

RESEARCH OUTPUTS / RÉSULTATS DE RECHERCHE

Comparative study of concatemer efficiency as an isotope-labelled internal standard for allergen quantification

Gavage, Maxime; Van Vlierberghe, Kaatje; Van Poucke, C.; De Loose, M.; Gevaert, K.; Dieu, Marc: Renard, Patricia; Arnould, Thierry; Filee, Patrice; Gillard, Nathalie

Published in: Food Chemistry

DOI: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2020.127413

Publication date: 2020

Document Version Peer reviewed version

Link to publication

Citation for pulished version (HARVARD):

Gavage, M, Van Vlierberghe, K, Van Poucke, C, De Loose, M, Gevaert, K, Dieu, M, Renard, P, Arnould, T, Filee, P & Gillard, N 2020, 'Comparative study of concatemer efficiency as an isotope-labelled internal standard for allergen quantification', *Food Chemistry*, vol. 332, 127413, pp. 1-10. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2020.127413

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

1	Comparative study of concatemer efficiency as an isotope-labelled internal standard for allerger

- 2 quantification
- 3 Maxime GAVAGE^{a,b}, Kaatje VAN VLIERBERGHE^c, Christof VAN POUCKE^c, Marc DE LOOSE^c, Kris
- 4 GEVAERT^{d,e}, Marc DIEU^{b,f}, Patsy RENARD^{b, f}, Thierry ARNOULD^b, Patrice FILEE^a, Nathalie
- 5 GILLARD^{a,*}
- 6 a. CER Groupe, Rue du Point du Jour 8, 6900 Marloie, Belgium
- 7 b. Laboratory of Biochemistry and Cell Biology (URBC)-Namur Research Institute for Life Sciences
- 8 (NARILIS), University of Namur, 61, Rue de Bruxelles, 5000 Namur, Belgium
- 9 c. Flanders Research Institute for Agriculture, Fisheries and Food (ILVO), Brusselsesteenweg 370,
- 10 9090 Melle, Belgium
- 11 d. VIB Center for Medical Biotechnology, Albert Baertsoenkaai 3, B9000 Ghent, Belgium
- e. Department of Biomolecular Medicine, Ghent University, Albert Baertsoenkaai 3, B9000 Ghent,
 Belgium
- f. MaSUN, Mass Spectrometry Facility, University of Namur, 61, Rue de Bruxelles, 5000 Namur,
 Belgium
- 16
- 17 * Corresponding author; telephone: +32 (0) 84 31 00 90; e-mail: <u>n.gillard@cergroupe.be</u>
- 18 CER Groupe, rue du Point du Jour, 8, 6900 Marloie, Belgium

19 Abstract

Mass spectrometry-based methods coupled with stable isotope dilution have become effective and 20 21 widely used methods for the detection and quantification of food allergens. Current methods target 22 signature peptides resulting from proteolytic digestion of proteins of the allergenic ingredient. The choice 23 of appropriate stable isotope-labelled internal standard is crucial, given the diversity of encountered food 24 matrices which can affect sample preparation and analysis. We propose the use of concatemer, an 25 artificial and stable isotope-labelled protein composed of several concatenated signature peptides as 26 internal standard. With a comparative analysis of three matrices contaminated with four allergens (egg, 27 milk, peanut, and hazelnut), the concatemer approach was found to offer advantages associated with 28 the use of labelled proteins, ideal but unaffordable, and circumvent certain limitations of traditionally 29 used synthetic peptides as internal standards. Although used in the proteomic field for more than a 30 decade, concatemer strategy has not yet been applied for food analysis.

31

32 Keywords

33 Food allergen analysis, mass spectrometry, isotope dilution, isotope-labelled internal standard, isotope-

- 34 labelled concatemer
- 35

36 **1. Introduction**

37 Food allergy is defined as an adverse health effect arising from a specific reproducible immune 38 response that occurs on exposure to a given food (Boyce et al., 2011). Several studies indicate an 39 increase in the prevalence of food allergy with nearly 5% of adults and 8% of children being affected 40 (Sicherer & Sampson, 2014). Given the absence of accepted treatment, the current solution for allergic 41 patients relies on allergen avoidance to circumvent allergic reactions. However, this essentially requires 42 correct food labelling and efficient risk management from food business operators to reduce the risk of 43 contamination by allergens to acceptable levels. European legislation (Regulation [EU] No 1169/2011) 44 requires the labelling of 14 allergenic ingredients when they are part of a foodstuff recipe. However, this 45 legislation does not cover the presence of hidden allergens that are due to cross-contamination during 46 food processing. Even if strongly requested by food producers and control laboratories, no harmonized 47 regulatory framework for managing hidden allergens or action thresholds have been enacted in Europe. 48 Some countries have set legal thresholds but with a high disparity among allergens and among countries 49 (Planque et al., 2019). A quantitative risk assessment was also developed by VITAL® (Voluntary 50 Incidental Trace Allergen Labelling) combining reference doses and exposure (Allen et al., 2014). The 51 thresholds for allergenic proteins in food are based on clinical data and are indicators of the action levels, 52 expressed as the total protein amount of the allergenic food (mg), below which only the most sensitive 53 allergic subjects might react (1% of allergic patients or 5% of them for the less common foods). These 54 values are often used by laboratories as a targeted limit of quantification (LOQ) in the absence of legal 55 thresholds.

56 The development of a quantitative allergen risk assessment requires quantitative allergen analysis. 57 During the last decade, mass spectrometry became the method of choice for allergen analysis (Ahsan, Rao, Gruppuso, Ramratnam, & Salomon, 2016). Allergen analysis by mass spectrometry is 58 59 predominantly performed by specific analysis of peptides obtained by an enzymatic digestion of the 60 proteins of the sample, including the proteins of the allergenic ingredients. One of the advantages of mass spectrometry-based methods is the possibility to simultaneously detect multiple peptides from 61 62 multiple allergens, thus enabling time- and money-saving multiplexed analysis. Such a targeted 63 approach, named multiple reaction monitoring (MRM), offers high sensitivity and specificity. Targeted proteomics is often used for absolute peptide quantification in combination with isotope dilution, a 64 65 technique based on the use of an internal standard corresponding to the stable isotope-labelled version 66 of the analyte (Monaci, Losito, De Angelis, Pilolli, & Visconti, 2013; Nitride et al., 2019; Planque et al.,

67 2019). The introduction of this isotope-labelled internal standard corrects for variability and various 68 matrix effects during the actual analysis. Notably, ion suppression effects and, depending on the type 69 of internal standard, matrix effects and analyte loss during sample preparation may be corrected by the 70 use of isotope-labelled internal standards.

71 Peptides specific for allergen proteins are the analytes in mass spectrometry analysis of food 72 allergens; however, the initial analytes are proteins. Stable isotope-labelled internal standards can 73 therefore adopt different forms. In theory, a stable isotope-labelled protein is the ideal internal standard 74 as, when added to the food that needs to be analyzed, it can correct for sample losses during all the 75 steps of the sample preparation procedure (including protein extraction and digestion), as well as for 76 matrix effects during mass spectrometry analysis. Such an approach was proposed by Newsome and 77 Scholl (Newsome & Scholl, 2013) for the quantification of bovine milk α_{S1} -case in baked goods. The 78 main limitation of this approach, besides technical issues for protein production, is its cost. When one 79 aims at multiplexed analysis, this necessitates the use of multiple isotope-labelled proteins, which is 80 unrealistic for laboratories performing routine analyses (Planque, Arnould, & Gillard, 2017). Therefore, 81 most laboratories rely on stable isotope-labelled synthetic peptides (Boo, Parker, & Jackson, 2018; 82 Henrottin et al., 2019; Planque et al., 2019). However, in food allergen analysis, the initial analytes are 83 proteins. Peptide internal standard and protein analytes can exhibit different behaviors during the 84 extraction, leading to different extraction yield. Moreover, the peptides do not undergo the enzymatic 85 digestion step which is known to be highly affected by the matrix effects (Korte, Oberleitner, & 86 Brockmeyer, 2019).

