

1 Title

- 2 Comparative metagenomics reveals a diverse range of antimicrobial resistance genes in
- 3 effluents entering a river catchment

4 Authors

- Will Rowe, wpmr2@cam.ac.uk¹ David W. Verner-Jeffreys² 5
- 6
- Craig Baker-Austin² 7
- Jim J. Ryan³ 8
- Duncan J. Maskell¹ Gareth P. Pearce¹ 9
- 10

11 Affiliations

- ¹ Department of Veterinary Medicine, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK ² Centre for Environment, Fisheries and Aquaculture Science, Weymouth, UK 12
- 13
- ³ Environment, Health and Safety, GlaxoSmithKline, Ware, UK 14

15 Abstract

16 The aquatic environment has been implicated as a reservoir for antimicrobial resistance genes. 17 In order to identify sources that are contributing to these gene reservoirs, it is crucial to assess 18 effluents that are entering the aquatic environment. Here we describe a metagenomic 19 assessment for two types of effluent entering a river catchment. We investigated the diversity 20 and abundance of resistance genes, mobile genetic elements and pathogenic bacteria. Findings 21 were normalised to a background sample of river source water. Our results show that effluent 22 contributed an array of genes to the river catchment, the most abundant being tetracycline 23 resistance genes *tetC* and *tetW* from farm effluents and the sulfonamide resistance gene *sul2* 24 from wastewater treatment plant effluents. In nine separate samples taken across three years 25 we found 53 different genes conferring resistance to 7 classes of antimicrobial. Compared to 26 the background sample taken up river from effluent entry, the average abundance of genes 27 was three times greater in the farm effluent and two times greater in the wastewater treatment 28 plant effluent. We conclude that effluents disperse antimicrobial resistance genes, mobile 29 genetic elements and pathogenic bacteria within a river catchment, thereby contributing to 30 environmental reservoirs of antimicrobial resistance genes.

31 Key words 3-6

- 32 Antimicrobial resistance, metagenomics, aquatic environment, resistome
- 33

34 Introduction

35 Antimicrobial resistance remains a significant and growing concern for both human and veterinary clinical practice (Levy and Marshall 2004, Davies and Davies 2010), with 36 37 infections that were once readily treated now being resilient to antimicrobial therapy (WHO 38 2012). The use of antimicrobial compounds exerts selection pressures on bacteria, leading to 39 the fixation of gene mutations, selection of resistant precursors and the up-regulation and 40 lateral transfer of antimicrobial resistance genes (ARGs) within prokaryotic communities 41 (Gillings 2013). The maintenance and transfer of ARGs is responsible in part for the rising 42 threat of antimicrobial resistance (Laxminarayan et al. 2013). 43 The collective pool of ARGs in a given environment is termed the resistome (D'Costa et al.

44 2006, Wright 2007). Although a proportion of these ARGs are genes that have evolved to utilise antimicrobial compounds for functions other than defence, such as signalling 45 46 molecules or constituents of metabolic pathways (Linares et al. 2006, Dantas et al. 2008), the 47 resistome may also serve as a reservoir for ARGs that can be transferred to clinically significant pathogens (Forsberg et al. 2012, Wellington et al. 2013). Indeed, ARGs are 48 49 commonly associated with Mobile Genetic Elements (MGEs) that facilitate the transfer of 50 ARGs between bacteria and enable their entry into the accessory genome of pathogenic 51 bacteria (William et al. 2013).

- 52 There is growing evidence showing that aquatic environments harbour ARGs, MGEs and 53 pathogenic bacteria (Chen et al. 2013, Lu et al. 2015, Devarajan et al. 2015). It is also likely 54 that these environments may host many uncharacterised and novel ARGs that may be selected
- 55 for under sufficient selection pressures (Bengtsson-Palme et al. 2014). Effluents that feed into
- the aquatic environment have also been shown to contain ARGs, such as the effluents of urban residential areas and hospitals (Li et al. 2015), as well as other wastewater and faecal
- 58 sources (Li et al. 2012, Pruden et al. 2006, Zhang et al. 2009) but the abundance and diversity
- of these genes relative to background samples needs to be clarified. It is therefore crucial to establish whether effluents entering the aquatic environment are carrying ARGs, along with MGEs and pathogenic bacteria, thus contributing to the reservoirs of resistance genes that may be utilised by pathogenic bacteria and subsequently re-enter human and animal
- 63 populations (Berendonk et al. 2015).
- 64 Previous studies into the presence of ARGs within the aquatic environment have utilised 65 techniques such as bacterial culture and polymerase chain reaction (Lu et al. 2015, Tao et al.
- 66 2010, Zhang and Zhang 2011). These techniques offer the ability to detect phenotypic 67 resistance (culture), or a panel of ARGs, but they are limited by culturing bias or inadequate
- detection panels. Next generation sequencing techniques, such as metagenomics, offer the
 ability to circumvent these limitations and identify all known ARGs within a sample (if
 suitable reference sequences are available), providing a new approach for the environmental
- 71 monitoring of antibiotic resistance (Port et al. 2014).
- 72 In this study we have identified two distinct effluents that enter a single river catchment. Both 73 effluents originate from faecal sources and were sampled several times, immediately prior to
- them entering the environment. Using a comparative metagenemic environmentately prior to
- them entering the environment. Using a comparative metagenomic approach, we describe theARG content of these effluents, characterise the MGEs and pathogenic bacteria present, and
- 75 ARG content of these effluents, characterise the MGEs and pathogenic bacteria present, and 76 relate the abundance of these features to a background sample of the river source water, taken
- 70 relate the abundance of these relatives to a background san77 from upstream of the effluent entry points.

