Concordia Seminary - Saint Louis

Scholarly Resources from Concordia Seminary

Bachelor of Divinity

Concordia Seminary Scholarship

6-1-1952

The Use of the Old Testament in the Sermons of Acts

Robert C. Schultz Concordia Seminary, St. Louis, ir_schultzm@csl.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholar.csl.edu/bdiv



Part of the Biblical Studies Commons

Recommended Citation

Schultz, Robert C., "The Use of the Old Testament in the Sermons of Acts" (1952). Bachelor of Divinity. 363.

https://scholar.csl.edu/bdiv/363

This Thesis is brought to you for free and open access by the Concordia Seminary Scholarship at Scholarly Resources from Concordia Seminary. It has been accepted for inclusion in Bachelor of Divinity by an authorized administrator of Scholarly Resources from Concordia Seminary. For more information, please contact seitzw@csl.edu.

THE USE OF THE OLD TESTAMENT IN THE SERMONS OF ACTS

A Thesis Presented to the Faculty of Concordia Seminary, St. Louis, Department of Old Testament Theology in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Bachelor of Divinity

by

Robert C. Schultz

June 1952

Approved by:

Advisor

Pandan

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Chapter	al animalshus of interpretation failured by the Pi	age
I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	INTRODUCTORY FORMULAE	3
	COMPARISON OF THE TEXT OF THE OLD TESTAMENT QUOTATIONS IN ACTS WITH THE TEXT OF THE SEPTUAGINT AND THE MASSORA	6
IV.	THE INTERPRETATION OF THE OLD TESTAMENT IN THE SERMONS OF ACTS	25
v.	CONCLUSION	45
BIBLIOGR	RAPHY	48

SECURITY Pafer to them to services. Three of these Paracial

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

The Church's attitude toward Scripture is reflected in its use of Scripture. This thesis is an attempt to discover the principles which controlled the early church's use of Scripture. The investigation centers on three points: 1) the text of the Old Testament used by the early church; 2) the early church's purpose in quoting Scripture; 3) general principles of interpretation followed by the early church. The investigation is restricted to the use of the Old Testament in five sermons recorded in the book of Acts. Since the scope of the investigation is limited, only tentative conclusions can be obtained.

Bruce¹ lists nineteen speeches in the book of Acts.

He divides them into four main groups: 1) evangelistic,

2) hortatory, 3) deliberative, 4) apologetic. He classifies eight speeches as evangelistic: 1) the speeches of Peter in chapter 2, 3, 4, 5, 10, 13; 2) the speeches of Paul in chapter 14 and 17. Five of these eight have been selected for study: 1) 2:14-41; 2) 3:12-26; 3) 10:35-43; 4) 13:16-47;

5) 17:22-31. Since these speeches are evangelistic we shall usually refer to them as sermons. Three of these sermons

¹F. F. Bruce, The Speeches in the Acts of the Apostles. (London: The Tyndale Press, 1942), p. 1.

were delivered by Peter. Two were preached by Paul. Three were addressed to Jewish audiences. Two were preached to Gentiles.

The sermons of Acts are full of quotations, references, and reminiscences from the Old Testament. The distinction between this threefold use of the Old Testament is often hazy; and very often, it is impossible to determine whether the author is deliberately and consciously quoting the Old Testament or whether his language is saturated with phrases from the Septuagint. Therefore it was necessary to arbitrarily divide the Old Testament material in the sermons of Acts. The following three types were established: 1) formal quotations from the Old Testament; these are always indicated by an introductory formula; 2) historical references; 3) literary resiniscences, where similar phraseology can be found in the Septuagint, but where there is no introductory formula to show that the quotation or similarity is deliberate. This thesis concerns itself primarily with material of the first type, formal quotations from the Old Testament in the sermons of Acts.

CHAPTER II

INTRODUCTORY FORMULAE

Introductory formulae and, therefore, deliberate quotations from the Old Testament are found in three of the five speeches selected for study. The introductory formulae are listed at the end of this chapter.

There are thirteen introductory formulae. Five quotations are introduced and their source given: Acts 2:16 (Joel); Acts 2:25 (David); Acts 3:22 (Moses); Acts 13:33 (Psalm 2); Acts 13:40 (the Prophets). From this we conclude that the early church accepted the Mosaic authority of Deuteronomy and the Davidic authorship of Psalms 2 and 16. It is also noteworthy that in this limited selection of material there are quotations from the Torah, the Nebblim and the Kethubim.

Seven quotations are introduced as words of God. In Acts 2:17 the words $\lambda \not\in_{\gamma} \not\in_{\omega} \mathcal{L}$ are inserted into the text of the prophecy from Joel. This addition faithfully represents the context of Joel 3:1-5. Other instances in which God is introduced as speaker are 3:25; 13:22,33,34,35.47. In Acts 13:22 God is represented as speaking what must be a composite quotation (1 Samuel 13:14; Psalm 89:21; Isaiah 44:28).

Two quotations are ascribed both to a human author and to God. In Acts 2:17,21, Joel 3:1-5 is introduced as spoken "through" (fe &) the prophet Joel. This is equivalent to a dative of means. In verse 17, these words are ascribed to God. The werb λέγει is used to ascribe Psalm 16 both to David (Acts 2:25) and to God (Acts 13:35). On the basis of this material we conclude that the early church thought of the Scriptures as both words of God and as words of men.

Acts 2:16: 2/12 Touto ETTLV TO ELPMENOV Sid TOO THOPMEOU Iwmh ...

Acts 2:25: David rap léver eis ditov...

Acts 2:30: [David] Trpopmens ouv STTdpXwv Kal Elvis Otl ...

Acts 2:31: [Advid] TPOUSWN EXXX MOEN HEPL THS ZVASTÁTEWS TOU XPLOTOU STL ... Acts 2:34: LEYEL de dûtos [Advid]...

Acts 3:22: Mwirgs MEV EZTEV OTL ...

Acts 13:22: ὑ καὶ εἶπεν [leos] μαρτυρήτας...

Acta 13:33: Ws Kal EV TW Yahuw

LELAGUETE TO SENTEDE ...

Acts 13:34: OUTWS ELPMKEN [décs]...
Acts 13:35: ÈN ÉTÉPW XÉYEL...

Griechisch, revised by Albert Debrunner (8th edition; Goettingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, 1949), p. 102.

Acts 13:40: To ELPMNEVOV EV TOIS MPOPYTALS...
Acts 13:47: OUTWS Yap EVTETAXTAL MILLO

O KUPLOS...

correspond of the explanation in both with the Section

ACTO DESIGNATION THEY BE SON AS DEPOSITED

There will evenue. Surve once there is reasing reason of the survey of the survey of the survey of the survey of a filter for the preation evenue which the writer profited to come to come to come a reason which the writer profited to come to the preation of the survey of these survey of the survey of these survey of the survey of these survey of the survey of the

And anthropies, extent by alfred Scales (300 enthrou)
Distributed Frivileg, Verpt. Distribution, e.1931. All
Performance to the Septunging one based on this solition.
Reformance to the Alexandrians and the Validanus texts of
the Septembers of based on Reality ordition, apparatus.

There have to the first An Introduction to the Old Yan

AMERICAN AND RESIDENCE OF RESIDENCE BURGES OFFICE (These right)

CHAPTER III

COMPARISON OF THE TEXT OF THE OLD TESTAMENT QUOTATIONS
IN ACTS WITH THE TEXT OF THE SEPTUAGINT AND THE MASSORA

A comparison of the quotations in Acts with the Septuagint text¹ reveals many differences. The precise cause of this variation can usually only be guessed at. Swete² presents a comprehensive classification of the possible reasons for these differences. Swete says these differences may be due to:

a gloss for the precise words which the writer professes to quote, or to (c) a desire to adapt a prophetic context to the circumstances under which it was
thought to have been fulfilled, or to (d) the fusing
together of passages drawn from different contexts.
Of the variations which cannot be ascribed to one or
the other of these causes, some are (c) recensional,
whilst others are (f) translational, and imply an independent use of the original...

legional legione legio

²Henry Barclay Swete, An Introduction to the Old Testament in Greek, revised by Richard Rusden Ottley (Cambridge: At the University Press, 1914), p. 394.

