



AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

Comparative effectiveness of dimethyl fumarate, teriflunomide, interferon-beta and glatiramer acetate on newly diagnosed patients: a propensity score-matched analysis from a multicenter Italian group

This is a pre print version of the following article:						
Original Citation:						
Availability:						
This version is available	http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1757515	since	2020-10-02T10:38:14Z			
Publisher:						
SAGE PUBLICATIONS LTD						
Terms of use:						
Open Access						
Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.						
requires sortsert of the hi	grit motes, (dutilet of publisher) if not exemp	nou nom copyr	ight protoction by the applicable law.			

(Article begins on next page)

Abstract: P1891

Type: Poster

advisorv

Abstract Category: Late breaking news

Objective: To compare effectiveness of dimethyl fumarate (DMF) and teriflunomide (TERI) vs injectables first-line therapies as interferon-beta (IFN) and glatiramer acetate (GA) on time to first relapse (TTFR) in newly diagnosed patients. Background: New MS oral drugs as DMF and TERI have been recently approved as first line therapies for RRMS. Reallife comparisons between these drugs and injectable first line therapies, aimed to assess the relative effectiveness, are possible as new data Methods: This analysis included only newly diagnosed RRMS patients (2010-2016) followed in 24 Italian centres and is focused on their first treatment. Patients who received TERI, DMF, IFN or GA as their first therapy were included. Propensity score (PS) matching (1:1) was used to match patients treated with DMF and TERI to comparable patients treated with IFN and GA. As a control, a matched comparison was also run between IFN and GA. PS matching was based on baseline characteristics as age, year and EDSS at diagnosis, gender, disease duration since first symptom, treatment delay, relapse rate in previous year, active and spinal cord MRI lesions. TTFR was analysed using a Kaplan-Meier accounting approach and stratified Cox model for matched Results: The database included 3033 newly diagnosed patients; of these 1525 received IFN, 543 GA, 313 DMF and 108 TERI as their first therapy. The remaining 544 received other drugs. Among patients on DMF, it was possible to find 221 and 191 matched pairs of patients treated with IFN and GA. Patients treated with DMF had a lower risk of relapse as compared to patients on IFN (HR=0.58; 95% CI:0.37-0.93; p=0.025) while the difference was not significant with patients on GA (HR = 0.77; 95% CI: 0.40-1.51; p=0.45). Among patients on TERI, 82 were matched to patients on IFN and 96 to patients on GA. No significant difference on TTFR was observed between TERI and IFN (HR=0.75; 95% CI:0.35-1.60; p=0.46) nor between TERI and GA (HR=0.82; 95% CI: 0.38-1.77; p=0.61). No difference was observed between IFN and GA (HR 0.90: 95% CI: 0.69-1.17: Conclusions: In newly diagnosed patients, DMF showed a significant delay in TTFR as compared to IFN. Larger cohort of patients in DMF and TERI are needed to confirm these results and reach the power to detect smaller differences between oral and injectable drugs in the capacity of oral drugs to delay relapse appearance in RRMS naïve patients at their first therapy. Disclosure: Alessio Signori received teaching honoraria from Novartis. Maria Pia Sormani received personal compensation for consulting services and for speaking activities from Merck Serono, Novartis, Roche, Genzyme and Giorgia T. Maniscalco received personal compensation from Serono, Biogen and TEVA for public speaking and advisory boards. Elisabetta Signoriello received personal compensation from Almirall, Biogen, Genzyme, Novartis and Teva for traveling advisory Silvia Rossi acted as an Advisory Board member of Biogen Idec, Bayer Schering, Merck Serono, Teva, Novartis and Genzyme, and received funding for traveling and honoraria for speaking or writing from Biogen Idec, Merck Serono, Teva, Novartis, Bayer Schering, Genzyme, Almirall. She received support for research project by Teva, Merck Serono and Bayer Schering involved as principal investigator in clinical trials for Teva Roche. and nothing **Gutierrez** has Lorena Pareja disclose Francesco Saccà received personal compensation from Novartis, Almirall, Genzyme, Biogen, Forward Pharma and TEVA public editorial for speaking, work and advisorv boards. Russo has nothing Valeria Salvatore Lo Fermo received funding for travel and for advisory board from Genzyme, Biogen Idec, Teva, Merck-Serono. Annamaria Repice received personal compensation from Biogen Idec, Genzyme, Novartis and Merck Serono for public speaking and advisory boards Damiano Baroncini received honoraria from Almirall for the creation of editorial publications, and travel grants for to international congresses from Genzyme and TEVA. Pietro Annovazzi served as advisor and received speaking honoraria from Novartis, Merck Serono, Genzyme, Biogen Teva Marinella Clerico received personal compensation for participating to advisory boards by Merck Serono and Biogen; travel congresses Merck, Biogen, Novartis and Genzyme. paid by Raffaella Cerqua received funding for travel and/or speaker honoraria from Genzyme, Biogen Idec, Teva, Merck-Serono, and Novartis. Eleonora Binello has nothing disclose. to Giorgia Mataluni Jessica Frau serves on scientific advisory boards for Biogen, received honoraria for speaking from Merck Serono, Biogen grant Teva and received research from Merk Serono. а Eleonora Cocco received personal compensation from Almirall, Bayer, Biogen, Genzyme, Novartis, Serono and TEVA speaking, public editorial work and advisory Ignazio Roberto Zarbo has served on a scientific advisory board for Biogen Idec, and received funding for travel and/or from Genzyme, Biogen Idec. Teva, Merck honoraria

Alice Laroni has received personal compensation from Novartis, Genzyme, Biogen and TEVA for public speaking and

boards.

Arianna Sartori has received funding for travel and/or speaker honoraria from Novartis, Teva, Merck-Serono and							
Genzyme.							
Cinzia Cordioli received	personal compensations	for consultanting	from MerkSerono	and Novartis.			
Sarah Ra	sia has	nothing	to	disclose.			
Simona Bonavita received speaker honoraria from Merck Serono, Novartis, Teva and Genzyme; Advisory Board							
honoraria	from Te	eva,	Novartis,	Biogen.			
Luigi Lavorgna received funding for travel and/or speaker honoraria from Novartis, Genzyme, Teva, Merck, Almirall and							
Bayer.							
Sabrina Es	sposito has	nothing	to	disclose.			
Valentina Torri Clerici received personal compensation from Novartis, Almirall, Genzyme, and Teva for public speaking,							
editorial v	work a	nd	advisory	boards.			
Sara La	Gioia has	nothing	to	disclose			
Barbara F	rigeni has	nothing	to	disclose.			
Valeria Ba	rcella has	nothing	to	disclose.			
Simona Pontecorvo received personal compensation from Almirall, Biogen, Genzyme, and Teva for public speaking and							
advisory boards							
Alessia Di Sapio received personal compensation from Novartis, Biogen, Merck Serono, Teva and Bayer Schering for							
public speaking and advisory boards; received funding for travel/meetings from Merck Serono, Biogen, Novartis, Genzyme,							
Allergan	a	nd		Medtronic.			
Roberta G	irasso has	nothing	to	disclose			
Maria Laura	Stromillo has	nothing	to	disclose			
Caterina E	Barrilà has	nothing	to	disclose.			
Fabio Gallo rece	eived teaching	j fees	from	Novartis.			
Roberta Lanzillo received personal compensation from Merck Serono, Biogen, Novartis, Almirall, Genzyme, and TEVA							
for public speaking, editorial work and advisory boards.							