



수의학석사 학위논문

Heavy metal concentration and food safety of shark meat in Jeju Island, Republic of Korea

제주도 연근해 상어육의 중금속 농도 및 식품안전성

2019년 8월

서울대학교 대학원

수의학과 수의병인생물학 및 예방수의학 전공

김 상 화

Heavy metal concentration and food safety of shark meat in Jeju Island, Republic of Korea

By

Sang Wha Kim

August, 2019

Veterinary Pathobiology and Preventive Medicine Department of Veterinary Medicine The Graduate School of Seoul National University 수의학석사 학위논문

Heavy metal concentration and food safety of shark meat in Jeju Island, Republic of Korea

제주도 연근해 상어육의 중금속 농도 및 식품안전성

지도교수: 박세 창

이 논문을 수의학 석사 학위논문으로 제출함 2019년 4월

서울대학교 대학원 수의학과 수의병인생물학 및 예방수의학 전공 김 상 화

> 김상화의 석사 학위 논문을 인준함 2019년 7월



Heavy metal concentration and food safety of shark meat in Jeju island, Republic of Korea

By

Sang Wha Kim

Supervisor: Prof. Se Chang Park, D.V.M., Ph.D.

A dissertation submitted to the faculty of the Graduate School of Seoul National University in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master in Veterinary Pathobiology and Preventive Medicine

August, 2019

Veterinary Pathobiology and Preventive Medicine Department of Veterinary Medicine The Graduate School of Seoul National University

Heavy metal concentration and food safety of shark meat in Jeju island, Republic of Korea

Sang Wha Kim (Supervised by Professor Se Chang Park) Department of Veterinary Pathobiology and Preventive Medicine College of Veterinary Medicine The Graduate School of Seoul National University

Abstract

Shark meat is consumed as a food source worldwide, especially in Asian countries. However, since sharks are apex predators in the ocean food chain, they are prone to bioaccumulation of heavy metals. More than 100 million sharks are caught annually for human consumption, and the safety of shark meat cannot be overemphasized. Here, we examined heavy metal concentration in the muscle tissue of 6 shark species including 3 migratory species (*Carcharhinus brachyurus, Carcharhinus obscurus*, and *Isurus oxyrinchus*) and 3 local species (*Triakis*)

scyllium, Mustelus manazo, and *Cephaloscyllium umbratile*) from fish markets in Jeju Island, Republic of Korea. The concentrations of 11 heavy metals (Cr, Fe, Cu, Zn, As, Se, Cd, Sn, Sb, Pb, and Hg) and MeHg were analyzed. The result showed that the average concentrations of all metals, except for that of As, were below the regulatory maximum limits of many organizations, including the Codex standard. Hg and MeHg were significantly correlated with body length, body weight, and age, and the concentration of Hg was expected to exceed the limit in *C. brachyurus* with a body length or weight of over 130 cm or 25 kg, respectively. Our results indicate that shark meat can expose consumers to a high level of As and that copper sharks bigger than the predicted size should be avoided for excessive Hg. Considering these findings, a detailed guideline on consumption of meat of different shark species should be suggested based on further investigation.

Keywords: Shark meat, Heavy metal, Food safety, Bioaccumulation, Carcharhinus brachyurus

Student number: 2016-26230

CONTENTS

| Abstracti |
|------------------------|
| Contents iii |
| Abbreviations iv |
| Introduction 1 |
| Material & Methods 7 |
| Results 16 |
| Discussion 26 |
| References 47 |
| Summary 55 |
| Abstract in Korean 56 |
| List of articles 58 |
| List of conferences 62 |
| Acknowledgements 66 |

| Cr | Chromium |
|--------|--|
| Fe | Iron |
| Cu | Copper |
| Zn | Zinc |
| As | Arsenic |
| Se | Selenium |
| Cd | Cadmium |
| Sn | Tin |
| Sb | Antimony |
| Pb | Lead |
| Hg | Mercury |
| MeHg | Methylmercury |
| IUCN | International Union for Conservation of Nature |
| TBL | Total body length |
| BW | Body weight |
| ICP-MS | Inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry |
| CRM | Certified reference material |
| DL | Detection limit |
| DMA | Direct mercury analyzer |
| GC | Gas chromatography |
| CVAFS | Cold vapor atomic fluorescence spectroscopy |
| MANOVA | Multivariate analysis of variance |
| MVN | Multivariate normality |

| MVR | Multivariate regression |
|-------|--|
| DOH | Department of Health |
| SCF | Scientific Committee on Food |
| FAO | Food and Agriculture Organization of United States |
| JECFA | Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives |
| EU | European Union |
| KFDA | Korea Food & Drug Administration |
| UNEP | United Nations Environment Programme |

Introduction

Shark meat has been used as a food source since the fourth century, and there was a drastic increase in its usage after World War I [1]. World shark capture production and shark meat trade amounts have increased continuously since 1950s, reaching the highest values on records in 2011 [2, 3]. Shark population thus has decreased due to unregulated shark fishing worldwide [4]. Currently, 28% of nondata deficient shark species are endangered according to the International Union for the Conservation of Nature (IUCN) red list [5]. Although the capture amount has decreased since 2011, the reason is still unclear whether there has been a decrease in demand or a decrease in population [6]. Asia is a major contributor to the global chondrichthyan market. Indeed, Japan, China, Taiwan, Hong Kong, Singapore, Republic of Korea, Thailand, India, Indonesia, and Malaysia are all involved in the production, import, and export of shark meat [1]. Asian countries accounted for 59% of the global shark trade from 1976 to 2015; this percentage has increased to 63% in the last 15 years [3].

In the Republic of Korea, ancient records on shark products as food or clinical medicines include Shinjeung-donggukyeojiseungram sources (新增東國輿地勝覽, Augmented Geographical Survey of Korea, 1481), Donguibogam (東醫寶鑑, Principles and Practices of Eastern Medicine, 1613), Jangjeon Ganchal (張瑱 簡札, Jangjeon's letter, 1690), and Jasaneobo (茲山魚譜, Record of Fish in Heuksan Island, 1814), and shark meat continues to be a major food source at present. The amount of shark meat imported into Korea surged in the 1990s and has remained steady at over 20,000 tons since 2003. Moreover, the import value has increased, even after 2003, and was reported to be 85 million USD in 2015 [3]. This increase in demand can be explained by the routine intake of shark meat in Korea, both as a traditional dish in Gyeongsangbuk-do and as a general cuisine in the form of steamed meat, roasted meat, soup, and skewers in various provinces.

Fish meat consumption has various advantages, including reducing the risk of cardiovascular disease and osteoporosis and facilitating the development of embryos via increased intake of long-chain n-3 fatty acids [7]. However, various

environmental toxins including dioxin, polychlorinated biphenyls, and heavy metals can also be introduced into the body by consuming fish meat. Toxins can accumulate in the fish body via pollution sources such as industrial waste waters from mine and refinery [8-10]. Among various toxins, heavy metal accumulation is a critical parameter for establishing food safety of shark meat because of the multiple harmful effects of heavy metals. Fish meat is the main source of mercury (Hg), arsenic (As), copper (Cu), selenium (Se), lead (Pb), and cadmium (Cd) in diet. Many national and international organizations have developed regulatory maximum limits for different heavy metals to avoid damage to the body by fish consumption [11].

Shark is a representative apex predator of marine ecosystems and its meat has a higher risk of bioaccumulation of environmental toxins than other fish species [12]. Since shark meat has been used as an important food source for a long time, a large number of studies have been conducted on heavy metal concentration to ensure food safety [8, 9, 12-21]. The concentrations of various heavy metals have been measured at the level of species, habitat, environmental conditions, and biological conditions (age, body length, body weight, etc.). Based on the accumulated information, the food safety of shark meat is being assessed.

Among various heavy metal species, methylmercury (MeHg) especially shows a good absorption rate (90%) and long retention time (half-life: 70 days) in the human body. Excessive accumulation of MeHg can induce atrophy of the cerebral cortex, ataxia, hearing loss, decreased visual acuity, and increased incidence of cardiovascular diseases. The main source of MeHg in humans is fish meat intake because MeHg is biomagnified through the marine and freshwater food chain. Indeed, terrestrial animals typically have about 20 µg/kg MeHg, whereas large fish species, such as tuna or sharks, can have MeHg concentrations of up to 1 mg/kg [7, 22]. MeHg concentrations in fish depend on many factors, including the concentrations of water and sediments, the pH and redox potential of water, and the age, species, and size of fish [23]. Therefore, further studies are necessary to ensure the safety of fish meat consumption, especially meat from apex predators.

Carcharhinus brachyurus (copper shark), making up 60% of the shark

species used in this study, are pelagic, oceanic, and highly migratory species that are distributed all over the coastal areas of tropical and temperate seas. They have been fished commercially in many countries worldwide including New Zealand, Australia, South Africa, Brazil, Uruguay, Argentina, Mexico, China, and Korea [24, 25]. They migrate to the north in the spring and summer and migrate back to the south in the fall and winter, reaching the sea around Jeju island from October to February. These sharks consume cephalopods, pelagic and benthic teleosts, and small elasmobranchs as their main food, staying at the top of the ocean food chain and are by-caught in the Jeju island mainly with Japanese amberjack (Seriola quinqueradiata), longtooth grouper (Epinephelus bruneus), and largehead hairtail (Trichiurus lepturus) [25, 26]. They are sold at wholesale fish markets, transported to other areas, and consumed by local people. Since they are being caught and consumed not only in Korea but also in all countries, the food safety of copper shark meat should be a concern. As they are the apex predators of the ocean food chain, the need for bioaccumulation analysis is particularly emphasized.

Accordingly, in this study, we evaluated the concentrations of heavy metals (Cr, Fe, Cu, Zn, As, Se, Cd, Sn, Sb, Pb, Hg, and MeHg) in six shark species including *C. brachyurus* captured at Jeju island (*C. brachyurus, Carcharhinus obscurus, Isurus oxyrinchus, Triakis scyllium, Mustelus manazo,* and *Cephaloscyllium umbratile*). We also examined correlations between metal concentrations and biological criteria including age, sex, total body length (TBL), body weight (BW), and habitat in *C. brachyurus*. With these results, we evaluated the safety of consuming the meat of these six shark species.

Materials & Methods

Sampling

Sharks were sampled at Moseulpo and Hallim fish markets in Jeju, Korea from October 2017 to December 2017, immediately after being released to the markets from fishing ships, targeting the 'shark meats actually being sold at the fish markets' as our sample population (Fig 1). For the Moseulpo fish market, a total of 19 sharks were by-caught from the sea area between Gapa island and Mara island by line fishing ships for Japanese amberjack (*Seriola quinqueradiata*), angling fishing ships for largehead hairtail (Trichiurus lepturus), and longline fishing ships for longtooth grouper (Epinephelus bruneus). All these 19 sharks were sampled immediately after being auctioned. For the Hallim fish market, sharks were by-caught by drift gillnets, and six of these sharks were sampled. Almost every shark brought to the markets during the sampling period was sampled to obtain the shark meat population actually consumed by the local people. All samples were numbered from SNU-MO-0001 to SNU-MO-0025 in

chronological order. The species of the sharks were determined with their features based on previous studies [27, 28]. Sharks were sampled and necropsied to determine their health statuses within 12 h of being caught. TBL, BW, and the girth right in front of the first dorsal fin were measured. The epaxial muscle and 4-6 vertebrae were sampled at the level of the first dorsal fin. Muscles were sampled using a Whirl-Pak (Sigma-Aldrich, Germany) and kept frozen at -20°C until analysis. Muscle tissues on the vertebrae were roughly removed, and all vertebral joints were disparted. The neural arch and transverse processes were removed from the centrums. Remnant tissue was removed after the centrums were immersed in commercial sodium hydroxide solution. Then the centrums were rinsed with water and dried for more than 72 h.

