



UWS Academic Portal

Highly-scalable software firewall supporting one million rules for 5G NB-IoT networks

Matencio Escolar, Antonio; Alcaraz Calero, Jose M.; Wang, Qi

Published in: ICC 2020 - 2020 IEEE International Conference on Communications (ICC)

DOI: 10.1109/ICC40277.2020.9149152

Published: 27/07/2020

Document Version Peer reviewed version

Link to publication on the UWS Academic Portal

Citation for published version (APA):

Matencio Escolar, A., Alcaraz Calero, J. M., & Wang, Q. (2020). Highly-scalable software firewall supporting one million rules for 5G NB-IoT networks. In *ICC 2020 - 2020 IEEE International Conference on Communications (ICC)* (IEEE Conference Proceedings). IEEE. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICC40277.2020.9149152

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the UWS Academic Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact pure@uws.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Matencio Escolar, A., Alcaraz Calero, J. M., & Wang, Q. (2020). Highly-scalable software firewall supporting one million rules for 5G NB-IoT networks. In *ICC 2020 – 2020 IEEE International Conference on Communications (ICC)* (IEEE Conference Proceedings). IEEE. <u>https://doi.org/10.1109/ICC40277.2020.9149152</u>

"© © 2020 IEEE. Personal use of this material is permitted. Permission from IEEE must be obtained for all other uses, in any current or future media, including reprinting/republishing this material for advertising or promotional purposes, creating new collective works, for resale or redistribution to servers or lists, or reuse of any copyrighted component of this work in other works."

Highly-Scalable Software Firewall Supporting One Million Rules for 5G NB-IoT Networks

Antonio Matencio Escolar University of the West of Scotland Paisley, Scotland antonio.matencio@uws.ac.uk

Jose M. Alcaraz Calero University of the West of Scotland University of the West of Scotland Paisley, Scotland jose.alcaraz-calero@uws.ac.uk

Oi Wang Paisley, Scotland qi.wang@uws.ac.uk

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

Abstract—There is a significant lack of software fire-1 walls for 5G networks especially when the support 2 for the Internet of Things (IoT) technologies such as NB-IoT are considered. The main contribution of this 4 research work is an advanced software firewall based on 5 the Open Virtual Switch (OVS), which is able to provide 6 firewall capabilities over these 5G IoT devices. The proposed software firewall is able to significantly scale up the number of rules to fulfill the 5G Key Performance 9 Indicator of controlling 1 million IoT devices per square 10 kilometer. Intensive experimental results are achieved 11 in this work, validating the suitability of the proposed 12 architecture for this remarkable level of scalability. In 13 the most demanding conditions, where more than 1 14 million of firewall rules are installed and 1 million NB-15 IoT devices are sending traffic, yielding a total of 4 16 Gbps, the system shows only 8% of packet loss and 4 17 ms delay. 18

Index Terms—5G, NB-IoT, OpenVSwicth, Software 19 Datapath, firewall 20

21

I. Introduction

The maximum 5G speed in the New Radio (NR) 22 interface reported by Huawei in October 2019 [1] is 23 3.67 Gbps, beating their previous world-wide mark of 24 2 Gbps. A more typical scenario using the same tech-25 nology indicates 1 Gbps for the coverage of 1 square 26 kilometer. In that coverage, a 5G NB-IoT (NarrowBand-27 Internet of Things) network is expected to provide 28 access to 1,000,000 devices according to the 5G Key 29 Performance Indicator (KPI) defined by 5G Public-30 Private Partnership (PPP). When combined with soft-31 warization and virtualization, which are the corner-32 stone technologies in 5G architectures to reduce cap-33 ital expenditure (CAPEX) and operational expenditure 34 (OPEX), it imposes a significant scalability challenge 35 and performance overhead that need to be addressed 36 to fulfill the ambitious 5G KPI. 37

This work was funded in part by the European Commission Horizon 2020 5G-PPP Programme under Grant Agreement Number H2020-ICT-2016-2/761913 (SliceNet: End-to-End Cognitive Network Slicing and Slice Management Framework in Virtualised Multi-Domain, Multi-Tenant 5G Networks). This work has been also supported by the UWS VP Fund - 5G Video Lab.

