



University of Nebraska at Omaha DigitalCommons@UNO

Criminology and Criminal Justice Faculty **Publications**

School of Criminology and Criminal Justice

7-28-2020

On the challenges associated with the study of police use of deadly force in the United States: A response to Schwartz & Jahn

Justin Nix University of Nebraska at Omaha, jnix@unomaha.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.unomaha.edu/criminaljusticefacpub



Part of the Criminology Commons

Recommended Citation

Nix J (2020) On the challenges associated with the study of police use of deadly force in the United States: A response to Schwartz & Jahn. PLoS ONE 15(7): e0236158. https://doi.org/10.1371/ journal.pone.0236158

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the School of Criminology and Criminal Justice at DigitalCommons@UNO. It has been accepted for inclusion in Criminology and Criminal Justice Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@UNO. For more information, please contact unodigitalcommons@unomaha.edu.



FORMAL COMMENT

On the challenges associated with the study of police use of deadly force in the United States: A response to Schwartz & Jahn

Justin Nix®*

School of Criminology and Criminal Justice, University of Nebraska Omaha, Omaha, Nebraska, United States of America

* jnix@unomaha.edu

Abstract

In response to Gabriel Schwartz and Jaquelyn Jahn's descriptive study, "Mapping fatal police violence across U.S. metropolitan areas: Overall rates and racial/ethnic inequalities, 2013–2017," I provide three reflections. First, the framing of this issue is vitally important. Second, police-involved fatalities represent a nonrandom sample of all incidents involving police use of deadly force (i.e., physical force that causes or is likely to cause death), and unfortunately, we lack comprehensive data on use of deadly force that does not result in fatalities. Finally, to make sense of who is killed by the police, researchers must also identify who was exposed to the risk of being killed by the police.



OPEN ACCESS

Citation: Nix J (2020) On the challenges associated with the study of police use of deadly force in the United States: A response to Schwartz & Jahn. PLoS ONE 15(7): e0236158. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0236158

Editor: Jonathan Jackson, London School of Economics, UNITED KINGDOM

Received: March 19, 2020 Accepted: June 24, 2020 Published: July 28, 2020

Copyright: © 2020 Justin Nix. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Funding: The author received no specific funding for this work.

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

Introduction

Gabriel Schwartz and Jaquelyn Jahn analyzed data from Fatal Encounters—the most comprehensive database tracking police-involved deaths in the United States—and uncovered vast differences across metropolitan statistical areas (MSAs) in the overall rates of persons killed by police officers, as well as racial inequities in those rates, between 2013 and 2017 [1]. The key contribution of their analysis is that it was conducted at the MSA level, which offers a more precise look at variation in police-involved fatalities across the United States than most prior work. Four findings were especially noteworthy. First, overall rates varied extensively, from a low of 0.13 police-involved fatalities per 100,000 in Buffalo-Cheektowaga-Niagara Falls, NY to 1.17 police-involved fatalities per 100,000 in Anniston-Oxford-Jacksonville, AL. Second, western MSAs generally experienced higher annual rates of police-involved fatalities than their counterparts in the northern Midwest and Northeast. Third, across 382 MSAs, the Black incidence rate of fatalities involving police was at least 1.81 times higher than the White incidence rate (though notably, the 95% confidence intervals were wide and the vast majority included 1.00). In the Chicago-Naperville-Elgin MSA, for example, the Black incidence rate of fatalities involving police was 6.51 times (95% CI 1.84, 23.09) higher than the White incidence rate. Finally, Latinx-White inequities were less pronounced than Black-White inequities. In the Pueblo, CO MSA—which had the most extreme Latinx-White inequity from 2013 to 2017 -

the Latinx incidence rate of fatalities involving the police was 1.50 times higher than the White incidence rate.

Schwartz and Jahn performed a purely descriptive, demographic analysis. In other words, they were interested in documenting variation in police-involved fatality rates across MSAs, not attempting to explain said variation. That requires more data. As with any social phenomenon, there is value in understanding "what" before chasing answers to "why" and suggesting reforms. But as Chowkwanyun and Reed remind us, "disparity figures without explanatory context can perpetuate harmful myths and misunderstandings that actually undermine the goal of eliminating health inequities" [2]. As such, Schwartz and Jahn's study must be interpreted with three critical details in mind. First, we must consider the way we frame these discussions. Second, although Fatal Encounters offers the most comprehensive data on police use of deadly force in the United States, it likely misses hundreds, if not thousands, of police uses of deadly force each year [3]. Finally, the authors' use of census data to construct the denominator for each MSA's police-involved fatality rate rests on a strong assumption: that every person residing in each MSA is exposed to the risk of being killed by a police officer. I expand on these issues in the following discussion.

