



# Constitutional morphological features and risk of hip osteoarthritis: a case control study using standard radiographs

Journal:	Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases
Manuscript ID	annrheumdis-2020-218739.R1
Article Type:	Extended report
Date Submitted by the Author:	06-Nov-2020
Complete List of Authors:	Abdulrahim, Hunar; University of Nottingham, Academic Rheumatology Jiao, Qiang; Second Hospital of Shanxi Medical University, Orthopaedic Department Swain, Subhashisa; University of Nottingham, Academic Rheumatology Sehat, Khosrow; Nottingham University Hospitals NHS Trust, Orthopaedic Department Sarmanova, Aliya; University of Bristol, Bristol Medical School, Musculoskeletal research unit Muir, Kenneth; The University of Manchester, Division of Population Health, Health Services Research and Primary Care Zhang, Weiya; University of Nottingham, Academic Rheumatology Doherty, Michael; University of Nottingham, Academic Rheumatology
Keywords:	Osteoarthritis, Arthritis, Epidemiology

SCHOLARONE<sup>™</sup> Manuscripts



I, the Submitting Author has the right to grant and does grant on behalf of all authors of the Work (as defined in the below author licence), an exclusive licence and/or a non-exclusive licence for contributions from authors who are: i) UK Crown employees; ii) where BMJ has agreed a CC-BY licence shall apply, and/or iii) in accordance with the terms applicable for US Federal Government officers or employees acting as part of their official duties; on a worldwide, perpetual, irrevocable, royalty-free basis to BMJ Publishing Group Ltd ("BMJ") its licensees and where the relevant Journal is co-owned by BMJ to the co-owners of the Journal, to publish the Work in this journal and any other BMJ products and to exploit all rights, as set out in our <u>licence</u>.

The Submitting Author accepts and understands that any supply made under these terms is made by BMJ to the Submitting Author unless you are acting as an employee on behalf of your employer or a postgraduate student of an affiliated institution which is paying any applicable article publishing charge ("APC") for Open Access articles. Where the Submitting Author wishes to make the Work available on an Open Access basis (and intends to pay the relevant APC), the terms of reuse of such Open Access shall be governed by a Creative Commons licence – details of these licences and which <u>Creative Commons</u> licence will apply to this Work are set out in our licence referred to above.

Other than as permitted in any relevant BMJ Author's Self Archiving Policies, I confirm this Work has not been accepted for publication elsewhere, is not being considered for publication elsewhere and does not duplicate material already published. I confirm all authors consent to publication of this Work and authorise the granting of this licence.

for Review Only

Title: Constitutional morphological features and risk of hip osteoarthritis: a case control study using standard radiographs

Hunar Abdulrahim,1\* Qiang Jiao,2\* Subhashisa Swain,1 Khosrow Sehat,3 Aliya

Sarmanova,<sup>4</sup> Kenneth Muir,<sup>5</sup> Weiya Zhang,<sup>1#</sup> Michael Doherty<sup>1</sup>

1. Academic Rheumatology, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, UK

2. Orthopaedic Department, Second Hospital of Shanxi Medical University, Taiyuan, Shanxi, China

3. Orthopaedic Department, Nottingham University Hospital NHS Trust, Nottingham, UK

4. Musculoskeletal research unit, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK

5. Division of Population Health, Health Services Research and Primary Care,

University of Manchester, Manchester, UK

\*joint-lead authors

#Correspondence to:

Weiya Zhang

Academic Rheumatology, Clinical Sciences Building

City Hospital

Nottingham NG5 1PB, UK

Tel: +44 115 8231756

# Weiya.Zhang@nottingham.ac.uk

	Word
Abstract	242245
Introduction	<del>505<u>487</u></del>
Methods	<del>728</del> 7 <u>23</u>
Results	<del>586<u>551</u></del>
Discussion	<del>1086<u>1229</u></del>
Total	<del>2905</del> 2990

# Key messages

## What is already known about this subject?

Several constitutional variants of hip joint shape associate with increased risk of hip osteoarthritis (OA). However, whether these relate to each other, and the overall contribution of morphological variants to risk of hip OA are unknown.

## What does this study add?

Fourteen morphological features of the hip and pelvis, ten of which had not been studied adequately before, were shown to independently associate with hip OA after adjusting for age, gender and body mass index (BMI). The strongest association was with more vertical wide sourcil angle (SA). Three clusters of features were identified, and the proportional risk contribution (PRC) to hip OA was 35% for the combined variants, compared to 21% for other recognised risk factors combined.

# How might this impact on clinical practice or future developments?

Although prospective studies are required to <u>confirm causalityprovide further</u> <u>support for causality</u>, morphological variation is a strong risk factor for hip OA and may partially explain its heritability. SA measured on standard radiographs may be used as a single surrogate marker to assess morphological risk of hip OA.

https://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/ard

# ABSTRACT

**Objectives** To evaluate the risk of association with hip osteoarthritis (OA) of 14 morphological features measured on standard antero-posterior pelvis radiographs. **Methods** A case-control study of 566 symptomatic unilateral hip OA cases and 1108 controls without hip OA, using the Genetics of Osteoarthritis and Lifestyle (GOAL) database. Unaffected hips of cases were assumed to reflect pre-OA morphology of the contralateral affected hip. Odds ratios (ORs) with 95% confidence interval (CI) adjusted for confounding factors were calculated using logistic regression. Hierarchical clustering on principal component (HCPC) method was used to identify clusters of morphological features. Proportional risk contribution (PRC) of these morphological features in the context of other risk factors of hip OA was estimated using receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis.

**Results** All morphological features showed right-left symmetry in controls. Each feature was independently associated with hip OA after adjusting for age, gender, and body mass index (BMI). Increased sourcil angle (SA) had the strongest association (OR: 6.93, 95%CI 5.16 to 9.32). Three clusters were identified. The PRC varied between individual features, as well as between clusters. The PRC for combined morphological features <u>It</u> was 35% (95%CI 31 to 40%) for all 14 morphological features, compared to 21% (95%CI 19 to 24%) for all other well-established risk factors.

**Conclusions** Constitutional morphological variation strongly associates with hip OA development and may explain much of its heritability. Relevant morphological measures can be assessed readily on standard radiographs to help predict risk of

hip OA. Prospective studies are required to provide further support forconfirm causality.

Keywords Hip osteoarthritis; Morphology; Sourcil angle; Heritability

# INTRODUCTION

Osteoarthritis (OA) is a common complex disorder with multiple interactions between genetic, constitutional and environmental risk factors.[1] Strong genetic contribution to hip OA is supported by 60% heritability in <u>a classic twin study in</u> women with radiographic hip OA,[2] and <u>a</u> five-fold increased prevalence of radiographic hip OA in siblings of people with hip OA requiring total hip replacement.[3] Morphological variation of the hip and pelvis is also emphasised as a potentially important constitutional risk factor for hip OA.[4-9]

It is recognised that rare monogenic abnormalities of bone shape such as severe acetabular dysplasia can cause young-onset hip OA.[10] However, it is possible that more subtle variations in joint and bone morphology, resulting from multiple common gene polymorphisms, may impose biomechanical insult and partially explain genetic predisposition in common hip OA. This is supported by studies showing that mild hip dysplasia,[5] non-spherical femoral head ("pistol grip" deformity)[4, 11] and high or low neck shaft angle[4, 10] are relatively common and independently associate with increased risk of hip OA. Studies using statistical shape modelling also report associations between variations in proximal femoral shape and risk of hip OA.[12-14] It is also noteworthy that three genetic (GDF5,[15, 16] FRZB[17, 18] and MCF2L[19]) are involved in early skeletal growth and may help determine joint morphology. Furthermore, hip OA frequently occurs

without OA at other sites, [20, 21] supporting the importance of local factors in its development.

Previously we used the Genetics of OA and Lifestyle (GOAL) database to demonstrate that mild acetabular dysplasia (assessed by acetabular depth (AD), and centre edge angle (CEA)),[5] non-spherical femoral head shape (assessed by femoral head to femoral neck ratio (FHNR))[4] and both high and low neck shaft angle (NSA)[4] independently associate with hip OA. Because morphological features can be secondary to hip OA, we undertook measures of the unaffected hip of people with unilateral hip OA under the assumption that this reflects the constitutional morphology of the affected hip prior to hip OA development. This assumption was supported by right-left symmetry of the studied features in normal controls without hip OA.[4, 5] However, these and other morphological features may relate to, or interact with each other to increase risk of hip OA. In addition, the proportional risk contribution (PRC) of local morphological features in the context of overall risk of developing hip OA is unknown. The objectives of this study were to use the GOAL database to: (1) examine 10 additional morphological features of the hip and pelvis that can be measured readily on plain radiographs, for right-left symmetry and age variation; and (2) measure their risk contributions, both individually and in combination with others reported measures, and in the context of other recognised risk factors for hip OA. The new features we assessed were: femoral head diameter (FHD);[22] femoral neck length (FNL)[23] and femoral neck width (FNW);[6, 23, 24] femoral head offset (FHO);[25] femoral outer shaft diameter (OSD) and inner shaft diameter (ISD); sourcil angle (SA);[26, 27] midcentre distance (MCD); and pelvic width (PW) and pelvic height (PH).

## METHODS

#### **Cases and controls**

All participants (566 unilateral hip OA cases and 1108 non-OA controls) were selected from the Nottingham GOAL database, which was established primarily for a hospital-based case-control studies study to investigate genetic associations and gene-environmental interaction in people with knee or hip OA. <u>59% of unilateral hip OA individuals had right hip OA and 41% had left hip OA. The laterality of unaffected hips was matched in the same ratio to controls.</u> All participants were Caucasian and aged between 45 and 80 years. Details of recruitment, exclusion criteria, questionnaire, and clinical and radiographic assessments of participants have been published previously.[4, 5, 28, 29]

### Radiographic assessment of hips

A standard protocol was used to obtain antero-posterior (AP) <u>non weight-bearing</u> radiographs of the pelvis <u>with the participants supine and feet internally rotated</u> <u>10°</u>.[4] All radiographs were scored previously by a single observer for radiographic features of hip OA, which included minimum joint space width (JSW).[4, 5] Radiographic hip OA was defined as JSW  $\leq$ 2.5 mm.[30] Those participants with unilateral hip OA, that is no symptoms and normal radiographic appearance (JSW >2.5 mm and no other OA features) in the contralateral hip, were included for morphological assessment of the unaffected hip. The asymptomatic control group (all with JSW >2.5 mm and no radiographic features of OA in either hip) underwent morphological assessment of both hips. <u>These controls also had no symptoms or</u> <u>radiographic evidence (Kellgren Lawrence grade <2) of knee OA.</u> The anatomical

indices that were measured are described in table 1 and figure 1. Data for four (AD, CEA, FHNR and NSA) of these features had previously been scored by a single observer with good reproducibility, [4, 5] and were re-used in the current study. The ten other new features were measured both in normal controls and participants with unilateral hip OA by a different single trained reader (HA) using HIPAX software (Hipax, Vorstetten, Germany). As in our previous studies, this reader was <text> blind to participant identifiers, demographic and clinical information.

# Patient and public involvement

There was no patient and public involvement for this study.

 Table 1 Descriptions of the morphological landmarks and measurements of the hip joint and pelvic bones examined in this study

Morphological measurements	Descriptions	
Centre of femoral head	The equatorial centre of the head was determined by fitting it's geometry within a concentric circle on the Perspex template of the Lequesne arthrometer.[48]	
Femoral shaft axis	Two points in the centre of the femoral shaft were measured to be equidistant from the medial and lateral borders, one at the lowest part of the femoral shaft and the other one below the lesser trochanter. The line connecting these two points described the axis of the femoral shaft.	
Femoral neck axis	The midpoint of the shortest segment of the femoral neck was measured to be equidistant from the superior and inferior borders. A line passing through the centre of the femoral head and the midpoint of the femoral neck described this axis.	
Acetabular depth (AD)	The distance between the deepest point of the acetabular roof to a line drawn between the edge of the articular surface of the acetabulum and the upper corner of the symphysis publis on the same side.[7]	
Centre edge angle (CEA)	The angle between the line from the femoral head centre to the lateral aspect of the acetabulum, and a vertical line drawn from the centre of the femoral head at right angles to the line joining the two femoral head centres.[49]	
Femoral head to femoral neck ratio (FHNR)	The ratio of femoral head diameter divided by femoral neck width.[4]	
Neck shaft angle (NSA)	The angle between the femoral shaft axis and femoral neck axis.	
Femoral head diameter (FHD)	The maximum diameter was described by drawing a line through the central point of the femoral head and at a right angle to the femoral neck axis line.	
Femoral neck width (FNW)	This was the minimum femoral neck diameter, determined by drawing a line at the narrowest point of the femoral neck and at a right angle to the femoral neck axis.	
Femoral neck length (FNL)	The distance from the defined centre of the femoral head to the intersection of the femoral neck axis and femoral shaft axis.	
Outer shaft diameter of the femur (OSD)	This was defined as the full diameter of the femoral shaft, which was made at the level of half of the femoral head diameter, distal to the lesser trochanter.	
Inner shaft diameter of the femur (ISD)	This was measured at the level of half of the head diameter distal to the lesser trochanter. This measurement represents the thickness of the medullary canal of the femoral bone.	
Mid-centre distance (MCD)	The distance from the centre of the femoral head to the midline of the pelvic X-ray and perpendicular to this midline point.	
Sourcil angle (SA)	The angle formed between a line extending from the medial to the lateral edge of the sourcil and a horizontal line.[27]	
Femoral head offset (FHO)	The distance from the centre of the femoral head to the axis of the femoral shaft in a right angle.	
Pelvic width (PW)	The widest diameter of the pelvic bone on the radiograph.	
Pelvic height (PH)	The greatest height of the pelvic bone at the centre of the pelvis on the radiograph.	

## **Statistical analysis**

The intra-observer reproducibility of measuring the 10 new morphological features was assessed using a random sample of 30 pelvis radiographs on three occasions (beginning, middle and end of study). Inter-observer reproducibility was assessed by measuring 30 pelvis radiographs for 2 previously assessed measures (NSA and FHNR) and comparing results to those of the previous readers.[4] Intra-class correlation coefficient (ICC) was used to determine reproducibility.

Symmetry of the morphological measurements was determined using paired t-test and minimal detectable change (MDC) in the control group.[31] To determine the difference, a paired t test in the same group and an independent t-test between two different groups were used for The difference between groups was determined using t-test (continuous data), or whereas  $\chi^2$  test was used for (categorical data). Correlations between the measurements and other parameters were examined using Pearson correlation coefficient. The dose-response relationship of individual morphological measurements in tertiles and risk of OA was examined (graded risk). We used multivariable IL ogistic regression model was used to calculate adjusted odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) adjusting for confounding factors such as age, gender and body mass index (BMI).

Cluster analysis was undertaken using the hierarchical clustering on principal component (HCPC) method to examine clusters of morphological measurements. HCPC allows combination of two different statistical methods such as hierarchical clustering (HC) and principal component analysis (PCA) for clustering. PCA is primarily used for dimension reduction, whereas HC clusters the population. Firstly, PCA was performed followed by HC using squared Euclidean distance and the

Ward linkage method (between the groups). HCPC was done using "factoextra" and "FactoMineR" packages in R.[32] Distribution of clusters was plotted in the factor map.

The PRC was estimated using receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves where areas under the curve (AUC) were proportionalised according to risk factors.[33] Firstly, we built the full risk model with all risk factors available in a ROC curve (AUC<sub>f</sub>). The full risk model included established risk factors such as age, gender, weight, height, BMI, calcaneal bone mineral density (BMD), finger nodes in at least two rays of each hand, type 3 pattern of index to ring finger (2D:4D) ratio, history of hip injury, manual occupation,[4, 29, 34] and all 14 morphological features (i.e. both the newly assessed and previously measured features in GOAL). Secondly, we removed the risk factor(s) of interest to examine the contribution of the risk factor(s) removed through the reduction of the ROC curve, i.e., the partial AUC (AUC<sub>p</sub>). Thirdly we calculated the PRC using the following formula: PRC=(AUC<sub>f</sub> - AUC<sub>p</sub>) / (AUC<sub>f</sub> - 0.5), where 0.5 is the AUC under the diagonal line of the ROC curve indicating no discrimination at all by all included risk factors.[33] Data were analysed using STATA V.15 and R v.3.5. A significance level of p <0.05 was set for all analyses.

# RESULTS



#### Characteristics of the study participants

Characteristics of study participants are shown in table 2. Of 1674 participants, 566 had unilateral hip OA (cases) and 1108 had no hip OA (normal controls). Gender, height and prevalence of manual occupation were similar in each between groups,

but cases were older and had higher weight, BMI and BMD than controls. Prevalence of nodal hand OA, type 3 pattern 2D:4D finger ratio, and frequency of self-reported hip injury were also higher in the OA group.

	Unilateral hip OA	Non-OA controls	
	(n=566)	(n=1108)	
Age (years)	67.5 ± 7.2	64.2 ± 8.4**	
Women (%)	47.9	46.3	
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	29.3 ± 5.0	27.5 ± 4.6**	
Weight (kg)	81.1 ± 16.4	76.9 ± 15.1**	
Height (cm)	166.1 ± 9.4	166.9 ± 9.2	
Calcaneal BMD	0.9 ± 1.3	0.7 ± 1.2**	
Finger nodes (%)	23.1	11.6**	
Type 3 2D:4D ratio (%)	41.3	34.2*	
History of hip injury (%)	7.1	1.6**	
Manual occupation (%)	36.9	33.9	

Table 2	Chara	cteristics	of the	studv	partici	oants
	<b>U</b> 1 101 01 0	0.01101100	0. 0.0	0.000	201.00	

\*p <0.05, \*\*p <0.01

BMD, bone mineral density; BMI, body mass index; OA, osteoarthritis.

# **Repeatability of measurements**

In addition to the excellent reproducibility of the four features reported previously, [4, 5] the 10 new features had good intra-observer agreement at each of across the three time points, the ICCs ranging from 0.84 to 0.97 for all features (p < 0.05). There was also good agreement between the two readers for NSA and FHNR with ICCs of 0.87 and 0.85 respectively (p < 0.05).

# Symmetry and age association in non-OA controls

In the non-OA control group the paired t-test showed that mean differences between left and right sides for most measurements were not statistically significant except for AD, CEA, ISD and MCD. However, the magnitude of these differences was small, and was less than MDC<sub>90</sub> (see <u>online</u> supplementary table <u>Table S1</u>). While age was associated with most morphological features on the left and right, it was not associated with symmetry, i.e., the difference between left and right (see <u>online</u> supplementary table <u>Table S2</u>).

### **Risk of hip OA**

Table 3 represents the odds\_ratio<u>OR</u> of hip OA associated with individual morphological measures. Analysis was<u>After</u> adjust<u>mented</u> for age, gender and BMI, as the confounders showed that the risk of hip OA was increased as the tertiles for AD, CEA, FHD, FHNR, FNL, ISD, OSD, PW decreased. In contrast, SA showed a positive dose response, the risk of hip OA being 7 times higher for Tertile 3 versus Tertile 1 (OR: 6.93, 95%CI 5.16 to 9.32, p <0.01).

FNW, MCD, FHO, PH and NSA showed a U-shape association with hip OA. Using Tertile 2 as the referent, the results showed that either the smaller or larger of these measures were associated with increased risk of OA. Larger measurements of FNW and MCD but smaller measurements of FHO and PH appear to increase the risk of hip OA. Whereas For example, both either high and or low NSA each associated with greater risk of hip OA, ORs being 1.50 (95% CI 1.15 to 1.96) and 1.36 (95% CI 1.05 to 1.75), respectively. The results of relative risk of hip OA due to individual morphological measures stratified by gender are shown in supplementary table S3 (online supplementary Table S3).

