Duquesne Law Review

Volume 49 Number 3 The Centennial Issue Celebrating 100 Years of Duquesne Univrsity School of Law: Past, Present, and Future

Article 7

2011

An Idea Whose Time Has Come: Certified Legal Interns as Oral Advocates in the Pennsylvania Appellate Courts

Maureen E. Lally-Green

Follow this and additional works at: https://dsc.duq.edu/dlr

Part of the Law Commons

Recommended Citation

Maureen E. Lally-Green, *An Idea Whose Time Has Come: Certified Legal Interns as Oral Advocates in the Pennsylvania Appellate Courts*, 49 Duq. L. Rev. 477 (2011). Available at: https://dsc.duq.edu/dlr/vol49/iss3/7

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by Duquesne Scholarship Collection. It has been accepted for inclusion in Duquesne Law Review by an authorized editor of Duquesne Scholarship Collection.

An Idea Whose Time Has Come: Certified Legal Interns As Oral Advocates in the Pennsylvania Appellate Courts

ESSAY

Maureen E. Lally-Green, Judge (retired)*

I.	BACKGROUND: THE RELEVANT RULES	
	IN PENNSYLVANIA CONCERNING	
	THE APPEARANCE OF CERTIFIED LEGAL	
	INTERNS IN THE TRIAL AND	
	APPELLATE COURTS OF PENNSYLVANIA	480
II.	APPELLATE ORAL ADVOCACY IN OTHER	
	STATES AND IN THE FEDERAL ARENA	484
	A. The Research Process	484
	B. Data Analysis	486
	1. States and Federal Circuit	
	Courts that Authorize Law Students	
	to Appear Before the Appellate Courts	486
	2. Certification Requirements	
	a. Client Consent	

^{*} Maureen E. Lally-Green, Judge of Superior Court of Pennsylvania (retired). Judge Lally-Green served as Judge of the Superior Court from 1998-2009. Since 1983, she has been both a full-time and adjunct Professor of Law at Duquesne University School of Law. During her time at the Law School as a full-time professor, she was also a consultant to two members of the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania.

Judge Lally-Green currently serves the Law School as an adjunct Professor of Law and is a consultant to the Law School on its appellate practice outreach. She teaches a course at the Law School entitled Appellate Courts Practice and Procedure, which she created and has taught since 2001.

The Judge also is the Chair of the Pennsylvania Supreme Court's Appellate Courts Procedural Rules Committee and has served on that committee for the past six years, serving as Chair for three years. Before joining the Law School's faculty in 1983, she was counsel to Westinghouse Electric Corporation and to the Commodity Futures Trading Commission and, for a year, practiced with a law firm.

The Judge thanks Curtis Schaffner very much for his superb assistance as a research assistant. I particularly thank him for his excellent research that was presented in a timely, creative and careful manner.

478	Duquesne Law Review	Vol. 49
	i. The States	487
	ii. The Federal Arena	487
	b. Supervision of the Law	
	Student Intern by a Lawyer/Law Professor	488
	i. The States	488
	ii. The Federal Arena	491
	c. Specific Requirements for Law Students	492
	i. The States	492
	ii. The Federal Arena	495
III.	THE PENNSYLVANIA APPROACH:	
	204 PA. CODE RULES 321 AND 322	497
IV.	OBSERVATIONS AND SUGGESTIONS.	502
V.	FINAL COMMENTS	503
	APPENDIX (TABLES 1 AND 2)	505

Appellate practice is one area of the law that many lawyers do everything in their power to avoid. It may be that the lawyer is a "trial lawyer" or a "transactional lawyer" and thus has had little opportunity to develop the skills needed to be an effective appellate litigator. It may also be that appellate practice is, frankly, a mystery best left to those who "love the law," excelled at appellate moot court, are "geeks" or managed to "make law review" in law school.

To these positions, one might respond: "Don't worry ... practice makes perfect" or "roll up your sleeves and get to work!" Translated, these idioms reasonably mean that the more one practices in the appellate arena, the better one becomes. This is all well and good, at least to an extent. Many of us know through personal experience that no matter how much we aging adults practice at something, sometimes we just do not get better. Oh! To be young again when one could easily develop a skill, without over-thinking each detail inherent in the skill. Think of that ephemeral perfect golf swing. We aging adults can practice all we want, but we will not ever have the finesse of our local hero. Arnold Palmer.

The same is true of the appellate arena. "Practice" is but one of the essential factors. A few more of the crucial factors include an appreciation and understanding of the appellate rules and appellate procedure, the substance of the relevant law, a refined ability to craft a well-written brief, a skill with effective oral argument in the context of an appellate court, and an understanding of the body of work of the justices and the appellate judges. So, excellence comes not with practice alone, but with practice plus appropriate skill refinement and critique.

Where better to start with gaining the experience but in law school, where many skills are born and nurtured with the guidance of skilled full-time and adjunct professors and in a context of appropriate and helpful critique? Trial court skills are developed in many arenas in law schools, whether in courses such as trial tactics, in moot court competitions or because of actual certification to serve as the lawyer in certain cases.

Yet, as focused as law schools in Pennsylvania are on the trial court arena, the focus becomes a bit ephemeral when the backdrop is the appellate arena. Of course, a bright spotlight shines on the usual first-year appellate moot court program where a law student writes an appellate brief and presents an oral argument. For many a student, this is the last law school experience (thankfully, they might say) in the appellate world. While upper-level law students may have opportunities to develop appellate skills through appellate court procedure courses, appellate moot court competitions or clinical experiences with members of the appellate judiciary, there is one glaring difference in Pennsylvania between the opportunities for law students in the trial court arenas, as opposed to appellate practice.

That difference focuses on the ability of the law student to be certified by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court to appear as a certified legal intern during law school to argue in the appellate courts of Pennsylvania. If a student wishes to appear in a trial court and represent a criminal defendant, that student has the opportunity to be certified by the Disciplinary Board of the Pennsylvania Supreme Court to do so as long as the student is under the supervision of a lawyer and meets other qualifications.¹ The opportunity to advocate orally in Pennsylvania's appellate courts does not exist for a student desiring to do so, albeit under the supervision of a lawyer.

The certification rules simply preclude such oral argument even though written argument is permitted.² This is so even though the Third Circuit Court of Appeals and virtually all of the other Circuit Courts of Appeal permit such appearances and argument.³ This is so even though almost all of law students in other states

^{1. 204} PA. CODE §§ 321-322 (2007).

^{2. § 322 (}b).

^{3.} See infra at note 17.

can be certified to appear in the appellate courts of those states.⁴ This is so even though law students can be certified in Pennsylvania to appear and argue in the civil and criminal trial court settings.⁵

I suggest that law students be given the opportunity to appear and argue in the appellate courts of Pennsylvania. Of course, certain standards and certifications should, and must, be met. If law students have this opportunity to develop and improve appellate oral skills under the supervisions of a skilled appellate lawyer, then the law student can begin to learn the appropriate skills and customs of the appellate arena in an educational setting. In other words, if law students can be certified to appear and argue in the appellate courts of Pennsylvania, then law students can learn, through the skillful mentoring of professors and seasoned appellate advocates, and in an actual experience and interaction with appellate justices and judges, to do the "right thing, right, the first time"⁶ in the appellate arena.

I. BACKGROUND: THE RELEVANT RULES IN PENNSYLVANIA CONCERNING THE APPEARANCE OF CERTIFIED LEGAL INTERNS IN THE TRIAL AND APPELLATE COURTS OF PENNSYLVANIA

The Disciplinary Board of the Pennsylvania Supreme Court authorizes, in Rule 322, certain activities for law students who are "certified legal interns" as that term is used in Rule 321. Rule 321 defines the term "certified legal interns" and Rule 322 addresses the permitted activities as follows:

Rule 321. Requirements for formal participation in legal matters by law students and law school graduates.

(a) General rule. The requirements for eligibility for formal participation in legal matters by a law student or law school graduate pursuant to Rule 322 (relating to authorized activities of certified legal interns) are:

(1) Enrollment in or graduation from an accredited law school or a law school that has been approved by the Board

480

^{4.} See *infra* at note 12.

^{5.} Rule § 322 (a)(1)-(2).

^{6.} This is a phrase coined by John C. Marous, Jr., whom I first met in my Westinghouse Electric Corporation days and with whom I have had the privilege of serving on a board and on committees in the not-for-profit world.

which has filed and is actively pursuing an application for accreditation with the American Bar Association; provided that students who attend or graduated from a law school that is located in a jurisdiction that has a program permitting law students to participate in legal matters which does not afford students attending law school in Pennsylvania the same privilege or opportunity to formally participate in legal matters as a law student in the jurisdiction as is granted to students attending law school in the jurisdiction, shall not be eligible to participate in legal matters pursuant to Rule 322.

(2) Completion of legal studies amounting to at least three semesters, or the equivalent if the law school is on a basis other than the semester basis.

(3) Existence and maintenance of certification as prescribed in Subdivision (b) of this rule.

(4) Introduction to the judge or magisterial district judge before whom the law student or law school graduate is appearing by a member of the bar of this Commonwealth.

(5) Absence of a request for or receipt by the law student or law school graduate of compensation or remuneration of any kind for his or her services from the person on whose behalf the law student or law school graduate renders services. This paragraph shall not prevent:

(i) An attorney or a law school, legal services program, defender association, or government unit from paying compensation to the law student or law school graduate.

(ii) Any person other than the law student or law school graduate from making such charges for services as such person may otherwise properly require.

(b) *Certification*. Only those law students or law school graduates shall be eligible for the benefits of Rule 322 who have been certified by the dean of their law school as being of good character and competent legal ability, and as being adequately trained to perform as a legal intern. The certification shall be made by filing one copy thereof with the Prothonotary. The certification: (1) Shall be in writing on a form prescribed by the Board and shall remain in effect until the expiration of 24 months after it is filed, or until the announcement of the results of the first bar examination following the completion of the study of law by the student or law school graduate, whichever is earlier. In the case of a student or law school graduate who passes that examination, the certification shall continue in effect until the student or law school graduate is admitted to the bar.

(2) May be withdrawn at any time by the dean by filing a notice to that effect with the Prothonotary. It is not necessary that the notice state the cause for withdrawal.

(3) May be terminated by the Court at any time without notice or hearing and without any showing of cause.⁷

Rule 322. Authorized activities of certified legal interns.

(a) *General rule*. Subject to the restrictions of this subdivision, a certified legal intern may with the approval of a supervising attorney:

(1) Appear before any government unit (other than the Supreme, Superior or Commonwealth Courts) in any civil or criminal matter on behalf of any indigent, if the person on whose behalf the legal intern is appearing consents to such appearance. The supervising attorney must be personally present throughout the proceedings where the legal intern is appearing on behalf of the defendant in a criminal matter where the defendant has the right to counsel under any provision of law.

(2) Appear in any civil or criminal matter on behalf of the Commonwealth, if the Attorney General (or the prosecuting attorney in the case of a criminal matter) or his or her authorized representative consents to such appearance. The approval of the supervising attorney and the consent of the party represented required by this subdivision shall be in writing and filed of record in the matter and shall be brought to the attention of the judge or magisterial district judge or the presiding officer of the other government unit.

^{7. § 321.}

(b) *Preparation of papers*. A certified legal intern may engage in other activities, under the general supervision of a member of the bar of this Commonwealth, but outside the personal presence of the attorney, including:

(1) Preparation of pleadings and other documents to be filed in any matter in which the legal intern is eligible to appear and in any appeals therefrom in the Supreme, Superior or Commonwealth Courts.

(2) Except when the assignment of counsel is required under any provision of law, assistance to indigent inmates of correctional institutions or other persons who request such assistance in preparing applications for and supporting documents for post conviction relief. If there is an attorney of record in the matter, all such assistance shall be supervised by the attorney of record. Each pleading or other document shall contain the name of the legal intern who has participated in drafting it. If the legal intern participated in drafting only a portion of it, that fact may be stated. All pleadings or other documents shall be signed by the supervising attorney.

(c) Supervising attorney. The attorney under whose supervision a certified legal intern performs any of the services permitted by this rule shall:

(1) Be approved in writing as a supervising attorney for the purposes of this rule by the dean of the law school in which the legal intern is or was enrolled.

(2) Assume personal professional responsibility for the guidance of the legal intern in any work undertaken and for supervising the quality of the work of the legal intern.

(3) Assist the legal intern in his or her preparation to the extent the supervising attorney considers necessary.⁸

The critical provision addressed in this essay is Rule 322(a)(1), which precludes certified legal interns from appearing as oral advocates before the appellate courts of Pennsylvania—the Supreme Court, the Superior Court and the Commonwealth Court.

Duquesne Law Review

II. APPELLATE ORAL ADVOCACY IN OTHER STATES AND IN THE FEDERAL ARENA

As the following reflects, appellate oral advocacy by law student interns is well-received in almost all of the states and in the federal arena. In order to come to this conclusion, research on the rules or laws in the fifty states, the District of Columbia and in the federal appellate circuits was conducted.

A. The Research Process

The following research process occurred. First, the law student certification rules of each of the fifty states and the federal courts of appeal, to the extent the rules existed, were collected. A listing of the states and federal circuit courts of appeal, and each one's applicable statutes or rules is found at Table I in the Appendix.⁹

Second, these rules were examined, state-by-state and circuitby-circuit, as reflected on Table II in the Appendix.¹⁰ The questions addressed were as follows:

(1) Is the law student or law school graduate permitted to appear before any court at all in the jurisdiction?

(2) Is the law student or law school graduate to appear on behalf of the state or the commonwealth in criminal matters?

(3) Is the law student permitted to appear only through law school clinical programs?

(4) Do clients have to give written approval or consent to have the law student or law school graduate represent the client?