Age determination

Completely dried centrums were embedded with EPOXY Resin (Pace Technologies, AZ, USA) and EPOXY Hardener (Pace Technologies). After 24 h of hardening, centrums were sectioned using an Isomet low-speed saw (Buehler, IL, USA) with a diamond saw into 2-mm-thick pieces. Samples were then attached to slide glasses using 7036.20 Blanchard Wax (J.H. Young Company, NY, USA), and then the centrum pieces were ground using an AutoMet 250 grinder-polisher (Buehler) with sandpaper until the slices were thinner than 200 μ m. Samples were ground in two stages using different grinding papers (Carbimet 320 grit and 600 grit) to minimize the surface scratch. The annulus on the corpus calcareum was measured on the slides independently by three researchers.

Heavy metal concentration analysis

Total concentrations of 10 heavy metals (chromium [Cr], iron [Fe], copper [Cu], zinc [Zn], arsenic [As], selenium [Se], cadmium [Cd], tin [Sn], antimony [Sb], and lead [Pb]) were analyzed using inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS). Approximately 0.1 g of homogenized shark muscle in wet condition was completely disintegrated by adding 10 mL HNO₃ and heating at 200 $^{\circ}$ C for 2 h. Samples were cooled to room temperature, deionized water was added to the digested samples, and the dilution factors were calculated

by measuring the final weight. ICP-MS was conducted with an Agilent 770x ICP-MS (Agilent Technologies, CA, USA). Analysis was carried out in He mode with a low matrix concentration, and the CeO/Ce ratio was 0.9%. Certified reference materials (CRMs; VHG Labs, NH, USA) were used at a concentration of 0.1–100 ppb for calibration (Pearson's r > 0.999). Analysis results were presented in mg/kg, and the detection limit (DL) was 0.0001 mg/kg (KOPTRI, Seoul, Korea).

For total mercury analysis, shark muscle tissues were cut into 1-cm³ pieces and freeze-dried for 72 h using a freeze-dryer (FDU-1200; Eyela Co., Japan). The moisture composition ratio was calculated using the mass difference between before and after freeze-drying. Freeze-dried samples were homogenized thoroughly using a mortar, placed into conical tubes, and sealed with parafilm until use. For total mercury analysis, approximately 0.01 g of freeze-dried and homogenized shark muscle samples was weighed on a precision scale connected to a Milestone DMA-80 Direct Mercury Analyzer (Milestone, Bergamo, Italy) and analyzed. DOLT-5 (National Research Council Canada, Ottawa Ontario, Canada) was used as a CRM, and the average recovery of CRM was 103.7% (± 9.8%, n =

13). The DL measured by DMA-80 was 0.06 ng/g. Dry weight concentrations were converted into wet weight (WW) concentrations using the moisture composition ratio.

For methylmercury analysis, approximately 0.01 g freeze-dried and homogenized shark muscle sample and DOLT-5 CRM were weighed on a precision scale and placed in glass bottles that had been washed with 15% HCl (12 N) solution. After adding 5 mL of 25% KOH solution diluted with methanol, samples were incubated in an oven at 60° C over 12 h. Samples were cooled to room temperature, and deionized water was added to the sample solution for dilution. Next, 200 mL of deionized water was added to the bubbler, and 1 mL acetate buffer (2.0 M) was added to adjust the pH to 4.9. Diluted sample solution and 0.1 mL of 1% tetraethylborate solution were added to the bubbler to produce volatile methylethylmercury. Volatile methylethylmercury was collected into a Tenax trap after 15 min of N_2 gas purging and 15 min of incubation for ethylation to methylated Hg species. The traps were then dried with N_2 gas for 9 min. Hg species were released from the Tenax trap following 30 s of heating, separated into individual species using gas chromatography (GC), and detected by cold vapor atomic fluorescence spectroscopy (CVAFS) using a Brooks Rand Model III detector (Brooks Rand Instruments, WA, USA). The average recovery rate of CRM was 101.6% (\pm 8.1%, n = 9). Dry weight concentrations were converted to WW concentrations using the moisture composition ratio.

Statistical analysis

When non-detects that have a lower concentration than that of DL comprise less than 15% of the data, replacement with 1/2DL is suggested as one of the satisfactory methods for further analysis [29, 30], and based on this suggestion, many papers have used the 1/2DL substitution method for statistical analysis of data with non-detects [31-33]. Therefore, for a more detailed statistical analysis other than simple average comparison, 1/2DL was used as a substitute for non-detects, and only the heavy metals in which the non-detect portion was lower than 15% were analyzed (Fe, Cu, Zn, As, Se, Hg, and MeHg). In cases of biological data acquisition failure due to field situations, the related data were

excluded from statistical processing.

Multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA) was used to determine whether species, sex, and habitat affect heavy metal concentrations. Since MANOVA analysis assumes both multivariate normality and homogeneity of variance-covariance matrix of residuals and the raw data did not satisfy the assumptions (Table 1), multivariate Box-Cox transformation was performed using estimated transformation parameters (Table 2) [34]. Since the transformation was applied to practical situations, estimated power parameters were replaced by simple power parameters, i.e., 0, ± 0.25 , and ± 0.5 . The Box-Cox family requires the responses to be strictly positive. Transformation was performed using the powerTransform function in Car version 3.0-2 package in R [35]. λ is the power parameter in the following equation.

$$y(\lambda) = \begin{cases} \frac{y^{\lambda} - 1}{\lambda} & \text{if } \lambda \neq 0\\\\ \log(y) & \text{if } \lambda = 0 \end{cases}$$

Shapiro-Wilk test for marginally normality test, Bartlett's test for homogeneity of variance-covariance matrix assumption check, Mardia's multivariate normality (MVN) test, and Henze-Zirkler's MVN test for jointly normality tests were performed using statistical package R [35]. MVN tests were performed with MVN package version 5.5 in R [36]. Normal Q-Q plots were also generated to check normality. Since the assumptions were satisfactory after proper transformation (Table 3, 4), MANOVA was conducted with car package version 3.0-2 in R. Data of *C. umbratile* was excluded when MANOVA was performed for species due to the small sample number (sample number was only one).

Multivariate regression (MVR) was also performed to confirm whether there is any significant correlation between age, BW, girth, TBL and each heavy metal concentration using the lm function in R. To satisfy the fundamental assumptions of multivariate linear regression, the raw data were transformed using multivariate Box-Cox transformation for each covariate: age, BW, TBL, and girth. All responsible variables were tested with Shapiro-Wilk test, Bartlett's test, Mardia's MVN test, and Henze-Zirkler's MVN test, and we confirmed that they satisfy the assumptions.

To elucidate the correlations among parameters, excluding species

differences, MANOVA and MVR were conducted using data from *C. brachyurus* only. Pearson's coefficients and *p* values were also calculated using R to confirm statistical significance [35]. Based on the results from MVR showing significant correlation between heavy metal concentration and biological parameters of *C. brachyurus*, linear and polynomial regressions were used and compared to each other to identify a more, well-fitting model using OriginPro 8.5 (OriginLab Corporation, Northampton, USA).

Results

Characteristics of the sampled sharks

In total, 25 sharks of six species were collected over a 3-month period. C. brachyurus (copper shark), C. obscurus (dusky shark), and I. oxyrinchus (shortfin mako) were by-caught by line fishing, angling fishing, and longline fishing in the Moseulpo sea, and T. scyllium (banded houndshark), M. manazo (starspotted smooth-hound), and C. umbratile (blotchy swell shark) were by-caught by drift gillnets in the Hallim sea area. We could not collect some of the biological data due to circumstantial causes. Collected biological data are summarized in Table 5 by species, and all the raw data are recorded in the Table 6. Because sharks longer than 2 m are difficult to draw back to land when by-caught, sharks distributed in fish markets are generally less than 2 m in length. Indeed, the sharks collected for this investigation were all shorter than 2 m. Thus, for shark species that often have mature lengths of over 2 m, including C. brachyurus, C. obscurus, and I. oxyrinchus, only juvenile sharks were collected. As a result of age estimation using vertebral section, all sharks were found to be younger than 7 years old (Table 5). Based on this information, the sampled batch was not a true representation of *C. brachyurus, C. obscurus,* and *I. oxyrinchus*. However, since the collected specimens were subsamples of sharks that are actually consumed by the local people, this batch was a good representation of shark meat as a food material, which is the target sample population of this research. Moreover, since these three species (*C. brachyurus, C. obscurus,* and *I. oxyrinchus*) show seasonal migration and can be consumed in other countries on their migration route, the importance of their food safety becomes greater.

Average heavy metal concentrations

The average moisture composition ratio was 74.6%, and the dry weight concentrations were converted to WW concentration using this value. The average concentrations of the 11 metals and MeHg in all 25 sharks are indicated in Table 7 and showed the following decreasing order: Fe > As > Zn > Cu > Se > Hg >MeHg > Cr > Pb > Sn > Sb > Cd. The average concentrations of Cr, Sn, and Pb were the highest in *C. obscurus*, whereas the average concentrations of Fe, Cu, and Hg were the highest in *I. oxyrinchus*. As and Se concentrations were the highest in *M. manazo* and *T. scyllium*, respectively. Zn and Sb were the highest in *C. umbratile*. For Hg, *I. oxyrinchus* had the highest concentration (0.27 mg/kg) followed by *C. obscurus*, *C. brachyurus*, *C. umbratile*, *T. scyllium*, and *M. manazo*. The Cd concentration was under the DL (0.0001 mg/kg) in all 25 sharks, similar to previous studies showing that most of the absorbed Cd were stored in the liver and that only a small amount was stored in the muscle in sharks [12, 13].

Correlations between heavy metal concentrations and biological information

After the Box-Cox transformation, all the data satisfied the multivariate normality assumption and homogeneity of variance-covariance matrix assumption, except for only 6 cases (Table 3). Even though a few samples tested non-normal or had a slight inequality of variance, MANOVA test could be performed since it is fairly robust for deviations from multivariate normality and homogeneity of variance-covariance. MANOVA of Fe, Cu, Zn, As, Se, Hg, and MeHg concentrations for the 25 sharks showed that the concentrations of these heavy metals were significantly associated with species (multivariate significance = 0.024; Table 8). Specifically, Zn and As concentrations were significantly correlated with species.

In the case of As, it showed a significant correlation not only with species but also with habitat and family (Fig 2A, 2B). The concentration of As differed between benthic and pelagic sharks according to habitat-based classification. T. scyllium, M. manazo, and C. umbratile are benthic sharks, whereas C. brachyurus, C. obscurus, and I. oxyrinchus are pelagic sharks (Fig 2B). As concentrations were significantly higher in benthic sharks (p < 0.05), and the pattern was similar to that of a previous study showing higher concentrations of As in bottom-feeding fish than in other bony fish [22]. Difference in As concentration was also found according to phylogenetic families, i.e., Carcharhinidae (C. brachyurus and C. obscurus), Isuridae (I. oxyrinchus), and Triakidae (T. scyllium and M. manazo; Fig. 2A), presenting significantly different concentrations (p < 0.05) among the three groups. In particular, As was the highest in Triakidae. Since Triakidae sharks

studied in this study are all benthic sharks, these results can be interpreted in the same context.

In the case of Zn, the concentration was the highest in Carcharhinidae sharks (Fig 2A). The concentration decreased in the order of Carcharhinidae, Isuridae, and Triakidae, and this resembles the pattern of Zn concentration appearing higher in pelagic sharks than in benthic sharks. This is also due to the fact that Carcharhinidae and Isuridae are pelagic sharks.

Other heavy metals also showed concentration differences according to habitat. In the case of Hg, the average concentration in benthic sharks was 0.14 mg/kg, which was 44% lower than that in pelagic sharks (0.25 mg/kg). The MeHg concentration in benthic sharks (0.08 mg/kg) was 53% lower than that in pelagic sharks (0.17 mg/kg). This is consistent with a previous study showing different Hg and MeHg accumulation pattern between pelagic and benthic food chains [37]. Additionally, the average concentrations of Cu, Zn, Sn, and Pb were higher in pelagic sharks, whereas the average concentrations of Fe and As were higher in benthic sharks. In the MVR analysis to confirm the correlation between continuous variables (age, BW, girth, and TBL) and heavy metal concentrations, Hg and MeHg were found to be significantly and positively correlated with BW, girth, and TBL (Table 9, Fig 3A, 3B, 3F, 3G, 3J, 3K). A significant positive correlation also existed between Zn and girth, Fe and girth, and Fe and TBL. The correlation between Zn and girth is comparable with that of a previous study; as in the case of the teleost fish *Poecilia reticulata*, it is known that Zn concentration is maintained at a certain level in the body of these fish [38].