Framing

As researchers increasingly take advantage of datasets like Fatal Encounters, it is imperative that they inspect the data to ensure they are measuring what they intend to measure [4]. Accordingly, I applaud Schwartz and Jahn for excluding from their analysis 1,670 deaths that resulted from suicides, accidents, or vehicular collisions (in a supplemental analysis, they show what the results would be with these incidents included). However, as is common practice in public health and epidemiological research, the authors framed their study as one pertaining to *fatal police violence* [5–8], which they define as "fatalities in police custody or involving the police that would not have occurred in the absence of police intervention." There is no disputing that when police kill, they do so via acts of physical violence. But labelling *every* police-involved death "fatal *police* violence" assigns all responsibility to officers, as if none of the citizens involved contributed in any way to the violence.

Criminologist Philip Stinson, an expert on police crime and integrity, defines police violence as "any amount of force. . . that cannot be accounted for under the auspices of lawful necessity in the line of duty" (p. 14) [9]. Following Stinson's definition, fatal police violence is an appropriate way to describe the killings of Breonna Taylor, George Floyd, Walter Scott, Philando Castile, Eric Garner, Tamir Rice, and Amadou Diallo (to name just a few). It should not be used broadly to describe what criminologists have long referred to as *police use of deadly force* [10]. Unfortunately, its usage is likely to polarize and distract from Schwartz and Jahn's important study.

Words matter. A vast literature on framing theory suggests they can have powerful effects on the way people "develop a particular conceptualization of an issue or reorient their thinking about an issue" [11; see also 12]. Recently, Fridkin et al. [13] conducted an experiment where 225 political science students viewed dashcam footage of the arrest of Ersula Ore, "an African American professor at a major southwestern university [who] was 'body slammed' to the ground...for jaywalking." Prior to watching the footage, students were randomly assigned to read brief introductory paragraphs that framed the incident in terms of *law and order* (emphasizing the jaywalking violation, the officer's concern for public safety, and the professor's "violent outburst"), *police brutality* (emphasizing the body slam and charges being pressed against the officer for excessive force), or *race* (emphasizing the race of both the officer and the professor, and mentioning a civil rights investigation). A fourth control stimulus simply informed

students that a professor's arrest was "creating quite a stir," and directed them to watch the footage. Unsurprisingly, despite the fact that all participants viewed the same video, exposure to the *law and order* and *police brutality* frames significantly affected students' evaluations of both the officer and the professor. Framing of the event even appeared to indirectly influence their broader perceptions of racist policing being a problem in their community.

Now, consider the implications of framing all 5,494 police-involved fatalities that occurred from 2013 to 2017 as resulting from "police violence." One of the victims of "police violence" in Schwartz and Jahn's analysis is Salvador Reyes, killed on October 17, 2016 by a Tulsa (OK) police officer. This occurred following a three-hour standoff with Reyes, an estranged ex-husband who had broken into his ex-wife's home, grabbed her two-year-old daughter, and held the girl at gunpoint on a balcony. Ultimately, a police sniper shot and killed Reyes, saving the little girl's life (see S1-A of S1 Data). This was without question a *domestic violence* incident that ended with police using deadly force. Another victim of "police violence" in the sample was Micah Xavier, who ambushed Dallas (TX) police officers in July 2016, killing five and injuring nine others. Eventually, police killed Johnson with a bomb disposal robot (see S1-B of S1 Data). Though incidents like these should absolutely be documented and studied alongside other police uses of deadly force, it is debatable whether they (and many others) should be reframed as "police violence."

Indeed, much (but not all) of the time when officers use deadly force, it follows or preempts a perceived imminent deadly threat—either to their own lives or the lives of other citizens. Although the Fatal Encounters dataset does not include a field indicating whether the decedent was armed, as of March 18, 2020, *The Washington Post* reports that roughly 87% of the 5,134 citizens fatally shot by police officers since 2015 were in possession of a potentially deadly weapon (i.e., a firearm, knife/cutting instrument, or blunt object). Most who had firearms posed a direct and immediate threat to officers, according to *The Washington Post* (see S1-C of S1 Data). Given the evidence regarding framing effects, use of the term "police violence" has the potential to mislead readers who believe that police use of deadly force is rampant and usually unjustified (e.g., those who view police as "vigilantes" or "oppressors"; see [14]). It also has the potential to drive away readers who understand how statistically rare police use of deadly force is, and that it usually occurs in *response* to violence (e.g., police officers themselves, and those who view police as "professionals"; see [14] and [15–22]). I suspect *police-involved fatalities* is less leading, but this is ultimately an empirical question that future research should consider.