Currently, software firewalls are primarily designed 38 to protect traditional IP networks. The support to pro-39 tect overlay IP networks used by 5G NB-IoT archi-40 tectures has not been sufficiently provided. Moreover, 41 to the best of the authors' knowledge, there is no 42 published software-based firewall solution that is able 43 to deal with the level of scalability envisioned for the 44 massive number of IoT devices. These gaps pose sig-45 nificant security challenges that need to be addressed. 46

This paper attempts to address these problems by providing a novel software firewall capability with support for 5G NB-IoT overlay networks. The software firewall exposes a significant increase in the scalability with respect to the number of rules, up to 5G expectations. The following list enumerates the main contributions of this work:

- Novel 5G software firewall architecture with advanced capabilities for 5G-enabled IoT networks.
- Significant enhancement of the scalability in terms of handling a large number of firewall rules for security proposes, being able to handle up to 1 million firewall rules per software firewall.
- Empirical validation of the scalability and performance of the proposed solution based on a prototypical implementation in a realistic testbed.

The rest of this paper is structured as follows. Section II outlines a state of the art on software firewall capabilities and firewall filtering in overlay networks. Section III describes the design and prototyping of the proposed scalable 5G IoT firewall architecture. Section IV presents the implementation of the proposed architecture. Section V validates the solution and provides a scalability analysis of the prototype. Finally, Section VI provides conclusions and future work.

II. Related Work

The vast majority of open source and commercial 73 software switches that could be extended to act as 74 firewalls simply have not been designed to support 75 overlay networks, and they merely work in traditional 76



Fig. 1. Architectural of the proposed software firewall

⁷⁷ IP networks. For example, Linux iptables, ebtables,
⁷⁸ ipcop, pfSense, ipFire, ufw, smoothwall and VyOS fire⁷⁹ walls do not support any overlay network, including
⁸⁰ the GPRS Tunneling Protocol (GTP) used to implement
⁸¹ 5G NB-IoT networks. Windows Firewall, Avast, AVS,
⁸² TinyWall, GlassFire and many others also lack the same
⁸³ capability for the Windows operating system.

There is significant absence of solutions to address 84 the lack of support of firewall policies over the GTP pro-85 tocol, used in LTE, LTE-Advanced (LTE-A) and 5G and 86 on their respective adaptions for cellular IoT networks, 87 LTE-M and NB-IoT. In the hardware side, Ricart et al. 88 [2] provided a hardware appliance with these novel 89 capabilities able to work up to 3.67 Gbps and up to 90 1024 wildcard-enabled firewall rules against 512 flows 91 (305 kilo packet per second - kPPS). In the software 92 side, Salva et al. [3] indicated that the maximum rules 93 support for Linux IP tables, with an extended version 94 to support GTP traffic, in the most ideal conditions, 95 are 512 rules when traffic is transferred at 1 Gbps 96 against 512 flows (666 kPPS). Salva et al. [4], further 97 investigated the support for NB-IoT traffic using IP 98 tables-BPF integration, optimized for scalability on the 99 number of rules, achieving a maximum of 4096 rules 100 for 4096 simultaneous flows at 90 Mbps (60 kPPS). 101 At higher speeds, packets drops and delay start to 102 be unacceptable. Such level of scalability will work 103 for normal cellular network end users although it is 104 not able to deal with the significantly higher level of 105 scalability envisioned for 5G IoT. In early 2019, Forti-106 Gate, a software appliance from Forninet [5], claimed 107

to provide a carrier-grade firewall support for LTE, 108 LTE-A, 5G and IoT. However, these capabilities are 109 not reflected yet in their data sheets, no performance 110 has been published and for their highest-end product 111 (VM08), they claimed to provide support for up to 4 112 Gbps with a maximum of 40k firewall rules. Even that 113 level of scalability in software appliances will not be 114 suitable for 5G requirements. Another way to address 115 this scalability is to perform the deployment of several 116 virtual appliances in the same physical machine in 117 order to use a distributed load-balancing approach to 118 deal with scalability. 119

The lack of support for such advanced firewall capabilities in software solutions and the need to push the scalability boundaries to truly support for 5G networks has been the main motivation of this work.