Swete³ and Clarke⁴ agree that Acts shows the usual New Testament tendency to follow the Alexandrinus text of the Septuagint in preference to the Vaticanus. This investigation does not cover enough instances to test this statement. However the general tendency of Acts to agree with the Alexandrinus may indicate nothing more than mutual intercorrection.⁵

Acts 2:17-21 : Joel 3:1-5

The Septuagint text of Joel 3:1-5 is well established.

The Alexandrinus and the Vaticanus differ in only two points.

In Joel 3:2 (Acts 2:18) the Alexandrinus and Acts insert

VE after the first ICAC; the Alexandrinus and Acts also

insert Mou after Sounds. The Mou after Sounds

is found in the Alexandrinus, the Vaticanus, and Acts but

is omitted in the text of Rahlfs.

The text of Acts differs from that of the Septuagint as follows:

1) META TAUTA is replaced in Acts by EV TAIS
EXXATALS MEETA TAUTA is meaning-

³Ibid., p. 395.

William Kemp Lowther Clarke, "The Use of the Septuagint in Acts," The Beginnings of Christianity, Part I: The Acts of the Apostles, edited by F. J. Foakes Jackson and Kirsopp Lake (London: MacMillan and Co. Ltd., 1922), p. 95.

⁵Cf. the textual notes to Joel 3:1-5 in Rahlfs' edition of the Septuagint.

less without the context of Joel 2:19-27. The EV TALS EXXLTALS MUEPALS sums up the meaning of this context concerning the day of the Lord. 6 Clarke? and Bruce regard EV TALS EXXLTALS MUEPALS as a reminiscence of Isaiah 2:2.

2) $\lambda \in \gamma \in \mathcal{L}$ & $\lambda 60$ is not in Joel. It has been suggested that the words $\lambda = 1$ is should be added to the Massoretic text? for the sake of the meter. 10 Since this phrase is missing from both the Septuagint and Massoretic texts, its inclusion here could indicate that the text used by the author of Acts was, in this respect at least, superior to both the Septuagint and Massoretic texts. While this is quite possible, the addition of the words $\lambda \in \gamma \in \mathcal{L}$ & $\lambda \in \mathcal{A}$ can easily be explained as an addition made by the speaker to convey the sense of the context

Theodor Zahn, "Die Apostelgeschichte des Lukas: Erste Haelfte Kap. 1-12," Kommentar zum Neuen Testament, edited by Theodor Zahn (3rd edition; Leipzig: A Deichertsche Verlagsbuchhandlung Dr. Werner Scholl, 1922), V, 1, 109.

⁷Clarke, op. cit., p. 94.

⁸F. F. Bruce, The Acts of the Apostles: The Greek Text with Introduction and Commentary (London: The Tyndale Press, 1951), p. 89.

⁹ Biblia Hebraica, edited by Rudolf Kittel (3rd revised edition; Stuttgart: Privileg. Wuertt. Bibelanstalt, c.1937). Subsequent references to the Massoretic text are based on this edition.

^{100.} Procksch, "Librum XII Prophetarum," Biblia

Hebraica, edited by Rudolf Kittel (3rd revised edition;

Stuttgart: Privileg. Wuertt. Bibelanstalt, c.1937), p. 914.

(Joel 2:19).11

- 3) The last two clauses in verse 17 are inverted in Acts. This is undoubtedly due to free citation.
- 4) In verse 18 YE is added by the Alexandrinus and Acts.
- 5) The words Kal Tropy Teurour are added by Acts and have no basis either in the Septuagint or in the Massoretic text. Clarkel2 feels that they were added to make the prophecy seem more appropriate to the event. 13
- 6) In verse 19 the words Lvω, σημεία, and Katu are added by Acts. This also seems due to free citation.

It appears that the differences between the text of Acts and the text of the Septuagint are due to free citation. 14

The text of the Septuagint differs from the Massoretic text as follows:

1) The Septuagint and Acts both read \$πο τοῦ πνεύματος μου instead of το πνεύμα μου.

¹¹ Zahn, loc. cit.

¹² Clarke, loc. oit.

¹³ An instance of Swete's variety c. Cf. auora 6.

¹⁴Clarke, op. cit., p. 89.

The latter phrase is a better translation of the Hebrew. 15

- 2) The Septuagint and Acts both read Luich, "vapor," as a translation of Time To To A more literal translation would be "columns." 16 It is quite likely that the use of Luich here is deliberate. The phrase is translated literally in Canticles 2:6.
- 4) In these three instances Acts follows the Septuagint in departing from the Massoretic text.

Acts 2:25-28 : Psalm 16:8-11

The Septuagint text of Psalm 16:8-11 is well established; the Vaticanus and the Alexandrinus are in complete agreement.

Clarke 18 and Toyl9 both describe this as an example of exact agreement between the Septuagint and Acts. This is

¹⁵ Crawford Howell Toy, Quotations in the New Testament (New York: Charles Scribner's Sons, 1884), p. 98.

¹⁶ Ibid.

¹⁷ Ibid.

¹⁸ clarke, op. cit., p. 85.

¹⁹ Toy, op. cit., p. 99.

not quite accurate. The phrase nov m rapfic (Acts 2:26) reads m rdp fix mov in the Septuagint. This is the only variation between the Septuagint and Acts.

The Septuagint and Acts allegedly depart from the Massoretic text in several places. Upon closer examination some of these discrepancies appear to be good, although sometimes not literal, translations.

Thus Toy²⁰ notes that TTO is not accurately translated by TTO of war V (Acts 2:25). Evidence from the papyri (not available to Toy) shows that the translation of the Septuagint is acceptable. This use of the middle of TTO of dw in the sense of "pay regard to" may be illustrated by P Par 26¹ ²² (B.G. 163-2), P Flor I 99⁹. P Fay 20²⁰ and Syll³ 569¹³.²¹

Similarly, Cadbury and Lake²² object to the translation of TIDD by ETT' EXTECT (Acts 2:26), saying:

The variant is very important. The meaning of the original is that owing to the help of the Lord the Psalmist is not afraid of death; he will "dwell safely." But, using the LXX, the writer of Acts makes him look

²⁰ Toy, op. cit., p. 100.

²¹ James Hope Moulton and George Milligan, "Thoopdw."

The Vocabulary of the Greek New Testament illustrated from the Papyri and other non-literary Sources (Grand Rapids, Michigan: Wm. B. Eerdmans Publishing Company, 1949), p. 543.

²²Kirsopp Lake and Henry J. Cadbury, "English Translation and Commentary," The Beginnings of Christianity.

Part I: The Acts of the Apostles, edited by F. J. Foakes
Jackson and Kirsopp Lake (London: MacMillan and Co. Ltd.,
1933), IV. 24.

forward "in hope," and the whole point of Peter's speech is that this hope was not fulfilled in the case of David but only in that of Jesus. It seems an indication the speech is really based on the LXX, not on an Aramaic document which a translator conformed to the LXX.