Cases         Cases <thcases< th="">         Cases         <thc< th=""><th></th><th colspan="5">Frequency (%) OR (95%CI)</th></thc<></thcases<>		Frequency (%) OR (95%CI)				
Acetabular         T1         273         285         285         1         (referent)         1         (referent)           depth         T2         164         289.8         396         357.7         0.43         0.33.0.66)**         0.30         0.22.0.39)**           Centre edge         T1         290         (51.24)         277         (25.00)         1         (referent)         1         (referent)         0.33         (0.22.0.39)**         0.33         (0.23.0.03)**         0.33         (0.25.0.03)**         0.33         (0.25.0.03)**         0.33         (0.25.0.03)**         0.33         (0.25.0.04)**         0.33         (0.26.0.43)**         0.33         (0.26.0.43)**         0.33         (0.26.0.43)**         0.33         (0.26.0.43)**         0.33         (0.26.00.43)**         0.33         (0.26.00.43)**         0.36         (0.64.0.43)**         0.36         (0.27.0.21.0.43)***         0.36         (0.50.05.00.0.30)**         0.46         (0.54.00.37)**         0.56         (0.50.05.00.0.34)**         0.36         (0.56         0.56         0.56         (0.56         0.56         0.56         (0.56         0.56         (0.56         0.56         (0.56         0.56         (0.56         0.56         (0.56         0.56         (0.56 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td>Cases</td> <td>Controls</td> <td>Crude</td> <td>Adjusted</td>			Cases	Controls	Crude	Adjusted
depth         T2         164 (28.96)         396 (35.77)         0.43 (0.33-0.56)**         0.45 (0.35-0.59)**           Centre edge         T1         129 (22.79)         426 (38.48)         0.31 (0.24-0.41)**         0.30 (0.23-0.39)**           angle         T2         153 (28.50)         277 (25.00)         1 (referent)         1 (referent)           angle         T2         153 (28.50)         0.35 (0.27 to 0.45)**         0.33 (0.25 to 0.43)**           Femoral head         T1         210 (37.10)         348 (31.41)         1 (referent)         1 (referent)           T2         172 (30.39)         386 (34.34)         0.74 (0.58 to 0.55)*         0.58 (0.43 to 0.79)**           Femoral head         T1         219 (33.75)         380 (34.36)         0.86 (0.54 to 0.67)**         0.56 (0.50 to 0.84)**           Femoral neck         T1         217 (38.75)         321 (30.51)         1 (referent)         1 (referent)           Femoral neck         T1         217 (38.75)         321 (30.51)         1 (referent)         1 (referent)           Ineer shaft         T1         217 (38.75)         321 (30.51)         1 (referent)         1 (referent)           Iametra         T1         217 (38.75)         342 (33.63         0.56 (0.43 to 0.73)*         0.44 (0.33 to 0.58	Acetabular	T1	273 (48.23)	285 (25.75)	1 (referent)	1 (referent)
Ta         129 (22.79)         426 (38.48)         0.31 (0.24-0.41)**         0.30 (0.23-0.39)**           Centre edge angle         T1         290 (51.24)         277 (25.00)         1 (referent)         1 (referent)           T1         153 (28.80)         443 (39.98)         0.35 (0.27 to 0.45)**         0.33 (0.28 to 0.43)**           T1         13 (19.96)         388 (35.02)         0.27 (0.21 to 0.36)**         0.33 (0.28 to 0.43)**           Fermoral head         T1         210 (37.10)         348 (34.44)         0.74 (0.58 to 0.57)**         0.58 (0.43 to 0.79)**           Fermoral head         T1         239 (42.23)         326 (29.48)         1 (referent)         1 (referent)           T2         191 (33.75)         381 (34.36)         0.68 (0.54 to 0.57)**         0.41 (0.31 to 0.56)**           Fermoral neck         T1         217 (38.75)         321 (30.51)         1 (referent)         1 (referent)           T3         136 (24.03)         400 (38.17)         0.48 (0.35 to 0.50)**         0.41 (0.31 to 0.56)**           Firend         T3         136 (24.03)         401 (31 to 0.56)**         0.001         1 (referent)         1 (referent)           Iners hat         T1         217 (38.58)         318 (31.96)         0.58 (0.45 to 0.73)**         0.64 (0.48 to 0.83)**	depth	T2	164 (28.98)	396 (35.77)	0.43 (0.33-0.56)**	0.45 (0.35-0.59)**
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		Т3	129 (22.79)	426 (38.48)	0.31 (0.24-0.41)**	0.30 (0.23-0.39)**
Centre edge angle         T1         290 (s1:24)         277 (25:00)         1 (referent)         1 (referent)           angle         T3         113 (19:96)         343 (39:96)         0.35 (0.27 to 0.45)**         0.23 (0.17 to 0.30)**           Permori         T2         T72 (30.39)         348 (34:44)         0.74 (0.58 to 0.95)*         0.58 (0.43 to 0.79)**           Femoral head         T1         239 (42:21)         374 (33.75)         0.81 (0.64 to 1.04)         0.57 (0.39 to 0.84)**           Femoral head         T1         239 (42:23)         326 (22.48)         1 (referent)         1 (referent)           t femoral         T2         178 (31.75)         321 (30.51)         1 (referent)         0.65 (0.50 to 0.84)**           neck ratio         T3         136 (22.03)         400 (36.17)         0.46 (0.35 to 0.96)*         0.41 (0.31 to 0.56)*           Femoral neck         T1         217 (83.75)         321 (30.51)         1 (referent)         1 (referent)           length         T2         178 (31.79)         359 (44.31)         0.73 (0.56 to 0.30)*         0.41 (0.31 to 0.58)*           ptrend         T2         178 (35.58)         314 (31.56)         1 (referent)         1 (referent)         0.76 (0.66 to 1.02)           length         T1         214		P trend			<0.001	
angle         T2         63 (28.80)         443 (39.98)         0.35 (0.27 to 0.45)**         0.33 (0.25 to 0.43)**           Fernoral head         T1         210 (37.10)         348 (34.41)         1 (referent)         1 (referent)           T2         T2 (30.39)         386 (34.44)         0.74 (0.58 to 0.95)**         0.58 (0.43 to 0.79)**           T3         184 (32.51)         374 (33.75)         0.81 (0.64 to 1.04)         0.55 (0.50 to 0.84)**           Ptrend         0.100         1 (referent)         0.65 (0.55 to 0.84)**         0.65 (0.55 to 0.84)**           neck ratio         T3         136 (24.03)         306 (34.30)         0.68 (0.54 to 0.87)**         0.65 (0.55 to 0.84)**           Ptrend         T3         136 (24.03)         307 (3.65 to 0.94)*         0.73 (0.57 to 0.94)*         0.74 (0.48 to 0.83)**           Femoral neck         T1         217 (36.75)         321 (30.51)         1 (referent)         1 (referent)           length         T2         178 (31.79)         359 (34.13)         0.73 (0.57 to 0.94)*         0.74 (0.48 to 0.83)**           o.fe (0.54 to 0.73)**         T3         139 (25.36)         363 (36.48)         0.66 (0.57 to 1.15)         0.64 (0.83 to 0.59)**           o.fe (0.54 to 0.73)**         T3         139 (25.36)         313 (32.86)	Centre edge	T1	290 (51.24)	277 (25.00)	1 (referent)	1 (referent)
Ta         113 (19.96)         388 (35.02)         0.27 (0.21 to 0.36)**         0.23 (0.17 to 0.30)**           Permoral head         T1         210 (37.10)         348 (31.41)         1 (referent)         1 (referent)           T2         172 (30.39)         386 (34.84)         0.74 (0.58 to 0.95)*         0.58 (0.43 to 0.79)**           Permoral head         T1         239 (42.23)         326 (29.48)         1 (referent)         0.57 (0.39 to 0.84)**           Femoral neck         T1         239 (42.23)         326 (29.48)         1 (referent)         0.65 (0.50 to 0.84)**           rekr ratio         T3         136 (24.03)         400 (36.17)         0.46 (0.33 to 0.60)**         0.41 (0.31 to 0.56)**           Permoral neck         T1         217 (38.75)         321 (30.51)         1 (referent)         1 (referent)           Inner shaft         T1         214 (38.05)         314 (31.66)         0.85 (0.50 to 0.84)**         0.64 (0.48 to 0.83)**           P trend	angle	T2	163 (28.80)	443 (39.98)	0.35 (0.27 to 0.45)**	0.33 (0.26 to 0.43)**
P trend         <0.001         (referent)         (referent)           Giameter         T2         210 (37.10)         348 (31.41)         1 (referent)         0.58 (0.43 to 0.79)**           Giameter         T3         184 (32.51)         374 (33.75)         0.81 (0.64 to 1.04)         0.57 (0.39 to 0.84)**           Ptrend         0.100         0.68 (0.54 to 0.87)**         0.65 (0.50 to 0.84)**         0.65 (0.50 to 0.84)**           remoral nead         T1         239 (32.23)         326 (23.48)         1 (referent)         0.65 (0.50 to 0.84)**           remoral neck         T3         136 (24.03)         400 (36.7)         0.46 (0.33 to 0.60)**         0.41 (0.31 to 0.56)**           Perrend         T2         178 (31.79)         359 (34.13)         0.73 (0.57 to 0.94)**         0.71 (0.55 to 0.93)*           T3         165 (22.46)         372 (33.56)         0.65 (0.50 to 0.84)**         0.64 (0.48 to 0.83)**           Ptrend         0.001         1 (referent)         1 (referent)         0.79 (0.60 to 1.02)           T3         139 (25.36)         318 (31.66)         1 (referent)         0.64 (0.33 to 0.69)**           T3         139 (25.63)         313 (32.64)         0.56 (0.64 to 1.07)         0.44 (0.33 to 0.59)**           Outer shaft         T1		T3	113 (19.96)	388 (35.02)	0.27 (0.21 to 0.36)**	0.23 (0.17 to 0.30)**
Fermoral head diameter         T1 12         210 (37.10) 173         348 (31.41) 172         1 (referent) 173         1 (referent) 0.58 (0.43 to 0.79)**           Fermoral need         T1         239 (42.25)         374 (33.59)         0.81 (0.64 to 1.04) 0.57 (0.39 to 0.84)**         0.57 (0.39 to 0.84)**           Fermoral need         T1         239 (42.23)         326 (29.48)         1 (referent)         1 (referent)           Fermoral neek         T1         219 (33.75)         380 (34.36)         0.68 (0.54 to 0.87)**         0.64 (0.51 to 0.80)**           Fermoral neek         T1         217 (38.75)         321 (30.51)         1 (referent)         1 (referent)           Iength         T2         178 (31.79)         359 (34.13)         0.73 (0.57 to 0.34)**         0.64 (0.48 to 0.83)**           Inner shaft         T1         214 (39.05)         314 (31.56)         1 (referent)         1 (referent)           diameter         T2         195 (35.58)         318 (31.96)         0.89 (0.70 to 1.15)         0.79 (0.60 to 1.02)           T3         139 (25.36)         363 (36.48)         0.56 (0.67 to 1.11)         0.68 (0.51 to 0.90)**           Giameter         T2         176 (32.22)         332 (32.50)         0.77 (0.59 to 1.00)         0.60 (0.44 to 0.82)**           Giameter         T		P trend			< 0.001	
diameter         T2         172 (30.39)         386 (34.84)         0.74 (0.58 to 0.95)*         0.58 (0.43 to 0.79)**           Fernoral head         T1         239 (42.23)         326 (29.48)         1 (referent)         1 (referent)         1 (referent)           neck ratio         T2         191 (33.75)         380 (34.60)         0.68 (0.54 to 0.87)**         0.65 (0.50 to 0.84)**           Petrond         T2         191 (33.75)         380 (34.30)         0.68 (0.54 to 0.57)**         0.46 (0.35 to 0.60)**         0.41 (0.31 to 0.56)**           Femoral neck         T1         217 (38.75)         321 (30.51)         1 (referent)         1 (referent)           Inner shaft         T1         214 (39.05)         314 (31.56)         0.89 (0.70 to 1.50)         0.79 (0.60 to 1.02)           Giameter         T2         176 (32.12)         332 (33.37)         0.86 (0.67 to 1.11)         0.68 (0.51 to 0.92)**           Outer shaft         T1         201 (36.68)         313 (32.86)         1 (referent)         1 (referent)           T1         174 (37.26)         346 (31.77)         0.88 (0.67 to 1.11)         0.68 (0.51 to 0.92)**           Outer shaft         T1         174 (37.26)         346 (31.77)         1 (referent)         1 (referent)           T2         T6 (32.12) </td <td>Femoral head</td> <td>T1</td> <td>210 (37.10)</td> <td>348 (31.41)</td> <td>1 (referent)</td> <td>1 (referent)</td>	Femoral head	T1	210 (37.10)	348 (31.41)	1 (referent)	1 (referent)
Ta         184 (32.51)         374 (33.75)         0.81 (0.64 to 1.04)         0.57 (0.39 to 0.84)**           Permoral nead         T1         239 (42.23)         326 (29.48)         1 (referent)         1 (referent)           neck ratio         T2         191 (33.75)         380 (34.36)         0.88 (0.54 to 0.87)**         0.68 (0.50 to 0.84)**           Ptrend         T1         217 (38.75)         321 (30.51)         1 (referent)         1 (referent)           Femoral neck         T1         217 (38.75)         321 (30.51)         1 (referent)         1 (referent)           length         T2         178 (31.79)         359 (34.13)         0.73 (0.57 to 0.39)*         0.44 (0.38 to 0.83)**           none shaft         T1         214 (39.05)         314 (31.56)         1 (referent)         1 (referent)           diameter         T2         195 (35.58)         318 (31.96)         0.89 (0.70 to 1.15)         0.79 (0.60 to 1.02)           T3         139 (25.36)         363 (36.48)         0.56 (0.67 to 1.11)         0.68 (0.51 to 0.90)**           diameter         T2         176 (32.12)         332 (32.86)         1 (referent)         1 (referent)           T1         201 (35.65 (7) 374 (35.79)         0.86 (0.67 to 1.10)         0.60 (0.44 to 0.82)**         0.44 (0.82)** </td <td>diameter</td> <td>T2</td> <td>172 (30.39)</td> <td>386 (34.84)</td> <td>0.74 (0.58 to 0.95)*</td> <td>0.58 (0.43 to 0.79)**</td>	diameter	T2	172 (30.39)	386 (34.84)	0.74 (0.58 to 0.95)*	0.58 (0.43 to 0.79)**
P trend         0.100           Femoral head         T1         239 (42.23)         326 (29.48)         1 (referent)         0.68 (0.54 to 0.87)**           neck ratio         T3         136 (24.03)         400 (35.17)         0.46 (0.35 to 0.80)**         0.41 (0.31 to 0.56)**           Ptend		Т3	184 (32.51)	374 (33.75)	0.81 (0.64 to 1.04)	0.57 (0.39 to 0.84)**
Femoral head to femoral neck ratio         T1         239 (42.23) (24.03)         326 (29.48) (24.03)         1 (referent) 0.46 (0.35 to 0.87)**         0.65 (0.50 to 0.84)**           neck ratio         T3         136 (24.03)         400 (36.17)         0.46 (0.35 to 0.60)**         0.41 (0.31 to 0.56)**           Femoral neck         T1         217 (38.75)         321 (30.51)         1 (referent)         1 (referent)           length         T2         178 (31.79)         359 (34.13)         0.73 (0.57 to 0.94)*         0.44 (0.48 to 0.83)**           Ptrend         0.001         1 (referent)         1 (referent)         0.64 (0.48 to 0.83)**           Jinner shaft         T1         214 (39.05)         314 (31.56)         1 (referent)         0.44 (0.31 to 0.73)*           Quter shaft         T1         201 (36.68)         313 (32.86)         1 (referent)         0.44 (0.33 to 0.58)**           Giameter         T2         176 (32.12)         332 (33.37)         0.88 (0.64 to 1.07)         0.66 (0.54 to 0.92)**           Metred         T1         174 (37.26)         346 (31.77)         1 (referent)         1 (referent)           Giameter         T2         148 (31.69)         373 (34.25)         0.77 (0.59 to 1.00)         0.60 (0.45 to 0.79)**           P trend         T1		P trend			0.100	
to femoral neck ratio T2 191 (33.75) 380 (34.36) 0.68 (0.54 to 0.87)** 0.65 (0.50 to 0.84)** P rend	Femoral head	T1	239 (42.23)	326 (29.48)	1 (referent)	1 (referent)
neck ratio         T3         136 (24.03)         400 (36.17)         0.46 (0.35 to 0.60)**         0.41 (0.31 to 0.56)**           Femoral neck         T1         217 (38.75)         321 (30.51)         1 (referent)         0.71 (0.55 to 0.39)*           Ing         T2         178 (31.79)         359 (34.13)         0.73 (0.57 to 0.94)*         0.64 (0.48 to 0.83)**           Ptend         0.001         0.71 (0.55 to 0.39)*         0.66 (0.50 to 0.84)**         0.64 (0.48 to 0.83)**           Inner shaft         T1         214 (39.05)         314 (31.56)         1 (referent)         1 (referent)           diameter         T2         176 (32.12)         332 (33.37)         0.86 (0.67 to 1.15)         0.79 (0.60 to 1.02)           Outer shaft         T1         201 (36.68)         313 (32.86)         1 (referent)         1 (referent)           diameter         T2         176 (32.12)         332 (33.37)         0.86 (0.67 to 1.11)         0.68 (0.51 to 0.90)**           T1         171 (31.20)         336 (33.77)         0.133 (0.26 to 1.02)         0.70 (0.53 to 0.92)*           T3         171 (31.20)         370 (33.98)         0.79 (0.61 to 1.03)         0.70 (0.53 to 0.92)*           Sourcil         T1         90 (16.27)         394 (35.62)         2.06 (1.53 to 2.77)**	to femoral	T2	191 (33.75)	380 (34.36)	0.68 (0.54 to 0.87)**	0.65 (0.50 to 0.84)**
Femoral neck length         P trend         <0.001           T1         217 (38.75)         321 (30.51)         1 (referent)         1 (referent)           Inner shaft         T2         178 (31.79)         359 (34.13)         0.73 (0.57 to 0.94)*         0.64 (0.48 to 0.83)**           Inner shaft         T1         214 (39.05)         314 (31.56)         1 (referent)         1 (referent)           T2         195 (35.58)         318 (31.96)         0.89 (0.70 to 1.15)         0.79 (0.60 to 1.02)           T3         139 (25.36)         363 (36.48)         0.56 (0.43 to 0.73)**         0.44 (0.33 to 0.58)**           Outer shaft         T1         201 (36.68)         313 (32.86)         1 (referent)         1 (referent)           Outer shaft         T1         174 (37.20)         336 (33.77)         0.86 (0.67 to 1.11)         0.68 (0.64 to 0.82)**           Pternd         0.143         0.79 (0.66 to 1.02)         0.60 (0.44 to 0.82)**         0.79 (0.61 to 1.03)         0.70 (0.53 to 0.92)*           Pternd         0.143         1 (referent)         1 (referent)         1 (referent)         1 (referent)           11         174 (37.26)         346 (31.77)         0.66 to 1.02)         0.60 (0.45 to 0.79)**         0.71 (0.59 to 1.00)         0.60 (0.45 to 0.79)**	neck ratio	Т3	136 (24.03)	400 (36.17)	0.46 (0.35 to 0.60)**	0.41 (0.31 to 0.56)**
Femoral neck length         T1         217 (38.75)         321 (30.51)         1 (referent)         1 (referent)           length         T2         178 (31.79)         359 (34.13)         0.73 (0.57 to 0.94)*         0.71 (0.55 to 0.93)*           lnner shaft         T1         214 (39.05)         314 (31.56)         1 (referent)         1 (referent)           diameter         T2         195 (35.58)         318 (31.96)         0.89 (0.70 to 1.15)         0.79 (0.60 to 1.02)           T3         139 (25.36)         363 (36.48)         0.56 (0.43 to 0.73)**         0.44 (0.33 to 0.58)**           Outer shaft         T1         201 (36.68)         313 (32.86)         1 (referent)         1 (referent)           diameter         T2         176 (32.12)         332 (33.37)         0.86 (0.67 to 1.11)         0.68 (0.51 to 0.90)**           T3         171 (31.20)         336 (33.77)         0.83 (0.64 to 1.07)         0.60 (0.44 to 0.82)**           Pterend         0.143         0.77 (0.51 to 1.03)         0.70 (0.53 to 0.92)*           T2         148 (31.69)         373 (34.25)         0.77 (0.59 to 1.00)         0.60 (0.45 to 0.79)**           Sourcil         T1         90 (16.27)         464 (41.95)         1 (referent)         1 (referent)           adis (55.15)		P trend			<0.001	
length         T2         178 (31.79)         359 (34.13)         0.73 (0.57 to 0.94)*         0.71 (0.55 to 0.33)*           Inner shaft         T1         214 (39.05)         314 (31.56)         1 (referent)         1 (referent)           diameter         T2         195 (35.58)         318 (31.96)         0.89 (0.70 to 1.15)         0.79 (0.60 to 1.02)           T3         139 (25.36)         363 (36.48)         0.56 (0.43 to 0.73)**         0.44 (0.33 to 0.58)**           Outer shaft         T1         201 (36.68)         313 (32.86)         1 (referent)         1 (referent)           Giameter         T2         176 (32.12)         332 (33.37)         0.86 (0.67 to 1.11)         0.68 (0.51 to 0.92)*           Ptrend         T3         171 (31.20)         366 (33.77)         0.83 (0.64 to 1.07)         0.60 (0.44 to 0.82)**           Ptrend         T1         174 (31.26)         346 (11.77)         1 (referent)         1 (referent)           T2         148 (31.69)         370 (33.98)         0.79 (0.61 to 1.03)         0.70 (0.53 to 0.92)*           T3         145 (31.05)         373 (34.25)         0.77 (0.59 to 1.00)         0.60 (0.45 to 0.79)**           Sourcil         T1         90 (16.27)         344 (35.62)         2.06 (1.53 to 2.77)**         2.11 (1.55 to 2.86)*	Femoral neck	T1	217 (38.75)	321 (30.51)	1 (referent)	1 (referent)
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	length	T2	178 (31.79)	359 (34.13)	0.73 (0.57 to 0.94)*	0.71 (0.55 to 0.93)*
P rend         0.001           Inner shaft         T1         214 (39.05)         314 (31.56)         1 (referent)         1 (referent)           diameter         T2         195 (35.58)         318 (31.66)         0.89 (0.70 to 1.15)         0.79 (0.60 to 1.02)           T3         139 (25.36)         363 (36.48)         0.56 (0.43 to 0.73)**         0.44 (0.33 to 0.58)**           Outer shaft         T1         201 (36.68)         313 (32.66)         1 (referent)         1 (referent)           diameter         T2         176 (32.12)         332 (33.37)         0.86 (0.67 to 1.11)         0.68 (0.51 to 0.90)**           diameter         T2         176 (32.12)         336 (33.77)         0.83 (0.64 to 1.07)         0.60 (0.44 to 0.82)**           oliameter         T1         174 (37.26)         346 (31.77)         1 (referent)         1 (referent)           T2         148 (31.69)         370 (33.98)         0.79 (0.61 to 1.03)         0.70 (0.53 to 0.92)*           T3         145 (21.05)         373 (35.62)         2.06 (1.53 to 2.77)**         2.11 (1.55 to 2.86)**           Sourcil         T1         90 (16.27)         464 (41.95)         1 (referent)         1 (referent)           angle         T2         158 (28.57)         394 (35.62)         2.		Т3	165 (29.46)	372 (35.36)	0.65 (0.50 to 0.84)**	0.64 (0.48 to 0.83)**
Inner shaft diameter         T1         214 (39.05)         314 (31.56)         1 (referent)         1 (referent)           Giameter         T2         195 (35.58)         318 (31.96)         0.89 (0.70 to 1.15)         0.79 (0.60 to 1.02)           T3         139 (25.36)         363 (36.48)         0.56 (0.43 to 0.73)**         0.44 (0.33 to 0.58)**           Outer shaft         T1         201 (36.68)         313 (32.86)         1 (referent)         1 (referent)           diameter         T2         176 (32.12)         332 (33.37)         0.88 (0.67 to 1.11)         0.68 (0.51 to 0.90)**           T3         171 (31.20)         336 (33.77)         0.83 (0.64 to 1.07)         0.60 (0.44 to 0.82)**           Pelvic width         T1         174 (37.26)         346 (31.77)         1 (referent)         1 (referent)           T3         145 (31.05)         373 (34.25)         0.77 (0.59 to 1.00)         0.60 (0.45 to 0.79)**           Sourcil         T1         90 (16.27)         464 (41.95)         1 (referent)         1 (referent)           angle         T2         158 (28.57)         394 (35.62)         2.06 (1.53 to 2.77)**         2.11 (1.55 to 2.86)**           Sourcil         T1         217 (38.75)         321 (30.69)         1.57 (1.22 to 2.03)**         6.33 (5.16 to 9.3		P trend			0.001	
diameter         T2         195 (35.58)         318 (31.96)         0.89 (0.70 to 1.15)         0.79 (0.60 to 1.02)           T3         139 (25.36)         363 (36.48)         0.56 (0.43 to 0.73)**         0.44 (0.33 to 0.58)**           Outer shaft         T1         201 (36.68)         313 (32.86)         1 (referent)         1 (referent)           Outer shaft         T2         176 (32.12)         332 (33.37)         0.86 (0.67 to 1.11)         0.68 (0.61 to 0.90)**           Partend         T3         171 (31.20)         336 (33.77)         0.83 (0.64 to 1.07)         0.60 (0.44 to 0.82)**           Partend         0.143         T1         174 (37.26)         346 (31.77)         1 (referent)         1 (referent)           Partend         T2         148 (31.69)         370 (33.98)         0.77 (0.59 to 1.00)         0.60 (0.45 to 0.79)**           Sourcil         T1         90 (16.27)         464 (41.95)         1 (referent)         1 (referent)           angle         T2         158 (28.57)         394 (35.62)         2.06 (1.53 to 2.77)**         2.11 (1.55 to 2.86)**           Gifset         T2         160 (28.57)         321 (30.69)         1.57 (1.22 to 2.03)**         6.69 3 (5.16 to 9.32)**           offset         T2         160 (28.57)         373 (35.6	Inner shaft	T1	214 (39. <mark>0</mark> 5)	314 (31.56)	1 (referent)	1 (referent)
T3         139 (25.36)         363 (36.48)         0.56 (0.43 to 0.73)**         0.44 (0.33 to 0.58)**           Outer shaft         T1         201 (36.68)         313 (32.86)         0.001         1 (referent)         1 (referent)           diameter         T2         176 (32.12)         332 (33.37)         0.86 (0.67 to 1.11)         0.68 (0.51 to 0.90)**           Petron         T3         171 (31.20)         336 (33.77)         0.83 (0.64 to 1.07)         0.60 (0.44 to 0.82)**           Petron         T2         148 (31.69)         370 (33.98)         0.79 (0.61 to 1.03)         0.70 (0.53 to 0.92)*           T3         145 (31.05)         373 (34.25)         0.77 (0.59 to 1.00)         0.60 (0.45 to 0.79)**           Sourcil         T1         90 (16.27)         464 (41.95)         1 (referent)         1 (referent)           angle         T2         158 (28.57)         394 (35.62)         2.06 (1.53 to 2.77)**         2.11 (1.55 to 2.86)**           Femoral head         T1         217 (38.75)         321 (30.69)         1.57 (1.22 to 2.03)**         1.67 (1.28 to 2.19)**           offset         T2         160 (28.57)         373 (35.66)         1 (referent)         1 (referent)         1.90 (.91 to 1.56)           P trend         T1         184 (32.51) <td< td=""><td>diameter</td><td>T2</td><td>195 (35.58)</td><td>318 (31.96)</td><td>0.89 (0.70 to 1.15)</td><td>0.79 (0.60 to 1.02)</td></td<>	diameter	T2	195 (35.58)	318 (31.96)	0.89 (0.70 to 1.15)	0.79 (0.60 to 1.02)
P trend         < < 0.001           Outer shaft diameter         T1         201 (36.68)         313 (32.86)         1 (referent)         1 (referent)           T3         171 (31.20)         332 (33.37)         0.86 (0.67 to 1.11)         0.68 (0.51 to 0.90)**           Pelvic width         T1         174 (37.26)         346 (31.77)         1 (referent)         1 (referent)           T2         148 (31.69)         370 (33.98)         0.79 (0.61 to 1.03)         0.70 (0.53 to 0.92)*           T3         145 (31.05)         373 (34.25)         0.77 (0.59 to 1.00)         0.60 (0.45 to 0.79)**           Sourcil         T1         90 (16.27)         464 (41.95)         1 (referent)         1 (referent)           angle         T2         158 (28.57)         394 (35.62)         2.06 (1.53 to 2.77)**         2.11 (1.55 to 2.86)**           Femoral head         T1         217 (38.75)         321 (30.69)         1.57 (1.22 to 2.03)**         6.93 (5.16 to 9.32)**           offset         T2         160 (28.57)         373 (35.66)         1 (referent)         1 (referent)           T3         183 (32.68)         352 (33.65)         1.21 (0.93 to 1.56)         1.19 (0.91 to 1.56)           P trend         NA         1 (referent)         1 (referent)         1 (referent		Т3	139 (25.36)	363 (36.48)	0.56 (0.43 to 0.73)**	0.44 (0.33 to 0.58)**
