Koerdt *et al. Head & Face Medicine* 2013, **9**:40 http://www.head-face-med.com/content/9/1/40

RESEARCH





Immunohistochemial study on the expression of von Willebrand factor (vWF) after onlay autogenous iliac grafts for lateral alveolar ridge augmentation

Steffen Koerdt^{1*}, Joerg Siebers¹, Wilhelm Bloch², Oliver Ristow³, Alexander C Kuebler¹ and Tobias Reuther¹

Abstract

Introduction: The main problems of autogenous bone transplants are their unpredictable atrophy and their loss of structure. One key factor lies in the poor revascularization of simple onlay grafts. The the aim of this study was to evaluate the revascularization processes in autogenous bone grafts from the iliac crest to the alveolar ridge.

Methods: In a sheep model, autogenous bone grafts were harvested from the iliac crest. A combination of a resorbable collagen membrane (CM) and deproteinized bovine bone material (DBBM) was used to modify the bone graft (experiment 2). This was compared with a simple onlay bone graft (control group, experiment 1). The amount of vessels in bone and connective tissue (CT), and the amount of CT were analyzed. The expression of von Willebrand factor (vWF) was compared between the two experimental groups using immunohistochemical analysis.

Results: The ratio of the amount of vessels in bone and CT changed over time, and more vessels could be detected in bone at 12–16 weeks of graft healing. The number of vessels were significantly higher in experiment 2 than in experiment 1. More CT was found in experiment 1, whereas the amount of CT in both experiments decreased over time.

Conclusion: This study shows a more intensive and extensive revascularization in experiment 2, as significantly more vessels were detected. The decreased amount of CT in experiment 2 clarifies its clinical superiority.

Keywords: GBR, Collagen membrane, Revascularization, Connective tissue, Bone graft, vWF

Introduction

Bone grafting is among the most frequently performed procedures with in oral surgery. Contiguous to their use in reconstruction of defects after tumor, trauma, or infections, bone transplants are also used for correcting syndromic defects and to create an adequate bone volume before the placement of dental implants. The replacement of bone as a support of the surrounding soft tissue is essential to ensure the functional and aesthetic rehabilitation of the patient. The gold standard is the transplantation of autogenous bone, which has the ability to maintain its osteogenic potential [1,2]. However,

BioMed Central

¹Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Plastic Surgery, University of

Wuerzburg, Pleicherwall 2, Wuerzburg D-97070, Germany

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© 2013 Koerdt et al.; licensee BioMed Central Ltd. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

^{*} Correspondence: steffen.koerdt@web.de

The concept of GTR was developed to prevent undesired cells from migrating into a defect using different kinds of barrier membranes. On the other hand, this allows certain desired cells to proliferate in the wound [15]. This concept was later employed in bone regeneration (guided bone regeneration, GBR) [16-20]. However, in clinical use, GBR does not always result in a predictable bone fill of the defect [17,20]. In experimental studies as well as in clinical use, a successful combination of onlay bone grafts with deproteinized bovine bone material (DBBM) has been reported [5,8]. Previous studies also showed the superiority of a combination of DBBM and GBR with the use of collagen membrane (CM). This current study adopts the results of Adeyemo et al. and attempts to put an emphasis on the revascularization procedures within the graft in the same experimental setting [3,4,21]. DBBMs in general, are considered to be biocompatible and osteoconductive; however, evidence about their biodegradability remains inconclusive [22,23].

One crucial factor for the successful incorporation of a transplant is its revascularization, as nutrients, gas, and undifferentiated mesenchymal cells are transported into the defect and bone regeneration through newly formed vessels is promoted [24,25]. Several studies described a close relation between new bone formation and revascularization [26,27]. Schmid et al. described, in a rabbit animal model, the close relation between the use of GBR and *de novo* extraskeletal bone formation when considering the effects of angiogenesis [25].

