

---

The Journal of Physiology

<http://jp.msubmit.net>

**JP-TR-2015-271769R3**

**Title:** Cellular plasticity in the adult liver and stomach

**Authors:** Luigi Aloia  
Mikel McKie  
Meritxell Huch

**Author Conflict:** No competing interests declared

**Author Contribution:** Luigi Aloia: Conception and design; Manuscript Writing; Final approval of manuscript (required) Mikel McKie: Manuscript Writing; Final approval of manuscript (required) Meritxell Huch: Conception and design; Financial Support; Manuscript Writing; Final approval of manuscript (required)

**Running Title:** Cellular plasticity in the adult liver and stomach

**Dual Publication:** No

**Funding:** Wellcome Trust and the Royal Society: Meritxell Huch, 104151/Z/14/Z;  
MRC PhD fellowship: Mikel Alexander McKie, PMAG/440

## Cellular plasticity in the adult liver and stomach

Luigi Aloia<sup>1\*</sup>, Mikel Alexander Mckie<sup>1,3,\*</sup>, Meritxell Huch<sup>1,2,3§</sup>

1. Wellcome Trust/Cancer Research UK- Gurdon Institute Henry Wellcome Building of Cancer and Developmental Biology, University of Cambridge, Tennis Court Road, Cambridge CB2 1QN, UK.
2. Wellcome Trust - Medical Research Council Stem Cell Institute, University of Cambridge, Gleeson Building, Tennis Court Road, Cambridge CB2 1QR, UK.
3. Department of Physiology, Development and Neuroscience, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB2 3DY, UK

\*=equal contribution

§=corresponding author

### Correspondence

m.huch@gurdon.cam.ac.uk

### **Abstract:**

Adult tissues maintain function and architecture through robust homeostatic mechanisms mediated by self-renewing cells capable of generating all resident cell types. However, severe injury can challenge the regeneration potential of such a stem/progenitor compartment. Indeed, upon injury adult tissues can exhibit massive cellular plasticity in order to achieve proper tissue regeneration, circumventing an impaired stem/progenitor compartment. Several examples of such plasticity have been reported in both rapidly and slowly self-renewing organs and follow conserved mechanisms. Upon loss of the cellular compartment responsible for maintaining homeostasis, quiescent or slowly-proliferating stem/progenitor cells can acquire high proliferation potential and turn into active stem cells, or, alternatively, mature cells can de-differentiate into stem-like cells or re-enter the cell cycle to compensate for the tissue loss. This extensive cellular plasticity acts as a key mechanism to respond to multiple stimuli in a context-dependent manner, enabling tissue regeneration in a robust fashion. In this review cellular plasticity in the adult liver and stomach will be examined, highlighting the diverse cell populations capable of repairing the damaged tissue.

### **Introduction: cellular plasticity in tissue homeostasis and regeneration**

Throughout adult life, tissues maintain cellular function and constant cell number through robust homeostatic mechanisms that maintain the fragile equilibrium between proliferation and differentiation. The rate of cellular proliferation depends on the turnover requirement of the tissue (Sanchez Alvarado & Yamanaka, 2014). For example, in the mammalian system, the intestine and the skin are amongst the organs with the highest cellular turnover (Blanpain & Fuchs, 2009; Barker, 2014; Blanpain & Fuchs, 2014; Tetteh *et al.*, 2015). Such tissues take advantage of specific adult stem cell compartments, which are able to generate all the cell types of the resident tissue in order to support homeostasis (Morrison & Spradling, 2008). Adult tissues with low cellular turnover, such as the liver, have been shown to be maintained by differentiated adult cells (Malato *et al.*, 2011; Tarlow *et al.*, 2014; Yanger *et al.*, 2014) or by quiescent/slowly-proliferating subpopulations with stem/progenitor properties (Miyajima *et al.*, 2014; Font-Burgada *et al.*, 2015; Wang *et al.*, 2015a). Importantly, damage experiments pushing tissues beyond the regenerative capacity of their resident stem cell compartments have recently indicated that adult tissues might possess vast cellular plasticity. Here plasticity is defined as the ability of a cell to acquire novel features or adopt alternative fates in a tissue-specific, controlled manner, in response to distinct context-dependent intracellular or extracellular cues. Of note, unlike trans-differentiation, where cell-fate can change between different lineages, cellular plasticity occurs within a specific tissue lineage. There are examples of cellular plasticity in both rapidly self-renewing organs (e.g. skin, intestine and stomach) and slowly self-renewing organs (e.g. liver, pancreas, kidney, lung) (Blanpain & Fuchs, 2009; Goulas *et al.*, 2012; Zhu *et al.*, 2013; Barker, 2014; Blanpain & Fuchs, 2014; Richmond *et al.*, 2015; Tetteh *et al.*, 2015; Wang *et al.*, 2015b). In a slowly-proliferating tissue such as the liver, cellular plasticity may allow differentiated cell types to de-differentiate into stem/progenitor-like cells upon tissue damage or may serve to turn resident slowly-proliferating and quiescent stem/progenitor cells into highly proliferating stem/progenitor populations. One could argue that rapidly self-renewing organs do not require cellular plasticity in order to maintain tissue architecture and function upon injury. However, a fascinating prospect is that in rapidly self-renewing organs, cellular plasticity could add a layer of redundancy during tissue regeneration. This becomes evident in situations where the stem cell compartment is compromised during severe damage (Blanpain & Fuchs, 2014). For instance, the highly proliferative isthmus region of the corpus epithelium

in the stomach is thought to be the major stem cell zone (Karam & Leblond, 1993; Hayakawa *et al.*, 2015). However, under conditions where the isthmus region is lost or unable to perform its function, mature chief cells gain stem cell properties and replenish the corpus epithelium (Stange *et al.*, 2013). Altogether, cellular plasticity allows for robust tissue repair by providing redundant mechanisms that enable regeneration. This review aims to examine the role of cellular plasticity in tissue homeostasis and regeneration in the adult liver and stomach [plasticity in other tissues has been elegantly reviewed in (Blanpain & Fuchs, 2014; Tetteh *et al.*, 2015)]. The molecular markers of the different populations exhibiting cellular plasticity in liver and stomach and how these organs respond to injury and repair the damaged tissue will be the main focus of this review.

### **Plasticity of the adult liver**

The liver is a critical organ for regulating homeostasis and metabolism. It has a highly organized architecture and contains several cell types, including hepatocytes, cholangiocytes (also named ductal cells), endothelial cells, Kupffer cells and stellate cells. Most of the metabolic functions are carried out by hepatocytes, which account for the vast majority of the organ size. Ductal cells are the epithelial cells forming the biliary ducts, which export bile (secreted by the hepatocytes) to the duodenum (Miyajima *et al.*, 2014; Gordillo *et al.*, 2015).