Generalizing from a nonrandom sample of deadly force incidents

The foremost cause of death at the hands of police, by far, is gunshot wounds. Ninety-four percent of the deaths in Schwartz and Jahn's sample resulted from police gunfire. However, like other crowdsourced datasets tracking police use of deadly force, Fatal Encounters only documents police shootings that result in the death of a person. According to the late James Fyfe [23], a pioneer in the study of officer-involved shootings:

The true frequency of police decisions to employ firearms as a means of deadly force. . .can best be determined by considering woundings and off-target shots as only fortuitous variations of fatal shootings. All are of a kind"

(p. 32).

That is, each time a police officer points and shoots a firearm at a person, a deadly force incident has transpired—even if the shot(s) misses or the person survives.

In the absence of national-level data on nonfatal police shootings, we are left to estimate how often they occur. Studies conducted at lower levels of analysis (i.e., one or more agencies) suggest police shootings result in death anywhere from 15 to 50% of the time [3, 24–30]. The five years of Fatal Encounters data analyzed by Schwartz and Jahn include approximately 5,000 deaths resulting from police shootings. If we assumed that the police shooting fatality rate over this period was 50% (a liberal estimate), it would mean the authors' analysis excluded 5,000 police shootings that did not result in death (but nevertheless qualify as police uses of deadly force).

Here is why this matters: the numerators of Schwartz and Jahn's fatality rates are a *non-random sample* of all deadly force incidents that occurred from 2013 to 2017. To be sure, there is some degree of chance in whether a person who is shot lives or dies (e.g., whether bullets pierce a vital organ) [31–33]. But part of the variation across MSAs both in terms of rates of police-involved fatalities and racial disparities therein might be driven by nonrandom factors apart from police behavior. One such factor is trauma care accessibility. Proximity to trauma centers varies systematically across communities [34–36], and prior research indicates that shooting victims face an elevated risk of dying from their wounds when they are shot farther away from trauma-certified hospitals [37–40]. Schwartz and Jahn's analysis does not tell us the extent that police use of deadly force varies across MSAs; instead, it tells us the extent that the police-involved fatality rate varies across MSAs. This is a crucial distinction.

Any two MSAs could be remarkably similar in terms of the rate at which officers use deadly force, yet quite different in terms of the rate at which people succumb to wounds inflicted by police officers. Or vice versa. According to VICE News, the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department (LVMPD) and the St. Louis Metropolitan Police Department (SLMPD) were involved in 115 and 119 police shootings, respectively, from 2010 to 2016 [3]. That is, these two departments were involved in roughly the same number of deadly force incidents. However, LVMPD's fatality rate was 40.9% versus SLMPD's 16.8%. Thus, 47 of LVMPD's shootings were fatal, compared to just 20 of SLMPD's shootings. An analysis like Schwartz and Jahn's, conducted with these two cities, would lead to the mistaken conclusion that fatal police violence was twice as common in Las Vegas as St. Louis, despite officers in these departments using deadly force on a similar number of occasions. Meanwhile, whereas the Boston and Atlanta Police Departments were involved in 10 fatal police shootings each, Boston had just 4 additional nonfatal shootings (fatality rate = 71.4%), while Atlanta had an additional 32 (fatality rate = 23.8%) [41]. Here, an analysis like Schwartz and Jahn's would lead to the conclusion that fatal police violence occurred with the same frequency in each city, when in fact Atlanta officers were involved in 3x as many deadly force incidents. Descriptive studies limited primarily to police shootings that result in the death of a person, instead of all occasions in which officers used deadly force, can lead to mistaken inferences about racial disparities in the rate at which the police use deadly force [42].

To be clear, the lack of national data on nonfatal police shootings is a frustrating limitation with which we all must grapple. As researchers, we can either (1) abandon the study of national trends in police use of deadly force and focus on smaller units of analysis [43, 44] or (2) own the limitations of the data and be transparent about what can and cannot be concluded from analyses of them. Schwartz and Jahn's analysis cannot tell us whether officers disproportionately use deadly force against Black citizens, nor whether officers in western MSAs use deadly force at a significantly higher rate than their counterparts in northeastern MSAs. Instead, their analysis tells us that overall and race-specific fatality rates vary across MSAs. To identify the reasons for this variation, we need more data.

The challenge of defining the at-risk population

In trying to make sense of trends and patterns in some observable police behavior, such as the use of deadly force, researchers face the difficult task of defining and measuring the counterfactual [45]. In Schwartz and Jahn's case, this would be the population of individuals who interacted with a police officer from 2013 to 2017 but were not killed. Everyone in this population was exposed to the risk, however small, of being killed by a police officer [46]. However, Schwartz and Jahn calculated their fatality rates with census data—meaning they included in their denominators millions of people who did not interact with a police officer, and whose risk of being killed by a police officer was approximately 0% (see S1-D of S1 Data). Data collected by the Bureau of Justice Statistics suggest only about 21% of the 253.6 million US residents age 16 or older had some sort of contact with a police officer in 2015 (i.e., a police officer stopped them, they were involved in traffic accident, or they called the police) [47]. Among those stopped each year, less than 2% are threatened with or subjected to police use of force [48]. One can only be subjected to police force—including deadly force—conditional on interacting with a police officer in time and space. So how informative is it to calculate police-involved fatality rates for a population that is mostly never at risk?

