[Double burden of...] | Goswami AK et al

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Double burden of malnutrition among elderly population of Delhi

Anil Kumar Goswami¹, Baridalyne Nongkynrih², Mani Kalaivani³, Sanjeev Kumar Gupta⁴, Chandrakant S Pandav⁵

¹Associate Professor, ² Professor, ³Scientist III, ⁴ Professor, ⁵Professor; ^{1,2,4,5}Centre for Community Medicine, ³Department of Biostatistics, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi.

Abstract	Introduction	<u>Methodology</u>	<u>Results</u>	Conclusion	References	Citation	Tables / Figures
----------	--------------	--------------------	----------------	------------	-------------------	-----------------	------------------

Corresponding Author

Address for Correspondence: Dr. Baridalyne Nongkynrih, Professor, Centre for Community Medicine, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, Ansari Nagar, New Delhi – 110029 E Mail ID: <u>baridalyne@gmail.com</u>



Citation

Goswami AK, Nongkynrih B, Kalaivani M, Gupta SK, Pandav CS. Double burden of malnutrition among elderly population of Delhi. Indian J Comm Health. 2016; 28, 4: 324-330.

Source of Funding: Intramural Research Grant, AIIMS New Delhi Conflict of Interest: None declared

Article Cycle

Received: 07/09/2016; Revision: 23/09/2016; Accepted: 23/11/2016; Published: 31/12/2016 This work is licensed under a <u>Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License</u>.

Abstract

Background: Nutritional status is an important determinant for elderly, directly influencing their susceptibility to diseases, adversely affecting their quality of life. **Aim & Objective**: To assess the nutritional status of elderly persons aged \geq 60 years residing in an urban resettlement colony of Delhi. **Materials and Methods**: A community-based cross-sectional study was conducted in a resettlement colony in Delhi. Cluster random sampling was used. Three out of ten blocks were selected randomly. All elderly persons present in the selected blocks were included. Information on socio-demographic variables was collected. Arm span and weight were measured by trained investigators. Data was entered in MS Excel 2007 and analyzed in Stata 11.0. Multiple logistic regression was done to determine the association between nutritional status and socio-demographic variables **Results**: A total of 711 elderly persons were recruited. About half (53.2%) had normal nutritional status, 20.8% were underweight and 19.4% were overweight and 6.6% were obese. Under-nutrition was significantly associated with gender, while overweight/obesity was found to be significantly associated with age (p<0.001), gender (p<0.001), occupation (p<0.001) and economic dependency (p< 0.001). **Conclusion**: Dual burden of malnutrition was seen, so there is a need to promote healthy eating and lifestyle to address both spectrum of malnutrition.

Keywords

Under-Nutrition; Overweight; Obesity; Urban; Elderly; Nutrition

Introduction

Malnutrition is the cellular imbalance between the supply of nutrients and the body's demand to ensure growth and maintenance. It may be caused by the lack of nutrients (under-nutrition), or an excess of nutrients (over-nutrition). On one hand, elderly persons are vulnerable to under-nutrition due to poor appetite, poor dentition, loss of taste and smell; on the other hand, restriction of mobility and sedentary lifestyle puts them at risk of overweight and obese. Many studies in India have reported malnutrition in the elderly. A study from Assam reported that 15% of elderly were malnourished, 29.4% elderly had malnutrition in West Bengal, while 14.9% were malnourished in Allahabad. A study from Uttarakhand reported 48.6% elderly were underweight ,10.3% were overweight and 5.6% cases were in obese and 19.5% were reported to be malnourished in Coimbatore. A study from Puducherry reported a prevalence of under-nutrition

[Double burden of...] | Goswami AK et al

in elderly to be 14% in urban areas. There is a wide range of prevalence reported from different parts of India. In urban areas, lack of social support, disintegration of the joint family system, and changing lifestyles all aggravate the health and nutritional problems of the elderly.

Aims & Objectives

To assess the nutritional status of elderly persons residing in an urban resettlement colony of Delhi.

