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Asymmetric Transfer Hydrogenation of Unsaturated Ketones; Factors influencing 1,4- vs 1,2- regio- and enantioselectivity, and alkene vs alkyne directing effects.

Thomas H. Hall, Hannah Adams, Vijyesh K. Vyas, K. L. Michael Chu and Martin Wills.* Department of Chemistry, The University of Warwick, Coventry, CV4 7AL, UK. m.wills@warwick.ac.uk.

Dedicated to the memory of Professor Jonathan M. J. Williams, a brilliant scientist and a friend since our PhD years. Jon once said to me at a conference some time ago that he was trying to introduce the term "hydrogen borrowing" to synthetic chemistry, and hoped it would catch on. It certainly did.


#### Abstract

. A detailed study has been completed on the asymmetric transfer hydrogenation (ATH) of a series of enones using Ru (II) catalysts. Electron-rich rings adjacent to the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ group reduce the level of $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ reduction compared to $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$. The ATH reaction can readily discriminate between double and triple bonds adjacent to ketones, reducing the double bond but leaving a triple bond intact in the major product.


## Introduction.

Asymmetric transfer hydrogenation (ATH) of ketones using Ru(II)/arene/TsDPEN complexes such as 1-7 (Figure 1) is a well-established process that generates alcohols in high enantioselectivities. ${ }^{1-6}$ The catalysts are well-suited to the reductions of acetophenone derivatives and alkynyl ketones, which are reduced in high ee through relatively wellunderstood transition states (Figure 2). ${ }^{2}$ Complex 1 was first reported by Noyori et al. in $1995^{3}$ and in 2005, we reported tethered complexes of type $2,{ }^{4}$ which have subsequently seen widespread application. ${ }^{5}$ Related complexes, $3^{4}, 4^{5}, 5,{ }^{5} 6^{4}$ and $7^{4}$ have been reported and widely studied in ATH applications. ${ }^{6}$
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Figure 1. Ru(II)/arene/TsDPEN complexes reported for the ATH of ketones and imines.


Figure 2. Control of asymmetric reduction of various types of ketones using complex 2 (representative of 1-7).

ATH, using the $\operatorname{Ru}(I I)$ catalysts 1-5, of $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ketones reveals a rather more complex pattern of selectivities. Deng et al. ${ }^{7}$ described the reductions of ketones with catalyst 1 to the corresponding allylic alcohols, using a combination of formic acid and trimethylamine (FA/TEA) as solvent and reducing agent, in high yield; enantioselectivities depended on the substitution pattern on the alkene (Figure 3a). Using chalcone as the substrate for TH with the achiral ligand N -tosylethyenediamine (TsEN), the reduction product was a ca. 3:1 mixture of saturated ketone and saturated alcohol. ${ }^{7}$
a.



R=Me, 100\% conv. 75\% A (30\% ee), 0\% B, 25\% C
R=Et, 100\% conv. $90 \%$ A ( $6 \%$ ee), $4 \%$ B, $6 \%$ C
R=iPr, $94 \%$ conv. $48 \%$ A ( $28 \%$ ee), $30 \%$ B, $28 \%$ C
b.
$R=t B u, 87 \%$ conv. 13\% A (57\% ee), $71 \%$ B, 3\% C

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{Ph}, 97 \% \text { yield, 97\% ee } \\
& \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}, 93 \% \text { yield, } 97 \% \text { ee } \\
& \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{Ph}, 93 \% \text { yield, 24\% ee } \\
& \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}, 67 \% \text { yield, } 99 \% \text { ee } \\
& \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{tBu}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{Ph}, 75 \% \text { yield, } 99 \% \text { ee }
\end{aligned}
$$

c.

Figure 3. a. ATH of $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ketones by Deng et al. ${ }^{7} \mathrm{~b}$, Variation between 1,2- and 1,4-reduction of alkyl-benzylidineacetone derivatives (the ee of C was not determined). ${ }^{8} \mathrm{c}$. Products of selective 1,2-reduction of $\beta$-trifluoromethyl enones using catalyst 1. ${ }^{9}$

Increasing the steric bulk of the alkyl substituent on benzylidineacetones has a complicated effect on the reduction selectivity using catalyst 1 (Figure 3b). ${ }^{8}$ The ethyl substituent increased the 1,2 selectivity whilst the larger isopropyl group gave equal proportions of 1,2and 1,4- reduction products in lower conversion. The tert-butyl substituent strongly disfavoured all ketone reduction. Noyori catalyst 1 was applied to the ATH of $\beta$-alkyl $\beta$ trifluoromethyl $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ketones to yield 1,2-reduction products selectively. ${ }^{9}$ Arylketone substrates were reduced in high ee as expected, while a methyl ketone gave a product in poor enantioselectivity (Figure 3c).

The (non-asymmetric) TH of $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ bonds in enones, using TsEN as a ligand in the $\mathrm{Ru}(I I)$ complex, can be promoted by incorporating an electron withdrawing groups into the substrate, ${ }^{7}$ including nitro, ester, nitrile and carboxylic acids. Some asymmetric examples of $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ reduction have been reported. ${ }^{7} \mathrm{~A}$ series of $\alpha$-substituted cyclic $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ketones were reduced selectively with the Noyori-Ikariya complex 1 to the corresponding cycloalkenols 8-10, although the carbamate substrate also yielded a small amount of the 1,4-reduction product 11 (Figure 4). ${ }^{10}$ The acyclic analogue of 10 in contrast was reduced with complete 1,4-alkene selectivity, yielding a mixture of $33 \%$ saturated ketone and $67 \%$ saturated alcohol.


Figure 4. Product of ATH of $\alpha$-substituted cyclic enones (using catalyst 1).

In some cases an enone can be formed in situ and directly reduced. Adolfsson's $\alpha$-amino acid hydroxyamide ligand $\mathbf{1 2}$ has been applied to ATH of allylic alcohols by oxidation to the corresponding enone, followed by complete reduction of alkene and carbonyl functionalities (Figure 5). ${ }^{11}$ Use of the stronger base potassium tert-butoxide was important for the success of this reduction.

## ligand 12

ligand 12



Figure 5. Isomerisation and reduction of benzyl-vinyl alcohol. ${ }^{11}$

Kosmalski applied the Noyori catalyst 1 to the reduction of $\beta$-dimethylamino-acetophenone and found that the main product was the partially reduced elimination product. ${ }^{12}$ Therefore there is still scope for increased understanding of the subtle effects of substrate structure on the regioselectivity of enone ATH. In this paper, we report our results from our investigation into this area.

## Results and Discussion

We first examined the ATH of $\beta$-chloropropiophenone $13 .{ }^{13}$ Reduction using catalyst $(S, S)$ 2 in FA/TEA at $60{ }^{\circ}$ C gave complete conversion to 1-phenylpropan-1-ol 14, in high enantioselectivity (Figure 6).


Figure 6. Reduction of $\beta$-chloropropiophenone 13, 14 and 15.

Subjecting 15 to the same ATH conditions gave 14, in identical ee as obtained previously, as did the reduction of $\mathbf{1 6}$, indicating that both were likely to be common intermediates in the formation of 14 . Vinyl alcohol 17 was inert under the same conditions in contrast to the result in Figure 5 . $^{11}$ and no 17 was observed in the reduction of 15 or $\mathbf{1 6}$. Initial 1,4Reduction of 15 is expected to be favourable due to the high reactivity of the unhindered mono-substituted vinyl group. trans-Benzylideneacetophenone 18 (chalcone) was reduced using both catalyst (S,S)-2 and the methoxy analogue $\mathbf{3}$ under a variety of conditions (Table 1).

Table 1. ATH of chalcone 18 and its reduction products.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $R / S$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Entr y | Catalyst | t/h | Conv/\% | $\begin{gathered} 20 / \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,4-19: \\ 1,2-21 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19 \\ \text { ee/\% } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21 \\ \text { ee/\% } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| $1^{\text {a }}$ | $(S, S)-2$ | 1.5 | 98 | 2 | 91:7 | 96 | 73 | $S$ |
| $2^{\text {a }}$ | $(R, R)-3$ | 4 | 98 | 2 | 96:2 | 98 | 84 | $R$ |
| $3^{\text {b }}$ | $(S, S)-2$ | 20 | 100 | 0 | 89 : 11 | 95 | 79 | $S$ |
| $4^{\text {b }}$ | $(R, R)-3$ | 22 | 100 | 0 | 96:4 | 98 | 85 | $R$ |
| $5^{\text {c }}$ | $(S, S)-2$ | 5.5 | 98 | 2 | $88: 10$ | 95 | 78 | $S$ |
| $6{ }^{\text {d }}$ | $(R, R)-3$ | 45 | 94 | 6 | 94:0 | 86 | - | $R$ |
| $7^{\text {b,e }}$ | $(R, R)-3$ | 25 | 96 | 4 | 91:5 | 98 | 83 | $R$ |
| $8^{\text {b,f }}$ | $(R, R)-3$ | 18 | 99 | 1 | 96:3 | 96 | 77 | $R$ |

Conditions: a) 2 M in FA/TEA, $100: 1 \mathrm{~S} / \mathrm{C}, 40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. b) 0.5 M in 5:2 FA/TEA : $\mathrm{MeOH}(1: 1), 100: 1$ $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{C}, 40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. c) as b) with $\mathrm{CeCl}_{3}$ additive ( 0.5 eq ). d) 0.5 M in $1: 1 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{NaHCO}_{2}, 100: 1$ $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{C}, 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. e) at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. f) at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

With both catalysts, 1,4-reduction was favoured. The substrate was fully consumed but some saturated ketone 20 was observed. Alcohol 19 was produced with consistently good ee of ca. 95-98\% in FA/TEA for both catalysts. Compound 21 was formed with a lower ee (73-85\%), which is consistent with the presence of two $\pi$ systems that could compete as directing groups for reduction (Figure 2). Catalyst ( $R, R$ )-3 delivered products in slightly higher ee than $(S, S)$ - $\mathbf{2}$ under the same conditions. Racemic standards were prepared using
sodium borohydride; alcohol $\mathbf{2 1}$ was prepared by Luche reduction, ${ }^{12}$ while a sample of $\mathbf{2 0}$ was produced by a one pot reduction in the presence of palladium on carbon, acetic acid, isopropanol, and sodium borohydride. ${ }^{13}$ The products ees were measured by chiral HPLC, and the product ratio was determined by NMR spectroscopy to ensure that the measurement was quantitative.

Cerium trichloride ${ }^{12}$ was tested as an additive (Table 1, entry 5), but it had only a marginal effect,. However the additional methanol co-solvent was advantageous, as substrate $\mathbf{1 8}$ was poorly soluble in FA/TEA. Further reactions using equal quantities of FA/TEA and MeOH at lower concentration ( 0.5 M instead of 2 M ) gave full conversion to product. (Table 1, entries 3 and 4). Using $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ as the solvent system and sodium formate as hydrogen donor (Table 1 , entry 6 ), ${ }^{14}$ with ( $R, R$ )-3 as the catalyst, the reduction was slower, with incomplete conversion after 45 h at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the ee of alcohol 19 reduced to $86 \%$. Increasing the FA/TEA/MeOH reaction temperature to $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or decreasing it to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ had a marginal effect on the selectivities (entries 7 and 8 ). Screening of alternative co-solvents in the reduction of $\mathbf{1 8}$ was undertaken (Supporting Information). Aprotic solvents tested performed similarly, giving similar or slightly improved 1,4- selectivity and ee compared to reactions with MeOH . Water was also tested as a co-solvent with FA/TEA, however the solubility of $\mathbf{1 8}$ in the aqueous FA/TEA mixture was poor, and the enantioselectivty of both products lower than for the other solvents tested.

It was expected that the configurations of both $\mathbf{1 9}$ and $\mathbf{2 1}$ were the same and this was confirmed by hydrogenating the product mixture from Table 1 entry 4 using $\mathrm{Pt}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as a catalyst. Given the ratio of alcohols 19 and 21, the predicted ee of 19 after alkene hydrogenation is $97 \%$ if the configuration of both alcohols is the same, and $91 \%$ if it is different (Supporting Information). The experimental measurement of ee after hydrogenation was $97 \%$, confirming that $\mathbf{1 9}$ and $\mathbf{2 1}$ must have the same configuration. The electronic nature of the aromatic ring adjacent to the ketone could influence the 1,4- vs 1,2- selectivity of reduction. ${ }^{15}$ To test this, chalcone derivatives containing $\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{p}-\mathrm{OMe}$ and $\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{NMe}_{2}$ were were reduced with catalyst ( $R, R$ )-3 to products 22-24 (Figure 7). Electron donating substituents slow down the rate of reduction, and increase the 1,4- selectivity. The proportion of 1,2-product was so low for the p-methoxy and p-dimethylamino products $\mathbf{2 3}$ and $\mathbf{2 4}$ respectively, that the ee of the unsaturated product could not be determined.



Figure 7. Products of ATH of chalcone derivatives. Conditions: 22-24: [S] = 0.5M in 5:2 FA/TEA, $\mathrm{MeOH}(1: 1), 24 \mathrm{~h}, 40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 100: 1 \mathrm{~S} / \mathrm{C},(R, R)$-3. Assumed $R$ product is formed by analogy with chalcone. 25: as for 22-24, catalyst $(S, S)$-2. 26: run 1 and 2: as for 22-24, catalyst $(S, S)$-2. run $3:[\mathrm{S}]=0.5 \mathrm{M}$ in 1:1 $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{NaHCO}_{2}, 100: 1 \mathrm{~S} / \mathrm{C},(R, R)-\mathbf{2}$. 27: as for 22-24.

In order to establish the importance of each aromatic ring in the chalcone derivatives, the corresponding cyclohexyl substituted substrates were reduced by ATH (Figure 7). The ketone with a cyclohexyl adjacent to the alkene reacted with similar selectivity to chalcone, to give 25 with a slight increase in 1,4-selectivity ( $97 \%$ ee). Reduction of the ketone with the cyclohexyl adjacent to the ketone, in contrast, gave different products $\mathbf{2 6}$ depending on which catalyst was used. In general it gave a much higher proportion of 1,2-reduction product, although 1,4- selectivity was highest under aqueous conditions using sodium formate as the hydrogen source (Figure 7). The ee of reduction was also poor. This demonstrates the importance of the aromatic ring adjacent to the ketone for the control of enantioselectivity, but that the aromatic ring on the alkene is of secondary importance. ATH of a substrate with a $\beta, \beta$-disubstitution gave a product in high 1,4 -selectivity, with
predominant formation of the saturated alcohol 27 over the equivalent allylic alcohol although in only 55\% ee.

It is known in the literature that alkynes are generally inert under ATH conditions, and are capable of acting as directing groups (Figure 2). ${ }^{3 \mathrm{~d}, 4,6}$ It was therefore of interest to establish the outcome of the ATH of substrates containing both an alkyne and an alkene flanking the central ketone (Figure 8).




Figure 8. Products of ATH of alkene/alkyne substrates. The illustrated configuration is that for the assumption that the alkyne dominates the selectivity as in Figure 2.

Conditions: FA:TEA 5:2 $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$, solvent ( 0.5 mL ), $1 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ catalyst and $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ unless otherwise stated.

The precursor ketones were prepared by reaction of the required lithiated acetylene with the Weinreb amide of cinnamic acid. Racemic standards were obtained for all the products and HPLC was used to directly assess the regio- and stereoselectivity of the reactions. Product ratios were also determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR data. In the ATH of the diphenyl substrate, using $(R, R)-2$, the saturated and unsaturated products 28 and 29 respectively were formed in an $83: 17$ ratio (Figure 8 ). The ee of 28 was highest, presumably because the alkene is reduced first and the resulting propargylic ketone is selectively reduced following existing precedent. ${ }^{1,3 d, 4 c}$ The 1,2-reduction product 29 was formed in lower ee likely due to competing electron-rich unstaturated bonds in the $\mathrm{CH} / \pi$ of the reduction transition state (Figure 2). The absolute configuration of the products was not unambiguously determined. However it is likely that the $R$ - configuration products will be formed using the $(R, R)$ configuration catalyst, based on the precedent for this class of reductions.

