1 2 2	Extensive release of methane from Arctic seabed west of Svalbard during summer 2014 does not influence the atmosphere
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 C. Lund Myhre¹, B. Ferré², S. M.Platt¹, A. Silyakova², O. Hermansen¹, G. Allen³, I. Pisso¹, N. Schmidbauer¹, A. Stohl¹, J. Pitt³, P. Jansson², J. Greinert^{8,2}, C. Percival³, A. M. Fjaeraa¹, S. O'Shea³, M. Gallagher³, M. Le Breton³, K. Bower³, S. Bauguitte⁷, S. Dalsøren⁴, S. Vadakkepuliyambatta², R. E. Fisher⁶, E.G. Nisbet⁶, D. Lowry⁶, G.Myhre⁴, J. Pyle⁵, M. Cain⁵, J. Mienert²
10 11	1 NILU - Norwegian Institute for Air Research, PO Box 100, 2027 Kjeller, Norway
12 13 14	2 CAGE-Centre for Arctic Gas Hydrate, Environment and Climate, Department of Geology, University of Tromsø, 9037 Tromsø, Norway
14 15 16	3 School of Earth, Atmospheric and Environmental Science, University of Manchester, Oxford Rd, Manchester, M13 9PL, UK.
17 18 19 20	4 Center for International Climate and Environmental Research – Oslo (CICERO), Pb. 1129 Blindern, 0318 Oslo, Norway
20 21 22 23	5 National Centre for Atmospheric Science, Department of Chemistry, University of Cambridge, Lensfield Road, Cambridge CB2 1EW, UK
23 24 25	6 Royal Holloway, University of London, Egham, Surrey, TW20 0EX, UK
26 27 28	7 Facility for Airborne Atmospheric Measurements (FAAM), Building 125, Cranfield University, Cranfield, Bedford, MK43 0AL, UK
20 29	8 GEOMAR, Helmholtz-Zentrum für Ozeanforschung, Wischhofstr. 1-3, 24148 Kiel, Germany
30 31	Corresponding author: Cathrine Lund Myhre (<u>clm@nilu.no)</u>
32	
33	Key Points:
34 35 36 37 38 39	 Summer 2014 CH₄ release from seabed sediments west of Svalbard substantially increases CH₄ concentrations in the ocean, but not in the atmosphere The maximum flux to the atmosphere compatible with the observed atmospheric CH₄ mixing ratios is 2.4-3.8 nmol m⁻² s⁻¹ from 20 June – 1 August 2014 Ay ocean-atmosphere flux of the CH₄ accumulated beneath the pycnocline may only occur if physical processes remove this dynamic barrier
40 41 42 43	

44

45 Abstract

- 46 We find that summer methane (CH₄) release from seabed sediments west of Svalbard
- 47 substantially increases CH₄ concentrations in the ocean, but has limited influence on the
- 48 atmospheric CH₄ levels. Our conclusion stems from complementary measurements at the sea
- 49 floor, in the ocean, in the atmosphere from land-based, ship and aircraft platforms during a
- 50 summer campaign 2014. We detected high concentrations of dissolved CH₄ in the ocean above
- 51 the seafloor with a sharp decrease above the pycnocline. Model approaches taking potential CH_4
- emissions from both dissolved and bubble released CH_4 from a larger region into account, reveal a maximum flux compatible with the observed atmospheric CH_4 mixing ratios of 2.4-3.8 nmol
- m^{-2} s⁻¹. This is too low to have an impact on the atmospheric summer CH₄ mixing ratios of 2.4-3.8 mmol
- 55 2014. Long-term ocean observatories may shed light on the complex variations of Arctic CH₄
- 56 cycles throughout the year.

58 1 Introduction

59 The important greenhouse gas methane (CH₄) has large natural sources vulnerable to climate 60 change [Ciais et al., 2013, Myhre et al., 2013, Portnov et al., 2016]. The causes of the recent global average growth of ~6 ppb year⁻¹ since 2007 in atmospheric CH₄, including a marked 61 Arctic growth event in 2007, remain unclear [Nisbet et al. 2014, Kirschke et al. 2013]. 62 63 Decomposing methane hydrates (MHs) in marine sediments along continental margins are potentially a large natural source [Ruppel et al 2011]. How much of the CH₄ stored or formed by 64 65 biogenic processes in the Arctic subsea that escapes to the atmosphere remains an open question. Large CH_4 gas escape from the shallow seabed into the ocean column has been reported from 66 67 East Siberian shelves, particularly during storms [Shakhova et al., 2014], and from the Laptev and Kara Seas [Shakhova et al. 2010, Portnov et al., 2013]. Very high fluxes of CH₄ from sub-68 69 seabed sources to the atmosphere have been reported for the East Siberian Arctic Shelf (ESAS) [Shakhova et al. 2014, 2010], with flux values of ca. 70-450 nmol $m^{-2} s^{-1}$ under windy 70 conditions, with a postulated average total area (extrapolated) source magnitude of 17 Tg year⁻¹ 71 72 representing 3% of the global budget to the atmosphere. However, on the contrary it was recently found that ESAS region only emits from 0.5 to 4.5 Tg year⁻¹ (Berchet et al. 2016). Based on 73 74 continuous atmospheric observations. There are hundreds of gas plumes observed in the water, 75 suggestive of gas release north-west off Svalbard. Along the West Svalbard continental margin, 76 extensive gas bubbling from the sea floor has been observed in shallow water at 90-400 m depth 77 [this work, Knies et al., 2004; Westbrook et al, 2009; Rajan et al., 2012, Sahling et al., 2014; 78 Veloso et al., 2015; Graves et al., 2015; Steinle et al., 2015, Portnov et al., 2016] outside of 79 today's gas hydrate stability zone [Panieri et al., 2016]. It is unknown how much of the CH₄ flux 80 from the marine sediments in this region ultimately reaches the atmosphere [Fisher et al., 2011],