In the adult, the liver exhibits low physiological turnover. Several reports had indicated that tissue self-renewal under physiological conditions is maintained by mature cells (Malato *et al.*, 2011; Tarlow *et al.*, 2014; Yanger *et al.*, 2014). However, lineage-labelling studies have also recently supported a role for stem/progenitor compartments in liver homeostasis (Espanol-Suner *et al.*, 2012; Font-Burgada *et al.*, 2015; Lu *et al.*, 2015; Wang *et al.*, 2015a). A recent study has identified a diploid population of hepatocytes surrounding the central vein in the liver lobule expressing Axin2 (indicating that it is responsive to Wnt signaling) and the early hepatoblast marker Tbx3 (Wang *et al.*, 2015a). Axin2<sup>+</sup>/Tbx3<sup>+</sup> hepatocytes exhibit proliferation potential and are capable to generate hepatocytes during homeostasis, thus suggesting that they function as progenitor cells sustaining tissue homeostasis. However, as these are unipotent progenitors (only generating hepatocytes, albeit of different sub-types), it could be argued as to whether they strictly fulfill the definition of a stem cell, since

they lack multipotency. Similarly, Sox9<sup>+</sup> cells, marking both periportal hepatocytes (Font-Burgada *et al.*, 2015) as well as ductal cells (Furuyama *et al.*, 2011) might also act as progenitors contributing to the homeostasis of the hepatocyte and ductal compartment of the adult liver. The stemness potential of the ductal compartment during homeostasis has been elegantly reviewed elsewhere (Turner *et al.*, 2011; Cardinale *et al.*, 2012; Miyajima *et al.*, 2014; Dolle *et al.*, 2015; Verhulst *et al.*, 2015).

Importantly, the liver exerts remarkable regenerative capacity following damage (Zaret & Grompe, 2008). In those scenarios where the hepatocyte compartment is not severely compromised, adult hepatocytes re-enter the cell cycle in order to replenish the lost tissue (Malato *et al.*, 2011; Schaub *et al.*, 2014; Yanger *et al.*, 2014; Jors *et al.*, 2015). Whether diploid cells are responsible for regeneration or whether the tissue can exploit multiple sources to recover the lost liver volume remains to be addressed. Notably, transplantation studies have shown the ability of both diploid and polyploid hepatocytes to engraft into damaged livers (Duncan *et al.*, 2010). Upon chronic hepatocyte-depleting injuries, multiple potential scenarios have been described whereby cells interchange states and acquire non-default abilities in order to cope with the regenerative demand. A subset of periportal hepatocytes (expressing low amounts of Sox9 and other ductal markers) has been shown to contribute to regeneration (Font-Burgada *et al.*, 2015). Whether Sox9<sup>+</sup> periportal hepatocytes represent a subpopulation of mature hepatocytes or a unipotent progenitor compartment (capable of generating hepatocytes) remains to be clarified. In addition, the constant injury to the hepatocyte compartment might result in hepatocytes acquiring the features of proliferating bi-potent progenitors (capable of generating both hepatocytes and ductal cells) with ductal features (Tarlow *et al.*, 2014). One intriguing hypothesis is that, upon damage, the liver microenvironment may generate signals required to convert hepatocytes into ductal cells. Consistent with this hypothesis, it has been shown that ectopic Notch signalling is able to convert hepatocytes into ductal cells (Chen *et al.*, 2012; Fan *et al.*, 2012; Yanger *et al.*, 2013). Significant evidence indicates that the ductal compartment also plays an important role during regeneration upon significant hepatocyte loss. Indeed, upon severe liver damage, ductal cells have been shown to significantly increase their proliferative capacity acting as bi-potent stem/progenitor cells capable of generating both hepatocytes and ductal cells (Schmelzer *et al.*, 2007; Dorrell *et al.*, 2011; Shin *et al.*,

2011; Espanol-Suner *et al.*, 2012; Huch *et al.*, 2013a; Choi *et al.*, 2014b; Lu *et al.*, 2015). Whether all the cells of the ductal compartment or a specific subpopulation of putative stem/progenitor cells adopt these changes in proliferation and gene expression remains to be addressed. The extent of ductal cell contribution to regeneration seems to be both injury- and species-dependent. Although in most of the studies involving mouse models the contribution of ductal stem/progenitor cells to regeneration seems low, a recent study providing extensive damage has shown a major contribution of ductal cells to regeneration of the mouse liver (Lu *et al.*, 2015), as observed in other species, such as zebrafish (Choi *et al.*, 2014b) and rat (Michalopoulos, 2007). Importantly, ductal cells isolated from the healthy liver rapidly acquire proliferation and differentiation potential in culture and upon transplantation (Huch *et al.*, 2013b). The different approaches used to isolate these cells from the healthy liver have been elegantly discussed elsewhere (Tanaka & Miyajima, 2012; Miyajima *et al.*, 2014). Of note, two of these most recent approaches include the isolation of a Cd45-/Cd31-/Cd11b-/Cd26-/Mic1-1c3+/Cd133+ population (Dorrell *et al.*, 2014) and a Cd45-/Cd31-/Ter119-/Epcam+/Cd133+/Cd24+ population (Lu *et al.*, 2015). Interestingly, both populations exhibit a robust ability to self-renew in culture either as 3D proliferating organoids (Dorrell *et al.*, 2011; Huch *et al.*, 2013b) or as a 2D-monolayer (Lu *et al.*, 2015). Also, both populations have the ability to differentiate into hepatocytes and ductal cells *in vitro*, and engraft *in vivo* in either the FAH mouse model (Huch *et al.*, 2013b; Dorrell *et al.*, 2014) or in a novel model of hepatocyte senescence based on Mdm2 knock-out (Lu *et al.*, 2015). Further characterization will be required to establish whether these two populations represent multiple progenitor populations or whether they are different sides of the same coin. A side-by-side comparison of the respective markers, transcriptomes, self-renewal ability in the same culture system and engraftment ability in the same liver damage model would answer this question. Bi-potent ductal stem/progenitor cells retain the expression of ductal markers including EpCAM (Yovchev *et al.*, 2008), MIC1-1C3 (Dorrell *et al.*, 2008), Sox9 (Dorrell *et al.*, 2011; Furuyama *et al.*, 2011) and Osteopontin (Espanol-Suner *et al.*, 2012) but also acquire a specific molecular signature. Genetic lineage-tracing experiments have elucidated the transcription factor FoxL1 as a marker of actively proliferating ductal stem/progenitor cells (Sackett *et al.*, 2009; Shin *et al.*, 2011) and ablation of this specific population results in impaired tissue regeneration (Shin *et al.*, 2015). Similarly, the transmembrane glycoprotein