A reaction/time study was completed to investigate the ATH using HPLC (Supporting Information). From an early stage in the reaction, the formation of the intermediate saturated ketone was essentially instantaneous, and a small amount of unsaturated product was also observed. As time increases, starting material and intermediate ketone disappear and the two alcohol products are formed. The effect of solvent on the reaction was also investigated and a time study for each solvent was undertaken to explore the relative rate of formation of each of the intermediate and product species over time (Supporting Information). DFT studies have indicated that using MeOH engages in hydrogen bonding interactions to the ketone during the reduction ${ }^{2 a, 2 e}$ and in some cases different solvents have been demonstrated to reverse the enantioselectivity. ${ }^{16}$ However the results, whilst similar to those in Figure 8, were inferior with respect to product selectivity, enantioselectivity and conversion. Four further ATH catalysts, 1, 3, '4C-tethered' 6 and the 'benzyl-bridged' 7 were also used (Figure 8). Time studies were also conducted to track the formation of products and intermediates in each case (Supporting Information). Catalyst 6 produced similar ratios of alcohols and ee values as seen with $\mathbf{2}$, however, it gave lower conversion. Catalyst $\mathbf{3}$ gave a similar result to that of $\mathbf{6}$. ATH with $\mathbf{3}$ at the lower temperature of $25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ gave a more selective product ratio (79:21 28:29) with high ee's of $98 \%$ and $87 \%$ for the saturated OH and unsaturated OH respectively. However the
conversion was slightly lower at $85 \%$. Catalyst 7 gave approximately a $1: 1$ ratio between the saturated and unsaturated products which could be due to the hindered nature of the catalyst.

The ATH (1 mol\% catalyst $(R, R)-2, \mathrm{MeOH}$ co-solvent at $40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) was tested with other substrates and in all cases, standards of the reduction products were prepared for HPLC analysis. Attempts were made at ATH of the TMS-substituted alkyne but decomposition was observed. However the ATH of the TIPS-enynone was successful. Products 30 and 31 were isolated as a mixture, in a ratio of 69:31 and in a conversion of just $81 \%$. The ATH was less selective for the 1,4-reduction pathway and hence, produced more of unsaturated alcohol 31. The enantioselectivity was high for both alcoholic products however. The products of ATH of the p-methoxyphenyl derivative were obtained as a 86:14 mixture of 32 and 33. Hence the electron-donating group does not appear to significantly affect the reaction mechanism or product distribution. The p-chlorobenzene (PCB) derivative gave a product as a mixture of 34 and 35 , with slightly lower enantioselectivity. An impurity was found in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum and it was hypothesised that this was the alkyne reduction production 36 , based on the observation of a further $A B X$ system in the 1 H NMR spectrum (see the supporting information (see the Supporting Information).

## Conclusions

Investigation of the ATH of a range of enones showed that predominant 1,4- reactivity is favoured and the majority of aromatic-ketone substrates were reduced to their saturated alcohols with high ee. Electron-donating para subsituents on the ketone favoured 1,4reduction further. The ATH of alkene/alkyne ketones leads to a mixture of chiral alcohols via 1,4 and 1,2-reduction pathways, the 1,4-pathway being predominant in the reaction. A time study of the reaction confirmed that the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ bond is rapidly reduced early in the reduction process, and the saturated products were formed in higher ee than the unsaturated ones.

## Experimental Section.

## General Experimental

All reagents and solvents were used as purchased and without further purification, with the exception of cyclohexane carboxaldehyde which was redistilled for storage.

All reactions were carried out under a nitrogen atmosphere unless otherwise specified. Reactions at elevated temperature were maintained by thermostatically controlled oilbaths or aluminium heating blocks. A temperature of $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ refers to an ice slush bath, $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to a dry ice acetone bath.

NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AV ( 250 MHz ), Bruker DPX ( 300 or 400 MHz ), Bruker DRX ( 500 MHz ) or Bruker AV-II. ( 700 MHz ). All chemical shifts are rounded to the nearest 0.01 ppm for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ spectra and the nearest 0.1 ppm for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ spectra, and are referenced to the solvent chemical shift. Coupling constants are rounded to the nearest 0.1 Hz . Mass spectra were recorded on an Esquire 2000 and high resolution mass spectra were recorded on a Bruker Micro ToF or MaXis. IR spectra were recorded on a PerkinElmer spectrum100 and peaks are reported in wavenumbers. Optical rotations were measured on an Optical Activity Ltd. AA-1000 Polarimeter and are reported in deg $\mathrm{dm}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \mathrm{~g}^{-1}$.

The chiral GC measurements were performed using a Perkin-Elmer 8500 or HewlettPackard 1050 instrument linked to a PC running DataApex Clarity software. HPLC measurements were performed out using a Hewlett Packard 1050 Series with a quaternary pump, autosampler and variable wavelength detector linked to a PC running DataApex Clarity software.

Melting points were determined on a Stuart scientific melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. Flash column chromatography was performed using silica gel of mesh size 230-400, Thin layer chromatography was carried out on aluminium backed silica gel 60(F254) plates, visualised using 254 nm UV light, potassium permanganate, iodine stains or cerium ammonium molybdate (CAM) as appropriate. Column chromatography was performed either by gradient elution (reported as a range, eg EtOAc/Petroleum ether (2$12 \%)$, or by isocratic elution.

## rac-1-Phenylpropan-1-ol 14.

This compound is known. ${ }^{17}$ To a solution of propiophenone 16 ( $66 \mathrm{mg}, 0.49 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) in methanol ( 0.9 mL ) and water ( 0.1 mL ) was added sodium borohydride ( $41 \mathrm{mg}, 1.08 \mathrm{mmol}$, $2 \mathrm{eq})$ as a solid in one portion. The reaction was monitored by TLC. After stirring for 6 hours, the reaction mixture was concentrated under vacuum, the residue suspended in water ( 1 mL ) and extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(3 \mathrm{~mL}\right.$ total). The organic layer was dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated to give the product 35 as a clear oil ( $35 \mathrm{mg}, 0.26 \mathrm{mmol}, 52 \%$ ). The spectroscopic data were consistent with those observed for the asymmetric product below.

## (S)-1-Phenylpropan-1-ol 14.

This compound is known. ${ }^{17}$ From 3-chloropropiophenone 13: A degassed solution of 3chloropropiophenone ( $170 \mathrm{mg}, 1.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ) and ( $\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{S}$ )-2 ( $3.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.005 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.5 \%$ ) in FA/TEA ( $5: 2,0.5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was stirred at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1.5 hours. The mixture was diluted with ethyl acetate ( 5 mL ) and quenched with $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ (sat., 5 mL ), the aqueous layer was extracted further with ethyl acetate ( $2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and the organic extracts dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated to give a brown oil. The crude was dissolved in diethyl ether and passed through a silica plug to yield the product 14 as a red oil ( $123 \mathrm{mg}, 0.97 \mathrm{mmol}, 96 \%$ ) in $100 \%$ conv and $95 \%$ ee as measured by GC. $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{22}-43.5$ (c 0.35 in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); lit ${ }^{17}[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{22}-43.6$ (S) (c 1.0 in $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 7.29-7.15(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ph}), 4.49(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=3.2,6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHOH})$, $1.85(1 \mathrm{H}$, brs, OH$), 1.78-1.57\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 0.82\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ 143.9, 127.8, 126.9, 125.4, 75.4, 31.3, 9.5 ; Chiral GC; (CP-Chirasil-Dex-Cß, $25 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.25 \mathrm{~mm} \times$ $0.25 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ column, oven: hold 12 mins at $125{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then ramp $1^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$, final temp $145{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, inj.: split $220{ }^{\circ}$ C, det.: FID $250{ }^{\circ}$ C, 18 Psi He), retention times: $11.2(R)$ and $11.4(S)$ minutes.

From phenyl vinyl ketone 15: Compound 14 could also be prepared with $100 \%$ conversion and $95 \%$ ee with the same method, starting from 15 (prepared by elimination of HCl from 3-chloropropriophenone, ${ }^{18} 126 \mathrm{mg}, 0.95 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$ ), ( $(S, S)-2$ catalyst ( $3.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.006 \mathrm{mmol}$, $0.5 \%$ ) and FA/TEA ( $5: 2,0.5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The product was isolated as a clear oil ( $103 \mathrm{mg}, 0.76 \mathrm{mmol}$, 79\%).

Attempted reduction of 1-phenylprop-2-en-1-ol 17. Application of the same method to commercially available $\alpha$-vinylbenzyl alcohol $\mathbf{1 7}(134 \mathrm{mg})$ and ( $S, S$ )-2 catalyst ( $3.3 \mathrm{mg}, 5$ $\mu \mathrm{mol}, 0.5 \%$ ) in FA/TEA ( $5: 2.0 .5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) gave no reaction in 1.5 hr at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## rac-1,3-Diphenylpropan-1-ol 19.

This compound is known. ${ }^{19}$ To a suspension of chalcone 18 ( $212 \mathrm{mg}, 1.02 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) and Pd/C ( $5 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}, 52 \mathrm{mg}, 24 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 2.5 \% \mathrm{Pd}$ ) in isopropanol ( 5 mL ) was added acetic acid ( 124 $\mathrm{mg}, 2.06 \mathrm{mmol}, 2 \mathrm{eq}$ ) followed by sodium borohydride ( $160 \mathrm{mg}, 4.23 \mathrm{mmol}, 4 \mathrm{eq}$ ), with vigorous effervescence. The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 2 hours and quenched slowly with $\mathrm{HCl}(0.2 \mathrm{M}, 2.5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The resulting suspension was neutralised with $\mathrm{NaOH}(2 \mathrm{M}$,
$\sim 1.5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and filtered through celite with isopropanol to remove $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was concentrated to remove excess isopropanol and then the aqueous layer was extracted with diethyl ether ( $3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated to give the saturated alcohol 19 as a clear oil that solidifies on standing. ( $183 \mathrm{mg}, 0.86 \mathrm{mmol}, 85 \%$ ). $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ 7.34 ( 4 H , br. s., Ph), $7.30-7.23$ ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ph}$ ), $7.22-7.14$ ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ph}$ ), 4.67 ( 1 H , br. s., CHOH ), 2.82-2.55 (2H, m, PhCH $)$, 2.20-1.96(2H, m, CHCH $)$, 1.92 ( 1 H, br. s., OH); $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}(101 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 144.6, 141.8, 128.6, 128.5, 128.4, 127.7, 126.0, 125.9, 73.9, 40.5, 32.1; Chiral HPLC (CHIRALPAK IB column: ( $0.46 \times 25 \mathrm{~cm}$ ), $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 7 \%$ IPA : $93 \%$ Hexane; 256 nm UV, $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): retention times: $9.4(S)$ and $10.4(R)$ minutes.
rac-(E)-1,3-Diphenylprop-2-en-1-ol 21.
This compound is known. ${ }^{20,21}$ To a suspension of chalcone $18(625 \mathrm{mg}, 3.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq})$ and cerium trichloride heptahydrate ( $1.12 \mathrm{~g}, 3.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) in methanol ( 6 mL ) was added sodium borohydride ( $113 \mathrm{mg}, 3.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction was stirred for 1 hour, quenched with $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ (sat., 10 mL ) and extracted with diethyl ether ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated to give the unsaturated alcohol 21 as a clear oil that solidifies on standing ( $532 \mathrm{mg}, 2.53 \mathrm{mmol}, 84 \%$ ). $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 7.48$ 7.19 ( $10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ph}$ ), 6.69 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz},=\mathrm{CHPh}$ ), 6.38 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=6.4,15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ CHCH=), 5.39 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHOH}$ ), 2.08 ( 1 H, br. s., OH); $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 142.9,136.6,131.6$, 130.6, 128.7, 128.7, 127.9, 127.2, 126.7, 126.5, 75.1; Chiral HPLC (CHIRALPAK IB column: $(0.46 \times 25 \mathrm{~cm}), 1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 7 \%$ IPA : $93 \%$ Hexane; $256 \mathrm{~nm} U V, 30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): retention times: 13.4 $(S)$ and $16.9(R)$ minutes.
(S)-1,3-Diphenylpropan-1-ol 19 and (S,E)-1,3-diphenylprop-2-en-1-ol 21.

These compounds are known. ${ }^{19-21}$ A degassed suspension of trans-chalcone 18 ( $208 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0$ mmol, 1 eq) and ( $S, S$ ) -2 ( $6.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \%$ ) in FA/TEA ( $5: 2,0.5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was stirred at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1.5 hours. On completion the reaction mixture was homogenous. The mixture was diluted with diethyl ether ( 2 mL ) and quenched with $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ (sat., 2 mL ), the aqueous layer was extracted further with ether ( $2 \times 2 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and the organic extracts dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and passed through a silica plug to yield the product as an off white solid ( $203 \mathrm{mg}, 0.96 \mathrm{mmol}$, $96 \%$ ). The product was obtained as a mixture of saturated and unsaturated alcohols in $98 \%$ conversion, ratio $91: 7$ by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, major product $96 \%$ ee, minor product $73 \%$ ee. The opposite enantiomer was obtained using ( $R, R$ ) $\mathbf{- 3}(3.3 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \%)$, trans-chalcone ( $104 \mathrm{mg}, 0.5$
mmol ), FA/TEA ( 0.5 mL ) and methanol ( 0.5 mL ), to give the product ( $102 \mathrm{mg}, 0.48 \mathrm{mmol}$, $96 \%)$. The product was obtained as a mixture of saturated and unsaturated alcohols in $100 \%$ conversion, ratio $96: 2$ by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, major product $98 \%$ ee, minor product $84 \%$ ee. Spectroscopic data for asymmetric product is consistent with the prepared standards. Mp $52{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{27}+29.4, R 98 \%$ ee (c 0.425 in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); lit ${ }^{19}[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{22}+27.3, R 93 \%$ ee (c 0.51 in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); Chiral HPLC (CHIRALPAK IB column: ( $0.46 \times 25 \mathrm{~cm}$ ), $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 7 \%$ IPA : 93\% Hexane; 256 nm UV, $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): retention times: $10.0(S)$-saturated, $11.0(R)$-saturated, $13.4(S)$-unsaturated and $16.8(R)$-unsaturated minutes.
(E)-1-(4-Chlorophenyl)-3-phenylprop-2-en-1-one.

This compound is known. ${ }^{22} 4^{\prime}$-Chloroacetophenone ( $1.54 \mathrm{~g}, 10.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was dissolved in a solution of sodium methoxide ( $25 \mathrm{wt} \%$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}, 1.11 \mathrm{~g}, 5.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.5 \mathrm{eq}$ ) and MeOH $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Benzaldehyde ( $1.59 \mathrm{~g}, 15.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5 \mathrm{eq}$ ) in $\mathrm{MeOH}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the suspension was warmed to $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resulting solution was stirred for 18 hours, THF ( 10 mL ) was added to dissolve solids and the reaction was then quenched by dropwise addition of $\mathrm{HCl}(0.25 \mathrm{M}, 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The resulting yellow crystalline precipitate was isolated by filtration and purified by recrystallization from hot ethanol and water. The pure product was isolated as an off white crystalline solid ( $2.08 \mathrm{~g}, 8.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 86 \%$ ). Mp $93-96^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 7.98(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.83(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}=), 7.71-7.61$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.49(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{o}-\mathrm{Cl} \mathrm{ArH}), 7.50(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}=), 7.45-7.41(3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ph})$.
rac-1-(4-Chlorophenyl)-3-phenylpropan-1-ol 22.

