

Hadrons in Nuclei: Experiments and Perspectives

Susan Schadmand

Institut für Kernphysik, Forschungszentrum Jülich, Germany

Abstract

The question of the origin of hadron masses is one major issue in the understanding of the strong interaction. The challenge is addressed by searching for indications of in-medium modifications of hadron properties and studying hadrons in nuclei. The quest driving in-medium studies is to understand the origin of hadron masses in the context of spontaneous chiral symmetry breaking.

The experimental status of the modification of hadron properties in the nuclear medium is discussed including experiments using hadron, heavy-ion, and photon beams. Particular emphasis is put on the production of light mesons from nuclei.

A number of experimental programs is underway to provide a detailed comparison of properties of free hadrons and hadrons embedded in nuclei. The existing experimental efforts are discussed and possibilities are introduced for the new WASA-at-COSY facility, initially focussed on investigations of symmetries and symmetry breaking, to contribute to the field.

Key words: Meson production, Photoproduction reactions

PACS: 01.30.Cc, 25.40.-h, 13.60.Le, 25.20.Lj

1. Introduction

The fundamental questions concerning the strong interaction and its understanding within Quantum Chromo Dynamics (QCD) can be related to two basic issues, namely, confinement and the origin of mass. One goal of hadron physics is to understand the origin of hadron masses in the context of spontaneous chiral symmetry breaking. Chiral symmetry, the fundamental symmetry of QCD for massless quarks, is broken on the hadron level. Evidence for this phenomenon are hadrons which are candidates for parity doublets and, in a chirally symmetric world, should be mass degenerate. In reality, chiral partners like π and σ mesons or ρ and a_1 mesons bear quite different masses. In the case of nucleon resonances, an impressive example is the mass difference between the ground state (938 MeV) and the chiral partner, the $S_{11}(1535)$ resonance.

In the field of hadron physics, experimental studies are performed with electromagnetic and hadronic probes and aim at the investigation of hadron properties and hadronic interactions. The study of in-medium properties of mesons and nucleon resonances carries the exciting promise to find signatures for partial chiral symmetry restoration at finite baryon density and temperature.

2. Nucleon Resonances

Nucleon resonances in the medium are subject to Pauli-blocking of final states decreasing their width, collision broadening and broadening by the coupling to mesons with medium-modified properties. In experiments on total photoabsorption it is found that the second resonance bump, the excitation region above the $\Delta(1232)$, resonance is completely suppressed for all nuclei from beryllium onward [1]. This observation is intriguing. However, total photoabsorption does not provide information about the behavior of individual resonances. The second resonance region is composed of the overlapping states $P_{11}(1440)$, $D_{13}(1520)$, and $S_{11}(1535)$. A significant in-medium modification of the $D_{13}(1520)$ is predicted due to the strong coupling to $N\rho$ while only small effects are expected for the $S_{11}(1535)$ state [2]. Photoproduction of η mesons in this energy range is dominated by the $S_{11}(1535)$ resonance [3,4,5,6,7], while single π^o , double π^o and $\pi^o\pi^\pm$ photoproduction [8,9,10,11] show a clear signal for the $D_{13}(1520)$ resonance. Therefore, the reactions are well suited for the study of the in-medium properties of the respective resonances.

Photoproduction of η mesons off nuclei had previously been studied up to 800 MeV incident photon energy with TAPS at MAMI [12] and for energies up to 1.1 GeV at KEK [13] and Tohoku [14]. The first experiment did not observe an in-medium broadening of the resonance beyond effects from Fermi smearing and η final state interactions. The KEK experiment reported some collision broadening of the resonance and the Tohoku experiment indicated a significant contribution of a higher lying resonance to the $\gamma n \rightarrow n\eta$ reaction. However, the experiments do not cover the full line shape of the $S_{11}(1535)$.

Preliminary results for η photoproduction from nuclei by the CBELSA/TAPS experiment are summarized in the left panel of Fig. 1. As already reported in Ref. [12], the inclusive nuclear cross sections scale like $A^{2/3}$ for incident photon energies below 800 MeV. They behave differently at higher incident photon energies where $\eta\pi$ final states and secondary production mechanisms (e.g. $\gamma N \rightarrow N\pi$, $\pi N \rightarrow \eta N$) contribute and obscure the S_{11} line shape. The contributions can almost completely be suppressed by cuts on the reaction kinematics. After these cuts, single η photoproduction off heavy nuclei, like lead, becomes very similar to the Fermi smeared average nucleon cross section. The small discrepancy is at least partly due to inefficiencies of the kinematic cuts.

