

University of the Pacific Scholarly Commons

John Muir Correspondence (PDFs)

1901-08-19

Letter from Charles D. Lanier to John Muir, 1901 Aug 19.

Charles D. Lanier

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarlycommons.pacific.edu/muir-correspondence

Recommended Citation

Lanier, Charles D., "Letter from Charles D. Lanier to John Muir, 1901 Aug 19." (1901). *John Muir Correspondence (PDFs)*. 4448.

https://scholarlycommons.pacific.edu/muir-correspondence/4448

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by Scholarly Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in John Muir Correspondence (PDFs) by an authorized administrator of Scholarly Commons. For more information, please contact mgibney@pacific.edu.

article on the matter of the protection of the Big Trees left, it would be a most readable feature of the "Review of Reviews," and at the same time might stir up considerable interest in the matter of protecting these magnificent giants. What the present condition of the trees is, what dangers they are subject to, what legislation there is on the subject, what there ought to be, and what chance there is of getting it, then, would make up perhaps the larger part of the Larticle we have in mind We do not know that you would care to undertake such a piece of work, but we hope that you will, and we think it the more probable that you might, because the circulation of the "Review of Reviews" is such as to give any recommendations you may have to make in regard to the preservation of the sequoya groves the widest and best introduction.

We should be glad to have this



56e REVIEW of REVIEWS CO. 13 Astor Place, New York August 19, 1901

Mr. John Muir, e/o Atlantic Monthly, Boston, Mass.

Dear Sir:

Your exceedingly charming article on the Big Trees, which I have been reading in the advance sheets of the "Atlantic," has led me to wonder if you would not be willing to write a brief article for the "Review of Reviews" on the sequoyas, and article built on somewhat different lines, just as the "Review of Reviews" is rather a journalistic magazine, while the "Atlantic Monthly" is rather a literary magazine.

Our thought had been that if you could give some of the dramatic facts of the size, age, beauty and life history of the Big Tree, the limits of its range, etc., and then proceed to make rather the larger part of the

02884

article in time for possible use in the October number, if you care to do it.

This would necessitate our receiving the manuscript by about September 10 or 12.

We have thought of an article of from 1,500 to 2,500 words.

Charles Stand

P. S. - Do you happen to know of any exceptionally good photographs of sequoyas?