Se showed a significant correlation with girth and TBL, and was the only heavy metal that showed a negative correlation (Fig 3E, 3I). This pattern is different from those of previous studies showing that Se has positive correlation with TBL in some tropical fishes or even that Se does not have any correlation with TBL in various fish species including shortfin mako [39, 40].

Correlations between heavy metal concentrations and biological information in C. brachyurus

The results of MANOVA in C. brachyurus (n = 15) showed that BW and TBL are significantly correlated with both Hg and MeHg (p < 0.05; Table 8, Fig. 3L, 3M, 3N, 3O). To find a regression model that explains the correlations better, adjusted R^2 values of polynomial and linear regressions were compared, and polynomial regression was concluded as a more, well-fitting model (Fig 4A, 4B). According to this regression model, Hg concentration in this species decreases from birth to a certain point in their life, and then increases with time. This model gives strength to the existence of growth dilution of Hg in C. brachyurus. The slight decrease in Hg concentration at the early stage of growth can be deduced from the effect of growth dilution in young individuals [17, 41]. Polynomial regression of Hg concentrations according to girth and age also demonstrated positive relationships (Fig 4D, 4E).

Based on this information, we speculated that Hg and MeHg concentrations were all under the regulatory maximum limits because of the sampled sharks' ages and sizes. Prediction intervals of the regulatory maximum limit of Hg (0.4 mg/kg) calculated using the regression lines of BW and TBL were

as follows: BW, 25.3–31.4 kg; TBL, 130.8–174.0 kg. These two criteria are easy to confirm in the field and can be checked before a shark is sold at a local market in order to avoid consumption of shark meat having Hg concentrations over the limit value.

Hg and MeHg concentrations showed strong positive linear correlations (Pearson's r = 0.990; Fig 4C), and the average MeHg/Hg ratio was $61.5\% \pm 8.3\%$ (min–max: 46.3–83.3%), similar to previous reports [18, 19]. *I. oxyrinchus* had the highest MeHg/Hg ratio (66.6%), and *T. scyllium* had the lowest MeHg/Hg ratio (55.8%).

Heavy metal concentration differences according to sex in *C. brachyurus* were analyzed. Fe, Cu, and Hg were high in males, whereas Zn, As, and Se were high in females. In particular, Cu and As showed significant differences (p < 0.1; Fig 4F). It is known that Cu concentration in some teleost fish is higher in females than in males due to the metabolic activity difference, and a similar mechanism can be considered for *C. brachyurus* in further studies [42].

By taking a closer look at the Cu concentration values, SNU-MO-0012

was a particularly noticeable case. This shark was by-caught right after birth, having the umbilical cord still attached and its intestine filled with meconium only. This shark's Cu concentration was obviously the highest among all C. brachyurus, and the cumulative percentage was 99.9%. Although the reason for this high Cu concentration in younger individuals is unknown, this phenomenon is similar to that observed in humans. Indeed, human babies show peak Cu concentrations right after birth, with a subsequent decrease to one-tenth the initial level beginning after 13 weeks of age [43, 44]. Consistent with this, the Cu concentration in SNU-MO-0012 (8.91 mg/kg) was almost 10 times that of the other 14 C. brachyurus sharks (0.92 mg/kg). This tendency was consistent with a previous study showing that Cu concentration decreases during a shark's growth period and increases again after their maturation [8, 45]. A similar tendency was also found in marine mammals whose Cu concentrations decreased with their growth [46, 47].

Pb concentrations showed a positive linear relationship with weight (Pearson's r = 0.729, p < 0.05), as confirmed by linear regression analysis. A positive relationship was also observed for all 25 sharks (Pearson's r = 0.578, p <

0.05), in contrast to previous studies demonstrating that there was a negative relationship between fish body weight or size and Pb concentration [48, 49].

The correlation matrix of the 11 heavy metals in *C. brachyurus* showed significant relationships between Fe and Cr (Pearson's r = 0.538, p < 0.01), Sn and Pb (Pearson's r = 0.437, p < 0.05), and Hg and Pb (Pearson's r = 0.752, p < 0.001). However, the reason for these correlations is still unknown. In contrast, unlike the known positive correlation between Se and Hg concentration in fish muscle, Se and Hg concentrations in *C. brachyurus* were not significantly correlated (Pearson's r = 0.04) [14, 50]. This pattern did not change when the correlation was analyzed with all the 25 sharks collected (Pearson's r = 0.02).

Discussion

Regulatory limit of heavy metal concentration

Heavy metal concentration is one of the important criteria that should be confirmed for food safety. Various institutions and governments have suggested regulatory maximum limits for different heavy metals in fish (or predatory fish) meat. To confirm the safety of shark meat as a food product, heavy metal concentrations from this study were compared with the regulatory maximum limits from eight international regulatory bodies and one domestic (Korean) food regulatory body (Table 10).

The regulatory limits of As, Cd, Sn, Sb, Pb, Hg, and MeHg concentrations are directly compared in Table 10. Because Hg and MeHg concentrations are important criteria for determining food safety, various regulatory bodies have presented maximum regulatory levels, and most have reported 1 mg/kg as the limit value [51-57]; however, the Japanese Health Authority has suggested stricter reference value, allowing up to 0.4 mg/kg total Hg and 0.3 mg/kg MeHg [58].
Even with the most stringent standards, the average concentration of Hg and MeHg in all 25 sharks was below the limit value. Almost all 25 sharks showed lower concentrations of Hg and MeHg than the regulatory maximum limits, except for two sharks that had the longest TBL and largest BW (SNU-MO-0006 and SNU-MO-0001). These two sharks had Hg concentrations of 268% and 102% of the maximum limit concentrations, respectively, and both were C. brachyurus species. Furthermore, only one shark (SNU-MO-0006), which had the longest TBL and largest BW, exceeded the limit for the MeHg concentration (297% of the limit value). In contrast, the As concentrations of all shark species, except for I. oxyrinchus, exceeded the regulatory limit value. The average concentration was less than the regulatory limit in *I. oxyrinchus*, but was fairly close to the limit value. The Average As concentration in all 25 sharks was 7.71 mg/kg, which was more than twice the regulatory level. The concentrations of other heavy metals (Sb, Pb, Cd, and Sn) were within the safe range in all 25 sharks.

For Cr, Cu, Zn, and Se, the regulatory maximum limits were indicated in mg/day; thus, the measured concentrations were converted to mean daily intake

amounts of heavy metals from shark meat (mg/day) by multiplying the average amount of seafood ingested by Koreans (79.6 g/day) for each concentration, and these values were then compared with the regulatory limits [59]. The concentrations of all four heavy metals were under the regulatory maximum limits for all shark species (Table 10).

Food safety of shark meat and the need for shark meat consumption guideline

Shark meat is concluded as not safe to be used as a food source due to the Hg, MeHg, and As concentrations, even though concentrations of Cr, Cu, Zn, Se, Cd, Sn, Sb, and Pb were lower than the regulatory limits (Table 10).

Hg toxicity due to overexposure results in serious clinical problems including neurotoxicity, cardiovascular toxicity, and embryotoxicity in pregnancy, of which Minamata disease is representative. MeHg is one of the organic Hg species and it causes secondary structural change to DNA and RNA and also protein structural change by binding to thiol groups [23]. Since MeHg has a good absorption rate (90%) and long retention time, caution should be exercised when consuming fish meat. According to various regulations for food safety, as discussed above, almost every shark showed Hg and MeHg concentration belonging to the safety zone, except for 2 and 1 individual sharks, respectively.

Even though Hg concentration itself seldom exceeded the regulatory limits in this study, shark meat consumption should still be considered carefully due to various reasons. Previous studies found that combined exposure to Hg and other heavy metals including Al, Cu, Pb, Cd, and Mn poses a synergetic health risk and that shark meat consumption can easily expose an individual to this health risk [61, 62]. Furthermore, excess Hg concentration can be predicted in a copper shark with over 25 kg BW and 130 cm TBL based on the positive relationship found in this study. Since meat from a copper shark over the predicted size will not be appropriate as a food source, the predicted value can be used as a guideline for consumers to choose more safe copper shark meat and for using different shark species as meat. Since Hg concentration also differs from organ to organ, and shark liver, fin, and intestine are also used as food sources, guidelines

for different organs should also be prepared [9, 20].

The need for a more detailed guideline for shark meat safety also exists for As, which showed excess concentration in almost every shark examined. Arsenic toxicity results in various manifestations such as skin lesions, chronic lung diseases, liver diseases, and vascular diseases. In addition, since As inhibits mitochondrial respiration, which leads to oxidative stress and successive cell injury, death, and even cancer, its excessive accumulation must be avoided [63]. In this study, As showed significantly higher concentration in benthic sharks than in pelagic sharks, and in fact, all shark species except I. oxyrinchus showed regulatory limit exceedance. As the concentration of As varies according to the habitat and species, it is necessary to study the As concentration on the basis of a more diversified shark species and propose a guideline to prevent excessive accumulation through shark meat ingestion.



Fig 1. Sampling location in Jeju Island. Nineteen sharks were sampled from the Moseulpo fish market (30, Hamohanggu-ro, Daejeong-eup, Seogwipo-si, Jeju-do 63506, Republic of Korea) and 6 sharks were from the Hallim fish market (141-3, Hallimhaean-ro, Hallim-eup, Jeju-si, Jeju-do 63032, Republic of Korea).



Fig 2. Average heavy metal concentration comparison by family and habitat. Data under the DL were substituted with half the DL. Error bars indicate standard errors. *: p < 0.05; Pelagic sharks: *C. brachyurus, C. obscurus, I. oxyrinchus*; benthic sharks: *T. scyllium, M. manazo, C. umbratile*. Carcharhinidae: *C. brachyurus, C. obscurus*; Triakidae: *T. scyllium, M. manazo*; Isuridae: *I. oxyrinchus*.



Fig 3. Multivariate regression graph. A – K: Multivariate linear regression between BW, TBL, girth and heavy metal concentrations in every 25 sharks. L – O: Multivariate linear regression between BW, TBL and heavy metal concentrations in *C. brachyurus*. All A – O showed significant correlation (p<0.05).



Fig 4. Heavy metal concentration analysis in *C. brachyurus.* A, B, D, E: Correlation between Hg concentration and body weight (BW), total body length (TBL), girth, and age in *C. brachyurus* (p < 0.05 in all four cases). Blue lines show the 95% prediction interval for the regression line, and blue dotted lines indicate the regulatory maximum limits of Hg and MeHg (0.4 and 0.3 mg/kg, respectively). C: Correlation between the concentrations of Hg and MeHg in *C. brachyurus* (Pearson's r = 0.990, p < 0.05). F: Average heavy metal concentration comparison between male and female *C. brachyurus*. Error bars indicate standard errors, and the spots beyond the error bars indicate significance at p < 0.1.