87 Here, we implemented an alternative method based on the synthesis of a concatemer used as a 88 stable isotope-labelled internal standard for allergen quantification. This strategy has been well adopted 89 by proteomics researchers, and the concatemers are known as QconCAT (Pratt et al., 2006), but, as far 90 as we know, these molecules have not yet been explored for food analysis. Concatemers are artificial 91 proteins composed of concatenated, proteotypic peptides originating from different proteins of interest. 92 The peptides themselves are typically first identified following mass spectrometry or are predicted from 93 theoretical peptide sequences. Concatemers are typically recombinantly produced in an environment 94 that allows labelling with stable isotopes (e.g., ¹³C or ¹⁵N). In contrast to synthetic peptides, concatemers 95 need to be proteolytically digested to release their peptides, and thus, this peptide release is also 96 affected by the interference caused by the matrix during the digestion step, in a manner similar to the 97 analyte of interest. Another advantage of concatemers is their potential for multiplexing. A single

98 concatemer can be composed of numerous proteotypic peptides and can therefore be used for 99 multiplexed allergen analysis. The limitation of this approach is fixed by the protein size reachable with 100 recombinant protein expression, which is more than 100 kDa (Chambers, Austen, Fulghum, & Kim, 101 2004). This approach can be cost-effective when compared with using synthetic peptides for multiplexed 102 analysis. For our study, we developed, produced, and purified a ¹⁵N isotopically labelled concatemer 103 composed of 19 proteotypic peptides, allowing for the analysis of 4 allergenic ingredients (egg, milk, 104 peanut, and hazelnut). We evaluated the performance of this concatemer by the analysis of three 105 uncontaminated food matrices spiked with increasing and defined concentrations (2.5 ppm to 50 ppm, 106 where ppm corresponded to mg of total allergen protein per kg of matrix) of the selected allergen 107 extracts. In addition, we compared the use of the concatemer with that of five synthetic peptides 108 corresponding to tryptic peptides from the four considered allergens and with β-lactoglobulin, a bovine 109 milk protein that was ¹⁵N isotopically labelled.

111 **2.** Material and methods

112 **2.1. Reagent and materials**

113 Gene synthesis and cloning were ordered from GeneCust (Boynes, France). Acetic acid, ammonium 114 bicarbonate, ampicillin sodium salt, chloramphenicol, dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), DL-dithiothreitol 115 (DTT), expression plasmid pET17b(+) Novagen, HiLoad® 26/600 Superdex® 200 pg, imidazole 116 hydrochloride, iodoacetamide (IAA), kanamycin monosulfate, Lennox broth (LB), Ni Sepharose® 6 fast 117 flow GE Healthcare, Origami[™] B(DE3) pLysS competent cells Novagen, phenylmethanesulfonyl 118 fluoride (PMSF), Q Sepharose® Fast Flow, select agar, sodium chloride, sodium phosphate dibasic, 119 sodium phosphate monobasic, tetracycline hydrochloride, tetraethylammonium bicarbonate (TEAB), 120 trypsin from bovine pancreas, tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane (Tris) and urea were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (Bornem, Belgium). One Shot™ BL21(DE3) chemically competent Escherichia coli, 121 122 isopropyl β-D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG), SnakeSkin™ dialysis tubing, 3.5K MWCO, 22 mm were 123 purchased from Thermo Fisher Scientific (Waltham, MA, USA). Bioexpress cell growth media (U-15N, 124 98%) (10x concentrate) was obtained from Buchem B.V. (Apeldoorn, the Netherlands), Trypsin Gold, 125 Mass Spectrometry Grade from Promega (Madison, WI, USA), 4–20 Mini-PROTEAN® TGX™ precast 126 protein gels from Bio-Rad (Hercules, CA, USA), Sep-Pak C18 6 cc Vac solid-phase extraction (SPE) 127 cartridges from Waters (Milford, MA, USA), and 0.2 µm acrodisc syringe filters with supor membrane 128 from Pall Corporation (Port Washington, NY, USA). Water, acetonitrile (ACN), and formic acid (FA) were 129 obtained from Biosolve (Valkenswaard, the Netherlands). Labelled synthetic peptides 130 ADIYTEQ**V**[¹³C₅¹⁵N]GR, FFVAPFPEVFG**K**[¹³C₆¹⁵N₂], GGLEPIN**F**[Ring-D₅]QTAADQAR, LS**F**[Ring-131 D_5]NPTQLEEQCHI, TANELNLLIL[¹³C₆¹⁵N]R were ordered from Eurogentec (Seraing, Belgium).

132 Food samples were analyzed by ultra-high performance liquid chromatography-tandem massspectrometry (UHPLC MS/MS) using an Acquity liquid chromatograph equipped with a C18 Acquity 133 134 BEH130 column (2.1 x 150 mm; 1.7 µm) and coupled with a Xevo TQ-S micro triple quadrupole system 135 (Waters, Milford, MA, USA). Characterization of ¹⁵N isotopically labelled concatemer and β-lactoglobulin was performed by ultra-high performance liquid chromatography-high resolution mass spectrometry 136 137 (UHPLC-HRMS) using an Acquity liquid chromatograph equipped with a C18 Acquity BEH130 column 138 (2.1 x 150 mm; 1.7 µm) and coupled to a Xevo G2-XS QTof quadrupole time-of-flight system (Waters, 139 Milford, MA, USA).

140 **2.2.**¹⁵N isotopically labelled concatemer production and purification

141 Design and production of the concatemer were adapted from the method of Pratt (Pratt et al., 142 2006). The first step focused on concatemer design and the selection of the concatenated peptides. 143 Here, we considered 19 peptides (Table 1) originating from seven proteins of four allergenic ingredients 144 $(\alpha_{S1}$ -case in and β -lactoglobulin from cow milk; ovalbumin, ovotransferrin, and vitellogen in-1 from hen's 145 egg; Cor a 9 allergen from hazelnut; and Ara h 1 allergen from peanut). These 19 peptides were selected 146 from a set of relevant peptide biomarkers identified by an empirical approach based on UHPLC-HRMS 147 analysis of incurred and processed samples. The applied food processing steps, sample preparation, 148 and selection criteria have already been detailed in our previous studies (Gavage et al., 2019, 2020; 149 Van Vlierberghe et al., 2020). The peptides were then in silico concatenated, and the resulting 150 polypeptide was flanked with an N-terminus initiator sequence including a methionine start and a C-terminus hexahistidine purification tag (His-tag). Hydrophobicity of each of the 19 peptides was 151 152 evaluated based on their grand average of hydropathy (GRAVY) parameter. Hydrophobic and 153 hydrophilic peptides were alternated in the concatemer sequence to avoid the formation of high 154 hydrophobic clusters that can interfere with solvent accessibility of concatenated peptides and thus with 155 their subsequent proteolysis during the sample preparation. Translation-associated aspects such as 156 tRNA-mediated codon usage bias and mRNA secondary structure, known to impact the translation 157 process (Gorochowski, Ignatova, Bovenberg, & Roubos, 2015), were also considered. Visual Gene 158 Developer (University of California-Davis, Davis, CA, USA) was used to predict and optimize the mRNA 159 secondary structure. The in silico designed DNA construct was finally chemically synthesized and cloned 160 into the pET17b(+) expression vector using Ndel and Xhol restriction sites to give the pET17b(+)-161 concat1.

