East Tennessee State University

Digital Commons @ East Tennessee State University

ETSU Faculty Works

Faculty Works

10-1-2019

Identifying Intimate Partner Violence: A Review of Three Measures for Implementation in Primary Care Settings

Matthew W. Henninger University at Buffalo

Andrea D. Clements

East Tennessee State University, clements@etsu.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://dc.etsu.edu/etsu-works

Part of the Community-Based Research Commons, Health Psychology Commons, and the Substance Abuse and Addiction Commons

Citation Information

Henninger, Matthew W.; and Clements, Andrea D.. 2019. Identifying Intimate Partner Violence: A Review of Three Measures for Implementation in Primary Care Settings. Poster Presentation. *Collaborative Family Healthcare Association Annual Conference*, Denver, CO. https://cdn.ymaws.com/www.cfha.net/resource/resmgr/2019/conference/posters/PSR07_Henninger_Poster.pdf

This Presentation is brought to you for free and open access by the Faculty Works at Digital Commons @ East Tennessee State University. It has been accepted for inclusion in ETSU Faculty Works by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons @ East Tennessee State University. For more information, please contact digilib@etsu.edu.

Identifying Intimate Partner Violence: A Review of Three Measures for Implementation in Primary Care Settings



Authors are permitted to submit their presentation materials to repositories. The documents were originally provided by Collaborative Family Healthcare Association Annual Conference.

Identifying Intimate Partner Violence: A Review of Three Measures for Implementation in Primary Care Settings

Matthew W. Henninger, B.A.¹, & Andrea D. Clements, Ph.D.²

University at Buffalo¹, East Tennessee State University²

Introduction

- More than 27% of women and 11% of men have experienced violence (e.g., sexual, physical, psychological) and/or stalking by an intimate partner in their lifetime (NISVS, 2017).
- Estimated lifetime cost of intimate partner violence (IPV) is \$103,767 per female survivor and \$24,414 per male survivor, with a population economic burden of \$3.6 trillion over survivors' lifetimes (Peterson et al., 2018).
- National statistics indicate that only 10% of physicians regularly screen for domestic violence (Basile et al., 2007).
- One of the major barriers for IPV disclosure is a lack of universal screening for violence in medical settings (McLeod et al., 2010).
- It is imperative for health-service providers to integrate IPV measures that are psychometrically validated and financially feasible within practice environments.
- This review examined the existing research on the psychometric properties of evidence-based
 IPV screening mechanisms for administration in primary/integrated care.

Methods

Search Terms


(IPV + Intimate Partner Violence + Partner Violence) / (Measures + Tools + Scales)
+
(IPV + Intimate Partner Violence) / (WAST + CTS2 + HITS +) / (Primary Care + Integrated Care + Family Medicine + Federally Qualified Health Center + FQHC)
+
(WAST + Reliability) / (WAST + Validity) / (WAST + Psychometrics)
+
(CTS2 + Reliability) / (CTS2 + Validity) / (CTS2 + Psychometrics)
+
(HITS + Reliability) / (HITS + Validity) / (HITS + Psychometrics)

Inclusion Criteria

Articles published between 1995 and 2018 from scholarly (peer-reviewed) journals.

Measures of IPV assessed in primary care/integrated care environments, including within racial/ethnic minority communities.

Articles that used a translation/back-translation of instruments as well as shortened versions were also included.



| Table 1 | - Reliability | Information | n for <i>Hurt,</i> | Insult, Threaten, So | cream |
|----------------------|--------------------------------|-------------|--------------------|---|-------|
| Source | Reliability Estimate(s) | Type | # of Items | Sample | N |
| Chen et al. (2007) | .79 | Alpha | 4 | Female patients in family practice | 523 |
| Chan et al. (2010) | .90 | Alpha | 5 | Cantonese-speaking female patients in ED | 226 |
| Chan et al. (2010) | .71 | Test-Retest | 5 | Cantonese-speaking female patients in ED | 226 |
| Chen et al. (2005) | English = .76 Spanish = .61 | Alpha | 4 | English & Spanish- speaking women in family practice clinic | 202 |
| Sherin et al. (1998) | .80 | Alpha | 4 | Female patients in family practice | 160 |

Conclusions

This review suggests that the HITS is the shortest, most reliable, and valid measure compared to the WAST and CTS2, indicating that it takes the least amount of time for patients to complete, with significant accuracy of IPV incidence, and for health-service providers to score, which can be attractive in fast-paced medical environments.

Future work would test the feasibility and efficacy of the HITS across clinical populations and settings, including prenatal clinics and substance use programs located in rural communities.