

# Photocatalytic Hydrogen Production with Nanocomposite Photocatalysts

S. Kaneco, T. Miwa, S.C. Verma, K. Sugihara

This document appeared in

Detlef Stolten, Thomas Grube (Eds.):

18th World Hydrogen Energy Conference 2010 - WHEC 2010

Parallel Sessions Book 3: Hydrogen Production Technologies - Part 2

Proceedings of the WHEC, May 16.-21. 2010, Essen

Schriften des Forschungszentrums Jülich / Energy & Environment, Vol. 78-3

Institute of Energy Research - Fuel Cells (IEF-3)

Forschungszentrum Jülich GmbH, Zentralbibliothek, Verlag, 2010

ISBN: 978-3-89336-653-8

## Photocatalytic Hydrogen Production with Nanocomposite Photocatalysts

**Satoshi Kaneco, Takuya Miwa**, Department of Chemistry for Materials, Faculty of Engineering, Mie University, Tsu, Mie 514-8507, Japan

**Suresh Chand Verma, Kunihiro Sugihara**, Chubu Electric Power Co. Inc., Midori-ku, Nagoya 459-8522, Japan

Hydrogen, which has potential as a clean energy fuel, can be extracted from water, biomass, natural gas and other carbon sources [1]. Methanol has been recommended as the best source for hydrogen fuel among the high energy density liquid fuels [2]. Methanol can be converted to hydrogen by several reactions, containing methanol steam reforming [3,4], partial oxidation of methanol [5,6], oxidative methanol reforming [7], methanol decomposition and photocatalytic oxidation [8,9]. The photocatalytic hydrogen production with the methanol decomposition appears to be very promising method for the practical and low-cost technologies in the hydrogen-based energy system.

Titanium dioxide ( $\text{TiO}_2$ ) is considered as a good photocatalyst for hydrogen generation because of its excellent resistance to chemical and photochemical corrosion in aggressive aqueous environments and due to its activity towards both light and aqueous solutions such as water and methanol. Nobel metal loading onto  $\text{TiO}_2$  have been widely investigated for hydrogen generation, and have been presented to be very effective to enhance  $\text{TiO}_2$  photocatalytic activity since it can contribute to efficient electron-hole separation. However, considering the high costs incurred by the consumption of novel metals, it is very significant to fabricate a highly efficient and cost-effective photocatalyst, meanwhile, converting them into environment-friendly products. Because copper-containing  $\text{TiO}_2$  is cost-effective compared with noble metal loaded  $\text{TiO}_2$ , its utilization has been energetically studied for the photocatalytic hydrogen production. Sreethawong and Yoshikawa have described that copper-loaded  $\text{TiO}_2$  gave about two fold higher hydrogen generation activity compared with the optimum value of the Ni loaded case. Wu and Lee have studied hydrogen generation with  $\text{TiO}_2$  with deposited copper, and proven that oxidation of metal copper occurred during reaction induced high hydrogen generation activity. Bandara et al. and Choi et al. have fabricated  $\text{TiO}_2$  photocatalysts loaded with CuO, and found that hydrogen production was drastically enhanced by CuO relative to pure  $\text{TiO}_2$ . However, little information on the photocatalytic hydrogen production with the nanocomposite composed of three components containing  $\text{TiO}_2$  and CuO.

The present work deals mainly with the photocatalytic hydrogen production from aqueous methanol solution with  $\text{CuO}/\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3/\text{TiO}_2$  nanocomposite. Furthermore, the photocatalytic activities of  $\text{ZnO}/\text{TiO}_2$ ,  $\text{SnO}/\text{TiO}_2$ ,  $\text{CuO}/\text{TiO}_2$  and  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3/\text{TiO}_2$  nanocomposites were evaluated for the comparison with the nanocomposite composed of three components.

$\text{TiO}_2$  P25 photocatalyst was purchased from Degussa Co. Ltd., Germany (anatase 75%, rutile 25%, BET specific surface area  $48 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$ , mean particle size 25nm). Nanopowders

(ZnO, SnO, CuO and Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich. The mean diameter and specific surface area were 50~70 nm and 15~25 m<sup>2</sup>/g for ZnO, 18 nm and 47 m<sup>2</sup>/g for SnO, 33 nm and 29 m<sup>2</sup>/g for CuO and 40~47 nm and 35~40 m<sup>2</sup>/g for Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. Methanol (99.8%, Nacalai Tesque, Inc., Japan) was used as received without further purification. Laboratory pure water was obtained from an ultrapure water system (Advantec MFS Inc., Tokyo, Japan) resulting in a resistivity > 18 MΩ cm.

A mechanical mixing method was used for the preparation of nanocomposite photocatalyst. The oxide powders were mixed with the mortar. An electric furnace (Koyo Box Furnace, KBF828N, Nara, Japan) was used for sintering at 500 °C under an air atmosphere. The furnace was heated from ambient to the final sintering temperature of 500 °C at a rate of 4.7 °C/min and then the temperature was kept constant for 3 h.