78 Methods

79 Sample collection and DNA sequencing

80 Water samples were collected from three sources within the River Cam Catchment, Cambridge, UK. A pilot collection was made on 21st June 2012 (Rowe et al. 2015). Further collections were made on the 2nd May 2013 and 4th August 2014. The effluent of the 81 82 83 municipal wastewater treatment plant (WWTP) (latitude: 52.234469, longitude: 0.154614) was collected annually from the treated effluent discharge pipe that enters the River Cam. The 84 85 effluent of the University of Cambridge dairy farm (latitude: 52.22259, longitude: 0.02603) 86 was collected annually prior to it being applied to the surrounding fields as fertiliser, where it subsequently enters drainage ditches that drain into the River Cam. The river source water of 87 88 the River Cam was collected at Ashwell Spring (latitude: 52.0421, longitude: 0.1497) once on the 4th August 2014. Samples were collected in 10L sterile polypropylene containers, 89 90 transported at 4°C to the laboratory and processed within 2 hours.

91 Sample filtration, metagenomic DNA extraction and sequencing

92 Similarly as in Dancer et al. (Dancer et al. 2014), samples were filtered under pressure at 93 approximately 2 bar using a pressure vessel system (10 L SM 1753, Sartorius). Samples were 94 first pre-filtered through 3.0 µm membranes (Millipore) at 2 Bar to remove eukaryotic cells 95 and debris. The filtrate was subsequently filtered through 0.22 µm membranes (Millipore) to 96 capture the prokaryotic cells, metagenomic DNA was then extracted by washing and 97 vortexing the membranes in phosphate buffered saline with Tween20 (2%) before enzymatic 98 lysis (Meta-G-Nome DNA isolation kit; Epicentre). Assessment of DNA quality and 99 concentration was made by TBE agarose (2%) gel electrophoresis and spectrophotometry 100 (Nanodrop ND-1000; ThermoScientific). For each sample, 2 µg of DNA was used to generate 101 Illumina paired-end libraries that were sequenced using an Illumina HiSeq2500. A full description of the metagenomic samples used in this study is available in the supplemental 102 103 material (Table S1.)

104 Bioinformatic analyses

105 Identification of ARGs

ARGs were identified using the Search Engine for Antimicrobial Resistance (SEAR) (Rowe et al. 2015). In brief, the pipeline quality checks and filters metagenomic reads, clusters the filtered reads to the ARG-annot (Gupta et al. 2014) database of horizontally acquired ARGs and uses the resulting clusters to map the reads and generate a consensus sequence for each ARG in the query metagenome. Consensus sequences are then aligned to online databases (NCBI genbank, RAC, ARDB), annotated and given an abundance value based on the Reads Per Kilobase per Million (RPKM) value from the read-mapping stage. A full description of SEAR is available in supplemental methods

113 SEAR is available in supplemental methods.

114 *Identification of mobile genetic elements*

MGEs were identified by mapping metagenomic reads to a custom MGE database using BWA-mem (default options) (Li and Durbin 2009). The MGE database was built from the NCBI Refseq plasmid genomes dataset, combined with the representative sequences generated from clustering the Integrall dataset (Moura et al. 2009) at 97% identity using USEARCH (Edgar 2010). MGE mapping results with less than 90% coverage of the reference sequence were discarded from the analysis. Successfully mapped sequences where then binned into class I and class II integrons, transposons and mobilisable plasmids.

122 *Abundance analysis*

123 The ARG and MGE abundance data was normalised to the number of 16S rRNA sequences

as in Bengtsson-Palme et al. (Bengtsson-Palme et al. 2014). In brief, bacterial 16S rRNA

sequences were extracted from each metagenome using Metaxa 2.0 (Bengtsson-Palme et al.

126 2015) using default settings and then grafted to sequences from the SILVA RNA database127 using Megraft (Bengtsson et al. 2012) and subsequently clustered using USEARCH (Edgar

128 2010). ARG abundance values were normalised to 16S sequences by dividing the number of

129 extracted 16S sequences by the length of the 16S gene (Bengtsson-Palme et al. 2014).