Only few reports on revascularization in bone grafts with and without GBR and DBBM are found in the literature. The aim of this study was to evaluate the different aspects of revascularization within the host site and the graft in a sheep model.

Material and methods

Twelve adult female sheep were used in this study (mean weight \pm standard deviation [SD], 73.6 \pm 8.6 kg; range. 63–90 kg). The medical ethics committee of the University of Cologne, Germany, and local authorities approved the research reported in this article (institutional review board registration no 50.203.2 K43, 36/01). Depending on the time of euthanasia, the animals were randomized into four groups of three animals each.

Anesthesia

After general anesthesia induction with 2% intravenous propofol, each animal was intubated. Anesthesia was maintained during the surgical procedure with (1) isoflurane, (2) oxygen, (3) propofol (2%), and (4) midazolam. In all animals, a perioperative antibiotic prophylaxis with penicillin-dihydrostreptomycin (aniMedica, Germany) was used for at least 3 days postoperatively. The animals also received analgesic treatment (Rimadyl; Pfizer, Germany) for 3 days after surgery, for pain management. At the end of surgery, anesthesia was terminated by the gradual wearing off of the effect of 2% propofol.

Harvesting and transplantation of Iliac bone graft

All experimental procedures were performed by one of the investigators following a standardized protocol. Under general anesthesia, for all experiments performed, a bicortical bone graft $(2.0 \times 2.0 \times 1.5 \text{ cm})$ was harvested from the iliac bone of each sheep. The harvested corticocancellous graft was divided into two equal sizes $(1.0 \times 2.0 \times 1.5 \text{ cm})$, followed by splitting into two monocortical grafts each $(1.0 \times 2.0 \times 0.75 \text{ cm}, \text{Figure 1})$. For all experiments the lateral surface of the mandible was carefully exposed through an extraoral surgical approach without perforation to the oral cavity in order to avoid excessive damage to the internal structures of the mandible (Figure 1). Each sheep received the experimental grafts on the lateral surface of the mandible (Figure 1). Surgical wounds were then closed in layers with interrupted resorbable sutures (Vicryl[®] 2.0; Ethicon, Norderstedt, Germany). All procedures performed in a strictly aseptic environment.

Experiment 1

The cancellous bone portion of the graft was placed tightly against the mandibular cortical bone and fixed with two titanium screws. The screws were inserted into the graft until the undersurface of the screw heads came into contact with the outer surface of the graft.

Experiment 2

The cancellous bone portion of the graft was placed tightly against the mandibular cortical bone and fixed with two titanium screws. In addition, Bio-Oss^{\circ} spongiosa granules (0.25 - 1.0 mm) (Geistlich Pharma AG, Switzerland), moistened with blood, were applied around the graft and contoured well. The recipient site and the graft covered with Bio-Oss^{\circ} were then covered with a Bio-Gide^{\circ} CM (Geistlich Pharma AG, Switzerland) fixed in place with microscrews.

Harvesting and preparation of the specimens

Under general anesthesia, three sheep each were killed at 4, 8, 12, or 16 weeks after grafting by using an overdose (40 mL) of Narcoren (Merial, Germany). In addition, 6–7 mL of heparin sodium (Aventis, Germany) was injected intravenously to prevent blood coagulation after death. The grafts were exposed and harvested en bloc. Specimens consisted of the (1) bone graft, (2) overlying soft tissue, and (3) the underlying mandibular cortical bone. The formalin-fixed specimens were



decalcified with 10% CH_2O_2 for 4–5 weeks depending on the volume of the specimen. After dehydration the specimens were embedded in paraffin wax. For analysis, 10 – µm sections were cut from the paraffin-embedded tissue samples. (Microtome Leica RM 2255).

