

# Dry deposition of molecular hydrogen in the presence of H<sub>2</sub> production

By DIETER H. EHHALT and FRANZ ROHRER\*, *Forschungszentrum Jülich, Institut IEK-8: Troposphäre, 52425 Jülich, Germany*

(Manuscript received 13 February 2013; in final form 13 April 2013)

In a recent paper, we derived an analytical expression for the deposition velocity,  $v_d$ , of molecular hydrogen on soil that includes the action of a dry top soil layer without H<sub>2</sub> removal (Ehhalt and Rohrer, 2013). This expression is based on the solution of the vertical diffusion equation in a two-layer model and takes the following form:

$$v_d = \frac{1}{\frac{\delta}{D_{S,I}} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{D_{S,II} \cdot k_s \cdot \Theta_a}}} \quad (1)$$

The two-layer model was first suggested by Yonemura et al. (2000), and it assumes uniform conditions in the respective layers.  $\delta$  is the depth of the dry top layer,  $D_S$  is the diffusivity of H<sub>2</sub> in the soil ( $D_{S,I}$  in the dry top layer,  $D_{S,II}$  in the moist, deeper soil layer),  $k_s$  is the rate constant for removal of H<sub>2</sub> from soil air, and  $\Theta_a$  is the fraction of soil volume filled with air. Expressions for  $D_S$ ,  $\delta$ ,  $k_s$ ,  $\Theta_a$ , that is, their dependences on soil moisture,  $\Theta_w$ , and temperature,  $T$ , are also given in the earlier paper (Ehhalt and Rohrer, 2013). Thus, eq. (1) can be used to describe the dependence of  $v_d$  on  $\Theta_w$  and  $T$ . This description is more complete and more realistic than that derived from the one-layer model used so far (Yonemura et al., 2000; Smith-Downey et al., 2008; Morfopoulos et al., 2012).

Yet, eq. (1) does not treat all situations. In particular, it does not include the impact on  $v_d$  of a production of H<sub>2</sub> within the soil. Such H<sub>2</sub> production has been shown, for instance, to accompany the fixation of nitrogen by bacteria (Conrad and Seiler, 1980). Further evidence of H<sub>2</sub> production within the soil is provided by the occasional observation of non-zero asymptotic H<sub>2</sub> mixing ratios at greater soil depths (*cf.* Smith-Downey et al., 2008).

With this short note, we would like to point out that eq. (1) can be easily expanded to include a production of H<sub>2</sub> within the soil. This expansion is given by the factor

$(1 - M_e/M_a)$ , such that the deposition velocity,  $v_{d,p}$ , including soil production is given as

$$v_{d,p} = v_d \cdot (1 - M_e/M_a), \quad (2)$$

where  $v_d$  is given by eq. (1).  $M_a$  is the H<sub>2</sub> mixing ratio in the atmosphere and  $M_e = P/(k_s \cdot \Theta_a \cdot \rho)$  is the equilibrium mixing ratio established in the soil between the production with the rate  $P$  and the destruction  $k_s \cdot \Theta_a$ .  $\rho$  is the number density of air. For  $v_d$  derived from the one-layer model, this relation has already been shown to hold (Yonemura et al., 2000). In Appendix A, we show that it also holds for the two-layer model.

Clearly, the dry deposition velocity defined by eq. (2) is no longer independent of the atmospheric mixing ratio of H<sub>2</sub>. This has implications for the geographical distribution of  $v_{d,p}$  which is especially important when the global uptake of H<sub>2</sub> by soil is derived from inverse modelling. We further note that  $M_e$  can be obtained from the field measurement of  $v_{d,p}$  by the chamber method by allowing the H<sub>2</sub> mixing ratio in the chamber to drop to its asymptotic value (*cf.* Conrad and Seiler, 1985; Rice et al., 2011).

## Appendix A

### Derivation of $v_{d,p}$

By definition, the flux of H<sub>2</sub> from the atmosphere into the soil is given by

$$F_a = v_{d,p} \cdot \rho \cdot M_a, \quad (A.1)$$

where  $F_a$  is given in units of molec cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>,  $v_{d,p}$ , the dry deposition velocity in the presence of H<sub>2</sub> production, has the units of cm s<sup>-1</sup>,  $\rho$ , the number density of air is in molec cm<sup>-3</sup>, and  $M_a$  the atmospheric mixing ratio has the units of molec molec<sup>-1</sup>.

The top soil layer, layer I, is assumed to be so dry that neither bacterial destruction nor production of H<sub>2</sub>

\*Corresponding author.  
email: [f.rohrer@fz-juelich.de](mailto:f.rohrer@fz-juelich.de)

can take place. Thus, throughout layer I the vertical  $H_2$  flux,  $F_I$ , remains constant and equal to  $F_a$ . The gradient in  $M_S(z)$ , the mixing ratio in the soil, is therefore linear and

$$F_I = \rho \cdot D_{S,I} \cdot \frac{M_S(0) - M_S(\delta)}{\delta} \quad (\text{A.2})$$

Here,  $M_S(0) = M_a$  and  $M(\delta)$  are the  $H_2$  mixing ratios at depth  $z = 0$  (the surface) and at  $z = \delta$ , the depth of the dry layer.  $D_{S,I}$  is the diffusivity in layer I, its units are  $\text{cm}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$ .