marker Trop2 (which is not expressed in healthy liver) has been shown to be activated in liver stem/progenitor cells following damage (Okabe *et al.*, 2009). Interestingly, Trop2 can undergo regulated proteolysis (Stoyanova *et al.*, 2012) in a similar fashion to the related protein EpCAM (Maetzel *et al.*, 2009). Of note, the Trop2 intracellular domain has been reported to promote Wnt signalling (Stoyanova *et al.*, 2012), thus suggesting that Wnt signalling might play a role in liver regeneration. Furthermore, several components of the Wnt signalling pathway (including Wnt6, R-spondin family members and Lgr5) are significantly up-regulated upon liver injury (Hu *et al.*, 2007; Huch *et al.*, 2013b). Taken together, these observations suggest an interesting concept whereby Wnt signalling levels would rise during regeneration to rapidly activate or re-program a highly proliferative state either in mature cells, quiescent or slowly-proliferating progenitors that could help to achieve faster recovery of the lost tissue. Furthermore, cells expressing Lgr5 were shown by lineage tracing studies to repopulate the hepatocyte and ductal compartments upon tissue damage (Huch *et al.*, 2013a). Lgr5 is the receptor for R-spondin family members (de Lau *et al.*, 2014) and an enhancer of Wnt signalling through the inhibition of Rnf43 and Znf3-dependent Wnt-receptor degradation (Koo *et al.*, 2012). Interestingly, Lgr5-positive cells isolated from injured livers show self-renewal and bi-potentiality *in vitro*. This injury-derived Lgr5-positive population can be clonally expanded as proliferating 3D organoid cultures that resemble proliferative ductal progenitors, while still retaining the ability to differentiate into functional hepatocytes both *in vitro* and, *in vivo* upon liver transplantation (Huch *et al.*, 2013b). Whether this injury-induced Lgr5-positive population is also a bi-potential population *in vivo* or whether independent Lgr5-expressing populations regenerate the ductal and hepatocyte lineages separately is still to be investigated. Of note, biliary ducts derived from healthy mouse and human liver, when cultured in a medium containing regenerative niche signals such as Wnt ligands, FGFs and HGF, also establish long-term expanding, 3D organoid cultures that, similar to Lgr5-positive cells, not only self-renew but also preserve the ability to differentiate into hepatocytes and ductal cells *in vitro* (Huch *et al.*, 2013b; Huch *et al.*, 2015). Therefore, organoid cultures represent an excellent tool for studying the activation of liver ductal cells and the potential regulatory mechanisms behind their plasticity. The use of 3D organoid cultures as a model of human and mouse development, adult homeostasis and regeneration has been reviewed elsewhere (Huch & Koo, 2015).

Taken together, the studies mentioned here highlight the high plasticity of the resident hepatocyte and ductal populations in the adult liver. Diploid hepatocytes (Font-Burgada *et al.*, 2015; Wang *et al.*, 2015a), fully differentiated parenchymal cells (Malato *et al.*, 2011; Schaub *et al.*, 2014; Tarlow *et al.*, 2014; Yanger *et al.*, 2014) and putative stem/progenitor cell populations (Dorrell *et al.*, 2008; Yovchev *et al.*, 2008; Okabe *et al.*, 2009; Espanol-Suner *et al.*, 2012; Tanaka & Miyajima, 2012; Dorrell *et al.*, 2014; Font-Burgada *et al.*, 2015; Wang *et al.*, 2015a), all have been reported to contribute to tissue homeostasis. In line with this, upon damage, both mature cells and putative stem/progenitor cells belonging to both hepatocyte and ductal compartments have been shown to contribute significantly to tissue regeneration (Okabe *et al.*, 2009; Dorrell *et al.*, 2011; Shin *et al.*, 2011; Espanol-Suner *et al.*, 2012; Huch *et al.*, 2013b; Choi *et al.*, 2014b; Lu *et al.*, 2015). Therefore, we can speculate that liver cellular plasticity is behind all these observations and that according to the place where the injury occurs and/or the type of toxic insult, resident ductal cells, hepatocytes and/or subpopulations of cells with stem cell-like properties contribute to tissue repair (Figure 1).

### **Plasticity of the adult stomach**

The stomach is an endoderm-derived organ, similar to the liver. In the embryo, the specification of the gastric epithelium is driven by the transcription factor Barx1, which mediates inhibition of Wnt signaling (Kim *et al.*, 2005; Mills & Shivdasani, 2011). Importantly, 3D organoid models of the stomach have recently been established from pluripotent stem cells, thus allowing the study of stomach development in a dish (McCracken *et al.*, 2014; Noguchi *et al.*, 2015). The adult stomach is a single-cell layer epithelium that can be divided into three anatomically distinct regions: the forestomach, the corpus and the antro-pylorus. The epithelium is invaginated into tubular structures called gastric units. Each gastric unit can be further stratified by distinct cellular composition (Vries *et al.*, 2010). The uppermost section (named the pit) contains mainly surface mucous cells. Deeper in the gland there is the isthmus region, which contains rapidly dividing cells (Karam & Leblond, 1993). At the base of the gastric unit there are the chief cells, which produce digestive enzymes, while enteroendocrine cells and parietal cells are distributed across the whole unit



(Barker *et al.*, 2010a; Goldenring *et al.*, 2011; Mills & Shivdasani, 2011; Choi *et al.*, 2014a).

In the adult, the stomach is constantly undergoing self-renewal. Several reports have elucidated that each gastric unit is monoclonal (derived from a single stem/progenitor cell) - (Bjerknes & Cheng, 2002; Giannakis *et al.*, 2006; McDonald *et al.*, 2008; Leushacke *et al.*, 2013; Choi *et al.*, 2014a) and gastric units contain multiple stem/progenitor populations (Bjerknes & Cheng, 2002; Giannakis *et al.*, 2006; McDonald *et al.*, 2008; Barker *et al.*, 2010b; Choi *et al.*, 2014a). Utilizing lineage tracing experiments, a self-renewing population marked by the expression of *Lgr5*, residing at the base of the antro-pyloric gastric unit, was shown to be a *bona fide* stem cell population in the stomach (Barker *et al.*, 2010b). Hence, *Lgr5*-positive cells act as multipotent stem/progenitor cells capable of self-renewing and giving rise to all cell types of the adult antro-pyloric gland. Interestingly, while *Lgr5*-positive cells do not contribute to the adult maintenance of the corpus region, they do contribute to postnatal development of both antro-pylorus and corpus (Barker *et al.*, 2010b). The vast majority of *Lgr5*-positive cells in the antro-pyloric region described above divide symmetrically. However, a small fraction of the *Lgr5*-positive compartment has been shown to adopt asymmetric cell division, thus suggesting that they can change fate in response to environmental changes (Leushacke *et al.*, 2013).