The authors defend their methodological decision as follows:

We use population denominators to align with, and allow comparisons to, previous demographic work in this area, and because using race-specific crime or arrest counts—themselves shaped by racial bias and segregation—yields estimates of a different and potentially biased contrast than the rather simple ones we answer here. . .

The authors are correct in their assertion that race-specific crime or arrest benchmarks are flawed. Meta-analytic studies have documented clear racial disparities in arrests [49], and furthermore, the available data demonstrate that deadly force incidents do not occur exclusively during the investigation of criminal activity or in the course of making arrests [45]. In other words, being killed by a police officer is not conditional on committing a crime or being arrested.

The authors continue:

...in which metropolitan areas are people most likely to be killed by police, what is the difference in these rates by race, and how does this vary across MSAs?

Answering these questions requires knowing how many people in each MSA were not killed by police. Knowing how many were not killed arguably requires determining who was at risk of being killed. A Venn diagram of the "at risk" and general populations would not perfectly align—instead, the "at risk" circle would be a small circle within the much larger general population circle. Perhaps some comparisons to other phenomena are in order. To estimate maternal mortality rates, researchers do not include all women in the denominator, but instead the number of live births [50]. To estimate meaningfully the rate at which sexually transmitted diseases proliferate, researchers would want to identify the sexually active population [51]. Finally, to estimate the rate at which sharks bite people, researchers would need to determine who goes into the water [52]. Studies concerned with police use of deadly force must be equally attentive to identifying a meaningful denominator.

My stance is that by calculating police-involved fatality rates with police-citizen interactions in the denominator, we can at least be certain that everyone in the sample we are analyzing was exposed to some risk of being subjected to deadly force. This is no easy task, as reliable

data on the rate at which police officers interact with people are not systematically collected. The Police-Public Contact Survey is administered in three-year intervals, and provides only a national-level snapshot, which precludes investigating the substantial variation that occurs at smaller units of analysis, as Schwartz and Jahn did. Further complicating matters, being stopped by police officers may be a mediator on the causal pathway between race and police use of deadly force, and the available evidence indicates that both crime reporting [53–55] and proactive police stops [56–59] differ systematically across racial groups. As such, conditioning on stops could bias analyses by (1) blocking a mediating path and (2) inducing collider stratification bias [58, 60]. Is the solution to ignore this mediator—which is literally a necessary precondition for being killed by a police officer—and calculate rates for the entire population (most of whom are never at risk)? If the goal is to understand and improve officer decision—making as a way to save lives, then I am not convinced. Stopping a person and using deadly force on a person are two different decision points, with different antecedents, and need to be analyzed as such.

To be clear, there is nothing inherently wrong about Schwartz and Jahn's use of population denominators in their analysis, so long as readers bear in mind there are *many* factors (including police behavior) that drive the disparities [53–67]. I am merely pointing out that it produces rates that are not all that helpful in understanding *why* police-involved fatalities vary across space as they do. But again, in fairness, the authors made no attempt to explain the underlying reasons for said variation. Future research must do so.

Where to go from here?

I believe a lot can be gleaned from Schwartz and Jahn's article, and agree that "place-specific policy contexts are likely a major cause of the distribution of overall incidence rates." The authors specifically mention "state and local firearm regulations, levels of segregation and policy drivers of those levels, or differences in police training and police department protocols." Daniel Nagin, for example, uncovered a "correlation between statewide prevalence of gun ownership and fatal police shootings for both all decedents and unarmed decedents," and confirmed that "greater access to trauma centers is associated with lower rates of citizen deaths" [68]. In a separate study, Jay Jennings and Meghan Rubado showed that agencies requiring officers to file a report when they point their firearms at people experience significantly lower rates of fatal police shootings [69]. In short, reducing police-involved fatalities requires a multi-faceted approach.

From the police perspective, it will require reducing the frequency with which officers are forced to make "split-second decisions." In part, this means getting officers to slow down and reduce their sense of urgency [70]. As Lawrence Sherman [71] notes:

[C] onventional viewpoint places the policy for when to shoot in the context of the split second when an officer pulls the trigger—thereby ignoring all the contextual factors that shape (and limit) the choices of any officer who arrives at that split-second, final frame. Viewing a police encounter as a movie, we can rewind the movie to identify many previous "frames" in the reel of film, in which a different choice may have saved everyone from harm

(p. 11).