(5) Does the supervising attorney have to give written approval for the law student to appear with the lawyer?

^{9.} See Appendix Table I. The information contained within Table I of the Appendix, was researched, compiled and organized by the author and her research assistant. The information in Table I is a list of each state and federal circuit court's respective applicable rule or statute pertaining to law student certification.

^{10.} See Appendix Table II. The information contained within Table II of the Appendix, was researched, compiled and organized by the author and her research assistant. The information in Table II organizes law student certification rules and statutes state-by-state and circuit-by-circuit.

(6) Does the supervising attorney have to certify to the court that the attorney agrees to supervise and assume professional responsibility for the student?

(7) Does the supervising attorney have to meet requirements to qualify as a supervising attorney, (e.g., a clinical law school professor, member of the state bar, certification by the Dean of the law school, trial/appellate practice experience, approval by the presiding judge, etc.)?

(8) Must the supervising attorney be present (or immediately available) during the law student's appearance?

(9) If the supervising attorney is not present (or immediately available) during the law student's appearance, are there additional requirements of the supervising attorney?

(10) How is the law student introduced to the court, (e.g, through an entry of appearance, pursuant to a special rule, by oral introduction, etc.)?

(11) Is court approval of the appearance by the law student required?

(12) Must the student be attending a law school accredited by the American Bar Association? Are there different requirements if the student attends a law school outside of the jurisdiction of the state in which the student wishes to appear in court?

(13) Does the student have to have completed a required number of semesters/credit hours in law school before seeking to appear in court?

(14) Does the Dean of the law school have to certify that the student is in good standing?

(15) Does the student have to take an oath or certify in writing to abide by rules of professional conduct and/or to uphold the constitution?

(16) Is the law student prohibited from soliciting or receiving remuneration from the client?

(17) Is the law student or law school graduate permitted to receive a salary or an hourly wage and/or to be reimbursed for actual expenses?

(18) Does the supervising attorney have to provide malpractice insurance that covers the law student unless the student's work is protected by governmental immunity or the student is covered by the law school's clinical program insurance?

B. Data Analysis¹¹

The research reflects that the great majority of the states and virtually all of the federal courts of appeal permit law students, subject to certain conditions, to appear and to argue orally in the appellate courts. This data analysis portion of the essay is divided into three parts: (1) states and federal courts that authorize law students to appear before the appellate courts; (2) certification requirements; and (3) special requirements of the law student and/or supervising attorney.

1. States and Federal Circuit Courts that Authorize Law Students to Appear Before the Appellate Courts

Eighty-six percent of the state courts (as well the District of Columbia), or forty-two of fifty states, permit law students to appear and argue before their appellate or supreme courts.¹² Additionally, ninety-two percent, or eleven out of twelve, of the United States Courts of Appeal also permit law students to appear and argue before them.¹³ Indeed, the one circuit court, the Fifth Circuit, simply has no rules regarding law student participation in oral argument.

Pennsylvania is one of eight states that do not permit law students to appear before its appellate courts. A specific rule precludes law students from appearing before its Supreme Court or its two intermediate appellate courts, the Superior Court and the Commonwealth Court.¹⁴

^{11.} In this section, the data is analyzed and some findings and conclusions respecting the responses to above-stated questions are set out.

^{12.} The eight states that do not authorize law students to appear in the appellate courts are: Alaska, Colorado, Delaware, Illinois, Michigan, New Jersey, Pennsylvania and South Carolina. See the information contained within the Appendix.

^{13.} See the information contained in Table I of the Appendix.

^{14. § 322(}a)(1).

2. Certification Requirements

Although the procedural requirements of each state vary slightly, there are many common requirements among the jurisdictions, dealing with, among other things, client consent to the services of the law student, supervision of the law student by a lawyer, and accreditation status of the law school.

a. Client Consent

i. The States

Thirty-five of the fifty states, as well as the District of Columbia, or seventy-percent of the states, specifically require some form of client consent before a law student may appear on the client's behalf in any judicial forum.¹⁵ Thirty-one of those thirty-five states, as well as the District of Columbia, or eighty-eight percent of these thirty-five states, require actual written consent from the client.¹⁶

ii. The Federal Arena

Ten of the eleven federal courts of appeal and the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia require client consent before a student may appear.¹⁷ Additionally, eight of those ten circuits and the District of Columbia require the client's written consent.¹⁸

^{15.} Some form of client consent is required in these states: Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Connecticut, Delaware, District of Columbia, Florida, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Kansas, Louisiana, Maine, Minnesota, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Texas, Vermont, Virginia, West Virginia, Wisconsin and Wyoming. Indiana and Washington require the law student intern to inform the client of his or her status as an intern, but do not specifically require consent.

^{16.} Written consent is required in these states: Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Connecticut, Delaware, District of Columbia, Florida, Hawaii, Illinois, Kansas, Louisiana, Maine, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Vermont, Virginia, West Virginia, Wisconsin, and Wyoming.

Two states require written consent in certain situations. Idaho only requires written consent when the law student intern is arguing before a court of limited jurisdiction and the supervising attorney is not present. South Dakota only requires written client consent if the case involves a criminal or quasi-criminal matter.

^{17.} Only one circuit court of appeal has no published rules, one way or the other, with regard to appearance of law students: the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit.

^{18.} A client's written consent is required by the First, Third, Fourth, Sixth, Seventh, Eighth Circuit, Ninth, and Eleventh Circuits and the District of Columbia. The Second

Duquesne Law Review

b. Supervision of the Law Student Intern by a Lawyer/Law Professor

i. The States

All fifty states, as well as the District of Columbia, require a law student to be under the supervision of some type of attorney or clinical law professor. A majority of the states share similar requirements with regard to these "supervisory" attorneys. For instance, thirty-one of the fifty states, as well as the District of Columbia, require approval by the supervisory attorney before the law student may appear before the court.¹⁹ Of those thirty-one jurisdictions, twenty states require written approval from the supervising attorney anytime a law student is to appear, while nine states require written approval, but only in certain situations.²⁰

Forty of the fifty states, as well as the District of Columbia, require the supervising attorney to formally agree to fulfill monitoring duties.²¹ In addition, thirty-three states, as well as the Dis-

Circuit requires "sufficient consent" and the Tenth Circuit requires the party to file some type of "statement of consent."

^{19.} A supervisory attorney must exist in these states: Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, District of Columbia, Florida, Hawaii, Idaho, Kansas, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Missouri, Minnesota, Montana, Nevada, New Hampshire, New York, North Dakota, Ohio, Oregon, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, South Dakota, Texas, Utah, Vermont, and West Virginia.

^{20.} The written approval of a supervisory attorney is needed only when a student is to appear outside the presence of the supervising attorney in these states: Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, Connecticut, Florida, Hawaii, Indiana, Kansas, Louisiana, Maine, Missouri, Montana, New Hampshire, New York, North Dakota, Utah, Vermont, West Virginia, and Wisconsin.

A number of states require written approval of the supervisory attorney in certain situations. Minnesota only requires written approval by the supervising attorney if the student is representing a client outside of a law school clinic and the lawyer will not be appearing with the law student. California, Idaho, and Ohio require written approval only when a student is to appear outside the presence of the supervising attorney. Oregon, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, Texas, and Virginia require written approval only when the student is representing the state. South Dakota only requires written consent of the attorney if the student is to appear in a criminal or quasi-criminal matter.

^{21.} Most states require the supervising attorney to certify to the court or agree to fulfill monitoring responsibilities. Such states include: Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Connecticut, Delaware, District of Columbia, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, and Wyoming.

On the other hand, while Colorado, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Maryland, Massachusetts, Mississippi, Rhode Island, Texas, and Utah require a law student to work under a supervisory attorney, they list no specific requirements of monitoring or assumption of responsibility.

trict of Columbia, require the attorney to assume professional responsibility or liability for the student.²²

Many jurisdictions also list specific requirements of a supervisory attorney. The supervisory attorney has to be a member of the state bar or admitted to practice in the state.²³ The supervisory attorney has to be actively engaged in the practice of law for a specific period of time or otherwise qualify for an exemption from that requirement.²⁴ The supervisory attorney must also be an active practitioner of the court in which the student is to appear be-

^{22.} The supervising attorney is required to accept professional responsibility for the law student intern in these states: Arizona, Arkansas, California, Connecticut, Delaware, District of Columbia, Florida, Idaho, Kansas, Louisiana, Maine, Minnesota, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, and Wyoming.

^{23.} The supervising attorney must be a member of the bar or admitted to practice in the jurisdiction of these states: Arizona, Arkansas, California, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Rhode Island, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, and Wisconsin.

Exemptions from state bar membership may exist in a number of the states for law school professors or for clinical law professors, provided certain other requirements are met. An exemption exists in Arizona, Idaho, Missouri, and Wisconsin for clinical law school professors. Tennessee implies law school professors may not need to be members of the state bar. Nevada requires any attorney, not employed by the law school, to be a resident member of the state bar. Utah requires the supervisor to be a resident. Ohio also has an exception to being a member of the Ohio Bar pursuant to Bar Rule IX.

^{24.} In a number of the states, the supervisory attorney must have practiced law for a certain number of years. In Arkansas and North Carolina, the supervising attorney is to have practiced for at least two years in any jurisdiction. California also requires the supervisory attorney to have practiced for at least two years or to be a full time law school professor in California. New York requires the supervisory attorney to have practiced for at least two years in New York.

A longer period of practice time of three years exists in a few states. Connecticut, Texas, Vermont and Washington require the supervising attorney to have practiced for at least three years. However, Connecticut also permits an attorney to be a supervisor if the attorney is employed by another attorney of five years standing, is an employee of an accredited Connecticut law school, or is approved by the presiding judge. Mississippi requires the supervising attorney to either be a current public official or to have practiced for more than three years in a public office, agency, or departments, district attorney office, or nonprofit or publicly funded legal services or agencies.

Five years of practice is required in a few states. Idaho requires the supervisor to have practiced in Idaho for at least five continuous years. Nevada requires the supervisor to be a resident member, engaged in practice in Nevada for at least five years. New Mexico requires the supervising attorney to have practiced for at least five years if the student being certified is an out-of-state law student. Oklahoma requires the supervising attorney to be engaged in practice for at least five years, or one year, if a member of district attorney, municipal attorney, attorney general, public defender, or other state office, or no minimum years requirement if part of approved law school internship program.

fore.²⁵ The supervisory attorney has to be approved by the Dean of the law school.²⁶ Additionally, many states also restrict the number of law students a supervising attorney can monitor at any one time.²⁷

When a law student appears before any court or tribunal, thirteen states require a supervising attorney to be present at all times.²⁸ Fifteen states specifically require an attorney to be present when appearing before the supreme courts or intermediate appellate courts.²⁹ Additionally, thirty-four states, as well as the District of Columbia, articulate specific situations where a law student may appear outside the presence of a supervising attorney.³⁰

The District of Columbia provides that a supervising attorney cannot schedule more than three trials a day with law students.

Kansas restricts the number of students to two, unless the attorney is a full time member of legal aid society, county attorney, district attorney, municipal attorney, attorney general, public defender, or clinical supervisor.

Nevada permits one student per attorney unless it is part of law school externship.

North Carolina restricts the number of students to two per attorney, five if part of an externship, or no maximum if a full-time faculty member.

Oklahoma restricts the number of students to three unless part of public office or law school program.

Texas restricts the number of students to four, unless part of a clinic.

Washington restricts the number of students to one per attorney, two if part of a public office, or ten if the attorney is a full-time supervisor of a clinical program.

West Virginia restricts the number of students to two unless the supervising attorney is a faculty member of an ABA approved law school and student is enrolled for academic credit. Wisconsin restricts the number of students to five, unless the attorney devotes more than twenty hours per week to supervision and education.

Wyoming restricts the number of students to three unless the attorney is a law professor.

28. A supervising attorney must be present at all times when a law student appears in any tribunal in Arkansas, Connecticut, Indiana, Kentucky, Maryland, Mississippi, Nevada, New Mexico, South Carolina, Tennessee, Utah, Virginia, and West Virginia.

29. A supervising attorney must be present when a law student appears before the supreme courts or intermediate appellate courts of Arizona, Iowa, Louisiana, Maine, Montana (Supreme Court), Nebraska, New Hampshire (Supreme Court), New York, North Dakota, Oklahoma, Oregon, Rhode Island, South Dakota, Washington, and West Virginia.

30. A supervising attorney need not be present when a law student appears in certain judicial arenas in Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, California, Delaware, District of Columbia,

^{25.} In some states, the supervising attorney is required to be an active practitioner before the specific court the law student is to appear. These states include the District of Columbia, Hawaii, Louisiana, Montana, and Vermont.

^{26.} The Dean of the law school is specifically required to approve the supervising attorney in Arizona, the District of Columbia, Florida, Hawaii, Kansas, Louisiana, Maryland, New Mexico, North Dakota, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, South Dakota, Texas (if part of a clinic) Utah, and Wisconsin.

^{27.} States are diverse regarding how many law student interns a supervisor can supervise. California provides that a supervising attorney can supervise five students unless supervision of law students is a full-time job and, if so, the maximum number of law students is twenty-five.

Idaho only permits one student per supervisor, unless the attorney is the clinical supervisor.

ii. The Federal Arena

Ten of the eleven federal courts of appeal and the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia require a supervising attorney to approve a law student's appearance before the student appears, whether that approval be in writing (or not) or by motion.³¹ Nine of the eleven federal courts of appeal and the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia require the supervising attorney to agree to supervise and assume professional responsibility for the law student's work.³² Ten of the eleven federal courts of appeal and the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia require the supervising attorney to be an active member of its bar.³³ Ten of the eleven federal courts of appeal and the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia require an attorney to be present during oral argument and ready to supplement any written or oral statement made by the student.³⁴

Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Iowa, Kansas, Louisiana, Illinois, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, New Hampshire, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Dakota, Texas, Vermont, Washington, Wisconsin, and Wyoming.