This writer agrees with the conclusion reached by Cadbury and Lake but does not accept their evidence. Cadbury and Lake make the mistake of translating $(2) \pi i$ on the basis of its secular usage. Rudolf Bultmann²³ points out that $(2) \pi i$ of $(2) \pi i$ is the ordinary Septuagint translation of $(2) \pi i$ and derived vocables. The Greek $(2) \pi i$ is all too often the hope of the man who can hope only because he has forgotten the future. The Biblical $(2) \pi i$ is a hope which rests on the protection and faithfulness of God. It is a hope which looks forward to a Messianic eschatological fulfillment. As such, it would be strange to find it fulfilled any time before the resurrection of Jesus; the Psalm expressly states that the psalmist looks forward to the preservation of the Hely One; his

er. les ell

²³Rudolf Bultmann, " E ATT () " Theologisches Woerterbuch zum Neuen Testament, edited by Gerhard Kittel (Stuttgart: W. Kohlhammer, 1935), II, 517.

²⁴ Ibid., p. 517.

²⁵ Ibid., p. 527.

²⁶ Ibid., p. 519.

security depends on God's future activity. 27 The use of $2 \times \pi / \omega$ in the Septuagint and the New Testament demonstrates that it adequately conveys the sense of $\pi \times 1.28$

Toy²⁹ also criticizes the translation of TTU by "destruction." Toy³⁰ prefers the translation "pit" used in reference to the grave. But Zahn³¹ points out that

In Job 17:14 and that the translation of the Septuagint and Acts is thus sustained by Biblical usage.

The Septuagint text has been criticized by various authorities on the three above points. Closer examination and new evidence have vindicated the Septuagint on each of these points.

²⁷Thus in defining the New Testament usage of ελπίς, Bultmann says, "Die Sicherheit des auf die von Gott geschenkte Zukunft gereichteten Vertrauens wird betont...," 1bid., p. 527.

²⁸ Cadbury and Lake make the error, here and elsewhere in their work, of defining a word without considering its Biblical usage.

²⁹ Toy, op. cit., p. 100.

³⁰ Ibid.

³¹ Zahn, op. cit., p. 114.

³² Toy, loc. oit.

follows a different text; Toy³³ believes the Septuagint uses "tongue" because it is more in keeping with the idea of rejoicing. This writer postulates the use of a superior text by the translators of the Septuagint. It is difficult to explain such an obvious mistranslation as an accident; since "tongue" fits the meaning and parallelism much better than "glory" there is good reason to assume that it is the original reading.

In Toy's opinion, the Septuagint translation does not accurately reproduce the tenses of the Hebrew verbs. 34

This quotation in Acts is remarkable for its almost exact agreement with the Septuagint text. A comparison of this accuracy of quotation with the inaccuracies of Acts 2:17-21 shows that the inaccuracies of the latter are not due to faulty memory but to the deliberate intention of the reporter. 35

A comparison of the large number of variants in the text of Acts 2:17-21 with the single textual variant of Acts 2:25-26 and the similar situation in the text of the Septuagint will demonstrate the great influence which the texts of the New and Old Testaments had on each other during the three hundred years before our major manuscripts were

³³¹b1d.

³⁴ Ibid.

³⁵ Zahn, 100. cit.

produced.

Acts 2:30 : Psalm 132:11

The text of this brief quotation 36 agrees neither with the Septuagint nor with the Massoretic text. Acts 2:30: ἐκ καρπος τῆς ὀσρύος ἀὐτοῦ καθίσαι ἐπὶ τὸν θρόνον Psalm 132:11 (LXX, 131:11): ἐκ καρποῦ τῆς Κοιλίας σου θήσομαι ἐπὶ τὸν θρόνον σου.

This difference may indicate that the quotation reached the author of Acts in an Aramaic translation. This writer agrees with Clarke's 37 opinion that free quotation is a more likely explanation of the differences.

Acts 2:34.35 : Psalm 110:1

Acts is in substantial agreement with the Septuagint, omitting only the article before Kupios. It should be noted that significant is anarthous in the Massoretic text. However, there is no reason to postulate a direct translation

³⁶ This quotation does not have the usual type of introductory formula. It is included in the thesis because the phrases "being a prophet" and "God swore to him" clearly indicate that a quotation follows.

³⁷clarke, op. cit., p. 97.

from the Hebrew, especially since the proper name is definite in Hebrew. The variation is not significant.

Acts 3:22,23 : Deuteronomy 18:15,19
Leviticus 22:39

The Septuagint is in close agreement with the Massoretic text. "Ord Edv Lalvan & Trophitas Electives" in Deuteronomy 15:19 is probably based on a different reading of the text. 38 The Septuagint and Acts omit property, "from thy midst," as superfluous, 39 in view of "from your brothers." Acts changes the second person pronouns from singular to plural. Perhaps, as Toyho suggests, this was done to make the prophecy more applicable to a large group of people. Since the prophecy was originally spoken to a large group of people, this is not a compelling reason. The text of Acts follows the Septuagint loosely and is undoubtedly a free citation, reflecting an interpretation.

The variations are quite extensive in Acts 3:23. This is explained in various ways. Clarke 41 postulates a conflation of Deuteronomy 18:19 with Leviticus 23:29.

³⁸ Toy, op. cit., p. 102.

³⁹ Ibid.

⁴⁰ Ibid.

⁴¹ Clarke, op. cit., p. 94.

woods⁴² explains the phrase $\Psi \cup \chi \dot{\gamma}$... $\lambda d \cdot \hat{\omega}$ as a substitution from Leviticus 17:4. Knowling⁴³ points out that the phrase is a common one also found in Genesis 17:14; Exodus 12:15,19; Leviticus 4:9; and Numbers 15:30. Clarke⁴⁴ and Nestle⁴⁵ both suggest that this verse is based on Leviticus 23:29; this seems to be the most likely solution to the problem. It should be noted then that $\dot{\mathcal{E}} \subset \mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{E}$ at the beginning of Acts 3:23 is not found in the text of Leviticus 23:29.46

Acts 3:25 : Genesis 22:18

The author of Acts quotes Genesis 22:18 freely from the Septuagint. 47 In place of Elvm Acts reads Tatpede.

⁴²F. H. Woods, "Quotations," A Dictionary of the Bible edited by James Hastings, et al (New York: Scribners, 1902), IV, 186.

Expositor's Greek Testament, edited by W. Robertson Nicoll (London: Hodder and Stoughton Limited, n.d.), II, 118.

⁴⁴ Clarke, loc. cit.

⁴⁵ Eberhard Nestle, Novum Testamentum Graece, revised by Erwin Nestle (18th edition; Stuttgart: Privilegierte Wuerttembergische Bibelanstalt, 1948), marginal note, p. 306.

⁴⁶ The saddition. In Deuteronomy 18:19 may be the basis for this addition.

⁴⁷ Clarke, op. cit., p. 90.

This is a better translation of the Hebrew. 48 As Clarke 49 points out, this is not conclusive evidence for the independent use of the Hebrew or an Aramaic translation by the author of Acts. Toy 50 feels that the use of That place is due to the influence of Genesis 12:3. This view implies an independent use of the Hebrew or Aramaic since the Septuagint for Genesis 12:3 reads Pula Clarke 51 suggests the use of That place is due to the influence of Genesis 12:3 or Psalm 21:28. The first possibility has been discussed. There is neither direct evidence nor probability in favor of influence by Psalm 21:28. The simplest solution would be that the author of Acts is quoting freely.

^{48&}lt;u>Ib1d.</u>, p. 95.

⁴⁹ Ibid.

⁵⁰ Toy, op. cit., p. 104.

⁵¹ Clarke, loc. cit.

⁵² Toy, loc. cit.

flexive sense.53

Acts 13:22 : Pselm 89:21

1 Samuel 13:14

Isa1ah 44:28

The quotation in Acts 13:22 seems to be a free collation of these three texts: Psalm 89:21: ESpor Advect Tor Source to North the same of the seems of Testimonies or that 1 Clement 18 may indicate either that both are dependent on the same collection of Testimonies or that 1 Clement is borrowing from Acts. Acts reads Tor Tor Testimonies or that 1 Clement is borrowing from Acts. Acts reads Tor Tor Testimonies or the Great. This would indicate that it is not directly quoted in reference to David, but that this is a literary reminiscence.