III. The Proposed Architecture

124

Fig. 1 provides an overview of the proposed highly-125 scalable 5G NB-IoT software firewall architecture. It 126 has been logically divided in three different planes. The 127 kernel space module works at the maximum speed with 128 hardware administrative privileges (execution ring 1). 129 When a packet is received by the network inter-130 face card (NIC) driver, it is inserted into the match-131 action pipeline implemented in this kernel module. The 132 match-action pipeline applies the firewall rules to the 133 packets being received in the data path. To do so, 134 the packets are parsed using the extracted metadata. 135 An extension to the traditional IP packet parsing has 136 been designed and prototyped to be able to extract 137 information about the GTP protocol and also about the 138 inner IP headers that are inside the tunneling protocol 139 to be able to provide firewall capabilities not only to 140 traditional IP traffic but also to 5G NB-IoT traffic. This 141 parsing extension is explained later in subsection 2. 142 The metadata extracted is matched against a firewall 143 rule table where all the firewall rules are inserted for 144 a lookup in the table. It is noted that the traditional 145 design of a table of rules only considers fields of the 146 traditional IP network. The proposed system extended 147 the rule table with the new fields related to the GTP 148 protocol in order to include the new expressions of 149 the firewall rules for 5G NB-IoT. If there is a match 150 in the rule table, then the action is taken. Different 151 default policies are supported with deny or accept by 152 default which is seen as the last implicit action to be 153 applied if there is not any match against the rules. 154 The key for speed is the design in the kernel space. 155 Meanwhile, there is a noticeable trend to bypass the 156 kernel and implement everything in the user space. 157 Our approach is to make use of the kernel which 158 is a much more optimized way when compared with 159



Fig. 2. Extended parser with 5G NB-IoT support

the traditional kernel modules, and thus a new kernel 160 module is proposed. To help achieve scalability, we 161 have taken a co-design approach between kernel and 162 user spaces, and thus further introduced another new 163 module implemented in the user space. This module 164 keeps all the rules inserted into the firewall by the 165 user in memory. The module employs OpenFlow and 166 the architecture of tables defined in OpenFlow for this 167 purpose. When the packets being processed in the 168 kernel do not match any of the installed rules in the 169 table of the kernel, a communication between kernel 170 and user spaces takes place to perform a lookup in the 171 user-space tables. If there is a match, the firewall rule 172 in the user space is installed in the kernel module for 173 performance purposes. This communication between 174 user space and kernel module has been also extended 175 in order to inter-exchange all the new metadata re-176 quired to be copied between user and kernel spaces. 177 Then, another key decision for scalability has been the 178 fact that when rules are installed in the kernel, if they 179 have not been looked up for a period of time, they are 180 removed from the table, freeing up resources and thus 181 enhancing scalability. When new packets come, the 182 rules will be reinstalled into the kernel by means of the 183 user space to kernel migration of rules mechanisms. 184 This architectural philosophy is not radically new, and 185 the Open Virtual Switch (OVS) [6] software switch, 186 used for multiple purposes in softwarized networks, 187 adopts a similar architecture. However, OVS does not 188 provide firewall capabilities for 5G NB-IoT, which is 189 addressed in this work. Our prototypes are a significant 190 extension of the original OVS software to deal with an 191 enhanced level of scalability. 192

¹⁹³ A. NB-IoT Parsing Extension

Fig. 2 shows the proposed extension over the traditional parsing of IP packets. When a network packet arrived at the parser, it visits different metadata extractors. For example, in the MAC processing, the 197 source and destination MAC addresses and the Eth-198 ernet type field are extracted in order to allow later on 199 creating firewall rules based on the metadata. Analo-200 gously, source and destination IP addresses and key 201 attributes available in the IP header are extracted, 202 including transport protocol, Differentiated Services 203 Control Protocol (DSCP) field, time-to-live (TTL) field, 204 among others. In UDP and TCP, the source and desti-205 nation ports are extracted. For TCP, all the TCP flags 206 are also extracted to allow key security firewall rules to 207 be applied and to deal with the tracking of the connec-208 tion to support both stateful and stateless operational 209 modes on the firewall. Then, when the GTP protocol is 210 detected, the Tunnel Endpoint Identification (TEID) is 211 extracted. This field is used to uniquely identify a 5G 212 NB-IoT device across all the antennas of the network. 213 This tunneling protocol has the IP packets generated 214 by the NB-IoT devices (inner traffic) whereas the outer 215 traffic is the 5G infrastructure traffic required to deal 216 with NB-IoT connectivity, control and mobility (if sup-217 ported). Thus, the inner traffic need to be parsed again. 218 To do so, it takes a re-entrance on the previously 219 visited parsing steps. It will allow extracting now the 220 information related to the NB-IoT devices including, 221 specifically, the source and destination IP addresses, 222 ports and other key firewall information. This extension 223 in the parsing provides the number capabilities to deal 224 with NB-IoT firewall rules, which is one of the main 225 motivations of this paper. 226