Outer shaft diameter         T1         201 (36.68)         313 (32.86)         1 (referent)         1 (referent)           T3         171 (31.20)         332 (33.37)         0.86 (0.67 to 1.11)         0.68 (0.51 to 0.90)**           P trend         0.83 (0.64 to 1.07)         0.60 (0.44 to 0.82)**         0.60 (0.44 to 0.82)**           Pelvic width         T1         174 (37.26)         346 (31.77)         1 (referent)         1 (referent)           T2         148 (31.69)         370 (33.98)         0.79 (0.61 to 1.03)         0.70 (0.53 to 0.92)*           T3         145 (31.05)         373 (34.25)         0.77 (0.59 to 1.00)         0.60 (0.45 to 0.79)**           P trend         0.054         0.054         0.054         0.57 (1.25 to 2.77)**         2.11 (1.55 to 2.86)**           Sourcil         T1         90 (16.27)         464 (41.95)         1 (referent)         1 (referent)           angle         T2         158 (28.57)         394 (35.62)         2.06 (1.53 to 2.77)**         2.11 (1.55 to 2.86)**           P trend		P trend			<0.001	
diameter         T2         176 (32.12)         332 (33.7)         0.86 (0.67 to 1.11)         0.68 (0.51 to 0.90)**           T3         171 (31.20)         336 (33.77)         0.83 (0.64 to 1.07)         0.60 (0.44 to 0.82)**           Petrod         0.143         0.60 (0.44 to 0.82)**         0.60 (0.44 to 0.82)**           T2         148 (31.69)         370 (33.98)         0.79 (0.61 to 1.03)         0.70 (0.53 to 0.92)*           T3         145 (31.05)         373 (34.25)         0.77 (0.59 to 1.00)         0.60 (0.45 to 0.79)**           Sourcil         T1         90 (16.27)         464 (41.95)         1 (referent)         1 (referent)           angle         T2         158 (28.57)         394 (35.62)         2.06 (1.53 to 2.77)**         2.11 (1.55 to 2.86)**           Sourcil         T1         217 (38.75)         321 (30.99)         1.57 (1.22 to 2.03)**         6.93 (5.16 to 9.32)**           P trend         73         183 (32.68)         352 (33.65)         1.21 (0.93 to 1.56)         1.19 (0.91 to 1.56)           P trend         NA         1         1 (referent)         1 (referent)         1 (referent)           T2         160 (28.57)         377 (34.03)         1.04 (0.81 to 1.33)         1.01 (0.73 to 1.37)           width         T2         1	Outer shaft	T1	201 (36.68)	313 (32.86)	1 (referent)	1 (referent)
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	diameter	T2	176 (32.12)	332 (33.37)	0.86 (0.67 to 1.11)	0.68 (0.51 to 0.90)**
Pelvic width         T1         174 (37.26)         346 (31.77)         1 (referent)         1 (referent)           T2         148 (31.69)         370 (33.98)         0.79 (0.61 to 1.03)         0.70 (0.53 to 0.92)*           T3         145 (31.05)         373 (34.25)         0.77 (0.59 to 1.00)         0.60 (0.45 to 0.79)**           P trend         0.054         1 (referent)         1 (referent)         2.11 (1.55 to 2.86)**           Sourcil         T1         90 (16.27)         464 (41.95)         1 (referent)         2.11 (1.55 to 2.86)**           angle         T2         158 (28.57)         394 (35.62)         2.06 (1.53 to 2.77)**         2.11 (1.55 to 2.86)**           P trend         T2         158 (28.57)         394 (35.62)         1.06 (1.53 to 2.77)**         2.11 (1.55 to 2.86)**           P trend         T2         160 (28.57)         373 (35.66)         1 (referent)         1 (referent)           offset         T2         180 (32.68)         352 (33.65)         1.21 (0.93 to 1.56)         1.19 (0.91 to 1.56)           P trend         NA         1         184 (32.51)         377 (34.03)         1.04 (0.81 to 1.33)         1.01 (0.73 to 1.37)           width         T2         178 (31.45)         378 (34.12)         1 (referent)         1 (referent) </td <td></td> <td>Т3</td> <td>171 (31.20)</td> <td>336 (33.77)</td> <td>0.83 (0.64 to 1.07)</td> <td>0.60 (0.44 to 0.82)**</td>		Т3	171 (31.20)	336 (33.77)	0.83 (0.64 to 1.07)	0.60 (0.44 to 0.82)**
Pelvic width         T1         174 (37.26)         346 (31.77)         1 (referent)         1 (referent)           T2         148 (31.69)         370 (33.98)         0.79 (0.61 to 1.03)         0.70 (0.53 to 0.92)*           T3         145 (31.05)         373 (34.25)         0.77 (0.59 to 1.00)         0.60 (0.45 to 0.79)**           P trend         0.054         1 (referent)         1 (referent)         1 (referent)           angle         T2         158 (28.57)         394 (35.62)         2.06 (1.53 to 2.77)**         2.11 (1.55 to 2.86)**           T3         305 (55.15)         248 (22.42)         6.34 (4.66 to 8.62)**         6.93 (5.16 to 9.32)**           offset         T1         217 (38.75)         321 (30.69)         1.57 (1.22 to 2.03)**         1.67 (1.28 to 2.19)**           offset         T2         160 (28.57)         373 (35.66)         1 (referent)         1 (referent)           T3         183 (32.68)         352 (33.65)         1.21 (0.93 to 1.56)         1.19 (0.91 to 1.56)           P trend         NA         NA         1         1 (referent)         1 (referent)           T3         183 (32.68)         352 (33.65)         1.22 (0.96 to 1.57)         1.34 (1.01 to 1.79)*           Width         T2         178 (31.45)         378		P trend			0.143	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Pelvic width	T1	174 (37.26)	346 (31.77)	1 (referent)	1 (referent)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		T2	148 (31.69)	370 (33.98)	0.79 (0.61 to 1.03)	0.70 (0.53 to 0.92)*
P trend         0.054           Sourcil angle         T1         90 (16.27)         464 (41.95)         1 (referent)         1 (referent)           T2         158 (28.57)         394 (35.62)         2.06 (1.53 to 2.77)**         2.11 (1.55 to 2.86)**           T3         305 (55.15)         248 (22.42)         6.34 (4.66 to 8.62)**         6.93 (5.16 to 9.32)**           P trend         -0.001         -0.001         -0.001         -0.001           Femoral head         T1         217 (38.75)         321 (30.69)         1.57 (1.22 to 2.03)**         1.67 (1.28 to 2.19)**           offset         T2         160 (28.57)         373 (35.66)         1 (referent)         1 (referent)           T3         183 (32.68)         352 (33.65)         1.21 (0.93 to 1.56)         1.19 (0.91 to 1.56)           P trend         NA		Т3	145 (31.05)	373 (34.25)	0.77 (0.59 to 1.00)	0.60 (0.45 to 0.79)**
Sourcil angle         T1         90 (16.27)         464 (41.95)         1 (referent)         1 (referent)           angle         T2         158 (28.57)         394 (35.62)         2.06 (1.53 to 2.77)**         2.11 (1.55 to 2.86)**           T3         305 (55.15)         248 (22.42)         6.34 (4.66 to 8.62)**         6.93 (5.16 to 9.32)**           P trend         72         160 (28.57)         373 (35.66)         1 (referent)         1 (referent)           offset         T2         160 (28.57)         373 (35.66)         1 (referent)         1 (referent)           T3         183 (32.68)         352 (33.65)         1.21 (0.93 to 1.56)         1.19 (0.91 to 1.56)           P trend         NA         1         178 (31.45)         378 (34.12)         1 (referent)         1 (referent)           width         T2         178 (31.45)         378 (34.12)         1 (referent)         1.01 (0.73 to 1.37)           width         T2         173 (30.57)         385 (34.84)         0.99 (0.77 to 1.28)         1.03 (0.79 to 1.34)           distance         T2         173 (30.57)         385 (34.75)         1 (referent)         1 (referent)           T3         221 (39.05)         337 (30.42)         1.46 (1.14 to 1.87)**         1.43 (1.11 to 1.85)**		P trend			0.054	
angle       12       158 (28.57)       394 (35.62)       2.06 (1.53 to 2.77)**       2.11 (1.55 to 2.86)**         T3       305 (55.15)       248 (22.42)       6.34 (4.66 to 8.62)**       6.93 (5.16 to 9.32)**         P trend       72       160 (28.57)       373 (35.66)       1 (referent)       1.67 (1.28 to 2.19)**         offset       T2       160 (28.57)       373 (35.66)       1 (referent)       1 (referent)         T3       183 (32.68)       352 (33.65)       1.21 (0.93 to 1.56)       1.19 (0.91 to 1.56)         P trend       NA       1.21 (0.93 to 1.56)       1.01 (0.73 to 1.37)         width       T2       178 (31.45)       378 (34.12)       1 (referent)       1 (referent)         T3       204 (36.04)       353 (31.86)       1.22 (0.96 to 1.57)       1.34 (1.01 to 1.79)*         Wid-centre       T1       172 (30.39)       386 (34.84)       0.99 (0.77 to 1.28)       1.03 (0.79 to 1.34)         distance       T2       173 (30.57)       385 (34.75)       1 (referent)       1 (referent)         T4       145 (38.87)       320 (31.34)       1.45 (1.14 to 1.87)**       1.43 (1.11 to 1.85)**         P trend       NA       NA       1       1.67 (1.28 to 1.75)*       1.46 (1.14 to 1.87)**         T2 </td <td>Sourcil</td> <td>T1</td> <td>90 (16.27)</td> <td>464 (41.95)</td> <td>1 (referent)</td> <td>1 (referent)</td>	Sourcil	T1	90 (16.27)	464 (41.95)	1 (referent)	1 (referent)
13         305 (55.15)         248 (22.42)         6.34 (4.66 to 8.62)**         6.93 (5.16 to 9.32)**           P trend         71         217 (38.75)         321 (30.69)         1.57 (1.22 to 2.03)**         1.67 (1.28 to 2.19)**           offset         T2         160 (28.57)         373 (35.66)         1 (referent)         1 (referent)           T3         183 (32.68)         352 (33.65)         1.21 (0.93 to 1.56)         1.19 (0.91 to 1.56)           P trend         NA         NA         1 (referent)         1 (referent)         1 (referent)           width         T2         178 (31.45)         378 (34.12)         1 (referent)         1.04 (0.81 to 1.33)         1.01 (0.73 to 1.37)           width         T2         178 (31.45)         378 (34.12)         1 (referent)         1 (referent)           T3         204 (36.04)         353 (31.86)         1.22 (0.96 to 1.57)         1.34 (1.01 to 1.79)*           P trend         NA         1         172 (30.57)         385 (34.75)         1 (referent)         1 (referent)           T3         221 (39.05)         337 (30.42)         1.46 (1.14 to 1.87)**         1.43 (1.11 to 1.85)**           P trend         NA         1         12         111 (29.76)         355 (34.77)         1 (referent) <t< td=""><td>angle</td><td>12</td><td>158 (28.57)</td><td>394 (35.62)</td><td>2.06 (1.53 to 2.77)**</td><td>2.11 (1.55 to 2.86)**</td></t<>	angle	12	158 (28.57)	394 (35.62)	2.06 (1.53 to 2.77)**	2.11 (1.55 to 2.86)**
Fernoral head offset         T1         217 (38.75)         321 (30.69)         1.57 (1.22 to 2.03)**         1.67 (1.28 to 2.19)**           offset         T2         160 (28.57)         373 (35.66)         1 (referent)         1 (referent)           T3         183 (32.68)         352 (33.65)         1.21 (0.93 to 1.56)         1.19 (0.91 to 1.56)           P trend         NA           Femoral neck         T1         184 (32.51)         377 (34.03)         1.04 (0.81 to 1.33)         1.01 (0.73 to 1.37)           width         T2         178 (31.45)         378 (34.12)         1 (referent)         1 (referent)           T3         204 (36.04)         353 (31.86)         1.22 (0.96 to 1.57)         1.34 (1.01 to 1.79)*           Mid-centre         T1         172 (30.39)         386 (34.84)         0.99 (0.77 to 1.28)         1.03 (0.79 to 1.34)           distance         T2         173 (30.57)         385 (34.75)         1 (referent)         1 (referent)           T3         221 (39.05)         337 (30.42)         1.46 (1.14 to 1.87)**         1.43 (1.11 to 1.85)**           P trend         NA         NA         NA         NA           Pelvic height         T1         145 (38.87)         320 (31.34)         1.45 (1.08 to 1.94)         1.51 (1.09 to		13	305 (55.15)	248 (22.42)	6.34 (4.66 to 8.62)**	6.93 (5.16 to 9.32)**
Permoral nead       T1       217 (38.75)       321 (30.09)       1.57 (1.22 to 2.03) <sup>m</sup> 1.67 (1.28 to 2.19) <sup>m</sup> offset       T2       160 (28.57)       373 (35.66)       1 (referent)       1 (referent)         T3       183 (32.68)       352 (33.65)       1.21 (0.93 to 1.56)       1.19 (0.91 to 1.56)         P trend       NA       NA       1.01 (0.73 to 1.37)       1.04 (0.81 to 1.33)       1.01 (0.73 to 1.37)         width       T2       178 (31.45)       378 (34.12)       1 (referent)       1 (referent)         T3       204 (36.04)       353 (31.86)       1.22 (0.96 to 1.57)       1.34 (1.01 to 1.79)*         Mid-centre       T1       172 (30.39)       386 (34.84)       0.99 (0.77 to 1.28)       1.03 (0.79 to 1.34)         distance       T2       173 (30.57)       385 (34.75)       1 (referent)       1 (referent)         T3       221 (39.05)       337 (30.42)       1.46 (1.14 to 1.87)**       1.43 (1.11 to 1.85)**         P trend       NA       NA       1.45 (1.08 to 1.94)       1.51 (1.09 to 2.07)*         T2       111 (29.76)       355 (34.77)       1 (referent)       1 (referent)         T3       117 (31.37)       346 (33.89)       1.08 (0.80 to 1.46)       1.05 (0.75 to 1.47)		P trend	047 (00 75)	204 (20.00)	<0.001	4 07 (4 00 to 0 40)**
Onset       12       160 (28.57)       373 (35.66)       1 (referent)       1 (referent)         T3       183 (32.68)       352 (33.65)       1.21 (0.93 to 1.56)       1.19 (0.91 to 1.56)         P trend       NA         Femoral neck       T1       184 (32.51)       377 (34.03)       1.04 (0.81 to 1.33)       1.01 (0.73 to 1.37)         width       T2       178 (31.45)       378 (34.12)       1 (referent)       1 (referent)         T3       204 (36.04)       353 (31.86)       1.22 (0.96 to 1.57)       1.34 (1.01 to 1.79)*         Mid-centre       T1       172 (30.39)       386 (34.84)       0.99 (0.77 to 1.28)       1.03 (0.79 to 1.34)         distance       T2       173 (30.57)       385 (34.75)       1 (referent)       1 (referent)         T3       221 (39.05)       337 (30.42)       1.46 (1.14 to 1.87)**       1.43 (1.11 to 1.85)**         P trend       NA       NA       NA       1.51 (1.09 to 2.07)*         T2       111 (29.76)       355 (34.77)       1 (referent)       1.51 (1.09 to 2.07)*         T2       111 (29.76)       355 (34.77)       1 (referent)       1.05 (0.75 to 1.47)         P trend       NA       1.40 (1.09 to 1.78)**       1.36 (1.05 to 1.75)*       1.66 (1.05 to 1.75)*	effect		217 (38.75)	321 (30.69)	1.57 (1.22 to 2.03)***	1.67 (1.28 to 2.19)***
T3       183 (32.68)       352 (33.65)       1.21 (0.93 to 1.56)       1.19 (0.91 to 1.56)         P trend       NA         Femoral neck       T1       184 (32.51)       377 (34.03)       1.04 (0.81 to 1.33)       1.01 (0.73 to 1.37)         width       T2       178 (31.45)       378 (34.12)       1 (referent)       1 (referent)         T3       204 (36.04)       353 (31.86)       1.22 (0.96 to 1.57)       1.34 (1.01 to 1.79)*         P trend       NA       NA       1.03 (0.79 to 1.34)       1 (referent)         Mid-centre       T1       172 (30.39)       386 (34.84)       0.99 (0.77 to 1.28)       1.03 (0.79 to 1.34)         distance       T2       173 (30.57)       385 (34.75)       1 (referent)       1 (referent)         T3       221 (39.05)       337 (30.42)       1.46 (1.14 to 1.87)**       1.43 (1.11 to 1.85)**         P trend       NA       NA       1.43 (1.11 to 1.85)**       1.43 (1.11 to 1.85)**         P trend       NA       1.45 (1.08 to 1.94)       1.51 (1.09 to 2.07)*       1.21 (referent)         T2       111 (29.76)       355 (34.77)       1 (referent)       1 (referent)         T3       117 (31.37)       346 (33.89)       1.08 (0.80 to 1.46)       1.05 (0.75 to 1.47)	onset		160 (28.57)	373 (35.66)		
Ferroral neck width         T1         184 (32.51)         377 (34.03)         1.04 (0.81 to 1.33)         1.01 (0.73 to 1.37)           Width         T2         178 (31.45)         378 (34.12)         1 (referent)         1 (referent)           T3         204 (36.04)         353 (31.86)         1.22 (0.96 to 1.57)         1.34 (1.01 to 1.79)*           Mid-centre         T1         172 (30.39)         386 (34.84)         0.99 (0.77 to 1.28)         1.03 (0.79 to 1.34)           distance         T2         173 (30.57)         385 (34.75)         1 (referent)         1 (referent)           T3         221 (39.05)         337 (30.42)         1.46 (1.14 to 1.87)**         1.43 (1.11 to 1.85)**           P trend         NA         NA         NA         1.43 (1.11 to 1.85)**           Pelvic height         T1         145 (38.87)         320 (31.34)         1.45 (1.08 to 1.94)         1.51 (1.09 to 2.07)*           T2         111 (29.76)         355 (34.77)         1 (referent)         1 (referent)           T3         117 (31.37)         346 (33.89)         1.08 (0.80 to 1.46)         1.05 (0.75 to 1.47)           P trend         NA         1         1         1         1.45 (1.05 to 1.75)*         1 (referent)           T3         180 (31.15)		l J Ditrond	103 (32.00)	352 (33.65)	1.21 (0.93 to 1.50)	1.19 (0.91 to 1.50)
Perindra freex       T1       164 (32.51)       377 (34.03)       1.04 (0.81 to 1.33)       1.01 (0.73 to 1.37)         width       T2       178 (31.45)       378 (34.12)       1 (referent)       1 (referent)         T3       204 (36.04)       353 (31.86)       1.22 (0.96 to 1.57)       1.34 (1.01 to 1.79)*         NA       NA       NA         Mid-centre       T1       172 (30.39)       386 (34.84)       0.99 (0.77 to 1.28)       1.03 (0.79 to 1.34)         distance       T2       173 (30.57)       385 (34.75)       1 (referent)       1 (referent)         T3       221 (39.05)       337 (30.42)       1.46 (1.14 to 1.87)**       1.43 (1.11 to 1.85)**         P trend       NA       NA       1.51 (1.09 to 2.07)*       1.43 (1.11 to 1.85)**         P trend       NA       NA       1.51 (1.09 to 2.07)*       1.72 111 (29.76)       355 (34.77)       1 (referent)       1.51 (1.09 to 2.07)*         T2       111 (29.76)       355 (34.77)       1 (referent)       1.05 (0.75 to 1.47)         P trend       NA       1.08 (0.80 to 1.46)       1.05 (0.75 to 1.47)         P trend       NA       1.40 (1.09 to 1.78)**       1.36 (1.05 to 1.75)*         angle       T1       209 (36.99)       366 (33.18)	Formaral nook		104 (22 51)	277 (24 02)	NA 1.04 (0.91 to 1.22)	1 01 (0 72 to 1 27)
With       T2       T78 (31.43)       378 (34.12)       T (referent)       T (referent)         T3       204 (36.04)       353 (31.86)       1.22 (0.96 to 1.57)       1.34 (1.01 to 1.79)*         P trend       NA         Mid-centre       T1       172 (30.39)       386 (34.84)       0.99 (0.77 to 1.28)       1.03 (0.79 to 1.34)         distance       T2       173 (30.57)       385 (34.75)       1 (referent)       1 (referent)         T3       221 (39.05)       337 (30.42)       1.46 (1.14 to 1.87)**       1.43 (1.11 to 1.85)**         P trend       NA       NA       1.43 (1.11 to 1.85)**       1.43 (1.11 to 1.85)**         P trend       NA       NA       1.45 (1.08 to 1.94)       1.51 (1.09 to 2.07)*         T2       111 (29.76)       355 (34.77)       1 (referent)       1 (referent)         T3       117 (31.37)       346 (33.89)       1.08 (0.80 to 1.46)       1.05 (0.75 to 1.47)         P trend       NA       NA       1.36 (1.05 to 1.75)*       1 (referent)       1 (referent)         T3       180 (31.15)       431 (39.08)       1 (referent)       1.50 (1.15 to 1.96)**         NA       NA       NA       1.50 (1.15 to 1.96)**       1.50 (1.15 to 1.96)**	width	11 T2	104 (32.31)	377 (34.03) 279 (24.12)	1.04 (0.01 to 1.33)	1.01(0.75(0)1.57)
Nid-centre distance       T1       172 (30.39)       386 (34.84)       0.99 (0.77 to 1.28)       1.03 (0.79 to 1.34)         Mid-centre distance       T1       172 (30.39)       386 (34.84)       0.99 (0.77 to 1.28)       1.03 (0.79 to 1.34)         T2       173 (30.57)       385 (34.75)       1 (referent)       1 (referent)         T3       221 (39.05)       337 (30.42)       1.46 (1.14 to 1.87)**       1.43 (1.11 to 1.85)**         P trend       NA       NA       1.43 (1.11 to 1.85)**       1.43 (1.11 to 1.85)**         Pelvic height       T1       145 (38.87)       320 (31.34)       1.45 (1.08 to 1.94)       1.51 (1.09 to 2.07)*         T2       111 (29.76)       355 (34.77)       1 (referent)       1 (referent)         T3       117 (31.37)       346 (33.89)       1.08 (0.80 to 1.46)       1.05 (0.75 to 1.47)         P trend       NA       NA       1.40 (1.09 to 1.78)**       1.36 (1.05 to 1.75)*         Neck shaft       T1       209 (36.99)       366 (33.18)       1.40 (1.09 to 1.78)**       1.36 (1.05 to 1.75)*         angle       T2       176 (31.15)       431 (39.08)       1 (referent)       1 (referent)         T3       180 (31.86)       306 (27.74)       1.44 (1.11 to 1.85)**       1.50 (1.15 to 1.96)**      <	width	12	170 (31.43)	370 (34.12) 252 (21.96)	1 (reference)	1 (100000000000000000000000000000000000
Mid-centre distance         T1         172 (30.39)         386 (34.84)         0.99 (0.77 to 1.28)         1.03 (0.79 to 1.34)           T2         173 (30.57)         385 (34.75)         1 (referent)         1 (referent)           T3         221 (39.05)         337 (30.42)         1.46 (1.14 to 1.87)**         1.43 (1.11 to 1.85)**           P trend         NA         NA         NA           Pelvic height         T1         145 (38.87)         320 (31.34)         1.45 (1.08 to 1.94)         1.51 (1.09 to 2.07)*           T2         111 (29.76)         355 (34.77)         1 (referent)         1 (referent)           T3         117 (31.37)         346 (33.89)         1.08 (0.80 to 1.46)         1.05 (0.75 to 1.47)           P trend         NA         NA         1.36 (1.05 to 1.75)*         1 (referent)           Neck shaft         T1         209 (36.99)         366 (33.18)         1.40 (1.09 to 1.78)**         1.36 (1.05 to 1.75)*           angle         T2         176 (31.15)         431 (39.08)         1 (referent)         1 (referent)           T3         180 (31.86)         306 (27.74)         1.44 (1.11 to 1.85)**         1.50 (1.15 to 1.96)**           P trend         NA         NA         1.50 (1.15 to 1.96)**         1.50 (1.15 to 1.96)** <td></td> <td>D trond</td> <td>204 (30.04)</td> <td>353 (31.00)</td> <td>1.22 (0.90 to 1.57)</td> <td>1.34 (1.01 to 1.79)</td>		D trond	204 (30.04)	353 (31.00)	1.22 (0.90 to 1.57)	1.34 (1.01 to 1.79)
Mid-centre       T1       T72 (30.39)       380 (34.34)       0.99 (0.77 to 1.28)       1.03 (0.79 to 1.34)         distance       T2       173 (30.57)       385 (34.75)       1 (referent)       1 (referent)         T3       221 (39.05)       337 (30.42)       1.46 (1.14 to 1.87)**       1.43 (1.11 to 1.85)**         P trend       NA       NA         Pelvic height       T1       145 (38.87)       320 (31.34)       1.45 (1.08 to 1.94)       1.51 (1.09 to 2.07)*         T2       111 (29.76)       355 (34.77)       1 (referent)       1 (referent)         T3       117 (31.37)       346 (33.89)       1.08 (0.80 to 1.46)       1.05 (0.75 to 1.47)         P trend       NA       NA       1.36 (1.05 to 1.75)*       1 (referent)         Neck shaft       T1       209 (36.99)       366 (33.18)       1.40 (1.09 to 1.78)**       1.36 (1.05 to 1.75)*         angle       T2       176 (31.15)       431 (39.08)       1 (referent)       1 (referent)         T3       180 (31.86)       306 (27.74)       1.44 (1.11 to 1.85)**       1.50 (1.15 to 1.96)**         P trend       NA       NA       1.50 (1.15 to 1.96)**       1.50 (1.15 to 1.96)**	Mid contro		172 (30 30)	396 (34 94)	0.00 (0.77 to 1.28)	1 03 (0 70 to 1 34)
Instance       T2       Tro (30.37)       303 (34.73)       T (referent)       T (referent)         T3       221 (39.05)       337 (30.42)       1.46 (1.14 to 1.87)**       1.43 (1.11 to 1.85)**         P trend       NA         Pelvic height       T1       145 (38.87)       320 (31.34)       1.45 (1.08 to 1.94)       1.51 (1.09 to 2.07)*         T2       111 (29.76)       355 (34.77)       1 (referent)       1 (referent)         T3       117 (31.37)       346 (33.89)       1.08 (0.80 to 1.46)       1.05 (0.75 to 1.47)         P trend       NA       NA       NA       NA         Neck shaft       T1       209 (36.99)       366 (33.18)       1.40 (1.09 to 1.78)**       1.36 (1.05 to 1.75)*         angle       T2       176 (31.15)       431 (39.08)       1 (referent)       1 (referent)         T3       180 (31.86)       306 (27.74)       1.44 (1.11 to 1.85)**       1.50 (1.15 to 1.96)**         P trend       NA       NA       NA       NA	distance	T2	172 (30.39)	385 (34.04)	0.99 (0.77 to 1.20) 1 (referent)	1.03(0.79(0.1.04))
P trend       NA         Pelvic height       T1       145 (38.87)       320 (31.34)       1.45 (1.08 to 1.94)       1.51 (1.09 to 2.07)*         T2       111 (29.76)       355 (34.77)       1 (referent)       1 (referent)         T3       117 (31.37)       346 (33.89)       1.08 (0.80 to 1.46)       1.05 (0.75 to 1.47)         P trend       NA       NA         Neck shaft angle       T1       209 (36.99)       366 (33.18)       1.40 (1.09 to 1.78)**       1.36 (1.05 to 1.75)*         T2       176 (31.15)       431 (39.08)       1 (referent)       1 (referent)         T3       180 (31.86)       306 (27.74)       1.44 (1.11 to 1.85)**       1.50 (1.15 to 1.96)**	distance	T2 T2	221 (30.05)	337 (30 42)	1 46 (1 14  to  1 87)**	1 / 3 / 1 11 to 1 85)**
Pelvic height       T1       145 (38.87)       320 (31.34)       1.45 (1.08 to 1.94)       1.51 (1.09 to 2.07)*         T2       111 (29.76)       355 (34.77)       1 (referent)       1 (referent)         T3       117 (31.37)       346 (33.89)       1.08 (0.80 to 1.46)       1.05 (0.75 to 1.47)         P trend       NA         Neck shaft angle       T1       209 (36.99)       366 (33.18)       1.40 (1.09 to 1.78)**       1.36 (1.05 to 1.75)*         T2       176 (31.15)       431 (39.08)       1 (referent)       1 (referent)         T3       180 (31.86)       306 (27.74)       1.44 (1.11 to 1.85)**       1.50 (1.15 to 1.96)**		P trend	221(00.00)	007 (00. <del>4</del> 2)	NA	1.40 (1.11 (0 1.00)
T2       111 (29.76)       355 (34.77)       1 (referent)       1 (referent)         T3       117 (31.37)       346 (33.89)       1.08 (0.80 to 1.34)       1.05 (0.75 to 1.47)         P trend       NA         Neck shaft       T1       209 (36.99)       366 (33.18)       1.40 (1.09 to 1.78)**       1.36 (1.05 to 1.75)*         angle       T2       176 (31.15)       431 (39.08)       1 (referent)       1 (referent)         T3       180 (31.86)       306 (27.74)       1.44 (1.11 to 1.85)**       1.50 (1.15 to 1.96)**	Pelvic height	T1	145 (38 87)	320 (31 34)	1 45 (1 08 to 1 04)	1 51 (1 09 to 2 07)*
T2       T1 (25.70)       336 (33.8)       1 (telefent)       T (telefent)         T3       117 (31.37)       346 (33.89)       1.08 (0.80 to 1.46)       1.05 (0.75 to 1.47)         P trend       NA         Neck shaft       T1       209 (36.99)       366 (33.18)       1.40 (1.09 to 1.78)**       1.36 (1.05 to 1.75)*         angle       T2       176 (31.15)       431 (39.08)       1 (referent)       1 (referent)         T3       180 (31.86)       306 (27.74)       1.44 (1.11 to 1.85)**       1.50 (1.15 to 1.96)**         P trend       NA	i civic neight	T2	111 (20 76)	355 (34 77)	1 (referent)	1 (referent)
Neck shaft         T1         209 (36.99)         366 (33.18)         1.40 (1.09 to 1.78)**         1.36 (1.05 to 1.75)*           angle         T2         176 (31.15)         431 (39.08)         1 (referent)         1 (referent)           T3         180 (31.86)         306 (27.74)         1.44 (1.11 to 1.85)**         1.50 (1.15 to 1.96)**           P trend         NA		T3	117 (31 37)	346 (33 89)	1 08 (0 80 to 1 46)	1 05 (0 75 to 1 47)
Neck shaft angle         T1         209 (36.99)         366 (33.18)         1.40 (1.09 to 1.78)**         1.36 (1.05 to 1.75)*           T2         176 (31.15)         431 (39.08)         1 (referent)         1 (referent)           T3         180 (31.86)         306 (27.74)         1.44 (1.11 to 1.85)**         1.50 (1.15 to 1.96)**           P trend         NA		P trend	(01.07)	0.00(00.00)	NA	
angle T2 176 (31.15) 431 (39.08) 1 (referent) 1 (referent) T3 180 (31.86) 306 (27.74) 1.44 (1.11 to 1.85)** 1.50 (1.15 to 1.96)** P trend NA	Neck shaft	T1	209 (36 99)	366 (33 18)	1.40 (1.09 to 1 78)**	1.36 (1.05 to 1.75)*
T3 180 (31.86) 306 (27.74) 1.44 (1.11 to 1.85)** 1.50 (1.15 to 1.96)** P trend NA	angle	T2	176 (31 15)	431 (39 08)	1 (referent)	1 (referent)
P trend NA	0 -	T3	180 (31.86)	306 (27.74)	1.44 (1.11 to 1.85)**	1.50 (1.15 to 1.96)**
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		P trend			NA	