162 The E. coli BL21(DE3)/pET17b(+)-concat1 strain was inoculated in a 30 ml starter culture of ¹⁵N labelled media (Bioexpress cell growth media [U-15N, 98%] with 100 µg/mL ampicillin) and grown 163 164 overnight at 37 °C under 300 rpm orbital shaking. Cells were harvested by centrifugation (4000 x g, 165 5 min) and the pellet was resuspended in 1 mL of ¹⁵N labelled media. Next, a volume of 660 µl of this bacterial suspension was used to inoculate a 1L ¹⁵N labelled main culture. This culture was grown at 37 166 167 °C under 300 rpm orbital shaking until the optical density at 600 nm reached 0.6-0.8. Concatemer 168 expression was next induced with 1 mM IPTG and cells were cultured overnight at 25 °C under 300 rpm orbital shaking. Cells were harvested by centrifugation (5000 x g, 15 min) and stored at -80 °C until 169 170 concatemer purification.

171 The cell pellet of the 1 L culture was resuspended in 40 mL of lysis buffer (50 mM Tris - 10 mM imidazole - pH 8) with 1 mM PMSF. Cells were disrupted using a Vibra-Cell[™] (Sonics, Newtown, CN, 172 173 USA) ultrasonic probe. The cell lysate was centrifuged twice (40000 x g, 20 min) and filtered through 174 0.2 µm syringe filters before to be submitted to metal affinity chromatography purification. The protein 175 solution was loaded on a 8 ml Ni Sepharose 6 Fast Flow column equilibrated with lysis buffer. An 176 intermediate washing step was performed in the presence of 20 mM imidazole and the His-tag labelled 177 concatemer was finally eluted by using a linear imidazole gradient from 20 mM to 250 mM. The elution 178 fractions were analyzed on SDS-PAGE (Supplementary data 1). The positive fractions were pooled and 179 dialyzed against the storage buffer (50 mM Tris - pH 8) to eliminate imidazole.

Total protein concentration was measured by absorbance at 280nm. A SDS-PAGE/densitometry method based on ImageJ software was used to estimate concatemer purity. A total of 84.5 mg of ¹⁵N isotopically labelled concatemer were produced and purified with an estimated purity higher than 90%. Protein sequences, concentration calculations, and purity estimation are detailed in Supplementary data 3.

185

2.3. ¹⁵N isotopically labelled β -lactoglobulin production and purification

186 The production of β-lactoglobulin, a cow milk protein, was adapted from the work of Loch and 187 collaborators (Loch et al., 2016) who implemented a method leading to the cytoplasmic accumulation of 188 correctly folded disulfide bond-dependent proteins. Briefly, two mutations (L2A/I3S) were introduced in 189 the β-lactoglobulin to facilitate *in vivo* cleavage of the N-terminal methionine allowing for correct protein 190 folding.) Further, the E. coli Origami B (DE3) pLysS strain, a glutathione reductase (gor) and thioredoxin 191 reductase (trxB) mutated strain, was used for conducting the cytoplasmic co-expression of the protein 192 of interest with DsbC, an E.coli cytoplasmic disulfide bond isomerase. The co-expression was achieved 193 with the same expression vector (pET17b(+)-DsbC-BLg) in which the two genes were transcribed from 194 individual T7 IPTG-inducible promoters.

To achieve the production of ¹⁵N labelled β -lactoglobulin, expression (starter culture, main culture, and IPTG induction) conditions were similar as used for concatemer production. The antibiotics that were used were tailored to 200 µg/mL ampicillin, 34 µg/mL chloramphenicol, 15 µg/mL kanamycin, and 12.5 µg/mL tetracycline, and the IPTG concentration was 0.5 mM. Harvested cells were resuspended in 50 mM phosphate buffer, pH 6.5, with 1 mM PMSF and prepared for protein purification using the same procedure as for the concatemer. The purification of 15N labelled β -lactoglobulin was performed according to the procedure described by Loch and collaborator (Loch et al., 2016). Briefly, this protocol
combines anion-exchange chromatography (Q Sepharose® Fast Flow) with a NaCl linear elution
gradient (up to 2 M) followed by size-exclusion chromatography (HiLoad® 26/600 Superdex® 200 pg)
in initial conditions (50 mM phosphate buffer, pH 6.5). Eluates of these two purification steps were
collected in 1 mL fractions and analyzed on SDS-PAGE (Supplementary data 2).

Total protein concentration was measured by absorbance at 280nm. A SDS-PAGE/densitometry
 method based on ImageJ software was used to estimate protein purity. Using this approach, a total of
 2.4 mg of ¹⁵N isotopically labelled β-lactoglobulin were produced and purified with an estimated purity
 higher than 70%. Protein sequences, concentration calculations, and purity estimation are detailed in
 Supplementary data 3.

211 2.4. Characterization of produced ¹⁵N isotopically labelled proteins

212 Protein ¹⁵N stable isotope enrichment was evaluated by UHPLC-HRMS analysis of its constitutive 213 tryptic peptides. In separated containers, concatemer and β-lactoglobulin were diluted to 0.1 mg/mL with 214 50 mM TEAB, pH 9.2, to a final volume of 20 μ l. Disulfide bridges of β -lactoglobulin were successively 215 reduced and alkylated with DTT (10 mM final concentration, 45 min incubation at 37 °C under 300 rpm 216 orbital agitation) and IAA (40 mM final concentration, 45 min incubation in the dark at 37 °C under 300 217 rpm orbital agitation). Concatemer and β -lactoglobulin were then proteolytically digested by adding 0.1 218 µg of trypsin gold (protein:trypsin ratio of 1:20). Digestion was conducted for 1 h at 37 °C under 300 rpm 219 orbital agitation and stopped by the addition of 1% (final concentration) of FA followed by centrifugation 220 (20000 x g, 5 min). Samples were ten-fold diluted with 5% ACN before UHPLC-HRMS analysis. Peptides (5 µl of sample was injected) were first separated by reverse-phase liquid chromatography 221 using a 20 min water/ACN + 0.1% FA linear gradient from 5% to 40% of ACN. Data was acquired in 222 223 MS^E mode with 0.3 s scan time within the 50 to 2000 m/z mass range. The data were processed using 224 UNIFI software (Waters, Milford, MA, USA) and peptide mapping analysis type with traditional tryptic 225 cleavage rules and setting cysteine carbamidomethylation and ¹⁵N isotope labelling as a fixed 226 modifications.