50 Irom the marine sediments in this region ultimately reaches the atmosphere [Fisher et al., 2011],

- 81 either through bubbles or flux of dissolved CH₄.
- 82

83 The amount of CH₄ stored within gas hydrates, or as dissolved and free gas, north of 60°N is 84 uncertain. Estimates as high as 1200 Gt have been reported [Biastoch et al 2011]. Some hydrate 85 deposits may be on the verge of instability due to ocean warming, leading to a debate whether CH₄ release could trigger positive feedback and accelerate climate warming [Archer, 2007, 86 Isaksen et al, 2011; Ferré et al., 2012]. There have been very few studies aimed at detecting and 87 88 quantifying the potential atmospheric enhancement of this oceanic source around Svalbard, and 89 estimating their fluxes contributions. The West Svalbard continental margin is warmed by the 90 northward flowing West Spitsbergen Current, the northernmost limb of the Gulf Stream. There 91 has been an increase in the bottom water temperature in this area of 1.5 °C [Ferré et al, 2012] 92 over the last 30 years, while the atmosphere has warmed by as much as 4 °C since the early 1970s [Nordli et al, 2014]. Continued warming in this region is expected [Collins et al, 2013]. 93 94 Consequently, it is crucial to determine whether, and how, CH₄ from the shallow shelf located 95 close to a stable gas hydrate zone on the upper continental margin reaches the atmosphere at 96 present, and how this might change in the future. To investigate this we have conducted an 97 intensive atmospheric and oceanographic survey (Figure 1) in an area with a known high density 98 of hydroacostically detected gas flares (indications of bubbles in echograms) west of Prins Karls 99 Forland, Svalbard, from 23 June to 2 July 2014, with atmospheric measurements continuing to 1 100 August. We investigated whether there was an atmospheric enhancement and impact during 101 summer time. The measurements were used in combination with three different models to 102 provide independent top down flux constraints, also taking into account potential emissions from 103 larger areas outside the focused campaign region for the period.

Confidential manuscript submitted to Geophysical Research Letter



Figure 1: Field campaign and measurement platforms at the sea floor, in the water column, and in the atmosphere west of Svalbard in June-July 2014. a) The location of the measurement area marked in red west of Svalbard. b) Illustration of the field activity from 23 June to 2 July (not to scale) 2014. Seeps on the seafloor, represented here by swath bathymetry, release gas bubbles that rise through the water column. The Research Vessel Helmer Hanssen detected gas bubbles and collected water samples at various depths, and provided online atmospheric CH₄, CO and CO₂ mixing ratios and discrete sampling of complementary trace gases and isotopic ratios. The Facility of Airborne Atmospheric Measurements (FAAM) aircraft measured numerous gases in the atmosphere, and an extended measurement program was performed at the Zeppelin Observatory close to Ny-Ålesund. c) Detailed map of the area of intense ship-borne measurements. The ship track (green line) covers an Arctic shelf region, ~80-200 m depth, as indicated by bathymetric data west of Prins Karls Forland (PKF), an area with numerous observed flares [Westbrook et al, 2009; Sahling et al., 2014; and this work, shown as pink symbols]. The location of the Zeppelin observatory is shown (green triangle), ~50 km from PKF. d) Flight track over the same region on 2nd July; altitude is given by the colour scale, and the area used for the flux calculation based on flight data is shown in grey.