Further supporting the rich plasticity of the antro-pyloric cells, the study of stomach epithelial regeneration in this region has identified several cell types that can change fate upon certain types of damage. Thus, lineage tracing experiments have revealed the presence of rare Villin-positive cells below the isthmus region in the adult stomach (Qiao *et al.*, 2007). These Villin-positive cells act as a reservoir of multipotent stem/progenitor cells, exhibiting rapid proliferation and stem cell properties upon inflammation-mediated damage (Qiao *et al.*, 2007). It is also worth mentioning that a Sox2-positive population of multipotent stem/progenitor cells has also been identified both in the corpus and in the antro-pylorus (Arnold *et al.*, 2011). Sox2-positive cells are able to self-renew and generate all the different cell types of the gland under physiological conditions. Interestingly, the Sox2-positive stem/progenitor population does not overlap with *Lgr5*-positive cells in the pylorus, indicating that *Lgr5* and Sox2 mark two different populations. According to the number of cells identified per gland and their localization, the Sox2-positive population might overlap with Villin-positive stem/progenitor cells. However, Villin-

positive cells have been shown to proliferate only in response to inflammation, whereas Sox2-positive cells proliferate under homeostatic conditions (Arnold *et al.*, 2011). This suggests that Sox2 and Villin also mark two different populations that can acquire stem cell capacity (stem cell potential) under different conditions. Altogether, these reports suggest that, in the adult antro-pylorus, three different populations with stem cell potential exist: the isthmic Sox2-positive cells, self-renewing Lgr5-positive cells at the base of the glands and the quiescent Villin-positive cells.

Similar to the pylorus, several cell types that exhibit high cellular plasticity have also been identified in the corpus epithelium. Several reports indicate that differentiated chief cells are plastic by nature, as they can be activated upon parietal cell loss (Mills & Shivdasani, 2011). Interestingly, upon massive parietal cell loss post-mitotic chief cells generate a metaplastic cell lineage known as SPEM (spasmolytic polypeptide-expressing metaplasia). SPEM cells exhibit markers of both mucous neck cells and chief cells, as shown by genetic lineage tracing of the transcription factor Mist1 in several models of stomach injury (Nam *et al.*, 2010). Importantly, it was recently reported that Mist1 marks a quiescent stem cell population in the corpus (Hayakawa *et al.*, 2015). Further supporting the high plasticity of mature chief cells, lineage-tracing experiments have demonstrated that, in the corpus, mature chief cells expressing *Tnfrsf19* (also known as Troy) act as multipotent stem/progenitor cells upon damage to the proliferative isthmus compartment (Stange *et al.*, 2013). Under these conditions, non-proliferative, Troy-positive chief cells re-enter the cell cycle, expand and contribute to repopulate the entire corpus gastric unit. Of note, Troy-positive cells can be expanded as proliferating 3D organoids and eventually differentiate into mucous neck and pit cells according to culture conditions (Stange *et al.*, 2013). Thus, Troy-positive chief cells act as a quiescent reservoir of stem/progenitor population in the adult corpus, primed to restore tissue integrity upon damage. Interestingly, Troy is a Wnt target gene and Troy-positive cells present a “high Wnt” signature (Stange *et al.*, 2013). Furthermore, Troy has been reported to be involved in inhibiting Wnt signaling (Faflek *et al.*, 2013). These observations, together with the reports discussed above in the liver section, lead us to speculate that the Wnt signaling pathway might play a crucial role in tissue regeneration and the acquisition of cellular plasticity, at least in the two organs discussed in this review.

Taken together, these reports demonstrate the high diversity and plasticity present in the gastric epithelium and highlight the presence of several cells capable of

responding to specific injury stimuli in a context-dependent manner and activating a stem cell program to reinstate homeostasis (Figure 2).

### **Conclusions:**

Adult tissues have to be prepared to react to a huge variety of different insults, stimuli and intra- and extracellular cues. To achieve this, both rapidly and slowly self-renewing adult organs possess multiple populations which are able to change fate, thus allowing maintenance of tissue function in response to changes in environmental cues (Li & Clevers, 2010). Importantly, upon tissue damage, resident quiescent stem/progenitor cells (such as Villin-positive cells in the pylorus) exhibit high plasticity by becoming actively proliferating stem/progenitor cells capable of repopulating the tissue. As an additional mechanism, mature cells (such as Trophoblast-positive chief cells of the stomach or ductal and hepatocyte cells in the liver) can act as “reserve” stem/progenitor cells, which upon injury will de-differentiate, allowing them to proliferate, and subsequently re-differentiate to regenerate the tissue. Therefore, increasing evidence supports a role for cellular plasticity in injury response. Further studies will be needed in order to elucidate the diverse cell types involved and the molecular mechanisms responsible for cellular plasticity during tissue regeneration.

Of note, different adult tissues of the gastro-intestinal tract undergoing regeneration acquire a common molecular signature, including the expression of Sox9 (Furuyama *et al.*, 2011), Bmi1 (Sangiorgi & Capecchi, 2008; Zhu *et al.*, 2013) and the Wnt-target gene Lgr5 (Tetteh *et al.*, 2015), suggesting that regenerative mechanisms are conserved between tissues. Supporting this, the expression of several components of the Wnt cascade is increased in actively proliferating cells of the stomach, liver and intestine (Giannakis *et al.*, 2006; Huch *et al.*, 2013b; Stange *et al.*, 2013; Clevers *et al.*, 2014; Huch *et al.*, 2015). Importantly, the identification of stem cell markers has to be carefully confirmed by using independent experimental approaches ranging from lineage tracing to transplantation assays. It is important to note that lineage tracing experiments based on inducible Cre activity, so far representing the gold standard approach for identifying stem cell markers, have generated controversy and have highlighted important limitations due to the different efficiencies of recombinase induction and possible non-specific expression of the transgenes (Lemaigre, 2015). So

far, little is known about the downstream targets of adult stem cell markers. Surprisingly, very little is known about the epigenetic regulation of adult stem/progenitor cells of the gastro-intestinal tract. Of note, it has recently been reported that the Polycomb repressive complex, PRC1, sustains Wnt signalling in intestinal stem cells (Chiacchiera *et al.*, 2015). In agreement with this, the Polycomb member Bmi1 has been reported as a marker of adult stem/progenitor cells (Sangiorgi & Capecchi, 2008; Tian *et al.*, 2011; Zhu *et al.*, 2013; Lopez-Arribillaga *et al.*, 2015; Rinaldi & Benitah, 2015; Tetteh *et al.*, 2015). Therefore, it is feasible to speculate that the Polycomb proteins play a role in the epigenetic regulation of adult stem cells, as it has been described for the embryo (Aloia *et al.*, 2013).

Increasing evidence indicates that differentiated cells provide Wnt ligands and signalling enhancers to the stem/progenitor compartment, thus generating a niche and promoting the expansion of stem/progenitor cells (Clevers & Bevins, 2013; Huch *et al.*, 2013b; Clevers *et al.*, 2014; Wang *et al.*, 2015a). Therefore, the niche might play a crucial role in stimulating cell plasticity and tissue regeneration. An intriguing hypothesis is that depending on the place and type of injury, specific “niche” cells might stimulate specific regenerative processes required to repair the tissue. However, so far, little is known about the function and the identity of such niche cells *in vivo*. Identifying these niche factors and/or cells will provide novel insights into the regenerative processes and acquisition of plasticity.