 Table 1. Shapiro-Wilk test & Bartlett's test results before transformation. Normality assumption and homogeneity of variance-covariance assumption are not all satisfied. All values were rounded to the fourth decimal place.

| Tests | Variables | Fe | Cu | Zn | As | Se | Hg | MeHg |
|----------------------|-----------|--------|----------|----------|--------|----------|----------|----------|
| All sharks | | | | | | | | |
| | Species | 0.0471 | 0.0002 | 0.0003 | 0.0057 | 7.53e-08 | 1.36e-06 | 1.17e-07 |
| Shapiro-Wilk test | Sex | 0.0019 | 4.30e-07 | 0.0110 | 0.0326 | 3.79e-07 | 3.70e-06 | 4.89e-07 |
| | Habitat | 0.0028 | 3.95e-06 | 9.75e-05 | 0.0792 | 6.59e-08 | 1.21e-06 | 9.85e-08 |
| | Species | 0.3350 | 0.0116 | 0.0173 | 0.0550 | 0.0132 | 0.0201 | 0.0038 |
| Bartlett's test | Sex | 0.8099 | 0.7985 | 0.0278 | 0.9001 | 0.0001 | 0.0018 | 0.0001 |
| | Habitat | 0.4903 | 0.0003 | 0.8884 | 0.1638 | 0.0171 | 0.0013 | 0.0001 |
| Carcharhinus brachyı | ırus | | | | | | | |
| Shapiro-Wilk test | Sex | 0.0069 | 0.0017 | 0.1142 | 0.3260 | 5.66e-05 | 0.0068 | 0.0010 |
| Bartlett's test | Sex | 0.6372 | 3.06e-07 | 0.0074 | 0.0395 | 0.0170 | 0.0033 | 0.0004 |

| Variables | Fe | Cu | Zn | As | Se | Hg | MeHg |
|--------------|------|------|-------|------|-------|-------|-------|
| All sharks | | | | | | | |
| Species | 0.25 | 0.25 | -0.5 | 0.25 | 0 | -0.25 | -0.25 |
| Sex | 0.25 | 0.25 | -0.25 | 0.25 | 0 | -0.25 | -0.25 |
| Habitat | 0.25 | 0.25 | -0.25 | 0.25 | 0 | -0.25 | -0.25 |
| Copper shark | | | | | | | |
| Sex | 0.25 | 0.25 | -0.25 | -0.5 | -0.25 | -0.25 | -0.25 |

 Table 2. Estimated transformation parameters for multivariate Box-Cox transformation.
 Variables were replaced

 by a simple power transformation after estimation.
 Variables were replaced

Table 3. Shapiro-Wilk test & Bartlett's test results after transformation. Normality assumption and homogeneity of variance-covariance assumption are satisfied. Even though a few samples tested as non-normal or with slightly inequality of variance, MANOVA is fairly robust to deviations from normality and homoscedasticity. All values were rounded to the fourth decimal place.

| Tests | Variables | Fe | Cu | Zn | As | Se | Hg | MeHg |
|----------------------|-----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| All sharks | | | | | | | | |
| | Species | 0.3826 | 0.1606 | 0.7947 | 0.0834 | 0.1242 | 0.5626 | 0.1920 |
| Shapiro-Wilk test | Sex | 0.0552 | 0.0146 | 0.7230 | 0.8371 | 0.3933 | 0.7866 | 0.8764 |
| | Habitat | 0.2087 | 0.0543 | 0.4147 | 0.7111 | 0.2549 | 0.8392 | 0.7926 |
| | Species | 0.5023 | 0.2628 | 0.3190 | 0.0747 | 0.0709 | 0.2261 | 0.0977 |
| Bartlett's test | Sex | 0.9543 | 0.2969 | 0.2167 | 0.5445 | 0.2032 | 0.5087 | 0.5299 |
| | Habitat | 0.2759 | 0.0427 | 0.5710 | 0.9488 | 0.0982 | 0.0918 | 0.0156 |
| Carcharhinus brachyu | rus | | | | | | | |
| Shapiro-Wilk test | Sex | 0.4399 | 0.0189 | 0.7540 | 0.2873 | 0.8022 | 0.7862 | 0.8913 |
| Bartlett's test | Sex | 0.1741 | 0.0001 | 0.0464 | 0.3743 | 0.5555 | 0.3750 | 0.3957 |

Table 4. Mardia's multivariate normality (MVN) test & Henze-Zirkler's MVN test after transformation.Multivariate normality is satisfied. All values were rounded to the third decimal place.

| Variables | Mardia's MVN tes | Henze-Zirkler's MVN test | |
|-------------------------|------------------|--------------------------|-------------|
| variables | Mardia skewness | Mardia kurtosis | |
| All sharks | | | |
| Species | Yes (0.284) | Yes (0.963) | Yes (0.401) |
| Sex | Yes (0.705) | Yes (0.365) | Yes (0.367) |
| Habitat | Yes (0.951) | Yes (0.183) | Yes (0.669) |
| Carcharhinus brachyurus | | | |
| Sex | Yes (0.772) | Yes (0.093) | Yes (0.293) |

Table 5. Total body length, body weight, age, and sampling location of sampled sharks. Data not available were excluded from the mean and standard deviation calculation. Missing value proportions of total body length, body weight, and age are 4%, 32%, and 24%, respectively. Sharks on the first year after birth were counted as 0 years old. All average and standard deviation values were rounded to the second decimal place.

| Species | | | | | |
|-------------------------|----|------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| Species | n | Total body length (cm) | Body weight (kg) | Age (yr) | Sampling location |
| | 15 | 124.02 ± 32.70 | 15.33 ± 12.06 | 2.64 ± 1.86 | Managha Calamarkat |
| Carcharninus brachyurus | 15 | (68-190) | (4.5-45) | (0-7) | Moseulpo fish market |
| | 2 | 116.00 ± 2.83 | 8.45 ± 0.78 | 0.0 ± 0.0 | |
| Carcharhinus obscurus | 2 | (114-118) | (7.9-9) | (0-0) | Moseulpo fish market |
| 1 | 2 | 123.50 ± 19.09 | 12.60 ± 7.21 | 1.0 ± 0.0 | Managha Calamarkat |
| Isurus oxyrinchus | 2 | (110-137) | (7.5-17.7) | (1-1) | Moseulpo fish market |
| T 1 11. | 2 | 70.00 ± 3.77 | 1.70 ± 0.00 | 2.0 ± 0.0 | H-lling C-hanged at |
| <i>Γιακis scyιιιum</i> | 3 | (66.5-74) | (1.7-1.7) | (2-2) | Hallim fish market |
| | 2 | 68.00 ± 22.63 | 0.50 ± 0.00 | 2.0 ± 0.0 | H-lling C-hanged at |
| Mustelus manazo | 2 | (84-52) | (0.5-0.5) | (2-2) | Hallim fish market |
| Cephaloscyllium | 1 | (2.0 | | | Hallin Cab market |
| umbratile | 1 | 03.0 | - | - | namm iisn market |

Table 6. Heavy metal concentrations and biological data of the sampled sharks. Concentrations under detection limit (DL) are marked as <DL. All concentrations are WW concentrations. M: Moseulpo; H: Hallim; F: female; M: male; P: pelagic; B: benthic

| | | T | | Heavy metal concentration (mg/kg, WW) | | | | | | | | | | | Biologic data | | | | | |
|---------|--------------|----------|--------|---------------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|--------|------|--------|------|--------|--------|--------|---------------|-------|------|-------|-----|-------|
| ID | Species | Loca | | | | - | | | | | | | | | | TBL | BW | Girth | | Habi- |
| | | -tion | Cr | Fe | Cu | Zn | As | Se | Cđ | Sn | Sb | Pb | THg | MeHg | Age | (cm) | (kg) | (cm) | Sex | tat |
| SNU- | Carcharhinus | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| MO-0001 | brachyurus | М | < DL | 26.7147 | 0.5546 | 22.8517 | 15.1225 | 0.5799 | < DL | < DL | < DL | 0.0761 | 0.4089 | 0.2425 | 4 | 147 | | 67 | F | Р |
| SNU- | Carcharhinus | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| MO-0002 | brachyurus | М | < DL | 4.6736 | 0.3288 | 4.4662 | 11.1706 | 3.2649 | < DL | < DL | < DL | 0.0835 | 0.2944 | 0.2026 | 0 | 100.5 | | 42 | F | Р |
| SNU- | Carcharhinus | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | _ | _ |
| MO-0003 | brachyurus | м | < DL | < DL | 0.8858 | 7.1427 | 5.4523 | 0.6352 | < DL | 0.2082 | < DL | 0.06 | 0.0665 | 0.0337 | 2 | 87 | - | 38 | F | Р |
| SNU- | Carcharhinus | ., | . 51 | 0.0107 | 0.4604 | 10.5/04 | 10.4220 | 0.510 | | 0.0251 | . 51 | 0.0105 | 0.0072 | 0.0500 | | | 0 | | | |
| MO-0004 | brachyurus | м | < DL | 8.2137 | 0.4604 | 13./624 | 10.4339 | 0.519 | < DL | 0.0351 | < DL | 0.0195 | 0.0963 | 0.0598 | 2 | 114 | 9 | 50.5 | F | Р |
| SNU- | Carcharhinus | | < DI | 0.0725 | 0.5492 | 0.7502 | 10 2042 | 0.576 | (DI | (DI | (DI | 0.0217 | 0 1105 | 0.0(02 | 2 | 100.8 | 0.5 | 45 | F | D |
| MO-0005 | brachyurus | м | < DL | 0.9725 | 0.5482 | 8.7585 | 10.3842 | 0.576 | < DL | < DL | < DL | 0.0217 | 0.1105 | 0.0692 | 3 | 109.8 | 8.5 | 45 | F | Р |
| SNU- | Carcharhinus | | 0.1640 | 11.1/22 | 1.01/2 | 7 21 02 | (2) 25 | 0.21 | | 0.0214 | . 51 | 0.10/2 | 1.070/ | 0.0001 | | 100 | 45 | | | |
| MO-0006 | brachyurus | м | 0.1648 | 11.16// | 1.0163 | /.3183 | 6./3/5 | 0.31 | < DL | 0.0314 | < DL | 0.1962 | 1.0706 | 0.8921 | - | 190 | 45 | - | М | Р |
| SNU- | Isurus | | . 51 | 10.0007 | 7 7 100 | 12(10 | 1 20 42 | 0.4104 | | 0.01/5 | . 51 | 0.0100 | 0.0015 | 0 1000 | | | | | | |
| MO-0007 | oxyrinchus | м | < DL | 12.2207 | 7.7498 | 4.3619 | 1.3043 | 0.4194 | < DL | 0.0165 | < DL | 0.0429 | 0.2215 | 0.1322 | 1 | 110 | 7.5 | 54 | F | Р |

| SNU- | Carcharhinus | м | < DI | 2 2459 | 0 1323 | 5 3205 | 8 01 56 | 0 3169 | < DI | < DI | < DI | 0.0383 | 0 2713 | 0 1639 | 0 | 114 | 7.0 | 52 | м | р |
|---------|---------------------------|-----|--------|---------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|---|-----|------|------|-----|---|
| MO-0008 | obscurus | IVI | < DL | 2.2439 | 0.1525 | 5.5205 | 8.0150 | 0.5109 | < DL | | < DL | 0.0385 | 0.2713 | 0.1039 | 0 | 114 | 1.9 | 52 | IVI | 1 |
| SNU- | Carcharhinus | М | 0.7492 | 23.0075 | 5.3178 | 10.9969 | 7.6799 | 0.3731 | < DL | 0.1822 | < DL | 0.1293 | 0.2400 | 0.1667 | 0 | 118 | 9 | 54 | F | Р |
| MO-0009 | obscurus | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SNU- | Isurus | М | < DL | 14.7325 | 1.1469 | 2.0948 | 2.8112 | 0.2931 | < DL | 0.1302 | < DL | 0.0687 | 0.3266 | 0.2399 | - | 137 | 17.7 | - | М | Р |
| MO-0010 | oxyrinchus | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SNU- | Cephaloscylliu | Н | 0.1588 | 5.657 | 0.5731 | 13.5636 | 8.0886 | 0.2401 | < DL | < DL | 0.0774 | 0.0697 | 0.1806 | 0.1094 | - | 63 | - | - | М | В |
| MO-0011 | m umbratile | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SNU- | Carcharhinus | М | 0.0032 | 2.9276 | 8.9158 | 4.4975 | 4.0259 | 0.7248 | < DL | 0.0063 | 0.0443 | 0.0063 | 0.1720 | 0.1111 | 0 | 68 | 1.65 | 25 | М | Р |
| MO-0012 | brachyurus | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SNU- | Carcharhinus | М | 0.083 | 3.2612 | 1.0627 | 13.8818 | 6.9973 | 0.2159 | < DL | 0.0199 | 0.0465 | 0.0166 | 0.1491 | 0.0748 | 3 | 143 | 19.5 | 60 | F | Р |
| MO-0013 | brachyurus Cambanhimus | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| MO-0014 | brachnurus | М | < DL | 6.9166 | < DL | 4.157 | 4.5788 | 0.1288 | < DL | 0.0354 | < DL | 0.0354 | 0.0983 | 0.0628 | 1 | 92 | 4.5 | - | М | Р |
| SNU- | Carcharhinus | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| MO-0015 | brachyurus | М | 0.011 | 20.6689 | 3.6917 | 5.0847 | 5.8473 | 0.1613 | < DL | 0.044 | < DL | 0.0403 | 0.1168 | 0.0620 | 2 | 118 | 9.2 | 47.5 | М | Р |
| SNU- | Carcharhinus | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| MO-0016 | brachyurus | М | 0.103 | 3.1122 | 0.5187 | 2.3799 | 4.9429 | 0.0687 | < DL | < DL | < DL | 0.0038 | 0.1166 | 0.072 | 2 | 121 | 12 | 51 | F | Р |
| SNU- | Carcharhinus | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| MO-0017 | brachyurus | М | < DL | 7.3542 | 0.7387 | 3.6387 | 3.924 | 0.0841 | < DL | 0.0037 | < DL | < DL | 0.3739 | 0.208 | 7 | 165 | 26 | 69 | М | Р |
| SNU- | Carcharhinus | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | _ | _ |
| MO-0018 | brachyurus | М | 0.0425 | 2.0243 | 0.2477 | 2.1765 | 4.4131 | 0.1557 | < DL | < DL | < DL | < DL | 0.1532 | 0.102 | 3 | 140 | 16.6 | 56.5 | F | Р |