For each identified tryptic peptide, the most intense charge state was considered to define the ¹⁵N stable isotopic enrichment. The isotopic enrichment or isotope incorporation rate was evaluated for each peptide by comparing the intensity (in counts) of the peak corresponding to the fully ¹⁵N labelled (U-¹⁵N) peptide with other peaks corresponding to partially ¹⁵N labelled peptides. For practicality, we considered a ¹³C natural abundance of 1.1% and neglected hydrogen and oxygen isotopic distributions in our calculations. Furthermore, only peaks corresponding to peptide with 1 ¹⁴N isotope were considered in our calculation. The proportion of U-¹⁵N peptide was then obtained after comparing the intensity of the peak corresponding to the (U-¹⁵N & U-¹²C) peptide with the peak corresponding to the [(U-1)-¹⁵N & U-¹²C] peptide. Protein isotopic enrichment was evaluated with the exponential trend given by the proportion of the U-¹⁵N version of each peptide considering its nitrogen content.

237

2.5. Food matrices preparation

Three blank food matrices – thus, not contaminated with the considered allergenic ingredients – were prepared to assess the variability due to the food sample used in our study. These blank matrices were baked cookies, chocolate, and freeze-dried cookie dough.

241 Cookie dough was produced in batches of 3 kg by mixing (Kenwood Major Titanium, Stainless Steel 242 Dough Hook, 15 min, max speed) the following ingredients purchased from a local supermarket in the 243 respective weight proportions as follows: wheat flour (Carrefour type 55)/water (Milli-Q)/olive oil (Bertoli 244 Classico)/salt (sodium chloride ACS, ≥ 99%, Thermo Scientific[™])/baking powder (Dr. Oetker 245 Baking)/Sugar (Grand Pont Crystal Sugar): 57%/18%/10%/0.2%/0.8%/14%. The dough was 246 subsequently rolled out to a thickness of 0.5 mm, and cookies with a diameter of 8 cm were pressed out 247 of the dough (weight = 25 ± 2 g). Cookies were baked for 25 min with the following program: 1–10 min: 248 180 °C heat from above and 180 °C heat from below; 11–25 min: 180 °C heat from above and 160 °C 249 heat from below. This was done to ensure that the warming of the baking plate would not result in uneven 250 cookie baking. Cookies were left at ambient temperatures to cool down, and subsequently milled and 251 sieved (Retsch® ZM 200 ultra-centrifugal mill [Retsch GmbH, Haan, Germany] with a 0.75 mm pore 252 size sieve, 14000 rpm). Cookie powder was stored at 4 °C in the dark until further use.

Cookie dough was produced as described above, rolled out to a thickness of 1 cm, stored at –20 °C, and subsequently freeze-dried. Freeze-dried cookie dough was then milled and sieved (Retch® ZM 200 ultra-centrifugal mill with a 0.75 mm pore size sieve, 14000 rpm). The freeze-dried cookie dough powder was stored at 4 °C in the dark until further use.

257 Chocolate was made by warming chocolate walsenpowder (90%; Callebaut, Belgium) and cacao 258 butter (10%, Callebaut, Belgium) in a water bath at 40 °C (maximum temperature). The mixture was 259 stirred for 15 min, after which 2% ammonium phosphatide (kindly provided by Palsgaard, Julesminde, 260 Denmark) was added. This mixture was again stirred for 15 min and subsequently poured into chocolate molds, resulting in chocolate chips of around 5 g each. The chocolate was left to cool down and solidify
at 4 °C for 2 h, and the chocolate chips were packed under vacuum and stored at 4 °C in the dark until
further use.

264

2.6. Sample preparation for UHPLC-MS/MS analysis

265 Two series of samples were prepared and analyzed to be able to cover the three internal standards. 266 Concatemer and β-lactoglobulin were isotopically labelled with the same strategy (¹⁵N uniform labelling) 267 and share common tryptic peptides, which cannot be distinguished after enzymatic digestion. Two series 268 of samples were prepared. Labelled peptides and β-lactoglobulin were spiked in the first series (only 269 one shared peptide LSFNPTQLEEQCHI) and labelled concatemer in the second one. For each series, 270 the three blank matrices (baked cookie, chocolate, and lyophilized unbaked cookie dough) were spiked, 271 before extraction, with the appropriate internal standard and with increasing amounts of a standard 272 extract of the four allergens (milk, egg, peanut, and hazelnut). These allergen amounts corresponded to 273 0, 2.5, 5, 10, 25 and 50 ppm level points expressed in total allergen protein per matrix kg. For each 274 series, each blank matrix and each point of the allergen curve, three biological sample replicates were 275 prepared and analyzed. Stock solutions containing the four allergen standards at 20 mg/mL were 276 prepared using a similar extraction protocol as that used for the samples (extraction, sonication, and 277 centrifugation; see below). These stock solutions were then combined and diluted in appropriate ratios 278 to spike samples at different contamination levels with a 100 µl volume. Combination and dilution were 279 calculated based on theoretical protein content of standards assuming 100% extraction yield. Each 280 internal standard was spiked at the similar molar level (0.25 nmol) with a 100 µl volume. Then,1 mg/mL 281 considered labelled (ADIYTEQV[¹³C₅¹⁵N]GR, stock solutions of the five peptides 282 FFVAPFPEVFGK[¹³C₆¹⁵N₂], GGLEPINF[Ring-D₅]QTAADQAR, LSF[Ring-D₅]NPTQLEEQCHI, and 283 TANELNLLIL[$^{13}C_6^{15}N$]R) were combined and diluted at the appropriate concentration with 0.1% FA. 284 Concatemer and β -lactoglobulin solutions were also diluted to be spiked at 0.25 nmol level with a 100 285 µl volume. This level, converted in equivalent allergen ppm, ranged from 10 ppm for abundant proteins, such as α_{s1} -casein, to more than 300 ppm for less abundant proteins, such as vitellogenin-1. This 286 287 estimate was based on the natural abundance of each considered protein in the corresponding 288 allergenic ingredient. Allergen standards and internal standard were added to blank matrices before 289 extraction.

Samples were prepared as previously described (Planque et al., 2016). Briefly, protein from 2 g
samples was extracted in 50 mL conical tubes with 20 mL of 200 mM Tris, pH 9.2, 2 M urea by shaking

292 at 20 °C for 30 min (Agitelec, J. Toulemonde, Paris, France) prior to ultrasonic treatment at 4 °C for 15 293 min. After centrifugation (4660 x g, 10 min), 10 mL of supernatant were diluted in digestion buffer (200 294 mM ammonium bicarbonate, pH 8.2). Protein disulfide bridges were successively reduced and alkylated 295 with 45 min incubation steps at room temperature with the addition of 1 mL of 200 mM DTT and 1 mL 296 of 400 mM IAA (in the dark). Protein was then enzymatically digested with the addition of 1 mL of trypsin 297 solution (trypsin from bovine pancreas, 1 mg/mL in 50 mM acetic acid, pH 2.8) and incubation for 1 h at 298 37 °C. The digestion reaction was stopped by adding 300 µl of 20% FA to the samples, which were then 299 centrifuged (4660 x g, 5 min). Obtained peptides were then purified and concentrated using C18 SPE 300 cartridges, which were first conditioned with 18 mL of ACN followed by 18 mL of 0.1% FA before loading 301 of 20 mL of the centrifuged sample. The cartridges were washed with 18 mL of 0.1% FA and eluted in 302 15 mL conical tubes with 6 mL of 80% ACN and 0.1% FA. A volume of 30 µl of DMSO was added to the 303 sample before evaporation (40 °C under nitrogen flow) to avoid dryness. The pellet was finally dissolved 304 in 600 µl of 5% ACN with 0.1% FA and centrifuged twice (4660 x g, 5 min in conical tube and 20 000 x 305 g, 5 min in 1.5 mL microtube, keeping the supernatant) before UHPLC-MS/MS analysis.