Material & Methods

Study design: A community-based cross-sectional study. **Study area**: Study was conducted in Dakshinpuri Extension, a resettlement colony in Delhi. It is the urban field practice area of the Centre of Community Medicine, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi, which serves a population of about 36,000 spread over 10 (ten) blocks. Elderly persons aged 60 years and above comprise about 4% of the population in this area.

Study population: all elderly persons aged 60 years and above. **Inclusion criteria**: Ambulatory older persons who were residents of the study area for at least past six months were included in the study.

Study duration: January- March 2015. *Sample size calculation*: Taking the prevalence of undernutrition to be 14% (5), relative error of 25%, design effect of 1.5, and 15% non-response, the sample size was calculated to be 694. A cluster random sampling was done where each block was one cluster. Out of the ten blocks under the study area, three blocks were selected randomly. All elderly present in the three selected blocks were included in the study.

Data collection: A structured interview schedule was prepared to collect information about sociodemographic variables. Two investigators were trained in carrying out the interviews and taking measurements. Information was collected through house-to-house visits. Participants who could not be contacted despite three visits to their houses, were categorized as non-respondents.

Measurements were made as per the guidelines of the World Health Organization. Body Mass Index (BMI) was derived from weight and arm span; BMI = weight (kg)/arm span (m²). In older persons, arm span is a better proxy measure for height, because as a result of increased spinal curvature and postural problems, accurate measurement of height is difficult. Many studies have established that arm span can be a good substitute for height in situations when the height cannot be measured –bedridden patients, standardization of lung function tests in the elderly, calculation of body mass index for nutritional assessment. Studies have shown that arm span correlates highly with height, and can be used as its substitute in older persons (10,11, 12, 13,14,15,16). As per guidelines of the World Health Organization, under-nutrition was defined as a body mass index (BMI) of less than 18.5 kg/m²; overweight was defined as BMI 25.0-29.9 kg/m², and obesity as BMI \geq 30.0 kg/m².

Measurement of arm span was done using a flexible steel tape. Each measurement was taken twice, and the average was calculated. Arm span was taken with the participant standing against a wall, looking straight at eye level, with arms extended laterally at shoulder level. Then the steel tape was extended from the tip of the middle finger of one hand straight across the chest, to the tip of the middle finger of the other hand, and recorded to the nearest 0.1 cm. The weight was measured to the nearest 100 grams on a digital weighing scale (Dr. Morepen Homehealth, Model MS-8604) with participants wearing light clothing.

Data analysis: Data was entered in MS Excel 2007 and analysis was carried out using Stata 11.0 (College Station, Texas, USA). Prevalence of under-nutrition, overweight and obesity was estimated as percentages (95% confidence interval). The $\chi 2$ test was applied to find the association of malnutrition with socio-demographic factors. Multiple logistic regression was used to identify factors independently associated with under-nutrition and overweight/obese as the outcome variable. For this analysis, overweight and obese were clubbed into a single category. Unadjusted odds ratios for undernutrition/overweight/obese were first calculated for socio-demographic factors. All the variables with pvalue < 0.2 (for unadjusted odds ratio) were entered into the model, and those with a p-value < 0.05 were retained. The results are reported as adjusted odds ratios (95% CI). A p-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Ethical approval: Ethical clearance was obtained from the All India Institute of Medical Sciences Ethics Committee.

Consent: Informed written consent was obtained from all participants. If any participant needed medical care, s\he was referred to the nearest health centre.

[Double burden of...] | Goswami AK et al

Results

A total of 851 elderly persons were approached to participate in the study. Of these, 110 were not available even after three visits and 30 refused to participate. Thus, a total of 711 elderly persons were recruited to the study, giving a non-response rate of 16.5%. There were 298 men (41%) and 413 (58%) women. Among the study participants, 56% were economically partially independent and 661 (93 %) were living with their families (Table 1).

The mean weight was 59.6 kgs in males and 56.4 kgs in females. Mean arm span was 154.9 cms in males and 169.6 cm in males (Table 2).