Immunohistochemical staining was performed according to local standard protocols. The specimens were deparaffinized in xylene and rehydrated in a graded alcohol series. After washing with 0.05 M Tris-buffered saline (TBS) and treatment of the specimens with CH_3OH and H_2O_2 , to block endogenous peroxidase and to avoid false-positive results, the samples were treated with ammonium chloride and Triton X (Schwarz/Mann Biotech, USA) in TBS to increase the permeability of the cell walls. Incubation with 5% bovine serum antibody (PAA Laboratories, UK) was followed by treatment with a primary antibody at a pretested concentration (1:200). After incubation with the primary antibody for 24 h at 4°C, incubation with the secondary antibody for 60 min, and washing with TBS, streptavidin biotinylated horseradish peroxidase complex (Amersham Biosciences, Germany) was added. For color development, a diaminobenzidine solution (Sigma-Aldrich, USA) was used. Color development was monitored and standardized for all stainings with the specific antibody.



The specimens were photographed under magnification (Leitz DM RBE/RD [Leica], Camedia C-4040Zoom [Olympus]) and divided into certain regions of interest (ROI) – (1) bone graft, (2) recipient site, and (3) transfer zone – that were used for the analysis. Figure 2 shows the different ROIs within an unstained histological photomicrograph.

All photomicrographs were closely studied and (1) osteocytes (OCy), (2) osteoblasts (OB), and (3) osteoclasts (OC) were identified as shown in Figure 3.

To illustrate the revascularization processes vessels in connective tissue (CT) and bone were analyzed in the ROI "bone graft". Moreover, the amount of CT was evaluated. High-power fields with $200 \times$ magnification were used for analysis. The density of vessels in CT and bone was assessed using a three-level scale: 0 = none, 1 = weak, 2 = moderate, and 3 = strong/dense. The amount of CT was analyzed according to the scale mentioned above.

The positivity (POS) of the immunostaining was assessed using a three-level scale in which negative to 0 indicated 0–5% positive cells, 1 indicated >5–20% positive cells, 2 indicated >20–50% positive cells, and 3 indicated >50–100% positive cells. Intensity (I) was graded as follows: 0 = none, + = weak, ++ = moderate, and +++ = strong/intense. For all mean values, the immunoreactive score was calculated as follows: IRS = $[(I_{Investigator1} + I_{Investigator2})/2] \times [(POS_{Investigator1} + POS_{Investigator2})/2]; minimum value = 0; maximum value = 9 [28].$

All specimens were evaluated by two independent and specially trained investigators (S.K. and J.S.) who were blinded at the time of analysis.

Data analysis

Because of the small sample size, specimens from 4 and 8 weeks after augmentation were classified as measure-

ment 1 (M1) samples; all specimens from 12 and 16 weeks after the operation were classified as measurement (M2) samples.

All data were analyzed using SPSS for Mac (version 17.0; SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA). Means and SDs were calculated, and tests of significance were performed. For normally distributed values, the t-test was performed. For values non-normally distributed values the Mann–Whitney-test was used. Before the t-test, the Levene's test was used to assess the equality of the samples. The statistical significance was adapted to multiple testing. Statistical significance was defined as $\alpha = 0.05$.

Results

In experiment 2, infection occured in two animals during measurement 1. These were not included in the analysis.

Vessels in CT and bone

Overall, the amount of vessels in the two experimental groups was at a similar level during measurement 1. Table 1 summarizes the findings after 4–8 weeks of graft healing. Considering the two measurements over time, the amount of vessels in experimental group 1 decreased, whereas it stayed almost constant in experiment 2 with GBR and DBBM as described in Table 2. 4–8 weeks after transplantation of the bone graft more vessels could be found in CT than in bone. At measurement 2, after a postoperative period of 12–16 weeks, this situation changed and more vessels were detected in bone. Figure 4 shows the amount of vessels in CT and bone according to the different measurements over time and experimental groups. In statistical analysis the difference between the amounts of vessels in CT in