Table 3 Morphological features and association with hip OA

Logistic regression was adjusted for age, gender and body mass index. For femoral head offset, femoral neck width, mid-centre distance, pelvic height and neck shaft angle, Tertile 2 was used as referent.

\*p <0.05, \*\*p <0.01. NA, not applicable; OA, osteoarthritis; OR, odds ratio; T, tertile.

# **Clusters of morphological features**

<u>The 14 morphological features were associated with each other (online</u> <u>supplementary Table S4).</u> Three clusters were identified within the 14 morphological features (figure 2). Cluster 1 included FHNR (non-spherical femoral head). Cluster 2 included SA, NSA, FNW, and MCD. Cluster 3 included AD and CEA (i.e. mild acetabular dysplasia), FHD, FNL, OSD, ISD, FHO, PW and PH. The contribution of the individual morphological features to each cluster is shown in supplementary table <u>S4S5 (online supplementary Table S5)</u>.

## Proportional risk contribution

Table 4 presents the results of AUC and PRC of multivariate models. The AUC for the full model including all risk factors was 0.81 (95%CI 0.79 to 0.83), of which 34.95% (95%CI 30.93 to 39.65) was explained by the 14 morphological features, and 21.36% (95%CI 18.62 to 24.21) was explained by all other established risk factors (Table 4). Of the 14 morphological features, SA had the highest contribution (PRC=7.12%, 95% CI 6.01 to 8.07). The PRC of cluster 1, 2 and 3 was 2.26% (95%CI 1.80 to 2.46), 7.12% (95%CI 6.31 to 8.42) and 7.44% (95%CI 6.61 to 8.42), respectively.

Table 4 AUC and PRC of multivariat	te models			
AUC	95%CI	PRC (%)	95%CI	

Full model	0.809	0.785 to 0.833	100	
Partial model without other risk				
factors	0.743	0.716 to 0.771	21.359	18.619 to 24.211
Partial model without				
morphological features	0.701	0.672 to 0.730	34.951	30.931 to 39.649
Partial model without SA	0.787	0.762 to 0.813	7.120	6.006 to 8.070
Partial model without FHNR	0.802	0.778 to 0.827	2.265	1.802 to 2.456
Partial model without ISD	0.803	0.777 to 0.827	1.942	1.802 to 2.807
Partial model without CEA	0.804	0.780 to 0.828	1.618	1.502 to 1.754
Partial model without FHD	0.805	0.780 to 0.829	1.294	1.201 to 1.754
Partial model without FHO	0.806	0.782 to 0.830	0.971	0.901 to 1.053
Partial model without FNW	0.808	0.784 to 0.832	0.324	0.300 to 0.351
Partial model without FNL	0.808	0.784 to 0.832	0.324	0.300 to 0.351
Partial model without NSA	0.808	0.784 to 0.832	0.324	0.300 to 0.351
Partial model without MCD	0.808	0.784 to 0.832	0.324	0.300 to 0.351
Partial model without PW	0.808	0.784 to 0.832	0.324	0.300 to 0.351
Partial model without AD	0.808	0.784 to 0.832	0.324	0.300 to 0.351
Partial model without PH	0.809	0.785 to 0.833	0	0
Partial model without OSD	0.809	0.785 to 0.833	0	0
Partial model without cluster 1	0.802	0.778 to 0.827	2.265	1.802 to 2.456
Partial model without cluster 2	0.787	0.761 to 0.812	7.120	6.306 to 8.421
Partial model without cluster 3	0.786	0.761 to 0.811	7.443	6.606 to 8.421

The Full full model included other risk factors and morphological features.

Other risk factors include<u>d</u> age, gender, weight, height, body mass index, calcaneal bone mineral density, finger nodes, type 3 2D:4D finger ratio, history of hip injury, <u>and manual occupation</u>. Morphological features include<u>d</u> AD, CEA, FHNR, NSA, FHD, FNL, FNW, FHO, OSD, ISD, MCD, SA, PW, <u>and PH</u>.

AD, acetabular depth; AUC, areas under the curve; CEA, centre edge angle; FHD, femoral head diameter; FHNR, femoral head to femoral neck ratio; FHO, femoral head offset; FNL, femoral neck length; FNW, femoral neck width; ISD, inner shaft diameter; MCD, mid-centre distance; NSA, neck shaft angle; OSD, outer shaft diameter; PH, pelvic height; PRC, proportional risk contribution; PW, pelvic width; SA, sourcil angle.

# DISCUSSION

Page 17 of 60

This is the first large study to assess 14 hip and pelvis morphological features, individually and in composite, and their contribution to the risk of hip OA. The rightleft symmetry of all measures demonstrated in the normal controls supports the assumption that the unaffected hip of unilateral hip OA cases represents the pre-OA morphology of the affected hip.[4, 5] Although age associated with some morphological features, it was not associated with the symmetry, i.e., the difference between left and right. The main findings are: (1) all 14 hip morphological features associated with increased risk of hip OA independent of age, gender and BMI, with larger SA being the strongest risk factor; (2) two patterns of associations were identified observed - dose response (single direction for risk) and U-shaped curve response (both higher and lower values associating with increased risk); (3) three clusters were identified (figure 2); and (4) the total contribution of the 14 morphological features to risk of hip OA was greater (35%) than the sum of other recognised risk factors (21%).

Our findings of small FHD, wide FNW, and short FNL as risk factors for hip OA concur with the conclusions of previous less robust studies.[6, 11, 14, 22-24] Biomechanically many of these features have a plausible aetiological mechanism. For example, small FHD and/or wide FNW may both encourage "cam type" impingement of the proximal femur on the acetabulum,[25] as does a non-spherical femoral head.[35] Furthermore, a small femoral head has a smaller surface area for load transmission, thus the force per unit area may be higher and cause increased joint tissue stress. On the other hand, a wide FNW may encourage "pincer-type" impingement of the femoral head-neck junction against the acetabular rim.[25] The explanation for smaller measurements of both OSD and

ISD could relate to the inverse relationship between osteoporosis and OA.[36] Low FHO and wide MCD necessitates a greater abductor muscles force to maintain body balance[37] and the resultant greater stress on the hip may predispose to OA. The association of AD, CEA, FHNR and NSA with hip OA were reported and discussed in our previous studies.[4, 5]

Importantly, our findings indicated that of the 14 features studied, increased SA was the strongest individual risk factor for hip OA and showed the highest PRC. Departure of the acetabular sourcil orientation from the horizontal plane will negatively affect the equilibrium of forces across the hip joint,[26] and with bigger SA the femoral head is less covered by the acetabulum, which is consistent with the negative correlation between SA and CEA, so the unit force per surface area is increased. In previous studies, SA related more than other indices with development of OA[38, 39] and it is considered a more precise measure for mild dysplasia than CEA.[40] Therefore overall, more verticalwide SA is a major morphological risk factor and may be used as a single surrogate marker in clinical practice to assess morphological risk of hip OA.

By using the HCPC method, t<u>T</u>he 14 morphological features were assigned into three clusters. Cluster analysis may uncover relationships between measures. For example, in a case with high NSA (coxa valga), the increased inclination of the weight-bearing surface of the acetabulum (assessed by SA) can increase the compressive forces on the joint and lower the threshold for the onset of OA.[41] The coexistence of less acetabular coverage and shorter femoral neck were reported in one hip shape mode (HSM) derived by statistical shape modelling which positively associated with incident hip OA.[14] But in another HSM, more

coverage of the femoral head and wider PW were found to associate with OA,[14] which is inconsistent with our findings. The higher proportion of women and the different definition of PW in that study[14] should be considered when comparing the results with ours. However, the possible explanation for the associations observed for PW and PH are open to speculation. Further prospective study for causality is still required.