This compound is known. ${ }^{23}$ To a solution of 4 -chlorobenzaldehyde ( $141 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) in THF ( 1 mL ) at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added phenethyl magnesium chloride ( 1 M in THF, $1 \mathrm{~mL}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$ ). The reaction was allowed to warm to rt over 2.5 hours, quenched with sat. $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( $2 \times 2.5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic extract was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated to give the product 22 as a pale yellow oil. Purification by column chromatography ( 5 g silica, $30 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ :Petroleum ether) gave the pure product as a white solid (192 mg, $0.78 \mathrm{mmol}, 78 \%$ ). $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ ) $7.35-7.24$ ( $6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ph}$ ), $7.23-7.14$ (3H, m, Ph), 4.74-4.57(1H, m, CHOH), 2.81-2.54(2H, m, PhCH $)_{2}$, 2.15-1.93(2H, m, $\mathrm{CHCH}_{2}$ ), $1.91-1.84(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{OH}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{c}}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 143.0(\mathrm{C}), 141.5(\mathrm{C}), 133.2(\mathrm{C}), 128.6$
$(\mathrm{CH}), 128.4(\mathrm{CH}), 128.4(\mathrm{CH}), 127.3(\mathrm{CH}), 125.9(\mathrm{CH}), 73.1(\mathrm{CH}), 40.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$; Chiral HPLC (CHIRALPAK IB column: ( $0.46 \times 25 \mathrm{~cm}$ ), $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 7 \%$ IPA : 93\% Hexane; 210 nm UV, $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) retention times: $9.3(S)$ and $10.6(R)$ minutes.
rac-(E)-1-(4-Chlorophenyl)-3-phenylprop-2-en-1-ol.

This compound is known. ${ }^{20,24}$ To a suspension of $(E)$-1-(4-chlorophenyl)-3-phenylprop-2-en-1-one ( $242 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) and cerium trichloride heptahydrate ( $392 \mathrm{mg}, 1.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ eq) in methanol ( 2 mL ) was added sodium borohydride ( $43 \mathrm{mg}, 1.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction was stirred for 1 hour and quenched with sat. $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with diethyl ether ( $3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and passed through a plug of activated carbon/celite. The filtrate was concentrated to give the unsaturated alcohol as a clear oil that solidified on standing in the freezer for 3 days ( 239 mg ). Trituation from water gave a sticky white solid that was dried under vacuum to yield pure compound as a grey solid. ( $174 \mathrm{mg}, 0.71 \mathrm{mmol}, 71 \%$ ). $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 7.59-7.06(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 6.68(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz},=\mathrm{CHPh}), 6.32(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=$ 15.8, $6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHCH}=), 5.36(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHOH}), 2.09(1 \mathrm{H}$, br. s., OH$) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}(101 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 141.2, 136.3, 133.5, 131.1, 131.0, 128.8, 128.7, 128.0, 127.7, 126.7, 74.5; Chiral HPLC (CHIRALPAK IB column: ( $0.46 \times 25 \mathrm{~cm}$ ), $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 7 \%$ IPA : 93\% Hexane; 210 nm UV, $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) retention times: $12.5(S)$ and $17.9(R)$ minutes.
(R)-1-(4-Chlorophenyl)-3-phenylpropan-1-ol 22 and (R,E)-1-(4-chlorophenyl)-3-phenylprop-2-en-1-ol.

The major compound is known in racemic form. ${ }^{23}$ The asymmetric form has not been reported. The minor compound is known. ${ }^{20,24}$ A degassed suspension of $(E)$-1-(4-chlorophenyl)-3-phenylprop-2-en-1-one ( $120 \mathrm{mg}, 0.49 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) and ( $R, R$ )-3 catalyst ( 3.3 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.005 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \%)$ in FA/TEA ( $5: 2,0.5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and methanol ( 0.5 mL ) was stirred at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 22 hours. The mixture was quenched with $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ (sat., 2 mL ), extracted into diethyl ether $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dry loaded onto silica ( $\sim 200 \mathrm{mg}$ ). Filtration with $40 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} /$ Hexane through a silica plug ( $\sim 200 \mathrm{mg}$ ) gave the crude product as a sticky red film (114 mg). Purification by column chromatography ( $15 \%$ EtOAc in petroleum ether) gave the pure mixture of alcohols as a clear oil. ( $98 \mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}, 80 \%$ ). The product was obtained as a mixture of saturated 22 and unsaturated alcohols, ratio $96: 4$ by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR. Total conv $100 \%$, major product $94 \%$ ee as determined by HPLC, minor product was $69 \%$ ee as determined by HPLC. $[\alpha]_{D}{ }^{27}+12.8 R$

94\% ee (c 0.375 in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); Chiral HPLC (CHIRALPAK IB column: ( $0.46 \times 25 \mathrm{~cm}$ ), $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 7 \%$ IPA : 93\% Hexane; 210 nm UV, $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) retention times: $9.6(S)$-saturated, $10.8(R)$-saturated, $13.0(S)$-unsaturated and $16.1(R)$-unsaturated minutes.

## (E)-1-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-3-phenylprop-2-en-1-one.

This compound is known ${ }^{22} 4^{\prime}$-Methoxyacetophenone ( $1.51 \mathrm{~g}, 10.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was dissolved in a solution of sodium methoxide ( $25 \mathrm{wt} \%$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}, 0.43 \mathrm{~g}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.2 \mathrm{eq}$ ) and $\mathrm{MeOH}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Benzaldehyde ( $1.50 \mathrm{~g}, 14.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.4 \mathrm{eq}$ ) in MeOH $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the suspension was warmed to $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resulting solution was stirred for 48 hours, then quenched by dropwise addition of $\mathrm{HCl}(0.25 \mathrm{M}, 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The resulting white crystalline solid was isolated by filtration ( $2.20 \mathrm{~g}, 9.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 92 \%$ ). $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ ( 500 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 8.09-8.02(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.81(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=15.7 \mathrm{~Hz},=\mathrm{CH}), 7.65(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=7.2,2.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \operatorname{ArH}), 7.55(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=15.7 \mathrm{~Hz},=\mathrm{CH}), 7.45-7.36(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.02-6.96(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH})$, $3.89\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ 188.7, 163.5, 144.0, 135.1, 131.1, 130.8, 130.4, 129.0, 128.4, 121.9, 113.9, 55.5.
rac-1-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-3-phenylpropan-1-ol 23.

This compound is known ${ }^{26}$ To a suspension of (E)-1-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-3-phenylprop-2-en-1-one ( $240 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) and $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(5 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}, 54 \mathrm{mg}, 25 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 2.5 \% \mathrm{Pd}$ ) in isopropanol ( 5 mL ) was added acetic acid ( $120 \mathrm{mg}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 2 \mathrm{eq}$ ) followed by sodium borohydride ( $152 \mathrm{mg}, 4.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 4 \mathrm{eq}$ ), with vigorous effervescence. The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 2.5 hours, then additional sodium borohydride was added ( $76 \mathrm{mg}, 2.0$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 2 \mathrm{eq})$. The suspension was stirred for another hour, then filtered through celite with isopropanol ( 40 mL ) and water ( 10 mL ). The filtrate was partially concentrated under vacuum but continued to evolve gas, so was quenched with $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ (sat. soln, 10 mL ) and concentrated at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The concentrated residue was partitioned between diethyl ether (10 mL ) and $\mathrm{NaOH}(2 \mathrm{M}$ soln, 5 mL ). The aqueous layer was extracted with further portions of ether ( $2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), and the combined organic layers were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated under vacuum to give the crude product as a clear oil that solidifies into a sticky solid on standing ( 218 mg ). This material was dissolved in a minimum quantity of methanol and water was added until a white emulsion formed. Concentrating the emulsion gave a the pure product as a white crystalline solid (206 mg, $0.84 \mathrm{mmol}, 84 \%$ ). Mp 52-53 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}(400$
$\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 7.32-7.23(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ph}), 7.22-7.13(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ph}), 6.88(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, o-\mathrm{O} \mathrm{Ph})$, 4.78-4.53(1H, m, CHOH), 3.80(3H, s, OCH3), 2.79-2.58(2H, m, PhCH $)_{2}$, 2.20-1.94(2H, m, $\left.\mathrm{CHCH}_{2}\right), 1.83(1 \mathrm{H}$, br. s., OH$) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 159.1,141.9,136.7,128.5,128.4,127.3$, 125.9, 113.9, 73.5, 55.3, 40.4, 32.1; Chiral HPLC (CHIRALPAK IB column: ( $0.46 \times 25 \mathrm{~cm}$ ), 1 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}, 7 \%$ IPA : 93\% Hexane; 210 nm UV, $30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) retention times: $11.9(\mathrm{~S})$ and $13.1(R)$ minutes.

## (R)-1-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-3-phenylpropan-1-ol 23.

This compound is known. ${ }^{27}$ A degassed suspension of $(E)$-1-(4-methoxyphenyl)-3-phenylprop-2-en-1-one ( $121 \mathrm{mg}, 0.51 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) and catalyst $(R, R)-3(3.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.005$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 1 \%$ ) in FA/TEA ( $5: 2,0.5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and methanol ( 0.5 mL ) was stirred at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 22 hours. The mixture was quenched with $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ (sat., 2 mL ), extracted into diethyl ether ( 2 mL ) and dry loaded onto silica ( $\sim 200 \mathrm{mg}$ ). Filtration with $20 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} /$ Hexane ( 10 mL ) through a silica plug ( $\sim 0.2 \mathrm{~g}$ ) gave the pure product 23 as a white solid ( $110 \mathrm{mg}, 0.45 \mathrm{mmol}, 89 \%$ ). Total conv $100 \%$, major product $98 \%$ ee. The ee of the unsaturated product was not determined. $[\alpha]_{D}{ }^{22}+19.4$ (c 0.24 in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); $\mathrm{lit}^{27}[\alpha]_{D}{ }^{22} 10.3$ (c 0.86 in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); Chiral HPLC (CHIRALPAK IB column: ( $0.46 \times 25 \mathrm{~cm}$ ), $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 7 \%$ IPA : $93 \%$ Hexane; 210 nm UV, $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) retention times: $11.7(S)$ and $13.1(R)$ minutes.

## (E)-1-(4-(Dimethylamino)phenyl)-3-phenylprop-2-en-1-one.

This compound is known. ${ }^{22}$ 1-(4-(Dimethylamino)phenyl)ethan-1-one ${ }^{28}$ ( $1.63 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ eq) was dissolved in a solution of sodium methoxide ( $25 \mathrm{wt} \%$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}, 1.08 \mathrm{~g}, 5 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.5$ eq) and $\mathrm{MeOH}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Benzaldehyde ( $1.59 \mathrm{~g}, 15 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5 \mathrm{eq}$ ) in MeOH $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the suspension was warmed to $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resulting yellow solution was stirred for 48 hours, then quenched with $\mathrm{HCl}(0.25 \mathrm{M}, 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The resulting yellow precipitate was filtered and washed with aqueous methanol. The crude solid was purified by recrystallization from hot ethanol, to give the pure chalcone as a fluffy yellow solid, (884 $\mathrm{mg}, 3.52 \mathrm{mmol}, 35 \%) . \mathrm{Mp} 168-170{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 8.05-7.96$ (2H, m, ArH), 7.78 (1H, d, J = $15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.66-7.55(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.43-7.35(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 6.74-6.66(2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 3.07(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ., 3.07\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 187.8,153.4,142.5,135.5$, $130.8,129.9,128.9,128.2,126.0,122.2,110.9,40.1$.
rac-1-(4-(Dimethylamino)phenyl)-3-phenylpropan-1-ol 24.
This compound is known but not fully characterised. ${ }^{29}$ To a solution of 4(dimethylamino) benzaldehyde ( $152 \mathrm{mg}, 1.02 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) in THF ( 1 mL ) at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added phenethyl magnesium chloride ( 1 M in THF, $1 \mathrm{~mL}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$ ). The reaction was allowed to warm to rt over 5.5 hours and quenched with sat. $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$, diluted with water ( 1 mL ) and extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 3 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic extract was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated to give the product $\mathbf{2 4}$ as a white solid ( $260 \mathrm{mg}, 1.02 \mathrm{mmol}, 100 \%$ ). Mp 67-68 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; HRMS: found (ESI) $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right.$, 256.1692. ( $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{NO}$ requires 256.1696 ); $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 7.46-$ $6.99(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ph}), 6.73(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{o}-\mathrm{N}$ Ph), $4.59(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHOH}), 2.95(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, NMe ${ }_{2}$ ), 2.79-2.56 (2H, m, PhCH $)_{2}$, 2.21-1.93 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CHCH}_{2}$ ), $1.69\left(1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, br. s., OH); $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}(75$ $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 150.3, 142.1, 132.3, 128.5, 128.3, 127.0, 125.7, 112.6, 73.7, 40.7, 40.04, 32.3; $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (ESI): $256.2\left(\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)\right.$); Chiral HPLC (CHIRALPAK IB column: ( $0.46 \times 25 \mathrm{~cm}$ ), $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, $10 \%$ IPA : 90\% Hexane; $210 \mathrm{~nm} \mathrm{UV}, 30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) retention times: $10.6(S)$ and $11.3(R)$ minutes.
rac-(R)-1-(4-(Dimethylamino)phenyl)-3-phenylpropan-1-ol 24.

The asymmetric form of this compound has not been reported. A degassed suspension of (E)-1-(4-(dimethylamino)phenyl)-3-phenylprop-2-en-1-one. ( $129 \mathrm{mg}, 0.51 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) and catalyst ( $R, R$ )-3 ( $3.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.005 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \%$ ) in FA/TEA ( $5: 2,0.5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and methanol ( 0.5 mL ) was stirred at $40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 hours. The mixture was quenched with $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ (sat., 2 mL ), extracted into diethyl ether ( 2 mL ) and dry loaded onto silica ( $\sim 200 \mathrm{mg}$ ). Filtration with $20 \%$ EtOAc/petroleum ether ( 10 mL ) through a silica plug ( $\sim 0.75 \mathrm{~g}$ ) gave the crude product.in $91 \%$ conversion, with the remainder being the saturated ketone. ( 121 mg ). Purification by column chromatography ( $20 \%$ EtOAc in petroleum ether) gave the pure product 24 as a white solid ( $98 \mathrm{mg}, 0.38 \mathrm{mmol}, 75 \%$ ). in $97 \%$ ee as determined by HPLC. Spectral data matched those of the racemic compound. TLC: $30 \%$ EtOAc in petroleum ether, silica, $\mathrm{Rf}=$ 0.22 (SM 0.28); $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}+18.8$ (c 0.295 in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); Chiral HPLC (CHIRALPAK IB column: ( 0.46 x $25 \mathrm{~cm}), 1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 10 \%$ IPA : $90 \%$ Hexane; 210 nm UV, $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): retention times: $10.6(\mathrm{~S})$ and $11.2(R)$ minutes.

## (E)-3-Cyclohexyl-1-phenylprop-2-en-1-one.

This compound is known. ${ }^{31}$ To a suspension of sodium hydride ( $60 \mathrm{wt} \%$ dispersion in mineral oil, $0.20 \mathrm{~g}, 5.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ) in THF ( 5 mL ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added dropwise a solution of the diethyl (2-oxo-2-phenylethyl)phosphonate ${ }^{30}$ ( $1.25 \mathrm{~g}, 4.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ) in THF ( 5 mL ) and the resulting clear solution was stirred for 30 minutes at room temperature. Cyclohexanecarboxaldehyde ( $0.57 \mathrm{~g}, 5.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was added neat and the reaction mixture stirred at room temperature overnight. The reaction was quenched with $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ (half saturated, 30 mL ) and extracted with ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), the organic extracts washed with brine ( 25 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated to give the crude product as a clear oil ( 1.13 g ). The crude was taken up in methanol $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and cooled to $-72{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resulting white precipitate was filtered and dried to give the pure product as a white solid (492 mg, $2.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 45 \%$ ). Mp 46-48 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 7.92(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{o}$ Ph), $7.57-7.51(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, p-\mathrm{Ph}), 7.49-7.42(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, m-\mathrm{Ph}), 7.01(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=6.8,15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $=\mathrm{CHCH}), 6.83(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{COCH}=), 2.32-2.18(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Cy}), 1.88-1.74(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Cy})$, 1.70 (1H, d, J = $12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Cy}), 1.34-1.15$ ( $6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Cy}$ ); $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 191.4,154.9,138.2$, 132.6, 128.5, 128.5, 123.4, 41.1, 31.8, 25.9, 25.8..
rac-3-Cyclohexyl-1-phenylpropan-1-ol 25.