Preliminary results for double pion photoproduction are summarized in the right panel of Fig. 1. Results for $\pi^o\pi^o$ and $\pi^o\pi^\pm$ have been obtained [16] for incident photon energies up to 800 MeV. In case of $\pi^o\pi^o$, the excitation functions off the deuteron and off ^{40}Ca have exactly the same shape so that there is no indication for an in-medium modification of the $D_{13}(1520)$ at energies below its peak position. However, the model of [2] predicts the main effect for the high energy tail of the resonance. This part is covered by the preliminary data from the CBELSA/TAPS experiment (see Fig. 1, right hand side). Here, a difference between deuteron and nuclear data is seen, in particular in the region




Fig. 1. Preliminary results from [15]. Left: Inclusive cross section and single η production cross sections for lead compared to the Fermi smeared average nucleon cross section. Right: Total cross sections for double π^0 off proton, deuteron and ^{40}Ca .

of the valley between the second and third resonance bump.

A significant in-medium modification of the $S_{11}(1535)$ line shape is not observed. For the $D_{13}(1520)$ resonance, still under analysis, a slight suppression seems possible. Further investigations could concentrate on the comparison with $\pi^0\pi^\pm$ and $\pi^+\pi^-$ pion pairs which can stem from an in-medium ρ meson while the decay of ρ into $\pi^0\pi^0$ is forbidden.

3. Scalar-Isoscalar Pion Pairs

A particularly interesting case is the mass split between the $J^\pi=0^-$ pion and the $J^\pi=0^+$ σ -meson. The naive assumption that the two masses should become degenerate in the chiral limit is supported by model calculations [17]. However, the very nature of the σ meson is a matter of debate. The review of particle properties [18] lists the $f_0(600)$ with a mass range from 400 - 1200 MeV and a full width between 600 MeV and 1000 MeV. Recently, predictions for mass and width from dispersion relations have been derived in [19]. The σ meson is treated as a pure $q\bar{q}$ (quasi)bound state [17,20,21], and as a correlated $\pi\pi$ pair in a $I = 0, J^\pi = 0^+$ state [22,23,24]. In all cases, a strong coupling to scalar-isoscalar pion pairs and a significant in-medium modification of the invariant mass distribution of the pion pairs is predicted. This is either due to the in-medium spectral function of the σ meson [20] or the in-medium modification of the pion-pion interaction [23] due to coupling to nucleon - hole, Δ - hole and N^* - hole states. The predicted effect is a downward shift of the strength in the invariant mass distributions of scalar, isoscalar pion pairs in nuclear matter.

First experimental evidence had been reported by the CHAOS collaboration from the measurement of pion induced double pion production reactions [25,26,27,28,29]. The main finding was a buildup of strength with rising mass number at low invariant masses for the $\pi^+\pi^-$ final state. The effect was not observed for the $\pi^+\pi^+$ channel where the σ meson cannot contribute. A similar effect was found by the Crystal Ball collaboration at BNL. Here, an enhancement of strength at low masses was observed for heavy nuclei in the $\pi^-A \rightarrow A\pi^0\pi^0$ reaction [30]. In photon induced reactions, pions can be produced

in the entire volume of the nuclei but final state interactions suppress the contributions from the deep interior of the nuclei. Final state interactions can be minimized by the use of low incident photon energies, giving rise to low energy pions which have much larger mean free paths than pions that can excite the Δ -resonance [31]. Photoproduction of the different charge states of pions from the free proton and the quasifree neutron has previously been studied in detail with the DAPHNE [32,33,34,35,36] and TAPS detectors [8,37,9,38,10,39] at MAMI-B in Mainz from threshold to the second resonance region, and for the $\pi^o\pi^o$ channel at higher incident photon energies at GRAAL in Grenoble [40]. See Ref. [41] for an overview.

First results from a measurement of $\pi^o\pi^o$ and $\pi^o\pi^\pm$ photoproduction off carbon and lead have been reported in [42]. A shift of the strength to lower invariant masses was found for the heavier nucleus for the $\pi^o\pi^o$ channel but not for the mixed charge channel. In Ref. [16], more experimental detail and the results of an additional measurement of double pion photoproduction off calcium nuclei are presented and compared to model calculations. The invariant mass spectra show a similar effect as already reported in Ref. [42] for carbon and lead nuclei, namely a softening of the $\pi^o\pi^o$ distributions relative to the $\pi^o\pi^\pm$ distributions. The strength of the effect is comparable to that from carbon. The data have been compared to calculations in the framework of the BUU model [43]. A sizable part of the in-medium effects can be explained by the model by final state interaction effects which tend to shift rescattered pions to smaller kinetic energies. Only for the lowest incident photon energies a small additional downward shift of the strength to small invariant masses for the $\pi^o\pi^o$ channel may be visible.

Decisive results will come from a recently completed experimental run [44] with the 4π detector combination Crystal Ball and TAPS at MAMI-B. Here, superior statistics has been accumulated for carbon, calcium, and lead targets.