130 *Taxonomic profiling and pathogen detection*

131 Taxonomic profiling of metagenomes was carried out by mapping sequencing reads to clade-

specific marker genes using the Metaphlan package (Segata et al. 2012) (default parameters).
 Metaphlan output was then cross-referenced to the PATRIC database of pathogenic bacteria

134 (Gillespie et al. 2011) to annotate potential human-specific bacterial pathogens. Biomarker

135 discovery and identification of differentially abundant features between metagenomes from

- 136 2012, 2013 and 2014 was performed using LEfSe (Segata et al. 2011). Taxonomic profiling
- 137 and pathogen data was then combined and presented using the Graphlan package (Segata
- **138** 2014).

139 Results

140 Metagenome analysis

141 We generated 29.52 Giga base-pairs of data across all samples, with the number of reads 142 produced from the total farm effluent samples being approximately double that produced from

143 the total WWTP effluent samples (Table 1).

Sample	Read pairs	Gbp	Total ARG reads	% ARGs	% 16S
Farm effluent 2012	44337147	4.4337	7715	0.0087	0.1199
Farm effluent 2013	33060321	3.3060	2317	0.0035	0.0396
Farm effluent 2014	92074704	9.2075	13094	0.0071	0.0775
WWTP effluent 2012	28696239	2.8696	4205	0.0073	0.1086
WWTP effluent 2013	32980301	3.2980	250	0.0004	0.0366
WWTP effluent 2014	36636758	3.6637	3767	0.0051	0.0862
River source water 2014	27399641	2.7400	181	0.0003	0.0201

144 *Table 1. Summary of the metagenomes generated in the present study.*

145 Identification of antimicrobial resistance genes

In the effluent from the dairy farm we found an average of 7709 reads (0.007%) matching 146 147 ARGs across the three samples. We found an average of 2740 reads (0.004%) matching 148 ARGs across the three WWTP effluent samples. Only 181 reads (0.0003%) were found to 149 match ARGs from the river source water. A significant diversity of ARGs was observed 150 across the samples, with 53 different ARGs found in total, conferring resistance to seven 151 antimicrobial classes (Figure 1, Table S2). There were 18 ARGs common between the farm 152 and the WWTP effluent samples. The river source water contained the lowest diversity of 153 ARGs (five ARGs, conferring resistance to two antimicrobial classes). When normalised to 154 the number of 16S sequences in each sample, the most abundant ARG across all the samples 155 was found to be sul2 (sulfonamide resistance) in the WWTP effluent 2014 (0.097 copies per

156 16S sequence) and the least abundant ARG was *catB4* (phenicol resistance), found in the farm 157 effluent 2014 (0.0001 copies per 16S sequence). When looking at the effluents individually, 158 tetracycline resistance genes tetC (farm effluent 2012) and tetW (farm effluent 2013 and 159 2014) were the most abundant genes within the farm effluent samples. In comparison, the 160 aminoglycoside resistance genes strA/strB (WWTP effluent 2012) and the sulfonamide 161 resistance genes sull/sul2 (WWTP effluent 2013 and 2014) were the most abundant ARGs 162 within the WWTP effluent samples. On average, the abundance of ARGs in the farm effluents 163 was three times that of the river source water. Similarly, the average abundance of ARGs in 164 the WWTP effluents was double that found in the river source water. In terms of the diversity 165 of ARGs relative to the river source water, the farm effluent had an average of five different 166 ARGs for each ARG found in the river source water, whereas the WWTP effluent had 167 different 2 ARGs for each ARG present in the source water.

168 When comparing samples across the three years that the samples were taken, the abundance $f(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{$

- 169 of ARGs was found to decrease year on year in the WWTP effluent for all but sulfonamide
- 170 resistance genes, which were found to increase over time (11% average change in abundance
- of sulfonamide resistance genes over three years). The largest change over time for the farmeffluent was the 10% increase in the abundance of aminoglycoside resistance genes observed
- 172 effluent was the 10% increase in the abundance of aminogrycoside resistance genes observed
 172 between 2012 2012
- **173** between 2012-2013.

174 Figure 1. Abundance of ARGs found in each effluent sample, binned by antimicrobial175 class.

- 176 Abundance of antimicrobial resistance genes is normalised to the number of 16S sequences
- per sample. The MLS class of antimicrobial represents marcolides, lincosamides and
 streptogramins.

179 Identification of mobile genetic elements

180 In conjunction with determining the abundance and diversity of ARGs, the effluents were also 181 interrogated for MGEs (Figure 2, Table S3). No MGEs were found to be present in the river 182 source water. Mobilisable plasmids were the most abundant class of MGE found out of the 183 combined metagenomic datasets, although no mobilisable plasmids were identified in the WWTP effluent 2012 or farm effluent 2014 samples. Class I and class II integrons, as well as 184 185 transposon sequences, were found in all effluent samples. Class I integrons were more abundant in the collective farm effluent samples, compared to class II integrons that were 186 187 more abundant in the collective WWTP effluent samples.