The following excerpt of code is an example of a firewall rule supported now thanks to our extension (rule in JSON format). A flow from inner source port 229 16500 of a given NB-IoT device with source IP address 10.10.10.1 going to outer destination port 2152 is 231 identified in the 5G network with the TEID 2001 using CTP as the tunneling protocol, and it will be dropped 233

²³⁴ once mapped:

```
235
236
    {"rule": {
237
      "firewall": "fw0",
238
       "action": "add-rule",
239
      "table" : 0,
240
      "cookie": "0x100",
241
      "priority": "0xFF",
242
      "match": [
243
           {"outer_destination_port": 2152 },
244
           {"tunnel_protocol": "GTP" }
245
           {"tunnel_key": 2001 },
246
           {"inner_source_ip": "10.10.10.1" },
247
           {"inner_source_port": 16500 }
248
        ],
249
       "action": "drop"
250
       }
251
    }
252
```

As it can been observed, each firewall rule has a field
termed "cookie" which purpose is to uniquely identify
a rule. Besides, Each rule is inserted into a table and
a priority is set for it.

258

277

IV. Implementation

The proposed architecture has been implemented as 259 extensions in OVS (version 2.9.2) using the C language 260 in both user and kernel spaces. More details of the 261 original OVS can be found in [7]. To be concrete, the 262 first extension has been carried out over the kernel 263 module of OVS (openvswitch.ko) to support parsing of 264 5G NB-IoT protocol and new fields in the expressions 265 of firewall rules. The second extension has been ap-266 plied on the Netlink communication between the open-267 vswitch.ko module and the OVS user-space software 268 daemon (vswitchd). The command line tools have also 269 been extended, including both ovs-dpctl and ovs-ofctl 270 in order to allow the administrator to insert the rules in 271 both OpenFlow tables and kernel tables directly on de-272 mand. These prototypical extensions were introduced 273 following exactly the design presented in Section III, 274 and the results achieved in this prototype are described 275 in Section V. 276

V. Experiment Results

This section validates the suitability of the proposed
NB-IoT firewall and analyses the scalability achieved
in the number of rules and the performance achieved
in terms of delay, jitter, packet loss and throughput.

282 A. Testbed Description

Experiments have been conducted on a host com puter testbed for the proposed OVS-based 5G NB-IoT
 software firewall, with the following specification: Dell

T5810 with 1xIntel Xeon E5-2630 v4 CPU (10 cores 286 with hyper-threading), 32GB RAM, and 512GB SSD 287 HDD. Fig. 3 depicts the setup of the testbed deployed 288 to empirically validate the proposed firewall. Experi-289 ments are handled by the *experiment controller script* 290 that customizes the configuration of each experiment 291 with different parameters that allow analyzing the 292 behaviour of the firewall in different scenarios. These 293 parameters and their range of values are explained in 294 Subsection V-B. 295