105 2 Data and Methodology

106 2.1 Field platforms, measurements and data

107 An overview of the area together with the complementary measurement platforms is presented in Figure 1. The Research Vessel (RV) Helmer Hanssen was equipped with instruments to analyse 108 109 water samples from the sea surface down to the seabed and to monitor CH₄ atmospheric mixing 110 ratios from 20 June an onwards. A single-beam echosounder constantly recorded flares in 111 echograms; flares represent locations where bubbles are released from the seafloor which rise 112 through the water column [Veloso et al., 2015], and where we expect high dissolved CH₄ 113 concentrations. Figure 1c shows the ship's route during 24 -27 June 2014, together with 114 identified gas flares. Aircraft measurements during the campaign were performed as low as 115 \sim 15 m above the ocean, covering a larger area than the ship, for a short time (flights were around 116 4 h in duration). Figure 1d shows the 'Facility of Airborne Atmospheric Measurements' 117 (FAAM) aircraft path and height on 2 July 2014 in the area, and the location of the flares 118 identified [see Pitt et al., 2016; O'Shea et al, 2013; Allen et al., 2011 for details of the aircraft 119 and instrumentation]. Finally, measurements of the atmospheric composition at the nearby 120 Zeppelin Observatory include continuous CH₄ measurement and daily sampling of CH₄ isotopic 121 ratios, amongst others (see Table S1); Figure S1 shows the locations. A description of all 122 instruments and methods employed is included in the supplementary information. Table S1 gives 123 an overview of the instruments from all platforms involved.

124

125

126

127 **2.2** Model tools for data analysis and top-down flux estimations

128 Potential CH₄ seep locations around Svalbard were determined by MH stability modelling. The 129 MH stability model (CSMHYD program, Sloan and Koh, 2008) was used taking bottom water 130 temperatures (World Ocean Database, 2013) and sediment thermal gradients (Global Heatflow 131 Database) from around Svalbard as input parameters. Locations where the hydrate stability zone 132 outcrops at the seabed, are considered to be potential CH₄ seep locations. These locations were 133 supplemented with all known CH₄ seeps [this work, Sahling et al., 2014, Panieri et al, 2015]. The modelled potential methane seep locations and known methane seeps are illustrated in Figure 2 134 135 as light blue and red dots, respectively.

136



Figure 2: The identified (red) and potential (blue) seep locations around Svalbard as calculated by methane hydrate stability modelling.

138 In order to estimate CH₄ fluxes from the modelled seep area (blue in Figure 2) and identified

139 CH₄ seep areas (red in Figure 2), we used three different independent atmospheric models; ¹⁾ the

140 Lagrangian particle dispersion model FLEXPART [Stohl et al., 2005], ²⁾ the global chemical

141 transport model Oslo CTM3 [Søvde et al., 2012, Dalsøren et al., 2016], and ³⁾ a Lagrangian mass

balance box model [Karion et al., 2013; O'Shea et al. 2014]. See section S3 for details about

143 models and simulations.

144

145 **3 Results and Discussion**

146 **3.1 Observations in the ocean and atmosphere**

147 We present the results following the methane migration path from the sea floor through the water 148 column into the lowermost atmosphere close to the sea surface (ship) and higher up using flight 149 data covering a larger area. Figure 3 illustrates the dissolved CH₄ concentrations sampled over 150 the investigated area. Elevated concentrations were found around the most extended cluster of 151 flares and the CH_4 distribution shows a rapid change at about ~50 m water depth, with the 152 highest dissolved CH₄ concentrations near the seafloor ~150 m depth. Little CH₄ is found above 153 the pycnocline (boundary where the density gradient is greatest, affected by temperature and 154 salinity), but sea surface CH₄ concentrations are still oversaturated with respect to atmospheric

155 concentrations in a few places eastward, close to the shore

Confidential manuscript submitted to Geophysical Research Letter



Figure 3: CH₄ concentrations from a hydrocast survey offshore of Prins Karls Forland. The first three bottles were taken 5, 15 and 30 meters above the seafloor and the last three bottles were taken 10, 20 and 30 meters below the sea surface. The rest of the samples were spread equally in the water column depending on the bottom depth. CH₄ concentrations in the ocean are illustrated by coloured dots (scale on the bottom left in nmol L⁻¹). Black dots indicate the location of the gas flares. Isobaths are from IBCAO v.3 grid and the superimposed higher resolution bathymetry is from the multi-beam survey performed during the RV Helmer Hanssen cruise, data were recorded over the period 25 June – 1 July 2014.

- 157 The sea-surface CH₄ ocean concentrations (Figure 4a) and the atmospheric mixing ratio
- 158 measured by both the ship (Figure 4b) and the aircraft (Figure 4c) show very similar patterns. In
- 159 the surface water CH₄ was generally <8 nmol L^{-1} (Figure 4a) with a median of 4.8 nmol L^{-1} . A
- 160 maximum of 26 nmol L^{-1} was found near the shore, where no gas flares are found in the vicinity
- 161 (Figure 4 a/b). The elevated surface water CH_4 concentrations coincide with a small increase (<2
- 162 ppb) of atmospheric CH₄ mixing ratio detected by the ship. This slightly elevated CH₄ close to
- the shore is probably not due to CH_4 released from the seafloor/seeps. Figure 3 and Figure 4
- 164 show that the bottom CH_4 concentrations are low in this coastal area. A simultaneous decrease in
- salinity suggests the intrusion of methane-enriched fresher water [Damm et al., 2005] increasing
- 166 the dissolved sea surface-near CH₄ concentrations in this particular area.
- 167
- 168 A 6 km transect was sampled twice in one week by the *Helmer Hanssen* to monitor rapid
- variations of oceanographic conditions and their effects on the dissolved CH₄ distribution. The
- 170 maximum bottom water CH_4 concentration doubled in one week from 200 to 400 nmol L^{-1} (see