Improved decision making in the earlier "frames" of police-citizen interactions might be achieved via training [72–74] and policy [75, 76], but Philip Atiba Goff and Hilary Rau argue that agencies would get more return on investment if they focused on how they screen applicants [77]. There are, of course, more drastic measures that could be taken. In the wake of the

recent police killings of Breonna Taylor and George Floyd (and ongoing protests across the world), there is currently a push to defund local police departments and rethink their function in US society [78–82]. As communities explore these and other ways to reduce police-involved fatalities, it is imperative that they set clear and manageable goals, define at the onset what they would consider *success* or *failure*, and rigorously evaluate the effects of the policies or interventions implemented.

As important, our understanding of police use of deadly force continues to be hindered by a lack of comprehensive, national data. Fortunately, some states have begun collecting more comprehensive use of force data from their respective local agencies (see "Police Involved Deaths and Use of Force" at https://www.ncsl.org/research/civil-and-criminal-justice/law-enforcement.aspx#3). Andrew Wheeler and colleagues recently proposed that the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) add fields to its National Incident-Based Reporting System (NIBRS) [44]. Specifically, agencies could provide information about officer-involved shootings (fatal and nonfatal) as well as less-lethal forms of force, including weapon draws, Taser uses, and empty-hand tactics. This would allow researchers to analyze use of force incidents alongside those that do not result in force [83], and would make for more informative comparisons of trends across time and space. The FBI plans to transition fully to NIBRS by 2021, so participation by state and local agencies should increase significantly in the coming years. The transition could be a golden opportunity to collect better data.

Improved data will enhance researchers' ability to describe *how* use of deadly force varies across jurisdictions, as well as to explore the viable reasons *why* it varies the way it does. Ultimately, the answers to these questions will help us identify plausible ways to save lives.

Supporting information

S1 Data. (DOCX)

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Justin Nix.

Writing - original draft: Justin Nix.

Writing - review & editing: Justin Nix.

References

- Schwartz GL, Jahn JL. Mapping fatal police violence across U.S. metropolitan areas: Overall rates and racial/ethnic inequities, 2013–2017. PLoS ONE. 2020.
- Chowkwanyun M, Reed AL. Racial health disparities and Covid-19—Caution and context. New England Journal of Medicine. 2020; 0. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMp2012910 PMID: 32374952
- McCann A, Hamilton K, Dolven T, Caulderwood K, Arthur R. Police shoot far more people than anyone realized, a VICE News investigation reveals. In: Vice [Internet]. 12 Dec 2017 [cited 17 Mar 2020]. https://www.vice.com/en_ca/article/xwvv3a/shot-by-cops
- Nix J, Lozada MJ. Do police killings of unarmed persons really have spillover effects? Reanalyzing Bor et al. (2018). SocArXiv; 2020 Jan.
- DeVylder JE, Jun H-J, Fedina L, Coleman D, Anglin D, Cogburn C, et al. Association of Exposure to Police Violence With Prevalence of Mental Health Symptoms Among Urban Residents in the United States. JAMA Netw Open. 2018; 1: e184945—e184945. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2018.4945 PMID: 30646377
- Fedina L, Backes BL, Jun H-J, Shah R, Nam B, Link BG, et al. Police violence among women in four U. S. cities. Preventive Medicine. 2018; 106: 150–156. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ypmed.2017.10.037
 PMID: 29104021