This compound is known. ${ }^{32}$ To a suspension of $(E)$-3-cyclohexyl-1-phenylprop-2-en-1-one $(215 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq})$ and $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(5 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}, 55 \mathrm{mg}, 26 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 2.5 \% \mathrm{Pd}$ ) in isopropanol (5 mL ) was added acetic acid ( $121 \mathrm{mg}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 2 \mathrm{eq}$ ) followed by sodium borohydride (153 $\mathrm{mg}, 4.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 4 \mathrm{eq})$, with vigorous effervescence. The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 2.5 hours, then additional sodium borohydride was added ( $75 \mathrm{mg}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 2 \mathrm{eq}$ ). The reaction was stirred for for an additional 2 hours and then quenched slowly with $\mathrm{HCl}(0.2$ $\mathrm{M}, 2.5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The resulting suspension was neutralised with $\mathrm{NaOH}(2 \mathrm{M}, \sim 1.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and filtered through celite with isopropanol to remove $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was concentrated to remove excess isopropanol and then the aqueous layer was extracted with diethyl ether ( $3 \times 10$ mL ), dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated to give the product 25 as a white solid ( 214 mg , $0.98 \mathrm{mmol}, 98 \%) . \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 7.39-7.31(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.31-7.26(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH})$, 4.68-4.58 (1H, m, CHOH), 1.88-1.57 (8H, m, alkylH), 1.39-1.06 (6H, m, alkylH), 0.93-0.79 (2H, m, AlkylH); $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ ) 162.3, 128.4, 127.5, 125.9, 75.07, 37.7, 36.5, 33.5, 33.36, 26.7, 26.3; Chiral HPLC (CHIRALPAK IB column: ( $0.46 \times 25 \mathrm{~cm}$ ), $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, $4 \%$ IPA : $96 \%$ Hexane; 210 nm UV, $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): retention times: $7.4(S)$ and $7.9(R)$ minutes.
rac-(S)-3-Cyclohexyl-1-phenylpropan-1-ol 25.

The asymmetric form of this compound has not been reported. A degassed suspension of (E)-3-cyclohexyl-1-phenylprop-2-en-1-one ( $107 \mathrm{mg}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) and catalyst (S,S)-2 (5 $\mu \mathrm{mol}, 100: 1 \mathrm{~S} / \mathrm{C}$ ) in FA/TEA ( $5: 2,0.5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and methanol ( 0.5 mL ) was stirred at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 hours. On completion the reaction mixture was homogenous. The mixture was diluted with diethyl ether ( 2 mL ) and quenched with $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(\mathrm{sat} ., 2 \mathrm{~mL})$, the aqueous layer was extracted further with ether ( $2 \times 2 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and the organic extracts dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and passed through a silica plug to yield the product. Total conversion $100 \%$. Product obtained as a grey solid ( $55 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol}, 50 \%$ ) containing a mixture of saturated and unsaturated alcohols, ratio $98: 2$ by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR. Major product ee $97 \%$. $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}$-10.9 (c 0.245 in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); Chiral HPLC (CHIRALPAK IB column: ( $0.46 \times 25 \mathrm{~cm}$ ), $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 4 \%$ IPA : 96\% Hexane; 210 nm $\mathrm{UV}, 30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): retention times: $7.5(S)$-saturated and $7.9(R)$-saturated minutes.

## (E)-1-Cyclohexyl-3-phenylprop-2-en-1-one.

This compound is known. ${ }^{33}$ Sodium methoxide solution ( $25 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}, 5.96 \mathrm{~g}, 27.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was diluted to 50 mL with methanol and added to cyclohexylmethyl ketone ( $3.33 \mathrm{~g}, 26.4$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$ ). The mixture was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and a solution of benzaldehyde ( $2.81 \mathrm{~g}, 26,5$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) in methanol ( 15 mL ) was added. The reaction mixture was warmed to $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 3 days. The reaction was quenched with $0.25 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with diethyl ether ( $4 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), the organic layers were dried and concentrated to give the crude product as a yellow oil that solidifies slowly on standing. The oil was dissolved in $\sim_{150} \mathrm{~mL}$ of methanol and cooled to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the resulting precipitate was filtered and washed once with cold methanol and dried to give the purified product as a white solid ( $2.78 \mathrm{~g}, 13.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 49 \%$ ). Mp $54-58{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 7.60(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{PhCH}=), 7.60-7.52(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, o-\mathrm{Ph}), 7.45-7.30(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{m}, p-\mathrm{Ph}), 6.82(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, COCH=), 2.73-2.59 (1H, m, CHCO), 2.01-1.77 (4H, m, alkylH), 1.77-1.65 (1H, m, alkylH), 1.55-1.14 (5H, m, alkylH).
rac-1-Cyclohexyl-3-phenylpropan-1-ol 26.
This compound is known. ${ }^{32}$ To a solution of cyclohexane carboxaldehyde ( $128 \mathrm{mg}, 1.14$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) in THF ( 1 mL ) was added phenethyl magnesium chloride ( 1 M in THF, $1 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0$
$\mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction was stirred for 2.75 hours while gradually warming to $\sim_{0}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then quenched with $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ (sat. soln, 2 mL ) and water ( 1 mL ). The suspension was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 2.5 \mathrm{~mL})$, the organic layers dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated to give the crude product as a white solid. The crude was purified by column chromatography ( $10 \%$ EtOAc in petroleum ether) to give the pure product as a white powder ( $110 \mathrm{mg}, 0.51$ mmol, $45 \%$ ). TLC: $10 \%$ EtOAc in petroleum ether, silica, Rf $0.16, \mathrm{KMnO}_{4} ; \mathrm{Mp} 68-70{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $7.31-7.18(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 3.45-3.31(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CHOH}), 2.91-2.78(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, CHCHH), 2.72-2.58(1H, m, CHCHH), 1.89-1.60(7H, m, CH 2 and Cy), 1.37-0.97 (7H, m, OH and Cy$) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 142.4,128.4,128.4,125.8,75.6,43.8,36.0,32.4,29.2,27.8$, 26.5, 26.3, 26.2; Chiral HPLC (CHIRALPAK IB column: (0.46 x 25 cm ), $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 4 \%$ IPA : 96\% Hexane; 210 nm UV, $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): retention times: 8.3 and 13.3 minutes.
rac-(E)-1-Cyclohexyl-3-phenylprop-2-en-1-ol.

This compound is known. ${ }^{34}$ To a suspension of $(E)$-1-cyclohexyl-3-phenylprop-2-en-1-one $(211 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq})$ and cerium trichloride heptahydrate ( $372 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) in methanol ( 2 mL ) was added sodium borohydride ( $43 \mathrm{mg}, 1.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction was stirred for 1.5 hours, quenched with $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ (sat., 5 mL ), diluted with water (3 mL ), and extracted with diethyl ether ( $3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated to give the unsaturated alcohol as a white solid ( $168 \mathrm{mg}, 0.78 \mathrm{mmol}$, $78 \%) . \delta_{H}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 7.40-7.22(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 6.55(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz},=\mathrm{CHPh}), 6.23$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=7.2,15.9 \mathrm{~Hz},=\mathrm{CHCH}), 4.02(1 \mathrm{H}$, br. s., CHOH$), 1.92(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OH}), 1.83-$ $1.61(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Cy}), 1.60-1.42(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Cy}), 1.35-0.90(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Cy}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 136.8$, 131.2, 131.1, 128.6, 127., 126.5, 77.6, 44.0, 28.9, 28.7, 26.5, 26.2, 26.1); Chiral HPLC (CHIRALPAK IB column: ( $0.46 \times 25 \mathrm{~cm}$ ), $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 4 \%$ IPA : $96 \%$ Hexane; 210 nm UV, $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): retention times: 9.9 and 14.4 minutes.

## (E)-1-Cyclohexyl-3-phenylprop-2-en-1-ol and 1-cyclohexyl-3-phenylpropan-1-ol 26.

The asymmetric form of these compound have not been reported. A degassed suspension of (E)-1-cyclohexyl-3-phenylprop-2-en-1-one ( $97 \mathrm{mg}, 0.45 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) and catalyst ( $S, S$ )-2 ( $5 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 100: 1 \mathrm{~S} / \mathrm{C}$ ) in FA/TEA $(5: 2,0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and methanol $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2.5 hours. On completion the reaction mixture was homogenous. The mixture was diluted with diethyl ether ( 2 mL ) and quenched with $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ (sat., 2 mL ), the aqueous layer was extracted further with ether ( $2 \times 2 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and the organic extracts dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and
passed through a silica plug to yield the product. Conversion $82 \%$. Product obtained as a clear oil ( $78 \mathrm{mg}, 0.36 \mathrm{mmol}, 80 \%$ ) containing a mixture of saturated and unsaturated alcohols, ratio 10 : 90 by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR. Major (1,2-) product ee $59 \%$, minor (1,4-) product ee $36 \%$. With (E)-1-cyclohexyl-3-phenylprop-2-en-1-one (108 mg, 0.50 mmol ) and catalyst $(R, R)-3 ; 23$ hours reaction time, conversion $100 \%$. Product obtained as a white solid (111 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.51 \mathrm{mmol}, 100 \%$ ) containing a mixture of saturated and unsaturated alcohols, ratio 59 : 41 by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR. Major product ee $21 \%$, minor product ee $76 \%$. Aqueous reduction: Sodium formate ( $170 \mathrm{mg}, 2.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 5 \mathrm{eq}$ ), (E)-1-cyclohexyl-3-phenylprop-2-en-1-one ( $110 \mathrm{mg}, 0.51$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq})$ and ( $R, R$ )-3 ( $3.3 \mathrm{mg}, 5 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1 \%$ ) were suspended in water ( 1 mL ) and methanol $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and heated to $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The solids melt and form a brown oil on top of the aqueous phase. The mixture was stirred vigorously for 19 hours before being cooled to rt and diluted with diethyl ether ( 2 mL ). The organic layer was separated, then concentrated directly onto silica. Elution through a short silica plug with 40\% diethyl ether in petroleum ether gave the product in $98 \%$ conversion as a clear oil ( $103 \mathrm{mg}, 0.47 \mathrm{mmol}, 92 \%$ ) containing a mixture of saturated and unsaturated alcohols, ratio $68: 32$ by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR. Major product ee $49 \%$, minor product ee $82 \%$.

## 2-(1-Hydroxycyclohexyl)-1-phenylethan-1-one.

This compound is known. ${ }^{35} \mathrm{TiCl}_{4}(1 \mathrm{M}$ in DCM, $12 \mathrm{~mL}, 12 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was added dropwise at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to a solution of cyclohexanone ( $1.23 \mathrm{~g}, 12.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.25 \mathrm{eq}$ ) in DCM ( 20 mL ) and stirred for 25 mins. To the resulting yellow suspension was added dropwise 1-phenyl-1(trimethylsiloxy)ethylene ( $1.94 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ). The resulting orange suspension was allowed to warm to $r t$ and stirred for 24 hours before being quenched with water ( 35 mL ). The mixture was extracted with DCM ( $2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), washed with brine ( 10 mL ) and filtered through a plug of silica gel ( $\sim 4 \mathrm{~g}$ ) with DCM to give the crude product as a thick yellow oil that crystallises on standing ( 2.69 g ).The crude was dissolved in hot methanol, concentrated to a thick oil and then crystallised by addition of hexane ( $\sim 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) to give the pure product as a white crystalline solid ( $0.95 \mathrm{~g}, 4.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 43 \%$ ). A second crop was isolated by concentration of the mother liquors and addition of hexane to give white plates ( $0.20 \mathrm{~g}, 0.91 \mathrm{mmol}, 9 \%$ ). Combined yield ( $1.15 \mathrm{~g}, 5.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 52 \%$ ). TLC: 20\% EtOAc in petroleum ether, silica, $\mathrm{Rf}=0.2, \mathrm{UV} ; \mathrm{Mp} 78-79^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 8.00-7.91(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{o}-$ Ph), $7.63-7.56(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, p-\mathrm{Ph}), 7.52-7.45(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, m-\mathrm{Ph}), 3.97(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OH}), 3.12(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\mathrm{COCH}_{2}$ ), 1.83-1.65 (5H, m, Cy), 1.58 (1H, dd, J = 2.9, 6.2 Hz, Cy), 1.52-1.38 (4H, m, Cy),
1.36-1.23 (1H, m, Cy); $\delta_{\mathrm{c}}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 202.0,137.5,133.6,128.7,128.11,71.0,47.7$, 37.8, 25.8, 22.0.

## 2-Cyclohexylidene-1-phenylethan-1-one.

This compound is known. ${ }^{36}$ 2-(1-Hydroxycyclohexyl)-1-phenylethan-1-one ( $868 \mathrm{mg}, 3.9$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) and $p$-toluenesulfonic acid monohydrate ( $613 \mathrm{mg}, 3.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.8 \mathrm{eq}$ ) were suspended in toluene ( 8 mL ) and stirred at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4.5 hours, as monitored by $\mathrm{TLC} . \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ ( $\sim 0.5 \mathrm{~g}$ ) and petroleum ether ( 5 mL ) were added, and the resulting suspension filtered through a silica plug ( $\sim 1 \mathrm{~g}$ ) with $10 \%$ EtOAc in petroleum ether to give the crude product as a yellow oil. The crude product was purified by column chromatography ( $6 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in pentane) to give the pure product as a pale yellow oil ( $616 \mathrm{mg}, 2.93 \mathrm{mmol}, 77 \%$ ). TLC: $10 \%$ EtOAc / Pet ether, silica, Rf 0.38, UV; $\delta_{H}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 8.00-7.89(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, o-\mathrm{Ph}), 7.57$ 7.48 (1H, m, p-Ph), 7.48-7.40(2H, m, m-Ph), $6.60(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},=\mathrm{CH}), 2.81-2.72(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Cy}), 2.35$ - 2.28 (2H, m, Cy), $1.77-1.61$ ( $6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Cy}$ ); $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 192.4,162.8,132.3,128.5$, 128.4, 128.4, 118.8, 38.4, 30.7, 28.9, 28.0, 26.3.
rac-2-Cyclohexyl-1-phenylethan-1-ol 27.