The surface areas of nanocomposite photocatalysts were determined by the three points BET method with di-nitrogen at 77 K (Autosorb-1-C, chemisorption and physisorption analyzer, Quantachrome Instruments, USA). The structure and crystalline phases of nanocomposite photocatalysts were characterized by X-ray diffractometer (XRD, RIGAKU Ultima IV, sample horizontal type) with Cu K<sub>α</sub> radiation (λ=0.15406 nm) at 40 kV and 50 mA. The diffraction patterns were taken over the 2θ angle range from 10° to 80° with a scan speed of 4°/min and a stepsize of 0.02°. The microstructure and morphology of the nanocomposite photocatalysts were analyzed by transmission electron microscope (TEM, Hitachi H-7000, 125 kV accelerating voltage).

The pyrex column vessel reactor (inner volume: 55.6 mL) was used for the photocatalytic production of hydrogen from aqueous methanol solution. The pyrex glass cuts off all wavelength below 300 nm. Typically, 20 mg of the nanocomposite photocatalysts were added to 30 mL of 10 vol% methanol solution in the photoreactor. A 15 W black light (Toshiba Lighting & Technology Corp) with a maximum emission of ~352 nm was applied as light source, which was positioned on the side of photoreactor. The light intensity was measured by a UV radio meter with a sensor of 320 to 410 nm wavelengths (UVR-400, Iuchi Co., Osaka, Japan), and the value was 1.0 mW/cm<sup>2</sup>. The nanocomposite photocatalysts were continuously dispersed in the aqueous methanol solution by a magnetic stirrer during the irradiation. The temperature of the suspension in the photoreactor was kept constant by the water bath. The irradiation time was 3 h. The hydrogen product from the aqueous methanol solution was analyzed by gas chromatography (GL Sciences, GC-3200) with thermal conductivity detector (TCD). The stainless column (4 m long, 2.17 mm i.d.) packed with Molecular Sieve 5A was used for the separation. The carrier gas was high purity argon gas. The temperature conditions of GC were 50 °C for injection, column and detector.

The quick recombination of photogenerated electrons (e<sup>-</sup>) and holes (h<sup>+</sup>) decreases the photocatalytic efficiency of TiO<sub>2</sub>. The use of a charge transfer catalyst (CTC) to prepare a binary photocatalyst is an alternative way to achieve better photocatalytic activities because the charge transfer catalyst is able to trap the photogenerated electrons (e<sup>-</sup>) and holes (h<sup>+</sup>) in its domain. Therefore, the effect of metal oxide cocatalysts on the photocatalytic hydrogen production over TiO<sub>2</sub> was investigated for the simple mixing preparation methods. The photocatalytic hydrogen production over TiO<sub>2</sub> tended to increase in the addition of metal oxide cocatalysts, compared with those in the absence of them. The optimum contents were

1.5, 0.5, 1.0 and 0.5 wt% for ZnO, SnO, CuO and Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> cocatalysts, respectively. The photocatalytic activity for hydrogen formation increased in the order ZnO, SnO, CuO and Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. The Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> photocatalytic activity was approximately 6 times better than that obtained with TiO<sub>2</sub>. Wu et al. have studied the photocatalytic hydrogen evolution over Cu-deposited TiO<sub>2</sub> from the aqueous methanol solution (42%), and presented that the optimum Cu loading was approximately 1.2 wt%. The incident radiation produces electrons from the valence band to the conduction band, the band gap energy being lowered by copper incorporation. A photo-induced electron reduces water to hydrogen while methanol, the sacrificial reagent for hole, gets oxidized. Because the CuO conduction band is located below that of TiO<sub>2</sub>, electron transfer to the conduction band of CuO is possible. The phenomenon becomes important when a part of incident radiation has wavelength in the UV range. The hole transfer from the valence band of TiO<sub>2</sub> to that of CuO is also allowable for the same reason. The combined effects of CuO with TiO<sub>2</sub> are responsible for the enhanced hydrogen evolution.

When the electron-hole (e-h) pair is formed by the UV light, the activated energy is large enough to excite the photogenerated electron (e<sup>-</sup>) from the TiO<sub>2</sub> band (3.2 eV) into the Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> band (>5.0 eV). Nevertheless, the photogenerated hole (h<sup>+</sup>) in the TiO<sub>2</sub> cannot shift the Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> region energetically. Therefore, the recombination of the photogenerated electron (e<sup>-</sup>) and hole (h<sup>+</sup>) pair is hindered by the separation. Hence, the estimated phenomenon may be attributable to the enhanced hydrogen formation.