306

2.7. UHPLC-MS/MS analysis and data analysis

307 The peptides were separated by reverse-phase chromatography on-line connected to a triple 308 quadrupole mass spectrometer. The following 26 min solvent gradient (solvent A, 0.1% FA and solvent 309 B, ACN and 0.1% FA) was applied to the 20 µl injected sample volume: 0-3 min: 92% solvent A; 3-18 310 min: linear gradient from 92% to 58% solvent A; 18-22.5 min: 15% solvent A; and 22.5-26 min: 92% 311 solvent A, always at constant 0.2 mL/min flow rate. Eluted peptides were ionized using the positive 312 electrospray source and analyzed in MRM mode. The source gas flow was set at 50 L/h and the source 313 voltage at 2.5 kV for the capillary and 30 V for the cone. The source temperature was set at 150 °C and 314 the desolvation temperature at 400 °C with a gas flow at 1200 L/h. Targeted transitions are summarized 315 in Table 1. For each peptide, three transitions were analyzed, as well as the corresponding transitions 316 for the related isotopically labelled internal standard(s) (peptides, concatemer, and β -lactoglobulin). The 317 transitions were selected beforehand using criteria that included the MS signal intensity and the absence 318 of interference for the three considered matrices. The MS/MS acquisition method was generated using 319 the open source Skyline software (MacLean et al., 2010). The most intense transition was used for 320 internal standard comparison calculation and the two others as confirmatory transitions. Internal 321 standards were compared using the peak area ratio (for the most intense transition) between the peptide

- 322 from the allergenic ingredient and its corresponding isotopically labelled version from the internal
- 323 standard.

324 3. Results and discussion

325

3.1. Choice of the isotope labelling strategy

326 Stable isotope internal standard labelling and associated isotopic enrichment are key elements in 327 the design of quantitative mass spectrometry-based methods. The isotopic enrichment and mass shift 328 combination has to be sufficient to avoid any potential risk of false positive introduction. The resolution 329 of quadrupole analyzers is typically around 1 atomic mass unit (Georgiou & Danezis, 2015). Taking into 330 account that most of the peptide ion precursors carry multiple charges and that peptides contain tens of 331 carbons, which lead to widespread isotopic distribution (see Fig. 1), the mass shift introduced by the 332 stable isotopes has to be sufficient to be able to totally distinguish the natural analyte from its internal 333 standard. Considering these aspects, a mass shift of $m/z \ge 3$ is necessary. Furthermore, attention has 334 to be paid to the actual isotope enrichment. Depending on the labelling strategy, an insufficient isotope 335 enrichment may lead to the introduction of the unlabeled form of the internal standard, thus 336 corresponding to the natural analyte itself and contaminating the quantitative analysis.

337 Several strategies have been developed to produce isotopically labelled proteins, including selective 338 labelling using auxotrophic E. coli strains and growth medium supplemented with isotopically labelled 339 amino acids (Mondal, Shet, Prasanna, & Atreya, 2013) or post-translational protein deuteration (Galan 340 et al., 2018). In this study, we decided to use a rich bacterial cell growth medium specifically designed 341 for ¹⁵N labeling protein using *E. coli* as a host cell for recombinant protein expression. This original 342 medium is an algal hydrolysate that contains the same level of amino acids as LB medium. This strategy 343 allowed for stable and protein sequence independent labelling (as each amino acid contains at least 344 one nitrogen) with a high isotopic enrichment. As one of the peptide biomarkers selection criteria 345 concerned the actual peptide length (peptides should have at least 8 amino acids), $m/z \ge 3$ mass shift 346 precaution is respected for triply charged precursor. Indeed, selected peptide biomarkers are tryptic 347 peptides, with a lysine or an arginine in C-terminal position, holding two and four nitrogen atoms, 348 respectively.

349

3.2. Characterization of ¹⁵N isotopically labelled proteins

The isotopic enrichment in the concatemer and β -lactoglobulin was evaluated following analysis of their constitutive tryptic peptides by UHPLC-HRMS. The proportion of the fully ¹⁵N labelled version of each tryptic peptide was estimated by comparing the intensities of the monoisotopic peak (U-¹⁵N & U-¹²C) and those of its isotope containing one ¹⁴N isotope ([U-1]-¹⁵N & U-¹²C). As shown in Fig. 1, the 354 intensities of the peaks from peptides with more than one ¹⁴N isotope were found to be negligible 355 (relative peak intensity <1% compared to the [U-15N & U-12C] peak). Given the resolution of the MS 356 system (40000), carbon and nitrogen isotopes could not be distinguished. As a result, the monoisotopic 357 peak (U-15N & U-12C) was combined with the peak corresponding to the peptide with one 14N and one 358 ¹³C isotope ([U-1]-¹⁵N & ¹³C₁). The proportion of fully ¹⁵N labelled peptide was evaluated by comparing 359 (U-15N & U-12C) and ([U-1]-15N & U-12C) peak intensities. The part of the peak intensity corresponding to the (U-15N & U-12C) isotope therefore had to first be discriminated from the combined (U-15N & U-12C) 360 and ([U-1]-¹⁵N & ¹³C₁) isotopes' peak intensity. Since isotopes with more than one ¹⁴N were found to be 361 negligible, we assumed that the ([U-1]-¹⁵N & U-¹²C) isotope peak would only correspond to this 362 363 combination of isotopes. The peak intensity of the ([U-1]-¹⁵N & ¹³C₁ isotope could therefore be predicted from the ([U-1]-¹⁵N & U-¹²C) isotope peak intensity assuming a 1.1% natural abundance of ¹³C isotopes 364 365 and knowing the number of carbon atoms in the peptide. With this prediction, the (U-15N & U-12C) isotope 366 peak intensity could be deduced from the combined isotopes' peak intensity.

367 The proportion of fully ¹⁵N labelled peptide was evaluated for all the 19 concatenated tryptic peptides 368 of the concatemer and for all identified tryptic peptides from β -lactoglobulin. As shown in Fig. 2, the 369 relation between the labelling proportion and the number of nitrogen atoms in the peptides follows an 370 exponential decay. The associated exponential decay constant corresponds to the natural logarithm of 371 the isotopic enrichment. Indeed, for a given isotopic enrichment (φ), the proportion of fully ¹⁵N labelled peptide with *n* nitrogens is given by φ^n , which can be transformed into $e^{\ln(\varphi)*n}$. Isotopic enrichment is 372 373 deduced from this mathematical transformation by equating $\ln(\varphi)$ to experimentally obtained 374 exponential arguments (-0.00446 for the concatemer and -0.00411 for β -lactoglobulin). These results 375 give an isotopic enrichment of 99.5% for the concatemer and 99.6% for β-lactoglobulin, and are in 376 agreement with the >98% isotopic enrichment of the growth medium.