^{31.} The United States Courts of Appeal for the First, Third, Fourth, Sixth, Eighth, Tenth, and Eleventh Circuits, and the District of Columbia all require written approval by the supervising attorney. The Tenth Circuit simply states "certification by attorney." The Seventh Circuit requires an attorney to make a motion to permit a law student to appear at least fourteen days before oral argument is scheduled.

On the other hand, the Ninth Circuit does not have a specific requirement of consent on behalf of the supervising attorney. Again, the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit currently has no published rules with regard to law student appearances.

^{32.} The United States Courts of Appeal for the First, Second, and Tenth Circuits require the supervising attorney to file written consent to supervise and assume responsibility over the law student's work. The United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit currently has no published rules with regard to law student appearances, while the United States Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit requires law students to practice under a supervisory attorney, but lists no requirements on the part of the attorney to assume supervisory duties or professional responsibility.

^{33.} All the federal circuit courts, except for the Fifth Circuit (because there are currently no published rules), require the supervising attorney to be admitted to practice before it. Additionally, the Ninth Circuit requires the supervisor to be admitted to practice before the highest court of any state for three years or longer and to have participated in at least three appellate arguments before a federal or state appellate court before being a supervisor.

^{34.} Only the Fifth Circuit does not have this specific requirement because it does not have any currently published rules governing law student appearances.

Duquesne Law Review

c. Specific Requirements for Law Students

i. The States

Students who seek to be certified legal interns are required to attend law schools that have been accredited or approved by the American Bar Association (ABA), the state bar or the state supreme court. A clear majority of the states require a student to attend a school approved or accredited by the ABA.³⁵ Other states impose other or additional requirements relative to accreditation or approval.³⁶

^{35.} Law students are required to attend ABA accredited law schools in Alabama, Alaska, Arkansas, Delaware, Florida, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Michigan, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, North Dakota, Ohio, Oregon, Rhode Island, South Dakota, Vermont, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, and Wyoming.

Arizona, Connecticut, Pennsylvania and Virginia all require a student to attend an ABA approved law school but also list additional requirements if a student attends an out-ofstate school.

^{36.} Other states have requirements that either substitute for, or supplement, the ABA accreditation requirement as follows:

[•] California requires the law school to either be ABA approved or approved by the California State Bar.

[•] The District of Columbia requires ABA approval as well as approval by the admission committee of the court.

[•] Georgia requires ABA approval or that the student attends any in-state law school.

[•] Massachusetts requires ABA approval or that the school be approved by Massachusetts Bar.

[•] North Carolina requires ABA approval as well as approval by North Carolina Bar or that the school be seeking ABA accreditation and be approved by Board of Governors.

[•] Tennessee requires ABA approval or that the student attends a board approved Tennessee law school.

[•] Connecticut permits a law student to attend a non-ABA accredited school approved by the Connecticut state bar.

[•] Pennsylvania permits a law student to attend a school that is presently seeking ABA approval and has been approved by the Disciplinary Board.

Some states require law student interns to attend one of that state's law schools.

[•] Colorado requires attendance at an accredited in-state law school.

[•] Hawaii requires interns to attend the University of Hawaii School of Law.

[•] Minnesota requires law students to be enrolled in an ABA approved Minnesota law school.

[•] Mississippi and Oklahoma both require student enrollment in an in-state law school.

[•] South Carolina requires enrollment at the University of South Carolina School of Law or the Charleston School of Law.

Finally, Kentucky requires "an approved law school," while Texas requires that the law school be approved by the Supreme Court of Texas.

New York and Utah state no specific requirements in their rules as to the type of law school a student must attend.

Students seeking to be certified legal interns also might have to meet requirements concerning time spent in law school, approval by the Dean of the law school, the taking of an oath, introduction to the court by a licensed attorney, and remuneration and malpractice insurance. Most states impose a requirement that the law student must have attended law school for a certain number of semesters or course credits and, sometimes, must have taken certain courses.³⁷ Likewise, a majority of the states, as well as the

The number of semesters in law school required that a student must complete varies as a function of the type of the student's work (internship with a judge or agency, clinic), course work or special approvals in these states:

• Iowa requires a law student to have completed three semesters to appear before a trial or appellate court or two semesters to appear before any administrative agencies.

• Massachusetts requires that a law student complete four semesters and evidence or trial practice or two semesters if approved by the court.

• Mississippi requires a law student to complete two-thirds of the requirement for graduation if in an internship program or one-half of the requirements if in a clinical program.

• New Hampshire requires a law student to complete four semesters or two semesters if the student is enrolled in a clinic.

• North Dakota requires a law student to complete four semesters or three semesters if the student is in a clinic.

• Texas requires a law student to complete two-thirds of the requirements for graduation or one-half of the requirements if participation is in a clinic.

Then, other states require a number of credit hours of legal studies and, at times, combine that with mandatory course work:

• Arkansas requires a law student to have completed forty-eight semester credit hours including civil procedure, evidence, criminal process, and professional responsibilities.

• The District of Columbia requires a law student to complete forty-one semester hours and evidence, criminal process, and civil procedure.

• Illinois requires a law student to have completed three-fifths of the total hourly credits for graduation.

• Kansas requires a law student to complete sixty hours of legal study.

^{37.} Students are required to have completed a certain amount of time in law school and, also, certain coursework. The rules in the following states use the words or phrases "two-thirds," "attain senior status," "completed four semesters," or "be in his or her third year of law school." The states are: Alabama, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Idaho, Kentucky, Louisiana (a course on ethics is also specifically required), Maine, Montana (or be within 5 credit hours of meeting this requirement), Nebraska, New Jersey, Ohio, Oregon, South Carolina, South Dakota, Utah, Vermont (evidence is also specifically required), Virginia (criminal law, professional responsibilities, evidence, and process is also specifically required), Washington, West Virginia, and Wyoming.

A law student has to have completed at least one-half of the academic requirements for graduation, or three semesters in: Alaska, Arizona, Indiana (professional responsibilities is also specifically required), Missouri, North Carolina, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island (must also be enrolled or have completed evidence or trial practice), Tennessee, and Wisconsin.

Law students in the following states need only to have completed at least one-third of the academic requirements for graduation, or two semesters: California (must also be enrolled or completed evidence and civil procedure), Connecticut, Hawaii, Maryland (must also have read and be familiar with rules of procedure and professional conduct), Michigan, Minnesota, and New York.

District of Columbia, require certification by the Dean of the law school attesting to the student's good character and competent legal ability.³⁸ A majority of states and the District of Columbia also require a student to take an oath or certify in writing that he or she will abide by the rules of professional conduct and/or uphold the constitution.³⁹ Thirty of the fifty states, as well as the District of Columbia, require the student be introduced to the court before he or she can appear,⁴⁰ while twenty-eight states, and the District of Columbia, specifically grant the presiding court discretion in relation to the law student appearing before it.⁴¹ The rules in a majority of states specifically forbid a law student from asking for or receiving compensation or remuneration of any kind from the client on whose behalf the law student intern is representing.⁴² A

38. The following states all require some type of certification or approval by the Dean of the student's law school: Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, California (law school declaration), Connecticut, Delaware, District of Columbia, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Mexico, New York (certified by the organization at which the law student is interning) North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee (or director of clinic) Texas, Virginia, Washington (or approval by someone certified by dean) West Virginia, Wisconsin, and Wyoming.

39. The law student is required to take an oath or execute a writing in these states: Alabama, Arizona, Arkansas, Delaware, District of Columbia, Florida, Georgia (an oath similar to that taken by a district attorney, public defender, etc. is required only if the student if representing a district attorney, public defender, etc.), Hawaii, Idaho, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nevada, New Hampshire, North Carolina, Ohio, Oklahoma (law student must take an oath and pass an oral or written legal internship exam), Oregon, South Carolina, South Dakota, Texas, Vermont, Washington, and West Virginia.

40. The law student is to be introduced to the judicial authority before whom the student is appearing in: Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, California, Connecticut, Florida, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, South Dakota, Vermont, Virginia, and West Virginia.

41. The presiding judge has the discretion in these states to define how the student may proceed in a case: Alabama, Alaska, California, Colorado, Connecticut, District of Columbia, Florida, Georgia, Idaho, Massachusetts, Michigan, Montana, Nebraska, New Mexico, North Carolina, Oregon, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, and Washington.

A judge's discretion is limited to certain situations in these states: Iowa, Kansas, Louisiana, Ohio, Rhode Island, South Dakota, Wisconsin, and Wyoming.

42. Although a law student may receive compensation, remuneration, or a salary from his or her employer, but that law student is not permitted to be compensated or to receive

494

[•] Nevada requires a law student to complete thirty credit hours for certain activities or forty-five for other activities.

[•] New Mexico requires a law student to complete thirty credit hours and if the applicant is an out-of-state law student he or she must also read and be familiar with rules of professional conduct.

[•] Oklahoma requires a law student to complete forty-five semester hours and have taken or currently be enrolled in professional responsibilities, evidence, and two courses in civil procedure.

minority of states also requires some type of malpractice insurance.⁴³

ii. The Federal Arena

The federal circuit courts of appeal have requirements concerning the student's law school accreditation and the student's completion of certain course work. Eight circuits and the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia require a law student to attend an ABA approved school.⁴⁴ Nine of the eleven circuits, including the United States Court of Appeals for the Dis-

Other states have different approaches to this issue:

Maryland states no personal compensation of any kind for services rendered.

Mississippi permits students to be reimbursed actual expenses.

• Vermont requires a supervising attorney to file a certificate that he or she has, in force, professional liability insurance that will cover the actions of the intern.

44. The First, Second, Third, Fourth, Sixth, Eighth, Tenth, and Eleventh Circuits, and District of Columbia all require a law student to attend an ABA-accredited school. The Seventh Circuit requires the law student to be a part of a program of an "accredited law school." The Ninth Circuit requires the law student attend an ABA or state-accredited law school.

remuneration from the client the student is representing in these states: Alabama, Arizona, Arkansas, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Iowa, Kansas, Maine, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Dakota, Texas, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, and Wisconsin.

[•] The District of Columbia states that no fee shall be paid to a supervising attorney or law student, except costs and penalties prescribed by law to the clinical programs.

[•] Indiana simply states in no event may a person be charged for the services of a legal intern acting in a representative capacity.

Kentucky permits compensation in the form of a fellowship or scholarship only.

[•] Louisiana permits the supervising attorney to receive fees and costs when appropriate.

Massachusetts simply states "without compensation."

[•] New York states, "no compensation or remuneration from the party on whose behalf the services were rendered."

[•] South Carolina only permits the student to receive course credits.

[•] Utah simply states the student cannot receive "any compensation or remuneration of any kind from the client on whose behalf the services are rendered."

[•] Wyoming states neither the student nor supervising attorney "shall ask for or receive any compensation or remuneration of any kind from the person they represent, except supervising attorney may receive" his or her regular salary.

^{43.} The issue of malpractice insurance is addressed as follows in these states:

[•] Georgia requires an attorney who is supervising a law student to "ensure the student is covered by an adequate amount of malpractice insurance."

[•] New Hampshire requires the supervising attorney to consider purchasing malpractice insurance to include such law student.

[•] Ohio requires any entity supervising a legal intern to provide professional liability insurance coverage for the legal intern.

[•] Texas requires the supervising attorney to maintain malpractice insurance to cov-

er the law student unless the law student would fall under governmental immunity.

trict of Columbia, require the completion of the equivalent of a specific number of semesters and some add course requirements.⁴⁵

The federal circuit courts of appeal also have requirements concerning certification by the law school Dean of the student's character and competency, the student's oath or certification, specific introduction to the court of the law student intern, and remuneration. The Dean of the law school is required to certify that the student is of good character and competent legal ability in nine circuits and the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia.⁴⁶ Seven of the eleven circuits and the Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia require a law student to take an oath or to certify in writing that he or she is familiar with and will abide by the rules of professional conduct and the rules of the particular court.⁴⁷ Four federal circuits require that the law student be introduced to the court before appearing,⁴⁸ while six federal circuits specifically grant the court discretion in relation to a student's appearance.⁴⁹ A majority of the circuits also specifically forbid a law student from asking for or receiving compensation or

^{45.} The following circuits have requirements concerning completion of the equivalent of a certain number of semesters and some also require certain course work:

[•] The First Circuit requires the law student to have taken or be currently enrolled in an appellate advocacy or clinical program.

[•] In addition to completing the equivalent of four semesters in law school, the law student in the Second, Third, Fourth, Sixth, and Tenth Circuits is required to be familiar with the rules of civil procedure, criminal process, appellate procedure, evidence, professional responsibilities, and the rules of its court.

[•] In addition to completing two-thirds of the requirements for law school graduation, the law student in the Ninth Circuit is to be familiar with rules of civil procedure, criminal procedure, appellate procedure, evidence, and professional responsibilities and rules of its court.

[•] The Eighth Circuit requires a law student to complete three semesters or its equivalent.

[•] The Eleventh Circuit requires a law student to complete forty-eight semester hours or seventy-two quarter hours or its equivalent.

[•] The United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia requires a law student to complete forty-one semester hours or its equivalent and to have taken evidence, criminal procedure, and civil procedure.

^{46.} The First, Second (law school certification), Third, Fourth, Sixth, Eighth, Ninth, Tenth, and Eleventh Circuits, and the District of Columbia all require a Dean's certification.

^{47.} A law student is to do so in the First, Second, Third, Fourth, Sixth, Eighth, and Eleventh Circuits, and the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia.