	Experiment	N ^a	Mean	Standard-deviation
Number of vessels in bone	1	93	1.457	0.758
	2	23	1.565	0.870
Number of vessels in connective tissue	1	94	1.360	0.571
	2	23	1.332	0.534

Table 1 Mean values and standard deviations of the three-level score evaluating the amount of vessels in connective tissue (CT) and bone at measurement 1

^aN = number of specimens.

experiment 1 at both measurements was highly significant (1.46 \pm 0.76 vs. 0.69 \pm 0.65; p < 0.001). The amount of vessels in bone in experiment 2 at both measurements was increased (1.75 \pm 0.60 vs. 1.33 \pm 0.53; p < 0.05). After 12–16 weeks of incorporation of the bone grafts, vessels were statistically significantly increased in CT and bone (0.69 \pm 0.65 vs. 1.10 \pm 0.74; 1.75 \pm 0.60 vs. 1.40 \pm 0.65; all p < 0.05).

Amount of CT

The combination of GBR and the use of DBBM in bone transplants as in experiment 2 showed, at both measurements, a lower rate of CT within the bone graft compared with experiment 1 (simple onlay bone graft). This difference was not statistically significant at any measurement (2.63 \pm 0.56 vs. 2.52 \pm 0.54; 2.08 \pm 0.67 vs. 1.98 \pm 0.67; all *p* > 0.05). In both experimental groups, a decreasing amount of CT over time was observed, as shown in Figure 5. The descriptive statistics can be found in Table 3. The increased amount of CT in simple onlay bone grafts at measurement 1 compared with measurement 2 is statistically highly significant (2.52 \pm 0.54 vs. 1.98 \pm 0.67; *p* < 0.001). The decreased expression of CT over time in experiment 2 also shows statistical significance in data analysis (2.62 \pm 0.56 vs. 2.08 \pm 0.67; p < 0.05).

Immunohistochemical staining for vWF

Figure 6 shows the expression of vWF for experiments 1 and 2. An increased expression could be observed within the ROI "recipient site" (4.32 ± 2.71 vs. 3.06 ± 2.11; p = 0.79). Taking the two measurements over time into consideration, both experimental groups showed a statistically significantly higher expression at M1 (3.96 ± 2.46)

vs. 2.84 \pm 2.14; p < 0.05). Experiment 1 shows a higher overall expression of vWF than experimental group 2 (3.68 \pm 2.44 vs. 2.74 \pm 1.96; p = 0.22).

Discussion

The unpredictable resorption and structural loss of integrity of simple onlay bone grafts in alveolar ridge augmentation procedures is still a limiting factor in their clinical use [8-12,29-31]. Resorption occurs especially within the first year after transplantation [32]. For periods from 6 to 18 months after the procedure, a loss in strength from up to 33% in cortical bone grafts is reported [33].

De Marco and colleagues conducted a study in rats that compared bone grafts covered with an expanded polytetrafluoroethylene (e-PTFE) membrane and simple autogenous onlay grafts [30]. Histomorphologic analysis was done 3, 7, 14, and 21 days after surgery. Revascularization occurred in both experimental groups, although the authors were able to show that vascular sprouts entered the graft from the host side as well as from the surrounding CT in the experimental group with the e-PTFE membrane covered graft.

Adeyemo et al. were able to show the clinical advantages of the use of DBBM in combination with GBR [4]. They illustrated that recipient bed perforation did not show any advantage over nonperforation; however, they reported that retention of the overlying periosteum resulted in better volume maintenance of the bone graft [3].

The present study compared autogenous bone grafts simple onlay grafts to the mandible and onlay bone grafts combined with GBR and DBBM—with special attention to revascularization, by means of histomorphological and immunohistochemical analysis.

Table 2 Mean values and standard deviations of the three-level score evaluating the amount of vessels in connective tissue (CT) and bone at measurement 2

	Experiment	N ^a	Mean	Standard-deviation
Number of vessels in bone	1	64	0.688	0.652
	2	15	1.100	0.737
Number of vessels in connective tissue	1	81	1.413	0.646
	2	18	1.750	0.600

^aN = number of specimens.