188 Figure 2. Abundance of MGEs found in each effluent sample, binned by MGE type.

- 189 Plasmids were binned as mobilisation plasmids if they contained conjugation genes (tra, mob
- 190 etc.) and integrons were binned as class I or II depending on the Integrall annotation.
- 191 *Relative abundance of MGEs is normalised to the number of 16S sequences per sample.*

192 Taxonomic profiling and pathogen detection

Finally, the effluent metagenomes were subjected to taxonomic profiling. At genus level, the most abundant prokaryotes in the farm samples were *Pseudomonas* (farm effluent 2012) and

most abundant prokaryotes in the farm samples were *Pseudomonas* (farm effluent 2012) and $\frac{1}{2}$

195 *Butyrivibrio* (farm effluent 2013 and 2014). The most abundant prokaryotes at genus level in

the WWTP samples were *Acinetobacter* (WWTP effluent 2012), *Thiomonas* (WWTP effluent 2012), *Thiomonas* (WWTP effluent 2012)

197 2013) and *Proteus* (WWTP effluent 2014). For the river source water, the most abundant

- 198 prokaryotic genus was Sphingobium. After cross-referencing the identified species level,
- 199 clade-specific marker genes for all the metagenomes to the PATRIC pathogen database, a
- total of 35 species of potential bacterial pathogens were identified (Figure 3, Table S4). The

- 201 most commonly identified species were Escherichia coli, Arcobacter butzleri, Eubacterium
- 202 rectale, Ruminococcus bromii and Salmonella enterica. The WWTP effluent 2014 contained
- 203 the greatest diversity of potential bacterial pathogens, whereas the river source water and the
- 204 WWTP effluent 2012 were found to contain the lowest diversity.

Figure 3. Metagenomic phylogenetic analysis and annotation of potential bacterial pathogens.

- 207 The phylogenetic tree was built using Graphlan from the merged Metaphlan and LEfSe output
- 208 for the effluent metagenomes. The PATRIC pathogens are highlighted as red stars and the
- 209 *external rings denote species prevalence in each metagenome.*

210 Discussion

211 Through the use of a comparative metagenomic approach, we have shown that two types of 212 effluent entering a shared river catchment contain ARGs and MGEs at higher average 213 abundances than in a background sample of the river source water. This would suggest that 214 effluents such as these are likely to serve as sources of ARGs and thus contribute to the 215 environmental resistome of river catchments and other aquatic environments. It may be 216 appropriate to routinely monitor such effluents as sources of ARGs, particularly when 217 considering the current view of ARGs as environmental contaminants (Pruden et al. 2006) and the call for an environmental framework to tackle antimicrobial resistance (Berendonk et 218 219 al. 2015).

220 One such reason for the high abundance of ARGs in effluents may be the presence of 221 antimicrobial compounds that could consequently provide a selective pressure for the 222 maintenance of ARGs. There have been several studies that document the presence of 223 antimicrobial compounds, from both human and veterinary medicine, in the environment 224 (Kemper 2008, Hu et al. 2010). Although these compounds are often present at relatively low 225 concentrations, some studies have shown therapeutic concentrations of antimicrobials being 226 discharged into the environment, such as the effluent from Indian drug manufacturers 227 containing therapeutic concentrations of antimicrobial compounds (Larsson et al. 2007). 228 Subsequent studies by Larsson et al. found a high abundance of ARGs downstream of the 229 effluent discharge point relative to upstream of the manufacturers and when compared to a 230 Swedish WWTP (Kristiansson et al. 2011). While the environmental release of antimicrobial compounds at therapeutic concentrations is largely prevented in the UK, Europe and US 231 232 through proper wastewater management and controls, clinically important antimicrobials can 233 be found in the environment at sub-inhibitory concentrations and it is possible that these very 234 low antimicrobial concentrations could be enriching for resistant bacteria and promote 235 increased persistence of ARGs (Gullberg et al. 2011). Thus, it may be pertinent to couple 236 future environmental ARG monitoring studies and risk assessments with information on 237 antimicrobial usage and the antimicrobial concentrations in the effluents being investigated.