| SNU- | Carcharhinus | М | 0 5674 | 12,8523 | 1 1071 | 3 609 | 5 6972 | 0 2719 | < DL | < DL | < DL | < DL | 0 2367 | 0.117 | 5 | | | 57.5 | | р |
|---------|--------------|-----|---------|---------|--------|--------|---------|--------|------|------|------|--------|---------|-------|---|---------|------|------|-----|---|
| MO-0019 | brachyurus | | | | | | | | | | | | | | - | | | | | - |
| SNU- | Mustelus | | 0.10.47 | 1.0574 | 0 (705 | 2.02/2 | 15 0010 | 0.4407 | | . 51 | . 51 | 0.0112 | | 0.000 | | | | 25.5 | | |
| MO-0020 | manazo | н | 0.1247 | 4.05 /6 | 0.6/25 | 2.0363 | 15.0213 | 0.4496 | < DL | < DL | < DL | 0.0113 | 0.1481 | 0.089 | 2 | 84 | - | 25.5 | F | в |
| SNU- | Triakis | u | 0.7698 | 25 4904 | 0 2694 | 4 1194 | 9 719 | 0.7621 | < DI | < DI | < DI | 0.0221 | 0.1481 | 0.086 | 2 | 74 | 17 | 26.5 | м | D |
| MO-0021 | scyllium | 11 | 0.7098 | 25.4804 | 0.2094 | 4.1104 | 0.710 | 0.7021 | < DL | < DL | < DL | 0.0231 | 0.1481 | 0.080 | 2 | /4 | 1.7 | 20.5 | 101 | Б |
| SNU- | Mustelus | u | 0.0138 | < DI | 0 1797 | 2 149 | 20 1565 | 0 2721 | < DI | < DI | < DI | < DI | 0.0022 | 0.066 | | 52 | 0.5 | 16.5 | м | D |
| MO-0022 | manazo | 11 | 0.0158 | | 0.1797 | 2.149 | 20.1505 | 0.3731 | < DL | < DL | < DL | < DL | 0.0922 | 0.000 | | 52 | 0.5 | 10.5 | 101 | Б |
| SNU- | Carcharhinus | м | 0.0676 | 12 2020 | 0.823 | 4.028 | 4 0719 | 0.0780 | < DI | < DI | < DI | 0.0199 | 0 21 20 | 0 131 | 2 | 141 | 167 | 56 | F | D |
| MO-0023 | brachyurus | IVI | 0.0070 | 12.3939 | 0.825 | 4.938 | 4.9710 | 0.0789 | < DL | < DL | < DL | 0.0188 | 0.2139 | 0.131 | 5 | 141 | 10.7 | 50 | r | 1 |
| SNU- | Triakis | | 0 1701 | 2 2294 | 0 1214 | 2.0768 | 7.0704 | 0.4522 | < DI | < DI | < DI | 0.0222 | 0 12 22 | 0.087 | | 60.5 | | 26 | м | р |
| MO-0024 | scyllium | 11 | 0.1701 | 5.5280 | 0.1314 | 2.7/08 | 1.2/94 | 0.4323 | ∖ DL | < DL | < DL | 0.0232 | 0.1362 | 0.087 | - | 09.5 | - | 20 | 191 | в |
| SNU- | Triakis | | < DI | 1 1070 | 0 1442 | 2 1225 | 0 2504 | 0 7061 | < DI | < DI | < DI | < DI | 0 10 52 | 0.049 | | <i></i> | | 26.5 | м | р |
| MO-0025 | scyllium | н | < DL | 1.10/9 | 0.1443 | 5.1325 | 8.2584 | 0./061 | < DL | < DL | < DL | < DL | 0.1052 | 0.049 | - | 00.5 | - | 20.5 | IVI | в |

| Species | Metal concentration (Mean ± standard deviation) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|---|------------|-------------|------------|------------|---------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Species | n | Cr | Fe | Cu | Zn | As | Se | Cd | Sn | Sb | Pb | Hg | MeHg |
| Carcharhinus | 1.5 | $0.07 \pm$ | 8.22 ± | $1.39 \pm$ | 7.24 ± | 6.98± | $0.52 \pm$ | $0.00 \pm$ | 0.03 ± | $0.01 \pm$ | $0.04 \pm$ | 0.25 ± | 0.16± |
| brachyurus | 15 | 0.15 | 7.54 | 2.25 | 5.62 | 3.29 | 0.79 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.25 | 0.21 |
| Carcharhinus | 2 | $0.37 \pm$ | $12.63 \pm$ | $2.73 \pm$ | 8.16± | 7.85 ± | $0.35 \pm$ | $0.00 \pm$ | $0.09 \pm$ | $0.00 \pm$ | $0.08 \pm$ | $0.26 \pm$ | $0.17 \pm$ |
| obscurus | 2 | 0.53 | 14.68 | 3.67 | 4.01 | 0.24 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.13 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.02 | 0.00 |
| Isurus | • | $0.00 \pm$ | $13.48 \pm$ | $4.45 \pm$ | 3.23 ± | 2.06 ± | $0.36 \pm$ | $0.00 \pm$ | $0.07 \pm$ | $0.00 \pm$ | $0.06 \pm$ | $0.27 \pm$ | $0.19 \pm$ |
| oxyrinchus | 2 | 0.00 | 1.78 | 4.67 | 1.60 | 1.07 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.07 | 0.08 |
| Triakis | 2 | $0.31 \pm$ | $9.97 \pm$ | $0.18 \pm$ | 3.41 ± | 8.32 ± | $0.64 \pm$ | $0.00 \pm$ | $0.00 \pm$ | $0.00 \pm$ | $0.02 \pm$ | $0.13 \pm$ | $0.07 \pm$ |
| scyllium | 3 | 0.40 | 13.48 | 0.08 | 0.62 | 0.37 | 0.17 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| Mustelus | • | $0.07 \pm$ | $2.03 \pm$ | $0.43 \pm$ | $2.09 \pm$ | 17.59 ± | $0.41 \pm$ | $0.00 \pm$ | $0.00 \pm$ | $0.00 \pm$ | $0.01 \pm$ | $0.12 \pm$ | $0.08 \pm$ |
| manazo | 2 | 0.08 | 2.87 | 0.35 | 0.08 | 3.63 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.02 |
| Cephaloscylli- um umbratile | 1 | 0.16 | 5.66 | 0.57 | 13.56 | 8.09 | 0.24 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.07 | 0.18 | 0.11 |
| T-4-1 | 25 | $0.12 \pm$ | $8.60 \pm$ | $1.49 \pm$ | $6.37 \pm$ | 7.71 ± | $0.49 \pm$ | $0.00 \pm$ | 0.03 ± | $0.01 \pm$ | $0.04 \pm$ | 0.21 ± | 0.13 ± |
| Total | 25 | 0.23 | 8.08 | 2.37 | 5.06 | 4.25 | 0.62 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.20 | 0.16 |

 Table 7. Average concentrations (mg/kg WW) of 11 metals and methyl mercury in six shark species. Values under

 DL were substituted with half the value for statistical analysis. All values were rounded to the second decimal place.

Table 8. MANOVA test. Multivariate significance (MVS) was calculated by analyzing Fe, Cu, Zn, As, Se, Hg, and MeHg based on the criteria of species, sex, and habitat of sharks. For *C. brachyurus*, MANOVA could be performed by incorporating sex. All values were rounded to the third decimal place. ***: p < 0.001; **: p < 0.01; *: p < 0.05.

| Variables | MVC - | | Metals | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|----------|-------|--------|--------|----------|-------|-------|-------|--|--|
| variables | 141 4 5 | Fe | Cu | Zn | As | Se | Hg | MeHg | | |
| All sharks | | | | | | | | | | |
| Species | 0.024* | 0.346 | 0.261 | 0.045* | 0.001*** | 0.740 | 0.471 | 0.452 | | |
| Sex | 0.909 | 0.933 | 0.372 | 0.216 | 0.762 | 0.689 | 0.582 | 0.581 | | |
| Habitat | 0.240 | 0.459 | 0.208 | 0.234 | 0.016* | 0.474 | 0.313 | 0.451 | | |
| Carcharhinus br | achyurus | | | | | | | | | |
| Sex | 0.289 | 0.264 | 0.395 | 0.452 | 0.069 | 0.489 | 0.334 | 0.337 | | |

Table 9. Multivariate regression (MVR). MVR was analyzed and *p* values were calculated on Fe, Cu, Zn, As, Se, Hg, and MeHg on the criteria of age, BW, girth, and TBL. For *C. brachyurus*, MVR was also performed by incorporating the same biological criteria. All values were rounded to the third decimal place. ***: p < 0.001; **: p < 0.01; *: p < 0.05.

| Variables | Fe | Cu | Zn | As | Se | Hg | MeHg |
|----------------|-----------|-------|--------|-------|--------|----------|---------|
| All sharks | | | | | | | |
| Age | 0.681 | 0.522 | 0.912 | 0.932 | 0.058 | 0.374 | 0.653 |
| BW | 0.400 | 0.966 | 0.617 | 0.435 | 0.140 | 0.001** | 0.002** |
| Girth | 0.032* | 0.166 | 0.031* | 0.091 | 0.029* | 0.008** | 0.017* |
| TBL | 0.033* | 0.478 | 0.276 | 0.151 | 0.043* | 0.001*** | 0.001** |
| Carcharhinus b | rachyurus | | | | | | |
| Age | 0.384 | 0.837 | 0.954 | 0.718 | 0.123 | 0.122 | 0.230 |
| BW | 0.554 | 0.571 | 0.879 | 0.721 | 0.173 | 0.002** | 0.005** |
| Girth | 0.079 | 0.245 | 0.828 | 0.702 | 0.071 | 0.074 | 0.131 |
| TBL | 0.092 | 0.937 | 0.333 | 0.707 | 0.160 | 0.009** | 0.011* |

Table 10. Regulatory maximum limits of metals in shark meat. The regulatory maximum limits and mean heavy metal concentrations of As, Cd, Sn, Sb, Pb, Hg, and MeHg are shown in mg/kg. For Cr, Cu, Zn, and Se, the regulatory maximum limits and mean daily heavy metal intake amounts from shark meat are shown in mg/day. The regulatory limit of Fe has not been specified. Red box: mean value exceeding the regulatory maximum limit. Yellow box: data that exceed the regulatory maximum limit but the mean value does not. Green box: every data is under the regulatory maximum limit. a: DOH [54]; b: DOH [53]; c: CODEX [52]; d: SCF [60]; e: FAO [56]; f: JECFA [57]; g: EU [55]; h: KFDA [51]; i: UNEP [58].