The use of GBR in mandibular augmentation procedures results in reduced resorption, less atrophy and better incorporation of the bone graft [7,10-12,34,35]. The concept of GTR/GBR is based on the assumption that cells migrate into a defect at different rates: epithelial and connective tissue both migrate at a faster rate, whereas bone-forming cells migrate at a slower rate. GTR/GBR adopts this concept and regulates the proliferation of different cell types within the graft by means of membranes, preventing fast migrating cells from entering the defect area and allowing the slower migrating bone-forming cells to multiply [10-12]. DBBMs, such as Bio-Oss[®], are used in the clinic to support bone grafts in cases of insufficient bone volume at the host side. Especially the combination of autogenous bone and DBBM results in accelerated *de novo* bone



Table 3 Mean values and standard deviations of the three-level score evaluating the amount of connective tissue (CT) according to the different experiments and measurements

Measurement		N ^a	Mean	Standard-deviation
1	Experiment 1	95	2.521	0.536
	Experiment 2	24	2.625	0.556
2	Experiment 1	79	1.976	0.674
	Experiment 2	18	2.083	0.669

^aN = number of specimens.

formation in osseous defects, as shown by Thorwarth et al. in a porcine model [36]. The authors assume this accelerating effect on bone formation to be due to the osteoconductive properties of cellular elements transplanted with autogenous bone in combination with DBBM.

Other study groups such as Galindo-Moreno and colleagues were able to show the advantages of DBBM in combination with autogenous bone grafts in maxillary sinus augmentation procedures in a clinical study model [37].

In this study, the healing period was extended up to 16 weeks after surgery compared with previous studies [29,30].

Considering the amount of vessels in CT and bone, this study was able to show that the amount of vessels was at a constant level over time in experiment 2, in which DBBM and GBR were used to improve the incorporation of the graft. This is incongruent with result of De Marco and associates, who described a more intensive and more extensive revascularization in the experimental group in which onlay bone grafts alone were used [30]. Moreover, the amount of vessels in CT was higher than in bone at measurement 1 and this ratio was reversed after a healing period of 12–16 weeks. De Marco et al. showed that angiogenesis primarily occurred from CT to the graft. Considering that we extended the healing period up to 16 weeks, whereas De Marco and colleagues focused on the first 3 weeks after augmentation, these findings seem to be approximately equivalent.

We found a statistically significant decrease in the amount of CT within the bone graft over time in both experimental groups. Two different reasons for the reduced amount of CT in the different groups seem possible. In experiment 1, which showed a higher rate of resorption and atrophy in the clinical setting, CT and bone both decreased simultaneously because of inferior healing conditions [3,4,21]. For experimental group 2, an initial competition between regeneration of bone and the in-growing CT at measurement 1 seems a reasonable postulate. During the surveillance period of 16 postoperative weeks, revascularization processes in the bone graft seem to account for the decreased amount of CT, which is being replaced by newly formed bone.

In the current study, vWF as a marker protein of the endothelium was used for the immunohistochemical study of angiogenesis. VWF (factor VIII-related antigen), synthesized by endothelial cells and megakaryocvytes is a glycoprotein, that mediates platelet adhesion and stabilizes factor VIII at sites of vascular injury [38]. Moreover



vWF can also be detected in thrombocytes. Although it is commonly used as an immunohistochemical marker for endothelial cells, stainings for other specific endothelial markers such as CD31, CD34, and Fli-1 should be performed in future studies to verify the current results [39]. Nevertheless, the increased expression in the ROI "recipient site" emphasizes the pattern of revascularization from the host site to the bone graft.