Knowling 55 considers the fact that the text of these quotations is uncorrected is evidence that we have Paul's own

⁵³Wilhelm Gesenius, Hebrew Grammar, edited and enlarged by E. Kautzsch, revised in accordance with the 28th German edition (1909) by A. E. Cowley (2nd English edition; Oxford: At the Clarendon Press, reprinted 1949), paragraph 54g, p. 150.

⁵⁴ Clarke, op. cit., p. 94.

⁵⁵Knowling, op. cit., p. 293.

words recorded.

Acts 13:33 : Psalm 2:7

The quotation in Acts 13:33 is in exact agreement with the Septuagint text of Psalm 2:7.

Acts 13:34 : Isaiah 55:3

The Septuagint translates To To In In Isaiah 55:3 with The Septuagint in reading Swow instead of Sed Mround and in omitting Sed Mround diwver.

Both differences are probably due to free citation.

Acts 13:35 : Psalm 16:10

Acts 13:41 : Habbakuk 1:5

The text of the Septuagint is quite constant. The Alexandrinus adds Surv at the end of the verse; in this, it agrees with Acts.

The text of the Septuagint departs from the Massoretic text in two places: Kath Ploymed and Land Land Land.

O. Procksch suggests that the Massoretic reading The beamended to read The first place. "This reading of the Septuagint appears to give better sense than the Massoretic text. The corruption in the Massoretic text may be even simpler than Procksch suggests. By writing the cholem defectively and omitting the article we obtain The first place and the daleth and the way are easily and frequently confused, it is easy to trace the development of the Massoretic text.

The phrase

in the Massoretic text is translated in the Septuagint: Kal ETL BAÉWATE Kal Jaundoute Jaundoux Kal Apavio Inte. Acts omits Jaundoux. 59 Toy60 treats apavio Inte as a mistranslation of FIDT.

^{560.} Procksch, op. cit., p. 946.

⁵⁷This is possible. Cf. Wilhelm Gesenius, op. cit., paragraph 126f and especially paragraph 126h where reference is made to the anarthous vocative in Psalm 2:10.

⁵⁸It is obvious, of course, that the development could have been from the Massoretic text to the Septuagint text, but the smoother reading of the Septuagint text argues in favor of its purity.

⁵⁹ Toy, op. cit., p. 119, suggests it is "unnecessary."

60 Toy, loc. cit.

Bruce apparently regards this either as a mistranslation or an interpolation since he comments that there is no Hebrew equivalent for $\lambda \varphi_{\lambda\nu} i_{\sigma} J_{\eta\tau\epsilon}$. 61

However, the Septuagint translation is not without basis. Gesenius 62 translates DJ with "die Augen aufschlagen um zu sehen." It should be noted that the translation indicated for Habbakuk 1:5 is "aufmerksam zu schauen. "63 s. DJ is rendered "sich wundern" and "bes. vor Schrecken sprachlos; "64 the Hithpael is translated "sich entsetzen. "65 It is possible to construe êmc (3) É ydte as a repetition of L'Sete for the sake of emphasis. 66 It seems more likely that it is to be taken together with Lydy Colyte, "be distorted." The two Greek words together carry the sense of "see with fear" (1) DJ). The

⁶¹ Bruce, op. cit., p. 272.

⁶³ Ibid.

Aramaeisches Handwoerterbuch ueber das Alte Testament, revised by Frants Buhl, et al (15th edition; Leipzig: F. C. W. Vogel, 1910), p. 873.

⁶⁵ Ibid.

⁶⁶The fact that the author of Acts omits
is an argument in favor of this view. It is not conclusive,
since the author of Acts was neither comparing his quotations
with, nor trying to reproduce the exact sense of, the Hebrew
text, as the Septuagint translators were.

effect of fear upon the facial expression, especially when the eyes are spread wide, is well described by $d\varphi_{\alpha} v' f_{\omega}$; but the verb of seeing, $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\iota_{\alpha}(\lambda)$ $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\iota_{\alpha}(\lambda)$, is required to complete the translation. If this hypothesis is correct, then Bruce and Toy have been misled by the fact that $d\varphi_{\alpha}v' \iota_{\alpha}v_{\alpha}$ is not in the position indicated by a literal translation of the Hebrew.

Is also not without foundation. The Hebrew text as it stands is not smooth although it is meaningful. A smoother reading is obtained if the text on which the Septuagint appears to be based is followed. Instead of the Massoretic ISIDI ISIDI We would then have SIDI (infinitive) to ISIDI (imperative) could have occurred quite easily and would not be noticed since it does not destroy the meaning of the sentence. If this hypothesis is adopted, this is another point at which the Septuagint text is superior to the Massoretic text. 67

Acts adds two words which are not found in the Septuagint. Eppov and Univ 68 are inserted; Toy69 states

⁶⁷ Cf. the discussion of Acts 2:25-28, supra 11.

⁶⁸ The Alexandrinus agrees with Acts in the addition of Unity. Cf. supra 20.

⁶⁹ Toy, log. cit.

that the insertions are made for the sake of emphasis and clarity. Two words, ETTL BY EYATE and Idundona, are omitted as "unnecessary."70

Acts 13:47 : Isaiah 49:6

The Septuagint text according to the Alexandrinus begins with $\tau \in \mathcal{N}$ conditions; this reading is adopted by Acts and in the text of Rahlfs. The Vaticanus has $\int \vec{\epsilon} \int \omega \, K \, K \, K \, M$ which is almost synonymous but is a more literal translation of the Massoretic text. Acts, the Alexandrinus, and the Massoretic text do not contain \tilde{E}_{LS} $\int (A \mathcal{N} / K / N) / \tilde{E}_{LS} / \tilde{E}_$

⁷⁰ Ibid.

⁷¹ Toy, op. cit., p. 120.

⁷² Ibid.

CHAPTER IV

THE INTERPRETATION OF THE OLD TESTAMENT IN THE SERMONS OF ACTS

Acts 2:14-41

The sermon of Peter on Pentecost (Acts 2:14-41) is divided by Rackhaml and Cadbury and Lake² into three parts (verses 14-21; 22-28; 29-36). Each part begins with a personal address and ends with a Scriptural quotation. The practical conclusion (verses 37-40) forms a fourth part.

The personal address at the beginning of each section - verse 14: "Av Spes Touddion Kai of Katonkoûvtes Ispoudadam marts; verse 22: "Av Spes I Topan actal; verse 29: "Av Spes actal parts is verse 36: Tas of Katonkoûvtes I Topan actal; verse 29: "Av Spes actal parts is verse 36: Tas of Katonkoûvtes I Topan actal parts.

Peter begins by speaking directly to his hearers in Jerusalem concerning the phenomena they have just witnessed; he

Richard Belward Rackham, "The Acts of the Apostles: an Exposition," Westminster Commentaries, edited by Walter Lock (8th edition; London: Methuen & Co. Ltd., n.d.), p. 25.

²Kirsopp Lake and Henry J. Cadbury, "English Translation and Commentary," The Beginnings of Christianity.

Part I: The Acts of the Apostles, edited by F. J. Foakes
Jackson and Kirsopp Lake (London: MacMillan and Co. Ltd.,
1933), IV. 20.

closes with a message for all the worshippers of Jahweh, both in Judea and in the Diaspora. The theme of the speech is that Jesus, who lived in Judea and Galilee, who was crucified, risen from the dead, and lifted up to heaven, has now sent the Spirit. The argument which Peter uses is an appeal to the Scriptures in which the Spirit of Christ bare witness beforehand (1 Peter 1:11). Each section of the address is built around or climaxes in an Old Testament quotation.

