LETTER TO THE EDITOR

Open Access

COnsensus on Pediatric Pain in the Emergency Room: the COPPER project, issued by 17 Italian scientific societies



Franca Benini^{1*}, Ilaria Corsini², Emanuele Castagno³, Davide Silvagni⁴, Annunziata Lucarelli⁵, Luca Giacomelli⁶, Angela Amigoni⁷, Gina Ancora⁸, Marinella Astuto⁹, Fabio Borrometi¹⁰, Rosa Maria Casilli⁷, Elena Chiappini¹¹, Renato Cutrera¹², Arianna De Matteis¹³, Giuseppe di Mauro¹¹, Anna Musolino¹⁴, Andrea Fabbri¹⁵, Federica Ferrero¹¹, Martina Fornaro¹⁶, Michele Gangemi¹⁶, Paola Lago⁸, Francesco Macrì¹⁷, Luca Manfredini¹⁸, Luigi Memo¹⁹, Annamaria Minicucci²⁰, Paolo Petralia²⁰, Nicola Pinelli²¹, Roberto Antonucci²², Silvia Tajè²³, Emiliano Tizi⁹, Leo Venturelli¹¹, Stefania Zampogna¹⁴ and Antonio F. Urbino³

Abstract

In the pediatric setting, management of pain in the emergency department – and even in common care – is a challenging exercise, due to the complexity of the pediatric patient, poor specific training of many physicians, and scant resources.

A joint effort of several Italian societies involved in pediatrics or in pain management has led to the definition of the PIPER group and the COPPER project. By applying a modified Delphi method, the COPPER project resulted in the definition of 10 fundamental statements. These may represent the basis for improving the correct management of children pain in the emergency department.

Keywords: Pediatric pain, Emergency department, Law 38/2010

Introduction

Pain is frequently experienced in the pediatric age, both in primary care and in hospitals. Up 80% of hospital admissions are caused by diseases associated with pain, either acute or chronic [1–3]. This figure is even higher in the emergency department (ED) setting [4, 5]. Remarkably, pain is defined as a feared symptom; it is often present at the onset of a disease, it is always present during diagnostic and therapeutic procedures and it is associated with the fear of the disease itself [1]. Moreover, pain is a damaging symptom; it is widely accepted that alterations in neural activity due to pain and injury in

early development may produce immediate and longterm effects on sensory processing and future response to pain [6]. Last, pain is associated with an increased anxiety and psychological discomfort; it also increases parental distress.

Therefore, the control of pain and stress in children is a vital component of emergency medical care [4]. In particular, timely administration of proper analgesic treatment can influence the outcomes of the medical procedure in the ED and can have a lasting effect on the perception of the child and his/her family about current and future medical care [1].

In Italy, Law 38/2010 is a precedent: the relief of pain is a child's "right to health". It is an ethical mandate to appropriately treat pain and suffering in pediatric patients [7]. However, pain is sometimes poorly managed

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s). 2020 **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

^{*} Correspondence: franca.benini@aopd.veneto.it

¹Paediatric Pain and Palliative Care Service, Department of Women's and Children's Health, University Hospital Padua, Via Giustiniani 3, 35126 Padua, Italy

in the ED [4, 8]. Barriers to the assessment and treatment of pain in children include a lack of knowledge regarding pain and its consequences on brain development, pain treatment, unfamiliarity with new products and techniques, fear of the adverse effects of the medication, personal values and beliefs, and lack of resources. Proper assessment of pain also has potential medical–legal implications.

Therefore, a joint effort of 17 Italian Scientific Societies (see acknowledgments) who are active in the field of pain management and pediatrics established the PIPER group (Pain in Paediatric Emergency Room), with the aim to reach a COnsensus on Paediatric Pain in the Emergency Room (COPPER). Here, we summarize the key findings of the COPPER project.

Methods

The COPPER project was independently established by the PIPER group in September 2018. A Study Group was established within the members of PIPER to review the literature and draft a consensus document and some statements regarding the management of pain in children admitted to the ED.

The PIPER group focused on five main fields: ethics and deontology, prevalence, pathogenesis, evaluation, measurement, and acute and procedural pain therapy. For each field, international and Italian national guidelines, metanalysis, systematic reviews, consensus documents and position papers published on PubMed, EMBASE and Cochrane Library in the last 10 years were reviewed; the Italian Health Department recommendations were also considered. The most relevant papers were selected for drafting the consensus document.

A total of 20 statements were prepared by the Study Group and evaluated by the Panel of Experts in December 2018. In this phase, the statements were improved, and the redundant parts were excluded or merged. The statement developed for the ethics, law and deontology field was considered to be a fundamental premise for the entire document and was excluded from the evaluation of consent since it related to well-defined national and international obligations.

In January 2019, a consensus meeting was held, and each statement was discussed, modified and evaluated collectively using a modified Delphi method, in line with similar efforts [9], which led to the approval of 11 statements. The approved statements were then corrected by the Study Group and reduced to 10: 1 on prevalence, 1 on pathogenesis, 2 on evaluation and measurement, 5 on therapy and 1 dedicated specifically to pain management in children with neurocognitive problems.

