# The NEST neuronal network simulator: Performance optimization techniques for high performance computing platforms **Alexander Peyser<sup>†</sup> and Wolfram Schenck<sup>†‡</sup>**

<sup>†</sup>Simulation Lab Neuroscience – Bernstein Facility Simulation and Database Technology, Institute for Advanced Simulation, Jülich Aachen Research Alliance, Forschungszentrum Jülich, 52425 Jülich | Germany a.peyser@fz-juelich.de

#### Abstract

NEST (http://www.nest-initiative.org) is a spiking neural network simulator used in computational neuroscience to simulate interaction dynamics between neurons. It runs small networks on local machines and large brain-scale networks on the world's leading supercomputers. To reach both of these scales, NEST is hybrid-parallel, using OpenMP for shared memory parallelism and MPI to handle distributed memory parallelism. To extend simulations from short runs of 10<sup>9</sup> neurons toward long runs of 10<sup>11</sup> neurons, increased performance is essential. That performance goal can only be achieved through a feedback loop between modeling of the software, profiling to identify bottlenecks, and improvement to the code-base.

HPCToolkit and SCORE-P toolkit were used to profile performance for a standard benchmark, the balanced Brunel network. We have additionally developed a performance model of the simulation stage of neural dynamics after network initialization and proxy code used to reduce the resources required to model production runs. We have pursued a semiempirical approach by specifying a theoretical model with free parameters specified by fitting the model to empirical data. Thus we can extrapolate the scaling efficiency of NEST and by comparing components, identify algorithmic bottlenecks and performance issues which only show up at large simulation sizes.

Performance issues identified include: 1) buffering of random number generation lead to extended wait times at MPI barriers; and 2) inefficiencies in the construction of time stamps consumed inordinate computational resources during spike delivery. Feature 1 appears primarily for smaller simulations, while feature 2 is only apparent at the current limit of neural networks on the largest supercomputing and can only be identified through the use of profiling in light of clear computing models. By improving the underlying code, NEST performance has been significantly improved (on the order of 25% for each feature) and we have improved weak-scaling for simulations at HPC scales.

### **Neural Simulation Tool**

- NEST [1, 2] is developed by the "NEST Initiative", an international non-profit organization
- Scales from notebooks to super-computers
- Implemented in C++ using hybrid parallelism (MPI+OpenMP)
- Simulations are programmable in Python and SLI



## Understanding performance

The scale of biological problems that can be investigated via a simulator is constrained by the performance of the software on the available hardware. Performance enhancement leads to the capability to ask new scientific questions. To improve software in an evidencedirected way, several approaches are available:

"Real" Simulation Full simulations can be instrumented with tools such as HPC Toolkit and SCORE-P to collect live data. Resource intensive Dry-run mode NEST can be run on a single rank with simulated communications to

predict the results of a full simulation Theoretical Model A theoretical model of NEST has been developed to predict the impact of changes to code, and to interpret the sources of performance seen from empirical measurements [3]

<sup>‡</sup>Department of Engineering Sciences and Mathematics University of Applied Sciences Bielefeld **Bielefeld**, Germany wolfram.schenck@fh-bielefeld.de

### Structure of a NEST simulation



Measured and modeled data on this poster refer to the the post-initialization stages of the simulation

Thread 0	Thread 1
$v = 0 \cdot M + r$	$v = 1 \cdot M + r$
g = v + 0 * MT	g = v + 0 * MT
g = v + 1 * MT	g = v + 1 * MT
g = v + 2 * MT	g = v + 2 * MT
$\begin{array}{c} \dots \\ g = v + n * MT \end{array}$	g = v + n * MT
$\boxed{\begin{array}{c} \dots \\ g = v + N_M * M \end{array}}$	$g = v + N_M * M$

hat occur within a time window are collected t Neurons are grouped in virtual processes (threads) which act in parallel.

Initialization can include a significant "network construction phase

#### Theoretical model of simulation stage

Variab	les:
М	Number of MPI processes
Т	Number of threads / process
$N_M$	Memory fill factor (neurons per process)
N	Total number of neurons
F	Spike frequency / neuron
<b>F</b> <sub>STDP</sub>	Facilitation frequency / STDP synapse
K	Total number of incoming synapses / neuron
K <sub>STDP</sub>	Number of incoming STDP synapses / neuron
	Estimated Time: $\hat{t} = W_0 \cdot N/MT$
	$+ W_1 \cdot F_{STDP} \cdot K_{STDP} \cdot N/M^2$
	$+ W_2 \cdot MT$
	$+ W_4 \cdot MT$
	$+ \mathit{W}_6 \cdot \mathit{FNT}$
	$+ W_7 \cdot FN$
	$+ \textit{W}_8 \cdot \textit{p}_{\textit{rel}} \cdot \textit{FN}$
	Updated terms for

opualeu lernis iui  $W_2 \cdot W_3 \cdot M$ next generation NEST:  $W_4 \cdot W_5 \cdot M$ 

### Dry-run model



For dry-run mode, NEST is run on a single node with global communications either replaced with a fixed spike rate F or by a dynamic firing rate determined by  $\eta$ , which matches well with full simulations (left). Initially, poor weak scaling was measured for spike and thread collection terms (right)

#### Premature optimization

random

Time stamps Time objects cached various formats (integer steps, integer tics & floating point time...) which in practice reduced performance on the order of 25%. Buffering for each rank lead to intermittent load imbalance which grows as a function of network size. Random sequences would be initially buffered for use on numbers demands, and when this entropy pool was depleted, it would be refilled on a per-rank basis.