Acts 2:17-21 : Joel 3:1-5

The passage quoted in Acts 2:17-21 is from Joel 3:1-5.

Here Joel, speaking as the prophet of the Lord, describes

the signs which will accompany the coming of the Messianic

Era.

The quotation of Joel 3:1-5 is the introduction of Peter's Pentecost Sermon. The phenomena of Pentecost are those described by the prophet Joel. The quotation of this prophecy is meaningful only on the basis of a common belief of the speaker and his hearers in the Old Testament revela-

Jahn. on olt., p. 130.

³Theodor Zahn, "Die Apostelgeschichte des Lukas: Erste Haelfte Kap. 1-12," Kommentar zum Neuen Testament, edited by Theodor Zahn (3rd edition; Leipzig: A. Deichertsche Verlagsbuchhandlung Dr. Werner Scholl, 1922), V, 1, 111.

⁴Rackham, op. cit., pp. 24-5.

next and principal part of the sermon, in which the opposition between the faith of the disciples and most of the Jews is pointed out. The introduction of the prophecy in the last days points up the Messianic connotations of the prophecy; these same words, while not used by Joel, introduce Messianic prophecies in Isaiah 2:2 and Micah 4:1.7

Kuplos (sills) is referred to Jesus. The reference becomes explicit in verse 33 where Jesus is said to pour out the spirit. Paul interprets the passage in the same way in Romans 10:12 (Joel 3:5). This is indicative of the thought of the early church, which did not hesitate to refer to Jesus the attributes and prophecies which the prophets ascribed to sills.

TTATAV TAPKA and TATLV TOIS ELS

LLAKPAY could be interpreted as the beginning of the

early church's universalism; but on the basis of Peter's

words, no specific reference to the salvation of the Gentiles

can be established. Preuschen quotes Sirach 24:32, where

⁵Zahn, loc. cit.

⁶ Ibid.

⁷R. J. Knowling, "The Acts of the Apostles," The Expositor's Greek Testament, edited by W. Robertson Nicoll (London: Hodder and Stoughton Limited, n.d.), II, 78.

^{8&}lt;sub>1b1d.</sub>, p. 81.

⁹Zahn, oo. oit., p. 130.

ELS MAKPAV and ELS YEVEAS ALWINV

are parallel expressions, to show that "those afar off" are
not the heathen but later generations. Knowling includes
the Gentiles in Peter's words and states:

It must not be forgotten that the Apostles were not surprised that the Gentiles should be admitted to the Christian Church, but only that they should be admitted without conforming to the rite of circumcision. 10

Knowling, in effect, says that Gentiles could become Christians if they first became proselytes and thus, for all practical purposes, Jews. This can hardly be regarded as an advance over the nationalism of contemporary Judeism.

The view adopted in this thesis is summed up by Rackham:

This indwelling presence was promised to St. Peter's hearers and the Jewish race, and further even to all those afar off, i.e., all whom it shall please the Lord to call to himself. Those afar off are really the dentiles; so here at the very beginning is a proclamation of the universal character of the gospel which had been already foreshadowed in the words of Joel upon all flesh and whoseever shall call (vv. 17, 21). The subsequent history shews how slow the apostles were to realize the practical results of this universal call, and we must beware of reading into these early utterances the full experience of later years.

3. Peter no doubt was thinking mainly of the Jews of the Dispersion, "the children of God scattered" far off among the Gentiles: and the Gentiles whom the Lord should call S. Peter would as yet expect to come in through the gate of Judaism as procelytes (verse 11).11

In this instance then, we have a prophecy, which is definitely Messianic in content, interpreted as fulfilled

¹⁰knowling, op. cit., p. 92.

¹¹ Rackham, ov. cit., pp. 30-1.

in Jesus' gift of the Spirit to his church. With Pentecost the Messianic era has definitely begun. This first outpouring of the Spirit is a unique event. The Joel prophecy is not quoted in connection with speaking in tongues again, even though it must have been well-known to the church. Thus in 1 Corinthians 14:21 Paul refers to Isaiah 28:11,12 and Deuteronomy 28:49 but not to Joel 3:1-5.

Acts 2:25-28 : Psalm 16:8-11

Psalm 16, attributed to David by the author of Acts, is a prayer of confidence in the preservation and blessing of God. It is based on the idea that death is the worst evil and life the supreme blessing that can come to a man. 12

The interpretation of Acts is quite explicit. The psalm is regarded as a Messianic psalm and the statements in it are referred directly to the Messiah (verse 31). The Messiah is identified as Jesus (verse 32). Since David was a prophet, he foresaw the resurrection (verses 30-31). The Old Testament prophecy does not provide proof of the resurrection; that is furnished by the Apostles who are witnesses (verse 32). The Old Testament prophecy is used to make the resurrection meaningful. It identifies the one raised from the dead as the promised Messiah. This identification does not depend solely on the resurrection; Peter

⁽New York: Charles Scribner's Sons, 1884), p. 100.

immediately adduces the outpouring of the Spirit (verse 33) and the Ascension (verses 33-34) as further evidence of the Messiahship of Jesus.

The logical steps in this interpretation may be summarized as follows: 1) Scripture says thus and so ("Thou shalt not suffer thy Holy One to see corruption."); 2) This must either apply to the speaker or to someone else; 3) It can be proved that it does not apply to the speaker (his grave is still to be seen); 4) Since it was fulfilled in Jesus (who did not see corruption), it may be applied to Him. 13

This interpretation of the Psalm is based on agreement between Peter and his hearers that the resurrection is possible. 14 An eschatological interpretation of the Psalm is common in the Rabbinical literature, but only the Midrash gives a direct Messianic exegesis. This Messianic interpretation is based on a phrase in the Massoretic text which is changed in the Septuagint and in Acts. (The Septuagint and Acts read "tongue" where the Massoretic text reads "glory.") The Midrash reads: "And my glory rejoices,"

¹³Henry J. Cadbury, "The Speeches in Acts," The Beginnings of Christianity, Part I: The Acts of the Apostles, edited by F. J. Foakes Jackson and Kirsopp Lake (London: MacMillan and Co. Ltd., 1933), V, 408.

¹⁴ Zahn, op. olt., p. 116.

that is over the King the Messiah. "15 The only specifically Christian element in this interpretation, as opposed to the Rabbinical interpretation, is the identification of Jesus with the Messiah.

This identification is established by the outpouring of the Spirit by the ascended Christ. Rackham comments:

The meaning of the resurrection is now interpreted, as the fact is confirmed by an appeal to prophecy. It is the resurrection which affords the explanation of the present outpouring of the Holy Spirit, while in turn this gift proves that Jesus is indeed himself the longed-for "promise of Israel" - the Messiah and Lord. 16

This writer disagrees with the position of Rackham, that the fact of the resurrection "is confirmed" by an appeal to prophecy. 17 As Zahn notes in commenting on the interpretation of Psalm 16:10 in Acts 13:36, the fact of the resurrection is established by the eye-witness of the Apostles; the Old Testament prophecy is quoted to show the meaning of the event. 18

¹⁵Lake and Cadbury, op. cit., pp. 23-4.

¹⁶ Rackham, op. cit., p. 25.

¹⁷ Ibid.

¹⁸ Theodor Zahn, "Die Apostelgeschichte des Lukas:
Zweite Haelfte Kap. 13-28," Kommentar zum Neuen Testament,
edited by Theodor Zahn (1st and 2nd edition; Leipzig: A.
Deichertsche Verlagsbuchhandlung Dr. Werner Scholl, 1921),
V, 2, 444.

Acts 2:30 : Psalm 132:11

The passage is freely quoted from Psalm 132:11. This is a Psalm recounting God's mercies to David. The promise, recorded in 2 Samuel 7:12, was primarily spoken of Solomon, but the addition of "forever" showed that it was also given to a greater seed of David, i.e., the Messiah. 19

Peter makes only passing reference to the quotation.