An interesting hypothesis is that molecular mechanisms responsible for the plasticity of adult tissues in response to injury might be similar to the ones involved in the reprogramming of somatic cells into induced pluripotent stem cells (Yamanaka & Blau, 2010), albeit within the constraints of germ layer or tissue specificity. Therefore, a detailed comparative analysis of the molecular signature of stem/progenitor cells and cells involved in tissue regeneration versus somatic cells reprogrammed into induced pluripotent stem cells might provide novel insights into plasticity.

It is possible that cellular plasticity in adult tissues might be a double-edged sword. There are many theories that cells with ability to acquire stem cell fate could be the source of tumour-initiating cells (Goding *et al.*, 2014; Laugesen & Helin, 2014; Zeuner *et al.*, 2014; Jeter *et al.*, 2015). Accordingly, it was recently shown that tumour-initiating cells emerging during chronic liver disease exhibit the same molecular features of Lgr5-positive liver stem/progenitor populations (Nikolaou *et al.*,

2015). Such reports suggest that alterations in plasticity processes turning quiescent stem/progenitor cells into actively proliferating cells may ultimately result in carcinogenesis (Rountree *et al.*, 2012). Therefore, understanding how cellular plasticity works might provide novel insights to the molecular mechanisms involved in carcinogenesis and disease.

### **Acknowledgment**

We are grateful to Dr. Christopher J. Hindley for critical reading of the manuscript. MH is a Wellcome Trust Sir Henry Dale Fellow and is jointly funded by the Wellcome Trust and the Royal Society (104151/Z/14/Z). MM is an MRC PhD fellow (PMAG/440).

## Figure legends:

Figure 1: Plasticity of the adult liver.

A simplified scheme of a liver lobule composed of a biliary duct and surrounding hepatocytes is shown. Epithelial ductal cells (also named cholangiocytes) constitute the bile ducts that collect the bile secreted by hepatocytes. A facultative quiescent stem/progenitor population in the ductal compartment (depicted in green) has been suggested by several reports. Axin2-positive and Sox9-positive hepatocytes have been recently described as drivers of liver homeostasis and potential facultative stem/progenitor populations. Different mechanisms involving mature cells and stem/progenitor cells have been reported during tissue regeneration. Mature hepatocytes might de-differentiate into proliferating ductal-like stem/progenitor cells (in red) that, in turn, expand and differentiate. Alternatively, they might re-enter the cell cycle, re-acquiring proliferative potential and restoring the damaged parenchyma. Regarding stem/progenitor cell response, two main mechanisms have been reported: i) the ductal compartment can generate bipotential stem/progenitor cells (in red), which rapidly expand and differentiate into hepatocyte and ductal cells; ii) Sox9-positive hybrid hepatocytes can undergo extensive proliferation and generate mature hepatocytes.

Figure 2: Plasticity of the adult stomach

A simplified scheme of a gastric unit of the corpus and pylorus of the adult stomach is shown. In both the corpus and pylorus, highly proliferative isthmic cells (depicted in brown) and rare, self-renewing Sox2-positive multipotent stem/progenitor cells (depicted in red) have been observed.

In the corpus, both isthmic cells and Sox2-positive cells are able to generate the entire gastric unit during homeostasis. However, mature, Troy-positive chief cells (in light blue) act as a quiescent stem/progenitor population, re-entering the cell cycle and repopulating the gland upon damage.

In the pylorus, Lgr5-positive cells (in green), located at the base of the gland, act as self-renewing, multipotent stem/progenitor cells capable of repopulating the entire gastric unit under physiological conditions. Of note, Sox2-positive cells are also capable of generating the entire gland under physiological conditions (not shown). Upon inflammation, rare, quiescent Villin-positive stem/progenitor cells (in purple) become highly proliferating cells, which regenerate the damaged epithelium in the pylorus.

## Graphical Abstract: Mechanisms of cellular plasticity in regeneration

A healthy epithelium is composed of mature cells and may contain both quiescent and active stem cells. Under physiological conditions and upon mild damage, resident self-renewing stem cells and/or mature cells might expand and generate the different cell types of the tissue. Upon severe injury, at least two different scenarios are possible: quiescent or slowly proliferating stem/progenitor cells can become activated to replenish the epithelium or mature post-mitotic cell types may de-differentiate and gain stem cell characteristics or re-enter the cell cycle in order to facilitate repair.

## References:

- Aloia L, Di Stefano B & Di Croce L. (2013). Polycomb complexes in stem cells and embryonic development. *Development* **140**, 2525-2534.
- Arnold K, Sarkar A, Yram MA, Polo JM, Bronson R, Sengupta S, Seandel M, Geijsen N & Hochedlinger K. (2011). Sox2(+) adult stem and progenitor cells are important for tissue regeneration and survival of mice. *Cell stem cell* **9**, 317-329.
- Barker N. (2014). Adult intestinal stem cells: critical drivers of epithelial homeostasis and regeneration. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* **15**, 19-33.
- Barker N, Bartfeld S & Clevers H. (2010a). Tissue-resident adult stem cell populations of rapidly self-renewing organs. *Cell stem cell* **7**, 656-670.
- Barker N, Huch M, Kujala P, van de Wetering M, Snippert HJ, van Es JH, Sato T, Stange DE, Begthel H, van den Born M, Danenberg E, van den Brink S, Korving J, Abo A, Peters PJ, Wright N, Poulsom R & Clevers H. (2010b). Lgr5(+ve) stem cells drive self-renewal in the stomach and build long-lived gastric units in vitro. *Cell stem cell* **6**, 25-36.
- Bjerknes M & Cheng H. (2002). Multipotential stem cells in adult mouse gastric epithelium. *American journal of physiology Gastrointestinal and liver physiology* **283**, G767-777.
- Blanpain C & Fuchs E. (2009). Epidermal homeostasis: a balancing act of stem cells in the skin. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* **10**, 207-217.
- Blanpain C & Fuchs E. (2014). Stem cell plasticity. Plasticity of epithelial stem cells in tissue regeneration. *Science* **344**, 1242281.
- Cardinale V, Wang Y, Carpino G, Mendel G, Alpini G, Gaudio E, Reid LM & Alvaro D. (2012). The biliary tree--a reservoir of multipotent stem cells. *Nature reviews Gastroenterology & hepatology* **9**, 231-240.
- Chen Y, Wong PP, Sjeklocha L, Steer CJ & Sahin MB. (2012). Mature hepatocytes exhibit unexpected plasticity by direct dedifferentiation into liver progenitor cells in culture. *Hepatology* **55**, 563-574.
- Chiacchiera F, Rossi A, Jammula S, Piunti A, Scelfo A, Ordonez-Moran P, Huelsken J, Koseki H & Pasini D. (2015). Polycomb Complex PRC1 Preserves Intestinal Stem Cell Identity by Sustaining Wnt/beta-Catenin Transcriptional Activity. *Cell stem cell*.
- Choi E, Roland JT, Barlow BJ, O'Neal R, Rich AE, Nam KT, Shi C & Goldenring JR. (2014a). Cell lineage distribution atlas of the human stomach reveals heterogeneous gland populations in the gastric antrum. *Gut* **63**, 1711-1720.