| Species | Metal concentration comparison with regulatory maximum limits | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|---|------------------|-----------------|-------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|--------------------------|------------------|--------------------|--|--|
| Species | Cr | Cu | Zn | As | Se | Cd | Sn | Sb | Pb | Hg | MeHg | | |
| Regulatory | 0.25 ^d | 0.5 ^d | 25 ^d | 3p | 0.3 ^d | 0.05~ | 50 ^b | 0.15 ^b | 0.2~ | 0.4~ | 0.3~ | | |
| maximum limits | 0.23 | 0.5 | 23 | 5 | 0.5 | $1^{b,e\sim h}$ | 50 | 0.15 | $2^{a \sim c, e \sim h}$ | $1^{e \sim g,i}$ | $1^{a \sim c,h,i}$ | | |
| Carcharhinus brachyurus | 0.01 | 0.12 | 0.51 | 6.98 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.25 | 0.16 | | |
| Carcharhinus obscurus | 0.03 | 0.22 | 0.65 | 7.85 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.26 | 0.17 | | |
| Isurus oxyrinchus | 0.00 | 0.35 | 0.26 | 2.06 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.27 | 0.19 | | |
| Triakis scyllium | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.27 | 8.32 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.13 | 0.07 | | |
| Mustelus manazo | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.17 | 17.59 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.12 | 0.08 | | |
| Cephaloscyllium umbratile | 0.01 | 0.05 | 1.08 | 8.09 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.07 | 0.18 | 0.11 | | |

References

- Vannuccini S. Shark Utilization, Marketing, and Trade. FAO Fisheries Technical Paper. 1999;66-93.
- Dent F, Clarke S. State of the global market for shark products. FAO Fisheries and Aquaculture Technical Paper No 590. 2015;1-187.
- 3. Fishstat. Fishery Commodities Global Production and Trade 2018.
- 4. Lack M, Sant G. The future of sharks: a review of action and inaction. TRAFFIC International and the Pew Environment Group. 2011;44.
- IUCN. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2018-1. 2018. Available from: http://www.iucnredlist.org
- FAO. The State of World Fisheries and Aquaculture 2010. Rome, FAO. 2010;1-197.
- Becker W, Darnerud PO, Petersson-Grawe K. Risks and Benefits of Fish Consumption: A Risk-Benefit Analysis Based on the Occurrence of Dioxin/PCB, Methyl Mercury, n-3 Fatty Acids and Vitamin D in Fish. LIVSMEDELS VERKET, NATIONAL FOOD ADMINISTRATION, Sweden. 2007.
- Adel M, Oliveri Conti G, Dadar M, Mahjoub M, Copat C, Ferrante M. Heavy metal concentrations in edible muscle of whitecheek shark, *Carcharhinus dussumieri* (elasmobranchii, chondrichthyes) from the Persian Gulf: A food safety issue. Food Chem Toxicol. 2016;97: 135-140.
- 9. Adel M, Copat C, Saeidi Asl MR, Conti GO, Babazadeh M, Ferrante M. Bioaccumulation of trace metals in banded Persian bamboo shark

(*Chiloscyllium arabicum*) from the Persian Gulf: A food safety issue. Food Chem Toxicol. 2018; 113:198-203.

- Gusso-Choueri, PK, Araujo GS, Cruz ACF, Stremel TRO, Campos SX, Abessa DMS et al. Metals and arsenic in fish from a Ramsar site under past and present human pressures: Consumption risk factors to the local population. Sci Total Environ. 2018;628: 621-630.
- Vandermeersch G, Lourenco HM, Alvarez-Munoz D, Cunha S, Diogene J, Cano-Sancho G, et al. Environmental contaminants of emerging concern in seafood--European database on contaminant levels. Environ Res. 2015;143:29-45. Epub 2015/07/01. doi: 10.1016/j.envres.2015.06.011. PubMed PMID: 26123540.
- Endo T, Hisamichi Y, Haraguchi K, Kato Y, Ohta C, Koga N. Hg, Zn and Cu levels in the muscle and liver of tiger sharks (*Galeocerdo cuvier*) from the coast of Ishigaki Island, Japan: Relationship between metal concentrations and body length. Mar Pollut Bull. 2008;56:1774-1780. doi: 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2008.06.003. PubMed PMID: WOS:000260745300021.
- Jorge Eduardo Marcovecchio VJM, Antonio Pérez. Metal accumulation in tissues of sharks from the Bahía Blanca estuary, Argentina. Mar Environ Res. 1991;31:263-274.
- Branco V, Vale C, Canario J, Santos MN. Mercury and selenium in blue shark (*Prionace glauca, L.* 1758) and swordfish (*Xiphias gladius, L.* 1758) from two areas of the Atlantic Ocean. Environ Pollut. 2007;150:373-380. Epub 2007/03/23. doi: 10.1016/j.envpol.2007.01.040. PubMed PMID: 17376573.
- 15. Pethybridge H, Cossa D, Butler EC. Mercury in 16 demersal sharks from southeast Australia: Biotic and abiotic sources of variation and consumer health implications. Mar Environ Res. 2010;69:18-26. Epub 2009/09/04. doi:

10.1016/j.marenvres.2009.07.006. PubMed PMID: 19726079.

- Storelli MM, Giacominelli-Stuffler R, Marcotrigiano GO. Total and methylmercury residues in cartilaginous fish from Mediterranean Sea. Mar Pollut Bull. 2002;44:1354-1358. Epub 2003/01/14. PubMed PMID: 12523539.
- Penedo de Pinho A, Davee Guimaraes JR, Martins AS, Costa PA, Olavo G, Valentin J. Total mercury in muscle tissue of five shark species from Brazilian offshore waters: effects of feeding habit, sex, and length. Environ Res. 2002;89:250-258. Epub 2002/08/15. PubMed PMID: 12176009.
- Forsyth DS, Casey V, Dabeka RW, McKenzie A. Methylmercury levels in predatory fish species marketed in Canada. Food Addit Contam. 2004;21:849-856. Epub 2005/01/26. doi: 10.1080/02652030400004259. PubMed PMID: 15666978.
- Kim SJ, Lee HK, Badejo AC, Lee WC, Moon HB. Species-specific accumulation of methyl and total mercury in sharks from offshore and coastal waters of Korea. Mar Pollut Bull. 2016;102:210-215. Epub 2015/11/29. doi: 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2015.11.038. PubMed PMID: 26614695.
- 20. Soest N. Methylmercury concentrations in shark fins from the Hong Kong and Chinese shark fin market and related health risks for human consumption. M. Sc. Thesis, The Heriot-Watt University. 2016. Available from: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/312333039_Methylmercury_concent rations_in_shark_fins_from_the_Hong_Kong_and_Chinese_shark_fin_market _and_related_health_risks_for_human_consumption
- Lozano-Bilbao E, Lozano G, Gutierrez AJ, Rubio C, Hardisson A. Mercury, cadmium, and lead content in demersal sharks from the Macaronesian islands. Environ Sci Pollut Res Int. 2018;25: 21251-21256.

- 22. Nordberg GF, Fowler BA, Nordberg M. Handbook on the Toxicology of Metals, Volume II: Specific Metals. 4th ed. Amsterdam: Elsevier; 2015.
- 23. Nordberg GF, Fowler BA, Nordberg M. Handbook on the Toxicology of Metals, Volume I: General Considerations. 4th ed. Amsterdam: Elsevier; 2015.
- Duffy C, Gordon I. *Carcharhinus brachyurus*. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2003. SSG Australia & Oceania Regional Workshop, March 20032003. p. e.T41741A10551730.
- Song CB. Survey on shark habitat in Gapa and Mara area and utilization plan. In: Song CB, editor. Jeju-do; 2014.
- 26. Compagno LJV, Ebert DA, Smale MJ. Guide to the sharks and rays of southern Africa. 1st ed. London: New Holland Publishers; 1989.
- Compagno LJV. Sharks of the world: an annotated and illustrated catalogue of shark species known to date. Rome: United Nations Development Programme; 1984.
- 28. Kim IS, Yoon C, Lee C, Lee Y, Kim B, Kim J. Illustrated book of Korean fishes (韓國魚類大圖鑑). 1st ed. Seoul: Kyohaksa; 2005.
- EPA US. Guidance for data quality assessment. Practical methods for data analysis. Office of Environmental Information. EPA QA/G-9, QA00 Version Washington, DC; 2000.
- 30. EPA RCRA. Waste Sampling Draft Technical Guidance: Planning, Implementation, and Assessment. 2002.
- 31. García-Fernández AJ, Gomez-Ramirez P, Martinez-Lopez E, Hernandez-Garcia A, Maria-Mojica P, Romero D et al. Heavy metals in tissues from loggerhead turtles (*Caretta caretta*) from the southwestern Mediterranean

(Spain). Ecotoxicol Environ Saf. 2009;72: 557-563.

- 32. Tajimi M, Uehara R, Watanabe M, Oki I, Ojima T, Nakamura Y. Correlation coefficients between the dioxin levels in mother's milk and the distances to the nearest waste incinerator which was the largest source of dioxins from each mother's place of residence in Tokyo, Japan. Chemosphere. 2005;61: 1256-1262.
- Vitaliano JJ, Zdanowicz VS. Trace metals in eggs of winter flounder from Boston Harbor, a contaminated North American estuary. Mar Pollut Bull. 1992;24: 364-367.
- Velilla S. A note on the multivariate Box-Cox transformation to normality. Stat Probab Lett. 1993;17: 259-263.
- 35. Team RC. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. 2017. Available from: https://www.R-project.org/.
- 36. Korkmaz S, Goksuluk D, Zararsiz G. MVN: An R package for assessing multivariate normality. The R Journal. 2014;6: 151-162.
- Lavoie RA, Hebert CE, Rail JF, Braune BM, Yumvihoze E, Hill LG, et al. Trophic structure and mercury distribution in a Gulf of St. Lawrence (Canada) food web using stable isotope analysis. Sci Total Environ. 2010;408:5529-5539. Epub 2010/09/03. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2010.07.053. PubMed PMID: 20810146.
- 38. Widianarko B, Van Gestel CA, Verweij RA, Van Straalen NM. Associations between trace metals in sediment, water, and guppy, *Poecilia reticulata* (Peters), from urban streams of Semarang, Indonesia. Ecotoxicol Environ Saf. 2000;46: 101-107.

- 39. Burger J, Gochfeld M. Mercury and selenium levels in 19 species of saltwater fish from New Jersey as a function of species, size, and season. Sci Total Environ. 2011;409: 1418-1429.
- 40. Kehrig HA, Seixas TG, Palermo EA, Baeta AP, Castelo-Branco CW, Malm O et al. The relationships between mercury and selenium in plankton and fish from a tropical food web. Environ Sci Pollut Res Int. 2009;16: 10-24.
- 41. Braune BM. Mercury Accumulation in relation to size and age of Atlantic herring (*Clupea harengus harengus*) from the Southwestern Bay of Fundy, Canada. Arch Environ Contam Toxicol. 1987;16: 311-320.
- 42. Al-Yousuf MH, El-Shahawi MS, Al-Ghais SM. Trace metals in liver, skin and muscle of *Lethrinus lentjan* fish species in relation to body length and sex. Sci Tot Environ. 2000;256: 87-94.
- 43. Epstein O. Liver copper in health and disease. Postgrad Med J. 1983;59:88-94.Epub 1983/01/01. PubMed PMID: 6647221.
- 44. Mertz W, Underwood EJ. Trace elements in human and animal nutrition. 5th ed. Orlando: Academic Press; 1986.
- 45. Endo T, Kimura O, Ohta C, Koga N, Kato Y, Fujii Y et al. Metal concentrations in the liver and stable isotope ratios of carbon and nitrogen in the muscle of silvertip shark (*Carcharhinus albimarginatus*) culled off Ishigaki Island, Japan: changes with growth. PLoS One. 2016;11: e0147797.
- 46. Endo T, Kimura O, Hisamichi Y, Minoshima Y, Haraguchi K. Age-dependent accumulation of heavy metals in a pod of killer whales (*Orcinus orca*) stranded in the northern area of Japan. Chemosphere. 2007;67:51-59. Epub 2006/12/13. doi: 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2006.09.086. PubMed PMID: 17157894.