- Figure 4d and S2) while bottom water temperatures remained relatively stable. At the same time, the concentrations above the pycnocline and at sea surface remained relatively stable and low (4-
- 172 the concentrations above the pychochne and at sea surface remained relatively stable and low 173 $11 \text{ nmol } L^{-1}$ and ~10 nmol L^{-1} in the surface water on 24th June and 1st July, respectively).
- 174

174 175 This is in agreement with changes reported by Steinle et al, [2015] for bottom and sea surface

175 This is in agreement with changes reported by Steinle et al, [2015] for bottom and sea surface 176 water. This change in concentration can be explained either by slower advection during the later

- 176 water. This change in concentration can be explained either by slower advection during the later observations, or that the water was previously CH₄ enriched by an emission burst from one or
- several nearby seep sites. Gas bubble dissolution modelling from a previous study in the deeper
- area to the west of our study area, estimated that 80% of the bubble released CH₄ is dissolved
- below the summer pycnocline, and the remaining CH_4 is transported northwards where it is most
- 181 likely oxidised by methanotrophic bacteria [Gentz et al, 2014, Steinle et al. 2015]. A similar
- 182 conclusion came from a box modelling result of dissolved CH_4 indicating that ~60% of
- 183 CH₄released at the seafloor becomes already oxidized before it reaches the overlying surface
- 184 waters [Graves et al., 2015]. Although our single beam echosounder studies show bubbles
- reaching the sea surface, very little CH₄ remains in such bubbles by the time they reach the
- 186 surface [Greinert and McGinnies, 2009].
- 187
- 188 We compared data from the RV Helmer Hansen to those from the Zeppelin observatory for the
- 189 period from 20 June 1 August. The CH_4 mixing ratio measured aboard the ship during the
- 190 measurements off PKF agrees well with those recorded by the Zeppelin Observatory, as does the
- 191 isotopic ratio (see Supplementary Figure S3). Our measurements above the flares were not
- 192 influenced by long range transport of methane-enhanced-air masses from lower latitudes, as this
- 193 would have produced noticeable transient enhancements in CH₄, as exemplified in Figure S3.



Figure 4: Comparison of CH₄ variations in the ocean and atmosphere west of Svalbard, and corresponding CH₄ flux to the atmosphere. a) Contour plot of near-surface CH₄ concentration (colour scale) at ~10 m depth in the water column. CH_4 was measured by oceanographic conductivity/temperature/depth (CTD) stations (crosses) west of Prins Karls Forland (PKF). Observed flares are shown by pink markers, b) Contour plot of atmospheric CH₄ mixing ratio in ppb measured aboard RV Helmer Hanssen (colour scale). Ship track shown by black line, flares are shown by pink markers. c) CH_4 measured by the FAAM aircraft, flares are shown by pink markers. d) CH₄ concentration in the water column along a transect of CTD stations taken on 1st July 2014 showing a clear stratification of water masses with the pycnocline near 50 m water depth. Density is own as black contours. (The transect location offshore of Prins Karls Forland is shown in Figure S2, b). Panel e) CH₄ flux to the atmosphere at each CTD location as a function of ocean CH₄ concentration according to a diffusive model (green points). Flux previously modelled off Northern Siberia during stormy weather [Shakhova et al., 2011] is given by the grey point. Dashed lines show the model flux at different isotachs (lines of constant wind speed), assuming constant salinity and temperature (averaged over the sampling period used). Horizontal lines show the maximum possible flux constrained by the atmospheric measurements from the ship, according to FLEXPART and OsloCTM3 models. FLEXPART and CTM constraints are for the atmospheric sampling period 20 June – 1 Aug and will vary with weather patterns.