4. Vector Mesons

In-medium modifications of vector mesons have been searched for via the spectroscopy of dilepton pairs in heavy ion reactions by the CERES experiment [45,46] and more recently the NA60 collaboration [47] which reported an in-medium broadening of the ρ meson. In-medium modifications of ρ and ω mesons were reported from 12 GeV p+A reactions at KEK [48]. Here, the authors find that the mass spectra are well reproduced by a model that takes into account the density dependence of the vector meson mass modification. In contradiction to these results, the photon induced dilepton experiment g7 with CLAS at Jefferson Lab concludes that the masses are consistent with the PDG values and the widths are consistent with the collisional broadening [49]. The results do not show a doubling of the ρ width reported by NA60 and do not favor the predicted mass shifts of 16-20%. This controversy will have to be addressed in the near future. Finally, a modification of the Φ meson has been suggested on the basis of the A -dependence of the photoproduction yields [50].

The CBELSA/TAPS [51] experiment measured the line shape of the $\omega \rightarrow \pi^o\gamma$ invariant mass peak from the free proton and from nuclei. The measured invariant mass peaks of the $\pi^o \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$, $\eta \rightarrow 3\pi^o \rightarrow 6\gamma$, and $\eta' \rightarrow \pi^o\pi^o\eta \rightarrow 6\gamma$ decays were identical for hydrogen and the nuclear targets. Only in case of the ω , a low energy shoulder of the peak was found for nuclei and is shown in the right panel of Fig. 2. Due to its life time, only a

small fraction of the ω mesons decay in the medium, so that also the nuclear invariant mass peaks include a dominant contribution of unmodified in-vacuum decays. This was anticipated beforehand and simulated as shown in the left panel of Fig. 2. Indeed, in the data the low-energy tail of the invariant mass stems almost exclusively from ω mesons with small momenta, which have the largest change for in-medium decays. Similar results were found for carbon, an analysis of the A scaling of the production cross sections is under way, and a second generation experiment is approved. A detailed report of the




Fig. 2. Left: The $\pi^0\gamma$ -mass distribution obtained from a Monte-Carlo simulation of the process $\gamma + Nb \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma + X$ at $E_\gamma=1.2$ GeV. The spectrum is decomposed into different contributions corresponding to the fraction of ω mesons decaying outside ($\rho < 0.05$ fm $^{-3}$) the nucleus (a), the fraction of ω mesons decaying inside ($\rho > 0.05$ fm $^{-3}$) for which the π^0 does not rescatter (b), and the fraction of ω mesons decaying inside the nucleus for which π^0 rescatters (c). All with a condition of $T_{\pi^0} > 150$ MeV. From [52]. Right: $\pi^0\gamma$ mass spectrum after background subtraction and FSI suppression ($T_{\pi^0} > 150$ MeV) for different ω momentum bins. Solid histogram: Nb data, dashed histogram: LH $_2$ data. From [51].

most recent developments is given by M. Kotulla (Giessen University) at this conference, INPC2007.

5. Summary and Outlook

With the variety of results discussed above it remains obvious that further studies are necessary and of high interest. The possible reasons for medium effects on hadrons range from trivial to enticing causes:

- absorption and rescattering of mesons
- modified hadron-hadron interaction
- partial chiral symmetry restoration
- meson-baryon coupling
- meson-nucleus attractive potential
- mass shift
- broadening

– bound states (not discussed here)

Experiments are in accordance with theoretical scenarios for changes of hadron properties in the nuclear medium. However, some controversy to be resolved and the influence of the various effects has to be quantified. It is important to know that studying the in-medium behavior of hadrons is a promising approach to learn more about the origin of their mass.

As a further perspective, I would like to mention the possibility to study vector mesons in p+A reactions with the newly implemented WASA-at-COSY facility at the Research center Jülich (Germany). The study of the ρ/ω line shapes in the nuclear medium and of ϕ mesons in medium could be performed via dilepton production (elementary reactions: J. Stepaniak et al. in [53]). Furthermore, one could envisage the simultaneous measurement of the Dalitz decay $\pi^0\gamma$ of the ω meson and a comparison to photon induced reactions, elementary and heavy ion dilepton production. This procedure could provide valuable information on the final state interactions of pions in medium. The study of the $\omega \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma$ channel in p+A reactions was suggested in [54] and later for photon induced reactions in [52]. WASA-at-COSY [53] is a 4π detection system that can detect neutral and charged decays, even dileptons, and that can handle high rates. The venture would become possible in second generation WASA-at-COSY experiments that can employ nuclear targets and would be very much suited for studies of meson production and decays in the nuclear medium.