^{48.} Specific introduction to the court is required in the Second, Third, Seventh, and Eighth Circuits.

^{49.} Discretion of the court regarding introductions of the law students exists in the First Circuit, Second Circuit, Fourth Circuit, Sixth Circuit, Seventh Circuit, and Ninth Circuit.

remuneration of any kind from the client on whose behalf the student is representing. 50

III. THE PENNSYLVANIA APPROACH: 204 PA. CODE RULES 321 AND 322

Pennsylvania Code Rules 321 and 322 are well thought-out, address the relevant issues, and are among the best in the nation, in my view, regarding requirements of fair disclosure and competency, oversight by the law school and the supervising attorneys, and elimination of potential problems through clear and unambiguous language in the rules. Missing, of course, is a rule authorizing certified legal interns to appear and advocate in the intermediate appellate courts and the Supreme Court. The following addresses the Pennsylvania rules vis-à-vis the identified research questions discussed above.

1) Is the law student and law school graduate permitted to appear before any court at all in the jurisdiction?

2) Is the law student or law school graduate permitted to appear on behalf of the state or the commonwealth in criminal matters?

3) Do clients have to give written approval or consent to have the law student or law school graduate represent the client?

Certified legal interns are permitted, subject to applicable restrictions, to appear in the following judicial venues or cases, with the approval of a supervising attorney: Before any government unit (other than the Supreme, Superior or Commonwealth Courts)⁵¹ (a) in any civil or criminal matter; (b) on behalf of any indigent; and (c) if the indigent person on whose behalf the legal intern is appearing consents to such appearance.⁵² Next, the supervising attorney: (a) must be personally present; (b) throughout the proceedings; (c) where the legal intern is appearing on behalf of the defendant in a criminal matter where the defendant has the

^{50.} While remuneration from the represented client is forbidden, remuneration by the agency for which the student is working is permitted in the First, Second, Third, Fourth, Sixth, Eighth, and Eleventh Circuits, and the Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia. The Ninth Circuit simply states a student cannot receive compensation directly from client.

^{51. § 322 (}a)(1).

^{52.} Id.

right to counsel under any provision of law.⁵³ Additionally, in any civil or criminal matter on behalf of the Commonwealth,⁵⁴ (a) if the Attorney General (or the prosecuting attorney in the case of a criminal matter) or his or her authorized representative consents to such appearance, the approval of the supervising attorney and the consent of the party represented required by this subdivision: (a) shall be in writing; (b) filed of record in the matter; and (c) shall be brought to the attention of the judge or magisterial district judge or the presiding officer of the other government unit.⁵⁵

4) Is the law student permitted to appear only through law school clinical programs?

There is no express limitation.

5) Does the supervising attorney have to give written approval for the law student to appear with the lawyer?

There is no express requirement for the supervising attorney to give written approval for the law student to appear with the lawyer. The supervising lawyer is required to place on each pleading or other document the name of the legal intern who participated in drafting it.⁵⁶ Where the legal intern participates in drafting only a portion of the pleading or document, that fact may be stated; however, all pleadings or other documents are to be signed by the supervising attorney.⁵⁷

6) Does the supervising attorney have to certify to the court that the attorney agrees to supervise and assume professional responsibility for the student?

While there is no specific certification requirement, the supervising attorney is required by the rule to "[a]ssume personal professional responsibility for the guidance of the legal intern in any work undertaken, and for supervising the quality of the work of the legal intern" and to "[a]ssist the legal intern in his or her preparation to the extent the supervising attorney considers necessary."⁵⁸

^{53.} Id.

^{54. § 322 (}a)(2). 55. *Id*.

^{56. § 322 (}b)(2).

^{57.} Id.

^{58. § 322 (}c)(2)-(3).

7) Does the supervising attorney have to meet requirements to qualify as a supervising attorney, (e.g., a clinical law school professor, member of the state bar, certification by the Dean of the law school, trial/appellate practice experience, approval by the presiding judge, etc.)?

The Dean of the law school in which the student is enrolled must approve the supervising attorney in writing for the purpose of Rule 322.⁵⁹

8) Must the supervising attorney be present (or immediately available) during the law student's appearance?

9) If the supervising attorney is not present (or immediately available) during the law student's appearance, are there additional requirements of the supervising attorney?

The supervising attorney must be personally present in court or before another governmental unit in which the legal intern is appearing on behalf of the defendant in a criminal matter where the defendant has a right to counsel under a provision of the law. ⁶⁰ The rules do not require personal presence in other matters; however, if there is an attorney of record in the matter, the attorney of record is to supervise the work of the legal intern.⁶¹

Personal presence of the supervising attorney is not required (even though general supervision by a member of the bar is required) when the law student intern engages in "other" activities,⁶² such as the preparation of pleadings and other documents to be filed in any matter in which the legal intern is eligible to appear, and in any appeals from that matter in the Supreme, Superior or Commonwealth Courts.⁶³ Also, "the intern may provide assistance, except when the assignment of counsel is required under any provision of law," to "indigent inmates of correctional institutions or other persons who request such assistance in preparing applications for and supporting documents for post conviction relief."⁶⁴

^{59. § 322 (}c)(1).

^{60. § 322 (}a)(1).

^{61. § 322 (}b)(2).

^{62. § 322 (}b).

^{63.} *Id.*

^{64.} Id.

10) How is the law student introduced to the court, (e.g., through an entry of appearance, pursuant to a special rule, by oral introduction, etc.)?

11) Is court approval of the appearance by the law student required?

A member of the bar of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania must introduce the student or law graduate to the judge or magisterial district judge before whom the law student or law school graduate is appearing.⁶⁵ Specific court approval of the appearance is not required by the rules.

12) Must the student be attending a law school accredited by the American Bar Association? Are there different requirements if the student attends a law school outside of the jurisdiction of the state in which the student wishes to appear in court?

In Pennsylvania, the law student must be enrolled in or have graduated from an accredited law school or a law school that has been approved by the Disciplinary Board and/or which has filed and is actively pursuing an application for accreditation with the ABA.⁶⁶

A reciprocity requirement appears to exist. In Pennsylvania, a law student or law school graduate may not be eligible to participate in legal matters in accord with Rule 322 if the student seeking certified legal intern status attends or has graduated from a law school in a jurisdiction with legal programs that allow law student participation, but do not permit students attending Pennsylvania law schools the same privilege or opportunity to formally participate in legal matters as given to law students in that jurisdiction.⁶⁷

13) Does the student have to have completed a required number of semesters/credit hours in law school before seeking to appear in court?

In Pennsylvania, eligible students must have completed the equivalent of at least three semesters of legal studies.⁶⁸

^{65. § 321 (}a)(4).

^{66. § 321 (}a)(1).

^{67.} Id.

^{68. § 321 (}a)(2).

14) Does the Dean of the law school have to certify that the student is in good standing?

The Dean of the student's or law school graduate's law school is to certify such individual as being of good character and competent legal ability, and as being adequately trained to perform as a legal intern.⁶⁹ The Dean's written certification, made by filing one copy of it with the Prothonotary, is to be on a form prescribed by the Disciplinary Board.⁷⁰ The certification remains in effect for a period of twenty-four months after the filing of the certification or the:

announcement of the results of the first bar examination following the completion of the study of law by the student or law school graduate, whichever is earlier. In the case of a student or law school graduate who passes that examination, the certification shall continue in effect until the student or law school graduate is admitted to the bar.⁷¹

The Dean may withdraw certification by filing a notice with the Prothonotary "to that effect."⁷² The court may terminate the certification at any time without notice or hearing and without any showing of cause.⁷³

The students and law school graduates have to both be certified and maintain certification in accord with Rule 322.⁷⁴

15) Does the student have to take an oath or certify in writing to abide by rules of professional conduct and/or to uphold the constitution?

No express requirements exist in the rules.

16) Is the law student prohibited from soliciting or receiving remuneration from the client?

17) Was the law student permitted to receive a salary or an hourly wage and/or to be reimbursed for actual expenses?

^{69. § 321 (}b).

^{70. § 321 (}b)(1).

^{71. § 321 (}b)(1).

^{72. § 321 (}b)(2).

^{73. § 321 (}b)(3).

^{74. § 321 (}a)(3); § 321 (b).

The focus is on who pays the student. The student or law school graduate is not permitted to request or receive compensation or remuneration of any kind from the person for whom the services were performed.⁷⁵ Yet, an attorney, a law school, legal services program, defender association, or government unit all may pay compensation to the law student or law school graduate.⁷⁶ Furthermore, any person other than the law student or law school graduate.⁷⁷

18) Does the supervising attorney have to provide malpractice insurance that covers the law student unless the student's work is protected by governmental immunity or the student is covered by the law school's clinical program insurance?

No such requirement is expressly stated in the rules. The Rules of Professional Conduct in Pennsylvania, however, require attorneys to notify clients in writing if they have less than \$100,000 in malpractice insurance. There appears to be no specific rule requiring all attorneys to maintain insurance.⁷⁸

IV. OBSERVATIONS AND SUGGESTIONS

While Pennsylvania's rules receive very high marks in terms of completeness and careful articulation, one "substantive" piece is missing: a disciplinary rule authorizing certified legal interns to appear and advocate in the intermediate appellate courts and the Supreme Court. Indeed, I argue that effective skills training of law students in the appellate arena is impeded by the absence of this missing piece. I recommend that, under certain clearly defined circumstances, law students be permitted to appear and to argue orally in the appellate courts of Pennsylvania.

Rules 321 and 322 already provide an excellent construct for certification in the appellate arena. Rule 322(a)(1) should be amended to delete the prohibition against law student oral advocacy in the Supreme, Superior and Commonwealth Courts. Furthermore, Rules 321 and 322 should also be augmented to provide that the supervising attorney have at least three years of experience of advocacy in the state appellate courts (or complimentary experience) and be required to be present when the law student

^{75. § 321 (}a)(5).

^{76.} Id.

^{77.} Id.

^{78.} PA ST RPC Rule 1.4 (c).

advocates in court and be prepared to supplement or augment an argument, if necessary or advisable. Finally, the law student intern should be required to have experience with the state appellate courts, whether that experience be two or three credits worth of clinical clerking with an appellate court judge, taking a law school course in appellate courts practice and procedure, or other relevant experience yielding sufficient familiarity with state appellate court practice and procedure. I urge the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania to consider, as a minimum, permitting oral advocacy in the two intermediate appellate courts of Pennsylvania, even if it determines not to permit such advocacy in the Supreme Court.

V. FINAL COMMENTS

"Nothing else in the world . . . not all the armies . . . is so powerful as an idea whose time has come."⁷⁹ Victor Hugo's sage words could describe many life-changing events in our financial, political, professional, personal or spiritual lives. One thing, though, is certain: an idea's impact is a function of the times in which it is born.

Law schools across the country have been challenged for the past two decades to develop and implement skills training for law students. Virtually all law schools presently have skills training courses that involve legal internships with members of the judiciary, governmental offices, clinics and the like. These internships are subject to rules or laws in each of the fifty states, the District of Columbia and/or the federal judicial arena.

One rule that impedes the effective skills training of law students in Pennsylvania is the rule that precludes the law student from arguing orally before the Pennsylvania Supreme Court, Superior Court and Commonwealth Court.⁸⁰ Law students in Pennsylvania, therefore, do not have the opportunity to develop oral advocacy skills in Pennsylvania's appellate courts under the direction of a supervising attorney before starting law practice. The time has come for the opening of the Pennsylvania appellate court doors to certified legal interns as oral advocates.

When a student has the opportunity to engage in wellsupervised appellate oral advocacy in the Pennsylvania appellate courts, it is an experience that could be the keystone to not only a

^{79.} FAMOUS QUOTES AND POETS, http://www.famouspoetsandpoems.com/poets/victor_hugo/quotes (last visited May 23, 2011).

^{80. § 322 (}a)(1).

quality educational experience, but to the framework of an exceptional lawyer. Appellate argument skills are different from those of the trial court arena. Lawyers must dig deeply into statutory analysis, legal precedent, and the *magnus opus* of a particular jurist. Yet, a skilled oral advocate can give life to an argument in a way that the written word cannot. Oral advocacy skills are, in my view, like the golf swing—best developed when one is young and one's muscles remember the correct posture and swing without conscious thinking. Let us give our law students the opportunity to develop those skills in law school where law students have supervision designed to develop the very skills that appellate judges greatly appreciate. To me, this is "win-win" both for law students in Pennsylvania and for the Pennsylvania legal system.

504

APPENDIX

TABLE 1-	-RULES AND	REGULATIONS
TUDDU	I C LLO I LLO	ILLO DE LI TOTIO

JURISDICTION	RULE OR REGULATION
FIRST CIR.	1st Cir. R. 46.0(f)
SECOND CIR.	2nd Cir. R. 46.1(e)
THIRD CIR.	3rd Cir. R. 46.3
FOURTH CIR.	4th Cir. R. 46(a)
FIFTH CIR.	
SIXTH CIR.	6th Cir. R. 46(c)
SEVENTH CIR.	7th Cir. R. 34(h)
EIGHTH CIR.	8th Cir. R. 46(B)
NINTH CIR.	9th Cir. R. 46-4
TENTH CIR.	10th Cir. R. 45.7
ELEVENTH CIR.	11th Cir. R. 46-11
DISTRICT OF	
COLUMBIA	D.C. Cir. R. 48
ALABAMA	Ala. Ct. R. III.
ALASKA	Alaska. Bar R. 44.
ARIZONA	17 Ariz. Rev. Stat. Sup. Ct. R. 38.
ARKANSAS	Ark. Bar R. XV.
CALIFORNIA	Cal. Bar R. 9.42.
COLORADO	Colo. C.P.R. 226.
CONNECTICUT	Conn. Practice Book Sec. 3.14.
DELAWARE	Del. Sup. Ct. R. 56.
DISTRICT OF	DC. Super. Ct. C.P.R. 101(e) and DC.
COLUMBIA	Super. Ct. Crim.P.R. 44-I(f).
FLORIDA	Fla. Bar R. 11-1.2.
	Ga. Sup. Ct. R. 91 and Ga. Code Ann.
GEORGIA	§ 17-12-41 (West 2010).
HAWAII	Haw. Sup. Ct. R. 7.2.
IDAHO	Idaho Bar Comm'n R. 221.
ILLINOIS	Ill. Sup. Ct. R. 711.

