 = Strongly disagree
- 2 = Disagree
- 3 = Somewhat disagree
- 4 = Neutral/Neither agree nor disagree
- 5 = Somewhat agree
- 6 = Agree
- 7 = Strongly agree

6) In order to attend this program, would you (rate each from 1-5):

- 1 = Definitely not
- 2 = Probably not
- 3 = Might or might not
- 4 = Probably yes
- 5 = Definitely yes

- a) Pay \$30 (OR pay a babysitter \$30)? ____
- b) Miss class or work? ____
- c) Reschedule a date or outing? ____

7) Tell us more about what might STOP you from signing up to participate in this program:

8) Tell us more about what would make you MORE LIKELY to participate in this program:

9) How effective do you think this program will be in helping you to prevent sexual assault or rape?

- 1 = Not at all effective
- 2 = Somewhat not effective
- 3 = Neutral/Don't know
- 4 = Somewhat effective
- 5 = Very effective

10) How confident are you that you could successfully engage in and complete this program?

- 1 = Not at all confident
- 2 = Somewhat not confident
- 3 = Neutral/Don't know
- 5 = Somewhat confident
- 5 = Very confident

11) Would you recommend this program to a friend?

- Yes
- No
- Maybe/unsure. Tell us more: _____

12) Would you recommend this program to a friend who had experienced sexual assault or rape?

- Yes
- No
- Maybe/unsure. Tell us more: _____

*participants in our pilot research for face validity suggested the term “program” to refer to an intervention (e.g., a therapeutic intervention or training program for sexual violence prevention or sexual violence vulnerability reduction). The intervention name can also be inserted in place of “program.”

Items 1-10 are based on Sekhon and colleague's (2017) Theoretical Framework of Acceptability, version 2. Items 11-12 assess willingness to recommend the program.

- Item 1 assesses *Affective Attitude*
 - o "How an individual feels about the intervention" (Sekhon et al., 2017)
- Item 2 assesses *Burden*
 - o "The perceived amount of effort that is required to participate in the intervention" (Sekhon et al., 2017)
- Item 3 assesses *Ethicality*
 - o "The extent to which the intervention has good fit with an individual's value system" (Sekhon et al., 2017)
- Items 4 and 5 assess *Intervention Coherence*
 - o "The extent to which the intervention has good fit with an individual's value system" (Sekhon et al., 2017)
- Items 6, 7, and 8 assess *Opportunity Costs*
 - o "The extent to which benefits, profits, or values must be given up to engage in the intervention" (Sekhon et al., 2017)
- Item 9 assesses *Perceived Effectiveness*
 - o "The extent to which the intervention is perceived as likely to achieve its purpose" (Sekhon et al., 2017)
- Item 10 assesses *Self-Efficacy*
 - o "The participant's confidence that they can perform the behavior(s) required to participate in the intervention" (Sekhon et al., 2017)

Reference

Sekhon, M., Cartwright, M., & Francis, J.J. (2017). Acceptability of healthcare interventions: An overview of reviews and development of a theoretical framework. *BMC Health Services Research*, 17, 88. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-017-2031-8>