

University of North Dakota [UND Scholarly Commons](https://commons.und.edu/)

[Mechanical Engineering Faculty Publications](https://commons.und.edu/me-fac) **Department of Mechanical Engineering**

8-16-2020

Elmer FEM-Dakota: A unified open-source computational framework for electromagnetics and data analytics

Anjali Sandip University of North Dakota, anjali.sandip@und.edu

Follow this and additional works at: [https://commons.und.edu/me-fac](https://commons.und.edu/me-fac?utm_source=commons.und.edu%2Fme-fac%2F7&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

Part of the [Mechanical Engineering Commons,](http://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/293?utm_source=commons.und.edu%2Fme-fac%2F7&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages) and the [Other Physics Commons](http://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/207?utm_source=commons.und.edu%2Fme-fac%2F7&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

Recommended Citation

Sandip, Anjali, "Elmer FEM-Dakota: A unified open-source computational framework for electromagnetics and data analytics" (2020). Mechanical Engineering Faculty Publications. 7. [https://commons.und.edu/me-fac/7](https://commons.und.edu/me-fac/7?utm_source=commons.und.edu%2Fme-fac%2F7&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Department of Mechanical Engineering at UND Scholarly Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Mechanical Engineering Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of UND Scholarly Commons. For more information, please contact und.commons@library.und.edu.

Title / name of your software

Elmer FEM-Dakota: A unified open-source computational framework for electromagnetics and data analytics

Authors / main developers (incl. affiliations, addresses, email)

Anjali Sandip^a

a* Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of North Dakota, Grand Forks, ND 58202, USA

Abstract.

Open-source electromagnetic design software, Elmer FEM, was interfaced with data analytics toolkit, Dakota. Furthermore, the coupled software was validated against a benchmark test. The interface developed provides a unified open-source computational framework for electromagnetics and data analytics. Its key features include uncertainty quantification, surrogate modeling and parameter studies. This framework enables a richer understanding of model predictions to better design electric machines in a time sensitive manner.

Keywords:

Open-source; Elmer FEM; Dakota; Electromagnetic design software; Uncertainty quantification; Surrogate modelling

Table 1 – Code metadata (mandatory)

1. Motivation and Significance

Electromagnetic design software coupled with data analytics and parallel programming capabilities can better design electric machines in a time sensitive manner [[1], [2], [3], [4], [5]]. Over the past 5 decades, both, commercial and open-source software with parallel programming capabilities have been developed to design electric machinery [[6], [7], [8], [9]]. A major limitation of the commercial software is that it lacks the ability to customize the source code to better suit the needs of the electromagnetic design process. Open-source software poses a suitable alternative. Traditionally, open-source software lacked tools to perform data analytics which can both provide a richer understanding of model predictions and reduce the computational cost. More recently, there is a growing body of research that has integrated these tools into open-source electromagnetic design software [[10], [11], [12]]. Building on this methodology, the study couples open-source electromagnetic design software, Elmer FEM [13], with data analytics toolkit, Dakota [14]. The overarching goal was to develop an opensource unified framework for electromagnetics and data analytics.

Elmer FEM implements the finite element method (FEM). It is being actively developed by the Finnish IT Center for Science, with a new version released every 12 months. Written in modern Fortran, Elmer FEM's modular structure enables multi-physics simulations. Its parallel programming capabilities coupled with the ever increasing addition of features specific to design of electric machinery has made it, in recent years, an attractive alternative [[10], [15], [16]]. Elmer FEM has a strong user base, over 25,000 downloads/year, which includes academicians and major electric machine design companies such as ABB. The documentation is on par with the development and technical support is provided to users in a timely manner via Elmer user forums [[13], [17]].

Data analytics toolkit, Dakota, is written in C++. It is being actively developed by Sandia National Laboratory, with a new version released every 6 months. The toolkit, built to interface with simulation codes, is supplemented by extensive documentation. One of the primary advantages of Dakota is it enables the user to perform a wide range of data analyses by making minor modifications to its input file. A graphical user interface, parallel computing, and robust post-processing capabilities are some of the other key features of this program [14]. Dakota has a worldwide user community. It has been solicited by industry and major research organizations such as NASA [[18], [19]].

2. Software Description

The program developed integrates the capabilities of electromagnetic design software, Elmer FEM, and data analytics toolbox, Dakota. It is written in bash and can be run in serial or parallel. It uses functionality from the underlying packages. The program was developed to integrate Dakota version 6.10 with Elmer FEM version 8.1. It can be extended to other versions of Elmer FEM and Dakota with minor modifications. The interfacing approach is non-intrusive. The clear distinction between the files associated with Dakota and Elmer FEM in the integrated computational framework enhances its usability. The user is expected to set up the Dakota and Elmer FEM project files for their application. There are no constraints on the choice of the Dakota analysis method or Elmer FEM solver. The program along with examples are provided in the software repository.