For each experiment, the experiment controller 296 script injected the rules into the NB-IoT 5G firewall 297 through a dedicated management interface (See 1 in 298 Fig. 3). After that, the traffic generator agent gener-299 ated several pcap files that were sent in parallel by 300 the traffic sender agent (See 2 and 3 in Fig. 3). The 301 pcap files generated are compliant with the NB-IoT 302 protocol. The number of flows generated by each NB-303 IoT device was fixed to 1 flow, and the number of NB-304 IoT devices sending traffic was always matched with 305 the number of rules. Thus, a rule always produced a 306 match to a given flow leading to dropping or passing. 307 This is a way to ensure that the experiments were fair 308 and there was not any kind of artificial acceleration 309 due to the synthetic generation of the pcaps. In fact, 310 each NB-IoT device available in the pcap had different 311 source and destination IP address, different source and 312 destination ports, and even different GTP tunnel ID. 313 This traffic represented the pattern and behaviour of a 314 5G node allocated at the edge of the network, where all 315 these NB-IoT devices were connected to the radio in-316 terface managed by the edge node. The traffic was then 317 received by the 5G NB-IoT firewall, which processed 318 it through the NB-IoT 5G Match-Action pipeline. The 319 outgoing traffic was sent back to the virtual machine 320 where it was captured by the traffic receiver agent 321 and saved in a pcap file. In a final step, the results 322 analyzer agent compared both sent and received pcap 323 files to gather the experiment results (delay, jitter, 324 packet loss and throughput). In the experiments, the 325 receiver received the whole traffic originally sent since 326 there is an "drop-by-default" firewall policy and each 327 flow has a "pass/accept" action associated. 328

B. Experiments

Table I lists the range of values of the different 330 parameters that configured each of the experiments 331 conducted to validate and evaluate the proposed 5G 332 NB-IoT software firewall. As shown in the table, NB-333 IoT traffic consisting of 1500 byte MTU was transmit-334 ted by every IoT device. Scalability was analyzed in 335 two different dimensions: the number of IoT devices 336 sending traffic and amount of traffic sent by each of 337

329



Fig. 3. Testbed deployed for the proposed OVS-based 5G NB-IoT software firewall $% \left[1 + \frac{1}{2} \right] = 0$

these devices. As mentioned, the number of NB-IoT 338 devices matched the number of rules inserted in the 339 firewall. The traffic sent by each of the IoT devices 340 was classified and processed in an isolated way with 341 respect to the rest of the traffic and each of the rules 342 was tailored for that purpose. Through this scheme, 343 an ultra-fine grained firewall control mechanism was 344 provided for the NB-IoT traffic in a 5G architecture. 345 For simplicity, for each different configuration executed 346 in the testbed, all IoT devices transmitted traffic with 347 the same bandwidth. This bandwidth was the result of 348 dividing the total available bandwidth by the number 349 of connected IoT devices. 350

With Regard to the methodology applied, each experiment was executed 10 times. To avoid outlier values, the best and worst results were ignored and the final outcomes shown in this paper are the arithmetic mean

TABLE I Range of values for each parameter analyzed in the experiments

Parameter	Range of Values
Packet size (MTU)	1500 Bytes
Bandwidth (Mbps)	1000, 2000, 3000, 4000
Number of Devices/Rules	1, 2, 4, 8, 16 1048576 (2 ⁿ ,n in [0,1,,20])
Type of Traffic	NB-IoT Traffic (GTP)
Type of Rule	Matching inner source ip address and inner destination port

355

356

of the remaining 8 experiments.

C. Results



Fig. 4. Scalability analysis of packet loss

Fig. 4 shows the evolution of packets loss when 357 ranging exponentially both the number of firewall rules 358 and devices in 1:1 ratio and also when ranging linearly 359 the total bandwidth for transmission per corresponding 360 number of devices. It is worth mentioning that 1 Gbps 361 there was no packet loss when 1 million of flows and 362 rules were installed in the firewall. For the case of 363 4 Gbps, speeds currently aligned with the maximum 364 performance achieved in the new radio interface up 365 to date, there was no packet loss either up to 32k 366 rules. Beyond these boundaries of system stability, 367 packet loss started to appear and in the most stress-368 ful condition, at 4 Gbps, with 1 million rules and 1 369 million devices, a very reasonable 8.2% packet loss 370 ratio was achieved. These numbers are remarkably 371 advantageous especially considering highly demanding 372 nature of the IoT communications. It is noted that in 373 all the scenarios tested, the transmission throughput 374 was exactly the same as the reception throughput with 375 the variations associated to the percentage of packet 376 loss. Thus it has been decided not to include this graph 377 although these facts are critical to understand that all 378 the graphs presented next are accurate. 379