It is possible that the sermon as delivered contained an extended interpretation, which has not been recorded by the author of Acts. The inference is that Jesus is the descendant of David who fulfills the ancient promise.

Acts 2:34-35 : Psalm 110:1

The logical steps in the interpretation of Psalm 110:1 are parallel to those in the interpretation of Psalm 16:8-11, discussed above. The psalm prophesies elevation to the right hand of God; David did not ascend; Jesus did, and therefore, the prophecy is applied to him. Through the resurrection and ascension, God has declared the crucified Jesus to be both Lord and Christ. 20

¹⁹ Rackham, op. cit., p. 29.

²⁰ Theodor Zahn, "Die Apostelgeschichte des Lukas: Erste Haelfte Kap. 1-12," Kommentar zum Neuen Testament, edited by Theodor Zahn (3rd edition; Leipzig: A. Deichertsche Verlagsbuchhandlung Dr. Werner Scholl, 1922), V, 1, 124.

This Messianic interpretation was not strange to Peter's hearers. Knowling remarks that this Psalm was always regarded as Messianic by the Jews. 21 This interpretation continued among Jewish expositors until the tenth century. 22 It is not the Messianic application that is at stake in Peter's sermon, but its application to Jesus. The ascension of Jesus as an historic event is established, not by the use of the Psalm as a proof text, but by the outpouring of the Spirit, accomplished by the ascended Jesus. Peter proves the ascension by Pentecost; he interprets its significance by use of Psalm 110.

Acts 3:12-26

Peter's sermon after the healing of the lame man differs in many ways from the Pentecest sermon. Though this
sermon is saturated with Old Testament allusions and language there are only two quotations with introductory formulae; and at times when we would expect an Old Testament
quotation, Peter makes reference to the miracle.²³ The
purpose and the circumstances of this sermon also differ
from the earlier one. After the miracle Peter is no longer

²¹ Knowling, op. cit., p. 89.

²² Toy states that the Messianic interpretation continued among Jewish expositors until the tenth century. Toy, op. cit., p. 63.

²³Zahn, op. cit., p. 154.

speaking to the blasphemous audience of Pentecost but to a group of people amazed at the miracle. Peter's main task is not to convince them that Jesus is the Lord, but rather to show them the way of life. 24

Peter begins the sermon with a reference to the God of Abraham, Isaac and Jacob in language which is strongly flavored by Exodus 3:6. No introductory formula precedes this statement. Preuschen²⁵ suggests that this is a liturgical formula common in the Jewish synagogue. Peter uses the reference to call to mind the past mercy of God.²⁶ Since he will speak of God's new covenant and new revelation in Jesus, he begins with the name by which God revealed Himself to Moses.²⁷

In verse 18, Peter refers to the prophecies of the suffering of the Servant of the Lord. No specific prophecy or prophet is mentioned. What one or more of the prophets have said is considered to be the meaning of them all. 28 Late

²⁴ Ibid., p. 151.

²⁵ Erwin Preuschen, "Die Apostelgeschichte, " Handbuch zum Neuen Testament, edited by Hans Lietzmann (Tuebingen: J. C. B. Mohr, 1912), IV, 1, 20.

²⁶ Zahn, op. cit., p. 152.

²⁷ Rackham, op. cit., p. 51.

²⁸Hans Hinrich Wendt, "Die Apostelgeschichte: von der 5. Auflage zu neu bearbeitet," Kritisch-exegetischer Kommentar ueber das Neue Testament begruendet von Heinr. Aug. Wilh. Meyer (9th edition; Goettingen: Vanderhoeck & Ruprecht, 1913), p. 105.

Jewish opinion is that all prophets have spoken of the days of the Messiah. "R. Chija bar Abba hat im Namen des R. Jochanan ferner gesagt: Alle Propheten haben nur auf die Tage des Messias geweissagt. "29

Acts 3:22,23: Deuteronomy 18:15
Leviticus 23:29

The first quotation in this sermon is a composite quotation, freely rendered, from Deuteronomy 18:15 and Leviticus 23:29. In its original context, the quotation means that Israel should not try to find out God's will through magic or sorcery after the custom of their neighbors; when God wishes to reveal anything to them, he will raise up a prophet. In later times, the Jews interpreted this passage as a reference to the one particular prophet who was to come. 30

No interpretation of this prophecy is recorded in the text of Acts. The prophecy is quoted at the point in the speech where Peter stops talking about the future and begins to speak of the past. 31 Rackham³² comments that Jesus is

²⁹ Quoted by Preuschen, op. cit., p. 21.

Text with Introduction and Commentary (London: The Tyndale Press, 1951), p. 113.

³¹ Zahn, op. cit., p. 157.

³² Rackham, op. oit., p. 54.

thus presented as the second Moses who mediates the new covenant, whose coming was foretold by the first Moses. The "hear him" was probably emphasized in remembrance of the word of God ("hear him") at the Transfiguration. The main point however, is that Jesus reveals the will of God according to the promise. Thus Jesus easily fulfills the terms of Moses' prophecy. The word of the Lord in Deuteronomy 18 is repeated in the word of the Lord at the Transfiguration.

Acts 3:25 : Genesis 22:18

In Acts 3:25 Peter quotes God's promise to Abraham.

It is fulfilled in Jesus, through whom God has blessed "all the nations of the earth." Peter is not emphasizing the heathen any more here than in Acts 2:39.33 Any heathen who became a Christian also became a Jewish proselyte.34

This prophecy has come, not only from Moses, but from all the prophets from Samuel on down. Samuel is mentioned by name; perhaps this is because of the renewal of the promise (renewed by Nathan, but recorded in 2 Samuel 7) to the seed of David; 35 a more likely explanation is that Samuel

B, 900 Min 2: 135.

³³It is possible that Peter is referring to the Gentiles with this quotation; but of. the discussion of 2:17, 39. Supra 27.

³⁴Zahn, op. oit., p. 159.

³⁵ Knowling, on. elt., p. 118.

was generally recognized as the first of the prophets. 36

Thus the Midrash Shemuel c. 24, calls Samuel "the Rabban,"

i.e., the chief and teacher of the prophets. 37

Acts 10:34-43

The sermon of Peter in the house of Cornelius, recorded in Acts 10:34-43, contains no Old Testament quotations. This may be due to the interruption of the sermon by the outpouring of the Holy Spirit (10:11 and 11:15).

However, it is more probable that the sermon was not ended prematurely by the outpouring of the Spirit. According to Acts 11:15, this phenomenon occurred even at the beginning of Peter's sermon. We may therefore assume that we have a relatively complete compend of Peter's sermon recorded in Acts. 38

This sermon marks a turning point in the thinking of Peter. Here Jesus is not only the Lord and Christ of the Jews but of all men, circumcised or not. Since the fulfillment of the Old Testament Messianic expectations would not be understood by the Gentiles present in the house of Cornelius, Peter presents Jesus as the Judge of all men. 39

³⁶ Rackham, op. clt., p. 55.

³⁷ Knowling, log. cit.

³⁸ Knowling, op. cit., p. 261 and Wendt, op. cit., p. 185.

³⁹Rackham, op. cit., p. 155.

And yet it should not be forgotten that the last words of this first sermon to a heathen audience are a reference to the prophets' witness to Jesus as the Messiah who brings the forgiveness of sins. 40 We might characterize this as a passing reference to the Old Testament before an audience that would at best have a passing acquaintance with it. 41

Acts 13:16-41

The sermon recorded in Acts 13:16-41 was delivered at Pisidian Antioch by Paul. His audience contained both Jews and God-fearing Gentiles (verse 16). 42 Bix quotations from the Old Testament are preceded by introductory formulae.