506

Duquesne Law Review

1	
INDIANA	Ind. Bar R. 2.1.
IOWA	Iowa Ct. R. 31.15.
KANSAS	Kan. Sup. Ct. R. 719.
KENTUCKY	Ky. Sup. Ct. R. 2.540.
LOUISIANA	La. Sup. Ct. R. XX.
MAINE	Me. C.P.R. 90 and Me. Crim.P.R. 56.
MARYLAND	Md. Bar R. 16.
MASSACHUSETTS	Mass. Sup. Ct. R. 3:03.
MICHIGAN	Mich. Ct. R. 8.120.
MINNESOTA	Minn. Student Practice R. 1-2.
MISSISSIPPI	Miss. Code Ann. § 73-3-207 (2010).
MISSOURI	Mo. Sup. Ct. R. 13.01.
MONTANA	Mont. Student Practice R. II.
NEBRASKA	Neb. Ct. R. 3-702.
NEVADA	Neb. Sup. Ct. R. 49.5.
NEW HAMPSHIRE	N.H. Sup. Ct. R. 36.
NEW JERSEY	N.J. Ct. R. 1:21-3.
NEW MEXICO	N.M. Ct. C.P.R. 1-094.
NEW YORK	N.Y. Ct. R. 805.5.
NORTH	
CAROLINA	N.C. Bar R. Ch. 1, Sub-Ch. C, § .0206.
NORTH DAKOTA	N.D. Sup. Ct. R. II.
OHIO	Ohio Sup. Ct. R. II.

	1
OKLAHOMA	Okla. Sup. Ct. Ch. 1 Appx. 6 R. 7.1.
OREGON	Or. Sup. Ct. R. 13.10.
PENNSYLVANIA	204 Pa. Code § 322 (2011).
RHODE ISLAND	R.I. Sup. Ct. Art. II, R. 9.
SOUTH CAROLINA	S.C. Student Practice R. 401.
	S.D. Codified Laws § 16-18-2.1 (2010)
	and S.D. Codified Laws § 16-18-2.10
SOUTH DAKOTA	(2010).
TENNESSEE	Tenn. Sup. Ct. R. 10.03.
	Tex. Bar R. Req. on Temp. Trial Cards
TEXAS	§ IV.
UTAH	Utah Ct. R. 14-807.
VERMONT	Vt. Ct. Adm. To Bar R. § 13.
VIRGINIA	Va. Bar R. Part 6, § 4, Paragraph. 15.
WASHINGTON	Wash. Admission to Practice R. 9.
	W. Va. Admission to the Practice of
WEST VIRGINIA	Law R. 10.
WISCONSIN	Wis. Sup. Ct. R. Ch. 50.
L	(115. Dup. 00. 10. 01. 00.

TABLE 2 to Follow

INVPRACINCE INSURANCE REGURED				
PERMITTED TO RECEIVE SULARY OR HOURLY MAGE	51		ŭ	ŝ
CAN NETHER LEST FOR MOR RECEIVE DIMERSITION ON REWIMERLICON FROW CLENT	53.		<u>ي</u>	<u>ş</u>
INCENT CONTRECTION CONTRECTION NEEDE FT REALES OF REALES	ST .		Ţ	52 1
DEM CERTRANON CERTRANON STATONIG REQUTED	L SJ	3. 3.	ŭ	ä
REGUDED NUMBER OF SEMESTERS COMPLETED	MIXMUM OF 4 Sens or 94 Senester Hours or Eouxmlent	anickes anickes ane assuba ane as ane as	921157735 [MUST COMPLETE 44 CREAT HOCHS TROLINGHO CH FROLENDENCE FROLENDENCE FROLE REPONS
STUDENT MUST ATTEND A RA APPROVED SCHOOL	ANY ARA SCHOOL PERMITED	RS 10 ET RS 10	IN STATE ARA APPROVED GR FRONSTORED APPROVED APPROVED	LES LES
COURT APPROVAL REGUMED	31	ĝ	JUST STATES JUST STATES LINUARY CLAN RECUTE EM RECOMPTIO E PRESENT	
INTRODUCEDARED TOARTH THE COURT INFORMAN APPEARING BY AN ATTORNY ANTTON PRACTING	TES .	RE TRACTINA	ŭ	
REDUTE HENTS NEED TTORIET IS NOT PRESENT DURNG FRUL		(Introduct was) FLE SING OF APPEAD OF APP	OLENT ESS CONSENT TO CONSENT TO ATTORNEY NOT ATTORNEY NOT ANT TO SECURISANT ANT TO SECURISANT ANT TO SECURISANT ANT TO SECURISANT ANT TO SECURISANT	
ATTORNEY Ausst Be Present	REQUIRED CURCKS JURY TRULS ONLY	REQUERT DECEMPTION DEC	REGUTED REGUTER ANY DATA NAT DATA NAT NAT DATA NAT NAT DATA NAT NAT DATA NAT NAT DATA NAT NAT DATA NAT NAT NAT NAT NAT DATA NAT NAT NAT NAT NAT NAT NAT NAT NAT	<u>ş</u>
ATTOOREY Require lients			EITHER A EITHER A CLUCUL LWR FROTESSOR (SEPAURE) RELESION EITHER OF STATE BOR FROTED BY THE DEAN	LUCENSED IN THE STATE, ACTIVELY EXCLORED IN THE FRAUTINE STATE OR ANY OTHER FOR ANY TEARS.
ATTERACTION	NUST CERTIEY 10 COURT THUT ATTORNEY WILL RLFLL RESPONS.	FLUCIA FCM CERTIA FCM FCM FCM FCM FCM FCM FCM FCM FCM FCM	AGREE TO AGREE TO ASSUME PROF. RESPONSE AND RESPONSE TO	CENTEY JE OR DE FILL SUPERVEE AND ASSUME FROM RESPON
ATTORNEY Natitien Approval Required	KI),	SSC3 43NGLIY NY TROD: 201 YEAR C21 YEAR	ß	ŝ
CLENT NATITEN APPROVILL REQUIRED	LES .	<u>1</u>	<u>ş</u>	ŭ
APPEJAUNCE TRADUCH CLARCUL PROCEMII PROCEMII	8	£	8	Ş
APPEJROLINCE CONSTANTE DI CONSTANTE DI CONSTANTE MUTTERS	TES		TES WITH FEASECLINDR OR ATTORNE APROVAL	YES WITH PROSECUTOR ATTORNEY MRITTEN MRITTEN
APPEARANCE BEFORE COURTS	ANG	1740 S14700 2003-005 CNY (221/201	ANY BUT KED SUPERVISIO ATTORIEV IN ATTORIEV IN SUPERCOURT OF COURT OF CAUNCE	juşı
APROCTICM	MARIA	VSENY	WHICEBY	ABUUTSAS

		ŝ	ŝ
		S31	<u>ي</u>
		, 	۲ <u>۲</u>
Lur Schook Lust Schook Lust Achite 10 the Art Studie Reaction Pracesto Art Reaction		ä	ŭ
ORE FLI TEAR DEFLI TEAR HOCKNAR OF TR HOCKNAR HOCKNAR ERCARENTY ERCARENTY ERCARENTY ERCARENTY COMPOL		AT LEAST 2 Selectifies UNCESD DEAN CERTIFIES STUDENT UNDER SOME OTHER REASON	4 SSREETER
LANCE CLIFFED GSRFED	AVRENASCO CLALOGROUTO ANNUAL FICHAR JOOKOS FINLOS FINL	YES OR CONNECTICUT BOAK JOPROFED F ANROLED FA SCHOOL OUTSDE ONN TEEN COMPLY NITH JOOTTONUL	<u>5</u>
ŝ	331	<u>51</u>	
		ß	
A THE A PARTY AND A PARTY A PA			
MUST RE RRESENT (R RRESENT (R RRESENT) R FRESENT R FRESENT		ŢĔ	MUST RE PRESENT REFORE A REFORE A LUGGE OF THE FAMO'S DOUGH FELS UNLES PRESONAL APPERAVANCES NUMEDS
ACTIFE BLADRER ACTIFE BLADRER AND THE ACTIVE AND THE ACTIVE AND THE ACTIVE ACTI		30CR 33CR 33CR 33CR 33CR 33CR 33CR 33CR	Jarnato Naturna Di Sultan
ARENO ARE TO AREA OF A AREA OF A AREA OF A AREA OF A AREA A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A		ALARE TO SUPERVISE AND ASSUME TO RESPONSELITY	ULTZSNO4520 3064 3MSSY 01 3385Y
ũ	APPROVAL REQUERED	ä	Hacus An Jacob Notradity An Decision An De
ŭ		YES	<u>ي</u> ع
8	£		Q.
p			ŝ
TA MAT ALLA TA MAT ALLA MATTAL	A 340138 YIN0 A 340138 YIN0 A 3702 XIN0 A 3702 XIN0 A 3702 XIN0 A 3702 XIN0 A 34014	'WY AFFARM	WY APFLAR IN INCOMMONIA INCOMMONIAS COMMONIAS COMMONIAS COMMONIAS COMMONIAS AND SECOL SUBJECT COURTS AND SECOL THE SULT PARTUM SLATTONS COMMONIA
CLEAR	COLORUDO	COMMECTICUT	DELVARARE

		AN ADECCATE AURCART ON THE STUDENT		
斑	₿.		ŝ	₿
TES MO FEET OF THE FEET OF THE	<u>ي</u>		YES	
H H HANNE KONNO KOTONA KOTONA	CERTEP IN WARTING STUDGENT IS STUDGENT IS FAMILIAN WITH RALLAS OF FAMILIAN WITH RALLAS OF FAMILIAN WITH RALLAS OF FAMILIAN WITH RALLAS OF FAMILIAN RALLAS OF FAMILIAN	YES IF AT DA SCUCTOR, OR FABLC DEFENDER, IE SCALTA TO THEIR ONTH	FRUCTURE WITH RULES OF PROF. DOMUNCI	AGREE TO AGREE TO CONTROL RALES MALL CONTROL RALES RALES RALES
B THISTING IN THIS IN THIS INT IN THIS IN THIS	TES MIC STUDENT NUCLES WITH FORMA MITH FORMA BOARD OF BUARD EXAMPLETE NUCLES MICH SAULT FORMACTER FORMACTE	<u>53</u>	YES	ACTION 231 ACTION 231 ACTION 241 ACTION 251
Participant of the second s	4 SEMESTERS OR 6 CUMPTERS	23005.0F REQUICEMENTS NUST RE UNST RE ALON OF LES RAN MOT LESS THAN A SENETTERS OF RESIDENCE	13 OF THE STUDIES STUDIES BRUCHTED FOR	ZYROS OF A.S PFS.A. JO DEBREE
Mast R Carriero M Carriero M Massons M	EVERGLED IN FRECUE DIN PARTOR A PARCING PARCIN	BROLEDINA LUM SCHOOLIN ALM SCHOOLIN ALM UPPROVED SCHOOL SCHOOL SCHOOL	ENSTATTEND THE UNIVERSITY OF HURVELUK SCHOOL	ŝ
RULE 4 FILLE 4 COUNTS APPLUS APPLUS	COURT CAN ALWAYS ALWAYS DEFEAMAN DEFEAMAN PATRONICAN PATRONICAN	COURT CAN LIBERT CAN ECPANTO ECPANTO BATTICONIDEN PARTICONIDEN	CLERK OF COURTS CAN DECUER VAIO IS CLALFIED	Almertage County day County day C
Faurons Failen Canar los PFENS RUE 4	1 E		ŝ	短
				NO ATTRORETS HART PART PART PART PART PART PART PART P
TES EXCEPT FOR INCH- LUTEES SO LUTES SO LUGG A MATTER MATTER MATTER MATTER MATTER MATTER MATTER MATTER MATTER	YES WALL CACSI WHELE CACSI WHELE CACSI WHELE RIGHT NA RIGHT NA RI	REAND JUY ONESTITATION ANCESTRATINE PROCEEDING REACNG FRAL PROCEEDING RUTONEY RUTONEY RUTONEY RUTONEY RUTONEY RUTONEY RUTONEY	YES UNLESS COURT TO STUDENT APPEARCUE	LUSTUCEN CUMPTAN CUMPTAN LUSTUCEN LUSTUCEN PROCEDING PRESENT
A BOARD AND AND A BOARD AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN	KUGT RE KENNES OF THE BAR, JAPER OF THE BT CEAN	LIKENEED. PACTIZENSE ATTORNEY	LIEVEER OF THE HANKIC BAR AND THE COURT AND APPROVED BY LICIT OF HARAJO	NET CONTRACT OF CONTRACTON OF CONTRACT OF
AGRE TO AGRE TO SEPERARS AND ACSUM SBULTY REPONSEULTY	AGREE TO SUFERNSE AND ASSUME PROF. RESPONSELITITY	SWUL SILLE SILDENT	AND ASSIST ANLL COUNSE:	ALITESNO4538 Gov Status Day Jansy Day Sharts
AITORNET APPROVAL APPROVAL DATE DATE TORNET TORNET TORNET TORNET	ß		ŢĒS	APPRCIALLS RECURSED BUT RECORRECTION APPRCIALLS RECORRECTION APPRCIAL RECORRECTION
Ē	<u>8</u>	-	JE	YES, M PROCEENSS RECORE A CURTO A 2010 A 20
TESTOR CECTOR of All TESTOR TESTOR TESTOR CECTOR TESTOR TE	NO BUT DO NOVE SPECIFIC SPECIFIC BUT RECURRENTS TO QUILT'S AUD BOOGENT.	8	TES	
φ.	ä	5J	£	
NANY CAS NABBOR IN THE CAR DIVERSION DA ANY CASENAL ANY CASENAL AN	ANY KOLUDAG ANY KOLUDAG APPELLATE APPELLATE SUPERVISIO DATER MUST DE PRESENT	WAY ASSAT DI THE PROCEEDINGS WITH PLS STATE AS MARTED NO LUCKAGE LAN PRACTOSE LAN	YY	¥
courses.	LORDA	GEORGIA	Instant	Sta