The risk contribution of the 14 morphological features (PRC=35%, 95%CI 31% to 40%) was significantly larger than other established risk factors including age, gender, BMI, history of hip injury, physical occupation, nodal OA, and 2D:4D finger ratio (PRC=21%, 95%CI 19% to 24%). This suggests that local morphological risk factors may contribute more than systemic factors to development of hip OA. The results align with the literature for incidence and progression of hip OA[42, 43] and may be explained by shared single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) between OA and hip shape.[44, 45]

There are several caveats to this study. Firstly, this was a cross-sectional casecontrol study. Whether these morphological features cause hip OA requires a prospective population-based study. Although we used the unaffected hips of people with unilateral hip OA to determine constitutional pre-OA shape, it is possible that the morphology in the unaffected hip had adapted to altered gait pattern and abnormal loading caused by hip OA on the other side[46], in accord with Wolff's law which states that bones adapt their mass and shape in response to loading.[47] In addition, the apparently normal hips could have undergone bone remodelling due to early OA before other features such as cartilage loss were evident.[23] Furthermore, we did not account for presence of symptoms or

structural OA in other lower limb joints (knees, ankles, feet) of cases which may have affected biomechanical stress on the unaffected hip. Also we based absence of structural hip OA on radiographic assessment alone, which is less sensitive to early OA changes than other imaging modalities, such as magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). We also found that some morphological features changed with age in the control group. Although symmetry was unaffected by age, we cannot be certain that the current features measured in unaffected hips of cases would fully reflect the pre-OA morphology on the affected side before if it developed OA many years agobefore. Secondly, although we observed symmetry of morphological features in the non-disease control group, this does not exclude the possibility of asymmetry in the cases before they developed unilateral hip OA, or the presence of additional unidentified risk factors on the affected side, or protective factors on the unaffected side. This again requires a prospective cohort study to confirm whether the pre-disease morphological features are truly symmetrical between the left and right sides, and to determine how many people with the features of interest subsequently go on to develop bilateral hip OA. Thirdly, the GOAL database includes only Caucasian participants so the generalisability of the findings is limited and requires study in other populations. Fourthly Thirdly, we undertook measurements on a single two-dimensional standard AP pelvis radiograph without other views. Although this is conventional and readily applicable to large-scale population studies, it has major limitations for identifying true morphological variations in 3-dimensions. A further caveat is that measurement of morphological features was not undertaken blind of hip OA status, since pelvic images were saved on software (HIPAX) that prevents image cropping. Furthermore, despite the use of a standardised protocol, variations in positioning may have affected some

assessments, for example due to anteversion or rotation secondary to pain or deformity in the affected hip.

In conclusion, we have confirmed 14 morphological features that associate with increased risk of hip OA. The risk contribution of these features is more than that of other conventional risk factors combined. SA is the strongest risk factor and could be used as a single surrogate measure of morphological risk in large epidemiological studies or in clinical settings. Future prospective studies are required to definitively confirmprovide further support for causality between these features and OA.

**Acknowledgments** We are grateful to all the participants for taking part in the GOAL study and to all staff involved in the organisation and logistics of the study. **Contributors** Study design: HA, QJ, WZ, MD. Data analysis: HA, QJ, SS, AS, WZ, MD. All authors were responsible for interpretation of the data and for drafting, revising and approving the final submitted manuscript.

**Funding** AstraZeneca UK funded the GOAL study sample and data collection. The Arthritis Research Council (now Versus Arthritis) provided infrastructure support during the GOAL study (grant 14851).

**Disclaimer** The funders of the study had no role in study design, data collection, data analysis, data interpretation, or writing of the report. The corresponding author had full access to all the data in the study and had final responsibility for the decision to submit for publication.

> **Competing interest MD** reports grants from AstraZeneca and Versus Arthritis for this study, and personal fees from Grunenthal, Mallinckrodt outside the submitted work; WZ reports personal fees from Regeneron Inc outside the submitted work.

Patient and public involvement No.

Patient consent for publication Not required.

Ethics approval The GOAL study was conducted with the approval of the Nottingham Research Ethics Committee.

**Provenance and peer review** Not commissioned, to be externally peer reviewed.

Data availability statement Data are available upon reasonable request by contacting the corresponding author.

#### 

# REFERENCES

1. Brandt KD, Doherty M, Lohmander LS. The concept of osteoarthritis as failure of the diarthrodial joint. *Osteoarthritis*. 2nd edn. Oxford: Oxford University Press 2003:69-71.

2. MacGregor AJ, Antoniades L, Matson M, et al. The genetic contribution to radiographic hip osteoarthritis in women: results of a classic twin study. *Arthritis Rheum* 2000;43:2410-6.

doi: 10.1002/1529-0131(200011)43:11<2410::AID-ANR6>3.0.CO;2-E.

3. Lanyon P, Muir K, Doherty S, et al. Assessment of a genetic contribution to osteoarthritis of the hip: sibling study. *BMJ* 2000;321:1179-83.

doi: 10.1136/bmj.321.7270.1179.

4. Doherty M, Courtney P, Doherty S, et al. Nonspherical femoral head shape (pistol grip deformity), neck shaft angle, and risk of hip osteoarthritis: a case-control study. *Arthritis Rheum* 2008;58:3172-82.

doi: 10.1002/art.23939.

5. McWilliams DF, Doherty SA, Jenkins WD, et al. Mild acetabular dysplasia and risk of osteoarthritis of the hip: a case-control study. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2010;69:1774-8. doi: 10.1136/ard.2009.127076.

6. Nicholls AS, Kiran A, Pollard TC, et al. The association between hip morphology parameters and nineteen-year risk of end-stage osteoarthritis of the hip: a nested case-control study. *Arthritis Rheum* 2011;63:3392-400.

doi: 10.1002/art.30523.

7. Murray RO. The aetiology of primary osteoarthritis of the hip. *Br J Radiol* 1965;38:810-24. doi: 10.1259/0007-1285-38-455-810.

8. Solomon L. Patterns of osteoarthritis of the hip. *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 1976;58:176-83. doi: 10.1302/0301-620X.58B2.932079

9. Solomon L, Schnitzler CM, Browett JP. Osteoarthritis of the hip: the patient behind the disease. *Ann Rheum Dis* 1982;41:118-25.

doi: 10.1136/ard.41.2.118.

10. Tian W, Zhao L, Wang J, et al. Association analysis between HOXD9 genes and the development of developmental dysplasia of the hip in Chinese female Han population. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord* 2012;13:59.

doi: 10.1186/1471-2474-13-59

11. Gosvig KK, Jacobsen S, Palm H, et al. A new radiological index for assessing asphericity of the femoral head in cam impingement. *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 2007;89:1309-16. doi: 10.1302/0301-620X.89B10.19405.

12. Faber BG, Baird D, Gregson CL, et al. DXA-derived hip shape is related to osteoarthritis: findings from in the MrOS cohort. *Osteoarthr Cartil* 2017;25:2031-8.

doi: 10.1016/j.joca.2017.09.006.

13. Ahedi HG, Aspden RM, Blizzard LC, et al. Hip shape as a predictor of osteoarthritis progression in a prospective population cohorth. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)* 2017;69:1566-73. doi: 10.1002/acr.23166.

14. Castano-Betancourt MC, Van Meurs JB, Bierma-Zeinstra S, et al. The contribution of hip geometry to the prediction of hip osteoarthritis. *Osteoarthr Cartil* 2013;21:1530-6. doi: 10.1016/j.joca.2013.06.012.

15. Valdes AM, Evangelou E, Kerkhof HJ, et al. The GDF5 rs143383 polymorphism is associated with osteoarthritis of the knee with genome-wide statistical significance. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2011;70:873-5.

doi: 10.1136/ard.2010.134155.

16. Miyamoto Y, Mabuchi A, Shi D, et al. A functional polymorphism in the 5' UTR of GDF5 is associated with susceptibility to osteoarthritis. *Nat Genet* 2007;39:529-33.

#### doi: 10.1038/2005.

 17. Evangelou E, Chapman K, Meulenbelt I, et al. Large-scale analysis of association between GDF5 and FRZB variants and osteoarthritis of the hip, knee, and hand. *Arthritis Rheum* 2009;60:1710-21.

doi: 10.1002/art.24524.

18. Baker-Lepain JC, Lynch JA, Parimi N, et al. Variant alleles of the Wnt antagonist FRZB are determinants of hip shape and modify the relationship between hip shape and osteoarthritis. *Arthritis Rheum* 2012;64:1457-65.

doi: 10.1002/art.34526.

19. Day-Williams AG, Southam L, Panoutsopoulou K, et al. A variant in MCF2L is associated with osteoarthritis. *Am J Hum Genet* 2011;89:446-50.

doi: 10.1016/j.ajhg.2011.08.001.

20. Ganz R, Leunig M, Leunig-Ganz K, et al. The etiology of osteoarthritis of the hip: an integrated mechanical concept. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 2008;466:264-72.

doi: 10.1007/s11999-007-0060-z.

21. Wright AA, Cook C, Abbott JH. Variables associated with the progression of hip osteoarthritis: a systematic review. *Arthritis Rheum* 2009;61:925-36.

doi: 10.1002/art.24641.

22. Gregory JS, Waarsing JH, Day J, et al. Early identification of radiographic osteoarthritis of the hip using an active shape model to quantify changes in bone morphometric features: can hip shape tell us anything about the progression of osteoarthritis? *Arthritis Rheum* 2007;56:3634-43. doi: 10.1002/art.22982.

23. Javaid MK, Lane NE, Mackey DC, et al. Changes in proximal femoral mineral geometry precede the onset of radiographic hip osteoarthritis: the study of osteoporotic fractures. *Arthritis Rheum* 2009;60:2028-36.

doi: 10.1002/art.24639.

24. Waarsing JH, Rozendaal RM, Verhaar JA, et al. A statistical model of shape and density of the proximal femur in relation to radiological and clinical OA of the hip. *Osteoarthr Cartil* 2010;18:787-94.

doi: 10.1016/j.joca.2010.02.003.

25. Ganz R, Parvizi J, Beck M, et al. Femoroacetabular impingement: a cause for osteoarthritis of the hip. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 2003;417:112-20.

doi: 10.1097/01.blo.0000096804.78689.c2.

26. Bomelli R. Osteoarthritis of the hip: classification and pathogenesis. New York: Springer 1983:98-99.

27. Tönnis D. Congenital dysplasia and dislocation of the hip in children and adults. Springer 1987:100-42.

28. Limer KL, Tosh K, Bujac SR, et al. Attempt to replicate published genetic associations in a large, well-defined osteoarthritis case-control population (the GOAL study). *Osteoarthr Cartil* 2009;17:782-9.

doi: 10.1016/j.joca.2008.09.019.

29. Zhang W, Robertson J, Doherty S, et al. Index to ring finger length ratio and the risk of osteoarthritis. *Arthritis Rheum* 2008;58:137-44.

doi: 10.1002/art.23237.

30. Croft P, Cooper C, Wickham C, et al. Defining osteoarthritis of the hip for epidemiologic studies. *Am J Epidemiol* 1990;132:514-22.

doi: 10.1093/oxfordjournals.aje.a115687.

31. Wu CY, Chuang LL, Lin KC, et al. Responsiveness, minimal detectable change, and minimal clinically important difference of the Nottingham Extended Activities of Daily Living Scale in

1	
2	
3	patients with improved performance after stroke rehabilitation. Arch Phys Med Rehabil
4	2011;92:1281-7.
5	doi: 10.1016/j.apmr.2011.03.008.
0	32. Husson F, Josse J, Le S, et al. Multivariate exploratory data analysis and data mining. R package.
/ 0	2016.
0	33 Sarmanova A Fernandes GS Richardson H et al Contribution of central and peripheral risk
10	factors to prevalence, incidence and progression of knee pain: a community-based cohort study
10	Octeoarthr Cartil 2018:26:1461-73
12	dei: 10 1016/i ieco 2018 07 012
13	UUI. 10.1010/j.j0(d.2018.07.013.
14	34. Holiiday KL, McWilliams DF, Maclewicz KA, et al. Lifetime body mass index, other
15	anthropometric measures of obesity and risk of knee or hip osteoarthritis in the GUAL case-control
16	study. Osteoarthr Cartil 2011;19:37-43.
17	doi: 10.1016/j.joca.2010.10.014.
18	35. Bowman KF Jr, Fox J, Sekiya JK. A clinically relevant review of hip biomechanics. Arthroscopy
19	2010;26:1118-29.
20	doi: 10.1016/j.arthro.2010.01.027.
21	36. Dequeker J. Inverse relationship of interface between osteoporosis and osteoarthritis. J
22	Rheumatol 1997;24:795-8.
23	37. Neumann DA. Biomechanical analysis of selected principles of hip joint protection. Arthritis
24	Care Res 1989;2:146-55.
25	doi: 10.1002/anr.1790020409.
20	38. Vossinakis IC, Georgiades G, Kafidas D, et al. Unilateral hip osteoarthritis: can we predict the
28	outcome of the other hip? Skeletal Radiol 2008:37:911-6.
29	doi: 10.1007/s00256-008-0522-8.
30	39 Bouver B Mazieres B Guillemin E et al Association between hin mornhology and prevalence
31	clinical severity and progression of hip octeoarthritis over 3 years: the knee and hip octeoarthritis
32	long-term assessment cohort results. <i>Joint Bone Spine</i> 2016:83:432-8
33	doi: 10 1016/i ibcnin 2015 00 005
34	40 Pereira E. Ciles A. Wood C. et al. Peregnitian of minor adult his dysplacia: which anatomical
35	40. Pereira P, Giles A, Wood G, et al. Recognition of minor adult hip dyspiasia. which anatomical
36	Indices are important? <i>Hip int</i> 2014;24:175-9.
37	
38	41. Bombelli R, Santore RF, Poss R. Mechanics of the normal and osteoarthritic hip. A new
39	perspective. Clin Orthop Relat Res 1984;182:69-78.
40	doi: 10.1097/00003086-198401000-00010
42	42. Saberi Hosnijeh F, Kavousi M, Boer CG, et al. Development of a prediction model for future
43	risk of radiographic hip osteoarthritis. <i>Osteoarthr Cartil</i> 2018;26:540-6.
44	doi: 10.1016/j.joca.2018.01.015.
45	43. Hirvasniemi J, Gielis WP, Arbabi S, et al. Bone texture analysis for prediction of incident
46	radiographic hip osteoarthritis using machine learning: data from the Cohort Hip and Cohort Knee
47	(CHECK) study. Osteoarthr Cartil 2019;27:906-14.
48	doi: 10.1016/j.joca.2019.02.796.
49	44. Baird DA, Evans DS, Kamanu FK, et al. Identification of novel loci associated with hip shape: a
50	meta-analysis of genomewide association studies. J Bone Miner Res 2019;34:241-51.
51	doi: 10.1002/jbmr.3605.
52 52	45. Tachmazidou I, Hatzikotoulas K, Southam L, et al. Identification of new therapeutic targets
53 54	for osteoarthritis through genome-wide analyses of UK Biobank data. <i>Nat Genet</i> 2019:51:230-6.
55	doi: 10.1038/s41588-018-0327-1.
56	46. Lenaerts G. Bartels W. Gelaude F. et al. Subject-specific hip geometry and hip joint centre
57	location affects calculated contact forces at the hin during gait I Riomech 2009-42-1246-51
58	doi: 10.1016/i ibiomech 2009.03.037
59	
60	

47. Frost HM. Why should many skeletal scientists and clinicians learn the Utah paradigm of skeletal physiology? J Musculoskelet Neuronal Interact 2001;2:121-30.

yild yilds winds use and us

#### 

# Figure legendLEGEND

**Figure 1** Diagram showing the morphological measurements of the hip and pelvic bones: AD, acetabular depth; CEA, centre edge angle; FHD, femoral head diameter; FHO, femoral head offset; FNL, femoral neck length; FNW, femoral neck width; ISD, inner shaft diameter; MCD, mid-centre distance; NSA, neck shaft angle; OSD, outer shaft diameter; PH, pelvic height; PW, pelvic width; SA, sourcil angle.

**Figure 2** Diagram showing the morphological features were assigned into 3 clusters: Cluster 1 includes FHNR; cluster 2 includes SA, NSA, FNW and MCD; and cluster 3 includes AD, CEA, FHD, FNL, OSD, ISD, FHO, PW, PH.

AD, acetabular depth; CEA, centre edge angle; FHD, femoral head diameter; FHNR, femoral head to femoral neck ratio; FHO, femoral head offset; FNL, femoral neck length; FNW, femoral neck width; ISD, inner shaft diameter; MCD, mid-centre distance; NSA, neck shaft angle; OSD, outer shaft diameter; PH, pelvic height; PW, pelvic width; SA, sourcil angle.

Title: Constitutional morphological features and risk of hip osteoarthritis: a case control study using standard radiographs

Hunar Abdulrahim,1\* Qiang Jiao,2\* Subhashisa Swain,1 Khosrow Sehat,3 Aliya

Sarmanova,<sup>4</sup> Kenneth Muir,<sup>5</sup> Weiya Zhang,<sup>1#</sup> Michael Doherty<sup>1</sup>

1. Academic Rheumatology, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, UK

2. Orthopaedic Department, Second Hospital of Shanxi Medical University, Taiyuan, Shanxi, China

3. Orthopaedic Department, Nottingham University Hospital NHS Trust, Nottingham, UK

4. Musculoskeletal research unit, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK

5. Division of Population Health, Health Services Research and Primary Care,

University of Manchester, Manchester, UK

\*joint-lead authors

#Correspondence to:

Weiya Zhang

Academic Rheumatology, Clinical Sciences Building

City Hospital

Nottingham NG5 1PB, UK

Tel: +44 115 8231756

# Weiya.Zhang@nottingham.ac.uk

	Word
Abstract	245
Introduction	487
Methods	723
Results	551
Discussion	1229
Total	2990

# Key messages

# What is already known about this subject?

Several constitutional variants of hip joint shape associate with increased risk of hip osteoarthritis (OA). However, whether these relate to each other, and the overall contribution of morphological variants to risk of hip OA are unknown.

# What does this study add?

Fourteen morphological features of the hip and pelvis, ten of which had not been studied before, were shown to associate with hip OA after adjusting for age, gender and body mass index (BMI). The strongest association was with more vertical sourcil angle (SA). Three clusters of features were identified, and the proportional risk contribution (PRC) to hip OA was 35% for the combined variants, compared to 21% for other recognised risk factors combined.

# How might this impact on clinical practice or future developments?

Although prospective studies are required to provide further support for causality, morphological variation is a strong risk factor for hip OA and may partially explain its heritability. SA measured on standard radiographs may be used as a single surrogate marker to assess morphological risk of hip OA.

# ABSTRACT

**Objectives** To evaluate the risk of association with hip osteoarthritis (OA) of 14 morphological features measured on standard antero-posterior pelvis radiographs. **Methods** A case-control study of 566 symptomatic unilateral hip OA cases and 1108 controls without hip OA, using the Genetics of Osteoarthritis and Lifestyle (GOAL) database. Unaffected hips of cases were assumed to reflect pre-OA morphology of the contralateral affected hip. Odds ratios (ORs) with 95% confidence interval (CI) adjusted for confounding factors were calculated using logistic regression. Hierarchical clustering on principal component (HCPC) method was used to identify clusters of morphological features. Proportional risk contribution (PRC) of these morphological features in the context of other risk factors of hip OA was estimated using receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis.

**Results** All morphological features showed right-left symmetry in controls. Each feature was associated with hip OA after adjusting for age, gender, and body mass index (BMI). Increased sourcil angle (SA) had the strongest association (OR: 6.93, 95%CI 5.16 to 9.32). Three clusters were identified. The PRC varied between individual features, as well as between clusters. It was 35% (95%CI 31 to 40%) for all 14 morphological features, compared to 21% (95%CI 19 to 24%) for all other well-established risk factors.

**Conclusions** Constitutional morphological variation strongly associates with hip OA development and may explain much of its heritability. Relevant morphological measures can be assessed readily on standard radiographs to help predict risk of hip OA. Prospective studies are required to provide further support for causality. **Keywords** Hip osteoarthritis; Morphology; Sourcil angle; Heritability

# INTRODUCTION

Osteoarthritis (OA) is a common complex disorder with multiple interactions between genetic, constitutional and environmental risk factors.[1] Strong genetic contribution to hip OA is supported by 60% heritability in a classic twin study in women,[2] and a five-fold increased prevalence of radiographic hip OA in siblings of people with hip OA requiring total hip replacement.[3] Morphological variation of the hip and pelvis is also emphasised as a potentially important constitutional risk factor for hip OA.[4-9]

It is recognised that rare monogenic abnormalities of bone shape such as severe acetabular dysplasia can cause young-onset hip OA.[10] However, it is possible that more subtle variations in joint and bone morphology, resulting from multiple common gene polymorphisms, may impose biomechanical insult and partially explain genetic predisposition in common hip OA. This is supported by studies showing that mild hip dysplasia,[5] non-spherical femoral head ("pistol grip" deformity)[4, 11] and high or low neck shaft angle[4, 10] are relatively common and associate with increased risk of hip OA. Studies using statistical shape modelling also report associations between variations in proximal femoral shape and risk of hip OA.[12-14] It is also noteworthy that three genetic associations with large joint OA confirmed with genome-wide significance (GDF5,[15, 16] FRZB[17, 18] and MCF2L[19]) are involved in early skeletal growth. Furthermore, hip OA frequently occurs without OA at other sites,[20, 21] supporting the importance of local factors in its development.

Previously we used the Genetics of OA and Lifestyle (GOAL) database to demonstrate that mild acetabular dysplasia (assessed by acetabular depth (AD),

centre edge angle (CEA)),[5] non-spherical femoral head shape (assessed by femoral head to femoral neck ratio (FHNR))[4] and both high and low neck shaft angle (NSA)[4] associate with hip OA. Because morphological features can be secondary to hip OA, we undertook measures of the unaffected hip of people with unilateral hip OA under the assumption that this reflects the constitutional morphology of the affected hip prior to hip OA development. This assumption was supported by right-left symmetry in normal controls without hip OA.[4, 5] However, these and other morphological features may relate to, or interact with each other to increase risk of hip OA. In addition, the proportional risk contribution (PRC) of local morphological features in the context of overall risk of developing hip OA is unknown. The objectives of this study were to: (1) examine 10 additional morphological features of the hip and pelvis that can be measured readily on plain radiographs, for right-left symmetry and age variation; and (2) measure their risk contributions, both individually and in combination with others, and in the context of other recognised risk factors for hip OA. The new features we assessed were: femoral head diameter (FHD);[22] femoral neck length (FNL)[23] and femoral neck width (FNW);[6, 23, 24] femoral head offset (FHO);[25] femoral outer shaft diameter (OSD) and inner shaft diameter (ISD); sourcil angle (SA);[26, 27] midcentre distance (MCD); and pelvic width (PW) and pelvic height (PH).