The biocompatibility of DBBMs such as Bio-Oss^{*} has been shown in previous studies [40]. However, the two cases of infection in this study occured in experiment 2 with the use of a DBBM cover. DBBM is a xenograft material and foreign to the body. Although it is deproteinized, others report about low levels of osteoinductive or immunogenic proteins within the spongiosa granules [41,42]. In this context, an intense and prolonged immune response seems to be possible. As DBBMs are resorbed slowly, the potential of infection should not be underestimated. These effects have to be studied in long-term follow-up clinical trials.

Taking the results of this study and previous studies into consideration, it seems that the use of a CM in combination with DBBM in autogenous bone grafts provides benefits for bone tissue regeneration in terms of revascularization, reduced resorption and less atrophy of the graft compared with simple onlay bone grafts [3,4,21]. The fact that angiogenesis precedes osteogenesis and that newly formed bone is always found in close relation with newly formed vessels, indicates the close connection of angiogenesis and osteogenesis [43]. If this is true only because of the protective effects of GBR or if, and how much, the potential angiogenetic properties of DBBM also promote this effect has to be investigated in further studies.

Conclusion

This study shows more intensive and extensive revascularization in onlay autogenous iliac grafts for lateral alveolar ridge augmentation with the use of GBR and DBBM compared with simple onlay bone grafts.

Competing interests

The authors declare no conflict of interests.

Authors' contributions

SK, JS, WB, ACK and TR conceived of the study and participated in its design and coordination. OR made substantial contributions to conception and design of the manuscript as well as statistical analysis. SK, JS, and OR have been involved in drafting the manuscript. WB, ACK and TR were involved in revising the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

This publication was funded by the German Research Foundation (DFG) and the University of Wuerzburg in the funding program Open Access Publishing.

Author details

¹Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Plastic Surgery, University of Wuerzburg, Pleicherwall 2, Wuerzburg D-97070, Germany. ²Department of Molecular and Cellular Sport Medicine, German Sport University, Am Sportpark Muengersdorf 6, Cologne D-50933, Germany. ³Medicine & Aesthetics, Clinic for Oral, Maxillofacial and Plastical Surgery, Lenbachplatz 2a, Munich D-80333, Germany.