Nutritional status as expressed by BMI was calculated for all participants. It was seen that half of the elderly persons (53.2%) had normal nutritional status, while 20.8% were underweight, 19.4% were overweight, and 6.6% were obese (Table 3).

For further analysis, overweight and obese were combined. The mean BMI was higher in women (23.4 +4.8 kg/m2) as compared to men (20.7 + 3.8 kg/m2). The bivariate analysis is shown in Tables 4 & Table 5. On multivariate analysis, under-nutrition was more common in men (Table 6). However, overweight and obese categories, when clubbed together and compared with normal category by sociodemographic variables showed that there was a significant association with age (p<0.001), gender (p<0.001), occupation (p<0.001) and economic (p<0.001). The prevalence dependency of overweight/obesity increased with age. Women were significantly overweight as compared to men and homemakers were significantly more overweight. Those who were economically fully dependent were also found to be significantly more overweight than the rest of the groups.

Discussion

In this study, it was seen that there was a dual burden of malnutrition among elderly persons. While 20.8%% of them were undernourished, 26% were overweight/obese. The prevalence of undernutrition was similar as reported by studies from other parts of India (3-8, 17-19).

A study from Puducherry reported under-nutrition among 14% of elderly participants in a similar urban setting. (5,8) Coimbatore study reported a prevalence of undernutrition of 19% in urban areas. (7) Similarly, a study from rural Tamil Nadu reported a prevalence of 14% under-nutrition, while a study from rural Haryana reported a prevalence of 53%. A study from Kolkata showed that the prevalence of under-nutrition was 8.8% in women and 4.9% in men, whereas in our study under-nutrition was seen in 15% of women and 28.9% of men. In contrast to other studies, a study from Tamil Nadu reported that none of the study participants had undernutrition. Overweight/obesity was seen in 26% of participants in this study, which was slightly lower than that a study from Puducherry where the prevalence of overweight/obesity was 31% (8). Hence the prevalence of under-nutrition was seen to vary between different studies, due to different study settings and differences in methodology.

We found that under-nutrition was not associated with any of the socio-demographic factors, whereas other studies found a significant association with age, (17,18) gender, (18) education, (19) occupation, (18) and financial dependence. (19) However, overweight/obesity was found to be statistically significantly associated with age, gender, occupation and economic dependency. Similar findings were reported from a study in Orissa where overweight was statistically significantly associated with increasing age and female gender. Many of these factors studied are not only specific to rural areas, but we have seen that in urban population too, undernutrition is a significant problem. This could be due to neglect of the elderly by the society and families. This could also be due to inherent problems of old age like poor dentition which in turn leads to poor nutrition. The health of the elderly deserves special attention in the urban resettlement colonies because this strata of population is neither too low in the socio-economic scale as compared to urban slums, but they don't have sufficient resources to avail private health care in urban areas. With the changing demographic scenario in India, the health of the elderly persons is becoming increasingly important as they contribute to the dependant population requiring health care especially for chronic diseases. The effect of migration from rural to urban areas also plays a role in a misbalanced nutritional system in elderly people living in resettlement colonies e.g., change of food habits, poor housing conditions leading to lack of physical activity, which increases the risk for overweight and obesity. A combination of all these factors together is responsible for the double burden of malnutrition that we have seen in elderly population.

Conclusion

This study has highlighted the dual burden of malnutrition in the elderly population in urban areas, where both under-nutrition and over-nutrition exist. Under-nutrition was not associated with any of the socio-demographic factors, while overweight/obesity was found to be statistically significantly associated with age, gender, occupation and economic dependency.

Recommendation

Health promotion activities emphasizing on healthy eating and healthy lifestyle should be done so as to achieve healthy and graceful ageing.

Limitation of the study

- 1. Since the study was conducted in an urban resettlement colony, it is not generalizable to other parts of urban India.
- Due to the possibility of recall bias, information about the dietary intake was not collected, though it is directly related to the nutritional status of an individual.