## **METHODS**

#### **Cases and controls**

All participants (566 unilateral hip OA cases and 1108 non-OA controls) were selected from the Nottingham GOAL database, which was a hospital-based case-

Page 33 of 60

control study to investigate genetic associations and gene-environmental interaction in people with knee or hip OA. 59% of unilateral hip OA individuals had right hip OA and 41% had left hip OA. The laterality of unaffected hips was matched in the same ratio to controls. All participants were Caucasian and aged between 45 and 80 years. Details of recruitment, exclusion criteria, questionnaire, and clinical and radiographic assessments of participants have been published previously.[4, 5, 28, 29]

### Radiographic assessment of hips

A standard protocol was used to obtain antero-posterior (AP) non weight-bearing radiographs of the pelvis with the participants supine and feet internally rotated 10°.[4] All radiographs were scored previously by a single observer for radiographic features of hip OA, which included minimum joint space width (JSW).[4, 5] Radiographic hip OA was defined as JSW ≤2.5 mm.[30] Those participants with unilateral hip OA, that is no symptoms and normal radiographic appearance (JSW >2.5 mm and no other OA features) in the contralateral hip, were included for morphological assessment of the unaffected hip. The asymptomatic control group (all with JSW >2.5 mm and no radiographic features of OA in either hip) underwent morphological assessment of both hips. These controls also had no symptoms or radiographic evidence (Kellgren Lawrence grade <2) of knee OA. The anatomical indices that were measured are described in table 1 and figure 1. Data for four (AD, CEA, FHNR and NSA) of these features had previously been scored by a single observer with good reproducibility, [4, 5] and were re-used in the current study. The ten other new features were measured both in normal controls and participants with unilateral hip OA by a different single trained reader (HA) using HIPAX <text><text> software (Hipax, Vorstetten, Germany). As in our previous studies, this reader was

https://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/ard

 Table 1 Descriptions of the morphological landmarks and measurements of the hip joint and pelvic bones examined in this study

Morphological measurements	Descriptions
Centre of femoral head	The equatorial centre of the head was determined by fitting it's geometry within a concentric circle on the Perspex template of the Lequesne arthrometer.[48]
Femoral shaft axis	Two points in the centre of the femoral shaft were measured to be equidistant from the medial and lateral borders, one at the lowest part of the femoral shaft and the other one below the lesser trochanter. The line connecting these two points described the axis of the femoral shaft.
Femoral neck axis	The midpoint of the shortest segment of the femoral neck was measured to be equidistant from the superior and inferior borders. A line passing through the centre of the femoral head and the midpoint of the femoral neck described this axis.
Acetabular depth (AD)	The distance between the deepest point of the acetabular roof to a line drawn between the edge of the articular surface of the acetabulum and the upper corner of the symphysis publis on the same side.[7]
Centre edge angle (CEA)	The angle between the line from the femoral head centre to the lateral aspect of the acetabulum, and a vertical line drawn from the centre of the femoral head at right angles to the line joining the two femoral head centres.[49]
Femoral head to femoral neck ratio (FHNR)	The ratio of femoral head diameter divided by femoral neck width.[4]
Neck shaft angle (NSA)	The angle between the femoral shaft axis and femoral neck axis.
Femoral head diameter (FHD)	The maximum diameter was described by drawing a line through the central point of the femoral head and at a right angle to the femoral neck axis line.
Femoral neck width (FNW)	This was the minimum femoral neck diameter, determined by drawing a line at the narrowest point of the femoral neck and at a right angle to the femoral neck axis.
Femoral neck length (FNL)	The distance from the defined centre of the femoral head to the intersection of the femoral neck axis and femoral shaft axis.
Outer shaft diameter of the femur (OSD)	This was defined as the full diameter of the femoral shaft, which was made at the level of half of the femoral head diameter, distal to the lesser trochanter.
Inner shaft diameter of the femur (ISD)	This was measured at the level of half of the head diameter distal to the lesser trochanter. This measurement represents the thickness of the medullary canal of the femoral bone.
Mid-centre distance (MCD)	The distance from the centre of the femoral head to the midline of the pelvic X-ray and perpendicular to this midline point.
Sourcil angle (SA)	The angle formed between a line extending from the medial to the lateral edge of the sourcil and a horizontal line.[27]
Femoral head offset (FHO)	The distance from the centre of the femoral head to the axis of the femoral shaft in a right angle.
Pelvic width (PW)	The widest diameter of the pelvic bone on the radiograph.
Pelvic height (PH)	The greatest height of the pelvic bone at the centre of the pelvis on the radiograph.

## **Statistical analysis**

The intra-observer reproducibility of measuring the 10 new morphological features was assessed using a random sample of 30 pelvis radiographs on three occasions (beginning, middle and end of study). Inter-observer reproducibility was assessed by measuring 30 pelvis radiographs for 2 previously assessed measures (NSA and FHNR) and comparing results to those of the previous readers.[4] Intra-class correlation coefficient (ICC) was used to determine reproducibility.

Symmetry of the morphological measurements was determined using paired t-test and minimal detectable change (MDC) in the control group.[31] The difference between groups was determined using t-test (continuous data) or  $\chi^2$  test (categorical data). Correlations between the measurements were examined using Pearson correlation coefficient. The dose-response relationship of individual morphological measurements in tertiles and risk of OA was examined. Logistic regression model was used to calculate odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) adjusting for confounding factors such as age, gender and body mass index (BMI).

Cluster analysis was undertaken using the hierarchical clustering on principal component (HCPC) method to examine clusters of morphological measurements. HCPC was done using "factoextra" and "FactoMineR" packages in R.[32] Distribution of clusters was plotted in the factor map.

The PRC was estimated using receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves where areas under the curve (AUC) were proportionalised according to risk factors.[33] Firstly, we built the full risk model with all risk factors available in a ROC curve (AUC<sub>f</sub>). The full risk model included established risk factors such as age,

gender, weight, height, BMI, calcaneal bone mineral density (BMD), finger nodes in at least two rays of each hand, type 3 pattern of index to ring finger (2D:4D) ratio, history of hip injury, manual occupation,[4, 29, 34] and all 14 morphological features (i.e. both the newly assessed and previously measured features in GOAL). Secondly, we removed the risk factor(s) of interest to examine the contribution of the risk factor(s) removed through the reduction of the ROC curve, i.e., the partial AUC (AUC<sub>p</sub>). Thirdly we calculated the PRC using the following formula: PRC=(AUC<sub>f</sub> - AUC<sub>p</sub>) / (AUC<sub>f</sub> - 0.5), where 0.5 is the AUC under the diagonal line of the ROC curve indicating no discrimination at all by all included risk factors.[33] Data were analysed using STATA V.15 and R v.3.5. A significance level of p <0.05 was set for all analyses.

# RESULTS

# Characteristics of the study participants

Characteristics of study participants are shown in table 2. Of 1674 participants, 566 had unilateral hip OA (cases) and 1108 had no hip OA (normal controls). Gender, height and manual occupation were similar between groups, but cases were older and had higher weight, BMI and BMD than controls. Prevalence of nodal hand OA, type 3 pattern 2D:4D finger ratio, and frequency of self-reported hip injury were also higher in the OA group.

	Unilateral hip OA Non-OA cor		
	(n=566)	(n=1108)	
Age (years)	67.5 ± 7.2	64.2 ± 8.4**	
Women (%)	47.9	46.3	
BMI (kg/m²)	29.3 ± 5.0	27.5 ± 4.6**	
Weight (kg)	81.1 ± 16.4	76.9 ± 15.1**	
Height (cm)	166.1 ± 9.4	166.9 ± 9.2	
Calcaneal BMD	0.9 ± 1.3	0.7 ± 1.2**	
Finger nodes (%)	23.1	11.6**	
Type 3 2D:4D ratio (%)	41.3	34.2*	
History of hip injury (%)	7.1	1.6**	
Manual occupation (%)	36.9	33.9	

Table 2 Characteristics of the study participants

Mean  $\pm$  SD or prevalence are shown.

\*p <0.05, \*\*p <0.01

BMD, bone mineral density; BMI, body mass index; OA, osteoarthritis.

# **Repeatability of measurements**

In addition to the excellent reproducibility of the four features reported previously,[4, 5] the 10 new features had good intra-observer agreement across the three time points, the ICCs ranging from 0.84 to 0.97 for all features (p < 0.05). There was also good agreement between the two readers for NSA and FHNR with ICCs of 0.87 and 0.85 respectively (p < 0.05).

# Symmetry and age association in non-OA controls

In the non-OA control group the paired t-test showed that mean differences between left and right sides for most measurements were not statistically significant except for AD, CEA, ISD and MCD. However, the magnitude of these

differences was less than  $MDC_{90}$  (online supplementary Table S1). While age was associated with most morphological features on the left and right, it was not associated with symmetry, i.e., the difference between left and right (online supplementary Table S2).

# **Risk of hip OA**

Table 3 represents the OR of hip OA associated with individual morphological measures. After adjustment for age, gender and BMI, the risk of hip OA increased as the tertiles for AD, CEA, FHD, FHNR, FNL, ISD, OSD, PW decreased. In contrast, SA showed a positive dose response, the risk of hip OA being 7 times higher for Tertile 3 versus Tertile 1 (OR: 6.93, 95%CI 5.16 to 9.32, p <0.01).

FNW, MCD, FHO, PH and NSA showed a U-shape association with hip OA. Using Tertile 2 as the referent, the results showed that either the smaller or larger of these measures were associated with increased risk of OA. For example, either high or low NSA associated with greater risk of hip OA, ORs being 1.50 (95% CI 1.15 to 1.96) and 1.36 (95% CI 1.05 to 1.75), respectively. The results by gender are shown in supplementary table S3 (online supplementary Table S3).

i		Freque	ency (%)	OR (95%C	()
		Cases	Controls	Crude	Adjusted
Acetabular	T1	273 (48.23)	285 (25.75)	1 (referent)	1 (referent)
depth	T2	164 (28.98)	396 (35.77)	0.43 (0.33-0.56)**	0.45 (0.35-0.59)**
	Т3	129 (22.79)	426 (38.48)	0.31 (0.24-0.41)**	0.30 (0.23-0.39)**
	P trend			<0.001	
Centre edge	T1	290 (51.24)	277 (25.00)	1 (referent)	1 (referent)
angle	T2	163 (28.80)	443 (39.98)	0.35 (0.27 to 0.45)**	0.33 (0.26 to 0.43)**
	Т3	113 (19.96)	388 (35.02)	0.27 (0.21 to 0.36)**	0.23 (0.17 to 0.30)**
	P trend	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	<0.001	· · · · ·
Femoral head	T1	210 (37.10)	348 (31.41)	1 (referent)	1 (referent)
diameter	T2	172 (30.39)	386 (34.84)	0.74 (0.58 to 0.95)*	0.58 (0.43 to 0.79)**
	Т3	184 (32.51)	374 (33.75)	0.81 (0.64 to 1.04)	0.57 (0.39 to 0.84)**
	P trend	- ( )	- ()	0.100	
Femoral head	T1	239 (42.23)	326 (29,48)	1 (referent)	1 (referent)
to femoral	T2	191 (33 75)	380 (34 36)	0.68 (0.54 to 0.87)**	0.65 (0.50 to 0.84)**
neck ratio	T3	136 (24 03)	400 (36 17)	0.46 (0.35 to 0.60)**	$0.41 (0.31 \text{ to } 0.56)^{**}$
	P trend	100 (21.00)	100 (00.17)	<0.001	0.11 (0.01 to 0.00)
Femoral nock	T1	217 (38 75)	321 (30 51)	1 (referent)	1 (referent)
length	T2	178 (21 70)	321 (30.31) 350 (34 13)		
longui	12 T2	165 (20.46)	333 (34.13) 373 (25.26)	$0.75(0.57(0.94))^{\circ}$	$0.7 + (0.00 + 0.00)^{\circ}$
	Dirord	105 (29.46)	312 (33.30)	0.00 (0.50 to 0.84)** 0.001	0.04 (0.48 10 0.83)**
lanor obe#		214 (20.05)	914 (94 50)	U.UUI	1 (referent)
Inner snatt		214 (39.05)	314 (31.56)		
diameter	12	195 (35.58)	318 (31.96)	0.89 (0.70 to 1.15)	0.79 (0.60 to 1.02)
	Т3	139 (25.36)	363 (36.48)	0.56 (0.43 to 0.73)**	0.44 (0.33 to 0.58)**
	P trend			<0.001	
Outer shaft	T1	201 (36.68)	313 (32.86)	1 (referent)	1 (referent)
diameter	T2	176 (32.12)	332 (33.37)	0.86 (0.67 to 1.11)	0.68 (0.51 to 0.90)**
	Т3	171 (31.20)	336 (33.77)	0.83 (0.64 to 1.07)	0.60 (0.44 to 0.82)**
	P trend			0.143	
Pelvic width	T1	174 (37.26)	346 (31.77)	1 (referent)	1 (referent)
	T2	148 (31.69)	370 (33.98)	0.79 (0.61 to 1.03)	0.70 (0.53 to 0.92)*
	Т3	145 (31.05)	373 (34.25)	0.77 (0.59 to 1.00)	0.60 (0.45 to 0.79)**
	P trend	. ,	. ,	0.054	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Sourcil	T1	90 (16.27)	464 (41.95)	1 (referent)	1 (referent)
angle	T2	158 (28.57)	394 (35.62)	2.06 (1.53 to 2.77)**	2.11 (1.55 to 2.86)**
0	Т3	305 (55.15)	248 (22.42)	6.34 (4.66 to 8.62)**	6.93 (5.16 to 9.32)**
	P trend		()	< 0.001	0.00 (0.10 10 0.00_)
Femoral head	T1	217 (38,75)	321 (30.69)	1.57 (1.22 to 2.03)**	1.67 (1.28 to 2.19)**
offset	T2	160 (28 57)	373 (35.66)	1 (referent)	1 (referent)
	T3	183 (32 68)	352 (33 65)	1 21 (0 93 to 1 56)	1 19 (0 91 to 1 56)
	P trend	100 (02.00)	002 (00.00)	NA	
Femoral neck		184 (32 51)	377 (34 03)	1.04 (0.81 to 1.33)	1 01 (0 73 to 1 37)
width		104 (32.01)	511 (54.05)	1.04 (0.01 (0 1.33)	
width	T2	170 (21 15)	279 (24 12)	1 (roforont)	1 (rotoropt)
	T2	178 (31.45)	378 (34.12)	1 (referent)	1 (referent) 1 34 (1 01 to 1 70)*
	T2 T3 P trond	178 (31.45) 204 (36.04)	378 (34.12) 353 (31.86)	1 (referent) 1.22 (0.96 to 1.57)	1 (referent) 1.34 (1.01 to 1.79)*
	T2 T3 P trend	178 (31.45) 204 (36.04)	378 (34.12) 353 (31.86)	1 (referent) 1.22 (0.96 to 1.57) NA	1 (referent) 1.34 (1.01 to 1.79)*
Mid-centre	T2 T3 P trend T1	178 (31.45) 204 (36.04) 172 (30.39)	378 (34.12) 353 (31.86) 386 (34.84)	1 (referent) 1.22 (0.96 to 1.57) NA 0.99 (0.77 to 1.28)	1 (referent) 1.34 (1.01 to 1.79)* 1.03 (0.79 to 1.34)
Mid-centre distance	T2 T3 P trend T1 T2	178 (31.45) 204 (36.04) 172 (30.39) 173 (30.57)	378 (34.12) 353 (31.86) 386 (34.84) 385 (34.75)	1 (referent) 1.22 (0.96 to 1.57) NA 0.99 (0.77 to 1.28) 1 (referent)	1 (referent) 1.34 (1.01 to 1.79)* 1.03 (0.79 to 1.34) 1 (referent)
Mid-centre distance	T2 T3 P trend T1 T2 T3	178 (31.45) 204 (36.04) 172 (30.39) 173 (30.57) 221 (39.05)	378 (34.12) 353 (31.86) 386 (34.84) 385 (34.75) 337 (30.42)	1 (referent) 1.22 (0.96 to 1.57) NA 0.99 (0.77 to 1.28) 1 (referent) 1.46 (1.14 to 1.87)**	1 (referent) 1.34 (1.01 to 1.79)* 1.03 (0.79 to 1.34) 1 (referent) 1.43 (1.11 to 1.85)**
Mid-centre distance	T2 T3 P trend T1 T2 T3 P trend	178 (31.45) 204 (36.04) 172 (30.39) 173 (30.57) 221 (39.05)	378 (34.12) 353 (31.86) 386 (34.84) 385 (34.75) 337 (30.42)	1 (referent) 1.22 (0.96 to 1.57) NA 0.99 (0.77 to 1.28) 1 (referent) 1.46 (1.14 to 1.87)** NA	1 (referent) 1.34 (1.01 to 1.79)* 1.03 (0.79 to 1.34) 1 (referent) 1.43 (1.11 to 1.85)**
Mid-centre distance Pelvic height	T2 T3 P trend T1 T2 T3 P trend T1	178 (31.45) 204 (36.04) 172 (30.39) 173 (30.57) 221 (39.05) 145 (38.87)	378 (34.12) 353 (31.86) 386 (34.84) 385 (34.75) 337 (30.42) 320 (31.34)	1 (referent) 1.22 (0.96 to 1.57) NA 0.99 (0.77 to 1.28) 1 (referent) 1.46 (1.14 to 1.87)** NA 1.45 (1.08 to 1.94)	1 (referent) 1.34 (1.01 to 1.79)* 1.03 (0.79 to 1.34) 1 (referent) 1.43 (1.11 to 1.85)** 1.51 (1.09 to 2.07)*
Mid-centre distance Pelvic height	T2 T3 P trend T1 T2 T3 P trend T1 T2	178 (31.45) 204 (36.04) 172 (30.39) 173 (30.57) 221 (39.05) 145 (38.87) 111 (29.76)	378 (34.12) 353 (31.86) 386 (34.84) 385 (34.75) 337 (30.42) 320 (31.34) 355 (34.77)	1 (referent) 1.22 (0.96 to 1.57) NA 0.99 (0.77 to 1.28) 1 (referent) 1.46 (1.14 to 1.87)** NA 1.45 (1.08 to 1.94) 1 (referent)	1 (referent) 1.34 (1.01 to 1.79)* 1.03 (0.79 to 1.34) 1 (referent) 1.43 (1.11 to 1.85)** 1.51 (1.09 to 2.07)* 1 (referent)
Mid-centre distance Pelvic height	T2 T3 P trend T1 T2 T3 P trend T1 T2 T3	178 (31.45) 204 (36.04) 172 (30.39) 173 (30.57) 221 (39.05) 145 (38.87) 111 (29.76) 117 (31.37)	378 (34.12) 353 (31.86) 386 (34.84) 385 (34.75) 337 (30.42) 320 (31.34) 355 (34.77) 346 (33.89)	1 (referent) 1.22 (0.96 to 1.57) NA 0.99 (0.77 to 1.28) 1 (referent) 1.46 (1.14 to 1.87)** NA 1.45 (1.08 to 1.94) 1 (referent) 1.08 (0.80 to 1.46)	1 (referent) 1.34 (1.01 to 1.79)* 1.03 (0.79 to 1.34) 1 (referent) 1.43 (1.11 to 1.85)** 1.51 (1.09 to 2.07)* 1 (referent) 1.05 (0.75 to 1.47)
Mid-centre distance Pelvic height	T2 T3 P trend T1 T2 T3 P trend T1 T2 T3 P trend	178 (31.45) 204 (36.04) 172 (30.39) 173 (30.57) 221 (39.05) 145 (38.87) 111 (29.76) 117 (31.37)	378 (34.12) 353 (31.86) 386 (34.84) 385 (34.75) 337 (30.42) 320 (31.34) 355 (34.77) 346 (33.89)	1 (referent) 1.22 (0.96 to 1.57) NA 0.99 (0.77 to 1.28) 1 (referent) 1.46 (1.14 to 1.87)** NA 1.45 (1.08 to 1.94) 1 (referent) 1.08 (0.80 to 1.46) NA	1 (referent) 1.34 (1.01 to 1.79)* 1.03 (0.79 to 1.34) 1 (referent) 1.43 (1.11 to 1.85)** 1.51 (1.09 to 2.07)* 1 (referent) 1.05 (0.75 to 1.47)
Mid-centre distance Pelvic height Neck shaft	T2 T3 P trend T1 T2 T3 P trend T1 T2 T3 P trend T1 T2	178 (31.45) 204 (36.04) 172 (30.39) 173 (30.57) 221 (39.05) 145 (38.87) 111 (29.76) 117 (31.37) 209 (36.99)	378 (34.12) 353 (31.86) 386 (34.84) 385 (34.75) 337 (30.42) 320 (31.34) 355 (34.77) 346 (33.89) 366 (33.18)	1 (referent) 1.22 (0.96 to 1.57) NA 0.99 (0.77 to 1.28) 1 (referent) 1.46 (1.14 to 1.87)** NA 1.45 (1.08 to 1.94) 1 (referent) 1.08 (0.80 to 1.46) NA 1.40 (1.09 to 1.78)**	1 (referent) 1.34 (1.01 to 1.79)* 1.03 (0.79 to 1.34) 1 (referent) 1.43 (1.11 to 1.85)** 1.51 (1.09 to 2.07)* 1 (referent) 1.05 (0.75 to 1.47) 1.36 (1.05 to 1.75)*
Mid-centre distance Pelvic height Neck shaft angle	T2 T3 P trend T1 T2 T3 P trend T1 T2 T3 P trend T1 T2 T3 P trend T1 T2	178 (31.45) 204 (36.04) 172 (30.39) 173 (30.57) 221 (39.05) 145 (38.87) 111 (29.76) 117 (31.37) 209 (36.99) 176 (31.15)	378 (34.12) 353 (31.86) 386 (34.84) 385 (34.75) 337 (30.42) 320 (31.34) 355 (34.77) 346 (33.89) 366 (33.18) 431 (39.08)	1 (referent) 1.22 (0.96 to 1.57) NA 0.99 (0.77 to 1.28) 1 (referent) 1.46 (1.14 to 1.87)** NA 1.45 (1.08 to 1.94) 1 (referent) 1.08 (0.80 to 1.46) NA 1.40 (1.09 to 1.78)** 1 (referent)	1 (referent) 1.34 (1.01 to 1.79)* 1.03 (0.79 to 1.34) 1 (referent) 1.43 (1.11 to 1.85)** 1.51 (1.09 to 2.07)* 1 (referent) 1.05 (0.75 to 1.47) 1.36 (1.05 to 1.75)* 1 (referent)
Mid-centre distance Pelvic height Neck shaft angle	T2 T3 P trend T1 T2 T3 P trend T1 T2 T3 P trend T1 T2 T3 P trend T1 T2 T3	178 (31.45) 204 (36.04) 172 (30.39) 173 (30.57) 221 (39.05) 145 (38.87) 111 (29.76) 117 (31.37) 209 (36.99) 176 (31.15) 180 (31.86)	378 (34.12) 353 (31.86) 386 (34.84) 385 (34.75) 337 (30.42) 320 (31.34) 355 (34.77) 346 (33.89) 366 (33.18) 431 (39.08) 306 (27.74)	1 (referent) 1.22 (0.96 to 1.57) NA 0.99 (0.77 to 1.28) 1 (referent) 1.46 (1.14 to 1.87)** NA 1.45 (1.08 to 1.94) 1 (referent) 1.08 (0.80 to 1.46) NA 1.40 (1.09 to 1.78)** 1 (referent) 1.44 (1.11 to 1.85)**	1 (referent) 1.34 (1.01 to 1.79)* 1.03 (0.79 to 1.34) 1 (referent) 1.43 (1.11 to 1.85)** 1.51 (1.09 to 2.07)* 1 (referent) 1.05 (0.75 to 1.47) 1.36 (1.05 to 1.75)* 1 (referent) 1.50 (1.15 to 1.96)**

Table 3 Morphological features and association with hip OA

Logistic regression was adjusted for age, gender and body mass index. For femoral head offset, femoral neck width, mid-centre distance, pelvic height and neck shaft angle, Tertile 2 was used as referent.