- Choi TY, Ninov N, Stainier DY & Shin D. (2014b). Extensive conversion of hepatic biliary epithelial cells to hepatocytes after near total loss of hepatocytes in zebrafish. *Gastroenterology* **146**, 776-788.
- Clevers H, Loh KM & Nusse R. (2014). Stem cell signaling. An integral program for tissue renewal and regeneration: Wnt signaling and stem cell control. *Science* **346**, 1248012.
- Clevers HC & Bevins CL. (2013). Paneth cells: maestros of the small intestinal crypts. *Annual review of physiology* **75**, 289-311.
- de Lau W, Peng WC, Gros P & Clevers H. (2014). The R-spondin/Lgr5/Rnf43 module: regulator of Wnt signal strength. *Genes & development* **28**, 305-316.
- Dolle L, Theise ND, Schmelzer E, Boulter L, Gires O & van Grunsven LA. (2015). EpCAM and the biology of hepatic stem/progenitor cells. *American journal of physiology Gastrointestinal and liver physiology* **308**, G233-250.
- Dorrell C, Erker L, Lanxon-Cookson KM, Abraham SL, Victoroff T, Ro S, Canaday PS, Streeter PR & Grompe M. (2008). Surface markers for the murine oval cell response. *Hepatology* **48**, 1282-1291.
- Dorrell C, Erker L, Schug J, Kopp JL, Canaday PS, Fox AJ, Smirnova O, Duncan AW, Finegold MJ, Sander M, Kaestner KH & Grompe M. (2011). Prospective isolation of a bipotential clonogenic liver progenitor cell in adult mice. *Genes & development* **25**, 1193-1203.
- Dorrell C, Tarlow B, Wang Y, Canaday PS, Haft A, Schug J, Streeter PR, Finegold MJ, Shenje LT, Kaestner KH & Grompe M. (2014). The organoid-initiating cells in mouse pancreas and liver are phenotypically and functionally similar. *Stem cell research* **13**, 275-283.
- Duncan AW, Taylor MH, Hickey RD, Hanlon Newell AE, Lenzi ML, Olson SB, Finegold MJ & Grompe M. (2010). The ploidy conveyor of mature hepatocytes as a source of genetic variation. *Nature* **467**, 707-710.
- Espanol-Suner R, Carpentier R, Van Hul N, Legry V, Achouri Y, Cordi S, Jacquemin P, Lemaigre F & Leclercq IA. (2012). Liver progenitor cells yield functional hepatocytes in response to chronic liver injury in mice. *Gastroenterology* **143**, 1564-1575 e1567.
- Fafílek B, Krausová M, Vojtechová M, Pospíchalová V, Tůmová L, Sloncová E, Huránová M, Stancíková J, Hlavatá A, Svec J, Sedláček R, Luksan O, Oliverius M, Voska L, Jirsa M, Paces J, Kolar M, Krivjanská M, Klimesová K, Tlaskalová-Hogenová H & Korinek V. (2013). Troy, a tumor necrosis factor receptor family member, interacts with lgr5 to inhibit wnt signaling in intestinal stem cells. *Gastroenterology* **144**, 381-391.
- Fan B, Malato Y, Calvisi DF, Naqvi S, Razumilava N, Ribback S, Gores GJ, Dombrowski F, Evert M, Chen X & Willenbring H. (2012).

Cholangiocarcinomas can originate from hepatocytes in mice. *The Journal of clinical investigation* **122**, 2911-2915.

- Font-Burgada J, Shalapour S, Ramaswamy S, Hsueh B, Rossell D, Umemura A, Taniguchi K, Nakagawa H, Valasek MA, Ye L, Kopp JL, Sander M, Carter H, Deisseroth K, Verma IM & Karin M. (2015). Hybrid Periportal Hepatocytes Regenerate the Injured Liver without Giving Rise to Cancer. *Cell* **162**, 766-779.
- Furuyama K, Kawaguchi Y, Akiyama H, Horiguchi M, Kodama S, Kuhara T, Hosokawa S, Elbahrawy A, Soeda T, Koizumi M, Masui T, Kawaguchi M, Takaori K, Doi R, Nishi E, Kakinoki R, Deng JM, Behringer RR, Nakamura T & Uemoto S. (2011). Continuous cell supply from a Sox9-expressing progenitor zone in adult liver, exocrine pancreas and intestine. *Nature genetics* **43**, 34-41.
- Giannakis M, Stappenbeck TS, Mills JC, Leip DG, Lovett M, Clifton SW, Ippolito JE, Glasscock JI, Arumugam M, Brent MR & Gordon JI. (2006). Molecular properties of adult mouse gastric and intestinal epithelial progenitors in their niches. *The Journal of biological chemistry* **281**, 11292-11300.
- Goding CR, Pei D & Lu X. (2014). Cancer: pathological nuclear reprogramming? *Nature reviews Cancer* **14**, 568-573.
- Goldenring JR, Nam KT & Mills JC. (2011). The origin of pre-neoplastic metaplasia in the stomach: chief cells emerge from the Mist. *Experimental cell research* **317**, 2759-2764.
- Gordillo M, Evans T & Gouon-Evans V. (2015). Orchestrating liver development. *Development* **142**, 2094-2108.
- Goulas S, Conder R & Knoblich JA. (2012). The Par complex and integrins direct asymmetric cell division in adult intestinal stem cells. *Cell stem cell* **11**, 529-540.
- Hayakawa Y, Ariyama H, Stancikova J, Sakitani K, Asfaha S, Renz BW, Dubeykovskaya ZA, Shibata W, Wang H, Westphalen CB, Chen X, Takemoto Y, Kim W, Khurana SS, Tailor Y, Nagar K, Tomita H, Hara A, Sepulveda AR, Setlik W, Gershon MD, Saha S, Ding L, Shen Z, Fox JG, Friedman RA, Konieczny SF, Worthley DL, Korinek V & Wang TC. (2015). Mist1 Expressing Gastric Stem Cells Maintain the Normal and Neoplastic Gastric Epithelium and Are Supported by a Perivascular Stem Cell Niche. *Cancer cell*.
- Hu M, Kurobe M, Jeong YJ, Fuerer C, Ghole S, Nusse R & Sylvester KG. (2007). Wnt/beta-catenin signaling in murine hepatic transit amplifying progenitor cells. *Gastroenterology* **133**, 1579-1591.
- Huch M, Boj SF & Clevers H. (2013a). Lgr5(+) liver stem cells, hepatic organoids and regenerative medicine. *Regenerative medicine* **8**, 385-387.