<u>ي</u>		₽ 	ä
μ	ŕEs	5 <u>7</u>	ŭ
			TES SOTH FEAU STATES NOT STATES NO STATES NO STATES NO CONSTITUTIONS
ñ	ŢĔS	£	ST ST
COMPETED SERVEGO NE IDIAL VOLAR DIALAN RECORDED FOR GAUGUINA	12 OF ALOLELC REQUEST REQUEST REQUEST NOT RESERVO COMPLEXIMA REPORTED REAL REAL REAL REAL REAL REAL REAL REAL	ATLENT 3 SEVERTERS OF SEVERTERS OF 7 SEVERTERS OF 7 SEVERTERS OF 7 AVEAN EXFORT	COMPLETED LEGN, ATURZS MODIFINS TO AT LEAT RATOURS
<u>و</u>	ACDREATED PLATSLANT TO PLATSLEVAT TO PLATSLEVAT TO DUSDFULK FILLE TISTU, FOCH REQUIRES ALM	REJUNCE LAT SCHOL TOPE SCHOL SCHOL CELT 19 06 SCHOL CELT SCHOL CELT SCHOL SCHO	斑
		118326 33 0.183400 87.034 NO 18500	KOR ATTORIEY NOT DE REQUIRED REQUIRED
臣			ŭ
BOLL BOLL BOLL BOLL BOLL BOLL BOLL BOLL		IT SERVICE AND CONTRACTOR OF CONTRACTOR OF CONTRACTOR AND CONTRACTOR OF	F CLEFT COSSINS A NATING AD COURT FEAULS IT
A CREATER A CREA	ß	LST E RESUT (1) RESUT (1) RUTER WITER RUTER RESUT RESU RESU RESU RESU RESU RESU RESU RESU	MY CRA MY CRA MY CRA MATTER NOR MATTER NOR N
NC MEEK OF INC	LEUCER OF THE STATE BAR	LCENED 10 PAULTICE N CCAN	ADDREED OF
USES THE USES THE SURVEYOR SURVEYOR SURVEYOR SURVEYOR SURVEYOR	daamanta waaraa waa	SUPY RECORD STUCENTO DE STUCENTO DE SUPORTACO STUCENTO STUCENTO ATTOREY	Lunas Moassa Social Success Con Securics Con Securics Thes
ATTORY NATTORY RECUTED	AFRICAL		ž
ũ	ABACTOR AND A ADA A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A		<u>ي</u>
Ş		10003 2019 2019 2019 2019 2019 2019 2019 2019	
<u>ş</u>			<u>s</u>
WY APPEAR IN BALL DOTTING DECENTING DECENTING DECENTING	J.VY	WW AFFAA IS COURSEL IS COURSEL IS APPELANCE COURSE IS COURSEL IS C	AM EDCFY BETORE THE BETORE THE OR COLFTI OF COLFTI OF FORMESON IS FORMESON IS COURT
	WINDS	NACE OF CONTRACT OF CONTRACT.	NSWO

ħ	¥	SI		
φ	5 <u>1</u>	53,	TES STICENT CAN NETTRER CAN NETTRER RECEIVE RECEIVE RECEIVE COLIFECTORIAL COLIFECTORIAL COLIFECTORIAL COLIFECTORIAL COLIFECTORIAL COLIFECTORIAL COLIFICATION COLI	asti states mineou coure estanda
Ę	TCS	CERTFY M METTING STUDENT IS STUDENT IS RULES, CA: PRO, CA		
CALEF JASING CALEF JASING OF SUPPLEME REAUTION REAUTION REAUTION PROGRAM TO PROGRAM TO PROGRAM TO REAUTION MITH COMIN	33	31	ä	ŝ
2.9005 OF AJJUELCS REQUIRED	Schut Ingel One Seetsence Tra'e Trait	CONFLETED AT LEAST 4 SEMESTERS	COURTERED NO COURTERED NO COCCEDIT HOURS RECURSO TO CROUNTE HOURS REAL HOURS OF HOURS HOURS OF HOURS HOURS OF HOURS HOURS OF HOURS HOURS OF HOURS	CHARTER PARAMETER CHAR
THAN REPORT	A& APRIVED LA LW SCHOOL	1f28	"LAR SCHOOL"S DEFINED IN RULE 44/21/INFECH IS EN ASTROVED) ABA APPROVED)	ACCREDITED LVM SCHOOL OR LVM SCHOOL OR LVM SCHOOL OR LVM SCHOOL OR DECORTED DO DECORTED OF DO DECORTED OF DO DECORTED OF DUARS OR JURS DOCTOR
•	NEEDED IN Satuations Where Attorne's Attorne's	· · · · · · ·		TS GN AFFRUS
<u>ي</u>	<u>i</u>	SL		
	ATTORNEY MOT RECLORED TO BE PRESENT WITH DEFENDINF CONSENT	IN CRUZUL IN CRUZUL INTER WIERE CLEAT TO AN ATTORNEY RESULTE OF RESULTE OF RESULTED F		
Į.	IN CONCENTRATION OF CONCENTRATICA OF CON	ATTORNEY AUST AL APPESATUR APPESATUR APPEAUNCE	ŭ	JUST STATES JUST STATES GENERAL GENERAL MAST TAN MAST TAN MA
LE LA CO	100405 810,20405 48,63407646 044,12407 044,12407 044,12407 044,12407 341,30,839 341,30,300 341,300,300 341,300,300 341,300,300,300,300,300,300,300,300,300,30	NENER OF THE	LE HEER OF THE LE HEER OF THE LAN OF LAN THE THE AND APPROVED BY SCHOOL	NE LEAR OF THE STATE GAR
SWLL SPALL SINDENT STUDENT	ATTESHORSB 9064 SMISSY 0MS SMISSY 1MMS	AUTIZSKAKSBB JOBA BINSSY ONY SSAAJANS ITMS	SINPLY SINDEN TO BE UNDER DOCUESS SILDEN TO BE UNDER DOCUESS OF AN ATTORNEY	ASNADIA NORMA SECONDA MORTAN NORMA N
CHEF JOSINC CHEF JOSINC DEMATOCY DEMATOCY DEMATOCY PROGRAM APPROVILLO APPROVILLO APPROVILLO APPROVILLO APPROVILLO	ä	3	ATIONNEY MAST JAST MAST JAST MAST JAST RE SATISFRED STUDENT IS COMPETENT TO PERSONNE ASSIGNED	,
	<u>ញ</u>	J.		
LIMITED TO CLINIC, INTERN CLINIC, INTERN RETERN, OR RATCE RETORING	5		ŤĔ\$	ŝī
p	ŝ	<u>81</u>		ŝī
34	JAY	YAK	JAY	MAY APPEARS SSTREET FOURT JOINT APPEAR JOINT APPEAR BILLING COURT HILLING COURT MINISTRA COURT WAY COURT WAY DEALUS COURT COURT COURT COURT COURT COURT COURT COURT COURT COURT COURT COURT COURT COURT COURT COURT COURT COURT COUR
Kenneki	FOUSIWAY	IN NO.	UNTAUN D	ETT SZUKOLA KALA

		·	
		01 ct 11m84 Ct 250 ct 2	5 <u>7</u>
		Ĕ	<u>8</u>
•		R1	CERTEY IN NATING STUDER IS FLALUR WITH FLALUR WITH PLALE NALLARS NALLARS NALLARS NALLARS
JUST STATES JUST STATES STUDENT MAS TO LEET THE "VLOCKED" AND MCRU AND MCRU AND MCRU STABLESVED	şj		55
CONFLETE THE IST TALK OF LUX SCHOOL	COMPLIED 2 SENESTERS OF FALL THE STUCY	LEM LEM CITERISCA COMPATION COMPATIO	UT CREMTS REQUIRED FOR GRACENTON
AA ACCREDIED AD RECEIED A PASSING GAUE N COMISES	NOR-CLARCH BRACLED N CURSON CU	EROLAED IN MA FISCIPLE IN MA FISCIPLE SCORE	LUN SCHOOL
"MAY NOT "MAY NOT NETHOUT WEATTEN METHOUT WEATTEN METHOUT METHOUT METHOUT METHOUT METHOUT METHOUT			
			Stabler Security Security Security Security Security Security Security Security
	ATTORNY STATE AND STATE STATES		PRESERVCE IS NOT RECONTENSE CLEAT HAS NO RESART NO RESARTS IN MATTING AND ATTORNEL ATTORNE ATT
KO ELCEPT IN CRUSHAL OR AVKENE CLSSS CLSST INC CLEAT OF ECULTO OF ECULTO OF ECULTO OF ECULTO OF ECULTO OF	MUST RE MUST RE REALS BUT NALL REALS BUT NOT AL OTRER PROCEEDINGS FRACTINGS REALISTING SCASS TTACS MUST SCASS SCASS TTACS MUST SCASS	2 	MUST R PRESENT IN WATERS MARKE CLEVT NAS RESHT TO COUNSEL
KE KAR OF INE	KE KAGER OF THE STATE SAR	LERSES CERSES	ME HERE OF THE WESSLARE LAR WESSLARE LAR OR A CRETHFAURE TO SUPERVISE LLACUER ACLUE
SWLL SITERIZE SITUDENT	SMLL SIFERINGS AND ASSIME PROF. RESPONSENT	136001/r 136001/r 13101/15/15/15 13101/15/15/15 13101/15/15/15 13101/15/15/15 13101/15/15/15/15/15/15/15/15/15/15/15/15/15	SWLL SIFERNES AND ALSSINE PROF. RESPONSEDUTY
	NON-CLINCAL NON-CLINCAL NET NOT RECUTED NET NOT RE NET NOT RE NET NOT RE NET NOT RE NET NOT NOT NOT NOT NOT NOT NOT NOT NOT NOT NOT NOT NOT NOT NOT NOT NOT NOT		31
	10570 19 000 19 00 19 00 10 00 100 1		ST T
Ş	RULES FOR CLANDA LAND NON CLANDA PROGRAMS	инясова инаселя в составляется и предела уподати и пределати и предела и предела и предела предела и пр	NOXGENT OR GLINDJAL PROCESAM
ŭ	ĝ	<u>ت</u>	5 <u>1</u>
ANY EXCEPT COURT OF NPFEALS AND SUPREME COURT	Parker und	5	MY ET SJARNSKY MAST SJARNSKY MAST REEKT IN REPELUTE COST AFFLOWCES
WEEKCOM	RENEZOLY	Lasses	Nacossa

		· .	ATTCANEY ATTCANEY CONSCAL DISCRATICS ATTCANE ATTCANE ATTCANE ATTCANE ATTCANE ATTCANE ATTCANE ATTCANEY
ŝ	ŝ	短	ä
ŝ	<u>ي</u>	ŭ	ũ
CERTIFY IN CERTIFY IN FROMENTIAL FROMENDIS FROME OF FROME FROME FROME		CERTEY REUD CERTEY REUD NOT AND AND NOT AND	RETER'IN RETERIO INU STUDION INU STUDION NU ALIVIAN MITH ALIVIAN MITH ALIVIAN MITH ALIVIAN MITH ALIVIAN MITH RELEAR MITHE
22	3 <u>1</u>	S.	NAST SIMO SIMO SIMO SIMO SIMO SIMO SIMO SIMO
20605.0F CREDITS COMM.ETED CR KTILNY 9.CREDITS FROM COMPLETING IT	COMPLETED EXCERTED ATTAS SECOR STANDAG	ALLEAST 30 CREDIT ADDRESS TO GREDIT ADDRESS IN CONTRACTION RALEND ADDRESS TO GREDIT ADDRESS REFEACTION CREDIT ADDRESS TO GREDIT ADDRESS TO GREDITADO ADDRESS TO GREGOTO ADDREST ADDRESS TO GREGOTO ADDRESS TO ADDRESS TO GREGOTO ADDRESS TO	A SERIESTON CONCENTRATION CONCENTRATICON CONCENT
ABA ACCREDITED UNY SCHOOL	ST	E EFRALED N A ALS A ALS	ŝ
NO BLI CAN EXCLOR A STUDDIE A THE DYTERSS	G16773185 JAF38420 %31		
ß	ž	5	
CAL MUTERS NOT REQUEST 10 SE 2006 EDECTS 2006 EDECTS 2	2006 MUST MARE IT N KUDGERS DOPENSALICH STUDENT STUDENT EUFERSUICE		N OREF N OREE ATTORNET MISLAUCES MISLAUCES E RELEARING LUERDE ATTORNET SCREE OF LUCY SCREE OF LUCY S
OMY IN OMY IN CREATING CREATING MATTERS MATTER	ATTORNEY ATTORNEY APPELIATE APPELIAT	ä	A CONTESTED CONTESTED CONTENT CONTENT CONTENT CONTESTED CONTENT STORANO RECONTING RECONTENT STORANO CONTESTED CONTESTESTE CONTESTE CONTESTESTE CONTESTESTEST
0000 SIANDIG NEUBER OF SIATE SHARE STATE SHARE COURT SHERE STATE SHARE STATE S	Abatted 10 Fracts Las Marken M	ALTER OF ALT	LE LUGER OF STATE BUR
AULI SHALL CHARLE AND CHARLE AND	SMLL S.S.S.R. MJ S.S.S.R. MJ RESPONSULT RESPONSULT	Juntas Modesay Juntas Modesay CM SEXVERTES THREE	SING STATES
ŭ		Garneau Sincond	ß
<u>5</u>		ŭ	
¥		STW NCLTOKON ON PCLUT	9
12	ß		햜
ANY BUT SIPERANGORY ATTORNEY MUSS BE PAERKE ALL SUPREKE COURT ORAL JACUNET ORAL	ANT COURT, EJT ANT COURT, EJT ATTORNET WAST ATTORNET WAST ALL APPELIATE AND SUPPELIATE AND SUPPELIATE AND SUPPERIME	LPR1 LPR1 CEFFCAIDS FREATS FREATS REPEXISTANCE REPEXISTANCE REPEXISTANCE CEFFFCAIDS REPEXISTANCE REPEXISTANCE FREATS REPEXISTANCE FREATS REPEXISTANCE REPEXISTANCE FREATS REPEXISTANCE FREATS REPEXISTANCE REPEXISTAN	NC 1920 NC 1920 NC 1920 NC 1938 NC 193
YWYLIOB	KEBRUILY	verkaa	305 KATHI A39