Interestingly, the average abundance of ARGs was found to be greater in the farm effluents 238 239 than in the WWTP effluents (Figure 1). Although these two effluents are from differently treated faecal sources, one being a treated effluent (sedimentation treatment) from a municipal 240 241 WWTP (i.e. predominantly human faecal source) and the other being an untreated effluent from a farm (predominantly bovine faecal source), this finding does offer some insight into 242 243 the debate surrounding the relative impact of human and animal contributions to the 244 development of antimicrobial resistance (Phillips et al. 2004, Mather et al. 2013). The fact 245 that WWTP effluent had undergone a form of water treatment prior to being released into the river catchment, whereas the farm effluent did not, may suggest that some form of water 246 247 treatment could reduce the abundance or diversity of ARGs. A comparison of WWTP crude 248 influent to the effluent could elaborate on the effectiveness of sedimentation treatment on the 249 abundance of ARGs. Studies have shown that wastewater treatment processes do not 250 completely remove ARGs (Wang et al. 2015) and that some WWTP processing can result in 251 an increase in the proportion of antimicrobial resistant bacteria in WWTP effluents (Harris et 252 al. 2012). Considering that effluents may also disseminate antimicrobial compounds, it raises 253 the question as to whether the combination of ARGs and antimicrobial compounds within 254 effluents is resulting in the expression of ARGs and the occurrence of phenotypic 255 antimicrobial resistance. This should be addressed in future studies that aim to assess the risk 256 of ARGs entering the environment.

257 In terms of the mobility of genes within the effluents, an array of mobilisable plasmids, 258 integrons and transposons were present in the metagenomes (Figure 2) and many of the ARGs 259 identified aligned to the Repository of Antibiotic resistance Cassettes (RAC) (Tsafnat et al. 2011). This raises the possibility that the ARGs within the effluents could be readily 260 261 mobilised into other bacteria, including both directly into pathogens also discharged into the 262 environment and environmental bacteria. These environmental bacteria in turn could pose a 263 risk as potential bacterial intermediaries, harbouring these ARGs in the environment prior to 264 transferring them into other pathogens.

265 Based on the observations in this study, it is recommended that future Risk Assessments should incorporate direct MGE and pathogen detection with metagenomic assessments of 266 267 effluents entering river catchments, especially considering the absence of MGEs and the lower diversity of pathogens found in the river source water. This study also showed that a 268 269 large amount of variation can occur between samples from the same sampling site, possibly as 270 a result of seasonal variation or other environmental factor related to sample collection. It 271 would be benifical to future environmental risk assessments if the impact of seasonal 272 variation on ARG abundance could be determined.

273 We did however find five resistance genes in the river source water conferring resistance to 274 two classes of antimicrobials. When normalised to 16S sequences the river source water was 275 found to be accountable for the most abundant phenicol resistance gene and the third most 276 abundant aminoglycoside resistance genes out of all the metagenome libraries examined. 277 However, when using the raw SEAR abundance metric, that does not include normalisation to 278 the 16S sequences within the sample, the relative abundance of ARGs from the river source 279 water are reduced relative to the other effluent samples. This raises the question as to whether 280 16S normalisation is the most appropriate approach to metagenomic abundance estimates as 281 factors such as variation in 16S copy number can skew the data generated as well as 282 interpretation (Case et al. 2007). An alternative could be to use the RPKM value generated as part of the SEAR analysis and featured in table S2. 283

The metagenomic approach used was relatively less sensitive than more direct-targeted measures of known ARG abundance (e.g. qPCR-based detections (LaPara et al. 2011)). The lack of sample replication at each time point also made comparisons between effluents less certain. However the approach had the advantages that it was relatively unbiased and semiquantitative, giving a good estimation of relative key ARG and MGE abundance and diversity across bacterial populations. It was also potentially able to detect novel ARGs that would otherwise not be found using these more targeted approaches.

291 Conclusion

We have presented a detailed metagenomic analysis of effluents entering a river catchment.
Effluents were found to contain an array of ARGs, MGEs and pathogenic bacteria that, when
compared to a background sample of the river source water, were found to be more diverse
and abundant than in the river source water. This study has shown that the discharge of

296 effluents into river catchments contributes to the dissemination of ARGs, MGEs and 297 pathogenic bacteria, and may play an important role in the propagation of environmental 298 reservoirs of ARGs.

299 List of abbreviations

300	ARG	-	Antimicrobia	Resistance	Gene
-----	-----	---	--------------	------------	------

- 301 MGE Mobile Genetic Element
- 302 WWTP Wastewater treatment plant _
- 303 Search Engine for Antimicrobial Resistance SEAR
- 304 RPMK Reads Per Kilobase per Million _
- Burrows-Wheeler Aligner 305 BWA _
- 306 RAC Repository of Antibiotic resistance Cassettes -

307 Acknowledgements

308 This research was funded by the Centre for Environment, Fisheries and Aquaculture Science,

- 309 GlaxoSmithKline and the Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council.
- 310 Metagenomic sequencing was performed by the Sequencing Service and Wellcome Trust 311 Biomedical Informatics Hub, Exeter (UK).