- Huch M, Dorrell C, Boj SF, van Es JH, Li VS, van de Wetering M, Sato T, Hamer K, Sasaki N, Finegold MJ, Haft A, Vries RG, Grompe M & Clevers H. (2013b). In vitro expansion of single Lgr5+ liver stem cells induced by Wnt-driven regeneration. *Nature* **494**, 247-250.
- Huch M, Gehart H, van Boxtel R, Hamer K, Blokzijl F, Verstegen MM, Ellis E, van Wenum M, Fuchs SA, de Ligt J, van de Wetering M, Sasaki N, Boers SJ, Kemperman H, de Jonge J, Ijzermans JN, Nieuwenhuis EE, Hoekstra R, Strom S, Vries RR, van der Laan LJ, Cuppen E & Clevers H. (2015). Long-term culture of genome-stable bipotent stem cells from adult human liver. *Cell* **160**, 299-312.
- Huch M & Koo BK. (2015). Modeling mouse and human development using organoid cultures. *Development* **142**, 3113-3125.
- Jeter CR, Yang T, Wang J, Chao HP & Tang DG. (2015). Concise Review: NANOG in Cancer Stem Cells and Tumor Development: An Update and Outstanding Questions. *Stem cells* **33**, 2381-2390.
- Jors S, Jeliaskova P, Ringelhan M, Thalhammer J, Durl S, Ferrer J, Sander M, Heikenwalder M, Schmid RM, Siveke JT & Geisler F. (2015). Lineage fate of ductular reactions in liver injury and carcinogenesis. *The Journal of clinical investigation* **125**, 2445-2457.
- Karam SM & Leblond CP. (1993). Dynamics of epithelial cells in the corpus of the mouse stomach. I. Identification of proliferative cell types and pinpointing of the stem cell. *The Anatomical record* **236**, 259-279.
- Kim BM, Buchner G, Miletich I, Sharpe PT & Shivdasani RA. (2005). The stomach mesenchymal transcription factor Barx1 specifies gastric epithelial identity through inhibition of transient Wnt signaling. *Developmental cell* **8**, 611-622.
- Koo BK, Spit M, Jordens I, Low TY, Stange DE, van de Wetering M, van Es JH, Mohammed S, Heck AJ, Maurice MM & Clevers H. (2012). Tumour suppressor RNF43 is a stem-cell E3 ligase that induces endocytosis of Wnt receptors. *Nature* **488**, 665-669.
- Laugesen A & Helin K. (2014). Chromatin repressive complexes in stem cells, development, and cancer. *Cell stem cell* **14**, 735-751.
- Lemaigre FP. (2015). Determining the fate of hepatic cells by lineage tracing: facts and pitfalls. *Hepatology* **61**, 2100-2103.
- Leushacke M, Ng A, Galle J, Loeffler M & Barker N. (2013). Lgr5(+) gastric stem cells divide symmetrically to effect epithelial homeostasis in the pylorus. *Cell reports* **5**, 349-356.
- Li L & Clevers H. (2010). Coexistence of quiescent and active adult stem cells in mammals. *Science* **327**, 542-545.

- Lopez-Arribillaga E, Rodilla V, Pellegrinet L, Guiu J, Iglesias M, Roman AC, Gutarra S, Gonzalez S, Munoz-Canoves P, Fernandez-Salguero P, Radtke F, Bigas A & Espinosa L. (2015). Bmi1 regulates murine intestinal stem cell proliferation and self-renewal downstream of Notch. *Development* **142**, 41-50.
- Lu WY, Bird TG, Boulter L, Tsuchiya A, Cole AM, Hay T, Guest RV, Wojtacha D, Man TY, Mackinnon A, Ridgway RA, Kendall T, Williams MJ, Jamieson T, Raven A, Hay DC, Iredale JP, Clarke AR, Sansom OJ & Forbes SJ. (2015). Hepatic progenitor cells of biliary origin with liver repopulation capacity. *Nature cell biology* **17**, 971-983.
- Maetzel D, Denzel S, Mack B, Canis M, Went P, Benk M, Kieu C, Papior P, Baeuerle PA, Munz M & Gires O. (2009). Nuclear signalling by tumour-associated antigen EpCAM. *Nature cell biology* **11**, 162-171.
- Malato Y, Naqvi S, Schurmann N, Ng R, Wang B, Zape J, Kay MA, Grimm D & Willenbring H. (2011). Fate tracing of mature hepatocytes in mouse liver homeostasis and regeneration. *The Journal of clinical investigation* **121**, 4850-4860.
- McCracken KW, Cata EM, Crawford CM, Sinagoga KL, Schumacher M, Rockich BE, Tsai YH, Mayhew CN, Spence JR, Zavros Y & Wells JM. (2014). Modelling human development and disease in pluripotent stem-cell-derived gastric organoids. *Nature* **516**, 400-404.
- McDonald SA, Greaves LC, Gutierrez-Gonzalez L, Rodriguez-Justo M, Deheragoda M, Leedham SJ, Taylor RW, Lee CY, Preston SL, Lovell M, Hunt T, Elia G, Oukrif D, Harrison R, Novelli MR, Mitchell I, Stoker DL, Turnbull DM, Jankowski JA & Wright NA. (2008). Mechanisms of field cancerization in the human stomach: the expansion and spread of mutated gastric stem cells. *Gastroenterology* **134**, 500-510.
- Michalopoulos GK. (2007). Liver regeneration. *Journal of cellular physiology* **213**, 286-300.
- Mills JC & Shivdasani RA. (2011). Gastric epithelial stem cells. *Gastroenterology* **140**, 412-424.
- Miyajima A, Tanaka M & Itoh T. (2014). Stem/progenitor cells in liver development, homeostasis, regeneration, and reprogramming. *Cell stem cell* **14**, 561-574.
- Morrison SJ & Spradling AC. (2008). Stem cells and niches: mechanisms that promote stem cell maintenance throughout life. *Cell* **132**, 598-611.
- Nam KT, Lee HJ, Sousa JF, Weis VG, O'Neal RL, Finke PE, Romero-Gallo J, Shi G, Mills JC, Peek RM, Jr., Konieczny SF & Goldenring JR. (2010). Mature chief cells are cryptic progenitors for metaplasia in the stomach. *Gastroenterology* **139**, 2028-2037 e2029.