An increase in the lifetime of photogenerated electron-hole pairs in the coupled oxides, due to the hole and electron transfer between the two coupling oxides, seems to be very critical to the catalytic activity enhancement for the hydrogen production. Therefore, the photocatalytic hydrogen production with the nanocomposite composed of three components, TiO<sub>2</sub>, CuO and Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, were investigated in the aqueous methanol solution. When the Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> doping concentration was 0.2 wt%, the maximum hydrogen formation was obtained in the doping concentration of CuO 0.4 wt%. As the doping concentration of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> increased to 0.3 and 0.4 wt%, the optimal doping concentrations for CuO shifted to 0.2 wt%. On the CuO doping concentration of 0.2 wt%, the best hydrogen production was observed with 0.3 wt% Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> doping concentration. With increasing the CuO doping concentration, the peak of convex curves moved to the lower Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> content. Therefore, the photocatalytic hydrogen production proved a close relationship between the CuO and Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> doping concentrations. The maximum hydrogen formation (1200 μmol g<sup>-1</sup>·cat) was obtained using 0.2 wt% CuO/0.3 wt% Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> nanocomposite photocatalysts. Wang et al. have fabricated ZnO/TiO<sub>2</sub>/SnO<sub>2</sub> mixtures with the molar ratio of ZnO:TiO<sub>2</sub>:SnO<sub>2</sub> = 4:1:1 from the component oxide powders ZnO, TiO<sub>2</sub> and SnO<sub>2</sub> by solid-state reaction at elevated temperature. In the photocatalytic degradation of methyl orange, the mass photocatalytic activity of ZnO/TiO<sub>2</sub>/SnO<sub>2</sub> mixture was 2.0 times that of TiO<sub>2</sub>, 16.4 times that of SnO<sub>2</sub> and 0.92 times that of ZnO, i.e. ZnO/TiO<sub>2</sub>/SnO<sub>2</sub> composite was photocatalytically more active than the pure TiO<sub>2</sub> and SnO<sub>2</sub> but less active than ZnO. In the present work, the photocatalytic activity for hydrogen production was approximately ten times that of pure TiO<sub>2</sub>.

Little information of the temperature effect on the photocatalytic hydrogen production from the aqueous solution has been presented. Therefore, the effect of reaction temperature on the photocatalytic hydrogen formation from the aqueous solution with CuO/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub>

nanocomposites was investigated in the range of 40 to 70 °C. Formic acid potassium supporting electrolyte was added into the aqueous methanol solution for the enhancement of hydrogen production. The H<sub>2</sub> yield increased gradually with increasing in the reaction temperature. The hydrogen production yield at 70 °C was about three times that near room temperature (40 °C). Korzhak et al. have reported the influence of temperature on the quantum yield of photocatalytic hydrogen production in the presence of TiO<sub>2</sub>/Cu nanocomposites. In the study, the quantum yield was proportional to the temperature, and it could be postulated that the temperature dependences of quantum yield (hydrogen production) originate from the thermal activation of desorption of acetaldehyde which was the oxidation product from a sacrificial reagent ethanol. In the present work, the affect of temperature on the photocatalytic hydrogen production may similarly be attributable to the thermal activation of desorption of formaldehyde which was produced by the oxidation of methanol. Consequently, it was found that the reaction temperature is a significant factor for the photocatalytic hydrogen formation from the aqueous solution.

In summary, it was found that the deposition of CuO and Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> into TiO<sub>2</sub> greatly enhance the photocatalytic activity of TiO<sub>2</sub> toward hydrogen production from aqueous methanol solution. The photocatalytic activity with 0.2 wt% CuO/0.3 wt% Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> for hydrogen production was about 10 times that of pure TiO<sub>2</sub>. Methanol dehydrogenation has application in indirect methanol fuel cells, where methanol is first reformed to hydrogen in an energy-consuming step. Photocatalytic dehydrogenation of methanol has the advantage of supplying the reforming energy from sunlight.

## References

- [1] Kulprathipanja A, Falconer JL., *Applied Catalysis A: General*, 2004;261(1):77–86.
- [2] Ou TC, Chang FW, Roselin LS., *Journal of Molecular Catalysis A: Chemical*, 2008;293(1-2):8-16.
- [3] Shishido T, Yamamoto Y, Morioka H, Takehira K., *Journal of Molecular Catalysis A: Chemical*, 2007;268(1-2):185-94.
- [4] de Wild PJ, Verhaak MJFM., *Catalysis Today*, 2000; 60(1-2):3-10.
- [5] Cubeiro ML, Fierro JLG., *Applied Catalysis A: General*, 1998;168(2):307-322.
- [6] Agrell J, Hasselbo K, Jansson K, Järås SG, Boutonnet M., *Applied Catalysis A: General* 2001;211(2):239-50.
- [7] Murcia-Mascarós S, Navarro RM, L. Gómez-Sainero L, Costantino U, Nocchetti M, Fierro JLG., *Journal of Catalysis*, 2001;198(2):338-347.
- [8] Wu G-S, Wang L-C, Liu Y-M, Cao Y, Dai W-L, He-Yong He, Fan K-N., *Applied Surface Science*, 2006;253(2): 974-82.
- [9] Yoong LS, Chong FK, Dutta BK., *Energy* 2009;34(10),1652–61.