References

- [1] N. Bianchi, et al., Phys. Lett. B325 (1994) 333–336.
- [2] M. Post, J. Lehr, U. Mosel, Nucl. Phys. A741 (2004) 81.
- [3] B. Krusche, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 74 (1995) 3736–3739.
- [4] B. Krusche, N. C. Mukhopadhyay, J. F. Zhang, M. Benmerrouche, Phys. Lett. B397 (1997) 171–176.
- [5] F. Renard, et al., Phys. Lett. B528 (2002) 215–220.
- [6] M. Dugger, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 89 (2002) 222002.
- [7] V. Crede, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 94 (2005) 012004.
- [8] F. Härter, et al., Phys. Lett. B401 (1997) 229–233.
- [9] M. Wolf, et al., Eur. Phys. J. A9 (2000) 5–8.
- [10] W. Langgärtner, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 87 (2001) 052001.
- [11] O. Bartholomy, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 94 (2005) 012003.
- [12] M. Roebig-Landau, et al., Phys. Lett. B373 (1996) 45–50.
- [13] T. Yorita, et al., Phys. Lett. B476 (2000) 226–232.
- [14] T. Kinoshita, et al., Phys. Lett. B639 (2006) 429–435.
- [15] B. Krusche, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A22 (2007) 406–415.
- [16] F. Bloch, et al., Eur. Phys. J. A32 (2007) 219.
- [17] V. Bernard, U. G. Meissner, I. Zahed, Phys. Rev. Lett. 59 (1987) 966.
- [18] W. M. Yao, et al., J. Phys. G33 (2006) 1–1232.
- [19] I. Caprini, G. Colangelo, H. Leutwyler, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96 (2006) 132001.
- [20] T. Hatsuda, T. Kunihiro, H. Shimizu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82 (1999) 2840–2843.
- [21] Z. Aouissat, Phys. Rev. C62 (2000) 012201.
- [22] H. C. Chiang, E. Oset, M. J. Vicente-Vacas, Nucl. Phys. A644 (1998) 77–92.
- [23] L. Roca, E. Oset, M. J. Vicente-Vacas, Phys. Lett. B541 (2002) 77–86.
- [24] G. Chanfray, D. Davesne, M. Ericson, M. Martini, Eur. Phys. J. A27 (2006) 191–198.
- [25] F. Bonutti, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 77 (1996) 603–606.
- [26] F. Bonutti, et al., Phys. Rev. C60 (1999) 018201.

- [27] F. Bonutti, et al., Nucl. Phys. A677 (2000) 213–240.
- [28] P. Camerini, et al., Nucl. Phys. A735 (2004) 89–110.
- [29] N. Grion, et al., Nucl. Phys. A763 (2005) 80–89.
- [30] A. Starostin, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 85 (2000) 5539–5542.
- [31] B. Krusche, et al., Eur. Phys. J. A22 (2004) 277–291.
- [32] A. Braghieri, et al., Phys. Lett. B363 (1995) 46–50.
- [33] A. Zabrodin, et al., Phys. Rev. C55 (1997) 1617–1620.
- [34] A. Zabrodin, et al., Phys. Rev. C60 (1999) 055201.
- [35] J. Ahrens, et al., Phys. Lett. B551 (2003) 49–55.
- [36] J. Ahrens, et al., Phys. Lett. B624 (2005) 173–180.
- [37] B. Krusche, et al., Eur. Phys. J. A6 (1999) 309–324.
- [38] V. Kleber, et al., Eur. Phys. J. A9 (2000) 1–4.
- [39] M. Kotulla, et al., Phys. Lett. B578 (2004) 63–68.
- [40] Y. Assafiri, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 90 (2003) 222001.
- [41] B. Krusche, S. Schadmand, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 51 (2003) 399–485.
- [42] J. G. Messchendorp, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 89 (2002) 222302.
- [43] O. Buss, L. Alvarez-Ruso, P. Mühlich, U. Mosel, Eur. Phys. J. A29 (2006) 189–207.
- [44] S. Schadmand, Proposal CrystalBall@MAMI and TAPS collaborations MAMI A2/3-03.
- [45] G. Agakishiev, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 75 (1995) 1272–1275.
- [46] D. Adamova, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 91 (2003) 042301.
- [47] S. Damjanovic, et al., Nucl. Phys. A774 (2006) 715–718.
- [48] M. Naruki, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 96 (2006) 092301.
- [49] C. Djalali, R. Nasseripour, D. P. Weygand, M. H. Wood, AIP Conf. Proc. 892 (2007) 262–264.
- [50] T. Ishikawa, et al., Phys. Lett. B608 (2005) 215–222.
- [51] D. Trnka, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 94 (2005) 192303.
- [52] J. G. Messchendorp, A. Sibirtsev, W. Cassing, V. Metag, S. Schadmand, Eur. Phys. J. A11 (2001) 95–103.
- [53] H. H. Adam, et al.
- [54] A. Sibirtsev, V. Hejny, H. Stroher, W. Cassing, Phys. Lett. B483 (2000) 405–409.