The 10 statements were then assessed by the Panel of Experts again using the modified Delphi method in April 2019. The definitive consensus document was submitted to the members of each Scientific Society and Association and was finally approved in May 2019.

Results

The COPPER panel agreed that access to pain care is a fundamental human right. Preventing and appropriately treating pain is an ethical, deontological and legal obligation to which all health professionals are bound, and which is prescribed by Law 38/2010 of the Italian Republic, the Code of Ethics of Nurses and in the Medical Code of Ethics. The 10 statements are reported in Table 1.

Conclusions

In the pediatric setting, management of pain in the ED – and even in common care – is a challenging exercise, due to the complexity of the pediatric patient, poor specific training of many physicians, and scant resources

Table 1 Management of pediatric pain in the ED and beyond: the 10 statements of the COPPER project

- 1. Pain is a frequent, fearful and harmful symptom in newborns, children and adolescents in all clinical conditions; it undermines health and is a source of anxiety and worry.
- 2. All children perceive pain; the younger the child, the higher the level of his/her perception and the consequent damage.
- 3. Pain must always be assessed and measured in children. Pain measurement must be carried out by validated tools adapted to age and setting; the data reported must be recorded on a medical chart.
- 4. Pain measurement must be always carried out at the first contact with the patient during medical examination, before discharge from the ED, whenever a child seems to be in pain or complains about pain, whenever the caregivers report the child being in pain and also in order to assess the efficacy of the planned analgesic treatment.
- 5. Pain must be always promptly treated using both nonpharmacological and pharmacological procedures.
- 6. The presence of caregivers in close contact with children is the mainstay of pain management and must be assured. The analgesic treatment recommended must always be communicated and shared with the child and/or with the relatives and caregivers using appropriate and effective communication tools.
- 7. All analgesic drugs must be chosen considering the type and intensity of the pain; they must be appropriately prescribed according to age, weight and clinical situation. The route of administration must be as noninvasive as possible and must be the most effective. In the event of insufficiently controlled pain, a rescue dose must be established.
- 8. Procedural pain must be always predicted. Any unnecessary procedure must be avoided.
- 9. When discharging a child treated for pain, caregivers should receive correct information regarding the management of potential recurrence and the timing of the medical check-up with general pediatrician/practitioner.
- 10. Pain in children with motor and/or cognitive impairment can be difficult to recognize. In these patients, pain must always be assessed and measured with specifically validated tools and must be treated according to an analgesic plan that considers the global situation of these patients, peculiarity of the causes of the pain and, if provided, of the current medication being taken.

[1]. A joint effort of several Italian Societies involved in pediatrics or in pain management has led to the definition of the PIPER group and the COPPER project. The COPPER project resulted in the definition of 10 fundamental statements, which will represent the basis for an actual real change in the correct management of children pain in the ED.

Abbreviations

COPPER: Consensus on Paediatric Pain in the Emergency Room; ED: Emergency department; PIPER: Pain in Paediatric Emergency Room

Acknowledgements

This work was made possible thanks to the support and cooperation of 17 Italian Scientific Societies (ACP, Associazione Culturale Pediatri: AIFOP Associazione Italiana di Ematologia e Oncologia Pediatrica; AOPI Associazione Ospedali Pediatrici Italiani; AMIETIP Accademia Medica Infermieristica di Emergenza e Terapia Intensiva Pediatrica; FIARPED Federazione Italiana delle Associazioni e Società Scientifiche dell'Area Pediatrica; FIASO Federazione Italiana Aziende Sanitarie e Ospedaliere; FISM Federazione delle Società Medico-Scientifiche Italiane; ONSP Osservatorio Nazionale Specializzandi Pediatria; SARNePI Società di Anestesia e Rianimazione Neonatale e Pediatrica Italiana; SIAARTI Società Italiana di Anestesia Analgesia Rianimazione e Terapia Intensiva; SIMEU Società Italiana di Medicina di Emergenza e Urgenza; SIMEUP Società Italiana di Medicina Emergenza Urgenza Pediatrica; SIN Società Italiana di Neonatologia; SIP Società Italiana di Pediatria; SIPO Società Italiana di Pediatria Ospedaliera; SIPPS Società Italiana di Pediatria Preventiva e Sociale; SIMGePed Società Italiana Malattie Genetiche Pediatriche e Disabilità). The work was supported by an unrestricted grant from Angelini. Editorial assistance was provided by Sara di Nunzio and Aashni Shah (Polistudium SRL, Milan, Italy). This assistance was supported by internal funds.

Authors' contributions

FB designed and coordinated the Project, reviewed the literature, participated in the drafting of the Consensus and to the preparation of the manuscript. IC, EC, DS, AL participated in the organization of the Project, reviewed the literature, participated in the drafting of the Consensus and critically revised the manuscript. LG contributed to organization of the Project and to preparation of the manuscript. AU participated in the organization and supervision of the Project and critically revised the manuscript. AA, GA, MA, MA, FB, RMC, EC, RC, ADM, GdM, AF, FF, MF, MG, PL, FM, LM, LM, AM, PP, NP, RR, ST, ET, LV and SZ participated in the organization of the Project, reviewed the literature, participated in the drafting of the Consensus and critically revised the manuscript. The authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

Not applicable.