Dakota invokes the interface program which provides the necessary instructions to perform data analysis on electromagnetic model/s. The interface program is divided into three parts: pre-processing, run and postprocessing. In the first part of the program, dprepro, a command line tool, is used to transfer new set of values for the chosen input parameters from Dakota to Elmer FEM. The complexity of the input parameter/s could range from boundary conditions to geometry and parameterized mesh [14]. To run the simulation for the new set of input parameter values, ElmerSolver is invoked using Dakota's external simulation interface. Once the simulation

has been completed, quantities of interest are extracted from the simulation results and transferred to the Dakota results file. If the stopping criteria are met, then the analysis is terminated and the results of the data analysis are recorded, else the control is transferred back to the pre-processing section of the interface program and the process repeats itself. Communication between Elmer FEM and Dakota is illustrated in Fig. 1.

Fig. 1. Flowchart of the Elmer FEM – Dakota interface program

3. Illustrative Examples

The interface program was validated against a 3D, steady state benchmark test: magnetic field induced by a potential difference applied over a wire of finite length [20, 21]. The material properties of the wire are that of copper. The wire is aligned in the z direction and is surrounded by air. The objective was to determine the effect of changes in wire radius (+ 10%) and electric conductivity (+ 2 x 10⁶ A/m-V) on maximum field value for joule heating.

Analytical model was implemented in MATLAB. The numerical model was built in Elmer FEM using unstructured, hexahedral mesh elements and quadratic linear elements. Elmer FEM's electromagnetic solver, WhitneyAV, was chosen for this study. The solver implements classic Lagrange interpolation and edge element basis functions to approximate the scalar and the vector potentials, respectively [21].

Latin hypercube sampling study was performed, with a sample size of 400. The input parameters were distributed normally. Asynchronous local parallelism was implemented, 4 evaluations performed concurrently. The results from the numerical model were in good agreement with the analytical model as shown in Fig. 2. The numerical model predicts that for the changes in the wire's material and geometric parameters, there is a 95% probability that the maximum field value for joule heating would vary between 4 and 11 watts. In addition, design and analysis of computer experiments (DACE) technique was employed to generate training data, a sample size of 50 was chosen. A linear regression fit was applied to the training data to construct the global surrogate model. Latin hypercube sampling study was then performed on the global surrogate model. The DACE technique was shown to reduce the total run time by 87% for the benchmark test while maintaining the predictive accuracy of the numerical model.

The benchmark test demonstrates the key capabilities of Elmer FEM-Dakota interface program: uncertainty quantification, surrogate modelling and parameter studies. The files required to reproduce the benchmark test are provided in the software repository.

Fig. 2. Comparison between analytical, numerical and surrogate model predictions for maximum joule heating. The probability density function histograms obtained from the results of the latin hypercube sampling study were fit to a normal distribution. There was a 4% discrepancy in the central tendency predictions between the numerical and the analytical model.

4. *Impact and conclusions*

Elmer FEM-Dakota interface program was developed to provide a unified open-source computational framework for electromagnetics and data analytics. This unification enables a richer understanding of model predictions in a time sensitive manner. It can be run in serial or parallel. The following features enhances the framework's usability: (1) There are no constraints on the choice of the Dakota analysis method or Elmer FEM solver, (2) The ability to perform a wide range of data analyses by making minor modifications to the Dakota input file, and (3) The clear distinction between the files associated with Dakota and Elmer FEM in the integrated computational framework.

In this study, the interface program was validated against a benchmark test in electromagnetics: magnetic field induced by a potential difference applied over a wire. The objective was to quantify the effect of uncertainties in geometric and material parameters on joule heating. Numerical simulations, developed in Elmer FEM, were run in parallel. The results were in good agreement with the analytical model as shown in Figure 2. Furthermore, surrogate-based global minimization was performed. This reduced the total run time by 87%.

The framework developed in this study provides tools to better design electric machines at reduced computational cost. For example, optimizing the design of electric machinery can be computationally expensive as it requires a full numerical solution of the electromagnetic field for each iteration. Employing the framework's surrogate modelling capabilities can lead to significant reduction in the computational cost. The framework can also be

applied to quantify the uncertainty in critical geometric parameters, such as air gaps, leading to improved predictions [22].

The interface program's primary application area is electromagnetics, but it can be easily extended to other multiphysics applications, such as thermo-mechanics, fluid-structure interactions, etc.

Role of the funding source

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Conflict of Interest

The author wishes to confirm that there are no known conflicts of interest associated with this publication and there has been no significant financial support for this work that could have influenced its outcome.

Acknowledgements

The author acknowledges the support provided on the Dakota and the Elmer user forums.