312 References

- 313 Levy, S.B. and Marshall, B. (2004) Antibacterial resistance worldwide: causes, challenges 314 and responses. Nature medicine 10(12 Suppl), S122-129.
- 315 Davies, J. and Davies, D. (2010) Origins and evolution of antibiotic resistance. Microbiology
- 316 and molecular biology reviews : MMBR 74(3), 417-433.
- 317 WHO (2012) The evolving threat of antimicrobial resistance - options for action. WHO (ed), 318 Geneva.
- 319 Gillings, M.R. (2013) Evolutionary consequences of antibiotic use for the resistome, 320 mobilome and microbial pangenome. Frontiers in Microbiology 4.
- 321 Laxminarayan, R., Duse, A., Wattal, C., Zaidi, A.K.M., Wertheim, H.F.L., Sumpradit, N.,
- 322 Vlieghe, E., Hara, G.L., Gould, I.M., Goossens, H., Greko, C., So, A.D., Bigdeli, M.,
- 323 Tomson, G., Woodhouse, W., Ombaka, E., Peralta, A.Q., Qamar, F.N., Mir, F., Kariuki, S.,
- 324 Bhutta, Z.A., Coates, A., Bergstrom, R., Wright, G.D., Brown, E.D. and Cars, O. (2013)
- 325 Antibiotic resistance—the need for global solutions. The Lancet Infectious Diseases 13(12), 326 1057-1098.
- 327 D'Costa, V.M., McGrann, K.M., Hughes, D.W. and Wright, G.D. (2006) Sampling the 328 antibiotic resistome. Science 311(5759), 374-377.
- 329 Wright, G.D. (2007) The antibiotic resistome: the nexus of chemical and genetic diversity. 330 Nature reviews. Microbiology 5(3), 175-186.
- Linares, J.F., Gustafsson, I., Baquero, F. and Martinez, J.L. (2006) Antibiotics as 331
- 332 intermicrobial signaling agents instead of weapons. Proceedings of the National Academy of
- 333 Sciences of the United States of America 103(51), 19484-19489.
- 334 Dantas, G., Sommer, M.O., Oluwasegun, R.D. and Church, G.M. (2008) Bacteria subsisting 335 on antibiotics. Science 320(5872), 100-103.
- Forsberg, K.J., Reyes, A., Wang, B., Selleck, E.M., Sommer, M.O.A. and Dantas, G. (2012) 336
- 337 The shared antibiotic resistome of soil bacteria and human pathogens. Science 337(6098),
- 338 1107-1111.

- Wellington, E.M.H., Boxall, A.B.A., Cross, P., Feil, E.J., Gaze, W.H., Hawkey, P.M.,
 Johnson-Rollings, A.S., Jones, D.L., Lee, N.M., Otten, W., Thomas, C.M. and Williams, A.P.
 (2013) The role of the natural environment in the emergence of antibiotic resistance in Gramnegative bacteria. The Lancet Infectious Diseases 13(2), 155-165.
- 343 William, H.G., Stephen, M.K., Larsson, D.G.J., Xian-Zhi, L., Joseph, A.R., Pascal, S., 344 Kornelia, S., Mohammed, T., Ed, T., Elizabeth, M.W., Gerard, D.W. and Yong-Guan, Z.
- 345 (2013) Influence of Humans on Evolution and Mobilization of Environmental Antibiotic
 346 Resistome. Emerging Infectious Disease journal 19(7).
- Chen, B., Yang, Y., Liang, X., Yu, K., Zhang, T. and Li, X. (2013) Metagenomic profiles of
 antibiotic resistance genes (ARGs) between human impacted estuary and deep ocean
 sediments. Environmental science & technology 47(22), 12753-12760.
- Lu, Z., Na, G., Gao, H., Wang, L., Bao, C. and Yao, Z. (2015) Fate of sulfonamide resistance
 genes in estuary environment and effect of anthropogenic activities. Sci Total Environ 527528C, 429-438.
- Devarajan, N., Laffite, A., Graham, N.D., Meijer, M., Prabakar, K., Mubedi, J.I., Elongo, V.,
 Mpiana, P.T., Ibelings, B.W., Wildi, W. and Pote, J. (2015) Accumulation of clinically
- relevant antibiotic-resistance genes, bacterial load, and metals in freshwater lake sediments in central europe. Environmental science & technology 49(11), 6528-6537.
- Bengtsson-Palme, J., Boulund, F., Fick, J., Kristiansson, E. and Larsson, J. (2014) Shotgun
 metagenomics reveals a wide array of antibiotic resistance genes and mobile elements in a
 polluted lake in India. Frontiers in Microbiology 5.
- Li, J., Cheng, W., Xu, L., Strong, P.J. and Chen, H. (2015) Antibiotic-resistant genes and
 antibiotic-resistant bacteria in the effluent of urban residential areas, hospitals, and a
 municipal wastewater treatment plant system. Environmental Science and Pollution Research
 22(6), 4587-4596.
- Li, J., Wang, T., Shao, B., Shen, J., Wang, S. and Wu, Y. (2012) Plasmid-mediated quinolone
 resistance genes and antibiotic residues in wastewater and soil adjacent to swine feedlots:
 potential transfer to agricultural lands. Environmental health perspectives 120(8), 1144-1149.
- Pruden, A., Pei, R., Storteboom, H. and Carlson, K.H. (2006) Antibiotic resistance genes as
 emerging contaminants: Studies in northern Colorado. Environmental Science and
 Technology 40(23), 7445-7450.
- Zhang, X.X., Zhang, T. and Fang, H.H. (2009) Antibiotic resistance genes in water
 environment. Applied microbiology and biotechnology 82(3), 397-414.
- 372 Berendonk, T.U., Manaia, C.M., Merlin, C., Fatta-Kassinos, D., Cytryn, E., Walsh, F.,
- Burgmann, H., Sorum, H., Norstrom, M., Pons, M.-N., Kreuzinger, N., Huovinen, P., Stefani,
 S., Schwartz, T., Kisand, V., Baquero, F. and Martinez, J.L. (2015) Tackling antibiotic
- resistance: the environmental framework. Nat Rev Micro advance online publication.
- Tao, R., Ying, G.G., Su, H.C., Zhou, H.W. and Sidhu, J.P. (2010) Detection of antibiotic
 resistance and tetracycline resistance genes in Enterobacteriaceae isolated from the Pearl
 rivers in South China. Environmental pollution 158(6), 2101-2109.
- Zhang, X.X. and Zhang, T. (2011) Occurrence, abundance, and diversity of tetracycline
 resistance genes in 15 sewage treatment plants across China and other global locations.
 Environmental science & technology 45(7), 2508, 2604
- **381** Environmental science & technology 45(7), 2598-2604.
- Port, J.A., Cullen, A.C., Wallace, J.C., Smith, M.N. and Faustman, E.M. (2014) Metagenomic
 Frameworks for Monitoring Antibiotic Resistance in Aquatic Environments. Environmental
 health perspectives 122(3), 222-228.
- 385 Rowe, W., Baker, K.S., Verner-Jeffreys, D., Baker-Austin, C., Ryan, J.J., Maskell, D. and
- 386 Pearce, G. (2015) Search Engine for Antimicrobial Resistance: A Cloud Compatible Pipeline
- 387 and Web Interface for Rapidly Detecting Antimicrobial Resistance Genes Directly from
- **388** Sequence Data. PloS one 10(7), e0133492.