377 By using a method for efficient isotopic labelling of recombinant protein, we demonstrated that the 378 purified ¹⁵N isotopically labelled concatemer and β-lactoglobulin internal standards fulfilled the required 379 criteria regarding isotopic enrichment and the introduced mass shift. With this ¹⁵N uniform labelling 380 strategy, the introduced mass shift was sufficient to distinguish the internal standard from the natural 381 analyte using the quadrupole analyzer. The lowest mass shift corresponded to the double charged 382 FYTVISSLK peptide (from egg white ovotransferrin), one of the 19 concatenated peptides, which 383 contained 10 nitrogen atoms and an associated mass shift of a m/z of 5. Such a mass shift and obtained 384 isotopic enrichment combination prevented the risk of false positive introduction.

385 **3.3. Comparison of isotopically labelled internal standards**

386 Performance of the three types of isotopically labelled internal standards (peptides, concatemer, 387 and protein) were evaluated following analysis of three food matrices (baked cookie [cookie], chocolate, 388 and lyophilized unbaked cookie dough [dough]). In theory, a perfect internal standard would have the 389 same exact behavior as its corresponding analyte during sample preparation and analysis. Hence, any 390 analyte loss or matrix effect (during sample preparation or UHPLC-MS/MS analysis) which affects the 391 analyte should equally affect the internal standard. Consequently, for a given natural analyte 392 concentration and internal standard spike level, the signal ratio between a natural analyte and the 393 internal standard would remain constant, independent of analyte losses and matrix effects. The three 394 internal standards considered in this study were compared based on this correlation.

395 Similar matrix-matched calibration curves were prepared for the three matrices. These curves 396 included a blank and five allergen concentrations ranging from 2.5 to 50 ppm (expressed in mg total 397 allergen protein per kg of matrix), with each sample prepared in triplicate. For each combination of matrix 398 and allergen contamination level, the appropriate internal standard(s) (isotopically labelled peptides and 399 U-15N β-lactoglobulin for the first sample series, and U-15N concatemer for the second one) was spiked 400 at the same concentration. Results are presented separately for each targeted peptide and its 401 corresponding internal standard (five synthetic peptides, 19 allergenic tryptic peptides from U-¹⁵N 402 concatemer digestion, and four tryptic peptides from U-¹⁵N β-lactoglobulin digestion). Representative 403 peptides of each internal standard are shown in Fig. 3, and complete results are shown in 404 Supplementary data 4. Performance of the different internal standards were evaluated by comparing 405 the peak area ratio for the most intense transition (highlighted in Table 1) between the analyte and its 406 corresponding internal standard for the three considered matrices. As shown, for a given analyte and 407 internal standard concentration, the signal ratio remained constant when the internal standard was 408 effective. The overlay of the generated linear regression lines was therefore used to evaluate internal 409 standards performance. Overlapping regression lines indicated, for each allergen contamination level, 410 a constant peak area ratio among matrices and thus, an effective internal standard, compensating for 411 matrix effects. In addition to visual evaluation, overlapping regression lines were evaluated using the 412 coefficient of variation (CV) between the slopes of the linear regression lines.

413 Overall, the best results were obtained for the isotopically labelled protein, U-¹⁵N β -lactoglobulin. 414 Assuming that recombinant protein folding was similar to the native protein and that the introduced N-415 terminal mutations had no significant impact, as previously demonstrated (Loch et al., 2016), this 416 approach seemed to be the one best suited one for quantifying allergen proteins. Aside from their mass 417 (given the mass shift introduced by isotope labelling), both the analyte protein and the internal standard 418 protein must have had the same properties. This was confirmed by the analysis of four constitutive 419 tryptic peptides from β -lactoglobulin. Regression lines overlapped with all CV values below 15%. This 420 confirmed that the internal standard had efficiently balanced matrix effects during sample preparation 421 and UHPLC-MS/MS analysis, further supported by the fact that the analyte absolute peak area varied 422 by a factor of up to 10 among the three considered matrices, depending on the peptide (data not shown) 423 while the analyte/internal standard peak area ratio remained constant. However, the labelled protein 424 was spiked into the different samples after food processing, which is known to impact peptide 425 detectability and quantification (Korte et al., 2019; Parker et al., 2015). Peptide biomarker selection is 426 therefore a crucial preliminary step in the development of a quantitative method, and selected peptides 427 have to be robust to the food process.

The results obtained with isotopically labelled peptides and the concatemer were less 428 429 straightforward to interpret. For some targeted peptides, such as LSFNPTQLEEQCHI with labelled 430 peptides, or TNDNAQISPLAGR with the U-15N concatemer, the internal standard efficiently 431 compensated for matrix effects with observed CV values below 15%. However, for some other targeted 432 peptides, such as GGLEPINFQTAADQAR with both U-15N concatemer and labelled peptides, the 433 analyte and internal standard signal ratio was highly matrix-dependent. In these cases, internal 434 standards did not correctly balance matrix effects, potentially leading to biased allergen quantification. 435 These results are consistent with those reported by Plangue and co-workers (Plangue et al., 2019). No 436 significant difference was observed for the three peptides which were common to synthetic peptides and 437 concatemer used as internal standards.

438 Isotopically labelled peptides are not subject to one of the crucial steps during sample preparation, 439 this being the proteolytic digestion with trypsin. The composition of the food matrix directly impairs the 440 efficiency of enzymatic digestion at least in two different ways. First, different matrices have different 441 protein concentrations, directly affecting the protein/enzyme ratio. Labelled peptides do not balance for 442 this aspect. Second, some other sample components, such as polyphenols and tannins, may also affect 443 the efficiency of trypsin digestion (Gonçalves, Mateus, Pianet, Laguerre, & De Freitas, 2011), which might help to explain why the chocolate matrix gave lower signals for most of targeted peptides. Contrary 444 445 to the labelled peptides, the U-15N concatemer needed to be digested by trypsin to yield peptides that 446 could be detected upon UHPLC-MS/MS analysis. Therefore, factors such as the sample protein content or the presence of tannins should be balanced when using such an internal standard. However, our results indicated that the performance of the concatemer was peptide-dependent. For some peptides, such as TNDNAQISPLAGR from hazelnut Cor a 9 allergen and FFVAPFPEVFGK from milk α_{S1} -casein, matrix effects were efficiently balanced with linear regression lines CV below 15% between the matrices. However, for other peptides, such as NVNFDGEILK from egg vitellogenin-1 and TPEVDDEALEK from milk β-lactoglobulin, the associated CVs were much higher (>30%).

453 Matrix effects can also affect analytes by other means. Robustness to food processing was one of 454 the criteria for peptide biomarkers selection (Gavage et al., 2019, 2020; Van Vlierberghe et al., 2020) 455 and can therefore be excluded. Variation in protein extraction can also be excluded as, for all selected 456 proteins, multiple peptides were included in the U-¹⁵N concatemer, and no general trend of the matrix 457 effect was observed for all the peptides of a given protein. Indeed, if protein extraction of the analyte 458 and/or the internal standard was affected by the matrix, all peptides from a given protein should be 459 equally impacted, which was not observed.

