



University of Kentucky
UKnowledge

International Grassland Congress Proceedings

21st International Grassland Congress / 8th
International Rangeland Congress

Managing Carbon Sources and Sinks in Australia's Rangelands and Tropical Savannas

Richard J. Williams
CSIRO, Australia

L. B. Hutley
Charles Darwin University, Australia

J. Beringer
Monash University, Australia

G. D. Cook
CSIRO, Australia

J. Russell-Smith
Bushfires Council of the Northern Territory, Australia

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: <https://uknowledge.uky.edu/igc>

 Part of the [Plant Sciences Commons](#), and the [Soil Science Commons](#)

This document is available at <https://uknowledge.uky.edu/igc/21/8-1/43>

The 21st International Grassland Congress / 8th International Rangeland Congress took place in Hohhot, China from June 29 through July 5, 2008.

Proceedings edited by Organizing Committee of 2008 IGC/IRC Conference

Published by Guangdong People's Publishing House

This Event is brought to you for free and open access by the Plant and Soil Sciences at UKnowledge. It has been accepted for inclusion in International Grassland Congress Proceedings by an authorized administrator of UKnowledge. For more information, please contact UKnowledge@lsv.uky.edu.

Presenter Information

Richard J. Williams, L. B. Hutley, J. Beringer, G. D. Cook, J. Russell-Smith, and L. Liedloff

Managing carbon sources and sinks in Australia's rangelands and tropical Savannas

R. J. Williams¹, L. B. Hutley², J. Beringer³, G. D. Cook¹, J. Russell-Smith⁴, and A. Liedloff¹

¹ CSIRO Sustainable Ecosystems, PMB 44 Winnellie, NT 0821, Australia; E-mail dick.williams@csiro.au

² School of Science and Primary Industries, Charles Darwin University, Darwin, 0909, Australia

³ School of Geography and Environmental Science, Monash University, Melbourne, 3800, Australia

⁴ Bushfires Council of the Northern Territory PO Box 37346, Winnellie, NT, 0821, Australia

Key words : carbon markets, emissions, fire, grazing, sequestration

Background Australia's rangelands and savannas occupy about 80% of the continent and play an important role in the country's carbon balance. Grazing by livestock is the most extensive land use, and the savannas are subject to frequent, extensive fire, especially in the north, where annual rainfall exceeds 1000 mm. Savanna burning plus direct emissions from Australia's domestic livestock (mainly sheep and cattle) account for about 15% of Australia's accountable CO₂-e equivalent (CO₂-e) emissions per year. In this paper, we discuss the potential to reduce emissions via land management instruments, ecosystem capacity to sequester carbon, and investment and management strategies that can lower the carbon footprint of land use in these ecosystems.

Greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions from Australian rangelands derive from three main sources: land-use change, livestock production and savanna burning (Table 1). Most land-use change in rangelands since 1990 has been deforestation of eucalyptus-dominated woodlands to increase pastoral production. In 1990, the CO₂-e emissions from deforestation were double those from livestock and ca. 20 times those from savanna burning. Since then, the rate of land clearing has decreased so that the emissions in 2005 were about two thirds those from livestock.

Table 1 Accountable greenhouse gas emissions from Australian rangelands (source: NGGIC 2005).

Sector	Net emissions (Mt CO ₂ -e y ⁻¹)		
	1990	Range 1991-1994	2005
Land use change (deforestation)	128.9	106.1 to 46.4	53.3
Land use change (afforestation)	0	-0.5 to -17.8	-19.6
Livestock (enteric fermentation and manure)	65.9	61.6 to 66.1	62.1
Savanna burning	6.6	6.1 to 15.8	8.6

GHG management There are few practical strategies to reduce methane emissions from livestock without reducing productivity. Genetic variation in the feed, and manipulation of feed quality provide potential methods to manage methane emissions from cattle. Improvement of rangeland condition may enhance sequestration, by increasing soil carbon stocks. With respect to fire, which is pervasive across the savannas, the potential to reduce GHG emissions and enhance carbon sequestration capacity is high. Current fire abatement activities over a 30,000 km² area in Western Arnhem Land, Northern Territory, are achieving accountable emissions reductions of ca. 100,000 t CO₂-e per annum. Recent research (Russell-Smith J et al. 2002; Williams et al. 2004; Beringer et al. 2007) in the mesic savannas has quantified critical components of carbon stocks and fluxes, e.g. area burnt, burning efficiencies, fuel dynamics, and net ecosystem and biome productivity (NEP; NBP). This indicates that the mesic savannas are net C-sink, of ca. 1-to-2 t C ha⁻¹ y⁻¹. Sink strength is sensitive to fire regime, and reduction in the severity of the fire regime will lead to additional increases in carbon sequestration capacity.

Market potential International demand for ecosystem abatement and bio-sequestration activities is likely to increase in coming years. Australian rangelands and savannas, which collectively cover several million km², offer significant potential to provide abatement and off-set products and services within international carbon markets, whether voluntary or regulated. We discuss this potential, and how the emerging demand for land-based carbon off-set products may affect land-management policy and practice in rangelands and savannas, within the pastoral sector, and with respect to Aboriginal people, who own extensive areas of the savannas.

References

- Beringer J et al. (2007) Savanna fires and their impact on net ecosystem productivity in North Australia. *Global Change Biol.* 13: 990-1004.
- NGGIC (2005) National Greenhouse Gas Inventory 2005. Australian Greenhouse Office, Canberra.
- Russell-Smith J et al. (2002) Reliability of biomass burning estimates from savanna fires. *J. Geophys. Res. Atm.* 108 (D3) 8405, doi:10.1029.
- Williams RJ et al. (2004) Assessing the carbon sequestration potential of mesic savannas in the Northern Territory, Australia: approaches, uncertainties and potential impacts of fire. *Functional Plant Biol.* 31: 415-22.