- Dancer, D., Baker-Austin, C., Lowther, J.A., Hartnell, R.E., Lees, D.N. and Roberts, L.O.
 (2014) Development and Integration of Quantitative Real-Time PCR Methods for Detection
- of Mitochondrial DNA and Methanobrevibacter smithii nifH Gene as Novel Microbial Source
 Tracking Tools. Environmental Forensics 15(3), 256-264.
- 393 Gupta, S.K., Padmanabhan, B.R., Diene, S.M., Lopez-Rojas, R., Kempf, M., Landraud, L.
- and Rolain, J.-M. (2014) ARG-ANNOT, a New Bioinformatic Tool To Discover Antibiotic
 Resistance Genes in Bacterial Genomes. Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy 58(1), 212-
- **396** 220.
- Li, H. and Durbin, R. (2009) Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheelertransform. Bioinformatics 25(14), 1754-1760.
- Moura, A., Soares, M., Pereira, C., Leitao, N., Henriques, I. and Correia, A.n. (2009)
 INTEGRALL: a database and search engine for integrons, integrases and gene cassettes.
 Bioinformatics 25(8), 1096-1098.
- 402 Edgar, R.C. (2010) Search and clustering orders of magnitude faster than BLAST.
 403 Bioinformatics 26(19), 2460-2461.
- 404 Bengtsson-Palme, J., Hartmann, M., Eriksson, K.M., Pal, C., Thorell, K., Larsson, D.G. and
- 405 Nilsson, R.H. (2015) metaxa2: improved identification and taxonomic classification of small
- 406 and large subunit rRNA in metagenomic data. Mol Ecol Resour.
- 407 Bengtsson, J., Hartmann, M., Unterseher, M., Vaishampayan, P., Abarenkov, K., Durso, L.,
- 408 Bik, E.M., Garey, J.R., Eriksson, K.M. and Nilsson, R.H. (2012) Megraft: a software package
- to graft ribosomal small subunit (16S/18S) fragments onto full-length sequences for accurate
 species richness and sequencing depth analysis in pyrosequencing-length metagenomes and
- 411 similar environmental datasets. Research in microbiology 163(6-7), 407-412.
- 412 Segata, N., Waldron, L., Ballarini, A., Narasimhan, V., Jousson, O. and Huttenhower, C.
 413 (2012) Metagenomic microbial community profiling using unique clade-specific marker
 414 genes. Nat Meth 9(8), 811-814.
- 415 Gillespie, J.J., Wattam, A.R., Cammer, S.A., Gabbard, J.L., Shukla, M.P., Dalay, O., Driscoll,
- 416 T., Hix, D., Mane, S.P., Mao, C., Nordberg, E.K., Scott, M., Schulman, J.R., Snyder, E.E.,
- 417 Sullivan, D.E., Wang, C., Warren, A., Williams, K.P., Xue, T., Seung Yoo, H., Zhang, C.,
- 418 Zhang, Y., Will, R., Kenyon, R.W. and Sobral, B.W. (2011) PATRIC: the Comprehensive
- 419 Bacterial Bioinformatics Resource with a Focus on Human Pathogenic Species. Infection and420 Immunity 79(11), 4286-4298.
- 421 Segata, N., Izard, J., Waldron, L., Gevers, D., Miropolsky, L., Garrett, W. and Huttenhower,
- 422 C. (2011) Metagenomic biomarker discovery and explanation. Genome biology 12(6), R60.
- 423 Segata, N. (2014) GraPhlAn, <u>https://bitbucket.org/nsegata/graphlan/wiki/Home</u>.
- 424 Kemper, N. (2008) Veterinary antibiotics in the aquatic and terrestrial environment.
 425 Ecological Indicators 8(1), 1-13.
- Hu, X., Zhou, Q. and Luo, Y. (2010) Occurrence and source analysis of typical veterinary
 antibiotics in manure, soil, vegetables and groundwater from organic vegetable bases,
 northern China. Environmental pollution 158(9), 2992-2998.
- 429 Larsson, D.G., de Pedro, C. and Paxeus, N. (2007) Effluent from drug manufactures contains
 430 extremely high levels of pharmaceuticals. J Hazard Mater 148(3), 751-755.
- 431 Kristiansson, E., Fick, J., Janzon, A., Grabic, R., Rutgersson, C., Weijdegård, B., Söderström,
- H. and Larsson, D.G.J. (2011) Pyrosequencing of Antibiotic-Contaminated River Sediments
 Reveals High Levels of Resistance and Gene Transfer Elements. PloS one 6(2), e17038.
- 434 Gullberg, E., Cao, S., Berg, O.G., Ilbäck, C., Sandegren, L., Hughes, D. and Andersson, D.I.
- 435 (2011) Selection of resistant bacteria at very low antibiotic concentrations. PLoS Pathog 7(7),
- 436 e1002158.
- Phillips, I., Casewell, M., Cox, T., De Groot, B., Friis, C., Jones, R., Nightingale, C., Preston,
 R. and Waddell, J. (2004) Does the use of antibiotics in food animals pose a risk to human