- Krieger N, Chen JT, Waterman PD, Kiang MV, Feldman J. Police Killings and Police Deaths Are Public Health Data and Can Be Counted. PLoS Med. 2015; 12. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1001915 PMID: 26645383
- Premkumar A, Nseyo O, Jackson AV. Connecting Police Violence with Reproductive Health. Obstetrics & Gynecology. 2017; 129: 153–156. https://doi.org/10.1097/AOG.000000000001731 PMID: 27926648
- 9. Stinson PM Sr. Criminology Explains Police Violence. 2020; Univ. of California Press.
- 10. Fyfe JJ. Police use of deadly force: Research and reform. Just Q. 1988; 5: 165–206.
- Chong D, Druckman JN. Framing theory. Annual Review of Political Science. 2007; 10: 103–126. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.polisci.10.072805.103054
- Tversky A, Kahneman D. The framing of decisions and the psychology of choice. Science. 1981; 211: 453–458. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.7455683 PMID: 7455683
- **13.** Fridkin K. Race and police brutality: The importance of media framing. International Journal of Communication. 2017; 11: 3394–3414.
- Hirschfield PJ, Simon D. Legitimating police violence: Newspaper narratives of deadly force. Theoretical Criminology. 2010; 14: 155–182. https://doi.org/10.1177/1362480609351545
- Fyfe JJ. Toward a typology of police shootings. In JJ Fyfe (Ed.), Contemporary Issues in Law Enforcement. 1981; 136–151. Sage.
- 16. Binder A, Fridell L. Lethal force as a police response. Criminal Justice Abstracts. 1984; 16: 250–280.
- Binder A, Scharf P. The violent police-citizen encounter. The ANNALS of the American Academy of Political and Social Science. 1980; 452: 111–121. https://doi.org/10.1177/000271628045200111
- Geller WA, Karales KJ. Shootings of and by Chicago Police: Uncommon Crises—Part I: Shootings by Chicago Police. J Crim L & Criminology. 1981; 72: 1813–1866.
- **19.** Koper CS. Advancing research and accountability on police use of deadly force micro-ecology of deadly force: editorial introduction. Criminology & Public Policy. 2016; 15: 187–192.
- **20.** Selby N, Singleton B, MS EF, Bruce C, Mulvey L. In Context: Understanding Police Killings of Unarmed Civilians. Harley A, editor. CIAI Press; 2016.
- 21. Stoughton S, Noble J, Alpert G. Evaluating Police Uses of Force. NYU Press; 2020.
- 22. White MD. Transactional encounters, crisis-driven reform, and the potential for a national police deadly force database. Criminology & Public Policy. 2016; 15: 223–235. https://doi.org/10.1111/1745-9133. 12180
- 23. Fyfe JJ. Shots fired: An examination of New York City police firearms discharges. Dissertation, State University of New York at Albany. 1978.
- **24.** Fyfe JJ. Geographic Correlates of Police Shooting: A microanalysis. Journal of Research in Crime and Delinquency. 1980; 17: 101–113.
- 25. Meyer MW. Police shootings at minorities: The case of Los Angeles. The Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science. 1980; 452: 98–110.
- White MD. Hitting the target (or not): Comparing characteristics of fatal, injurious, and noninjurious police shootings. Police Quarterly, 2006: 9: 303–330. https://doi.org/10.1177/1098611105277199
- 27. Klinger DA. On the problems and promise of research on lethal police violence: A research note. Homicide Studies. 2012; 16: 78–96. https://doi.org/10.1177/1088767911430861
- Klinger D, Rosenfeld R, Isom D, Deckard M. Race, crime, and the micro-ecology of deadly force. Criminology & Public Policy. 2016; 15: 193–222. https://doi.org/10.1111/1745-9133.12174
- 29. Ura A. Little Concrete Data Underpins Police Shooting Debates in Texas | Unholstered. In: The Texas Tribune [Internet]. 30 Aug 2016 [cited 17 Mar 2020]. https://apps.texastribune.org/unholstered/records/
- Montgomery B, Humburg C. Why cops shoot. 2017 [cited 17 Mar 2020]. http://www.tampabay.com/ projects/2017/investigations/florida-police-shootings/why-cops-shoot
- **31.** Zimring FE. The medium is the message: Firearm caliber as a determinant of death from assault. The Journal of Legal Studies. 1972; 1: 97–123.
- 32. Cook PJ, Braga AA, Turchan BS, Barao LM. Why do gun murders have a higher clearance rate than gunshot assaults? Criminology & Public Policy. 2019; 18: 525–551. https://doi.org/10.1111/1745-9133.
- Braga AA, Cook PJ. The association of firearm caliber with likelihood of death from gunshot injury in criminal assaults. JAMA Netw Open. 2018; 1: e180833–e180833. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2018.0833 PMID: 30646040