194

195 **3.2** Flux estimates from ocean to atmosphere in the Svalbard region during summer

196 We estimate the median ocean-atmosphere CH₄ flux based on observations in the ocean, in

- addition to 3 top-down constrain of the flux employing 3 independent models and the
- 198 atmospheric measurements.
- 199

We estimate a median ocean-atmosphere CH₄ flux of 0.04 nmol m⁻² s⁻¹ ($\sigma = 0.13$) from data at 200 201 each CTD station using an ocean-atmosphere gas exchange function [Wanninkhof et al, 2009, Figure 4e]. The maximum flux at the CTD stations is 0.8 nmol m⁻² s⁻¹ which occurred when both 202 203 dissolved CH₄ concentrations and wind speeds were high, 25 nmol L⁻¹ and 9 m s⁻¹ respectively. 204 This model only considers air-sea exchange via diffusion of dissolved CH₄ and not the 205 contribution of bubbles of gas reaching the surface. Figure 4e) shows the estimated flux at 206 different wind speeds, assuming constant salinity and temperature (average from the campaign). 207 Wind speed has a large effect: an increase from 5 to 10 ms⁻¹ increases the modelled flux by almost an order of magnitude. The atmospheric CH₄ air mixing ratios aboard the RV Helmer 208 209 Hanssen and at Zeppelin before, during, and after the ship-based measurements off Prins Karls 210 Forland were very similar, with small variations (Figure 4e/b). Hence, the CH₄ air mixing ratios 211 above active seep areas were representative of wider regional atmospheric concentrations, with no elevated levels or transient large increases. 212

213

214 To complement our observational based flux estimates of dissolved CH₄ we employed three 215 independent atmospheric models to provide top-down constraints of the ocean-atmosphere flux, 216 given the atmospheric concentrations sampled by the aircraft and the ship. This approach also 217 take potential CH₄ from bubbles into account. We only detected a weak increase of 2 ppb in the 218 atmospheric mixing ratio at the ship location close to bubbles, reflecting the potential 219 enhancement from both dissolved CH₄ and CH₄ from bubbles. We calculated, using a 220 Lagrangian transport model (FLEXPART), the CH₄ enhancements at the ship for all locations that would result from a 1 nmol $m^{-2}s^{-1}$ flux from the area, encompassing the identified and the 221 222 potential CH₄ seep sites around Svalbard [Sahling et al., 2014, shown in Figure 2]. Running 223 FLEXPART backwards in time for all ship positions over the period 20 June to 1 August, the 224 modelled CH₄ enhancement is shown as the yellow line in the supplementary section, Figure S4; compared to the observations, no correlation ($r^2=0.003$) is evident. The most sensitive days are 225 226 the highest 20% modelled peaks, (bold yellow line). Using the most sensitive days from this 227 period we estimate a top down constraint on the flux from the seep areas of $<2.4\pm1.3$ nmol m⁻² s⁻ 228 ¹. This estimation assumes that all of the measured 2 ppb variation in the atmosphere is solely 229 due to a flux from the modelled seep areas around Svalbard (Figure 2). Similarly, using a 230 forward chemistry transport model (OsloCTM3, Søvde et al., 2012) a flux of 3.8 ±0.7 nmol m⁻² 231 s^{-1} was necessary to reproduce the 2 ppb increase in CH₄ at the ship, assuming the same emission 232 region shown in Figure 2. This is equivalent to an annual emission of only 0.06 Tg for a constant 233 flux throughout the year, very small compared to the total global annual emission of ca 600 Tg of 234 CH₄, [Kirschke et al. 2013]. In addition, we used the aircraft measurements to provide another 235 independent constrain on the maximum possible CH₄ flux in the region. The aircraft flew 236 transects below 100 m altitude upwind and downwind of the potential seep sites, but observed no 237 statistically significant change in CH₄ during these low level flights, see Figure 1d for altitudes. 238 A Lagrangian mass balance calculation (similar to that employed by O'Shea et al., 2014) leads to an estimated flux of 3.0 ± 17.1 nmol m⁻² s⁻¹. An estimated upper limit on the ocean-to-239 240 atmosphere CH₄ flux averaged over the grey shaded area shown in Figure 1d can then be quantified by the mean + 1σ value of 14.1 nmol m⁻² s⁻¹. This represents the maximum possible 241 242 flux for this area consistent with the aircraft CH₄ measurements and associated uncertainties. 243 244 FAAM aircraft measurements were also made in the same location off PKF in a previous

245 MAMM campaign in summer 2012 as part of the UK Methane in the Arctic Measurement and

246 Modelling project (MAMM – see Allen et al., 2014 for details). Similarly, any emission from the

- seep areas was not detectable among the other signals in the aircraft data. Forward calculations,
- 248 with a different dispersion model, led to very similar conclusions to those of 2014: that an
- emission flux of a few tens of nmol $m^{-2} s^{-1}$ would have been required to detect the emission in
- the aircraft data [M. Cain, personal communication, 2016].
- 251

In sharp contrast to the flux calculations from the measurement-led approaches discussed here (Table 1), the flux reported by Shakhova et al. [2014] from the East Siberian Arctic Shelf is more than two orders of magnitude larger, 70-450 nmol $m^{-2} s^{-1}$ under windy conditions, than our measurement-derived maximum for the period. Figure 4, panel e includes a comparison. Part of this large difference can be explained by both higher dissolved CH₄ concentrations in surface waters reported in the Siberian area (up to ~400 nmol L⁻¹) and the higher wind speeds reported by Shakhova et al. [2014]. Table 1 compiles our estimates of the spatially-averaged maximal flux