460 Proteolytic digestion of extracted proteins is a key step in sample preparation and could be a source 461 of the observed variability. Even if the concatemer internal standard needs to be digested to release its 462 constitutive peptides, multiple factors could influence the digestion kinetics. For instance, amino acids 463 surrounding trypsin recognition sites are known to influence the efficiency of peptide bond hydrolysis 464 (Siepen, Keevil, Knight, & Hubbard, 2007). Cleavage sites are described using the nomenclature 465 formulated by Schechter and Berger (Schechter & Berger, 1967), as P4-P3-P2-P1-P1'-P2'-P3', in which 466 cleavage of the peptide bond occurs between P1 and P1'. Arginine, lysine, and proline in position P1' 467 have, for instance, a negative effect on the digestion efficiency. The acidic amino acids aspartate and 468 glutamate also negatively influence digestion when they are present near the cleavage site. These 469 aspects were taken into account during peptide biomarkers selection, and sequences known to 470 negatively affect trypsin digestion were rejected. However, peptide biomarkers were synthetically 471 stitched together in the concatemer. Considering a given peptide in the concatemer, its cleavage site is surrounded at the N-terminal side (P4 to P1) by amino acids from this peptide but also by amino acids 472 473 from its neighboring peptide at the C-terminal side (P1' to P3'). Consequently, at a local scale, enzymatic 474 digestion of the concatemer only partially reflects digestion of the natural proteins. This difference 475 between natural analytes and concatemers might lead to differences in enzymatic digestion kinetics and 476 could have been a source of the observed variations. A relatively simple solution to overcome this would 477 be the introduction of amino acids between each targeted peptide of the concatemer. Such introduced

amino acids could be the flanking amino acids in the corresponding natural protein sequence, a solution
known as a peptide-concatenated standard (PCS) (Kito, Ota, Fujita, & Ito, 2007). However, amino acids
surrounding the cleavage site in the three-dimensional structure of the protein might also affect trypsin
digestion. Hence, cleavage sites surrounded by acidic amino acids, characterized by a greater average
exposed area, are more subject to missed-cleavages.

483 Besides flanking amino acids, structural parameters also interfere with enzymatic digestion of a 484 protein. According to the work of Hamady and co-workers (Hamady, Cheung, Tufo, & Knight, 2005), 485 secondary protein structures affect trypsin digestion efficiency. Cleavage sites within unstructured 486 domains are more prone to be cleaved incorrectly, whereas cleavage sites in alpha-helices are more 487 favorable. The structures of proteins targeted by the UHPLC-MS/MS method, when available, were analyzed to define whether observed variability among peptides could be linked to findings of Hamady 488 489 and co-workers or not (Hamady et al., 2005). No general trend emerged from our data, limited to the 19 490 concatenated peptides. However, three-dimensional and structural aspects could be included in a future 491 peptide biomarker selection, in addition to all other criteria already considered in this study.

492 **4. Conclusions**

493 Mass spectrometry-based detection and quantification of food allergens in processed food products 494 remains challenging. Currently, no threshold values for allergen trace-level contamination have been 495 established in European legislation, but these are highly expected by all stakeholders involved in the 496 food chain, from producers to control laboratories, and will require quantitative analysis methods. 497 Quantitative methods based on stable dilution techniques need isotopically labelled internal standards. 498 Here, we presented and compared the performances of three different types of isotopically labelled 499 internal standards for allergen analysis in processed food products: synthetic peptides, concatemer, and 500 protein. These internal standards were compared through the analysis of three matrix-matched 501 calibration curves (cookie, chocolate, and unbaked lyophilized cookie dough) for four targeted allergens 502 (egg, milk, peanut, and hazelnut). An effective internal standard needs to behave similar to the natural 503 analyte and is therefore identically impacted by matrix effects during sample preparation and UHPLC-504 MS/MS analysis. As expected from a theoretical point of view, the isotopically labelled protein that was 505 used as an internal standard gave the best results. A constant signal ratio between the analyte and the 506 internal standard peak areas was observed in all matrices tested for the four tryptic peptides generated 507 from the studied protein. However, we need to emphasize that these results only come from one 508 investigated protein, β -lactoglobulin from milk.

509 Results from our studies using peptides and the concatemer were more equivocal and seemed to 510 be peptide-dependent. For some synthetic peptides or some tryptic peptides from the concatemer, 511 matrix effects during sample preparation and UHPLC-MS/MS analysis could be efficiently countered by 512 the applied internal standards, whereas for other peptides, significant matrix effects were observed. 513 However, the non-inferiority of the results obtained for the tryptic peptides from the concatemer was 514 established, when compared to synthetic peptides. Moreover, the addition of any synthetic peptide in a 515 method represent an additional cost, limiting therefore the number of targeted peptides for routine 516 laboratories. The concatemer production costs are relatively independent of the number of concatenated 517 tryptic peptides. From a rough estimate of ten peptides, the use of a concatemer as internal standard is 518 financially advantageous and supersedes synthetic peptides.

519 Even though isotopically labelled synthetic peptides are currently the most commonly used internal 520 standard for allergen analysis, they do not exactly reflect the natural situation as they do not need to be 521 subjected to proteolytic digestion, while part of the variability observed in our study could have come 522 from proteolytic digestion. Concatemers clearly need to be digested to release their constituting 523 peptides. However, our data seem to indicate that the digestion of the concatemer could be improved 524 to more efficiently represent analyte protein digestion. In this respect, introducing flanking amino acids 525 between each individual peptide (i.e. the PCS strategy) could be a future asset. Moreover, for our 526 concatemer construct, peptide biomarker selection was mainly focused on robustness to food 527 processing and local sequences, but additional criteria, such as protein structure and the local digestion 528 site environment, could be included in the peptide selection process. Such possible future improvements 529 strongly suggest that isotopically labelled concatemers could represent relevant internal standards, as 530 they overcome limitations of the use of synthetic peptides, while combining advantages of the use of 531 labelled proteins and, further, allowing for multiple allergen quantification by mass spectrometry.

532 Acknowledgments

The research that yielded these results was funded by the Belgian Federal Public Service of Health, Food Chain Safety and Environment through the contract RT 15/10 ALLERSENS. The authors also want to gratefully acknowledge the contributions of the University of Namur Mass Spectrometry platform (MaSUN).

538 5. Bibliography

- Ahsan, N., Rao, R. S. P., Gruppuso, P. A., Ramratnam, B., & Salomon, A. R. (2016). Targeted
- proteomics: Current status and future perspectives for quantification of food allergens. *Journal of Proteomics*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jprot.2016.04.018
- Allen, K. J., Remington, B. C., Baumert, J. L., Crevel, R. W. R., Houben, G. F., Brooke-Taylor, S., ...
- 543 Taylor, S. L. (2014). Allergen reference doses for precautionary labeling (VITAL 2.0): Clinical
- 544 implications. Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology.
- 545 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci.2013.06.042
- 546 Boo, C. C., Parker, C. H., & Jackson, L. S. (2018). A targeted LC-MS/MS method for the simultaneous
- 547 detection and quantitation of egg, milk, and peanut allergens in sugar cookies. *Journal of AOAC*548 *International.* https://doi.org/10.5740/jaoacint.17-0400
- 549 Boyce, J. A., Assa'ad, A., Burks, A. W., Jones, S. M., Sampson, H. A., Wood, R. A., ... Schwaninger,
- 550 J. M. (2011). Guidelines for the Diagnosis and Management of Food Allergy in the United States:
- Summary of the NIAID-Sponsored Expert Panel Report. *Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaad.2010.11.020
- 553 Chambers, S. P., Austen, D. A., Fulghum, J. R., & Kim, W. M. (2004). High-throughput screening for
- soluble recombinant expressed kinases in Escherichia coli and insect cells. *Protein Expression and Purification*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pep.2004.03.003
- 556 Galan, S. R. G., Wickens, J. R., Dadova, J., Ng, W. L., Zhang, X., Simion, R. A., ... Davis, B. G.
- 557 (2018). Post-translational site-selective protein backbone α-deuteration. *Nature Chemical* 558 *Biology*. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41589-018-0128-y
- 559 Gavage, M., Van Vlierberghe, K., Van Poucke, C., De Loose, M., Gevaert, K., Dieu, M., ... Gillard, N.
- 560 (2019). Selection of egg peptide biomarkers in processed food products by high resolution mass
- 561 spectrometry. *Journal of Chromatography A*, 1584, 115–125.
- 562 https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CHROMA.2018.11.036
- 563 Gavage, M., Van Vlierberghe, K., Van Poucke, C., De Loose, M., Gevaert, K., Dieu, M., ... Gillard, N.
- 564 (2020). High-resolution mass spectrometry-based selection of peanut peptide biomarkers
- 565 considering food processing and market type variation. *Food Chemistry*.
- 566 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2019.125428
- 567 Georgiou, C. A., & Danezis, G. P. (2015). Elemental and Isotopic Mass Spectrometry. Comprehensive
- 568 *Analytical Chemistry*, 68, 131–243. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-63340-8.00003-0