- health? A critical review of published data. The Journal of antimicrobial chemotherapy 53(1),28-52.
- 441 Mather, A.E., Reid, S.W.J., Maskell, D.J., Parkhill, J., Fookes, M.C., Harris, S.R., Brown,
- 442 D.J., Coia, J.E., Mulvey, M.R., Gilmour, M.W., Petrovska, L., de Pinna, E., Kuroda, M.,
- 443 Akiba, M., Izumiya, H., Connor, T.R., Suchard, M.A., Lemey, P., Mellor, D.J., Haydon, D.T.
- 444 and Thomson, N.R. (2013) Distinguishable Epidemics of Multidrug-Resistant Salmonella
- 445 Typhimurium DT104 in Different Hosts. Science 341(6153), 1514-1517.
- 446 Wang, J., Mao, D., Mu, Q. and Luo, Y. (2015) Fate and proliferation of typical antibiotic
- 447 resistance genes in five full-scale pharmaceutical wastewater treatment plants. Sci Total
- 448 Environ 526, 366-373.
- Harris, S., Cormican, M. and Cummins, E. (2012) The effect of conventional wastewater
 treatment on the levels of antimicrobial-resistant bacteria in effluent: a meta-analysis of
 current studies. Environmental geochemistry and health 34(6), 749-762.
- 452 Tsafnat, G., Copty, J. and Partridge, S.R. (2011) RAC: Repository of Antibiotic resistance
 453 Cassettes. Database 2011.
- 454 Case, R.J., Boucher, Y., Dahllöf, I., Holmström, C., Doolittle, W.F. and Kjelleberg, S. (2007)
- 455 Use of 16S rRNA and rpoB Genes as Molecular Markers for Microbial Ecology Studies.
- 456 Applied and environmental microbiology 73(1), 278-288.
- 457 LaPara, T.M., Burch, T.R., McNamara, P.J., Tan, D.T., Yan, M. and Eichmiller, J.J. (2011)
- 458 Tertiary-Treated Municipal Wastewater is a Significant Point Source of Antibiotic Resistance
- 459 Genes into Duluth-Superior Harbor. Environmental science & technology 45(22), 9543-9549.
- 460