- in the region, as constrained by the atmospheric observations.
- 260
- 261

Table 1: Ocean to atmosphere CH4 flux constraints offshore Prins Karls Forland from differentindependent methodologies. The potential flux region is shown in Figure 2, and employingatmospheric observation from Zeppelin and Helmer Hanssen over the period 20 June – 1 August2014

Methodology	Maximum flux possible constrained by the atmospheric observations
	(nmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹)
FLEXPART ^a top-down, backward modelling	2.4 ± 1.4
OsloCTM3 ^b top-down forward modelling	<i>3.8</i> ± <i>1.4</i>
Lagrangian mass balancing - FAAM) ^c , top-down, exploring up-wind/down-wind variations	14.1

^a Lagrangian Particle Dispersion model [Stohl et al., 2005] ^b Chemical transport model [Søvde et al. 2012, Dalsøren et al 2016] ^c
 Lagrangian mass balance approach [Karion et al., 2013; O'Shea et al. 2014]. Note that the flux constrain based on the flight data is weaker; there was no statistically significant change in downwind CH₄ mixing ratio relative to the measured upwind

background, and this is the maximum possible flux that is consistent with the atmospheric flight measurements and associated uncertainties.

267

268 **4** Conclusion

Despite the obvious influence of seeps on dissolved CH₄ concentrations in the ocean west of
 Svalbard in June - July summer 2014, very little CH₄ reaches the atmosphere, neither as bubbles
 transported nor dissolved gas. The median wind speed was 6.6 m s⁻¹ during our campaign, and

the pycnocline remained stable. We suggest that dissolved methane captured below the

273 pycnocline may only be released to the atmosphere when physical processes remove this

dynamic barrier. In such a situation, dissolved CH₄ concentrations would rapidly decrease and

any large flux would most likely be transient. Consequently, we conclude that large CH₄ releases

to the atmosphere with strong impact on the atmospheric levels from sub-sea sources, including

- hydrates, do not occur to the west of Svalbard, presently. Shorter periods with large fluxes,
- 278 particular during other times of the year such as during ice break-up or storm events, might

occur. The role of the pycnocline in this context will be investigated in more detail during long-term ocean observatory recordings in the future.

- 281
- 282

283 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

- The project MOCA- *Methane Emissions from the Arctic OCean to the Atmosphere: Present and Future Climate Effects* is funded by the Research Council of Norway, grant no.225814
- 286 CAGE Centre for Arctic Gas Hydrate, Environment and Climate research work was supported
 287 by the Research Council of Norway through its Centres of Excellence funding scheme grant
- 288 no. 223259.
- Nordic Center of Excellence eSTICC (eScience Tool for Investigating Climate Change in northern high latitudes) funded by Nordforsk, grant no. 57001.
- 291
- 292 293

294 Supporting Information

295 296

297 **References**

- Allen, G., Coe, H., Clarke, A., Bretherton, C., Wood, R., Abel, S. J., Barrett, P., Brown, P.,
 George, R., Freitag, S., McNaughton, C., Howell, S., Shank, L., Kapustin, V., Brekhovskikh,
- 300 V., Kleinman, L., Lee, Y.-N., Springston, S., Toniazzo, T., Krejci, R., Fochesatto, J., Shaw,
- 301 G., Krecl, P., Brooks, B., McMeeking, G., Bower, K. N., Williams, P. I., Crosier, J., Crawford
- 302 I., Connolly, P., Allan, J. D., Covert, D., Bandy, A. R., Russell, L. M., Trembath, J., Bart, M.,
- 303 McQuaid, J. B., Wang, J., and Chand, D.: South East Pacific atmospheric composition and
- variability sampled along 20° S during VOCALS-REx, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 11, 5237-5262,
 doi:10.5194/acp-11-5237-2011, 2011.
- 306 Allen, G., Illingworth, S. M., O'Shea, S. J., Newman, S., Vance, A., Bauguitte, S. J.-B.,
- Marenco, F., Kent, J., Bower, K., Gallagher, M. W., Muller, J., Percival, C. J., Harlow, C.,
 Lee, J., and Taylor, J. P.: Atmospheric composition and thermodynamic retrievals from the
 ARIES airborne TIR-FTS system Part 2: Validation and results from aircraft campaigns,
- 310 Atmos. Meas. Tech., 7, 4401-4416, doi:10.5194/amt-7-4401-2014, 2014.
- Archer, D. Methane hydrate stability and anthropogenic climate change. *Biogeosciences* 4, 521–
 544 (2007).
- Berchet, A., Bousquet, P., Pison, I., Locatelli, R., Chevallier, F., Paris, J.-D., Dlugokencky, E. J.,
 Laurila, T., Hatakka, J., Viisanen, Y., Worthy, D. E. J., Nisbet, E., Fisher, R., France, J.,
- Lowry, D., Ivakhov, V., and Hermansen, O.: Atmospheric constraints on the methane emissions from the East Siberian Shelf, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 4147-4157,
- doi:10.5194/acp-16-4147-2016, (2016) 2016.
- Biastoch, A. *et al.* Rising Arctic Ocean temperatures cause gas hydrate destabilization and ocean
 acidification. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 38, L08602, doi:10.1029/2011gl047222 (2011).
- Bugna, G.C., J.P. Chanton, J.E. Young, W.C. Burnett and P.H. Cable. The importance of
 groundwater discharge to the methane budget of nearshore and continental shelf waters of the
 NE Gulf of Mexico Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 60, 4735-4746 (1996).
- 323 Ciais, P. *et al.* Carbon and other biogeochemical cycles. In: *Climate Change 2013 The Physical*
- 324 *Science Basis.* pp. 465-570. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press (2014).