This compound is known. ${ }^{37}$ To a suspension of 2-cyclohexylidene-1-phenylethan-1-one (215 $\mathrm{mg}, 1.08 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) and $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(5 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}, 55 \mathrm{mg}, 26 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 2.5 \% \mathrm{Pd}$ ) in isopropanol ( 5 mL ) was added acetic acid ( $121 \mathrm{mg}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 2 \mathrm{eq}$ ) followed by sodium borohydride ( 153 mg , $4.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 4 \mathrm{eq}$ ), with vigorous effervescence. The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 2.5 hours, then additional sodium borohydride was added ( $75 \mathrm{mg}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 2 \mathrm{eq}$ ). The reaction was stirred for for an additional 2 hours and then quenched slowly with $\mathrm{HCl}(0.2 \mathrm{M}$, 2.5 mL ). The resulting suspension was neutralised with $\mathrm{NaOH}(2 \mathrm{M}, \sim 1.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and filtered through celite with isopropanol to remove $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was concentrated to remove excess isopropanol and then the aqueous layer was extracted with diethyl ether ( $3 \times 10$ mL ), dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated to give the product 27 as a white solid ( 214 mg , $1.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 93 \%)$. Mp 57-59 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; HRMS: found (ESI): $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}, 227.1406\left(\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{NaO}\right.$ requires 227.1411); $v_{\text {max }}: 3240(\mathrm{OH}), 2920(\mathrm{CH}), 2847(\mathrm{CH}), 1446$ (C-O), 1003, 697 (monosubsituted $\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1} ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 7.38-7.31(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ph}), 7.31-7.24(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ph}), 4.854 .73(1 \mathrm{H}$, m, CHOH ), $1.91-1.59(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CHH}+\mathrm{Cy}), 1.58-1.48(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{OH}+\mathrm{CHH}), 1.48-1.36(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$,
$\mathrm{CH}), 1.31-1.08(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.04-0.88(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 145.4,128.5,127.5,125.8$, 72.1, 47.1, 34.3, 34.0, 32.9, 26.6, 26.3, 26.2; m/z (ESI): 227.1 ( $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$); Chiral HPLC; Chiralpak IA column with $97 \%$ Hexane, $3 \%$ IPA, $0.5 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}, 22.8$ and 26.9 min .
rac-2-Cyclohexylidene-1-phenylethan-1-ol.

This compound has been reported as part of a mixture of isomers but has not been fully characterised. ${ }^{38}$ To a suspension of 2-cyclohexylidene-1-phenylethan-1-one (101 mg, 0.5 $\mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) and and cerium trichloride heptahydrate ( $185 \mathrm{mg}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) in methanol $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added sodium borohydride ( $29 \mathrm{mg}, 0.8 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5 \mathrm{eq}$ ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction was stirred for 2 hours and quenched with $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ (sat., 0.5 mL ), diluted with water ( 0.5 mL ) and extracted with diethyl ether ( $3 \times 2 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic extracts were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated to give the product as a clear oil ( $103 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}, 100 \%$ ). The crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel ( 2.6 g ) with $10 \%$ diethyl ether in petroleum ether as eluent, to yield the pure product as a clear oil ( $65 \mathrm{mg}, 0.32 \mathrm{mmol}$, 64\%). The pure product decomposes at room temperature within a few days. HRMS: found (ESI): $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$, 225.1251. ( $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{NaO}$ requires 225.1250); $v_{\text {max }}$ : $3374(\mathrm{OH}), 2928(\mathrm{CH}), 2854$ (CH), $1447(\mathrm{CO}), \mathrm{cm}^{-1} ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 7.42-7.29(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ph}), 7.27-7.19(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ph})$, 5.54-5.45 (1H, m, CHOH), $5.33(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz},=\mathrm{CH}), 2.41-2.21(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Cy}), 2.14-2.04$ (2H, m, Cy), $1.95\left(1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, br. s., OH), 1.63-1.50 ( $6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Cy}$ ); $\delta_{\mathrm{c}}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 144.5,143.1$, 128.5, 127.2, 125.8, 124.5, 69.8, 37.1, 29.4, 28.4, 27.9, 26.7; m/z (ESI): 225.1 ([M + Na] ${ }^{+}$), $185.1\left(30 \%,\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{OH}^{+}\right)\right.$. Chiral HPLC/GC not obtained, suitable conditions for separation were not found before the compound decomposed.
(S)-2-Cyclohexyl-1-phenylethan-1-ol 27 and (S)-2-cyclohexylidene-1-phenylethan-1ol.

A suspension of 2-cyclohexylidene-1-phenylethan-1-one ( $95 \mathrm{mg}, 0.47 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) and catalyst $(S, S)$ - $\mathbf{2}(3.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.005 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \%)$ in FA/TEA ( $5: 2,0.5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and $\mathrm{MeOH}(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred at $40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 22.5 hours. The mixture was diluted with diethyl ether ( 2 mL ) and quenched with $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ (sat., 2 mL ), the aqueous layer was extracted further with ether ( 2 x 2 mL ) and the organic extracts dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and passed through a silica plug to yield the crude product as an off white solid ( $86 \mathrm{mg}, 0.42 \mathrm{mmol}, 89 \%$ ). The product was obtained in full conversion as a mixture of saturated and unsaturated alcohols, ratio 94:6 by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR. Major product 55\% ee as calculated by HPLC. Purification by chromatography on silica (8\%
$\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} /$ Petroleum ether) separated the unsaturated alcohol and gave the purified product as a white solid ( $60 \mathrm{mg}, 0.29 \mathrm{mmol}, 62 \%$ ). Spectroscopic data for asymmetric product is consistent with the prepared standards. $\mathrm{Mp} 52{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]_{0}^{26}+50.4, R\left(\mathrm{c} 0.245\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; Chiral HPLC: Chiralpak IA column with 97\% Hexane, $3 \%$ IPA, $0.5 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}, 22.8$ and $26.9 \mathrm{~min} .55 \%$ ee (27).

Section on ATH alkene/alkynes. The results on the reduction of the TMS-containing substrates are in the Supporting Information.

## General procedure for Asymmetric Transfer Hydrogenation (procedure 1):

To a degassed solution of substrate and catalyst was added 5:2 FA:TEA. The concentration of the reaction was set at 1.0 M . The reaction mixture was heated to $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 26 hours. The reaction was monitored by TLC and/or HPLC. Once completed, the mixture was quenched with $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ (sat. soln.) and extracted with EtOAc. The organic layers were combined, dried with $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated in vacuo to give the crude product. Where appropriate further purified was undertaken.

General n-BuLi procedure for racemic alcohol synthesis (procedure 2):
A degassed solution of acetylene in THF (anhyd.) was cooled to - $78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Once cooled, $n$ butylithium was added dropwise, the reaction mixture was left to stir at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 minutes. Aldehyde was added dropwise and the reaction mixture was left to stir at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 1 hour, the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to r.t. The reaction was monitored by TLC. Once completed, the reaction was quenched with $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ (sat. soln) and extracted with EtOAc. The organic layers were combined, dried with $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated in vacuo to give the crude product. The crude product was further purified using column chromatography to give the desired product.

General procedure for $\mathrm{MnO}_{2}$ oxidation for ketone synthesis (procedure 3):
Alcohol and activated $\mathrm{MnO}_{2}$ were dissolved in DCM (anhyd.) and stirred at r.t. The reaction was monitored using TLC. When completed, the reaction mixture was diluted with DCM and filtered through a celite pad and concentrated in vacuo to give the crude product.
(E)-1,5-Diphenylpent-1-en-4-yn-3-one.
$N$-Methoxy- $N$-methylcinnamide was prepared following the published procedure. ${ }^{39}$ This compound is known and has been fully characterised. ${ }^{40}$ Phenylacetylene (1.34 g, 13.1 mmol, 1.20 eq.) was dissolved in THF (anhyd., 25.0 mL ) and cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. $n$-butyllithium (1.6 M in hexanes, $8.19 \mathrm{~mL}, 13.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.20$ eq.) was added dropwise and the mixture was left to stir for 30 minutes at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Pre-cooled to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{O}$-methyoxy- N -methylcinnamide ( $2.092 \mathrm{~g}, 10.9 \mathrm{mmol} 1.00 \mathrm{eq}$.) in THF (anhyd. 8 mL ) was added to the reaction via cannula transfer. The reaction mixture was stirred for 1 hour at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ before being warmed to r.t. The reaction was monitored using TLC until starting material had disappeared. The reaction mixture was quenched with $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ (sat. soln, 10 mL ) and extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layers were combined, dried with $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by column chromatography ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, \mathrm{Rf}: 0.60$ (9:1) hexanes/EtOAc) to give the desired product as a yellow solid ( $2.125 \mathrm{~g}, 9.12 \mathrm{mmol}, 84 \%$ ). $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 7.92(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=16.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCO}), 7.70-7.57(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.53-7.37$ ( $6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), 6.88 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=16.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCO}$ ); $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ ) 178.3, 148.3, 135.0, 132.9, 131.2, 130.6, 129.1, 128.7, 128.7, 128.6, 120.2, 91.1, 86.6; LCMS (ESI) m/z: [M+Na] ${ }^{+}$ 255.09; IR (v): 3061, 3053, 2922, 2854, 2212, 1627, 1609, 1488, 1445, 1288, 1173, 971, 909, $757,673,579,533,502,482 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; Mp: 67.4-69.0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; Chiral HPLC (CHIRALPAK IB column: ( $0.46 \times 25 \mathrm{~cm}$ ); $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, IPA:Hexane (20:80); 250 nm UV, $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): retention times: 5.76 (ketone) mins. Data matched that reported.
rac-1,5-Diphenylpent-1-yn-3-ol 28

This compound is known and has been fully characterised. ${ }^{41}$ Compound 28 was prepared using General Procedure 2. Phenylacetylene ( $0.457 \mathrm{~g}, 4.48 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.20 \mathrm{eq}$.) was dissolved in THF (anhyd., 5.00 mL ) and $n$-butyllithium ( 1.6 M in hexanes, $2.91 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.66 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.25 \mathrm{eq}$.) was added dropwise. After 30 minutes, 3-phenylpropanal ( $0.500 \mathrm{~g}, 3.73 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was added. The crude product was purified using column chromatography ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; \mathrm{Rf}: 0.60$; (4.1) hexanes/EtOAc) to give the desired product 28 as a yellow oil ( $0.6083 \mathrm{~g}, 2.57 \mathrm{mmol}$, $69 \%) . \delta_{H}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 7.42-7.35(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.29-7.11(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 4.60(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=$ $6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHOH}), 2.86-2.77\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 2.17-2.00\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 1.89(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$,
$\mathrm{OH}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 141.3,131.7,128.6,128.5,128.4,128.3,126.0,122.6,89.8,85.3$, 62.3, 39.3, 31.5; LCMS (ESI) m/z: $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} 259.20$; IR (v): 3321, 3026, 2927, 2862, 1602, 1490, 1454, 1041, 1009, 755, $692 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; Chiral HPLC (CHIRALPAK IB column ( $0.46 \times 25 \mathrm{~cm}$ ); $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ IPA:Hexane (20:80), UV $250 \mathrm{~nm}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). Retention times 5.52 ( $R$ )-unsaturated and $7.84(S)$-unsaturated mins. Data matched that reported.
rac-(E)-1,5-Diphenylpent-1-en-4-yn-3-ol 29.

This compound is known and has been fully characterised. ${ }^{42}$ Compound 29 was prepared using General Procedure 2. Phenylacetylene ( 0.2247 g, $2.63 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.20$ eq.) was dissolved in THF (anhyd., 5 mL ) and $n$-butyllithium ( 1.6 M in hexanes, $1.71 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.75 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.25$ eq.) was added dropwise. After 30 minutes, E-cinnamaldehyde ( $0.290 \mathrm{~g}, 2.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was added. The crude was purified using column chromatography ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; \mathrm{Rf}: 0.30$; (9.1) petroleum/EtOAc) to give the desired product 29 as a yellow oil ( $0.426 \mathrm{~g}, 1.83 \mathrm{mmol}, 83 \%$ ). $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 7.43-7.34(4 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.30-7.16(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 6.84(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=15.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCHOH}), 6.39(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=15.8,6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCHOH}), 5.28(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{CHOH}), 2.15(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OH}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 136.1,133.0,132.1,131.8,129.1,128.7$, 128.4, 128.2, 128.1, 126.9, 122.4, 87.9, 86.5; LCMS (ESI) m/z: [M+Na] 255.10, 257.10; IR (v): 3400, 3073, 3023, 2212, 1596, 1489, 1254, 1091, 1014, 966, 753, $687 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; Chiral HPLC (CHIRALPAK IB column: ( $0.46 \times 25 \mathrm{~cm}$ ), $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, IPA:Hexane ( $20: 80$ ); 250 nm UV, $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): retention times: $6.31(R)$-unsaturated and $12.81(S)$-unsaturated mins. Data matched that reported.

## 1,5-Diphenylpent-1-yn-3-one.

This compound is known and has been fully characterised. ${ }^{43}$ This compound was prepared using General Procedure 3 from compound $\mathbf{2 8}$ ( $0.200 \mathrm{~g}, 0.85 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00 \mathrm{eq}$.) and activated $\mathrm{MnO}_{2}(1.10 \mathrm{~g}, 12.7 \mathrm{mmol}, 15.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) to yield the desired product as a yellow solid ( 0.068 g , $0.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 35 \%)$. Also contains side product impurity ( $25 \% \mathrm{NMR}$ integration). $\boldsymbol{\delta}_{\mathrm{H}}$ ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 7.51-7.46(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.41-7.36(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.31(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.2,6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH})$, $7.26-7.19(2 H, m, A r H), 7.19-7.11(3 H, m, A r H), 3.03-2.91\left(4 H, m, C_{2}\right) ; \delta_{C}(101 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 188.1, 140.3, 133.1, 130.8, 129.8, 128.7, 128.6, 128.0, 125.8, 91.1, 87.6, 47.0, 30.0; LCMS (ESI) m/z: [M+Na] ${ }^{+}$257.20; IR (v): 3062, 2924, 2202, 1700, 1604, 1490, 1214, 1092,

1020, 756, 689, $617 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; Chiral HPLC (CHIRALPAK IB column: ( $0.46 \times 25 \mathrm{~cm}$ ), $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, IPA:Hexane (20:80); 250 nm UV, $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): retention times: 5.00 (ketone) mins. Data matched that reported.

## (R)-1,5-Diphenylpent-1-yn-3-ol 28 and (R,E)-1,5-Diphenylpent-1-en-4-yn-3-ol 29.

Both compounds are known and have been fully characterised. ${ }^{44.45}$ The ATH was conducted using General Procedure 1. (E)-1,5-Diphenylpent-1-en-4-yn-3-one ( $0.1164 \mathrm{~g}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.00 eq.), ( $R, R$ )-2 ( $3.10 \mathrm{mg}, 5.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 0.01 \mathrm{eq}$.) and $\mathrm{MeOH}(0.5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) were reacted for 25 hours at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The crude product was purified using column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ;(9: 1)\right.$ petroleum/EtOAc) to give a mixture of both alcohol products 28 and $29(0.114 \mathrm{~g}, 0.23$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 46 \%)$. The product was obtained as a colourless oil with an NMR ratio of 83:17 of the major (Sat. OH) 28 and minor (Unsat. OH) 29. Enantiomeric excess and conversion were determined by Chiral HPLC analysis (CHIRALPAK IB column: ( $0.46 \times 25 \mathrm{~cm}$ ), $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, IPA:Hexane (10:90); 250 nm UV, $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): retention times: retention times: $7.66(R)$ saturated, $12.94(S)$-saturated, $9.70(R)$-unsaturated and $24.26(S)$-unsaturated mins. Conversion was determined to be $100 \%$ and the major and minor products was determined to be $98 \%$ ee (28) and $86 \%$ ee (29) respectively.
(E)-1-Phenyl-5-(triisopropylsilyl)pent-1-en-4-yn-3-one.

This compound is known and fully characterised. ${ }^{46}$ (E)-1-Phenyl-5-(triisopropylsilyl)pent-1-en-4-yn-3-one was prepared using General Procedure 3 from racemic 31 ( $0.501 \mathrm{~g}, 1.60$ mmol, 1.00 eq.) and $\mathrm{MnO}_{2}(0.970 \mathrm{~g}, 11.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 7.00$ eq.). The crude was further purified using column chromatography ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; \mathrm{Rf}: 0.75$ (95:5) petroleum/EtOAc) to yield the desired product as a yellow oil $(0.4103 \mathrm{~g}, 1.31 \mathrm{mmol}, 82 \%) . \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 7.96(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=16.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCO}$ ), $7.59-7.54$ (2H, m, ArH), $7.50-7.41(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 6.82(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=16.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCO}), 1.17\left(21 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Si}(i \mathrm{Pr})_{3}\right) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 178.1,149.0,134.1,131.2,129.1$, 128.7, 128.6, 102.6, 96.2, 18.6, 11.1; HRMS (ESI) m/z: $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{NaOSi}$ 335.1802, found 335.1805; IR (v): 3060, 3012, 2891, 2154, 1628, 1449, 1228, 1196, 1120, 1070, $975,869,761,676,574 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; Chiral HPLC (CHIRALPAK ODH column: ( $0.46 \times 25 \mathrm{~cm}$ ), $0.5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, IPA:Hexane (5:95); 254 nm UV, $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): retention times: 8.44 (ketone) mins. Data matched that reported.
rac-5-Phenyl-1-(triisopropylsilyl)pent-1-yn-3-ol 30.