- 569 Gonçalves, R., Mateus, N., Pianet, I., Laguerre, M., & De Freitas, V. (2011). Mechanisms of tannin-
- 570 induced trypsin inhibition: A molecular approach. *Langmuir*. https://doi.org/10.1021/la202280c
- 571 Gorochowski, T. E., Ignatova, Z., Bovenberg, R. A. L., & Roubos, J. A. (2015). Trade-offs between
- 572 tRNA abundance and mRNA secondary structure support smoothing of translation elongation

573 rate. *Nucleic Acids Research*. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkv199

- Hamady, M., Cheung, T. H. T., Tufo, H., & Knight, R. (2005). Does protein structure influence trypsin
- 575 miscleavage? *IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Magazine*, 24(3), 58–66.
- 576 https://doi.org/10.1109/MEMB.2005.1436461
- 577 Henrottin, J., Planque, M., Huet, A.-C., Marega, R., Lamote, A., & Gillard, N. (2019). Gluten analysis in
- 578 processed foodstuffs by a multi-allergens and grain-specific UHPLC-MS/MS method: One
- 579 method to detect them all. Journal of AOAC International. https://doi.org/10.5740/jaoacint.19-
- 580 0057
- Kito, K., Ota, K., Fujita, T., & Ito, T. (2007). A synthetic protein approach toward accurate mass
 spectrometric quantification of component stoichiometry of multiprotein complexes. *Journal of Proteome Research*. https://doi.org/10.1021/pr060447s
- 584 Korte, R., Oberleitner, D., & Brockmeyer, J. (2019). Determination of food allergens by LC-MS:
- 585 Impacts of sample preparation, food matrix, and thermal processing on peptide detectability and 586 guantification. *Journal of Proteomics*, *196*, 131–140.
- 587 https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JPROT.2018.11.002
- Loch, J. I., Bonarek, P., Tworzydło, M., Polit, A., Hawro, B., Łach, A., ... Lewiński, K. (2016).
- 589 Engineered β-Lactoglobulin Produced in E. coli: Purification, Biophysical and Structural
- 590 Characterisation. *Molecular Biotechnology*. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12033-016-9960-z
- 591 MacLean, B., Tomazela, D. M., Shulman, N., Chambers, M., Finney, G. L., Frewen, B., ... MacCoss,
- 592 M. J. (2010). Skyline: An open source document editor for creating and analyzing targeted
- 593 proteomics experiments. *Bioinformatics*. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btq054
- 594 Monaci, L., Losito, I., De Angelis, E., Pilolli, R., & Visconti, A. (2013). Multi-allergen quantification of
- 595 fining-related egg and milk proteins in white wines by high-resolution mass spectrometry. *Rapid*
- 596 Communications in Mass Spectrometry, 27(17), 2009–2018. https://doi.org/10.1002/rcm.6662
- Mondal, S., Shet, D., Prasanna, C., & Atreya, H. S. (2013). High yield expression of proteins in E. coli
 for NMR studies. *Advances in Bioscience and Biotechnology*.
- 599 https://doi.org/10.4236/abb.2013.46099

- 600 Newsome, G. A., & Scholl, P. F. (2013). Quantification of allergenic bovine milk αs1-casein in baked
- goods using an intact 15N-labeled protein internal standard. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*. https://doi.org/10.1021/jf3015238
- Nitride, C., Nørgaard, J., Omar, J., Emons, H., Esteso, M. J. M., & O'Connor, G. (2019). An
- assessment of the impact of extraction and digestion protocols on multiplexed targeted protein
- 605 quantification by mass spectrometry for egg and milk allergens. *Analytical and Bioanalytical*
- 606 Chemistry. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216-019-01816-z
- 607 Parker, C. H., Khuda, S. E., Pereira, M., Ross, M. M., Fu, T. J., Fan, X., ... Jackson, L. S. (2015).
- 608 Multi-allergen Quantitation and the Impact of Thermal Treatment in Industry-Processed Baked
- 609 Goods by ELISA and Liquid Chromatography-Tandem Mass Spectrometry. Journal of
- 610 Agricultural and Food Chemistry. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.5b04287
- 611 Planque, Arnould, Delahaut, Renard, Dieu, & Gillard. (2019). Development of a strategy for the
- 612 quantification of food allergens in several food products by mass spectrometry in a routine
- 613 laboratory. Food Chemistry. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2018.08.095
- Planque, Arnould, & Gillard. (2017). Food Allergen Analysis: Detection, Quantification and Validation
 by Mass Spectrometry. In *Allergen*. https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.69361
- Planque, M., Arnould, T., Dieu, M., Delahaut, P., Renard, P., & Gillard, N. (2016). Advances in ultra-
- 617 high performance liquid chromatography coupled to tandem mass spectrometry for sensitive
- 618 detection of several food allergens in complex and processed foodstuffs. Journal of
- 619 *Chromatography A*, *1464*, 115–123. https://doi.org/http://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2016.08.033
- 620 Pratt, J. M., Simpson, D. M., Doherty, M. K., Rivers, J., Gaskell, S. J., & Beynon, R. J. (2006).
- 621 Multiplexed absolute quantification for proteomics using concatenated signature peptides
- 622 encoded by QconCAT genes. *Nature Protocols*. https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2006.129
- 623 Schechter, I., & Berger, A. (1967). On the size of the active site in proteases. I. Papain. *Biochemical*
- and Biophysical Research Communications, 27(2), 157–162. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-
- 625 291X(67)80055-X
- 626 Sicherer, S. H., & Sampson, H. A. (2014). Food allergy: Epidemiology, pathogenesis, diagnosis, and
- treatment. Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci.2013.11.020
- Siepen, J. A., Keevil, E. J., Knight, D., & Hubbard, S. J. (2007). Prediction of missed cleavage sites in
- 629 tryptic peptides aids protein identification in proteomics. Journal of Proteome Research, 6(1),
- 630 399–408. https://doi.org/10.1021/pr060507u

631	Van Vlierberghe, K., Gavage, M., Dieu, M., Renard, P., Arnould, T., Gillard, N., Van Poucke, C.
632	(2020). Selection of universal peptide biomarkers for the detection of the allergen hazelnut in
633	food trough a comprehensive, high resolution mass spectrometric (HRMS) based approach.
634	Food Chemistry, 125679. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.FOODCHEM.2019.125679
635	