- 325 Collins, M. *et al.* Long-term climate change: projections, commitments and irreversibility. In:
- *Climate Change 2013 The Physical Science Basis.* pp. 1029-1136. Cambridge: Cambridge
 University Press (2014).
- Dalsøren, S. B., Myhre, C. L., Myhre, G., Gomez-Pelaez, A. J., Søvde, O. A., Isaksen, I. S. A.,
 Weiss, R. F., and Harth, C. M.: Atmospheric methane evolution the last 40 years, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 16, 3099-3126, doi:10.5194/acp-16-3099-2016 (2016)
- Damm, E., Mackensen, A., Budeus, G., Faber, E. & Hanfland, C. Pathways of methane in
 seawater: Plume spreading in an Arctic shelf environment (SW-Spitsbergen). *Continent. Shelf Res.* 25, 1433–1452 (2005).
- Ferré, B., Mienert, J. & Feseker, T. Ocean temperature variability for the past 60 years on the
 Norwegian-Svalbard margin influences gas hydrate stability on human time scales. *J. Geophys. Res.: Oceans* 117, C10017, doi:10.1029/2012jc008300 (2012).
- Fisher, R. E. *et al.* Arctic methane sources: Isotopic evidence for atmospheric inputs. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 38, L21803, doi:10.1029/2011GL049319 (2011).
- Gentz, T. *et al.* A water column study of methane around gas flares located at the West
 Spitsbergen continental margin, *Continent. Shelf Res.* 72, 107–118 (2014).
- Graves, C. A. et al. Fluxes and fate of dissolved methane released at the seafloor at the landward
 limit of the gas hydrate stability zone offshore western Svalbard. *J. Geophys. Res.* Oceans
- **120,** doi:10.1002/2015JC011084 (2015).
- Greinert, J. & McGinnis, D.F. Single bubble dissolution model the graphical user interface
 SiBu-GUI. *Environ. Model. Software* 24, 1012-1013 (2009).
- Isaksen, I.S.A, Gauss, M., Myhre, G., Walter Anthony, K. M. & Ruppel, C. Strong atmospheric
 chemistry feedback to climate warming from Arctic methane emission. *Global Biogeochem. Cy.*, 25, GB2002, doi:10.1029/2010GB003845 (2011).
- Karion, A., *et al.* Methane emissions estimate from airborne measurements over a western
 United States natural gas field. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 40, 4393-4397 (2013).
- Kirschke, S. *et al.* Three decades of global methane sources and sinks. *Nature Geosci.* 6, 813823 (2013).
- Knies, J., Damm, E., Gutt, J., Mann, U. & Pinturier, L. Near-surface hydrocarbon anomalies in
 shelf sediments off Spitsbergen: Evidences for past seepages. *Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst.* 5,
 Q06003, doi:10.1029/2003GC000687 (2004).
- McGinnis, D. F., J. Greinert, Y. Artemov, S. E. Beaubien, and A. Wüest, Fate of rising methane
 bubbles in stratifiedwaters: How much methane reaches the atmosphere?, *J. Geophys. Res.*,
 111, C09007, doi:10.1029/2005JC003183 (2006).
- Myhre, G. *et al.* Anthropogenic and natural radiative forcing. In: *Climate Change 2013 The Physical Science Basis.* pp. 659-740. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press (2013).
- Nisbet, E. G., Dlugokencky, E. J. & Bousquet, P. Methane on the rise again. *Science* 343, 493-495 (2014).
- Nordlie, Ø, Przybylak, R., Ogilvie, A. E. J. & Isaksen, K. Long-term temperature trends and
 variability on Svalbard: the extended Svalbard Airport temperature series, 1898-2012. *Polar Res.* 33, 21349, doi:10.3402/polar.v33.21349, 2014.
- O'Shea, S. J., Bauguitte, S. J.-B., Gallagher, M. W., Lowry, D. & Percival, C. J. Development of
 a cavity-enhanced absorption spectrometer for airborne measurements of CH₄ and CO₂.
 Atmos. Meas. Tech. 6, 1095-1109 (2013).
- 383 O'Shea, S. J. *et al.* Area fluxes of carbon dioxide, methane, and carbon monoxide derived from
- airborne measurements around Greater London: A case study during summer 2012. J.
- 385 *Geophys. Res.: Atmos.* **119**, 4940-4952 (2014).