\*p <0.05, \*\*p <0.01. NA, not applicable; OA, osteoarthritis; OR, odds ratio; T, tertile.

## **Clusters of morphological features**

The 14 morphological features were associated with each other (online supplementary Table S4). Three clusters were identified within the 14 morphological features (figure 2). Cluster 1 included FHNR (non-spherical femoral head). Cluster 2 included SA, NSA, FNW, and MCD. Cluster 3 included AD and CEA (i.e. mild acetabular dysplasia), FHD, FNL, OSD, ISD, FHO, PW and PH. The contribution of the individual morphological features to each cluster is shown in supplementary table S5 (online supplementary Table S5).

## Proportional risk contribution

The AUC for the full model including all risk factors was 0.81 (95%CI 0.79 to 0.83), of which 34.95% (95%CI 30.93 to 39.65) was explained by the 14 morphological features, and 21.36% (95%CI 18.62 to 24.21) was explained by all other established risk factors (Table 4). Of the 14 morphological features, SA had the highest contribution (PRC=7.12%, 95% CI 6.01 to 8.07). The PRC of cluster 1, 2 and 3 was 2.26% (95%CI 1.80 to 2.46), 7.12% (95%CI 6.31 to 8.42) and 7.44% (95%CI 6.61 to 8.42), respectively.

## Table 4 AUC and PRC of multivariate models

	AUC	95%CI	PRC (%)	95%CI
Full model	0.809	0.785 to 0.833	100	
Partial model without other risk				
factors	0.743	0.716 to 0.771	21.359	18.619 to 24.211
Partial model without				
morphological features	0.701	0.672 to 0.730	34.951	30.931 to 39.649
Partial model without SA	0.787	0.762 to 0.813	7.120	6.006 to 8.070
Partial model without FHNR	0.802	0.778 to 0.827	2.265	1.802 to 2.456
Partial model without ISD	0.803	0.777 to 0.827	1.942	1.802 to 2.807
Partial model without CEA	0.804	0.780 to 0.828	1.618	1.502 to 1.754
Partial model without FHD	0.805	0.780 to 0.829	1.294	1.201 to 1.754
Partial model without FHO	0.806	0.782 to 0.830	0.971	0.901 to 1.053
Partial model without FNW	0.808	0.784 to 0.832	0.324	0.300 to 0.351
Partial model without FNL	0.808	0.784 to 0.832	0.324	0.300 to 0.351
Partial model without NSA	0.808	0.784 to 0.832	0.324	0.300 to 0.351
Partial model without MCD	0.808	0.784 to 0.832	0.324	0.300 to 0.351
Partial model without PW	0.808	0.784 to 0.832	0.324	0.300 to 0.351
Partial model without AD	0.808	0.784 to 0.832	0.324	0.300 to 0.351
Partial model without PH	0.809	0.785 to 0.833	0	0
Partial model without OSD	0.809	0.785 to 0.833	0	0
Partial model without cluster 1	0.802	0.778 to 0.827	2.265	1.802 to 2.456
Partial model without cluster 2	0.787	0.761 to 0.812	7.120	6.306 to 8.421
Partial model without cluster 3	0.786	0.761 to 0.811	7.443	6.606 to 8.421

The full model included other risk factors and morphological features.

Other risk factors included age, gender, weight, height, body mass index, calcaneal bone mineral density, finger nodes, type 3 2D:4D finger ratio, history of hip injury, and manual occupation. Morphological features included AD, CEA, FHNR, NSA, FHD, FNL, FNW, FHO, OSD, ISD, MCD, SA, PW, and PH.

AD, acetabular depth; AUC, areas under the curve; CEA, centre edge angle; FHD, femoral head diameter; FHNR, femoral head to femoral neck ratio; FHO, femoral head offset; FNL, femoral neck length; FNW, femoral neck width; ISD, inner shaft diameter; MCD, mid-centre distance; NSA, neck shaft angle; OSD, outer shaft diameter; PH, pelvic height; PRC, proportional risk contribution; PW, pelvic width; SA, sourcil angle.

# DISCUSSION

Page 43 of 60

This is the first large study to assess 14 hip and pelvis morphological features, individually and in composite, and their contribution to the risk of hip OA. The rightleft symmetry of all measures demonstrated in the normal controls supports the assumption that the unaffected hip of unilateral hip OA cases represents the pre-OA morphology of the affected hip.[4, 5] Although age associated with some morphological features, it was not associated with the symmetry, i.e., the difference between left and right. The main findings are: (1) all 14 hip morphological features associated with increased risk of hip OA independent of age, gender and BMI, with larger SA being the strongest risk factor; (2) two patterns of associations were observed - dose response and U-shaped curve response (both higher and lower values associating with increased risk); (3) three clusters were identified (figure 2); and (4) the total contribution of the 14 morphological features to risk of hip OA was greater (35%) than the sum of other recognised risk factors (21%).

Our findings of small FHD, wide FNW, and short FNL as risk factors for hip OA concur with the previous studies.[6, 11, 14, 22-24] Biomechanically many of these features have a plausible aetiological mechanism. For example, small FHD and/or wide FNW may both encourage "cam type" impingement of the proximal femur on the acetabulum,[25] as does a non-spherical femoral head.[35] Furthermore, a small femoral head has a smaller surface area for load transmission, thus the force per unit area may be higher and cause increased joint tissue stress. On the other hand, a wide FNW may encourage "pincer-type" impingement of the femoral head-neck junction against the acetabular rim.[25] The explanation for smaller measurements of both OSD and ISD could relate to the inverse relationship between osteoporosis and OA.[36] Low FHO and wide MCD necessitates a greater

abductor muscles force to maintain body balance[37] and the resultant greater stress on the hip may predispose to OA. The association of AD, CEA, FHNR and NSA with hip OA were reported and discussed in our previous studies.[4, 5]

Importantly, our findings indicated that of the 14 features studied, increased SA was the strongest individual risk factor for hip OA and showed the highest PRC. Departure of the acetabular sourcil orientation from the horizontal plane will negatively affect the equilibrium of forces across the hip joint,[26] and with bigger SA the femoral head is less covered by the acetabulum, which is consistent with the negative correlation between SA and CEA, so the unit force per surface area is increased. In previous studies, SA related more than other indices with development of OA[38, 39] and it is considered a more precise measure for mild dysplasia than CEA.[40] Therefore overall, more vertical SA is a major morphological risk factor and may be used as a single surrogate marker in clinical practice to assess morphological risk of hip OA.

The 14 morphological features were assigned into three clusters. Cluster analysis may uncover relationships between measures. For example, in a case with high NSA (coxa valga), the increased inclination of the weight-bearing surface of the acetabulum (assessed by SA) can increase the compressive forces on the joint and lower the threshold for the onset of OA.[41] The coexistence of less acetabular coverage and shorter femoral neck were reported in one hip shape mode (HSM) derived by statistical shape modelling which positively associated with incident hip OA.[14] But in another HSM, more coverage of the femoral head and wider PW were found to associate with OA,[14] which is inconsistent with our findings. The higher proportion of women and the different definition of PW in that study[14]

should be considered when comparing the results with ours. However, the possible explanation for the associations observed for PW and PH are open to speculation. Further prospective study for causality is still required.

The risk contribution of the 14 morphological features (PRC=35%, 95%CI 31% to 40%) was significantly larger than other established risk factors including age, gender, BMI, history of hip injury, physical occupation, nodal OA, and 2D:4D finger ratio (PRC=21%, 95%CI 19% to 24%). This suggests that local morphological risk factors may contribute more than systemic factors to development of hip OA. The results align with the literature for incidence and progression of hip OA[42, 43] and may be explained by shared single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) between OA and hip shape.[44, 45]

There are several caveats to this study. Firstly, this was a cross-sectional casecontrol study. Whether these morphological features cause hip OA requires a prospective population-based study. Although we used the unaffected hips of people with unilateral hip OA to determine constitutional pre-OA shape, it is possible that the morphology in the unaffected hip had adapted to altered gait pattern and abnormal loading caused by hip OA on the other side[46], in accord with Wolff's law which states that bones adapt their mass and shape in response to loading.[47] In addition, the apparently normal hips could have undergone bone remodelling due to early OA before other features such as cartilage loss were evident.[23] Furthermore, we did not account for presence of symptoms or structural OA in other lower limb joints (knees, ankles, feet) of cases which may have affected biomechanical stress on the unaffected hip. Also radiographic assessment is less sensitive to early OA changes than other imaging modalities,

such as magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). We also found that some morphological features changed with age in the control group. Although symmetry was unaffected by age, we cannot be certain that the current features measured in unaffected hips of cases would fully reflect the pre-OA morphology on the affected side before it developed OA many years ago. Secondly, although we observed symmetry of morphological features in the non-disease control group, this does not exclude the possibility of asymmetry in the cases before they developed unilateral hip OA, or the presence of additional unidentified risk factors on the affected side, or protective factors on the unaffected side. This again requires a prospective cohort study to confirm whether the pre-disease morphological features are truly symmetrical between the left and right sides, and to determine how many people with the features of interest subsequently go on to develop bilateral hip OA. Thirdly, the GOAL database includes only Caucasian participants so the generalisability of the findings is limited and requires study in other populations. Fourthly, we undertook measurements on a single two-dimensional standard AP pelvis radiograph without other views. Although this is conventional and readily applicable to large-scale population studies, it has major limitations for identifying true morphological variations in 3-dimensions. A further caveat is that measurement of morphological features was not undertaken blind of hip OA status, since pelvic images were saved on software (HIPAX) that prevents image cropping. Furthermore, despite the use of a standardised protocol, variations in positioning may have affected some assessments, for example due to anteversion or rotation secondary to pain or deformity in the affected hip.

In conclusion, we have confirmed 14 morphological features that associate with increased risk of hip OA. The risk contribution of these features is more than that of other conventional risk factors combined. SA is the strongest risk factor and could be used as a single surrogate measure of morphological risk in large epidemiological studies or in clinical settings. Future prospective studies are required to provide further support for causality between these features and OA.

**Acknowledgments** We are grateful to all the participants for taking part in the GOAL study and to all staff involved in the organisation and logistics of the study. **Contributors** Study design: HA, QJ, WZ, MD. Data analysis: HA, QJ, SS, AS, WZ, MD. All authors were responsible for interpretation of the data and for drafting, revising and approving the final submitted manuscript.

**Funding** AstraZeneca UK funded the GOAL study sample and data collection. The Arthritis Research Council (now Versus Arthritis) provided infrastructure support during the GOAL study (grant 14851).

**Disclaimer** The funders of the study had no role in study design, data collection, data analysis, data interpretation, or writing of the report. The corresponding author had full access to all the data in the study and had final responsibility for the decision to submit for publication.

**Competing interest** MD reports grants from AstraZeneca and Versus Arthritis for this study, and personal fees from Grunenthal, Mallinckrodt outside the submitted work; WZ reports personal fees from Regeneron Inc outside the submitted work.

Patient and public involvement No.

Patient consent for publication Not required.

> Ethics approval The GOAL study was conducted with the approval of the Nottingham Research Ethics Committee.

> **Provenance and peer review** Not commissioned, to be externally peer reviewed.

<text> Data availability statement Data are available upon reasonable request by contacting the corresponding author.

#### 

# REFERENCES

1. Brandt KD, Doherty M, Lohmander LS. The concept of osteoarthritis as failure of the diarthrodial joint. *Osteoarthritis*. 2nd edn. Oxford: Oxford University Press 2003:69-71.

2. MacGregor AJ, Antoniades L, Matson M, et al. The genetic contribution to radiographic hip osteoarthritis in women: results of a classic twin study. *Arthritis Rheum* 2000;43:2410-6.

doi: 10.1002/1529-0131(200011)43:11<2410::AID-ANR6>3.0.CO;2-E.

3. Lanyon P, Muir K, Doherty S, et al. Assessment of a genetic contribution to osteoarthritis of the hip: sibling study. *BMJ* 2000;321:1179-83.

doi: 10.1136/bmj.321.7270.1179.

4. Doherty M, Courtney P, Doherty S, et al. Nonspherical femoral head shape (pistol grip deformity), neck shaft angle, and risk of hip osteoarthritis: a case-control study. *Arthritis Rheum* 2008;58:3172-82.

doi: 10.1002/art.23939.

5. McWilliams DF, Doherty SA, Jenkins WD, et al. Mild acetabular dysplasia and risk of osteoarthritis of the hip: a case-control study. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2010;69:1774-8. doi: 10.1136/ard.2009.127076.

6. Nicholls AS, Kiran A, Pollard TC, et al. The association between hip morphology parameters and nineteen-year risk of end-stage osteoarthritis of the hip: a nested case-control study. *Arthritis Rheum* 2011;63:3392-400.

doi: 10.1002/art.30523.

7. Murray RO. The aetiology of primary osteoarthritis of the hip. *Br J Radiol* 1965;38:810-24. doi: 10.1259/0007-1285-38-455-810.

8. Solomon L. Patterns of osteoarthritis of the hip. *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 1976;58:176-83. doi: 10.1302/0301-620X.58B2.932079

9. Solomon L, Schnitzler CM, Browett JP. Osteoarthritis of the hip: the patient behind the disease. *Ann Rheum Dis* 1982;41:118-25.

doi: 10.1136/ard.41.2.118.

10. Tian W, Zhao L, Wang J, et al. Association analysis between HOXD9 genes and the development of developmental dysplasia of the hip in Chinese female Han population. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord* 2012;13:59.

doi: 10.1186/1471-2474-13-59

11. Gosvig KK, Jacobsen S, Palm H, et al. A new radiological index for assessing asphericity of the femoral head in cam impingement. *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 2007;89:1309-16. doi: 10.1302/0301-620X.89B10.19405.

12. Faber BG, Baird D, Gregson CL, et al. DXA-derived hip shape is related to osteoarthritis: findings from in the MrOS cohort. *Osteoarthr Cartil* 2017;25:2031-8.

doi: 10.1016/j.joca.2017.09.006.

13. Ahedi HG, Aspden RM, Blizzard LC, et al. Hip shape as a predictor of osteoarthritis progression in a prospective population cohorth. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)* 2017;69:1566-73. doi: 10.1002/acr.23166.

14. Castano-Betancourt MC, Van Meurs JB, Bierma-Zeinstra S, et al. The contribution of hip geometry to the prediction of hip osteoarthritis. *Osteoarthr Cartil* 2013;21:1530-6. doi: 10.1016/j.joca.2013.06.012.

15. Valdes AM, Evangelou E, Kerkhof HJ, et al. The GDF5 rs143383 polymorphism is associated with osteoarthritis of the knee with genome-wide statistical significance. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2011;70:873-5.

doi: 10.1136/ard.2010.134155.

16. Miyamoto Y, Mabuchi A, Shi D, et al. A functional polymorphism in the 5' UTR of GDF5 is associated with susceptibility to osteoarthritis. *Nat Genet* 2007;39:529-33.

https://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/ard

#### doi: 10.1038/2005.

17. Evangelou E, Chapman K, Meulenbelt I, et al. Large-scale analysis of association between GDF5 and FRZB variants and osteoarthritis of the hip, knee, and hand. *Arthritis Rheum* 2009;60:1710-21.

doi: 10.1002/art.24524.

18. Baker-Lepain JC, Lynch JA, Parimi N, et al. Variant alleles of the Wnt antagonist FRZB are determinants of hip shape and modify the relationship between hip shape and osteoarthritis. *Arthritis Rheum* 2012;64:1457-65.

doi: 10.1002/art.34526.

19. Day-Williams AG, Southam L, Panoutsopoulou K, et al. A variant in MCF2L is associated with osteoarthritis. *Am J Hum Genet* 2011;89:446-50.

doi: 10.1016/j.ajhg.2011.08.001.

20. Ganz R, Leunig M, Leunig-Ganz K, et al. The etiology of osteoarthritis of the hip: an integrated mechanical concept. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 2008;466:264-72.

doi: 10.1007/s11999-007-0060-z.

21. Wright AA, Cook C, Abbott JH. Variables associated with the progression of hip osteoarthritis: a systematic review. *Arthritis Rheum* 2009;61:925-36.

doi: 10.1002/art.24641.

22. Gregory JS, Waarsing JH, Day J, et al. Early identification of radiographic osteoarthritis of the hip using an active shape model to quantify changes in bone morphometric features: can hip shape tell us anything about the progression of osteoarthritis? *Arthritis Rheum* 2007;56:3634-43. doi: 10.1002/art.22982.

23. Javaid MK, Lane NE, Mackey DC, et al. Changes in proximal femoral mineral geometry precede the onset of radiographic hip osteoarthritis: the study of osteoporotic fractures. *Arthritis Rheum* 2009;60:2028-36.

doi: 10.1002/art.24639.

24. Waarsing JH, Rozendaal RM, Verhaar JA, et al. A statistical model of shape and density of the proximal femur in relation to radiological and clinical OA of the hip. *Osteoarthr Cartil* 2010;18:787-94.

doi: 10.1016/j.joca.2010.02.003.

25. Ganz R, Parvizi J, Beck M, et al. Femoroacetabular impingement: a cause for osteoarthritis of the hip. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 2003;417:112-20.

doi: 10.1097/01.blo.0000096804.78689.c2.

26. Bomelli R. Osteoarthritis of the hip: classification and pathogenesis. New York: Springer 1983:98-99.

27. Tönnis D. Congenital dysplasia and dislocation of the hip in children and adults. Springer 1987:100-42.

28. Limer KL, Tosh K, Bujac SR, et al. Attempt to replicate published genetic associations in a large, well-defined osteoarthritis case-control population (the GOAL study). *Osteoarthr Cartil* 2009;17:782-9.

doi: 10.1016/j.joca.2008.09.019.

29. Zhang W, Robertson J, Doherty S, et al. Index to ring finger length ratio and the risk of osteoarthritis. *Arthritis Rheum* 2008;58:137-44.

doi: 10.1002/art.23237.

30. Croft P, Cooper C, Wickham C, et al. Defining osteoarthritis of the hip for epidemiologic studies. *Am J Epidemiol* 1990;132:514-22.

doi: 10.1093/oxfordjournals.aje.a115687.

31. Wu CY, Chuang LL, Lin KC, et al. Responsiveness, minimal detectable change, and minimal clinically important difference of the Nottingham Extended Activities of Daily Living Scale in

1	
2	
3	patients with improved performance after stroke rehabilitation. Arch Phys Med Rehabil
4	2011;92:1281-7.
5	doi: 10.1016/j.apmr.2011.03.008.
7	32. Husson F, Josse J, Le S, et al. Multivariate exploratory data analysis and data mining. R package.
8	2016.
9	33. Sarmanova A, Fernandes GS, Richardson H, et al. Contribution of central and peripheral risk
10	factors to prevalence, incidence and progression of knee pain: a community-based cohort study.
11	Osteoarthr Cartil 2018;26:1461-73.
12	doi: 10.1016/j.joca.2018.07.013.
13	34. Holliday KL, McWilliams DF, Maciewicz RA, et al. Lifetime body mass index, other
14	anthropometric measures of obesity and risk of knee or hip osteoarthritis in the GOAL case-control
15	study. Osteoarthr Cartil 2011:19:37-43.
16	doj: 10.1016/i.joca.2010.10.014.
17	35. Bowman KE Ir. Fox I. Sekiva IK. A clinically relevant review of hin biomechanics. Arthroscopy
10	2010-26-1118-29
20	doi: 10.1016/i.arthro.2010.01.027
21	36 Dequeker I Inverse relationship of interface between osteonorosis and osteoarthritis /
22	Rheumatol 1997-24-705-8
23	27 Neumann DA Biomechanical analysis of selected principles of hin joint protection. Arthritis
24	Care Pag 1080:2:146 EE
25	$d_{0i}$ : 10, 1002/2000 1700020400
26	28 Vassingkis IC Coorgigades C Kafidas D at al Unilatoral hip actoparthritic: can we predict the
27	56. VOSSINARIS IC, Geolgiades G, Kandas D, et al. Onnaterial hip osteoar timitis. can we predict the
28	
29	dol: 10.1007/s00256-008-0522-8.
31	39. Bouyer B, Mazieres B, Guillemin F, et al. Association between hip morphology and prevalence,
32	clinical severity and progression of hip osteoarthritis over 3 years: the knee and hip osteoarthritis
33	long-term assessment cohort results. <i>Joint Bone Spine</i> 2016;83:432-8.
34	doi: 10.1016/j.jbspin.2015.09.005.
35	40. Pereira F, Giles A, Wood G, et al. Recognition of minor adult hip dysplasia: which anatomical
36	indices are important? <i>Hip Int</i> 2014;24:175-9.
37	doi: 10.5301/hipint.5000119.
38	41. Bombelli R, Santore RF, Poss R. Mechanics of the normal and osteoarthritic hip. A new
39	perspective. Clin Orthop Relat Res 1984;182:69-78.
40	doi: 10.1097/00003086-198401000-00010
41	42. Saberi Hosnijeh F, Kavousi M, Boer CG, et al. Development of a prediction model for future
42 //3	risk of radiographic hip osteoarthritis. Osteoarthr Cartil 2018;26:540-6.
45	doi: 10.1016/j.joca.2018.01.015.
45	43. Hirvasniemi J, Gielis WP, Arbabi S, et al. Bone texture analysis for prediction of incident
46	radiographic hip osteoarthritis using machine learning: data from the Cohort Hip and Cohort Knee
47	(CHECK) study. Osteoarthr Cartil 2019;27:906-14.
48	doi: 10.1016/j.joca.2019.02.796.
49	44. Baird DA, Evans DS, Kamanu FK, et al. Identification of novel loci associated with hip shape: a
50	meta-analysis of genomewide association studies. <i>J Bone Miner Res</i> 2019;34:241-51.
51	doi: 10.1002/jbmr.3605.
52	45. Tachmazidou I, Hatzikotoulas K, Southam L, et al. Identification of new therapeutic targets
53 54	for osteoarthritis through genome-wide analyses of UK Biobank data. <i>Nat Genet</i> 2019:51:230-6.
54 55	doi: 10.1038/s41588-018-0327-1.
56	46. Lenaerts G. Bartels W. Gelaude F. et al. Subject-specific hip geometry and hip joint centre
57	location affects calculated contact forces at the hin during gait $I$ <i>Biomech</i> 2009·42·1246-51
58	doi: 10.1016/i.ibiomech.2009.03.037
59	
60	

47. Frost HM. Why should many skeletal scientists and clinicians learn the Utah paradigm of skeletal physiology? J Musculoskelet Neuronal Interact 2001;2:121-30.