- Branas CC, MacKenzie EJ, Williams JC, Schwab CW, Teter HM, Flanigan MC, et al. Access to trauma centers in the United States. JAMA. 2005; 293: 2626–2633. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.293.21.2626
 PMID: 15928284
- 35. Wandling M, Behrens J, Hsia R, Crandall M. Geographic disparities in access to urban trauma care: Defining the problem and identifying a solution for gunshot wound victims in Chicago. The American Journal of Surgery. 2016; 212: 587–591. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amjsurg.2016.06.020 PMID: 27567114
- Hanke PJ, Gundlach JH. Damned on arrival: A preliminary study of the relationship between homicide, emergency medical care, and race. Journal of Criminal Justice. 1995; 23: 313–323. https://doi.org/10.1016/0047-2352(95)00022-1
- MacKenzie EJ, Jurkovich GJ, Frey KP, Scharfstein DO. A national evaluation of the effect of traumacenter care on mortality. N Engl J Med. 2006; 13.
- Circo G, Wheeler AP. Network distance and fatal outcomes among gunshot wound victims. SocArXiv; 2019 Aug.
- Crandall M, Sharp D, Unger E, Straus D, Brasel K, Hsia R, et al. Trauma deserts: distance from a trauma center, transport times, and mortality from gunshot wounds in Chicago. Am J Public Health. 2013; 103: 1103–1109. https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2013.301223 PMID: 23597339
- 40. Circo GM. Distance to trauma centres among gunshot wound victims: identifying trauma 'deserts' and 'oases' in Detroit. Injury Prevention. 2019; 25: i39–i43. https://doi.org/10.1136/injuryprev-2019-043180 PMID: 31160372
- Nix J. The problems with OIS data that only capture fatalities. 23 Jun 2019 [cited 8 Jun 2020]. https://
 jnix.netlify.app/post/post2-fatality-rates/
- **42.** Nix J, Alpert GP. Crowdsourced police shooting data: What we know and what we're missing. Paper presented at the 114th Annual Meeting of the American Sociological Association. New York, NY; 2019. https://jnix.netlify.app/files/asa19_slides.pdf
- Shjarback JA. State-mandated transparency: A discussion and examination of deadly force data among law enforcement agencies in Texas. Journal of Crime and Justice. 2019; 42: 3–17. https://doi. org/10.1080/0735648X.2018.1547353
- **44.** Wheeler AP, Phillips SW, Worrall JL, Bishopp SA. What factors influence an officer's decision to shoot? The promise and limitations of using public data. Justice Research and Policy. 2017; 18: 48–76.
- **45.** Tregle B, Nix J, Alpert GP. Disparity does not mean bias: Making sense of observed racial disparities in fatal officer-involved shootings with multiple benchmarks. Journal of Crime and Justice. 2019; 42: 18–31
- 46. Clark TS, Cohen E, Gunderson A, Glynn A, Owens ML, Schiff KJ. Are police racially biased in the decision to shoot? 2020. https://static1.squarespace.com/static/58d3d264893fc0bdd12db507/t/5e6bf2f51861a32988365242/1584132858096/Racial_Bias_in_Shootings.pdf
- Davis E, Langton L. Contacts Between Police and the Public, 2015. Washington, DC: Bureau of Justice Statistics; 2018. Report No.: NCJ 251145. https://www.bjs.gov/content/pub/pdf/cpp15.pdf
- Hyland S, Langton L, Davis E. Police Use of Nonfatal Force, 2002–11. Washington, DC: Bureau of Justice Statistics; 2015. Report No.: NCJ 249216. https://www.bjs.gov/content/pub/pdf/punf0211.pdf
- **49.** Kochel TR, Wilson DB, Mastrofski SD. Effect of suspect race on officers' arrest decisions. Criminology. 2011; 49: 473–512. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-9125.2011.00230.x
- Creanga AA, Syverson C, Seed K, Callaghan WM. Pregnancy-related mortality in the United States, 2011–2013. Obstet Gynecol. 2017; 130: 366–373. https://doi.org/10.1097/AOG.000000000000000114 PMID: 28697109
- Kost K, Forrest JD. American women's sexual behavior and exposure to risk of sexually transmitted diseases. Family Planning Perspectives. 1992; 24: 244–254. https://doi.org/10.2307/2135853 PMID: 1483527
- McPhee D. Unprovoked shark bites: Are they becoming more prevalent? Coastal Management. 2014; 42: 478–492. https://doi.org/10.1080/08920753.2014.942046
- 53. Engel RS, Smith MR, Cullen FT. Race, place, and drug enforcement: Reconsidering the impact of citizen complaints and crime rates on drug arrests. Criminology & Pub Policy. 2012; 11: 603–636.
- 54. Takei C. How Police Can Stop Being Weaponized by Bias-Motivated 911 Calls. In: American Civil Liberties Union [Internet]. 18 Jun 2018 [cited 9 Jun 2020]. https://www.aclu.org/blog/racial-justice/race-and-criminal-justice/how-police-can-stop-being-weaponized-bias-motivated
- 55. Xie M, Lauritsen JL. Racial context and crime reporting: A test of Black's Stratification Hypothesis. J Quant Criminol. 2012; 28: 265–293. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10940-011-9140-z