For  $z \geq \delta$ , that is, in layer II, the vertical profile of  $M_S(z)$  is given by the one-dimensional vertical diffusion equation

$$\Theta_a \cdot \rho \cdot \frac{\partial M_S}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \cdot \rho \cdot D_{S,II} \cdot \frac{\partial M_S(z)}{\partial z} - \rho \cdot M_S(z) \cdot k_s \Theta_a + P, \quad (\text{A.3})$$

where  $D_{S,II}$  is the diffusivity in the deeper soil layer II, units of  $\text{cm}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$ ,  $P$  is the production rate of  $H_2$  per soil volume in  $\text{molec cm}^{-3} \text{s}^{-1}$ ,  $k_s$  is the rate constant for the removal of  $H_2$  from soil air, units of  $\text{s}^{-1}$ , and  $\Theta_a$  is the fraction of soil volume filled with air. Assuming steady state, that is,  $\partial M_S / \partial t = 0$  and  $D_{S,II}$ ,  $\rho$ ,  $\Theta_a$ ,  $k_s$ ,  $P$  to be constant with depth equation (A.3) can be solved analytically:

$$M_{S,II}(z) = M_0 \cdot \exp\left(\frac{-(z - \delta)}{\zeta}\right) + M_e, \quad (\text{A.4})$$

where the characteristic decay length  $\zeta = \sqrt{D_{S,II}/k_s \Theta_a}$  and  $M_e = P/(k_s \Theta_a \cdot \rho)$  is the equilibrium  $H_2$  mixing ratio established between in soil production and destruction of  $H_2$  in the absence of transport.

To determine the free parameter  $M_0$ , we calculate the  $H_2$  flux into layer II,  $F_{II}(\delta)$ , and use the fact that at the immediate boundary the flux into layer II is identical to the flux in layer I. The flux into layer II is given by Fick's law

$$F_{II}(\delta) = -\rho \cdot D_{S,II} \cdot \left. \frac{\partial M_{S,II}(z)}{\partial z} \right|_{z=\delta} \quad (\text{A.5})$$

Inserting eq. (A.4) for  $M_{S,II}(z)$  yields

$$F_{II}(\delta) = \rho \cdot D_{S,II} \cdot M_0 / \zeta. \quad (\text{A.6})$$

Remembering that  $F_a = F_I = F_{II}(\delta)$  we can rewrite eq. (A.6)

$$F_a = \rho \cdot D_{S,II} \cdot M_0 / \zeta \quad (\text{A.7})$$

$$\text{or } M_0 = (F_a \cdot \zeta) / (\rho \cdot D_{S,II}). \quad (\text{A.8})$$

The  $H_2$  mixing ratio at depth  $\delta$ ,  $M_S(\delta)$ , is then

$$M_S(\delta) = M_0 + M_e = (F_a \cdot \zeta) / (\rho \cdot D_{S,II}) + M_e. \quad (\text{A.9})$$

Inserting eq. (A.9) into eq. (A.2) and remembering that  $F_I = F_a$ , we obtain

$$F_a = \frac{\rho \cdot D_{S,I}}{\delta} \cdot \left( M_a - M_e - \frac{F_a \cdot \zeta}{\rho \cdot D_{S,II}} \right). \quad (\text{A.10})$$

Collecting the terms with  $F_a$  gives

$$F_a \cdot \left( \frac{\delta}{D_{S,I}} + \frac{\zeta}{D_{S,II}} \right) = \rho \cdot (M_a - M_e), \quad (\text{A.11})$$

and since  $F_a = v_{d,p} \cdot \rho \cdot M_a$  [see eq. (A.1)]

$$v_{d,p} = \left( 1 - \frac{M_e}{M_a} \right) \cdot \left( \frac{1}{\frac{\delta}{D_{S,I}} + \frac{\zeta}{D_{S,II}}} \right) \quad (\text{A.12})$$

or

$$v_{d,p} = \left( 1 - \frac{M_e}{M_a} \right) \cdot v_d \quad (\text{A.13})$$

since the second term on the right-hand side of eq. (A.12) is identical to  $v_d$  from eq. (1).

## References

- Conrad, R. and Seiler, W. 1980. Contribution of hydrogen production by biological nitrogen fixation to the global hydrogen budget. *J. Geophys. Res.* **85**, 5493–5498.
- Conrad, R. and Seiler, W. 1985. Influence of temperature, moisture, and organic carbon on the flux of  $H_2$  and CO between soil and atmosphere: field studies in subtropical regions. *J. Geophys. Res.* **90**, 5699–5709.
- Ehlt, D. H. and Rohrer, F. 2013. Deposition velocity of  $H_2$ : a new algorithm for its dependence on soil moisture and temperature. *Tellus B.* **65**, DOI: 10.3402/tellusb.v65i0.19904.
- Morfopoulos, C., Foster, P. N., Friedlingstein, P., Bousquet, P. and Prentice, I. C. 2012. A global model for the uptake of atmospheric hydrogen by soils. *Global Biogeochem. Cycles.* **26**, GB3013. DOI: 10.1029/2011GB004248.
- Rice, A., Dayalu, A., Quay, P. and Gammon, R. 2011. Isotopic fractionation of atmospheric hydrogen. *Biogeosciences.* **8**, 763–769. DOI: 10.5194/bg-8-763-2011.
- Smith-Downey, N. V., Randerson, J. T. and Eiler, J. M. 2008. Molecular hydrogen uptake by soils in forest, desert, and marsh ecosystems in California. *J. Geophys. Res.* **113**, G03037. DOI: 10.1029/2008JG000701.
- Yonemura, S., Yokozawa, M., Kawashima, S. and Tsuruta, H. 2000. Model analysis of the influence of gas diffusivity in soil on CO and  $H_2$  uptake. *Tellus B.* **52**, 919–933.