Fig. 5. Scalability analysis of delay

Fig. 5 shows the evolution of the delay incurred by 380 the software firewall when ranging the same parame-381 ters. The behaviour of the graph is similar to that of 382 packet loss. The system was stable despite the delay 383 added by the number of rules up to 32k rules. After 384 this threshold, the system behaved very decently at 385 1 and 2 Gbps. When the throughput were scaled to 386 3 and 4 Gbps, the delay was increased exponentially. 387 It is very relevant to indicate that at 1 Gbps, the 388 delay inserted when there was 1 million rules was 389 around 0.1 ms and at 4 Gbps, the delay added was 390 around 4 ms. These numbers are far beyond better 391 than the acceptance boundaries defined for 5G NB-IoT 392 traditionally associated to delay-tolerant applications. 393 These results show that the firewall will be suitable 394 even for delay-sensitive 5G NB-IoT use cases. 395



Fig. 6. Scalability analysis of jitter

Regarding the analysis of jitter (i.e., variance in 396 packet delays), Fig. 6 shows a very similar trend with 397

respect to the delay graph presented in Fig. 5. The 398 stable boundaries were similar and then an exponential 399 increase appeared following a similar pattern. This 400 time, in the most stressed scenario (at 4 Gbps, 1 401 millions flows and 1 million rules), the jitter was about 402 3.5 ms. When combined with the delay, the worst case 403 maximum delay would be lower than 8 ms. 404

These results have validated the suitability of the 405 proposed architecture for the scalability and perfor-406 mance envisioned in 5G networks. 407

VI. Conclusion

408

429

433

434

435

436

437

448

449

450

This paper proposes a highly scalable software fire-409 wall architecture that provides support for NB-IoT de-410 vices in 5G networks and beyond. The architecture has 411 been validated with the extreme use case of massive 412 machine-type communications composed by traffic of 413 1 million devices, totalling 4 Gbps, with 1 million of 414 firewall rules installed. Experiment results have shown 415 that the solution has very promising performance of 416 around 8% of packet losses and just 4 ms delay under 417 these extreme conditions where all these devices are 418 connected to the same radio interface and the software 419 firewall is deployed in the edges of the network. The 420 level of scalability is compliant with the 5G KPI expec-421 tation. 422

In future work, this level of high scalability will be 423 explored in the context of network slicing and network 424 slice management where different types of actions 425 need to be applied over the same type of traffic. In 426 addition, the compatibility to other IoT protocols will 427 also be investigated. 428

References

- [1] "Huawei 5g wireless network planning solution white paper," 430 https://www-file.huawei.com/-/media/corporate/pdf/white\paper/ 431 2018/5g wireless network planing solution en.pdf?la=en-ch, 432 Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd., 2018.
- [2] R. Ricart-Sanchez, P. Malagon, J. M. Alcaraz-Calero, and Q. Wang, "Netfpga-based firewall solution for 5g multi-tenant architectures," in 2019 IEEE International Conference on Edge Computing (EDGE), July 2019, pp. 132-136.
- [3] P. Salva-Garcia, J. M. Alcaraz-Calero, R. M. Alaez, E. Chirivella-438 Perez, J. Nightingale, and Q. Wang, "5G-UHD: Design, prototyp-439 ing and empirical evaluation of adaptive Ultra-High-Definition 440 video streaming based on scalable H.265 in virtualised 5G net-441 works," Computer Communications, vol. 118, pp. 171-184, 2018. 442
- [4] P. Salva-Garcia, J. M. Alcaraz-Calero, Q. Wang, J. B. Bernabe, and 443 A. Skarmeta, "5G NB-IoT: Efficient Network Traffic Filtering for 444 Multitenant IoT Cellular Networks," Security and Communica-445 tion Networks, vol. 2018, pp. 1-21, dec 2018. 446 447
- (2019) The Fortinet website. [Online]. Available: https://www. [5] fortinet.com/solutions/mobile-carrier.html
- [6] (2019) The Open vSwitch website. [Online]. Available: https: //www.openvswitch.org/
- [7] B. Pfaff and et al., "The design and implementation of open 451 vswitch," in 12th USENIX Symposium on Networked Systems 452 Design and Implementation (NSDI 15). Oakland, CA: USENIX 453 Association, May 2015, pp. 117-130. 454