- 47. Storelli MM, Marcotrigiano GO. Environmental contamination in bottlenose dolphin (*Tursiops truncatus*): Relationship between levels of metals, methylmercury, and organochlorine compounds in an adult female, her neonate, and a calf. B Environ Contam Tox. 2000;64:333-340. doi: DOI 10.1007/s001280000004. PubMed PMID: WOS:000085703400004.
- 48. Douben PE. Lead and cadmium in stone loach (*Noemacheilus barbatulus L.*) from three rivers in Derbyshire. Ecotoxicol Environ Saf. 1989;18:35-58. Epub 1989/08/01. PubMed PMID: 2776688.
- Widianarko B, Gestel CAMV, Verweij RA, Straalen NMV. Associations between Trace Metals in Sediment, Water, and Guppy, *Poecilia reticulata* (Peters), from Urban Streams of Semarang, Indonesia. Ecotoxicol Environ Saf. 2000;46:101-107. doi: 10.1006/eesa.1999.1879.
- Carvalho ML, Santiago S, Nunes ML. Assessment of the essential element and heavy metal content of edible fish muscle. Anal Bioanal Chem. 2005;382:426-432. Epub 2005/04/15. doi: 10.1007/s00216-004-3005-3. PubMed PMID: 15830192.
- 51. KFDA. Korean Food Code. 2017. Available from: http://www.foodsafetykorea.go.kr/foodcode/01_01.jsp
- 52. CODEX. General standard for contaminants and toxins in food and feed. CODEX STAN 193-1995. 2015.
- 53. DOH. Foodstuffs, cosmetics and disinfectants act of 1972, No. 54. Regulations relating to maximum levels for metals in foodstuffs (2004).
- 54. DOH. Foodstuffs, cosmetics and disinfectants act of 1972, No. 54. Regulations relating to maximum levels for metals in foodstuffs (2016).
- 55. EU. Commission Regulation (EC) No 78/2005. 2005.

- 56. FAO. Heavy metals regulations. Legal Notice No 66/2003. 2003.
- 57. JECFA. Limit test for heavy metals in food additive specifications. Explanatory note. 2002.
- 58. UNEP. Guidance for Identifying Populations at Risk from Mercury Exposure. UNEP DTIE Chemical Branch and WHO Department of Food Safety, Zoonoses and Foodborne Diseases, Geneva, Switzerland. 2008.
- 59. Jae-Yeon Jang S-NJ, Sun-Ja Kim, Hyung-Nam Myung, Cho-Il Kim. Food Ingestion Factors of the Korean exposure factors handbook. J Prev Med Public Health. 2014;47:18-26.
- 60. SCF. Scientific Panel on Dietetic Products, Nutrition and Allergies. Tolerable upper intake levels for vitamins and minerals. European Food Safety Authority; 2006.
- Traore A, Bonini M, Dano SD, Creppy EE. Synergistic effects of some metals contaminating mussels on the cytotoxicity of the marine toxin okadaic acid. Arch Toxicol. 1999;73:289-295.
- 62. Papp A, Pecze L, Szabo A, Vezer T. Effects on the central and peripheral nervous activity in rats elicited by acute administration of lead, mercury and manganese, and their combinations. J Appl Toxicol. 2006;26:374-380.
- 63. Council NAoSNR. Arsenic in Drinking Water. In: Subcommittee on Arsenic in Drinking Water CoLS, Division on Earth and Life Studies, National Research Council, editor. National Academy Press, Washington, DC; 1999. pp. 310.

Sharks are vulnerable to bioaccumulation of various substances including heavy metals since they are apex predators. In this study, five shark species showed As concentrations that exceeded the regulatory maximum limit, whereas almost all Hg and MeHg concentrations were below the regulatory limits. This was likely because the sampled sharks were juveniles, and Hg and MeHg levels showed strong positive correlations with TBL, BW, and age. Because a C. brachyurus individual of over 25 kg in BW or 130 cm in TBL is likely to have Hg concentrations exceeding the regulatory maximum limit, avoiding consumption of such adult C. brachyurus can reduce the risk of Hg toxicity. The average concentrations of other heavy metals (Cr, Fe, Cu, Zn, Se, Cd, Sn, Sb, and Pb) were all in the safe range. Despite this, caution should be exercised when consuming shark meat owing to the As, Hg and MeHg concentrations. Since shark meat is being consumed globally, especially in Asian countries, detailed guidelines should further be prepared for safe consumption based on extensive studies of heavy metals in different shark species.

국문 초록

제주도 연근해 상어육의 중금속 농도 및 식품안전성

김 상 화

서울대학교 대학원 수의학과 수의병인생물학 및 예방수의학 전공

(지도교수: 박세창)

상어고기는 아시아를 필두로 하여 전 세계 다양한 국가에서 식용자원으로 이용되어 왔다. 그러나, 이들은 해양생태계의 최상위층에 존재하는 포식자이기 때문에 중금속 생물축적에 취약하다. 인간의 소비를 위해 연간 1억마리 이상의 상어가 포획되고 있는 만큼, 상어고기의 안전성 판단은 중요한 과제이다. 본 연구에서는 한국 제주도 연근해에 서식하는 6종 (이주성 상어 3종: Carcharhinus brachyurus, Carcharhinus obscurus, Isurus oxyrinchus; 정착성 상어 3종: Triakis scyllium, Mustelus manazo, Cephaloscyllium umbratile) 상어

56

근육조직의 중금속 농도를 분석하였다. 총 11종의 중금속 (Cr, Fe, Cu, Zn, As, Se, Cd, Sn, Sb, Pb, Hg) 및 MeHg의 농도를 ICP-MS, DMA, GC 및 CVAFS를 이용하여 확인하고 국제식품규격위원회를 포함하여 다양한 기관에서 제시하고 있는 최대규제치 값과 비교하였다. 그 결과 As를 제외한 모든 중금속의 평균 농도는 규제치 이하인 것으로 나타났다. 그 중 Hg와 MeHg는 체장, 체중 및 나이와 유의미한 양의 상관관계를 보였으며, *C. brachyurus* 종의 경우 체장 130cm, 체중 25kg 이상인 개체에서는 높은 확률로 Hg 농도가 규제치를 초과할 것으로 계산되었다. 본 연구 결과 상어고기를 소비할 경우 소비자들은 높은 농도의 As에 노출될 수 있으며, 예측된 크기보다 큰 *C. brachyurus*유래 고기를 소비하는 경우 또한 높은 농도의 Hg에 노출될 수 있음이 확인되었다. 추가적인 연구를 통해 다양한 상어고기의 소비 시 적용할 세밀한 규제 및 가이드라인을 설정해야 할 필요성이 제시된다.

핵심어: 상어고기, 중금속, 식품안전성, 생물축적, Carcharhinus brachyurus

학번: 2016-26230

57

2019 Published

- <u>Sang Wha Kim</u>, Se Jin Han, Yonggab Kim, Jin Woo Jun, Sib Sankar Giri, Cheng Chi, Saekil Yun, Hyoun Joong Kim, Sang Guen Kim, Jeong Woo Kang, Jun Kwon, Woo Taek Oh, Jehyun Cha, Seunghee Han, Byeong Chun Lee, Taesung Park, Byung Yeop Kim, and Se Chang Park* (2019). Heavy metal accumulation in and food safety of shark meat from Jeju Island, Republic of Korea. PLOS ONE. 10.1371/journal.pone.0212410
- Sang Guen Kim, Jin Woo Jun, Sib Sankar Giri, Saekil Yun, Hyoun Joong Kim, Sang Wha Kim, Jeong Woo Kang, Se Jin Han, Dalsang Jeong, and Se Chang Park*. Isolation and characterization of pVa-21, a giant bacteriophage with anti-biofilm potential against *Vibrio alginolyticus*. Scientific Reports.
- 3. Hyoun Joong Kim, Jin Woo Jun, Sib Sankar Giri, Saekil Yun, Sang Guen Kim, Sang Wha Kim, Jeong Woo Kang, Se Jin Han, Jun Kwon, Woo Taek Oh, Dalsang Jeong, Hyoung Bae Jeon, and Se Chang Park*. Mass mortality in Korean bay scallop (*Argopecten irradians*) caused by Ostreid Herpesvirus-1. Transboundary and Emerging Disease.

2018 Published

 Sang Wha Kim, Jin Woo Jun, Sib Sankar Giri, Cheng Chi, Saekil Yun, Hyoun Joong Kim, Sang Guen Kim, Jeong Woo Kang, and Se Chang Park* (2018). First Report of Carp Edema Virus Infection of Koi (*Cyprinus carpio haematopterus*) in the Republic of Korea. Transboundary and Emerging Diseases. 10.1111/tbed.12782.

- Hyoun Joong Kim, Jin Woo Jun, Sib Sankar Giri, Cheng Chi, Saekil Yun, Sang Guen Kim, Sang Wha Kim, Jeong Woo Kang, Se Jin Han, Se Chang Park* (2018). Complete genome sequence of bacteriophage pVco-5 that infects *Vibrio corallilyticus*, which causes bacillary necrosis in Pacific oyster (*Crassostrea gigas*) larvae. Genome Announcements. 6(2): e01143-17.
- 3. Sib Sankar Giri, Jin Woo Jun, Saekil Yun, Hyoun Joong Kim, Sang Guen Kim, Jeong Woo Kang, Sang Wha Kim, Se Jin Han, Se Chang Park*, and V. Sukumaran* (2018). Characterisation of Lactic Acid Bacteria Isolated from the Gut of *Cyprinus carpio* That May Be Effective Against Lead Toxicity. Probiotics and Antimicrobial Proteins. 10.1007/s12602-017-9367-6.
- Sib Sankar Giri, Saekil Yun, Jin Woo Jun, Hyoun Joong Kim, Sang Guen Kim, Jeong Woo Kang, Sang Wha Kim, Se Jin Han, V. Sukumaran, Se Chang Park* (2018). Therapeutic Effect of Intestinal Autochthonous *Actobacillus reuteri* P16 Against Waterborne Lead Toxicity in *Cyprinus carpio*. Frontiers in Immunology. 10.3389/fimmu.2018.01824.
- Cheng Chi, Sib Sankar Giri, Jin Woo Jun, Sang Wha Kim, Hyoun Joong Kim, Jeong Woo Kang, Se Chang Park* (2018). Detoxification- and Immune-Related Transcriptomic Analysis of Gills from Bay Scallops (*Argopecten irradians*) in Response to Algal Toxin Okadaic Acid. Toxins. 10.3390/toxins10080308.
- 6. Jin Woo Jun, Sib Sankar Giri, Hyoun Joong Kim, Saekil Yun, Cheng Chi, Sang Guen Kim, Sang Wha Kim, Jeong Woo Kang, Se Jin Han, Jun Kwon, Woo Taek Oh, Dalsang Jeong, Se Chang Park* (2018). Identification and phylogenetic characterization of *Nybelinia surmenicola* (Cestoda: Trypanorhyncha) from squids (*Todarodes pacificus*) from East Sea, Republic of Korea. Journal of Preventive Veterinary Medicien. 42(3), 112-116.

- Cheng Chi, Sib Sankar Giri, Jin Woo Jun, Hyoun Joong Kim, Sang Wha Kim, Jeong Woo Kang, Se Chang Park* (2018). Detoxification and Immune Transcriptomic Response of the Gill Tissue of Bay Scallop (*Argopecten irradians*) Following Exposure to the Algicide Palmitoleic Acid. Biomolecules. 2018, 8(4), 139; doi: 10.3390/biom8040139.
- Saekil Yun, Jin Woo Jun, Sib Sankar Giri, Hyoun Joong Kim, Cheng Chi, Sang Geun, Kim, Sang Wha Kim, Jung Woo Kang, Se Jin Han, Jun Kwon, Woo Taek Oh, Se Chang Park* (2018). Immunostimulation of *Cyprinus carpio* using phage lysate of *Aeromonas hydrophila*. Fish and Shellfish Immunology. 10.1016/j.fsi.2018.11.076

2017 Published

- Jin Woo Jun, Sib Sankar Giri, Hyoun Joong Kim, Cheng Chi, Saekil Yun, Sang Guen Kim, Sang Wha Kim, Jeong Woo Kang, and Se Chang Park* (2017). Compete Genome Sequence of the Novel Bacteriophage pSco-10 Infecting *Staphylococcus cohnii*. Genome Announcements. 5(47): e01032-17.
- Jusun Hwang, Kyunglee Lee, Daniel Walsh, Sang Wha Kim, Jonathan M. Sleeman, Hang Lee* (2017). Semi-quantitative assessment of disease risks at the human, livestock, wildlife interface for the Republic of Korea using a nationwide survey of experts: A model for other countries. Transboundary and Emerging Diseases. 10.1111/tbed.12705.
- 3. Ji Hyung Kim, Young□Ran Lee, Jeong□Rack Koh, Jin Woo Jun, Sib Sankar Giri, Hyoun Joong Kim, Cheng Chi, Saekil Yun, Sang Geun Kim, Sang Wha Kim, Hye Kwon Kim, Dae Gwin Jeong, Se Chang Park* (2017). Complete mitochondrial genome of the beluga whale *Delphinapterus leucas* (Cetacea: Monodontidae). Conservation Genetics Resources. 10.1007/s12686-017-0705-5.