This compound is known and fully characterised. ${ }^{47}$ Compound $\mathbf{3 0}$ was prepared using General Procedure 2. (Triisopropylsilyl)acetylene ( $0.300 \mathrm{~g}, 1.64 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00$ eq.) was dissolved in THF (anhyd. 3.00 mL ) and $n$-butyllithium ( 2.5 M in hexanes, $0.656 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.64$ mmol, 1.00 eq.) was added dropwise. After 30 minutes, 3-phenylpropanal ( $0.221 \mathrm{~g}, 1.64$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 1.00 \mathrm{eq}$.$) was added. The crude product was purified using column chromatography$ ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$; Rf: 0.70 (20:1) petroleum/EtOAc) to give the desired product 30 as a colourless oil ( $0.4422 \mathrm{~g}, 1.41 \mathrm{mmol}, 86 \%$ ). $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 7.25-7.11$ ( $5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), $4.38-4.30(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{OH})), 2.75\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 2.02-1.89\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 1.71(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}$ $=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OH}), 1.04-0.96\left(21 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Si}(\mathrm{iPr})_{3}\right) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 141.4,128.5,128.5,126.0$, 108.5, 86.9, 62.4, 39.6, 31.5, 18.6, 11.2; HRMS (ESI) m/z: [M+Na] calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{NaOSi}$ 339.2115 , found 339.2110; IR (v): 3325, 3085, 2941, 2864, 2329, 2170, 1604, 1495, 1457, 1383, 1366, 1212, 1045, 997, 882, 810, 746, 698, $665 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; Chiral HPLC (CHIRALPAK ODH column: ( $0.46 \times 25 \mathrm{~cm}$ ), $0.5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, IPA:Hexane (5:95); 254 nm UV, $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): retention times: $14.2(S)$-saturated and $28.0(R)$-saturated mins. Data matched that reported.
rac-(E)-1-Phenyl-5-(triisopropylsilyl)pent-1-en-4-yn-3-ol 31.

This compound is known and fully characterised. ${ }^{48}$ Compound 31 was prepared using General Procedure 2. (Triisopropylsilyl)acetylene ( $1.06 \mathrm{~g}, 5.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.10 \mathrm{eq}$.) was dissolved in THF (anhyd. 6.0 mL ) and $n$-butyllithium ( 2.5 M in hexanes, $2.32 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.67 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.10 eq.) was added dropwise. After 30 minutes E-cinnamaldehyde ( $0.70 \mathrm{~g}, 5.30 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.00 eq.) was added. The crude product was purified using column chromatography ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$; Rf: 0.45 (9:1) petroleum/EtOAc) to give the desired product 31 as a pale-yellow oil ( 0.591 g , $2.04 \mathrm{mmol}, 35 \%) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 7.33-7.14(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 6.77(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=15.8,1.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCH}(\mathrm{OH})), 6.22(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=15.8,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCH}(\mathrm{OH})), 5.04-4.91(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\mathrm{CHCO}), 1.85(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OH}), 1.01-0.98\left(21 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Si}(i \operatorname{Pr})_{3}\right) . \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 162.3$, 132.1, 128.6, 128.1, 12.1, 126.8, 106.1, 87.9, 63.4, 18.6, 11.2. HRMS (ESI) m/z: $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{NaOSi} 337.1958$, found 337.1957. IR (v): 3303, 3062, 3027, 2864, 2164, 2045, 1669, 1462, 1244, $965,882,673,459 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ Chiral HPLC (CHIRALPAK ODH column:
( $0.46 \times 25 \mathrm{~cm}$ ), $0.5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, IPA:Hexane (5:95); 254 nm UV, $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): retention times: 14.7 (S)unsaturated and $22.1(R)$-unsaturated mins. Data matched that reported.

## 5-Phenyl-1-(triisopropylsilyl)pent-1-yn-3-one.

This compound is known and fully characterised. ${ }^{46}$ This compound was prepared using General Procedure 3 from compound 31 ( 0.182 g , $0.57 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00$ eq.) and $\mathrm{MnO}_{2}$ ( 0.353 g , $4.06 \mathrm{mmol}, 7.00 \mathrm{eq}$.) to yield the desired product as a yellow oil ( $0.1691 \mathrm{~g}, 0.52 \mathrm{mmol}$, 92\%). $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 7.33-7.26$ (2H, m, ArH), $7.24-7.17$ (3H, m, ArH), $3.05-2.87$ $\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 1.11-1.08\left(21 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Si}(i \mathrm{Pr})_{3}\right) ; \mathrm{HRMS}(\mathrm{ESI}) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{NaOSi} 337.1958$, found 337.1957; IR (v): 3028, 2943, 2865, 2171, 1675, 1632, 1496, 1461, 1281, 1104, 1071, 997, 920, 882, 804, 749, 665, $585 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; Chiral HPLC (CHIRALPAK ODH column: ( $0.46 \times 25 \mathrm{~cm}$ ), $0.5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, IPA:Hexane (5:95); 254 nm UV, $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): retention times: 6.99 (ketone) mins. Data matched that reported.
(R)-5-Phenyl-1-(triisopropylsilyl)pent-1-yn-3-ol 30 and (R,E)-1-Phenyl-5-(triisopropylsilyl)pent-1-en-4-yn-3-ol 31.

Compound $\mathbf{3 0}$ is known and fully characterised. ${ }^{49}$ The ATH was conducted using General Procedure 1. Substrate ( $0.119 \mathrm{~g}, 0.38 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00 \mathrm{eq}.),(R, R)-2(2.4 \mathrm{mg}, 3.8 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 0.01 \mathrm{eq}$.) and $\mathrm{MeOH}(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ were reacted for 26 hours at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The crude product was purified using column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ;(9: 1)\right.$ petroleum/EtOAc) to give a mixture of both alcohol products 30 and 31 ( $0.115 \mathrm{~g}, 0.36 \mathrm{mmol}, 95 \%)$. The product was obtained as a paleyellow oil with an NMR ratio of 69:31 of the major (Sat. OH) 30 and minor (Unsat. OH) 31 product respectively. Enantiomeric excess and conversion determined by (CHIRALPAK ODH column: ( $0.46 \times 25 \mathrm{~cm}$ ), $0.5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, IPA:Hexane (5:95); 254 nm UV, $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): retention times: 15.3 (S)-unsaturated, $23.5(R)$-unsaturated and $30.2(R)$-saturated mins. Conversion was determined to be ca. $81 \%$ (HPLC) and the ee of the major and minor product was determined to be $>99 \%$ (30) and $95 \%$ (31) respectively.
(E)-5-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-1-phenylpent-1-en-4-yn-3-one.

Synthetic route 1: This compound is novel. Ethynyl-4-methoxybenzene ( $0.153 \mathrm{~g}, 1.15 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.10 eq.) was dissolved in THF (anhyd. 6.0 mL ) and cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . n$-butyllithium ( 1.6 M in hexanes, $2.60 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.16 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.10 \mathrm{eq}$.) was added dropwise and the mixture was left to stir for 30 minutes at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Pre-cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{O}$-methyoxy- N -methylcinnamide $(0.200 \mathrm{~g}$, 1.05 mmol 1.00 eq.) in THF (anhyd. 5 mL ) was added to the reaction via cannula transfer. The reaction mixture was stirred for 1 hour at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ before being warmed to r.t. The reaction was monitored using TLC until starting material had disappeared. The reaction mixture was quenched with $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ (sat. soln, 3 mL ) and extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 3 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layers were combined, dried with $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by column chromatography ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$; Rf: 0.60 (9:1) hexanes/EtOAc) to give the desired product as a yellow oil ( $0.1261 \mathrm{~g}, 0.48 \mathrm{mmol}, 48 \%$ ).

Synthetic route 2: (E)-5-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-1-phenylpent-1-en-4-yn-3-one was prepared using General Procedure 3 from compound 33 ( $0.6088 \mathrm{~g}, 2.31 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00 \mathrm{eq}$.) and $\mathrm{MnO}_{2}$ ( $1.403 \mathrm{~g}, 16.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 7.00 \mathrm{eq}$.$) . The crude product was further purified using column$ chromatography ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$; Rf: 0.55 (9:1) petroleum/EtOAc) to yield the desired product as a yellow solid ( $0.6052 \mathrm{~g}, 1.80 \mathrm{mmol}, 78 \%$ ). $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 7.89(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=16.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHPh}), 7.60(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=7.4,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.48-7.38(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 6.96-6.90(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\mathrm{ArH}), 6.86(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=16.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHPh}), 3.85(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OMe}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 178.3$, 161.6, 147.7, 135.0, 134.2, 131.1, 129.1, 128.7, 114.4, 112.0, 92.7, 86.6, 55.5; HRMS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{NaO}_{2}$ 285.0886, found 285.0885; IR 3073, 3024, 2847, 2176, $1645,1591,1507,1447,1253,1163,1095,1018,972,828,755,690,537 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ; \mathrm{Mp} 142.2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; Chiral HPLC (CHIRALPAK IB column: ( $0.46 \times 25 \mathrm{~cm}$ ), $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, IPA:Hexane (10:90); 250 nm UV, $30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): retention times: 9.83 (ketone) mins.
rac-1-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-5-phenylpent-1-yn-3-ol 32.

This compound is known and fully characterised. ${ }^{50}$ Compound 32 was prepared using General Procedure 2. 1-Ethynyl-4-methoxybenzene ( $0.216 \mathrm{~g}, 1.64 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.10 \mathrm{eq}$.) was dissolved in THF (anhyd. 5.0 mL ) and $n$-butyllithium ( 1.6 M in hexanes, $1.03 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.64 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.10 eq.) was added dropwise. After 30 minutes 3-phenylpropanal ( $0.200 \mathrm{~g}, 1.49 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.00 eq.) was added. The crude was purified using column chromatography ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; \mathrm{Rf}: 0.75$ (4:1) petroleum/EtOAc) to give the desired product 32 as a yellow oil ( $0.3122 \mathrm{~g}, 1.18 \mathrm{mmol}$, $79 \%$ ). $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 7.43-7.34$ (2H, m, ArH ), $7.34-7.15$ (5H, m, ArH), $6.89-6.79$
$(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 4.58(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHOH}), 3.81(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OMe}), 2.86(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ ), $2.17-2.06\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 1.91(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OH}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 159.8$, 141.4, 133.2, 128.6, 128.5, 126.0, 114.7, 114.0, 88.4, 85.3, 62.4, 55.3, 39.4, 31.5; HRMS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{NaO}_{2} 289.1196$ found 289.1199; IR (v): 3346, 2911, 2216, 1890, $1605,1569,1507,1302,1244,1032,825,751,697 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; Chiral HPLC (CHIRALPAK IB column: ( $0.46 \times 25 \mathrm{~cm}$ ), $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, IPA:Hexane (10:90); 250 nm UV, $30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): retention times: $10.47(R)$-unsaturated and $15.20(S)$-unsaturated mins. Data matched that reported.
rac-(E)-5-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-1-phenylpent-1-en-4-yn-3-ol 33.

This compound is known and has been partially characterised. ${ }^{51}$ Compound 33 was prepared using General Procedure 2. 1-Ethynyl-4-methoxybenzene ( $0.5497 \mathrm{~g}, 4.16 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.10 eq.) was dissolved in THF (anhyd. 7.0 mL ) and $n$-butyllithium ( 1.6 M in hexanes, 2.60 $\mathrm{mL}, 4.16 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.10$ eq.) was added dropwise. After 30 minutes $E$-cinnamaldehyde ( 0.500 $\mathrm{g}, 3.78 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00 \mathrm{eq}$.$) was added. The crude was purified using column chromatography$ ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; \mathrm{Rf}: 0.50$ (4:1) petroleum/EtOAc) to give the desired product 33 as a yellow oil ( 0.7203 $\mathrm{g}, 2.72 \mathrm{mmol}, 72 \%) . \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 7.46-7.31(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.31-7.26(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH})$, $6.89-6.77(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}$ and $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHPh}), 6.38(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=15.8,6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHPh}), 5.27(1 \mathrm{H}$, d, J = 5.2 Hz, CHOH), $3.74(3 H, s, O M e), 2.08(1 H, ~ s, O H) ; ~ \delta_{C}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 159.9,138.1$, 136.2, 133.3, 131.9, 128.6, 128.3, 128.1, 126.8, 114.0, 86.6, 86.5, 63.6, 55.3; HRMS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{NaO}_{2}$ 287.1040, found 287.1044; IR (v): 3327, 2835, 2209, 1603, 1507, 1290, 1246, 1173, 1029, 967, 833, 750, 693, $534 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; Chiral HPLC (CHIRALPAK IB column: ( $0.46 \times 25 \mathrm{~cm}$ ), $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, IPA:Hexane (10:90); 250 nm UV, $30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): retention times: $11.28(R)$-unsaturated and $20.72(S)$-unsaturated mins. Data matched that reported.

## 1-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-5-phenylpent-1-yn-3-one.

This compound is novel. This compound was prepared using General Procedure 3 from compound 32 ( $0.3122 \mathrm{~g}, 1.17 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00 \mathrm{eq}$.) and $\mathrm{MnO}_{2}$ ( $0.714 \mathrm{~g}, 8.22 \mathrm{mmol}, 7.00$ eq.) to yield the desired product as a yellow solid ( $0.1521 \mathrm{~g}, 0.57 \mathrm{mmol}, 49 \%$ ). $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $7.54-7.48(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.33-7.17(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 6.91-6.87(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 3.84(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, OMe), $3.10-3.04\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 3.08-2.95\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right) . \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $186.9,161.7,140.4,135.2,128.6,128.4,126.3,114.4,111.7,92.4,87.7,55.4,46.9,30.1$;

HRMS (ESI) m/z: [M+Na] calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{NaO}_{2} 287.12$ found 287.20; Chiral HPLC (CHIRALPAK IB column: ( $0.46 \times 25 \mathrm{~cm}$ ), $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, IPA:Hexane (10:90); 250 nm UV, $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): retention times: 6.81 (ketone) mins.
(R)-1-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-5-phenylpent-1-yn-3-ol 32 and (R,E)-5-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-1-phenylpent-1-en-4-yn-3-ol 33.

The ATH was conducted using General Procedure 1. (E)-5-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-1-phenylpent-1-en-4-yn-3-one ( $150 \mathrm{mg}, 0.57 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00 \mathrm{eq}.),(R, R)-2(3.6 \mathrm{mg}, 5.72 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$, 0.01 eq.) and $\mathrm{MeOH}\left(0.5 \mathrm{~mL}\right.$ ) was reacted for 26 hours at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The crude product was purified using column chromatography ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; \mathrm{Rf}$ : 0.10 (9:1) petroleum/EtOAc) to give a mixture of both alcohol products 32 and 33 ( $0.1375 \mathrm{~g}, 0.51 \mathrm{mmol}, 90 \%$ ). The product obtained was a pale-yellow oil with an NMR ratio of 86:14 of the major 32 and minor 33 product. Enantiomeric excess and conversion were determined by Chiral HPLC analysis (CHIRALPAK IB column: ( $0.46 \times 25 \mathrm{~cm}$ ), $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, IPA:Hexane (10:90); 250 nm UV, $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): retention times: $9.52(R)$-saturated, $11.40(R)$-unsaturated, $12.66(S)$-saturated and 21.04 $(S)$-unsaturated mins. Conversion was determined to be $98 \%$ and the ee of the major and minor product was determined to be $95 \%$ (32) and 78\% (33) respectively.
(E)-5-(4-Chlorophenyl)-1-phenylpent-1-en-4-yn-3-one.