- Nikolaou KC, Moulos P, Chalepakis G, Hatzis P, Oda H, Reinberg D & Talianidis I. (2015). Spontaneous development of hepatocellular carcinoma with cancer stem cell properties in PR-SET7-deficient livers. *The EMBO journal* **34**, 430-447.
- Noguchi TA, Ninomiya N, Sekine M, Komazaki S, Wang PC, Asashima M & Kurisaki A. (2015). Generation of stomach tissue from mouse embryonic stem cells. *Nature cell biology* **17**, 984-993.
- Okabe M, Tsukahara Y, Tanaka M, Suzuki K, Saito S, Kamiya Y, Tsujimura T, Nakamura K & Miyajima A. (2009). Potential hepatic stem cells reside in EpCAM+ cells of normal and injured mouse liver. *Development* **136**, 1951-1960.
- Qiao XT, Ziel JW, McKimpton W, Madison BB, Todisco A, Merchant JL, Samuelson LC & Gumucio DL. (2007). Prospective identification of a multilineage progenitor in murine stomach epithelium. *Gastroenterology* **133**, 1989-1998.
- Richmond CA, Shah MS, Deary LT, Trotier DC, Thomas H, Ambruzs DM, Jiang L, Whiles BB, Rickner HD, Montgomery RK, Tovaglieri A, Carlone DL & Breault DT. (2015). Dormant Intestinal Stem Cells Are Regulated by PTEN and Nutritional Status. *Cell reports* **13**, 2403-2411.
- Rinaldi L & Benitah SA. (2015). Epigenetic regulation of adult stem cell function. *The FEBS journal* **282**, 1589-1604.
- Rountree CB, Mishra L & Willenbring H. (2012). Stem cells in liver diseases and cancer: recent advances on the path to new therapies. *Hepatology* **55**, 298-306.
- Sackett SD, Li Z, Hurtt R, Gao Y, Wells RG, Brondell K, Kaestner KH & Greenbaum LE. (2009). Foxl1 is a marker of bipotential hepatic progenitor cells in mice. *Hepatology* **49**, 920-929.
- Sanchez Alvarado A & Yamanaka S. (2014). Rethinking differentiation: stem cells, regeneration, and plasticity. *Cell* **157**, 110-119.
- Sangiorgi E & Capecchi MR. (2008). Bmi1 is expressed in vivo in intestinal stem cells. *Nature genetics* **40**, 915-920.
- Schaub JR, Malato Y, Gormond C & Willenbring H. (2014). Evidence against a stem cell origin of new hepatocytes in a common mouse model of chronic liver injury. *Cell reports* **8**, 933-939.
- Schmelzer E, Zhang L, Bruce A, Wauthier E, Ludlow J, Yao HL, Moss N, Melhem A, McClelland R, Turner W, Kulik M, Sherwood S, Tallheden T, Cheng N, Furth ME & Reid LM. (2007). Human hepatic stem cells from fetal and postnatal donors. *The Journal of experimental medicine* **204**, 1973-1987.

- Shin S, Upadhyay N, Greenbaum LE & Kaestner KH. (2015). Ablation of Foxl1-Cre-labeled hepatic progenitor cells and their descendants impairs recovery of mice from liver injury. *Gastroenterology* **148**, 192-202 e193.
- Shin S, Walton G, Aoki R, Brondell K, Schug J, Fox A, Smirnova O, Dorrell C, Erker L, Chu AS, Wells RG, Grompe M, Greenbaum LE & Kaestner KH. (2011). Foxl1-Cre-marked adult hepatic progenitors have clonogenic and bilineage differentiation potential. *Genes & development* **25**, 1185-1192.
- Stange DE, Koo BK, Huch M, Sibbel G, Basak O, Lyubimova A, Kujala P, Bartfeld S, Koster J, Geahlen JH, Peters PJ, van Es JH, van de Wetering M, Mills JC & Clevers H. (2013). Differentiated Troy+ chief cells act as reserve stem cells to generate all lineages of the stomach epithelium. *Cell* **155**, 357-368.
- Stoyanova T, Goldstein AS, Cai H, Drake JM, Huang J & Witte ON. (2012). Regulated proteolysis of Trop2 drives epithelial hyperplasia and stem cell self-renewal via beta-catenin signaling. *Genes & development* **26**, 2271-2285.
- Tanaka M & Miyajima A. (2012). Identification and isolation of adult liver stem/progenitor cells. *Methods in molecular biology* **826**, 25-32.
- Tarlow BD, Pelz C, Naugler WE, Wakefield L, Wilson EM, Finegold MJ & Grompe M. (2014). Bipotential adult liver progenitors are derived from chronically injured mature hepatocytes. *Cell stem cell* **15**, 605-618.
- Tetteh PW, Farin HF & Clevers H. (2015). Plasticity within stem cell hierarchies in mammalian epithelia. *Trends in cell biology* **25**, 100-108.
- Tian H, Biehs B, Warming S, Leong KG, Rangell L, Klein OD & de Sauvage FJ. (2011). A reserve stem cell population in small intestine renders Lgr5-positive cells dispensable. *Nature* **478**, 255-259.
- Turner R, Lozoya O, Wang Y, Cardinale V, Gaudio E, Alpini G, Mendel G, Wauthier E, Barbier C, Alvaro D & Reid LM. (2011). Human hepatic stem cell and maturational liver lineage biology. *Hepatology* **53**, 1035-1045.
- Verhulst S, Best J, van Grunsven LA & Dolle L. (2015). Advances in hepatic stem/progenitor cell biology. *EXCLI journal* **14**, 33-47.
- Vries RG, Huch M & Clevers H. (2010). Stem cells and cancer of the stomach and intestine. *Molecular oncology* **4**, 373-384.
- Wang B, Zhao L, Fish M, Logan CY & Nusse R. (2015a). Self-renewing diploid Axin2(+) cells fuel homeostatic renewal of the liver. *Nature* **524**, 180-185.
- Wang X, Yamamoto Y, Wilson LH, Zhang T, Howitt BE, Farrow MA, Kern F, Ning G, Hong Y, Khor CC, Chevalier B, Bertrand D, Wu L, Nagarajan N, Sylvester FA, Hyams JS, Devers T, Bronson R, Lacy DB, Ho KY, Crum CP, McKeon F & Xian W. (2015b). Cloning and variation of ground state intestinal stem cells. *Nature* **522**, 173-178.

- Yamanaka S & Blau HM. (2010). Nuclear reprogramming to a pluripotent state by three approaches. *Nature* **465**, 704-712.
- Yanger K, Knigin D, Zong Y, Maggs L, Gu G, Akiyama H, Pikarsky E & Stanger BZ. (2014). Adult hepatocytes are generated by self-duplication rather than stem cell differentiation. *Cell stem cell* **15**, 340-349.
- Yanger K, Zong Y, Maggs LR, Shapira SN, Maddipati R, Aiello NM, Thung SN, Wells RG, Greenbaum LE & Stanger BZ. (2013). Robust cellular reprogramming occurs spontaneously during liver regeneration. *Genes & development* **27**, 719-724.
- Yovchev MI, Grozdanov PN, Zhou H, Racherla H, Guha C & Dabeva MD. (2008). Identification of adult hepatic progenitor cells capable of repopulating injured rat liver. *Hepatology* **47**, 636-647.
- Zaret KS & Grompe M. (2008). Generation and regeneration of cells of the liver and pancreas. *Science* **322**, 1490-1494.
- Zeuner A, Todaro M, Stassi G & De Maria R. (2014). Colorectal cancer stem cells: from the crypt to the clinic. *Cell stem cell* **15**, 692-705.
- Zhu Y, Huang YF, Kek C & Bulavin DV. (2013). Apoptosis differently affects lineage tracing of Lgr5 and Bmi1 intestinal stem cell populations. *Cell stem cell* **12**, 298-303.