- 4. Saekil Yun, Young-Ran Lee, Sib Sankar Giri, Hyoun Joong Kim, Cheng Chi, Sang Guen Kim, Sang Wha Kim, Jin Woo Jun, Se Chang Park* (2017). Isolation of a zoonotic pathogen *Aeromonas hydrophila* from freshwater stingray (*Potamotrygon motoro*) kept in a Korean aquarium with ricefish (*Oryzias latipes*). Korean Journal of Veterinary Research. 57(1):67-69.
- Cheng Chi, Sib Sankar Giri, Jin Woo Jun, Hyoun Joong Kim, Sang Wha Kim, Saekil Yun, Se Chang Park* (2017). Effects of algal toxin okadaic acid on the non-specific immune and antioxidant response of bay scallop (*Argopecten irradians*). Fish and Shellfish Immunology. 65: 111-117.
- Sang Guen Kim, Jin Woo Jun, Sib Sankar Giri, Saekil Yun, Hyoun Joong Kim, Cheng Chi, Sang Wha Kim, Se Chang Park* (2017). Complete Genome Sequence of *Staphylococcus aureus* Bacteriophage pSa-3. Genome Announcement. 5(21): e00182-17.
- Hyoun Joong Kim, Ji Hyung Kim, Jin Woo Jun, Sib Sankar Giri, Cheng Chi, Saekil Yun, Sang Guen Kim, Sang Wha Kim, Jeong Woo Kang, Dae Gwin Jeong, Se Chang Park* (2017). Complete Genome Sequence of *Vibrio coralliilyticus* 58, Isolated from Pacific Oyster (*Crassostrea gigas*) Larvae. Genome Announcement. 5(23): e00437-17.
- Saekil Yun, Jin Woo Jun, Sib Sankar Giri, Hyoun Joong Kim, Cheng Chi, Sang Geun Kim, Sang Wha Kim, Se Chang Park* (2017). Efficacy of PLGA microparticle-encapsulated formalin-killed *Aeromonas hydrophila* cells as a single-shot vaccine against *A. hydrophila* infection. Vaccine. 35(32): 3959-3965.

2018

- Hyoun Joong Kim, Jin Woo Jun, Sib Sankar Giri, Seakil Yun, Sang Guen Kim, Sang Wha Kim, Jeong Woo Kang, Se Jin Han, Jun Kwon, Woo Taek Oh and Se Chang Park, Application of Bacteriophages to Prevent Mass mortality Caused by *Vibrio coralliilyticus* in Pacific oyster (*Crassostrea gigas*) Larvae. 3rd Aquaculture conference. Qingdao, China, 2018.
- 2. Jeong Woo Kang, Jin Woo Jun, Sib Sankar Giri, Seakil Yun, Hyoun Joong Kim, Sang Guen Kim, Sang Wha Kim, Se Jin Han, Jun Kwon, Woo Taek Oh and Se Chang Park, Superiority of PLGA microparticle-encapsuled formalin-killed cell vaccine to conventional formalin-killed cell vaccine in protection against *Streptococcus parauberis* infection in olive flounder (*Paralichthys olivaceus*). 3rd Aquaculture conference. Qingdao, China, 2018.
- 3. Seakil Yun, Jin Woo Jun, Sib Sankar Giri, Hyoun Joong Kim, Sang Guen Kim, Sang Wha Kim, Jeong Woo Kang, Se Jin Han, Jun Kwon, Woo Taek Oh and Se Chang Park, Efficacy of Poly Lactic-co-glycolic Acid Microparticle Vaccine using Bacteriophage Lysates of Bacteria for Bacterial Infection in Fish. 3rd Aquaculture conference. Qingdao, China, 2018.
- 4. Se Jin Han, Jin Woo Jun, Sib Sankar Giri, Seakil Yun, Hyoun Joong Kim, Sang Guen Kim, Sang Wha Kim, Jeong Woo Kang, Jun Kwon, Woo Taek Oh and Se Chang Park, Candida manassasensis infection in digestive tracts of Siberian sturgeon *Acipenser baerii* fingerlings. 3rd Aquaculture conference. Qingdao, China, 2018.
2017

- <u>Sang Wha Kim</u>, Jin Woo Jun, Sib Sankar Giri, Cheng Chi, Saekil Yun, Hyoun Joong Kim, Sang Guen Kim, Jeong Woo Kang, Se Chang Park*. Detection and Phylogenetic Analysis of Carp Edema Virus in Koi (*Cyprinus carpio haematopterus*) in the Republic of Korea. 10th Symposium on Diseases in Asian Aquaculture (DAA10). Bali, Indonesia, 2017.
- Cheng Chi, Sib Sankar Giri, Jin Woo Jun, Sang Wha Kim, Jeong Woo Kang, Hyoun Joong Kim, Saekil Yun, Se Chang Park*. Deep sequencing-based transcriptome profiling analysis of scallop exposed to marine toxin. 10th Symposium on Diseases in Asian Aquaculture (DAA10). Bali, Indonesia, 2017.
- 3. Sib Sankar Giri, Jin Woo Jun, Saekil Yun, Chi Cheng, Hyoun Joong Kim, Sang Guen Kim, Jeong Woo Kang, Sang Wha Kim, V. Sukumaran*, Se Chang Park*. Effect of autochthonous bacteria with potential probiotic properties on physiological conditions of *Cyprinus carpio* against waterborne lead toxicity. 10th Symposium on diseases in Asian aquaculture (DAA10). Bali, Indonesia, 2017.
- 4. Cheng Chi, Sib Sankar Giri, Jin Woo Jun, Hyoun Joong Kim, Saekil Yun, Sang Wha Kim, Sang Guen Kim, Se Chang Park*. Effects of Diarrhetic Shellfish Poisoning Toxins on the Immunological Responses of Bay Scallop *Argopecten irradians*. International Conference on Marine Science and Aquaculture (ICOMSA) 2017. Kota Kinabalu, Malaysia, 2017.
- 5. Sib Sankar Giri, Jin Woo Jun, Cheng Chi, Hyoun Joong Kim, Sang Guen Kim, Saekil Yun, Sang Wha Kim, Se Chang Park*. Efficacy of Biosurfactant Isolated from *Bacillus licheniformis* VS16 in Mediating Immune Responses in Major Carp Rohu and its Application in Food Industry. International

Conference on Marine Science and Aquaculture (ICOMSA) 2017. Kota Kinabalu, Malaysia, 2017.

- Hyoun Joong Kim, Jin Woo Jun, Sib Sankar Giri, Cheng Chi, Saekil Yun, Sang Guen Kim, Sang Wha Kim, Se Chang Park*. Prophylactic Efficacy of Bacteriophage to Control *Vibrio corallilyticus* Infection in Oyster Larvae. International Conference on Marine Science and Aquaculture (ICOMSA) 2017. Kota Kinabalu, Malaysia, 2017.
- Jin Woo Jun, Sib Sankar Giri, Cheng Chi, Hyoun Joong Kim, Saekil Yun, Sang Guen Kim, Sang Wha Kim, Se Chang Park*. Bacteriophage Therapy for Acute Hepatopancreatic Necrosis Disease (AHPND) in Shrimp. International Conference on Marine Science and Aquaculture (ICOMSA) 2017. Kota Kinabalu, Malaysia, 2017.
- Saekil Yun, Sib Sankar Giri, Jin Woo Jun, Hyoun Joong Kim, Cheng Chi, Sang Guen Kim, Sang Wha Kim, Se Chang Park*. PLGA Microspheres Loaded with Formalin-killed *Aeromonas hydrophila* as a Single-shot Vaccine Against *A. hydrophila* Infection. International Conference on Marine Science and Aquaculture (ICOMSA) 2017. Kota Kinabalu, Malaysia, 2017.
- Sang Guen Kim, Jin Woo Jun, Sib Sankar Giri, Cheng Chi, Hyoun Joong Kim, Saekil Yun, Sang Wha Kim, Ji Hyung Kim, Se Chang Park*. Isolation and Characterization of Vibrio Bacteriophage with Anti-biofilm Activity. International Conference on Marine Science and Aquaculture (ICOMSA) 2017. Kota Kinabalu, Malaysia, 2017.

2016

 Hyoun Joong Kim, Jin Woo Jun, Sib Sankar Giri, Cheng Chi, Saekil Yun, Sang Guen Kim, Sang Wha Kim, Se Chang Park*, 中井 敏博*. Application of bacteriophage for controlling *Vibrio corallilyticus* infection in oyster hatchery. ファージ・環境ウイルス研究会 合同シンポジウム プログラム. Yokosuka, Japan, 2016.

 Jin Woo Jun, Sib Sankar Giri, Cheng Chi, Hyoun Joong Kim, Saekil Yun, Sang Guen Kim, Sang Wha Kim, Se Chang Park*, 中井 敏博*. Phage application to aquaculture: phage therapy against shrimp bacterial infectious disease. ファージ・環境ウイルス研究会 合同シンポジウム

プログラム. Yokosuka, Japan, 2016.

 Sang Guen Kim, Jin Woo Jun, Sib Sankar Giri, Cheng Chi, Hyoun Joong Kim, Saekil Yun, Sang Wha Kim, Se Chang Park*, 中井 敏博*. Isolation and Characterization of Lytic Bacteriophage specific for *Vibrio alginolyticus*. ファージ・環境ウイルス研究会 合同シンポジウム プログラム. Yokosuka, Japan, 2016. My postgraduate years were the happiest and most self-fulfilling times of my entire life. Professor Se Chang Park gave me almost complete freedom of study and created an environment where I could experiment safely and pleasantly. I'm very grateful for his help in creating the best situation for me to grow into a scholar of my own color and to help me develop my skills from the ground up. If it were not for him, I would not be here today.

What I did during my master's was not something I could do alone. With the help of so many people, I was able to start and finish this research. More than anyone else, I offer special thanks to the lab colleagues. Dr. Sib Sankar Giri, Prof. Jin Woo Jun, Saekil Yun, Hyoun Joong Kim, Sang Guen Kim, Prof. Cheng Chi, Jeong Woo Kang, Jun Kwon, Se Jin Han, Woo Taek Oh, Dr. Won Hee Hong, and Dr. Young Ran Lee are all very grateful. It was a great time to learn from each wise and clever person.

I would like to express my special thanks to Professor Byung Yeop Kim, who kindly extended a helping hand to me three years ago when I wanted to study sharks but didn't even know how to get one carcass. With his help, I was able to continue to challenge myself without giving up my dream. Thank you, always.

I was able to develop my dream as a scholar thanks to my family's sincere

66

support. The reason why I have been able to pursue my dream since I was a young child is by far the constant support of my mother and father. I know the hard works that two of you have been done for me to sustain metaphysical considerations without tripping over the hurdles of reality, and I will never forget it for the rest of my life. The understanding and respect shown by my sister, Sang In, and my brother, Sang Won, also helped me greatly in hard times and is one of the great reasons I could be today. I would like to express my deep gratitude to all of my family.

I also thank Professor Byung Chun Lee and Dr. Ji Hyung Kim, the committee for this thesis. Based on the detailed comments and advice, I was able to organize the final steps of my master's degree successfully.

In addition, I would like to express my sincere thanks to the countless people who helped me with my research. I will repay everybody's kindness by contributing to academia with profound research.

This work was supported by the Ministry of Education, through the Brain Korea 21 Program for Veterinary Science, Seoul National University and the Research Institute for Veterinary Science, Seoul National University.

67