Availability of data and materials

Not applicable

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details

¹Paediatric Pain and Palliative Care Service, Department of Women's and Children's Health, University Hospital Padua, Via Giustiniani 3, 35126 Padua, Italy. ²Department of Medical and Surgical Sciences, Unit of Paediatric Emergency, Sant'Orsola-Malpighi University Hospital, Bologna, Italy. ³Department of Paediatric Emergency, Regina Margherita Children's Hospital – A.O.U. Città della Salute e della Scienza di Torino, Turin, Italy. ⁴Department

of Paediatric Emergency and Critical Care, Azienda Ospedaliera Universitaria Integrata, Verona, Italy. ⁵Department of Paediatrics and Emergency, Giovanni XXIII Children's Hospital, A.O.U. Consorziale Policlinico – Giovanni XXIII, Bari, Italy. ⁶Polistudium SRL, Milan, Italy and Department of Surgical Sciences and Integrated Diagnostics, University of Genoa, Genoa, Italy. ⁷Accademia Medica Infermieristica di Emergenza e Terapia Intensiva Pediatrica (AMIETIP), Bologna, Italy. ⁸Società Italiana di Neonatologia (SIN), Milan, Italy. ⁹Società Italiana di Anestesia Analgesia Rianimazione e Terapia Intensiva (SIAARTI), Roma, Italy. ¹⁰Società di Anestesia e Rianimazione Neonatale e Pediatrica Italiana (SÁRNePI), Roma, Italy. 11 Società Italiana di Pediatria Preventiva e Sociale (SIPPS), Roma, Italy. ¹²Federazione Italiana delle Associazioni e Società Scientifiche dell'Area Pediatrica (FIARPED), Rome, Italy. ¹³Osservatorio Nazionale Specializzandi Pediatria (ONSP), Rome, Italy. ¹⁴Società Italiana di ${\sf Medicina\ Emergenza\ Urgenza\ Pediatrica\ (SIMEUP),\ Milan,\ Italy.}\ ^{15}{\sf Societ\`a}$ Italiana di Medicina di Emergenza ed Urgenza (SIMEU), Turin, Italy. ¹⁶Associazione Culturale Pediatri (ACP), Narbolia, Italy. ¹⁷Federazione delle Società Medico-Scientifiche Italiane (FISM), Milan, Italy. ¹⁸Associazione Italiana di Ematologia e Oncologia Pediatrica (AIEOP), Milan, İtaly. ¹⁹Società Italiana di Pediatria (SIP), Milan, Italy. ²⁰Associazione Ospedali Pediatrici Italiani (AOPI), Genova, Italy. ²¹Federazione Italiana Aziende Sanitarie e Ospedaliere (FIASO), Rome, Italy. ²²Società Italiana di Pediatria Ospedaliera (SIPO), Milan, Italy. ²³Società Italiana Malattie Genetiche Pediatriche e Disabilità (SIMGePed), Milan, Italy.

Received: 27 March 2020 Accepted: 23 June 2020 Published online: 23 July 2020

References

- Benini F, Fanelli G. Ministero della Salute. Il dolore nel bambino. Strumenti pratici di valutazione e terapia (Italian). Milano 2010, Value Relations International s.r.l. Editore.
- Zunino C, Notejane M, Bernadá M, Rodríguez L, Vanoli N, Rojas M, et al. Pain in children and adolescents hospitalized in a center of reference. Rev Chil Pediatr. 2018;89(1):67–73.
- Marchetti G, Vittori A, Tortora V, Bishop M, Lofino G, Pardi V, et al.
 Prevalence of pain in the departments of surgery and oncohematology of a
 paediatric hospital that has joined the project "towards pain free hospital".
 Clin Ter. 2016;167:156–60.
- Krauss BS, Calligaris L, Green SM, Barbi E. Current concepts in management of pain in children in the emergency department. Lancet. 2016;387(10013): 83-02
- Ganzijeva K, Kindereviciute I, Dagys A, Jankauskaite L. Evolution in acute pain assessment and treatment in the paediatric emergency department of a tertiary health care Centre. Eur J Pain. 2020;24(4):773–82.
- Ranger M, Grunau RE. Early repetitive pain in preterm infants in relation to the developing brain. Pain Manag. 2014;4:57–67.
- Law 38/2010. Available at: http://www.parlamento.it/parlam/leggi/10038l.
- Marzona F, Pedicini S, Passone E, Pusiol A, Cogo P. Mandatory pain assessment in a pediatric emergency department: failure or success?: a retrospective study. Clin J Pain. 2019;35(10):826–30.
- Fink EL, Jarvis JM, Maddux AB, Pinto N, Galyean P, Olson LM, et al. Development of a core outcome set for pediatric critical care outcomes research. Contemp Clin Trials 2020 5:105968. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cct. 2020.105968. [Epub ahead of print].

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.