Received: 5 August 2013 Accepted: 3 December 2013 Published: 11 December 2013

References

- 1. Bauer TW, Muschler GF: Bone graft materials. An overview of the basic science. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 2000(371):10–27.
- Khan SN, Cammisa FP Jr, Sandhu HS, Diwan AD, Girardi FP, Lane JM: The biology of bone grafting. J Am Acad Orthop Surg 2005, 13:77–86.
- Adeyemo WL, Reuther T, Bloch W, Korkmaz Y, Fischer JH, Zoller JE, Kuebler AC: Influence of host periosteum and recipient bed perforation on the healing of onlay mandibular bone graft: an experimental pilot study in the sheep. Oral Maxillofac Surg 2008, 12:19–28.
- Adeyemo WL, Reuther T, Bloch W, Korkmaz Y, Fischer JH, Zoller JE, Kuebler AC: Healing of onlay mandibular bone grafts covered with collagen membrane or bovine bone substitutes: a microscopical and immunohistochemical study in the sheep. Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2008, 37:651–659.
- Maiorana C, Beretta M, Salina S, Santoro F: Reduction of autogenous bone graft resorption by means of bio-oss coverage: a prospective study. Int J Periodontics Restorative Dent 2005, 25:19–25.
- Phillips JH, Rahn BA: Fixation effects on membranous and endochondral onlay bone-graft resorption. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 1988, 82:872–877.
- Alberius P, Dahlin C, Linde A: Role of osteopromotion in experimental bone grafting to the skull: a study in adult rats using a membrane technique. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 1992, 50:829–834.
- Araujo MG, Sonohara M, Hayacibara R, Cardaropoli G, Lindhe J: Lateral ridge augmentation by the use of grafts comprised of autologous bone or a biomaterial. An experiment in the dog. J Clin Periodontol 2002, 29:1122–1131.
- Dongieux JW, Block MS, Morris G, Gardiner D, Dean K: The effect of different membranes on onlay bone graft success in the dog mandible. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod 1998, 86:145–151.
- 10. Donos N, Kostopoulos L, Karring T: Augmentation of the rat jaw with autogeneic cortico-cancellous bone grafts and guided tissue regeneration. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 2002, **13:**192–202.
- Donos N, Kostopoulos L, Karring T: Alveolar ridge augmentation using a resorbable copolymer membrane and autogenous bone grafts. An experimental study in the rat. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 2002, 13:203–213.
- 12. Donos N, Kostopoulos L, Karring T: Augmentation of the mandible with GTR and onlay cortical bone grafting. An experimental study in the rat. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 2002, **13**:175–184.
- Sahni M, Guenther HL, Fleisch H, Collin P, Martin TJ: Bisphosphonates act on rat bone resorption through the mediation of osteoblasts. J Clin Invest 1993, 91:2004–2011.
- Schlegel KA, Fichtner G, Schultze-Mosgau S, Wiltfang J: Histologic findings in sinus augmentation with autogenous bone chips versus a bovine bone substitute. Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants 2003, 18:53–58.
- Karring T, Nyman S, Gottlow J, Laurell L: Development of the biological concept of guided tissue regeneration–animal and human studies. *Periodontol* 1993, 1:26–35.
- Dahlin C, Alberius P, Linde A: Osteopromotion for cranioplasty. An experimental study in rats using a membrane technique. J Neurosurg 1991, 74:487–491.
- Jovanovic SA, Spiekermann H, Richter EJ: Bone regeneration around titanium dental implants in dehisced defect sites: a clinical study. Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants 1992, 7:233–245.
- Kostopoulos L, Karring T: Guided bone regeneration in mandibular defects in rats using a bioresorbable polymer. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 1994, 5:66–74.
- Lundgren D, Lundgren AK, Sennerby L, Nyman S: Augmentation of intramembraneous bone beyond the skeletal envelope using an occlusive titanium barrier. An experimental study in the rabbit. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 1995, 6:67–72.