Relevance of the study

This study is relevant in modern context especially in urban India. With increasing life expectancy, the number of elderly is increasing. Preventive and control measures for both under & over nutrition are different, hence presenting a challenge for the public health system. While under-nutrition needs to be tackled, at the same time long term debilitating health effects of obesity and its complications resulting in chronic diseases needs to be addressed.

Authors Contribution

AKG- concept, literature search, data acquisition, manuscript review; BN- concept, design, manuscript preparation, manuscript review; MK- concept, design, data analysis, manuscript review, manuscript editing; SKG- concept, design, statistical analysis, manuscript review, manuscript editing; CSPconcept, design, manuscript review, manuscript editing.

References

- Nutrition disorders, World Health Organization. Available from http://www.who.int/topics/nutrition_disorders/en/. [Last accessed on 2016 Feb 10].
- Hickson M. Malnutrition and ageing. Postgrad Med J. 2006 Jan;82(963):2-8. Review. PubMed PMID: 16397072; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2563720.[PubMed].
- 3. Agarwalla R, Saikia AM, Baruah R. Assessment of the nutritional status of the elderly and its correlates. J Family

Community Med. 2015 Jan-Apr;22(1):39-43. doi: 10.4103/2230-8229.149588. PubMed PMID: 25657610; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4317993.[PubMed]

- Lahiri S, Biswas A, Santra S, Lahiri SK. Assessment of nutritional status among elderly population in a rural area of West Bengal, India. Int J Med Sci Public Health. 2015; 4(4): 569-572. doi:10.5455/ijmsph.2015.20122014117
- Yadav N, Ravindra R , Sharma S, Singh A, Mishra M, Dubey J et al. Dietary habits and nutritional status of elderly living in urban areas of Allahabad district. Indian J. Prev. Soc. Med. 2012; 43(1): 81-6
- Saxena V, Kandpal SD, Goel D, Bansal S. Health status of elderly a community based study. Indian Journal of Community Health, [S.I.], v. 24, n. 4, p. 269 – 274.
- Mathew AC, Das D, Sampath S, Vijayakumar M, Ramakrishnan N, Ravishankar SL. Prevalence and correlates of malnutrition among elderly in an urban area in Coimbatore. Indian J Public Health. 2016 Apr-Jun;60(2):112-7. doi: 10.4103/0019-557X.184542. PubMed PMID: 27350704. [PubMed].
- Bharati DR, Pal R, Rekha R, Yamuna TV, Kar S, Radjou AN. Ageing in Puducherry, South India: An overview of morbidity profile. J Pharm Bioallied Sci. 2011 Oct;3(4):537-42. doi: 10.4103/0975-7406.90111. PubMed PMID: 22219588; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3249702. [PubMed].
- World Health Organization. Physical Status: The Use and Interpretation of Anthropometry. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; 1995. Report of a WHO Expert Committee; Technical Report Series No.854.
- Jamir L, Kalaivani M, Nongkynrih B, Misra P, Gupta SK. Relationship between arm span and height among elderly persons in a rural area of Ballabgarh, Haryana. Indian Journal Medical Specialties 2013; 4(2): 248-52.
- Mitchell CO, Lipschitz DA. Arm length measurement as an alternative to height in nutritional assessment of the elderly. JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr. 1982 May-Jun;6(3):226-9. PubMed PMID: 7202060.[PubMed].
- Kwok T, Whitelaw MN. The use of armspan in nutritional assessment of the elderly. J Am Geriatr Soc. 1991 May;39(5):492-6. PubMed PMID: 2022801.[PubMed]
- Manonai J, Khanacharoen A, Theppisai U, Chittacharoen A. Relationship between height and arm span in women of different age groups. J Obstet Gynaecol Res. 2001 Dec;27(6):325-7. PubMed PMID: 11794818.[PubMed].
- Nygaard HA. Measuring body mass index (BMI) in nursing home residents: the usefulness of measurement of arm span. Scand J Prim Health Care. 2008;26(1):46-9. doi: 10.1080/02813430801892037. PubMed PMID: 18297563; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3406628.[PubMed].
- Ofluoglu D, Unlu F, Akyuz G. Relationship between arm span and height in postmenopausal osteoporotic women. Rheumatol Int. 2008 Jun;28(8):737-41. doi: 10.1007/s00296-007-0516-1. PubMed PMID: 18172652.[PubMed]
- Rabe B, Thamrin MH, Gross R, Solomons NW, Schultink W. Body mass index of the elderly derived from height and from armspan. Asia Pac J Clin Nutr. 1996 Jun;5(2):79-83. PubMed PMID: 24394514.[PubMed].
- Vedantam A, Subramanian V, Rao NV, John KR. Malnutrition in free-living elderly in rural south India: prevalence and risk factors. Public Health Nutr. 2010 Sep;13(9):1328-32. doi:

INDIAN JOURNAL OF COMMUNITY HEALTH / VOL 28 / ISSUE NO 04 / OCT – DEC 2016 10.1017/S1368980009991674. PubMed PMID: 20

10.1017/S1368980009991674. PubMed PMID: 19807935.[PubMed].

- Jamir L, Kalaivani M, Nongkynrih B, Misra P, Gupta SK. Anthropometric Characteristics and Undernutrition Among Older Persons in a Rural Area of Northern India. Asia Pac J Public Health. 2013;XX(X): 1–13.
- Majumder M, Saha I, Chaudhuri D. Assessment of nutritional risk in community-dwelling older adults (65 to 75 years) in Kolkata, India. J Nutr Gerontol Geriatr. 2014;33(2):126-34. doi: 10.1080/21551197.2014.908596. PubMed PMID: 24827063.[PubMed].

[Double burden of...] | Goswami AK et al

- Paul SS, Abraham VJ. How healthy is our geriatric population? a community-based cross-sectional study. J Family Med Prim Care. 2015 Apr-Jun;4(2):221-5. doi: 10.4103/2249-4863.154653. PubMed PMID: 25949971; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4408705.[PubMed].
- Prasad DS, Kabir Z, Dash AK, Das BC. Effect of obesity on cardiometabolic risk factors in Asian Indians. J Cardiovasc Dis Res. 2013 Jun;4(2):116-22. doi: 10.1016/j.jcdr.2012.09.002. PubMed PMID: 24027368; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3770112.[PubMed].

Tables

TABLE 1 DISTRIBUTION OF STUDY PARTICIPANTS BY SOCIO-DEMOGRAPHIC VARIABLES

Socio-demographic variables	Number (%) n = 711	
Gender	Men	298 (41.9)
	Women	413 (58.1)
Age (years)	60 to 64 years	333(46.9)
	65 to 69 years	178(25.1))
	70 to 74 years	113 (15.8)
	≥75 years	87 (12.3)
Economic Dependence	Independent	59 (8.3)
	Partially dependent	401 (56.4)
	Fully dependent	251 (35.2)
Marital status	Currently married	451 (63.3)
	Single\separated\widowed	260 (36.6)
Living status	Living with family	661 (93.0)
	Living with spouse	42 (5.9)
	Living alone	8 (1.1)
Occupation		
	Homemaker	371 (52.2)
	Self-employed	106 (14.7)
	Non-Govt. employee	98 (13.8)
	Govt. employee	111 (15.6)
	Others	25 (3.5)
Education	No formal education	415 (58.3)
	Less than primary	56 (7.8)
	Primary school completed	162 (22.3)
	Secondary school completed or above	78 (10.9)

TABLE 2 DISTRIBUTION OF MEAN WEIGHT AND ARM SPAN BY GENDER

		Mean	95%CI
Weight (kgs)	Total	57.7	56.8-58.6
	Female	56.4	55.1-57.5
	Male	59.6	58.3-60.9
Arm span (cms)	Total	161.1	160.3-161.8
	Females	154.9	154.3- 155.5
	Males	169.6	168.7-170.5

TABLE 3 DISTRIBUTION OF STUDY PARTICIPANTS BY BODY MASS INDEX (BMI)