- $p_{rel} = 1 \exp(-K/MT)$  $N = N_M \cdot M \cdot 11250$  $K = K_{scale} \cdot 11250$
- Free parameters: Empirical fitting:  $W_0 \dots W_8$
- Neuron update Synapse update Buffer Jumps Main delivery loop Thread collisions
- All spikes **Relevant spikes**
- Buffer Jumps (from *MT*) Main loop overhead (from *MT*)



#### Time stamp change



#### Random number buffering



A simulation of a reduced visual cortex model [4] was benchmarked with SCORE-P [5] and visualized with Vampir [6]. The simulation was composed of 16 areas with 80000 neurons each distributed over 32 compute nodes on JUQUEEN [7] (256 VPs). Brown: spike routing, cyan: OMP\_SYNC, red: MPI communications.

The light pink is random number buffer refills which produce large wait times for non-refilling threads. By turning off buffering and producing random numbers on demand, this load imbalance is eliminated (bottom Vampir image), reducing runtime of the simulation stage from 216 s to 160 s. For smaller simulations with less spike routing, the effective reduction can be larger.

### Scaling



#### Acknowledgments & bibliography

- [1] Markus Diesmann and Marc-Oliver Gewaltig. NEST: An environment for neural systems simulations. 58:43–70, 2001
- SC14. New Orleans. USA, 16 Nov 2014 21 Nov 2014. Extended Poster Abstract.
- Abstract
- http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-68564-7\_9.
- [7] Dirk Brömmel, Estela Suarez, Boris Orth, Stephan Graf, Ulrich Detert, Dirk Pleiter, Michael Stephan, and Thomas Lippert. Paving the road towards pre-exascale supercomputing. In NIC Symposium 2014, number FZJ-2014-01327. Jülich Supercomputing Center, 2014.

The time stamp object was originally composed of an integer 'tics' (minimum time unit), a double floating point time in milliseconds, and the number of update steps of the time unit. The class was simplified to only contain tics, and at the central dispatch loop, the tics are now passed without a class wrapper.

Weak scaling improvements for Balanced Brunel network after current improvements and potential future improvements for next generation NEST (left). A combination of software implementation improvements shown here developed through HPC debugging tools and further software architectures improvements through performance modeling

has lead to improvements seen at all scales, but particularly at the larger scales currently becoming common in neuroscience.



This work was funded by the Helmholtz Association through the Portfolio Theme "Supercomputing and Modeling for the Human Brain".

[2] NEST Initiative. NEST (Neural Simulation Tool). Software, 2014. URL https://github.com/nest/nest-simulator.

[3] W. Schenck, A. Adinets, Y. Zaytsev, D. Pleiter, and A. Morrison. Performance model for large-scale neural simulations with nest. In Supercomputing 2014,

[4] Maximilian Schmidt, Sacha van Albada, Rembrandt Bakker, and Markus Diesmann. Integrating multi-scale data for a network model of macaque visual cortex. BMC Neuroscience, 14(Suppl 1):P111-P111, July 2013. ISSN 1471-2202. URL http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3704432/.

[5] Andreas Knüpfer, Christian Rössel, Dieteran Mey, Scott Biersdorff, Kai Diethelm, Dominic Eschweiler, Markus Geimer, Michael Gerndt, Daniel Lorenz, Allen Malony, WolfgangE. Nagel, Yury Oleynik, Peter Philippen, Pavel Saviankou, Dirk Schmidl, Sameer Shende, Ronny Tschüter, Michael Wagner, Bert Wesarg, and Felix Wolf. Score-p: A joint performance measurement run-time infrastructure for periscope, scalasca, tau, and vampir. In Holger Brunst, Matthias S. Müller, Wolfgang E. Nagel, and Michael M. Resch, editors, Tools for High Performance Computing 2011, pages 79–91. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2012. ISBN 978-3-642-31475-9. doi: 10.1007/978-3-642-31476-6\_7. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-31476-6\_7. [6] Andreas Knüpfer, Holger Brunst, Jens Doleschal, Matthias Jurenz, Matthias Lieber, Holger Mickler, MatthiasS. Müller, and WolfgangE. Nagel. The vampir performance analysis tool-set. In Michael Resch, Rainer Keller, Valentin Himmler, Bettina Krammer, and Alexander Schulz, editors, Tools for

High Performance Computing, pages 139–155. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2008. ISBN 978-3-540-68561-6. doi: 10.1007/978-3-540-68564-7\_9. URL