This compound is known and fully characterised. ${ }^{53}$ 1-Chloro-4-ethynylbenzene was prepared as reported. ${ }^{52}$ This compound was prepared using General Procedure 3 from compound 35 ( $0.2407 \mathrm{~g}, 0.896 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00$ eq.) and $\mathrm{MnO}_{2}$ ( $0.5390 \mathrm{~g}, 6.27 \mathrm{mmol}, 7.00 \mathrm{eq}$.) to yield the desired product as a yellow solid ( $0.2146 \mathrm{~g}, 0.806 \mathrm{mmol}, 90 \%$ ). $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 7.88(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{COCH}=\mathrm{CH}), 7.68-7.56(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.49-7.30(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH})$, $6.86(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=16.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{COCH}=\mathrm{CH}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 177.9,148.5,137.0,134.2,134.0$, 131.3, 129.2, 129.1, 128.8, 128.4, 118.7, 90.1, 87.4; HRMS (ESI) m/z: [M+Na] ${ }^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{11}{ }^{35} \mathrm{ClNaO} 289.0391$, found 289.0392; IR (v): 3029, 2894, 2883, 2210, 1672, 1627, 1485, 1447, 1342, 1176, 1085, 970, 862, 815, 690, $582 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; Mp $145.9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; Chiral HPLC (CHIRALPAK ADH column: ( $0.46 \times 25 \mathrm{~cm}$ ), $0.7 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, IPA:Hexane (10:90); 250 nm UV, $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): retention time: 15.48 (ketone) mins. Data matched that reported.
rac-1-(4-Chlorophenyl)-5-phenylpent-1-yn-3-ol 34.

This compound is novel. Compound 34 was prepared using General Procedure 2. 1-Chloro-4-ethynylbenzene ( $0.407 \mathrm{~g}, 2.98 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00$ eq.) was dissolved in THF (anhyd. 5.00 mL ) and n-butyllithium ( 2.5 M in hexanes, $1.19 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.98 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00$ eq.) was added dropwise. 3phenylpropanal ( $0.400 \mathrm{~g}, 2.98 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00 \mathrm{eq}$.) was added after 30 minutes. The crude was purified using column chromatography ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; \mathrm{Rf}: 0.3$ (9:1) petroleum/EtOAc) to give the desired product 34 as an orange solid ( $0.386 \mathrm{~g}, 1.43 \mathrm{mmol}, 48 \%$ ). $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 7.37$ - 7.19 ( $9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), $4.59(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHOH}), 2.86\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 2.18-$ $2.07\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 1.87(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OH}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 141.2,138.2$, 136.6, 134.6, 132.9, 128.7, 128.5, 128.5, 126.1, 121.0, 90.8, 84.2, 62.2, 39.2, 31.5; HRMS (ESI) m/z: $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{15}{ }^{35} \mathrm{ClNaO}$ 293.0704, found 293.0707; IR (v): 3209, 3060, $3025,2952,2919,2858,2229,1902,1801,1719,1648,1487,1453,1395,1087,1012,826$, 756, 699, $522 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; Mp: $48.5-50.7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; Chiral HPLC (CHIRALPAK ADH column: ( $0.46 \times 25 \mathrm{~cm}$ ), $0.7 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, IPA:Hexane (10:90); 250 nm UV, $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): retention times: $14.52(R)$-saturated and $15.54(S)$-saturated mins.
rac-(E)-5-(4-Chlorophenyl)-1-phenylpent-1-en-4-yn-3-ol 35.

This compound is novel. Compound 35 was prepared using General Procedure 2. 1-Chloro-4-ethynylbenzene ( $0.73 \mathrm{~g}, 5.67 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00$ eq.) was dissolved in THF (anhyd. 8 mL ) and $n$ butyllithium ( 2.5 M in hexanes, $2.27 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.67 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00$ eq.) was added dropwise. After 30 minutes, $E$-cinnamaldehyde ( $0.75 \mathrm{~g}, 5.67 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$.) was added. The crude product was purified using column chromatography ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$; Rf : $15: 1$ (9:1) petroleum/EtOAc) to give the desired product 35 as a yellow oil ( $0.2327 \mathrm{~g}, 0.85 \mathrm{mmol}, 15 \%$ ). $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 7.40$ $-7.19(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 6.75(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=15.8,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHPh}), 6.30(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=15.8,6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHPh}), 5.20(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHOH}), 2.07(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OH}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 136.0$, 134.7, 133.0, 132.2, 128.7, 128.7, 128.3, 127.8, 126.9, 120.9, 88.9, 85.3, 63.5; HRMS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{13}{ }^{35} \mathrm{ClNaO}$ 291.0546, found 293.0547; IR (v): 3298, 3028, 2852, 2661, 2228, 1648, 1475, 1447, 1398, 1248, 1202, 1090, 1060, 1020, 967, 828, $760 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; Chiral HPLC (CHIRALPAK ADH column: ( $0.46 \times 25 \mathrm{~cm}$ ), $0.7 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, IPA:Hexane (10:90); 250 nm UV, $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): retention times: $23.68(R)$-unsaturated and $27.11(S)$-unsaturated mins.

1-(4-Chlorophenyl)-5-phenylpent-1-yn-3-one.

This compound is novel. This compound was prepared using General Procedure 3 from compound 34 ( $0.285 \mathrm{~g}, 1.42 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ eq.) and $\mathrm{MnO}_{2}$ ( $0.855 \mathrm{~g}, 9.95 \mathrm{mmol}, 7$ eq.) to yield the desired product as a yellow solid ( $0.246 \mathrm{~g}, 0.91 \mathrm{mmol}, 64 \%$ ). $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 7.52-$ 7.44 (2H, m, ArH), 7.38 - 7.21 ( $7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), $3.09-2.96$ ( $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ ); $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}(75 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 162.3, 161.6, 140.5, 134.6, 129.1, 128.6, 128.4, 126.4, 116.9, 89.6, 88.5, 46.9, 29.9; HRMS (ESI) m/z: [M+Na] calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{13}{ }^{35} \mathrm{CINaO}$ 291.0547, found 291.0552; IR (v): 3085, 3059, 2954, 2898, 2201, 1806, 1667, 1588, 1485, 1399, 1296, 1173, 1083, 1012, 823, 744, 697, $529 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; Mp $62.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; Chiral HPLC (CHIRALPAK ADH column: ( $0.46 \times 25 \mathrm{~cm}$ ), 0.7 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}$, IPA:Hexane (10:90); 250 nm UV, $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): retention times: 9.90 (ketone) mins.
(R)-1-(4-Chlorophenyl)-5-phenylpent-1-yn-3-ol 34 and (R,E)-5-(4-Chlorophenyl)-1-phenylpent-1-en-4-yn-3-ol 35.

Both compounds 34 and 35 are novel. The ATH was conducted using General Procedure 1. (E)-5-(4-Chlorophenyl)-1-phenylpent-1-en-4-yn-3-one ( $60.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.27 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}.),(R, R)-2$ (approximately $1.4 \mathrm{mg}, 2.27 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 0.01 \mathrm{eq}$.$) and \mathrm{DCM}(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ were reacted for 26 hours at $40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The crude product was purified using column chromatography ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; \mathrm{Rf}: 0.20$ (9:1) petroleum/EtOAc) to give a mixture of both Sat OH and Unsat OH product ( $0.043 \mathrm{~g}, 0.16$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 70 \%$ ) The product was obtained as a yellow oil with an NMR ratio of 72:21 of the major (Sat. OH) and minor (Unsat. OH) product. There was also a small side product with 0.1 integration (NMR ratio approx. 7\%) in relation to the major and minor products. Enantiomeric excess and conversion determined by Chiral HPLC analysis (CHIRALPAK ADH column: ( $0.46 \times 25 \mathrm{~cm}$ ), $0.7 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, IPA:Hexane (10:90); 250 nm UV, $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): retention times: $14.65(R)$-saturated, $23.90(R)$-unsaturated, $15.66(S)$-saturated and $27.38(S)$ unsaturated mins. Conversion was determined to be $100 \%$ and the major and minor product was determined to be $96 \%$ ee (34) and $71 \%$ ee (35) respectively. The 1 H NMR of the crude mixture contain an additional alkene peak which was tentatively assigned to 36; $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 6.81$ ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.29 \mathrm{H} ; 35$ ), 6.55 ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.10 \mathrm{H} ; 36$ ), 6.36 (dd, J = 15.8, 6.1 Hz, 0.29H; 35), $6.26-6.16$ (m, 0.10H; 36), 5.26 (d, J = $5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.28 \mathrm{H} ; 35$ ), $2.15-2.08(\mathrm{~m}, 2.1 \mathrm{H} ; 34$ and 35 ) (see the Supporting Information).

## Acknowledgements.

We thank The Royal Society and the Science and Engineering Research Board (SERB) for funding VKV through an SERB-Newton International Fellowship. The EPSRC are thanked for supporting THH through a DTP PhD studentship.

## Conflicts of interest.

The authors declare no conflicting interests.

## Data sharing statement

The research data (and/or materials) supporting this publication can be accessed at http://wrap.warwick.ac.uk/.

## Supporting Information.

Electronic Supporting Information (ESI) available free of charge on the journal website; Additional tables, conversion/time graphs and NMR and HPLC spectra.

## References.

1 a) R. Noyori, T. Ohkuma, Asymmetric Catalysis by Architectural and Functional Molecular Engineering: Practical Chemo- and Stereoselective Hydrogenation of Ketones, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 40 (2001) 40-73; b) R. Noyori, S. Hashiguchi, Asymmetric Transfer Hydrogenation Catalyzed by Chiral Ruthenium Complexes, Acc. Chem. Res. 30 (1997) 97-102; c) D. Wang, D. Astruc, The Golden Age of Transfer Hydrogenation, Chem. Rev. 115 (2015) 6621-6686.

2 a) P. A. Dub, T. Ikariya, Quantum chemical calculations with the inclusion of nonspecific and specific solvation: asymmetric transfer hydrogenation with
bifunctional ruthenium catalysts, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 135 (2013) 2604-2619; b) P. A. Dub, J. C. Gordon, The mechanism of enantioselective ketone reduction with Noyori and Noyori-Ikariya bifunctional catalysts, Dalton Trans. 45 (2016) 6756-6781; c) P. A. Dub, J. C. Gordon, Metal-ligand bifunctional catalysis: the "accepted" mechanism, the issue of concertedness, and the function of the ligand in catalytic cycles involving hydrogen atoms, ACS Catal. 7 (2017) 6635-6655; d) X. Wu, J. Liu, D. Di Tommaso, J. Iggo, C. R. Catlow, J. Bacsa, J. Xiao, A multilateral mechanistic study into asymmetric transfer hydrogenation in water, Chem. - A Eur. J. 14 (2008) 76997715; e) J. W. Handgraaf, E. J. Meijer, Realistic modeling of ruthenium-catalyzed transfer hydrogenation, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 129 (2007) 3099-3103.
a) S. Hashiguchi, A. Fujii, J. Takehara, T. Ikariya, R. Noyori, Asymmetric transfer hydrogenation of aromatic ketones catalyzed by chiral ruthenium (II) complexes, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 117 (1995) 7562-7563; b) J. Takehara, S. Hashiguchi, A. Fujii, S. Inoue, T. Ikariya, R. Noyori, Amino alcohol effects on the ruthenium (II)-catalysed asymmetric transfer hydrogenation of ketones in propan-2-ol, Chem. Commun. (1996) 233-234; c) A. Fujii, S. Hashiguchi, N. Uematsu, T. Ikariya, R. Noyori, Ruthenium (II)-catalyzed asymmetric transfer hydrogenation of ketones using a formic acid- triethylamine mixture, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 118 (1996) 2521-2522; d) K. Matsumura, S. Hashiguchi, T. Ikariya, R. Noyori, Asymmetric transfer hydrogenation of $\alpha, \beta$-acetylenic ketones, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 119 (1997) 8738-8739.
4. a) D. J. Morris, G. J. Clarkson, M. Wills, A class of ruthenium (II) catalyst for asymmetric transfer hydrogenations of ketones, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 127 (2005) 73187319; b) F. K. Cheung, C. Lin, F. Minissi, A. L. Crivillé, M. A. Graham, D. J. Fox, M. Wills, An investigation into the tether length and substitution pattern of arenesubstituted complexes for asymmetric transfer hydrogenation of ketones, Org. Lett. 9 (2007) 4659-4662; c) Z. Fang, M. Wills, Asymmetric transfer hydrogenation of functionalized acetylenic ketones, J. Org. Chem. 78 (2013) 8594-8605; d) H. G. Nedden, A. Zanotti-Gerosa, M. Wills, Chem. Record, The Development of PhosphineFree "Tethered" Ruthenium(II) Catalysts for the Asymmetric Reduction of Ketones and Imines, 16 (2016) 2623-2643; e) R. Soni, K. E. Jolley, G. J. Clarkson, M. Wills, Direct Formation of Tethered Ru(II) Catalysts Using Arene Exchange. Org. Lett. 15 (2013) 5110-5113; f) R. Soni, K. E. Jolley, S. Gosiewska, G. J. Clarkson, Z. Fang, T. H. Hall, B. N. Treloar, R. C. Knighton, M. Wills, Synthesis of Enantio-merically Pure and

Racemic Benzyl-Tethered Ru(II)/TsDPEN Complexes by Direct Arene Substitution: Further Complexes and Applications. Organometallics 37 (2018) 48-64.
5. a) T. Touge, T. Hakamata, H. Nara, T. Kobayashi, N. Sayo, T. Saito, Y. Kayaki, T. Ikariya, Oxo-Tethered Ruthenium(II) Complex as a Bifunctional Catalyst for Asymmetric Transfer Hydrogenation and $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ Hydrogenation, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 133 (2011) 14960-14963; b) V. Parekh, J. A. Ramsden, M. Wills, Ether-tethered Ru (II)/TsDPEN complexes; synthesis and applications to asymmetric transfer hydrogenation, Catal. Sci. Technol. 2 (2012) 406-414; c) A. Kišić, M. Stephan, B. Mohar, ansa-Ruthenium(II) Complexes of $\mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{NSO}_{2}$ DPEN- $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{n}\left(\eta^{6}\right.$-Aryl) Conjugate Ligands for Asymmetric Transfer Hydrogenation of Aryl Ketones, Adv. Synth. Catal. 357 (2015) 2540-2546.
6. a) L. Milner, G. Talavera, H. Nedden, Transfer hydrogenation catalysis of ketones and imines Chem. Oggi. 35 (2017) 37-39; b) F. Foubelo. C. Nájera, M. Yus, Catalytic asymmetric transfer hydrogenation of ketones: recent advances. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 26 (2015) 769-790; c) P.-G. Echeverria, T. Ayad, P. Phansavath, V. Ratovelomanana-Vidal, Recent Developments in Asymmetric Hydrogenation and Transfer Hydrogenation of Ketones and Imines through Dynamic Kinetic Resolution, Synthesis, 48 (2016) 2523-2539; d) C. E. Puerto Galvis, V. V. Kouznetsov, Biomimetic Total Synthesis of Dysoxylum Alkaloids, J. Org. Chem. 84 (2019) 15294-15308; e) R. Hrdličková, J. Zápal, B. V. Vilhanová, M. Bugáňová, K. Truhlářová, M. Kuzma, L. Červený, Competitive asymmetric transfer hydrogenation of 3,4dihydroisoquinolines employing Noyori-Ikariya catalytic complexes, React. Kinet. Mech. Cat. 124 (2018) 701-710; f) M. Perez, Z. Wu, M. Scalone, T. Ayad, V. Ratovelomanana-Vidal, Enantioselective Synthesis of 1-Aryl-Substituted Tetrahydroisoquinolines Through Ru-Catalyzed Asymmetric Transfer Hydrogenation, Eur. J. Org. Chem. (2015) 6503-6514; g) L. Monnereau, D. Cartigny, M. Scalone, T. Ayad, V. Ratovelomanana-Vidal, Efficient Synthesis of Differentiated syn-1,2-Diol Derivatives by Asymmetric Transfer Hydrogenation-Dynamic Kinetic Resolution of $\alpha$-Alkoxy-Substituted $\beta$-Ketoesters, Chem. Eur. J. 21 (2015) 11799-11806; h) T. Touge, M. Kuwana, Y. Komatsuki, S. Tanaka, H. Nara, K. Matsumura, N. Sayo, Y. Kashibuchi, T. Saito, Development of Asymmetric Transfer Hydrogenation with a Bifunctional Oxo-Tethered Ruthenium Catalyst in Flow for the Synthesis of a