References

- [1] Chew WC, Michielssen E, Song JM, Jin JM. Fast and efficient algorithms in computational electromagnetics. Artech House, Inc.; 2001.
- [2] Sykulski, J.K. New challenges in design optimisation of electromagnetic devices. In ISEF 2015 XVII International Symposium on Electromagnetic Fields in Mechatronics, Electrical and Electronic Engineering 2015 Sep 10. [http://eprints.soton.ac.uk/id/eprint/381551.](http://eprints.soton.ac.uk/id/eprint/381551)
- [3] Chauviere C, Hesthaven JS, Lurati L. Computational modeling of uncertainty in time-domain electromagnetics. SIAM J Sci Comput. 2006;28(2):751-75. [https://doi.org/10.1137/040621673.](https://doi.org/10.1137/040621673)
- [4] Li P, Jiang LJ. Uncertainty quantification for electromagnetic systems using ASGC and DGTD method. IEEE Trans Electromagn Compat. 2015 Feb 27;57(4):754-63[. https://doi.org/10.1109/TEMC.2015.2403304.](https://doi.org/10.1109/TEMC.2015.2403304)
- [5] Jiang W, Jahns TM, Lipo TA, Taylor W, Suzuki Y. Machine design optimization based on finite element analysis in a high-throughput computing environment. In2012 IEEE Energy Conversion Congress and Exposition (ECCE) 2012 Sep 15 (pp. 869-876). IEEE.
- [6] COMSOL A. COMSOL multiphysics reference manual, version 5.5. COMSOL AB. 2019.
- [7] ANSYS I. ANSYS reference manual. Canonsburg, PA, USA. 2018.
- [8] Boulbes RJ. Troubleshooting Finite-Element Modeling with Abaqus. Basel, Switzerland: Springer Nature Switzerland AG; 2020.
- [9] Feng J, Santamouris M. Numerical techniques for electromagnetic simulation of daytime radiative cooling: A review. AIMS Mater. Sci. 2019 Oct 24;6(6):1049. <https://doi.org/10.3934/matersci.2019.6.1049>
- [10] Bonneel P, Le Besnerais J, Pile R, Devillers E. Pyleecan: an open-source Python object-oriented software for the multiphysic design optimization of electrical machines. In2018 XIII International Conference on Electrical Machines (ICEM) 2018 Sep 3 (pp. 948-954). IEEE. [https://doi.org/10.1109/ICELMACH.2018.8506884.](https://doi.org/10.1109/ICELMACH.2018.8506884)
- [11] Zhang J, Wang H, Zhu S, Lu T. Multi-Physics Multi-Objective Optimal Design of Bearingless Switched Reluctance

Motor Based on Finite-Element Method. Energies. 2019 Jan;12(12):2374. [https://doi.org/10.3390/en12122374.](https://doi.org/10.3390/en12122374)

- [12] Warren C, Giannopoulos A, Gray A, Giannakis I, Patterson A, Wetter L, Hamrah A. A CUDA-based GPU engine for gprMax: Open source FDTD electromagnetic simulation software. Computer Physics Communications. 2019 Apr 1; 237:208-18.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2018.11.007>
- [13] Råback P, Malinen M. Overview of Elmer. CSC-IT Centre for Science. 2016.
- [14] Adams BM, Bohnhoff WJ, Dalbey KR, Eddy JP, Eldred MS, Gay DM, et al. DAKOTA, a multilevel parallel objectoriented framework for design optimization, parameter estimation, uncertainty quantification, and sensitivity analysis: version 5.0 user's manual. Sandia National Laboratories, Tech. Rep. SAND2010-2183. 2009 Dec.
- [15] Keränen J, Pippuri J, Malinen M, Ruokolainen J, Råback P, Lyly M, et al. Efficient parallel 3-D computation of electrical machines with Elmer. IEEE Trans Magn. 2015 Apr 23;51(3):1-4. [https://doi.org/10.1109/TMAG.2014.2356256.](https://doi.org/10.1109/TMAG.2014.2356256)
- [16] Vencels J, Råback P, Geža V. EOF-Library: Open-source Elmer FEM and OpenFOAM coupler for electromagnetics and fluid dynamics. SoftwareX. 2019 Jan 1; 9:68-72. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.softx.2019.01.007>
- [17] Ponomarev P, Keränen J, Pasanen P. Electromagnetic transient finite element 3D modelling of electrical machines using open-source tools. In2016 XXII International Conference on Electrical Machines (ICEM) 2016 Sep 4 (pp. 1657-1661). IEEE. [https://doi.org/10.1109/ICELMACH.2016.7732746.](https://doi.org/10.1109/ICELMACH.2016.7732746)
- [18] Schlegel NJ, Seroussi H, Schodlok MP, Larour EY, Boening C, Limonadi D, et al. Exploration of Antarctic Ice Sheet 100-year contribution to sea level rise and associated model uncertainties using the ISSM framework. Cryosphere. 2018 Nov 12;12(11):3511-34. https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-12-3511-2018, 2018.
- [19] Adams BM. Dakota: Benefits and Challenges of Lab-developed Open Source Scientific Software. Sandia National Lab.(SNL-NM), Albuquerque, NM (United States); 2016 Feb 1.
- [20] Bossavit A. Computational electromagnetism: variational formulations, complementarity, edge elements. Academic Press; 1998.
- [21] Råback P, Malinen M, Ruokolainen J, Pursula A, Zwinger T. Elmer models manual. Computation of magnetic fields in 3D, CSC–IT Center for Science, Helsinki, Finland. 2013 Feb 1.
- [22] Clénet S. Approximation Methods to Solve Stochastic Problems in Computational Electromagnetics. InScientific Computing in Electrical Engineering 2016 (pp. 199-214). Springer, Cham.