- 20. Simion M, Trisi P, Piattelli A: Vertical ridge augmentation using a membrane technique associated with osseointegrated implants. Int J Periodontics Restorative Dent 1994, 14:496–511.
- Koerdt S, Ristow O, Wannhoff A, Kubler AC, Reuther T: Expression of growth factors during the healing process of alveolar ridge augmentation procedures using autogenous bone grafts in combination with GTR and an anorganic bovine bone substitute: An immunohistochemical study in the sheep. *Clin oral investig* 2013 [Epub ahead of print].
- 22. Guillemin G, Patat JL, Fournie J, Chetail M: The use of coral as a bone graft substitute. J Biomed Mater Res 1987, 21:557–567.
- 23. Holmes RE: Bone regeneration within a coralline hydroxyapatite implant. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 1979, **63:**626–633.
- Hammerle CH, Schmid J, Lang NP, Olah AJ: Temporal dynamics of healing in rabbit cranial defects using guided bone regeneration. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 1995, 53:167–174.
- 25. Schmid J, Wallkamm B, Hammerle CH, Gogolewski S, Lang NP: The significance of angiogenesis in guided bone regeneration. A case report of a rabbit experiment. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 1997, **8**:244–248.
- Winet H: The role of microvasculature in normal and perturbed bone healing as revealed by intravital microscopy. *Bone* 1996, 19:395–575.
- 27. Glowacki J: Angiogenesis in fracture repair. Clin Orthop Relat Res 1998(355 Suppl):S82–89.
- Remmele W, Schicketanz KH: Immunohistochemical determination of estrogen and progesterone receptor content in human breast cancer. Computer-assisted image analysis (QIC score) vs. subjective grading (IRS). Pathol res pract 1993, 189:862–866.
- Jardini MA, De Marco AC, Lima LA: Early healing pattern of autogenous bone grafts with and without e-PTFE membranes: a histomorphometric study in rats. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod 2005, 100:666–673.
- De Marco AC, Jardini MA, Lima LP: Revascularization of autogenous block grafts with or without an e-PTFE membrane. Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants 2005, 20:867–874.
- Fonseca RJ, Clark PJ, Burkes EJ Jr, Baker RD: Revascularization and healing of onlay particulate autologous bone grafts in primates. J Oral Surg 1980, 38:572–577.
- Verhoeven JW, Ruijter J, Cune MS, Terlou M, Zoon M: Onlay grafts in combination with endosseous implants in severe mandibular atrophy: one year results of a prospective, quantitative radiological study. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 2000, 11:583–594.
- Enneking WF, Burchardt H, Puhl JJ, Piotrowski G: Physical and biological aspects of repair in dog cortical-bone transplants. J Bone Joint Surg Am 1975, 57:237–252.
- Jensen OT, Greer RO Jr, Johnson L, Kassebaum D: Vertical guided bone-graft augmentation in a new canine mandibular model. Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants 1995, 10:335–344.
- Lundgren AK, Lundgren D, Sennerby L, Taylor A, Gottlow J, Nyman S: Augmentation of skull bone using a bioresorbable barrier supported by autologous bone grafts. An intra-individual study in the rabbit. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 1997, 8:90–95.
- Thorwarth M, Schlegel KA, Wehrhan F, Srour S, Schultze-Mosgau S: Acceleration of de novo bone formation following application of autogenous bone to particulated anorganic bovine material in vivo. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod 2006, 101:309–316.
- Galindo Moreno P, Moreno Riestra I, Avila G, Padial Molina M, Paya JA, Wang HL, O'Valle F: Effect of anorganic bovine bone to autogenous cortical bone ratio upon bone remodeling patterns following maxillary sinus augmentation. *Clin Oral Implants Res*, 22(8):857–864. 10.1111/j.1600-0501.2010.02073.x. Epub 2011 Jan 18.
- Alles JU, Bosslet K: Immunocytochemistry of angiosarcomas. A study of 19 cases with special emphasis on the applicability of endothelial cell specific markers to routinely prepared tissues. Am j clin pathol 1988, 89:463–471.
- Pusztaszeri MP, Seelentag W, Bosman FT: Immunohistochemical expression of endothelial markers CD31, CD34, von Willebrand factor, and Fli-1 in normal human tissues. *j histochem cytochem off j Histochem* Soc 2006, 54:385–395.
- 40. Wanschitz F, Nell A, Patruta S, Wagner A, Ewers R: Influence of three currently used bone replacing materials on the in vitro proliferation of

human peripheral blood mononuclear cells. Clin Oral Implants Res 2005, 16:570–574.

- Schwartz Z, Weesner T, van Dijk S, Cochran DL, Mellonig JT, Lohmann CH, Carnes DL, Goldstein M, Dean DD, Boyan BD: Ability of deproteinized cancellous bovine bone to induce new bone formation. *J Periodontol* 2000, 71:1258–1269.
- Taylor JC, Cuff SE, Leger JP, Morra A, Anderson GI: In vitro osteoclast resorption of bone substitute biomaterials used for implant site augmentation: a pilot study. Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants 2002, 17:321–330.
- Degidi M, Artese L, Rubini C, Perrotti V, lezzi G, Piattelli A: Microvessel density and vascular endothelial growth factor expression in sinus augmentation using Bio-Oss. Oral dis 2006, 12:469–475.

doi:10.1186/1746-160X-9-40

Cite this article as: Koerdt *et al.*: Immunohistochemial study on the expression of von Willebrand factor (vWF) after onlay autogenous iliac grafts for lateral alveolar ridge augmentation. *Head & Face Medicine* 2013 **9**:40.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

) BioMed Central

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit