provided by NWCommons: Institutional Repository of Northwestern College

Northwestern College, Iowa

NWCommons

Newspaper Clippings

Box 1: Papers

1957

"Nooksack River Navigability Status Challenged by Lawyer", 1957

Newspaper

Follow this and additional works at: https://nwcommons.nwciowa.edu/lecocqnewspaper

Nooksack River Svigability Status Challenged by Lawyer

gable?

Land Commissioner Bert Cole announced Monday that com-mercial operators who have been taking gravel from the Nooksack in Whatcom County have agreed to pay the state a royalty on whatever gravel they remove in future.

Attorney R. B. LeCocq of Lynden, representing one firms, is challenging th den, representing one of the firms, is challenging the state's authority to charge a royalty because he maintains the river is not navigable.

He cites several State Supreme Court decisions which, he says, have established that the Nooksack River is not navigable, and points to the fact that the State of Washigton itself recognized the non-navigability of the river, through the State Highway De-partment, when it built a new Nooksack River bridge.

Clearance of the bridge is so low, LeCocq pointed out, that only the smallest boats can pass under it, and none of a size for commercial use. 'QUESTION OF FACT'

He said the Supreme Court has repeatedly held that whether a river is navigable or non-navigable is a question of fact, and that a river is not navigable unless it is "capable of being used practically for carriage of commerce."

Cole on a recent visit to What-com County visited five commercial gravel operations on the Nooksack River, all near Lynden, accompanied by State Rep. A. E. Edwards and Shirley R. Daniels, administrative supervisor in this district for the State Department of Natural Resources.

Purpose of Cole's visit was to investigate the commercial re-moval of state-owned stream moval of state-owned stream gravel without royalty payment which, Cole pointed out, the state is required by statute to collect.

On his return to Olympia Cole annuonced Monday that the Whatcom gravel operators in Whatcom County had agreed to pay this royalty, 10 cents per cubic yard. Cole estimated that the state will gain \$10,000 to \$15,000 a year in royalties from the Nooksack gravel operations.

attorney for one gravel operators at Lynden, Bode & Van Werven, LeCocq contests the right of the state to collect royalty for removal of l from a non-navigable gravel stream.

He cites a 1955 law passed by the State Legislature which provides that the commissioner of public lands may enter into a contract, or lease, upon applica-tion by any person, firm or corporation, permitting removal and sale of rock, gravel, sand and silt from navigable waters owned by the state, payment to be made therefore by such roy-alty as the commissioner may

LeCocq contends that the Nooksack River is ruled out under this law for such royalty pay-ments because the river is not navigable.

"It is an indisputable fact that the Nooksack River is not navigable, and the state recognized this fact when it built the new Nooksack bridge," LeCocq said n his statement Thursday.

"The U.S. Department of the Army exercises jurisdiction over the Nooksack River from its mouth to Everson, a distance of 26 miles, for the purpose of protecting the river as to bridges, pipelines, cables or other struc-tures, but this in no way affects the navagibility or non-navigab-ility of the river. The jurisdic-tion of the United States can be

the Nooksack River navi- conclusively proved only through the courts of this state.

"But every citizen of Whatcom 1957 County knows that the Nooksack River is not navigable. Therefore the state has no right to collect these royalties as the commissioner of public lands proposes to do.
'DISGUISED TAXATION'

"It is actually a way of collecting taxes under the guise of royalties," LeCocq said.

He said that if the state gets

10 cents per cubic yard royalty on gravel removed from the Nooksack, with extra bookkeeping costs to the gravel operators, the costs will be inevitably a passed on to the consumer.

"Your paved roads, buildings, he farm yards, city streets will cost just that much more. also means that every farmer who gets some gravel from the Nooksack, as well as the com-mercial gravel firms, will have to get a permit, put up a bond and pay royalty. in

"We believe the state has no right to consider the Nooksack River navigable at cost to citizens and taxpayers. the state can do for the people is to bring a test case in the courts to ascertain if the river is legally declared navigable. It is unfair to throw the expense of a law suit for this purpose on the small operators and farmers of the district."

W

a

te:

zi,

clo Fr

for

Actually, LeCocq declared, in the light of Supreme Court decisions in this state the answer to the question of the navigability of the Nooksack has already been given.