- **56.** Epp CR, Maynard-Moody S, Haider-Markel DP. Pulled over: How police stops define race and citizenship. University of Chicago Press; 2014.
- Gelman A, Fagan J, Kiss A. An analysis of the New York City Police Department's "Stop-and-Frisk" policy in the context of claims of racial bias. Journal of the American Statistical Association. 2007; 102: 813–823. https://doi.org/10.1198/016214506000001040
- Knox D, Lowe W, Mummolo J. Administrative records mask racially biased policing. SSRN Electron J. 2019. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3336338
- 59. Pierson E, Simoiu C, Overgoor J, Corbett-Davies S, Jenson D, Shoemaker A, et al. A large-scale analysis of racial disparities in police stops across the United States. Nature Human Behaviour. 2020; 1–10.
- Ross CT, Winterhalder B, McElreath R. Resolution of apparent paradoxes in the race-specific frequency of use-of-force by police. Palgrave Commun. 2018; 4. https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-018-0110-z
- Bursik RJ, Grasmick HG. Neighborhoods and crime: The dimensions of effective community control. Macmillan; 1993.
- Krivo LJ, Peterson RD. Extremely disadvantaged neighborhoods and urban crime. Social Forces. 1996; 75: 619–648.
- **63.** McNamarah CT. White Caller Crime: Racialized Police Communication and Existing While Black. Michigan Journal of Race & Law. 2019; 24: 335–416.
- McNulty TL. Assessing the race-violence relationship at the macro level: The assumption of racial invariance and the problem of restricted distributions. Criminology. 2001; 39: 467–490.
- **65.** Peterson RD, Krivo LJ. Divergent social worlds: Neighborhood crime and the racial-spatial divide. Russell Sage Foundation; 2010.
- Sampson RJ. Great American city: Chicago and the enduring neighborhood effect. University of Chicago Press; 2012.
- Sampson RJ, Lauritsen JL. Racial and ethnic disparities in crime and criminal justice in the United States. Crime and Justice. 1997; 21: 311–374. https://doi.org/10.1086/449253
- Nagin DS. Firearm availability and fatal police shootings. The ANNALS of the American Academy of Political and Social Science. 2020; 687: 49–57. https://doi.org/10.1177/0002716219896259
- **69.** Jennings JT, Rubado ME. Preventing the use of deadly force: The relationship between police agency policies and rates of officer-involved gun deaths. Public Administration Review. 2017; 77: 217–226. https://doi.org/10.1111/pugr.12738
- 70. Klinger D. Organizational accidents and deadly police-involved violence: Some thoughts on extending theory, expanding research, and improving police practice. The ANNALS of the American Academy of Political and Social Science. 2020; 687: 28–48. https://doi.org/10.1177/0002716219892913
- Sherman LW. Evidence-based policing and fatal police shootings: Promise, problems, and prospects.
 The ANNALS of the American Academy of Political and Social Science. 2020; 687: 8–26. https://doi.org/10.1177/0002716220902073
- 72. McLean K, Wolfe SE, Rojek J, Alpert GP, Smith MR. A randomized controlled trial of social interaction police training. Criminology and Public Policy. 2020; forthcoming. https://www.researchgate.net/ publication/341976823_A_Randomized_Controlled_Trial_of_Social_Interaction_Police_Training
- Wolfe S, Rojek J, McLean K, Alpert G. Social interaction training to reduce police use of force. The ANNALS of the American Academy of Political and Social Science. 2020; 687: 124–145. https://doi.org/10.1177/0002716219887366
- Wood G, Tyler TR, Papachristos AV. Procedural justice training reduces police use of force and complaints against officers. PNAS. 2020; 117: 9815–9821. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1920671117
 PMID: 32312803
- 75. Zimring FE. When Police Kill. Harvard University Press; 2017.
- Zimring FE. Police killings as a problem of governance. The ANNALS of the American Academy of Political and Social Science. 2020; 687: 114–123. https://doi.org/10.1177/0002716219888627
- Goff PA, Rau H. Predicting bad policing: Theorizing burdensome and racially disparate policing through the lenses of social psychology and routine activities. The ANNALS of the American Academy of Political and Social Science. 2020; 687: 67–88. https://doi.org/10.1177/0002716220901349
- 78. Brewster J. LA Mayor Slashes LAPD Budget As Calls To 'Defund Police' Slowly Pick Up Steam. In: Forbes [Internet]. [cited 8 Jun 2020]. https://www.forbes.com/sites/jackbrewster/2020/06/04/la-mayor-slashes-lapd-budget-as-calls-to-defund-police-slowly-pick-up-steam/
- Meares TL, Tyler TR. The First Step Is Figuring Out What Police Are For. The Atlantic. 8 Jun 2020. https://www.theatlantic.com/ideas/archive/2020/06/first-step-figuring-out-what-police-are/612793/. Accessed 8 Jun 2020.

- **80.** Rosenburg T. The simple idea that could transform US criminal justice. In: The Guardian [Internet]. 23 Jun 2015 [cited 8 Jun 2020]. http://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2015/jun/23/procedural-justice-transform-us-criminal-courts
- 81. Solender A. Minneapolis To Disband Police Department. In: Forbes [Internet]. [cited 8 Jun 2020]. https://www.forbes.com/sites/andrewsolender/2020/06/07/minneapolis-votes-to-disband-police-department/
- 82. Vitale AS. The End of Policing. Verso Books; 2017.
- **83.** Nix J, Richards TN, Pinchevsky GM, Wright EM. Are domestic incidents really more dangerous to police? Findings from the 2016 National Incident Based Reporting System. Justice Quarterly. 2019; 0: 1–23. https://doi.org/10.1080/07418825.2019.1675748