Impact of Learning Space on Engineering Student Engagement with Management Modules

It is generally understood that teaching spaces can have a significant impact of the learner's attainment and engagement [1]. Although this topic is relatively under researched [2] in particular in STEM areas, this area has gained growing interest [3-5] as universities are adapting to the twin disruptive effects of virtual learning technologies [6] and increased expectations of learners [7].

The Faculty of Computer Engineering and Science at University of South Wales has started in 2017 a teaching and learning initiative called Learning Experience Enhancement Project (LEEP) aimed at improving staff engagement with teaching and learning and as corollary, improving students' experience. In this paper, we report data from one of the LEEP project which looked at the impact of teaching space on student experience and engagement.

Teaching on a final year project management module, taught to Electrical and Electronic Engineering students, was moved from an amphitheatre tiered large room to a flat room with sitting arrangement changed to allow 4-6 student to work together and facilitate group activities and engagement.

The design of seating arrangement was such that learners can move from table to table if needed. The table where small enough to allow close discussions but wide enough to enable relevant material and sheets to be shared. The aim was to facilitate collaborative and discussion based learning [8, 9]. Attendance was monitored and students were surveyed on their self-reported enjoyment and learning on the module. 86% of students reported that the change of room helped them **enjoy** the lecture more whereas 72% of students reported that the change of room helped them **learn** more on the module. Additionally, attendance on the module increased by 15% towards the end of the delivery.

This pilot work suggests that engagement of engineering students with management modules could be improved with collaborative and discussion enabling learning environments.

REFRENCES

- [1] J. J. P. e. Wellington, "Formal and informal learning in science: The role of the interactive science centres," vol. 25, no. 5, p. 247, 1990.
- [2] P. J. L. R. o. E. Temple, "Learning spaces in higher education: an under-researched topic," vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 229-241, 2008.
- [3] K. Fisher, C. J. H. E. R. Newton, and Development, "Transforming the twenty-firstcentury campus to enhance the net-generation student learning experience: Using evidence-based design to determine what works and why in virtual/physical teaching spaces," vol. 33, no. 5, pp. 903-920, 2014.
- [4] N. Monk, C. C. Rutter, J. Neelands, and J. Heron, *Open-space learning: A study in transdisciplinary pedagogy*. A&C Black, 2011.
- [5] I. Jung and C. J. B. J. o. E. T. Latchem, "A model for e-education: Extended teaching spaces and extended learning spaces," vol. 42, no. 1, pp. 6-18, 2011.
- [6] S. Ryan, B. Scott, H. Freeman, and D. Patel, *The virtual university: The internet and resource-based learning*. Routledge, 2013.

- [7] G. J. P. Jones, "Managing student expectations: The impact of top-up tuition fees," vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 44-48, 2010.
- [8] D. Radcliffe, H. Wilson, D. Powell, and B. J. T. U. o. Q. Tibbetts, "Designing next generation places of learning: Collaboration at the pedagogy-space-technology nexus," 2008.
- [9] G. S. Stump, J. C. Hilpert, J. Husman, W. t. Chung, and W. J. J. o. E. E. Kim,
 "Collaborative learning in engineering students: Gender and achievement," vol. 100, no. 3, pp. 475-497, 2011.