			ដ្	5J
			ş	, KES
	CCLV CUT OF STATE STATE MATE AN MATE AN ADDRITOWAL REQUIZEDENT REQUIZEDENT REALING FROM FROM FROM FROM FROM FROM FROM FROM		4032541 39/124633121 80/02400 59/124633121 80/02400 59/124/0200 59/12000000000000000000000000000000000000	
	£₽	N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	51	Ω.
Hacuts en 1	13 OR MORE SIJIESTER HAN KH HAN KOT HAN KOT	COMPLETION LEAT 2 SUBJECT 2 LEAT 2 SUBJECT 2 LUN SCHOOL	SHERENES CONSULTED 1	A I I RAL A I I RAL A I REALING A I RALLING A
SI	CLINCAL STRDENT OF STRDENT OF LIKEN OF SCHOOL OF LIKE CAT OF STATE FANGLIEP IN MAA JOCKENTED LUW SCHOOL		ARI APPROVED OR SEPERVED APPROVED BY APPROVED BY APPROVED BY APPROVED BY CURCU OF THE ADD	NK AR W KOWA HACK A CONCENT NO CO
	51	PROR PROR PROTALINE COURTS COURTS STUDIO STUDIO STUDIO STUDIO STUDIO	CCCR1 CCMSENT REOLIZED	
	FLE APPEARINCE KITH CCLCT	CONSYN SOULD SE RECORD TO TREATING PATTER SOULD SECTER OF CONSTANCE CONSTANCE SOULD	ŭ	NGYA 35710M Katologya CKA 35710M
		SEE BOX ID (E1		MARY COLL BATTER COLLA BATTER COLLANTER COLLANTER COLLANTER COLLANTER PRESENT SOUT
	ş	LEAGINY AND LEAGINY AND RESERVATED IN MARKET IN MARKETAR RESERVE TO RESERVE RESERVE TO RESERVE	IF COURT REGUZES Altower 10 Be Pacent Tigen YES	MY COCONAL MY COCONAL RECHTRY RECHTRY MACHTRY MACHTRY COUNSEL MACHTRY
	ACT REVEALS SHOOL OF SHOOL OF SHOEL EAR OF SIATE EAR APPROVED BY APPROVED BY APPROVED BY APPROVED BY APPROVED BY AND FRACTINGS FOR FRACTINGS F	A DATA A	VERGEOF SIATE DAR SIATE DAR PALITINE FOR ALLITINE FOR ALLITINE FOR TATGAST 2 TEARS HOLE SUPERVISO AT COLETINE COLETINE COLETINE COLETINE	KENEER OF NORTH DWOTA BUR AND RPROTOF DEAN OF LIN SCHOOL
	Jigonis 35283215 17MS	AUTERIDAESE SOULE ARREST OW SEXUALS INNE	Airtas Sobal States Marshors and Marshors Marshors	SMALL SUPERVISE UND ALST-252 ALO RESPONSEUTY
		ŝī	ODASENT EV ATTORIEY	ŝ
		<u>ي</u>	ġ	<u>ي</u>
TIJCA RECORD RECORD ADDR. REAL RECORD	C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	CM ERVUE OF LOCATE AND	£	Ş
			ġ	TES. ATTORNEY CLEST RE PRESENT
NUX APPEJR BOTOSE A TOUL COURT A TOUL	APPEAR EFFORE The COURTS AND DECISITION The COURT SAU ACTIVITY ONL AND COULT AND COULT	A Construction of the second s	ŝ	ANY BUT SZZERASON SZZERASON SZZERASON PRESEN PRESEN PRESEN SZURE
A TERSEL	IEM NELCO	KENYOK	NDGTN	Acchie Dakoza

AN BATTY AN BATTY LEAL ATTY ALEAL			
ŭ	Σ	ß	SI
ŭ	ñ	SI	tes
KRITTEA GAT SOUCE DY APTICAUT NAT STOCEM TANK SOUCE OF APTICAUT NAT STOCEM APTICAUT	LEST PLES AN LEST PLES AN ORL OR VOLLOR VOLLOR VOLLOR VOLLOR POLLOR AND VOLLOR POLLOR POLLOR POLLOR AND VOLLOR PO	CERTAY TO THE DEAM PAY THE STACENT IS STACENT IS STACENT IS PROC. PROC.	
TES PLUS A.IS DOLLARTE	1472 1472 1910 1910 1910	<u>ញ</u>	EJ.
117600 Sufor Urbad Milli A Subsc	DOMETED. 6 COMETED. 6 COMETED. 6 RUERSCHOUS RELEACTION ROUTED ROUTED ROUTED ROUTED ROUTED ROUTED ROU	4 Star Field	ATLENST 3 Schesters or Equallent
ũ	MUGHTOD SULLS MIN SCHOOL MARKEN MIN SCHOOL MIN SCHO	ŭ	TES OR ACTIVICLY PUESDAG PUESDAG ACCREDIATION ACCREDIATIO
F ENDERTS APPEARING ALONE		5 <u>1</u>	
Its, AITtonerts UUTT 10 Introduced BIEBH 10 COURT	PERMISSION FROM LEAR ASSAN FROM DEER ASSAN AS TO CLEAR ASSAN AS FOUNDER AS CALIFORDER AS CALIFORDER AS CALIFORDER ASSANCE ASSA	ä	ŝ
OF PERFORMENT OF THE RECORDER F COMMUNITIES FF A MADIAL LUNESSE FF FELOW OF FFRS, FELOW OF FFRS, FELOW OF FFRS, FELOW OF FFRS, FELOW MIN, FELOW FMIN,	LE STATA MO LE STATA STATES SCIENCE SC	IRE SUPERICIDA INTOREY SMALLO ALTOREY SMALLO CONSERVICION (D TRE UNE TO TRE UNE TO T	SEE BOX ID LEFT
WAST RE PRESENT PRESENT PROCEEPANS PROCEEPANS PROCEEPANS PROCEEPANS PROCEMPICAL PROCEMPICA	CLANTING CLA	CAN CARE AND	IN CRUZINI, CALES SINGRE CEFERDAN INS THE FOSIFI TO DOUGH ANT PROVISION OF LUIS
Automitica ID Automitica IN Automica IN Au	MERSING CO MERSING CO STATE LAN ACTIVEL STATE LAN ACTIVE A	orsaucas o	APPRIOVED SY DEMICE URY SCHOOL
AUTESNOESSI Soutesnoessi Dive Times Times	SWATTER STATE	ALITERADOGER SCHOLANDER INNES INNES	ANLINES NO ASTR ANLINES NO ASTR ANLINES ANLINE
METTEN COLSTITS COLST		ATTOREY APPODALEY MERCIN ECCERCIEN FILEN FORESATIN MERCINE MER	NRTTEN NRTTEN KEUNCRAL RECURSTA REPRESENTE STADENT IS REPRESENTE THE STATE
ŭ	TES AND IN COLLARGE C	ä	ũ
£	£	ß	
<u>1</u>	<u>5</u>	Matter Matter Cansen of Stephenen Attonen	HTH RTS REAL TO AND A TO AND A
ş	ING EST ING EST ING EST ING EST ING EST ING EST ING EST ING EST ING EST ING EST	YES BUT SUPERFICES ANTORNESS ANTORNE	RO, CANNOT RO, CANNOT APPEAR REFORE SUFFICIENCE COLLOCINE LUTION COLLICES
839	NRCHTTNO	CA2 SON	NGINIZITANGA

ġ	ŝ	ũ	
22	ä	2 2	
	CERTEY N CERTEY N SINDIAN INJ SINDIAN RITH SULLAR RITH AND REAL COROLOT COROLOT	CETTY IN WETHING WETHING WETHING RELEAR MILL RELEAR RELEAR RELEAR RELEAR CONDUCT WO DREE RALES	
Ę	31	ş	TES OR DISECTOR OF CUNCIAL PROCENIE
Completion And Completion And Completion And Completion And Completion And Completion Completion And Completion Completio	4 SENESITEIS COMPETEIS AND DEMOLLED MA CLUNG	(SERETIFA OR Its counter	IZ OF THE LEGAL STUDES REQUIRED TO GANDUNE
题	BHROLED IT USTERITOF USTERITOF USTERION CLARING ONNEETION BOHOU OF LUN	201123700 201123700 102004 1020120 200123	ABA APPROVED OR IL CRE AND APPROVED SY BOARD OF EDAMPRERS
TES N 004. ARLINENT ARLINENT REPORE SUFFERE 0R SUFFERE 0R SUFFERE		YES N CERNAN SILUTONS	
	ដ្	ŭ	
A ML OFFER STRUCT A STRUCT A S		DAY WITES SUFFICIENT SUFFICIENT SUFFICIENT CONTRACT CONT	IT IS NOT RECESSARY FOR ATTORNEY TO BE PRESENT FOR INTERVEY INTERVEY INTERVEY INTERVEY INTERVEY INTERVEY INTERVEY INTERVEY INTERVEY
NAME AND	res Theological AL PROCEEDAGS	MARY CRAMM, CRAMM, CRAMM, CRAMM, CRAMM, CRAMM, MARKER, CRAMK, CRA	ğ
LEUER OF INE BAROF INS SIATE	19 SA20 19 SA20	LEPLAGER OF LEPLAGER OF BLAR OF THIS PRIVIDE CEALING LUAN SCHOOL	LUCER OF LUCER OF FACALITY OR LUCENET ATTORNET
A PARTING AND A	Aunasingesa 3004 Janusy Ony Sanajaas Titma	AULX2SNO4533 AULX2SNO4533 AUX2AUX INNS INNS	AITESSADAS38 3014 301538008 30153801380 CAN 3504280 CAN 35040 CAN 350400 CAN 350400 CAN 35040 CAN 35040 CAN 35040 CAN 35
	Hanten Approximits Approximits Approximits Control Approximits State State	MATTEN MAPROLL IS RESURED IN CREAN OR CREAN OR CREAN OR CREAN	
tts	IJ	CAL MITTER MITER MITTER	
ß	ALL CLARK CL	M. L.	CLANCAL FROSPALA FROSPALA FROSPALA PROSPALA CALENDER DA FROSPA FIC
	S)	52	J.C.S.
CRUCH (R CRUCH (R CRUCH (R CRUCH (CRUCH (R CRUCH (CRUCH (R CRUCH (CRUCH (R CRUCH (CRUCH (R CRUCH (CRUCH (R CRUCH (CRUCH (R CRUCH	Y BOLLEN OF THE PARTY OF THE PA	TIS STI SPERATOR NUTURE SPERATOR STATURE SPERATOR NUTURE N	JAY
CH115 30093	NUCK NUCK NUCK NUCK NUCK NUCK NUCK NUCK	אנטאט	ENCESEE