BMI	BMI Category	Number n = 711	Prevalence % (95% Cl)
≤ 18.5 kg/m2	Undernourished	148	20.8 (17.9, 24.0)
18.5-24.9 kg/m2	Normal	378	53.2 (49.4, 56.9)
25– 29.9 kg/m2	Overweight	138	19.4 (16.6, 22.5)
≥ 30 kg/m2	Obese	47	6.6 (4.8, 8.7)

TABLE 4 DISTRIBUTION OF UNDERNOURISHED PARTICIPANTS BY SOCIO-DEMOGRAPHIC VARIABLES

Socio Demographic Variables	Undernourished		p- value	Odds Ratio (95% C.I.)	
	Yes (n=148)	No (n=378)		Unadjusted	p-value
Age (years) 60-64 65-69 70-74	55 (25.2) 35 (26.3) 31 (33.0)	163 (74.8) 98 (73.7) 63 (67.0)	0.347	1.0 1.06 (0.65, 1.73) 1.45 (0.87, 2.47)	0.821 0.161
Conder	27 (33.3)	54 (00.7)		1.48 (0.80,2.57)	0.104
Gender Women Men	62 (23.2) 86 (33.2)	205 (76.8) 173 (66.8)	0.011	1.0 1.65 (1.11, 2.41)	0.011
Educational Status No formal education Primary Secondary education Senior Secondary & above	92 (30.2) 12 (26.7) 25 (21.2) 19 (32.8)	213 (69.8) 33 (73.3) 93 (78.8) 39 (67.2)	0.251	1 0.85 (0.41, 1.70) 0.63 (0.37, 1.03) 1.13 (0.61, 2.05)	0.632 0.066 0.694
Occupation Homemaker Self- Employed Non-Govt. Employee Govt. Employee Others	56 (23.6) 37 (40.2) 20 (24.1) 24 (25.8) 11 (52.4)	181 (76.4) 55 (59.8) 63 (75.9) 69 (74.2) 10 (47.6)	0.003	1.0 2.17 (1.30, 3.63) 1.02 (0.57, 1.84) 1.12 (0.64, 1.95) 3.56 (1.43,8.80)	0.003 0.931 0.678 .006
Marital Status Married Single	95 (29.1) 53 (26.5)	231 (70.9) 147 (73.5)	0.513	1.0 0.88 (0.59, 1.30)	0.513
Living Status Living alone Living with spouse Living with family	1 (16.7) 11(33.3) 136(27.9)	5(83.3) 22 (66.7) 351 (72.1)	0.656	2.49(0.25,24.09) 1.94(0.22,16.73)	0.428 0.548
Economic Dependency Independent Partially Dependent Fully Dependent	14 (29.2) 93 (29.6) 41 (25.0)	34 (70.8) 221 (70.4) 123 (75.0)	0.559	1.0 1.02 (0.52, 1.99) 0.81(0.39, 1.65)	0.949 0.563

TABLE 5 DISTRIBUTION OF OVERWEIGHT AND OBESE PARTICIPANTS BY SOCIOECONOMIC VARIABLES

Table 5: Distribution of overweight and obese participants by socioeconomic variables							
Socio Demographic Variables	Overweight\obese		p- value	Odds Ratio (95% C.I.)			
	Yes (n=185)	No (n=378)		Unadjusted	p-value		
Age (years)							
60-64	115(41.4)	163(58.6)	<0.0001	1.0			
65-69	45 (31.5)	98 (68.5)		0.66 (0.42, 0.99)	0.048		
70-74	19 (23.2)	63 (76.8)		0.42 (0.24, 0.75)	0.003		
75 and above	6 (10.0)	54 (90.0)		0.15 (0.06, 0.37)	<0.001		
Gender							
Women	146 (41.6)	205 (58.4)	<0.0001	1.0			
Men	39(18.4)	173 (81.6)		0.31 (0.21, 0.47)	<0.001		
Educational Status							
No formal education	110 (34.1)	213 (65.9)	0.681	1.0			
Primary	11 (25.0)	33 (75.0)		0.65 (0.31, 1.32)	0.233		
Secondary education	44 (32.1)	93 (67.9)		0.92 (0.59, 1.40)	0.687		
Senior Secondary and above	20 (33.9)	39 (66.1)		0.99 (0.55, 1.78)	0.981		
Occupation							