This sermon can be divided into three parts: 1) the Introduction (verse 17-25); 2) the Gospel (verses 26-37); 3) the practical appeal (verses 38-41).43

Part 1, the Introduction, contains a survey of the history of Israel, written in the style and language of the Septuagint. 44 In many respects it is similar to the historical survey in Stephen's Apology (Acts 7). Three differences should be noted: 1) In Stephen's speech, the emphasis is on

⁴⁰ Zahn, op. cit., p. 360.

⁴¹ on this point of. Rackham, op. cit., p. 158.

⁴² Ibid., p. 211.

⁴³ Ibid., p. 208.

⁴⁴ Preuschen, op. cit., p. 83.

types of the Messiah in the history of Israel; in Paul's sermon, history is presented as the actual preparation for the coming of the Messiah. 45 2) In Stephen's speech, Moses the mediator is the prototype of the Messiah; in Paul's sermon, it is David the King. 46 3) Paul passes over the giving of the law in silence; no reference is made to the covenant. 47 The first mention of Moses' law comes in verse 38 where Paul discusses the forgiveness of sins.

Acts 13:22: 1 Samuel 13:14

Psalm 89:21

Isaiah 44:28

The quotation in Acts 13:22 is a composite quotation; it seems to be based on Psalm 89:21; I Samuel 13:14; and Isaiah 44:28. Toy⁴⁸ feels that the clause attributed to Isaiah 44:28 (which is in context a reference to Cyrus) is a summary of Psalm 89:18-37 and 2 Samuel 7. This is quite possible, since it is difficult to explain Paul's purpose in referring to David a statement made about Cyrus. This quotation comes at the end of the historical survey and is used to describe David. As a result, there is no explicit

⁴⁵ Rackham, op. c1t., p. 209.

⁴⁶ Ibid.

⁴⁷ Ibid., p. 213.

⁴⁸ Toy, op. cit., p. 115.

interpretation of the passage by Paul. With this quotation the historical survey reaches a climax; its purpose is to show how God achieved His goal of making David a forefather of Christ. 49 The promise made to Abraham is also made to David in 2 Samuel 7:12-16.50

Acts 13:33-35 contains three quotations: 1) Psalm 2:7; 2) Isaiah 55:3; 3) Psalm 16:10. Psalm 2 is interpreted Messianically here and in Hebrews 1:5. The Messianic interpretation of this Psalm is found in Psalm of Solomon 17:26 (first century B.C.) and in several early Rabbinical texts.51 Isaiah 55:3 is a promise of God's mercy to those who hear His voice. It is fulfilled through the activity of the Holy One of Israel. God, not the prophet, is the speaker. He gives the promise in view of what Christ will do.52 Psalm 16:10 has been discussed earlier in connection with Acts 2:25-28.

⁴⁹ Preuschen, op. cit., p. 84.

⁵⁰ Rackham, op. cit., p. 212.

⁵¹ Dalman, Words of Jesus, pp. 268 ff., quoted by Bruce, op. cit., pp. 269-70.

⁵²Theodor Zahn, "Die Apostelgeschichte des Lukas: Zweite Haelfte Kap. 13-28," Kommentar zum Neuen Testament, edited by Theodor Zahn (1st and 2nd edition; Leipzig: A. Deichertsche Verlagsbuchhandlung Dr. Werner Scholl, 1921), V, 2, 445.

Each of these prophetic promises is completely fulfilled in the resurrection of Jesus. 53 Psalm 2 was fulfilled earlier in the baptism of Jesus, but even this finds its final fulfillment in the resurrection. 54 The ws kacin verse 33 shows that Psalm 2 is quoted to illustrate the congruence between the historical Jesus and the Old Testament picture of the Messiah. 55 Isaiah 55:3 and Psalm 16:10 are connected by a line of thinking based on the Greek text. The Ook in Isaiah 55:3 is referred to the Greek text. The Ook in Isaiah 55:3 is referred to the Greek text. The resurrection of Jesus. 56 The interpretation of Psalm 16:10 is the same as the interpretation in Acts 2:25-33.

This use of three passages in rapid succession is an interesting example of the purpose of the preacher in quoting the Old Testament. The historicity of the resurrection has already been established by the eyewitness of the disciples (verse 31). The prophecies are quoted to show the significance of the event; they keep the resurrection from being a meaningless riddle. 57

⁵³Rackham, op. cit., p. 215.

⁵⁴ Preuschen, op. cit., p. 84.

⁵⁵Zahn, op. cit., p. 444.

⁵⁶ Lake and Cadbury, op. cit., p. 215.

⁵⁷ Zahn, loc. cit.

Acts 13:40 : Habbakuk 1:5

In Acts 13:40 Paul quotes Habbakuk 1:5. This passage warns the prophet's hearers that the Chaldean invasion will be the result of their rejection of God. Paul quotes it to his hearers in order to remind them that the judgment of God will be the result of their rejection of the gospel of Jesus. Ramsay⁵⁸ interprets the "work which you will not believe" as the sending forth of the Son; but the context here and in the Old Testament prophecy points to coming judgment and penalty.59 Ramsay's conjecture is not altogether meaningless, since it is the Son who will execute judgment.

Isaiah 59:6 : Acts 13:47

The quotation of Isaiah 59:6 in Acts 13:47 is not a part of the sermon. However, it provides an interesting case of the extension of a Messianic prophecy to cover the apostles. The Messiah himself is the light whom God has set forth. 60 Still, Paul is able to quote this passage as describing his mission; he identifies his work as a consequence of the work of the Servant of the Lord. Speaking

⁵⁸Quoted by Knowling, op. cit., p. 298.

⁵⁹ Knowling, op. cit., p. 298.

⁶⁰ Wendt, op. cit., p. 217.

before a group of Jews, he demonstrates his commission to preach the gospel to the heathen, not by reference to his direct call from God, but by the quotation of a Messianic prophecy.

Acts 17:22-31

The sermon of Paul, recorded in Acts 17:22-31, was delivered on Mars Hill in Athens to a Gentile audience. The sermon contains no Old Testament quotations although there are several phrases and ideas reminiscent of the Old Testament and Jewish apocryphal literature. 61 The one explicit quotation in the sermon is from a heathen poet. 62 Wendt 63 comments that the Hellenistic quotation is more in keeping with the situation and the audience than an Old Testament citation would have been. 64 The most obvious Jewish or Old Testament phrases in the speech are the words "judge the world in righteousness" (cf. Psalm 9:8 and 96:13) in verse 31 and a reference to God as Greator (possibly a liturgical

⁶¹Knowling, op. cit., p. 372. Rackham, op. cit., p. 315. Bruce, op. cit., p. 337. Wendt, op. cit., p. 260.

^{62&}lt;sub>F</sub>. F. Bruce, The Speeches in the Acts of the Apostles, (London: The Tyndale Press, 1942), p. 17.

⁶³wendt, op. cit., p. 260.

The quotations from the Hellenistic poets are not used as a revelation of God or to give meaning to the work of Christ, but as a point of contact with the audience.

AT WISINIAM ANTIONIC, TRAY AND DESCRIPTIONS OF SHORT IN SERV

⁶⁵ Lake and Cadbury, op. cit., p. 219.

CHAPTER V

CONCLUSION

The comparison of the quotations in Acts with the Septuagint and Massoretic texts indicates that the author of Acts was working with the Septuagint text. When the Vaticanus and Alexandrinus texts of the Septuagint differ, the text of Acts agrees with the Alexandrinus more often than with the Vaticanus. This may be due to changes made either in Acts or in the Alexandrinus to secure conformity. The fact that the agreement is not consistent argues that such changes for the sake of conformity were not made. The Massoretic text and the Septuagint text are not always in agreement. investigation uncovered no instance where the author of Acts changed the Septuagint text to bring it into agreement with the Massoretic text. In a few cases, the Septuagint text appeared to contain a better reading than the Massoretic text.