#### 

# Figure legend

**Figure 1** Diagram showing the morphological measurements of the hip and pelvic bones: AD, acetabular depth; CEA, centre edge angle; FHD, femoral head diameter; FHO, femoral head offset; FNL, femoral neck length; FNW, femoral neck width; ISD, inner shaft diameter; MCD, mid-centre distance; NSA, neck shaft angle; OSD, outer shaft diameter; PH, pelvic height; PW, pelvic width; SA, sourcil angle.

**Figure 2** Diagram showing the morphological features were assigned into 3 clusters: Cluster 1 includes FHNR; cluster 2 includes SA, NSA, FNW and MCD; and cluster 3 includes AD, CEA, FHD, FNL, OSD, ISD, FHO, PW, PH.

AD, acetabular depth; CEA, centre edge angle; FHD, femoral head diameter; FHNR, femoral head to femoral neck ratio; FHO, femoral head offset; FNL, femoral neck length; FNW, femoral neck width; ISD, inner shaft diameter; MCD, mid-centre distance; NSA, neck shaft angle; OSD, outer shaft diameter; PH, pelvic height; PW, pelvic width; SA, sourcil angle.



**Figure 1** Diagram showing the morphological measurements of the hip and pelvic bones: AD, acetabular depth; CEA, centre edge angle; FHD, femoral head diameter; FHO, femoral head offset; FNL, femoral neck length; FNW, femoral neck width; ISD, inner shaft diameter; MCD, mid-centre distance; NSA, neck shaft angle; OSD, outer shaft diameter; PH, pelvic height; PW, pelvic width; SA, sourcil angle.

20x16mm (5000 x 5000 DPI)



**Figure 2** Diagram showing the morphological features were assigned into 3 clusters: Cluster 1 includes FHNR; cluster 2 includes SA, NSA, FNW and MCD; and cluster 3 includes AD, CEA, FHD, FNL, OSD, ISD, FHO, PW, PH.AD, acetabular depth; CEA, centre edge angle; FHD, femoral head diameter; FHNR, femoral head to femoral neck ratio; FHO, femoral head offset; FNL, femoral neck length; FNW, femoral neck width; ISD, inner shaft diameter; MCD, mid-centre distance; NSA, neck shaft angle; OSD, outer shaft diameter; PH, pelvic height; PW, pelvic width; SA, sourcil angle.

89x70mm (350 x 350 DPI)

#### Supplementary Material:

#### Supplementary table S1:

Results of paired t-test and MDC	(90% level) performed between	left and right sides to assess symmetry

	Меа	an (SD)	Mean diffe		
Hip morphology	Left	Right	D (95% CI)	p-value	MDC <sub>90</sub>
Acetabular depth	13.79 (3.04)	13.43 (3.03)	0.36 (0.11- 0.62)	<0.01	3.25
Centre edge angle	37.88 (6.21)	37.08 (6.39)	0.80 (0.28- 1.33)	<0.01	7.20
Femoral head diameter	57.23 (4.83)	57.43 (4.89)	-0.20 (-0.61- 0.20)	0.33	2.27
Femoral head to femoral					
neck ratio	1.43 (0.09)	1.43 (0.09)	0 (-0.01- 0.01)	0.44	0.08
Femoral head offset	48.49 (6.77)	48.34 (6.53)	0.15 (-0.42- 0.72)	0.61	5.38
Femoral neck length	61.88 (6.31)	61.97 (6.22)	-0.09 (-0.63- 0.44)	0.73	5.66
Femoral neck width	40.64 (4.83)	40.77 (4.86)	-0.13 (-0.54- 0.27)	0.52	2.26
Inner shaft diameter	20.70 (3.03)	20.98 (3.01)	-0.28 (-0.550.02)	0.04	1.73
Mid-centre distance	110.51 (6.27)	111.13 (6.34)	-0.62 (-1.140.09)	0.02	5.30
Neck shaft angle	128.45 (5.97)	128.44 (5.99)	0.01 (-0.49- 0.51)	0.98	6.84
Outer shaft diameter	38.03 (3.43)	38.09 (3.43)	-0.06 (-0.36- 0.25)	0.72	1.79
Sourcil angle	5.86 (4.69)	5.77 (5.00)	0.09 (-0.32- 0.49)	0.67	4.08

D, mean difference; MDC, minimal detectable change; SD, standard deviation.

The MDC was calculated by multiplying the standard error of the measurement (SEM) by the z score associated with either 90% or 95% confidence level and the square root of 2. Thus, MDC= z-score x SEM x square root of 2. The SEM measures the amount of error in the measurement. The SEM was calculated using the formula:  $SEM=s[(1 - r)^{1/2}]$ , where, s is estimated as the pooled standard deviation of left and right assessments (Square root of [(SD left)<sup>2</sup>+(SD right)<sup>2</sup>/2] and r is the intra-class correlation coefficient (ICC). The MDC is estimated based on 90% CI (z=1.65). The criteria for symmetry in this study was that the mean difference between the left and right sides should be less than the MDC<sub>90</sub>.

Supplementary table S2:

Correlations between age and morphological features in control group

	Left	Right	Difference
Hip morphology	r value	r value	r value
Acetabular depth	-0.027	-0.034	-0.008
Centre edge angle	0.091**	0.095**	0.013
Femoral head diameter	0.265**	0.271**	-0.049
Femoral head to femoral neck ratio	-0.138**	-0.156**	0.009
Femoral head offset	0.161**	0.124**	0.025
Femoral neck length	0.123**	0.103**	-0.018
Femoral neck width	0.257**	0.266**	-0.042
Inner shaft diameter	0.268**	0.262**	0.003
Mid-centre distance	0.081*	0.095**	-0.036
Neck shaft angle	-0.132**	-0.082**	-0.035
Outer shaft diameter	0.208**	0.222**	-0.027
Sourcil angle	-0.001	0.003	-0.015

Difference is the difference between left hip and right hip.

\*p<0.05, \*\*p<0.01.

r, Pearson correlation.

#### Supplementary table S3:

Relative risk of hip OA due to individual morphological measures in women and men

			Ν	/len				Wo	omen	
Hin		Frequency	(%)	OR (95%CI)			Frequency	(%)	OR (95%CI)	
morphology		Cases	Controls	Crude	Adjusted		Cases	Controls	Crude	Adjusted
Acetabular	T1	138 (46.78)	159 (26.77)	1 (referent)	1 (referent)	T1	138 (50.92)	125 (24.37)	1 (referent)	1 (referent)
depth	T2	92 (31.19)	208 (35.02)	0.51 (0.36- 0.71)**	0.53 (0.38- 0.75)**	T2	68 (25.09)	193 (37.62)	0.32 (0.22- 0.47)**	0.33 (0.22- 0.49)**
	Т3	65 (22.03)	227 (38.21)	0.33 (0.23- 0.48)**	0.32 (0.22- 0.47)**	Т3	65 (23.99)	195 (38.01)	0.30 (0.20- 0.44)**	0.27 (0.18- 0.40)**
	P trend			<0.001		P trend			<0.001	
Centre edge	T1	161 (54.58)	160 (26.89)	1 (referent)	1 (referent)	T1	140 (51.66)	147 (28.65)	1 (referent)	1 (referent)
angle	T2	80 (27.11)	225 (37.82)	0.35 (0.25- 0.50)**	0.35 (0.25- 0.50)**	T2	72 (26.57)	188 (36.65)	0.40 (0.28- 0.58)**	0.34 (0.23- 0.51)**
	Т3	54 (18.31)	210 (35.29)	0.25 (0.17- 0.38)**	0.24 (0.16- 0.35)**	Т3	59 (21.77)	178 (34.70)	0.35 (0.23- 0.51)**	0.24 (0.15- 0.37)**
	P trend			<0.001		P trend			<0.001	
Femoral	T1	96 (32.54)	201 (33.78)	1 (referent)	1 (referent)	T1	108 (39.85)	154 (30.02)	1 (referent)	1 (referent)
head	T2	100 (33.90)	198 (33.28)	1.05 (0.75- 1.48)	0.94 (0.66- 1.34)	T2	85 (31.37)	177 (34.50)	0.68 (0.47- 0.98)*	0.64 (0.43- 0.95)*
diameter	Т3	99 (33.56)	196 (32.94)	1,06 (0.75- 1.49)	0.93 (0.65- 1.32)	Т3	78 (28.78)	182 (35.48)	0.61 (0.42- 0.88)**	0.44 (0.30- 0.67)**
	P trend			0.75		P trend			0.007	
Femoral	T1	135 (45.76)	194 (32.61)	1 (referent)	1 (referent)	T1	123 (45.39)	148 (28.96)	1 (referent)	1 (referent)
head to	T2	96 (32.54)	197 (33.11)	0.70 (0.50- 0.97)*	0.75 (0.53- 1.05)	T2	92 (33.95)	174 (34.05)	0.63 (0.45- 0.90)*	0.69 (0.47- 1.01)
temoral neck	Т3	64 (21.69)	204 (34.29)	0.45 (0.31- 0.65)**	0.51 (0.35- 0.73)**	ТЗ	56 (20.66)	189 (36.99)	0.35 (0.24- 0.53)**	0.47 (0.31- 0.71)**
Tallo	P trend			<0.001		P trend			<0.001	
Femoral	T1	83 (28.14)	214 (35.97)	1 (referent)	1 (referent)	T1	80 (29.52)	183 (35.67)	1 (referent)	1 (referent)
neck width	T2	90 (30.51)	209 (35.13)	1.11 (0.78- 1.58)	1.02 (0.71- 1.46)	T2	92 (33.95)	170 (33.14)	1.23 (0.86- 1.79)	1.02 (0.69- 1.52)
	Т3	122 (41.36)	172 (28.91)	1.83 (1.29- 2.59)**	1.57 (1.10- 2.24)*	Т3	99 (36.53)	160 (31.19)	1.41 (0.98- 2.04)	1.03 (0.70- 1.53)
	P trend			<0.001		P trend			0.061	
Inner shaft	T1	114 (40.28)	157 (30.61)	1 (referent)	1 (referent)	T1	104 (39.25)	145 (30.08)	1 (referent)	1 (referent)
diameter	T2	95 (33.57)	165 (32.16)	0.79 (0.56- 1.12)	0.72 (0.50- 1.04)	T2	84 (31.70)	167 (34.65)	0.70 (0.48- 1.01)	0.59 (0.39- 0.88)*
	Т3	74 (26.15)	191 (37.23)	0.53 (0.37- 0.77)**	0.47 (0.32- 0.69)**	Т3	77 (29.06)	170 (35.27)	0.63 (0.43- 0.91)*	0.38 (0.25- 0.58)**
	P trend			<0.001		P trend			0.014	
Outer shaft	T1	100 (35.34)	169 (32.94)	1 (referent)	1 (referent)	T1	106 (40.00)	147 (30.50)	1 (referent)	1 (referent)
diameter	T2	93 (32.86)	169 (32.94)	0.93 (0.65- 1.32)	0.83 (0.58- 1.20)	T2	80 (30.19)	167 (34.65)	0.66 (0.46- 0.96)*	0.55 (0.36- 0.83)**
	Т3	90 (31.80)	175 (34.11)	0.87 (0.61-1.24)	0.70 (0.48- 1.01)	Т3	79 (29.81)	168 (34.85)	0.65 (0.45- 0.94)	0.37 (0.25- 0.57)**
	P trend			0.44		P trend			0.02	
Sourcil angle	T1	41 (14.09)	254 (42.83)	1 (referent)	1 (referent)	T1	48 (18.32)	211 (41.13)	1 (referent)	1 (referent)

Page 59	9 of 60
---------	---------

	P trend			NA		P trend			NA	
	Т3	95 (32.65)	186 (33.57)	1.07 (0.75- 1.52)	1.10 (0.77-1.58)	Т3	79 (29.37)	176 (35.34)	1.02 (0.69- 1.48)	1.01 (0.67- 1.50
neck length	T2	91 (31.27)	191 (34.48)	1 (referent)	1 (referent)	T2	78 (29.00)	177 (35.54)	1 (referent)	1 (referent)
Femoral	T1	105 (36.08)	177 (31.95)	1.24 (0.88- 1.76)	1.22 (0.85- 1.74)	T1	112 (41.64)	145 (29.12)	1.75 (1.21- 2.53)**	1.79 (1.20- 2.67
	P trend			NA		P trend			0.004	
	Т3	81 (34.76)	190 (32.76)	1.22 (0.84- 1.78)	1.09 (0.74- 1.60)	Т3	63 (26.92)	184 (36.15)	0.57 (0.39- 0.84)**	0.34 (0.22- 0.53
	T2	70 (30.04)	201 (34.66)	1 (referent)	1 (referent)	T2	78 (33.33)	170 (33.40)	0.76 (0.52- 1.11)	0.53 (0.35-0.81)
Pelvic width	T1	82 (35.19)	189 (32.59)	1.24 (0.85- 1.81)	1.34 (0.91- 1.98)	T1	93 (39.74)	155 (30.45)	1 (referent)	1 (referent)
	P trend			NA		P trend			0.066	
	Т3	61 (34.27)	175 (32.96)	1.23 (0.80- 1.87)	1.27 (0.82- 1.96)	Т3	58 (29.74)	170 (34.69)	0.69 (0.46- 1.03)	0.60 (0.39- 0.94)
	T2	52 (29.21)	183 (34.46)	1 (referent)	1 (referent)	T2	61 (31.28)	167 (34.08)	0.73 (0.49- 1.10)	0.74 (0.48- 1.15)
Pelvic height	T1	65 (36.52)	173 (32.58)	1.32 (0.87- 2.01)	1.44 (0.93- 2.23)	T1	76 (38.97)	153 (31.22)	1 (referent)	1 (referent)
	P trend			NA		P trend			0.028	
	Т3	85 (28.81)	172 (29.05)	1.33 (0.91- 1.94)	1.37 (0.93- 2.01)	Т3	94 (34.81)	132 (25.83)	1.52 (1.05- 2.21)*	1.79 (1.19- 2.70
angle	T2	73 (24.75)	197 (33.28)	1 (referent)	1 (referent)	T2	92 (34.07)	199 (38.94)	0.99 (0.69-1.42)	1.01 (0.69- 1.49
Neck shaft	T1	137 (46.44)	223 (37.67)	1.66 (1.17- 2.34)**	1.61 (1.13- 2.28)**	T1	84 (31.11)	180 (35.23)	1 (referent)	1 (referent)
	P trend			NA		P trend			<0.001	
	Т3	97 (33.33)	182 (23.09)	0.93 (0.66-1.32)	0.99 (0.69-1.41)	Т3	74 (27.51)	181 (36.49)	0.49 (0.34- 0.71)**	0.40 (0.27- 0.60
head offset	T2	102 (35.05)	179 (32.55)	1 (referent)	1 (referent)	T2	79 (29.37)	176 (35.48)	0.54 (0.37- 0.78)**	0.46 (0.31- 0.68
Femoral	T1	92 (31.62)	189 (34.36)	0.85 (0.60- 1.21)	0.86 (0.60- 1.24)	T1	116 (43.12)	139 (28.02)	1 (referent)	1 (referent)
	P trend			<0.001		P trend			NA	
	Т3	122 (41.36)	174 (29.24)	1.85 (1.30- 2.61)**	1.69 (1.19- 2.41)**	Т3	95 (35.06)	166 (32.36)	1.21 (0.84- 1.73)	1.07 (0.73- 1.58)
distance	T2	91 (30.85)	205 (34.45)	1.17 (0.82- 1.67)	1.08 (0.75- 1.56)	T2	84 (31.00)	177 (34.50)	1 (referent)	1 (referent)
Mid-centre	T1	82 (27.80)	216 (36.31)	1 (referent)	1 (referent)	T1	92 (33.95)	170 (33.14)	1.14 (0.79- 1.64)	1.09 (0.74- 1.62
	P trend			<0.001		P trend			<0.001	
	Т3	156 (53.61)	138 (23.27)	7.00 (4.50- 10.89)**	7.45 (4.92- 11.25)**	Т3	149 (56.87)	108 (21.05)	6.06 (3.91- 9.39)**	6.53 (4.22- 10.1
	T2	94 (32.30)	201 (33.90)	2.89 (1.90- 4.41)**	2.91 (1.91- 4.45)**	T2	65 (24.81)	194 (37.82)	1.47 (0.96- 2.24)	1.38 (0.87-2.17)

Logistic regression was adjusted for age and body mass index. For femoral head offset, neck shaft angle, pelvic height, pelvic width and femoral neck length in men and mid-centre distance, femoral - N

neck length in women, Tertile 2 was used as referent.

\*p<0.05, \*\*p<0.01.

NA, not applicable; OA, osteoarthritis; OR, odds ratio; T, tertile.

#### Supplementary table S4:

Correlations between the 14 hip morphological features

							r val	ue						
Hip morphology	AD	CEA	FHD	FHNR	FHO	FNL	FNW	ISD	MCD	NSA	OSD	РН	PW	SA
AD	1.000	しろ												
CEA	0.737*	1.000												
FHD	0.067*	-0.078*	1.000											
FHNR	0.065*	0.079*	-0.348*	1.000										
FHO	0.068*	0.077*	0.342*	-0.033	1.000									
FNL	0.082*	0.012	0.43*	0.046	0.842*	1.000								
FNW	0.017	-0.094*	0.876*	-0.702*	0.254*	0.271*	1.000							
ISD	0.156*	0.104*	0.377*	-0.193*	0.309*	0.339*	0.365*	1.000						
MCD	-0.118*	-0.278*	0.541*	-0.121*	0.103*	0.259*	0.448*	0.257*	1.000					
NSA	0.002	-0.1*	-0.004	0.099*	-0.625*	-0.188	-0.046	-0.085*	0.156*	1.000				
OSD	0.089*	0.027	0.649*	-0.316*	0.604*	0.588*	0.613*	0.667*	0.382*	-0.274*	1.000			
PH	0.213*	0.017	0.758*	-0.334*	0.346*	0.394*	0.702*	0.419*	0.49*	-0.042	0.643*	1.000		
PW	0.338*	0.261*	0.481*	-0.141*	0.259*	0.295*	0.412*	0.334*	0.535*	-0.031	0.459*	0.534*	1.000	
SA	-0.577*	-0.669*	0.024	-0.164*	-0.093*	-0.053*	0.087*	-0.089*	0.214*	0.078*	-0.011	-0.011	-0.239*	1.000
*p<0.05.														
r, Pearson correlat	ion.													

AD, acetabular depth; CEA, centre edge angle; FHD, femoral head diameter; FHNR, femoral head to femoral neck ratio; FHO, femoral head offset; FNL, femoral neck length; FNW, femoral neck width; ISD, inner shaft diameter; MCD, mid-centre distance; NSA, neck shaft angle; OSD, outer shaft diameter; PH, pelvic height; PW, pelvic width; SA, sourcil angle.

https://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/ard

### Supplementary table S5:

Clusters of morphological features according to their highest values

	Clust	er 1	Cluste	er 2	Cluster 3		
Hip morphology	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
Acetabular depth	NA	NA	10.70	2.31	15.01	2.89	
Centre edge angle	36.83	6.37	30.08	5.53	40.08	5.74	
Femoral head diameter	52.96	3.03	59.87	3.60	60.54	3.94	
Femoral head to femoral							
neck ratio	1.47	0.08	1.37	0.07	1.40	0.07	
Femoral head offset	45.02	5.87	47.22	6.19	53.29	6.21	
Femoral neck length	58.50	5.26	61.09	5.72	66.15	5.89	
Femoral neck width	36.59	2.88	44.14	3.70	43.77	3.96	
Inner shaft diameter	19.04	2.45	NA	NA	22.56	2.83	
Mid-centre distance	107.58	5.47	114.32	5.69	112.93	6.41	
Neck shaft angle	129.12	6.36	129.25	6.13	126.08	5.75	
Outer shaft diameter	35.31	2.43	38.88	2.56	41.03	2.79	
Pelvic height	240.08	10.86	258.23	11.38	264.44	13.11	
Pelvic width	345.63	19.76	355.01	18.97	373.32	19.55	
Sourcil angle	6.83	5.41	11.72	4.48	4.60	4.33	

The bold black font were the highest values for the morphological features.

NA, not applicable; SD, standard deviation.