INDIAN JOURNAL OF COMMUNITY HEALTH / VOL	[Double burden of] Go	oswami AK <i>et al</i>			
Homemaker	134 (42.5)	181 (57.5)		1.0	
Self- Employed	14 (20.3)	55(79.7)	<0.0001	0.34 (0.18, 0.64)	0.001
Non-Govt. Employee	15(19.2)	63(80.8)		0.32 (0.17, 0.58)	<0.001
Govt. Employee	18 (20.7)	69(79.3)		0.35 (0.20, 0.61)	<0.001
Others	4 (28.6)	10 (71.4)		0.55 (0.16, 1.75)	0.307
Marital Status					
Married	125 (35.1)	231 (64.9)	0.136	1.0	
Single	60 (29.0)	147 (71.0)		0.76 (0.52, 1.09)	0.136
Living Status					
Living alone	2 (28.6)	5(71.4)	0.868	1.0	
Living with spouse	9 (29.0)	22 (71.0)		1.02 (0.16, 6.27)	0.981
Living with family	174 (33.1)	351 (66.9)		1.23 (0.23, 6.45)	0.799
Economic Dependency					
Independent	11(24.4)	34 (75.6)	0.003	1.0	
Partially Dependent	87 (28.2)	221 (71.8)		1.21 (0.59, 2.50)	0.595
Fully Dependent	87 (41.4)	123 (58.6)		2.18 (1.05, 4.55)	0.037

TABLE 6 MULTIVARIATE ANALYSES OF SOCIO DEMOGRAPHIC VARIABLES ON MALNOURISHED (N=148) AND OVERWEIGHT/OBESE (N=185) VERSUS NORMAL ELDERLY (N=378) AGED 60 AND ABOVE

Socio Demographic Variables	Adjusted Odds Ratio (95% CI)			
	Under-nourished (n=148)	Overweight / obese (n=185)		
Age (years)				
60-64	1.0	1.0		
65-69	0.88 (0.52, 1.48)	0.83 (0.52, 1.31)		
70-74	1.13 (0.63, 2.02)	0.62 (0.33, 1.15)		
75 and above	1.29 (0.70, 2.40)	0.22 (0.09, 0.56)		
Gender				
Women	1.0	1.0		
Men	3.33 (1.24, 8.93)	0.29 (0.12, 0.72)		
Education				
No formal education	1.0	1.0		
Primary education	0.53 (0.24, 1.15)	0.98 (0.44, 2.16)		
Secondary education	0.46 (0.26, 0.84)	1.57 (0.93, 2.65)		
Senior secondary and above	0.81 (0.40, 1.65)	2.61 (1.23, 5.53)		
Occupation				
Home maker	1.0	1.0		
Self employed	0.91 (0.33, 2.50)	0.65 (0.26, 1.63)		
Non-Government employee	0.47 (0.15, 1.40)	0.50 (0.19, 1.30)		
Government employee	0.45 (0.15, 1.32)	0.64 (0.24, 1.65)		
Others	2.20 (0.70, 6.92)	0.75 (0.20, 2.75)		
Marital Status				
Married	1.0	1.0		
Single	0.97 (0.59, 1.59)	0.67 (0.42, 1.05)		
Living Status				
Living alone	1.0	1.0		
Living with spouse	2.00 (0.19, 20.90)	0.72 (0.10, 4.88)		
Living with family	1.55 (0.16, 14.32)	1.09 (0.19, 6.14)		
Economic Dependency				
Independent	1.0	1.0		
Partially dependent	1.34 (0.64, 2.81)	0.71 (0.31, 1.62)		
Fully dependent	1.16 (0.52, 2.60)	0.83 (0.35, 1.94)		