ATTORNEY MAST MARTINA MARTINA MULPAUTTAE COSPANCINE COSPANCINE CON ET CON		ATTORNEY LESS FALE COURT FALE IN COURT FALE IN COURT FALE IN MALL CREAR ALL CREAR ALL CREAR ALL		
ŭ		ŭ	Ŕ	ŝ
ž	ЖS	EVY CHARGE INE CLENT A ILEGAL FRE REFLECTS INE INTERVIS SERVICES, AND LAY COMPENSIT SERVICES, AND LAY COMPENSIT SERVICES, AND LAY COMPENSIT SERVICES AND LAY	題	Ĕ
CERTER IN WARNING MARING FRUITABOR FRUIT F		KRAITTEN ARRESURT THAT STUDEN THAT STUDEN FRALES OF PRALES OF PRALES OF	•	CERTER N CERTER NOCE CLAIN NUC CLAIN NUC STIDENT NUC STIDENT NUC STIDENT NUC PROFESSION THIS RULE PROFESSION
<u>ئ</u>		STANCING SE IN COOCI	<u>8</u>	ŭ
20405 GFTHE RESULTED CHIRACOLUM GR 1/12 FFTGA SCHOOL	COUPLETED 4 SEMESTERS OR ECUMALENT	4 SEVERTIERS OR TITS EQUIVILIENT AND EXDENCE ORIAL STRIFTELD EVICENS CRITINELD BY CURRELD BY CURRELD BY	4 State Strate State State Strate St	2230 OF A 2240 OF A 24 COURSE OF A 2475 GF A 1744 COURSE 1744 COURSE
57121 40 18000 3738405 341 100405 467 100405 467		ţ	YES BUT F VICES BUT F VICES BUT F VICES F AUT F FORTER SAULT F FORTER AUT F FORTER BUT F FORTER SAURT F MATTER F F MATTER F F MATTER F MATTER F MAT	. 100:55
<u>و</u>	YES, ICDOM	\$J,	ATTER ATTER	ADDE CAN ADDE CAN RETERN NE NUEZEN ASTRE
		<u>s</u>	ŭ	
LET PU OL KOG STALL STALL OL KOG STALL STALL OL NI GENTO STALL OL NI GENTO STALL OL)	CN 3TMATS CN 3TM
M THE APPEJARUCE APPEJARUE CRIANIAL PRO CRIANIAL PRO CRIANIA PROPOSITIONS PROFESSIONS PROFESSION ANY MARCHINE ANY MARCHINE ANY MARCHINE ANY MARCHINE ANY MARCHINE ANY MARCHINE ANY MARCHINE ANY MARCHINE ANY MARCHINE ANY	DIRECT AND ULIFEDATE PERSONAL SUPERVISION	INAL PREJAWICS RECOMPTION RECONSTRUCT RECO	腔	QUENCE BODECTCASS BODECTCASS BODECTCASS BODECTCASS COMPTOR COMPTOR APPLAUS APP
CERSED TO LECENSID TO PAUCINE N PAUCINE N TEAR, TEAR, CERSETERD CERSETERD CERSETERD CERSETERD CERSETER AND TEAR CERSETERD CERS	RESDENT ALTORNET ADMITTED TO PRACTICE IN VITH AND REFORE THE COURT.	ACCTIED 10 PRACTORE 14 VENEDOR AND BEORG THE BEORG THE COLURT FORM OF TEARS	ACTINE BEBEER OF VARIOUS STATE BAR APPROVING RAN RECOMPLICATION RE	REFERRENCE BAR AND ACTERTY ACT
LUTESNO-539 LUTESNO-539 CH 20-4-34059 CH 20-4-34059 CH 20-4-3405 CH 20	SHIPY RECUZES STUDENT D SE UNDER CZECT SUPERVISION OF AN	SWLL SZZERNZE AND ASSURE PRO- RESPONSE AND	AULTERANGER FOR STATES IN STATES IN STATES IN STATES	Autosaana Bool Shinks On Shangada Tinks
CONSENT OR, Y REDUCED REALENTING IN SAME	HILL HILL HILL HILL HILL HILL HILL HILL	53. 	KARITEN KARITEN COLLE KRUKEN KRUKEN KRUKEN KRUKEN KRUKEN KRUKEN KRUKEN	
AST SOME TYPE OF CONSENTS REDUCED		534	ß	CLEAT SUPLY REEDS D 6E NETRO 0F NETROS STATUS
ţ <u>β</u>			ŝ	E.
	ANY COLOR ET ANY COLOR IN CALV IN CPALANO LYSELEANOR CASES	LAY APPEAR BEFORE SUPREME COURT SUPREME AND COURT BANK SUPERCI AND COURT COURT	JAN .	YES BUT YES BUT YES CONTROL ATTORNEY SCIENCE S
NG1	нин	LINCOREL	NEGENA	KUBHICO

			55 E	
ĝ	ġ		ESCIMAC CH	CUNNOT PAY SAY COMPRANTON COMPRANTON REELUNCEATTON
ĘS	ş	<u>51</u>	\$3,1	<u>ت</u>
REPLACE REP		NA GLA NATAWA STRONG STRONG STRONG NATAWA STRONG SCANAS SC	CENTRY CN MENING STICDEN MAS READ AND IS READ AND IS READ AND IS READ AND IS AND ALF RAG AND AND ALF RAG AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND	CENTERS " CENTERS OF CONTRACTOR IN STIDENTS IN STIDENTS IN STIDENTS OF CONTRACTOR IN STIDENTS OF CONTRACTOR IN CONTRACTOR INC CONTRACTOR IN CO
LES L	YES NO F CATOS SUIC STATOS. STREASCOST ATTOS	SJ	S	Las Spoc. Sentradon Regues
4 SEMESTERS OR ITS ECCURACENT	1706 THE REGUTERIENTS FOR LUAN DEGREE	NS COMPLETED ATLENT 4 SELESTERS	4 SENESTERS OR AND HART RECOVALENT AND HART RECOVALENT AND HART RECOVALENT AND HART RECOVALENT ON CURRENT AND	4 SEMESTERS OR ITS ECONALENT
YES OR ITS ECCUMENT	EXCLUDINA EXCLUDINA LUXSDOOL SPRIDGINA SPRIDGI	ŞĮ	밄	ŝ
	R NEERED F R NEERED F R NEAREN R NEAREN	ICAPECAR NUMPERAR ALONE		THE CONT OR VOTON LUT PERET M ELECTE LAT STORY TO APPENE
52				<u>8</u>
-	8010275-00200 1000000 1000000 1000000 1000000 1000000 1000000 1000000 1000000 1000000 1000000 1000000 1000000 1000000 1000000 1000000 1000000 1000000 1000000 100000000	WHERE D MUS KEANT ID OCUYSEL MIL NOT KECESSANY IN KECESSANY IN KETING AND KETING AND CCURT APACSAL		
ĝ.	NALL CASES EXCEPT REJITS REJITS	F SJPERISDAL AMATTORIET MAINTORIET MAINTORIET MAINTORIET RANGEN R	THE PACTOR PACTO	rts AND REUTY DO SUPPLERNT NOT NUTTER OR ORLE SILTERENT SILTERENT
A REUBER OF RETRICTORY RAT NOT REAL OF REGURE REAL	ACTINE MELINER ACTINE MELINER SE STATE AR APPOINTE AR APPOINTE AR APPOINTE ACTION ACTINA ACTI	LEST E ALTHE RELEASE OF HERCENSE HIN CARRON HIN CARRON HIN CARRON LUT SCHOOL OF EA ULT SCHOOL HUT SCHOOL HUT SCHOOL	EEBER OF INE LAR OF THE LAR OF THE COURT AND COURT AND COURT OF THE INCLOSENT COURT OF COURT OF	KENER OF THE BAURT FILS COURT,
AILLISSING SALL	AIITESTIAASE SOLA EXESSY OW EXEMPLA TIMAS	SMLL SUFENSE AND ASSUE AND ASSUE TOF	SHALL FLE CATIFIE CATIFIE CONSERVITO SUPPORTUNE RESPONSELUTY	371 LINE 1014 STATES 1014 STAT
12	APPRCALL N		YES ACTUM FORM TO EE FALLED OUT E NITE STATUTE	SI
SL .	<u>ي</u>	SJ	TES. ACTUAL FGRAI DO ES FILLED OUT S IN THE STATUTE	SUFFICIAN CONSENT
	mreoou 762-29 30 3441 3R05		ON BEHALF OF	
ŝ		ŝî	ADD S MARKO SACARON SA	ŝ
YES EJT ATTCOLFT L.S.T ARTCOLFT L.S.T BE DECENT C.Z.Z.S GOL ASCURENT IN APPELUATE COURTS	, KK	337	ß	ß
KEEL V2023V	IS NOT STATE	MOREGO	FB31 COL	22

XI.	YES		Ĩ		æ
t5	LES		SI		₿.
TAKE AN GATH AFTE LOR AFTE LOR AFTE LOR DIN FOUND N RECENT N RECENT N RULES OF PROF CONDUCT CONDUCT	CERTPY IN CERTPY IN FIC OR SHE WAS REUD ANTH FIC ORSE OF PROF RESPON THE CADE OF PROF RESPON THE SATE IN THE SATE IN THE SATE IN THE SATE IN CONTED		CERTEY CA CERTEY CA READ AND IS READ AND I		CERTIFICATE STUDIES STUDIES READING READING READING READING RESPON AND RESPON AND RESPON AND
ţ,	165		TES		L2
A SEMENALENT	JUSTRAINOS SUI 80 Seitestas		4 RUESTERS OR	HARDER AND REAL	E CUCHALENT TO 3 SEBESTERS
ž.	123		SL	ALST SAYS ACCREDIED LART SCHOOL	ß
	ŝ		ŝ	3 <u>)</u>	
ġ				KUST MACE A BODIN TO FEBLAT LAW STUDEN TO ASSUE AT LEAST 14 DATE CONTENT DATE CONTENT TO BE RELO WO THE RESECTION	ST.
•					
YES MUD FEADY TO Supplement Statement Statement Statement Statement Statement	TES AND READY TO SUPPLERNI NOR PAUL OR PAUL STUDENT SUCCENT		TRAND READY TO READY ANY MERICAN ANY MERICAN ANY MERICAN ANY MERICAN ANY MERICAN ANY ANY ANY ANY ANY ANY ANY ANY ANY ANY		YES AND READY ID SUPPLEN MATTRATIA MATTRATIA OR OBUL STUDENT STUDENT
MEMBER OF THE BUR OF THE DOURT.	NE NEER OF THE BAR NOF THIS COURT,		NE NER OF THE BUR OF THE DOURT,	LE LEZER OF THE BAR OF THIS CEURT.	ADMITTED TO PRACITES BEFORE THIS CONRT
ALLER SOUSSER	SWILL SWILL SUPERVISE WD ASSUME PROF. RESPONSENT		SMLL SUFENISE AND ASSUERVISE AND ASSUER PROF.	REGUTRES STICLENTICS PACIFICE UNDER SLEENTSORY MITCONE BUT MO REGUTRE VENTS	SWLL SWLL ASSUME PACE ASSUME AND ASSUME PACE
1 12	ũ		ÝES	UUST ILANG A BOTTON TO BOTTON TO BOTTON TO BOTTON TO BOTTON TO BOTTON AND TO BOTTON TO BUT BOTTON BO	YES KITH FORU IN THE RLAE
Ę	ĝ		şi,	şı	YES WITH Foru in 1-16 Rive
ON BENUL OF ON BENUL OF REISOREN ANY CONG INDER'S OR INDER'S OR INTROR INTROR OR INTROR OR INDER'S OR INTROR OR INTROR OR INDER'S OR INTROR O	NDIGENT ONLY COLUS ATTCOMEY		EQUIENT OR U.S.ATTORKEY DOLY	HIS TO BE PART DE A FROGRAUN OF FROGRAUN OF KAN KAS SCHOOL LANS SCHOOL	s
ITHAW NO BUC IT SAYS ON BUVE ON BUVE PRISONERS PRISONERS	æ		53.	_	51
ŢĔ	53		SI.	ų	ġ
160 C.	FOURTH C.R.	FFTH COL	801H CH.	SEVERAH C.B.	נגאווא כע

		ä	ē
ŭ		ũ	5 <u>7</u>
		ССВЛРЧ М ССВЛРЧ М ССВЛРЧ М ССВС 07 БАССАН СССВ 07 БАССАН СССВ 07 БАССАН СССВ 07 БАССАН СССВ 07 БАССАН СССВ 07 БАССАН ССВС 07 БАСАН ССВС 07	CERTIPY STL72ENT IS FL22LBR STIH R22LB 00 FL22BOL COLRT AND FROM RESPON
ä	SI.	ធ្	TES MU ESRITED ESRITED COMPTIE ADMISSONS ADMISSONS ADMISSONS ACONT FRACTOR F FRACTOR FRACTOR F FRACTOR FRACTOR FRACTOR FRACTOR FRACTOR FRACTOR FRACTOR
1300433 496 1300433 496 1307302 1307302 1307304 1307304 1307304 10051703 10051703 10051703 10051703 10051703 10051703 10051703 100533 496 100533 496 100534 496 100544 496 1005444 496 100544 496 100544 496 1005444 496 100544 496 100	A SEYE REAS OF A SEYE REAL AND FALLING AND	4182333 4 77.40.81004 8104.40.8104 81.80.70.90 81.80.70.90 71.81.81.81 71.81.81.81 71.81.81 71.81.81 7	ALLENT H RUIST RUI
ş	ŝ	SJ	YES AND THE ACCUSECO COMMITE OF THIS COURT
NAS MARANCT AMIRANCT DOSTINALISA DOSTINALISA ELECTOR RANCOLE RANCOLE			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
192015 30 102 102 102 102 102 102 102 102 102 102	NGC15 AMA B SORT NATASTAR NATASTAR NATASTAR NATASTAR NATASTAR NATASTAR	10023 CH 23 1022212 1022212 1022212 1022212 1022212 1022212 1022212	
APPENDING CONTRACTOR C	LEVERA OF THE TEATH C. TOLIT BAR	LE LERER OF THE BAR OF THE BAR OF THE COURT,	ACTINE REFERR OF REFERRED COLUMER BAR
South Contraction Contraction	SHALL FLE REFIEN CONSENT TO SCREASE AND ASSUME PROF RESPONDENT	Annual Sector	NULL SALES
	CERTS-CAT-26 ST ATTORET	ğ	ÿ
ŭ	FLEDA STATELEDA OF CONSENT	ξį.	ŝ
			TITES FOR STACK TITES FOR STACK SUIDENT S SUIDENT SUID
ß		ġ	<u>8</u>
531	21 L	TTS, EDCPT, ON BENUE OF AN DECCERD TA COLEVAL PROSECUTION	ANY CMG EATTER GR COTTER GR DECONILIANT INNOVER FELONILLIAN COTER
BHCL	TBATH C2.	REFERENCE	COLUNEX