Ceramide (d-erythro-CER[NDS]). Org. Process Res. Dev. 23 (2019) 452-461. i) K. S. Raju, G. Sabitha, Tetrahedron Lett. 59 (2018) 4213-2115.
7. D. Xue, Y.-C. Chen, X. Cui, Q.-W. Wang, J. Zhu, J.-G. Deng, Transfer Hydrogenation of Activated C=C Bonds Catalyzed by Ruthenium Amido Complexes: Reaction Scope, Limitation, and Enantioselectivity, J. Org. Chem. 70 (2005) 3584-3591.
8. P. Peach, D. J. Cross, J. A. Kenny, I. Mann, I. Houson, L. Campbell, T. Walsgrove, M. Wills, Asymmetric transfer hydrogenation of $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated, $\alpha$-tosyloxy and $\alpha$ substituted ketones, Tetrahedron 62 (2006) 1864-1876.
9. V. Bizet, X. Pannecoucke, J.-L. Renaud, D. Cahard, Ruthenium-Catalyzed Redox Isomerization of Trifluoromethylated Allylic Alcohols: Mechanistic Evidence for an Enantiospecific Pathway, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 51 (2012) 6467-6470.
10. J. Hannedouche, J. A. Kenny, M. Wills, Asymmetric Reduction of Cyclic Enones to Allylic Alcohols, Synlett (2002) 263-266.
11. T. Slagbrand, H. Lundberg, H. Adolfsson, Ruthenium-Catalyzed TandemIsomerization/Asymmetric Transfer Hydrogenation of Allylic Alcohols, Chem. - A Eur. J. 20 (2014) 16102-16106.
12. A. L. Gemal, J. L. Luche, Lanthanoids in organic synthesis. 6. Reduction of .alpha.enones by sodium borohydride in the presence of lanthanoid chlorides: synthetic and mechanistic aspects, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 103 (1981) 5454-5459.
13. A. T. Tran, V. A. Huynh, E. M. Friz, S. K. Whitney, D. B. Cordes, A general method for the rapid reduction of alkenes and alkynes using sodium borohydride, acetic acid, and palladium, Tetrahedron Lett. 50 (2009) 1817-1819.
14. a) H. Y. Rhyoo, H.-J. Park,Y. K. Chung, The first Ru(ii)-catalysed asymmetric hydrogen transfer reduction of aromatic ketones in aqueous media Chem. Commun. (2001) 2064-2065; b) X. Wu, X. Li, W. Hems, F. King, J. Xiao, Accelerated asymmetric transfer hydrogenation of aromatic ketones in water, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2 (2004) 1818-1821; c) J. Caniveta, G. Süss-Fink, Water-soluble arene ruthenium catalysts containing sulfonated diamine ligands for asymmetric transfer hydrogenation of $\alpha$-aryl ketones and imines in aqueous solution, Green Chem. 9 (2007) 391-397; d) H.-F. Zhou, Q.-H. Fan, Y.-Y. Huang, L. Wu, Y.-M. He, W.-J. Tang, L.-
Q. Gu, A. S. C. Chan, Mixture of poly(ethylene glycol) and water as environmentally friendly media for efficient enantioselective transfer hydrogenation and catalyst recycling, J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem. 275 (2007) 47- 53; e) Asymmetric transfer hydrogenation of ketones and imines with novel water-soluble chiral diamine as ligand in neat water, L. Li, J. Wu, F. Wang, J. Liao, H. Zhang, C. Lian, J. Zhu, J. Deng, Green Chem. 9 (2007) 23-27; f) C. Bubert, J. Blacker, S. M. Brown, J. Crosby, S. Fitzjohn, J. P. Muxworthy, T. Thorpe, J. M. J. Williams, Synthesis of water-soluble aminosulfonamide ligands and their application in enantioselective transfer hydrogenation, Tetrahedron Lett. 42 (2001) 4037-4039; g) K. Ahlford, J. Lind, L. Mäler, H. Adolfsson, Rhodium-catalyzed asymmetric transfer hydrogenation of alkyl and aryl ketones in aqueous media, Green Chem. 10 (2008) 832-835.
15. R. Soni, T. H Hall, B. P Mitchell, M. R Owen, M. Wills, Asymmetric reduction of electron-rich ketones with tethered Ru(II)/TsDPEN catalysts using formic acid/triethylamine or aqueous sodium formate, J. Org. Chem. 80 (2015) 6784-6793.
16. a) D. R. Clay, M. C. McIntosh, Anomalies in the asymmetric transfer hydrogenation of several polycyclic meso compounds, Tetrahedron Lett. 53 (2012) 1691-1694; b) V. S. Shende, S. K. Shingote, S. H. Deshpande, N. Kuriakose, K. Vanka, A. A. Kelkar, Asymmetric transfer hydrogenation of imines in water/methanol co-solvent system and mechanistic investigation by DFT study, RSC Adv. 4 (2014) 46351-46356.
17. A. M. Pieczonka, S. Leśniak, S. Jarzyński, M. Rachwalski, Aziridinylethers as highly enantioselective ligands for the asymmetric addition of organozinc species to carbonyl compounds, Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 26 (2015) 148-151.
18. S. Chanthamath, S. Takaki, K. Shibatomi, S. Iwasa, Highly stereoselective cyclopropanation of $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated carbonyl compounds with methyl (diazoacetoxy) acetate catalyzed by a chiral ruthenium (II) complex, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 52 (2013) 5818-5821.
19. T. Ema, N. Ura, M. Yoshii, T. Korenaga, T. Sakai, Empirical method for predicting enantioselectivity in catalytic reactions: demonstration with lipase and oxazaborolidine, Tetrahedron 65 (2009) 9583-9591.
20. Z. Chai, X.-Y. Liu, J.-K. Zhang, G. Zhao, Enantioselective addition of alkenylzinc reagents to aldehydes with organoboronates as the alkenyl source, Tetrahedron:

Asymmetry 18 (2007) 724-728.
21. P. Kumari, Poonam, S. M. S. Chauhan, Efficient cobalt(ii) phthalocyanine-catalyzed reduction of flavones with sodium borohydride, Chem. Commun. (2009) 6397-6399.
22. X.-F. Wu, H. Neumann, A. Spannenberg, T. Schulz, H. Jiao, M. Beller, Development of a general palladium-catalyzed carbonylative Heck reaction of aryl halides, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 132 (2010) 14596-14602.
23. X. Gong, H. Zhang, X. Li, Iridium phosphine abnormal N-heterocyclic carbene complexes in catalytic hydrogen transfer reactions, Tetrahedron Lett. 52 (2011) 5596-5600.
24. F. Sakurai, K. Kondo, T. Aoyama, Interesting Nickel-Catalyzed 1,2-Addition to $\alpha, \beta$ Unsaturated Aldehydes with Arylborates, Chem. Pharm. Bull. 57 (2009) 511-512;
25. J. G. Kim, P. L. Walsh, From aryl bromides to enantioenriched benzylic alcohols in a single flask: catalytic asymmetric arylation of aldehydes, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 45 (2006) 4175-4178.
26. a) X. Chen, H. Zhou, K. Zhang, J. Li, H. Huang, Highly enantioselective hydrogenation of steric hindrance enones catalyzed by Ru complexes with chiral diamine and achiral phosphane, Org. Lett. 16 (2014) 3912-3915. b) O. Kose, S. Saito, Crosscoupling reaction of alcohols for carbon-carbon bond formation using pincer-type NHC/palladium catalysts, Org. Biomol. Chem. 8 (2010) 896-900.
27. C. Sun, B. Potter, J. P. Morken, A catalytic enantiotopic-group-selective Suzuki reaction for the construction of chiral organoboronates, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 136 (2014) 6534-6537.
28. P. Q. Huang, Y. H. Huang, K. J. Xiao, Metal-Free Intermolecular Coupling of Arenes with Secondary Amides: Chemoselective Synthesis of Aromatic Ketimines and Ketones, and N-Deacylation of Secondary Amides, J. Org. Chem. 81 (2016) 90209027.
29. M. Stiles, A. J. Sisti, A Convenient General Method for the Preparation of Aldehydes, J. Org. Chem. 25 (1960) 1691-1693.
30. C. M. Moorhoff, An efficient separation method for enol phosphate and
corresponding $\beta$-ketophosphonate from their mixtures under aqueous conditions, Synth. Commun. 33 (2003) 2069-2086.
31. Y. Masuyama, W. Takamura, N. Suzuki, Tin(II) Chloride Mediated Coupling Reactions between Alkynes and Aldehydes, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2013 (2013) 8033-8038.
 Two Different Alcohols for Carbon-Carbon Bond Formation: "Borrowing Hydrogen"?, Chem. - Eur. J. 17 (2011) 11146-11151.
33. R. J. Cox, A. S. Evitt, Acyl palladium species in synthesis: single-step synthesis of $\alpha, \beta$ unsaturated ketones from acid chlorides, Org. Biomol. Chem. 5 (2007) 229-32.
34. Q. Li, H.-M. Gau, Acyl palladium species in synthesis: single-step synthesis of $\alpha, \beta$ unsaturated ketones from acid chlorides, Chirality 23 (2011) 929-939.
35. S. V. Maifeld, D. Lee, Unusual Tandem Alkynylation and trans-Hydrosilylation To Form Oxasilacyclopentenes, Org. Lett. 7 (2005) 4995-4998.
36. B. Lu, C. Li, L. Zhang, Gold-Catalyzed Highly Regioselective Oxidation of C- C Triple Bonds without Acid Additives: Propargyl Moieties as Masked $\alpha, \beta$-11Unsaturated Carbonyls, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 132 (2010) 14070-14072.
37. A. Tlahuext-Aca, R. A. Garza-Sanchez, F. Glorius, Multicomponent Oxyalkylation of Styrenes Enabled by Hydrogen-Bond-Assisted Photoinduced Electron Transfer, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 56 (2017) 3708-3711.
38. M. Yus, A. Gutiérrez, F. Foubelo, Polylithiation of thioethers: a versatile route for polyanionic synthons, Tetrahedron 57 (2001) 4411-4422.
39. O. Cussó, I. Garcia-Bosch, X. Ribas, J. Lloret-Fillol, M. Costas, Asymmetric Epoxidation with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ by Manipulating the Electronic Properties of Non-heme Iron Catalysts, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 135 (2013) 14871-14878.
40. M. Hari Babu, V. Dwivedi, R. Kant, M. Sridhar Reddy, Palladium-Catalyzed Regio-and Stereoselective Cross-Addition of Terminal Alkynes to Ynol Ethers and Synthesis of 1, 4-Enyn-3-ones, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 54 (2015) 3783-3786.
41. B. R. Kim, H. G. Lee, S. B. Kang, K. J. Jung, G. H. Sung, J. J. Kim, S. G. Lee, Y. J. Yoon,

Synthesis of $\beta$-ketonitriles, $\alpha, \beta$-alkynones and biscabinols from esters using tert-butoxide-assisted C (O)-C (ie, acyl-C) coupling under ambient conditions, Tetrahedron 69 (2013) 10331-10336.
42. C. W. Downey, B. D. Mahoney V. R. Lipari, Trimethylsilyl trifluoromethanesulfonateaccelerated addition of catalytically generated zinc acetylides to aldehydes, J. Org. Chem. 74 (2009) 2904-2906.
43. S. Mukherjee, R. A. Garza-Sanchez, A. Tlahuext-Aca, F. Glorius, Alkynylation of C (O)H bonds enabled by photoredox-mediated hydrogen-atom transfer, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 56 (2017) 14723-14726.
44. E. T. Newcomb, E. M. Ferreira, Highly Enantiospecific Platinum-Catalyzed Cycloisomerizations: Synthesis of Enantioenriched Oxabicycloheptene Derivatives, Org. Lett. 15 (2013) 1772-1775.
45. R. Boobalan, C. Chen, G. H. Lee, Camphor-based Schiff base ligand SBAIB: an enantioselective catalyst for addition of phenylacetylene to aldehydes, Org. Biomol. Chem. 10 (2012) 1625-1638.
46. X. Liu, L. Yu, M. Luo, J. Zhu, W. Wei, Radical-Induced Metal-Free Alkynylation of Aldehydes by Direct C-H Activation, Chem. Eur. J. 21 (2015) 8745-8749.
47. S. W. Smith, G. C. Fu, Nickel-Catalyzed Negishi Cross-Couplings of Secondary Nucleophiles with Secondary Propargylic Electrophiles at Room Temperature, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 47 (2008) 9334-9336.
48. Y. K. Jang, M. Magre, M. Rueping, Chemoselective Luche-Type Reduction of $\alpha, \beta$ Unsaturated Ketones by Magnesium Catalysis, Org. Lett. 21 (2019) 8349-8352.
49. Y. B. Yu, Z. J. Luo, X. Zhang, Copper-Catalyzed Direct Propargylation of Polyfluoroarenes with Secondary Propargyl Phosphates, Org. Lett. 18 (2016) 33023305
50. M. N. Pennell, P. G. Turner, T. D. Sheppard, Gold-and Silver-Catalyzed Reactions of Propargylic Alcohols in the Presence of Protic Additives, Chem. Eur. J. 18 (2012) 4748-4758.
51. X. R. Song, B. Song, Y. F. Qiu, Y. P. Han, Z. H. Qiu, X. H. Hao, X. Y. Liu, Y. M. Liang,

TMSCI-Mediated Synthesis of $\alpha, \beta$-Unsaturated Amides via C-C Bond Cleavage and C-N Bond Formation of Propargyl Alcohols with Trimethylsilyl Azide, J. Org. Chem. 79 (2014) 7616-7625.
52. B. Zhang, L. Huang, S. Yin, X. Li, T. Xu, B. Zhuang, T. Wang, Z. Zhang, A. S. K. Hashmi, Cascade $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O} / \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ Bond Formation: Metal-Free Reactions of 1,4-Diynes and 1-En-4-yn-3-ones with Isoquinoline and Quinoline N-Oxides, Org. Lett. 19 (2017) 4327-4330.
53. F. Curti, M. Tiecco, V. Pirovano, R. Germani, A. Caselli, E. Rossi, G. Abbiati, p-TSABased DESs as "Active Green Solvents" for Microwave Enhanced Cyclization of 2-Alkynyl-(hetero)-arylcarboxylates: an Alternative Access to 6-Substituted 3,4-Fused 2-Pyranones, European J. Org. Chem., 2019 (2019) 1904-1914.

# Asymmetric Transfer Hydrogenation of Unsaturated Ketones; Factors influencing $1,4-$ vs 1,2 - regio- and enantioselectivity, and alkene vs alkyne directing effects. 

Thomas H. Hall, Hannah Adams, Vijyesh K. Vyas, K. L. Michael Chu and Martin Wills.*

Department of Chemistry, The University of Warwick, Coventry, CV4 7AL, UK. m.wills@warwick.ac.uk.

## Conflicts of interest.

The authors declare no conflicting interests.