- Panieri et al., Gas hydrate deposits and methane seepages offshore western Svalbard and
 Storfjordrenna: Biogeochemical and biological investigations, CAGE15-2 cruise report
 (2015).
- Panieri, G., Graves, C.A., James, R.H., Paleo-methane emissions recorded in foraminifera near
 the landward limit of the gas hydrate stability zone offshore western Svalbard. G3,
 Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems, DOI: 10.1002/2015GC006153 (2016).
- 392 Pitt, J. R. *et al.* The development and evaluation of airborne in situ N₂O and CH₄ sampling using
- a quantum cascade laser absorption spectrometer (QCLAS). *Atmos. Meas. Tech.* 9, 63-77
 (2016).
- Portnov, A., Vadakkepuliyambatta, S., Mienert, J., Hubbard, A., Ice-sheet driven methane
 storage and release in the Arctic. Nature Communication; 7:10314, DOI:
 10.1038/ncomms10314 (2016).
- Portnov, A. *et al.* Offshore permafrost decay and massive seabed methane escape in water depths
 >20 m at the South Kara Sea shelf. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 40, 3962–3967 (2013).
- Rajan, A., Mienert, J. & Bünz, S. Acoustic evidence for a gas migration and release system in
 Arctic glaciated continental margins offshore NW-Svalbard. *Mar. Petrol. Geol.* 32, 36–49
 (2012).
- 403 Ruppel, C. D. Methane hydrates and contemporary climate change. *Nature Education* 404 *Knowledge* 3,10, 29, <u>http://www.nature.com/scitable/knowledge/library/methane-hydrates-</u>
 405 and-contemporary-climate-change-24314790 (2011).
- Sahling, H. *et al.* Gas emissions at the continental margin west of Svalbard: mapping, sampling, and quantification. *Biogeosciences* 11, 6029-6046 (2014).
- Shakhova, N. *et al.* Geochemical and geophysical evidence of methane release over the East
 Siberian Arctic Shelf. *J. Geophys. Res.* 115, C08007, doi:10.1029/2009JC005602 (2010).
- Shakhova, N. *et al.* Ebullition and storm-induced methane release from the East Siberian Arctic
 Shelf. *Nature Geosci.* 7, 64–70 (2014).
- Sloan, E. D. & Koh, C. A. Clathrate Hydrates of Natural Gases, Third Edition. Boca Raton, FL.:
 CRC Press (2008).
- Smith, A.J., Mienert, J., Bünz, S. & Greinert, J. Thermogenic methane injection via bubble
 transport into the upper Arctic Ocean from the hydrate-charged Vestnesa Ridge, Svalbard. *Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst.* 15, 1945-1959 (2014).
- 425 Steinle, L. *et al.* Water column methanotrophy controlled by a rapid oceanographic switch.
 426 *Nature Geosci.* 8, 378-382 (2015).
- Stohl, A., Forster, C., Frank, A., Seibert, P., & Wotawa, G. Technical note : The Lagrangian
 particle dispersion model FLEXPART version 6.2. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 5, 2461-2474 (2005).
- 429 Søvde, O. A. *et al.* The chemical transport model Oslo CTM3. *Geosci. Model Dev.* 5, 1441-1469
 430 (2012).
- Thompson, R. L., & Stohl, A. FLEXINVERT: an atmospheric Bayesian inversion framework for
 determining surface fluxes of trace species using an optimized grid. *Geophys. Mod. Dev.* 7,
 2223-2242 (2014).
- Veloso, M., Greinert, J., Mienert, J. & De Batist, M. A new methodology for quantifying bubble
 flow rates in deep water using split beam echosounder: examples form the Arctic offshore
 NW Svalbard. *Limnol. Oceanogr. Meth.*, doi:10.1002/lom3.10024 (2015).
- Westbrook, G. K. *et al.* Escape of methane gas from the seabed along the West Svalbard
 continental margin. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 36, L15608, doi:10.1029/2009gl039191 (2009).
- 439 Wanninkhof, R. *et al.* Advances in quantifying air-sea gas exchange and environmental forcing.
- 440 Annu. Rev. Marine. Sci. 1, 213-244 (2009).

- Whitemann, G., Hope, C., & Wadhams, P. Climate science: Vast costs of Arctic change. *Nature*442 499, 401–403 (2013).
- 443 Wiesenburg, D. A. & Guinasso, N. L. Jr. Equilibrium solubilities of methane, carbon monoxide,
- 444 and hydrogen in water and sea water. J. Chem. Eng. Data 24, 356-360 (1979.)