Quotations from the Old Testament are introduced by introductory formulae in three of the five sermons studied. They are found in the sermons addressed to Jews and proselytes, i.e., Peter's sermons on Pentecost and after the healing of the lame man and Paul's sermon in the synagogue at Pisidian Antioch. They are conspicuously absent in the two sermons addressed to pagan audiences, i.e., Peter's

sermon in the house of Cornelius and Paul's sermon on Mars
Hill. The only reference to the Old Testament in these two
sermons is a passing reference to the prophets in the house
of Cornelius. The Old Testament is quoted to people who
already accept it. It is not quoted to those who have not
yet accepted it. The primary purpose of apostolic preaching
was to preach Jesus as the Lord; where it cannot be taken
for granted that the Old Testament is accepted as the word
of God, it is not used.

This pattern in the quotation of the Old Testament is consistent with the preachers' purpose in quoting the Old Testament. That purpose is to show the significance of the historical life of Jesus for God's plan of salvation. The historicity of that life is proved by the eyewitness of the preacher. Before audiences unfamiliar with the prophecies of the Messiah, God's plan for salvation and the significance of the life of Jesus are described in terms that will be understood by the audience.

The quotations in these five sermons are primarily

Messianic. All of them deal with man's relationship to God.

The passages quoted are soteriological rather than ethical.

This preference may be explained by the fact that each of these sermons is evangelistic.

This study did not discover any consistent pattern of interpretation of the Old Testament. The failure to do so may be caused by the restricted scope of the study. One

conclusion can be drawn. The early church did not postulate the necessity of final fulfillment at the time of the prophet. In some cases the prophecy was fulfilled at the time of the prophet. At others, notably Psalm 16:8-11 and Pealm 110:1, it is expressly stated that the prophecy was not fulfilled at the time of the prophet, but only in Jesus.

enlarged by I. Mentagen. Darked in scarcings with the 25th deep of the Carting Dr. A. S. Section Co. S

Marries J. Rendell " " Des les de Toethmondes to the Suply

Territory in C. Santa of the Assertant A Live Assertant And Assertant Assert

Cherophen, 1966), sp. Santaline (Granis, Colon), II.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Bauer, Walter. Griechisch-Deutsches Woerterbuch zu den Schriften des Neuen Testaments und der Uebrigen Urchristlichen Literatur. Gieszen: Alfred Toepelmann, 1928.
- Black, Matthew. An Aramaic Approach to the Gospela and Acts. At the Clarendon Press, 1946.
- Blass, Friedrich. Grammatik des neutestamentlichen Griech-1sch. 8th edition. Revised by Albert Debrunner. Goettingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, 1949.
- Bruce, F. F. The Acts of the Apostles: The Greek Text with Introduction and Commentary. London: The Tyndale Press, 1951.
- The Tyndale Press, 1942.
- Bultmann, Rudolf. " "Theologisches Woerterbuch zum Neuen Testament. II. Edited by Gerhard Kittel. Stuttgert: W. Kohlhammer, 1935. Pp. 515-31.
- Dodds, C. H. The Apostolic Preaching and its Developments. New York: Harper & Brothers, n.d.
- Gesenius, Wilhelm. Hebraeisches und Aramaeisches Handwoerterbuch ueber das Alte Testament. 15th edition. Revised by Frants Buhl, et al. Leiptzig: F. C. W. Vogel, 1910.
- enlarged by E. Kautzsch. Revised in accordance with the 28th German edition (1909) by A. E. Gowley. Oxford: At the Clarendon Press, reprinted 1949.
- Harris, J. Rendel. "The Use of Testimonies in the Early Christian Church," The Expositor (Seventh Series). II (November, 1906), pp. 384-489.
- Headlam, A. C. "Acts of the Apostles," A Dictionary of the Bible. I. Edited by James Hastings, et al. New York: Scribners, 1902. Pp. 25-35.
- Hebert, A. G. The Authority of the Old Testament. London: Faber & Faber Ltd., 1947.

- Jackson, F. J. Foakes, and Kirsopp Lake, editors. The Beginnings of Christianity, Part I: The Acts of the Apostles. 5 vols. London: MacMillan and Co. Ltd., 1922-1942.
- Kittel, Rudolf, et al. Biblia Hebraica. 3rd revised edition. Stuttgart: Privileg. Wuertt. Bibelanstalt, c.1937.
- Knowling, R. J. "The Acts of the Apostles." The Expositor's Greek Testament. II. Edited by W. Robertson Nicoll. London: Hodder and Stoughton Limited, n.d.
- Knox, Wilfred L. The Acts of the Apostles. Cambridge: At the University Press, 1948.
- Moffatt, James. An Introduction to the Literature of the New York: Charles Scribner's Sons, n.d.
- Moulton, James Hope, and George Milligan. The Vocabulary of the Greek New Testament illustrated from the Papyri and other non-literary Sources. Grand Rapids, Michigan: Wm. B. Eerdmans Publishing Company, 1949.
- Nestle, Eberhard. Novum Testamentum Graece. 18th edition.
 Revised by Erwin Nestle. Stuttgart: Privilegierte
 Wuerttembergische Bibelanstalt, 1948.
- Preuschen, Erwin. "Die Apostelgeschichte." Handbuch zum Neuen Testament. IV, 1. Edited by Hans Lietzmann. Tuebingen: J. C. B. Nohr, 1912.
- Rackham, Richard Belward. "The Acts of the Apostles: an Exposition. 8th edition. Westminster Commentaries. Edited by Walter Lock. London: Methuen & Co. Ltd., n.d.
- Rahlfs, Alfred, editor. Septuaginta: Id est Vetus Testamentum Graece Iuxta LXX Interpretes. 3rd edition. 2 vols. Stuttgart: Privileg. Wuertt. Bibelanstalt, c. 1935.
- Souter, Alexander. A Pocket Lexicon to the Greek New Testament. Oxford: The Clarendon Press, 1949.
- Strack, Herman L., and Paul Billerbeck. Kommentar zum Neuen Testament aus Talmud und Midrash. Muenchen: C. H. Becksche Verlagsbuchhandlung, 1924.

- Swete, Henry Barclay. An Introduction to the Old Testament in Greek. Revised by Richard Rusden Ottley. Cambridge: At the University Press, 1914.
- Torrey, Charles Cutler. "The Composition and Date of Acts."

 Harvard Theological Studies. I. Edited by George F.

 Moore, James H. Ropes and Kirsopp Lake. Issued as an
 extra number of the Harvard Theological Review 1916.

 Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1916.
- Toy, Crawford Howell. Quotations in the New Testament. New York: Charles Scribner's Sons, 1884.
- Wendt, Hans Hinrich. "Die Apostelgeschichte: von der 5. Auflage zu neu bearbeitet." <u>Kritisch-exegetischer</u> Kommentar ueber das Neue Testament begruendet von Heinr. Aug. Wilh. Meyer. 9th edition. Goettingen: Vanderhoeck & Ruprecht, 1913.
- Woods, F. H. "Quotations," A <u>Dictionary of the Bible</u>. IV. Edited by James Hastings, et al. New York: Scribners, 1902. Pp. 184-8.
- Zahn, Theodor. "Die Apostelgeschichte des Lukas: Erste Haelfte Kap. 1-12." 3rd edition. Kommentar zum Neuen Testament. V. 1. Edited by Theodor Zahn. Leipzig: A. Deichertsche Verlagsbuchhandlung Dr. Werner Scholl, 1922.
- Kap. 13-28. Ist and 2nd edition. Kommentar zum
 Neuen Testament. V. 2. Edited by Theodor Zahn.
 Leipzig: A Deichertsche Verlagsbuchhandlung Dr.
 Werner Scholl, 1921.