



University of Kentucky
UKnowledge

International Grassland Congress Proceedings

21st International Grassland Congress / 8th
International Rangeland Congress

Image-Based Rangeland Monitoring at Multiple Scales

D. T. Booth

U.S. Department of Agriculture

A. S. Laliberte

Jornada Experimental Range

A. Rango

Jornada Experimental Range

J. E. Herrick

Jornada Experimental Range

Follow this and additional works at: <https://uknowledge.uky.edu/igc>



Part of the [Plant Sciences Commons](#), and the [Soil Science Commons](#)

This document is available at <https://uknowledge.uky.edu/igc/21/5-1/17>

The 21st International Grassland Congress / 8th International Rangeland Congress took place in Hohhot, China from June 29 through July 5, 2008.

Proceedings edited by Organizing Committee of 2008 IGC/IRC Conference

Published by Guangdong People's Publishing House

This Event is brought to you for free and open access by the Plant and Soil Sciences at UKnowledge. It has been accepted for inclusion in International Grassland Congress Proceedings by an authorized administrator of UKnowledge. For more information, please contact UKnowledge@lsv.uky.edu.

Image-based rangeland monitoring at multiple scales

D.T. Booth¹, A.S. Laliberte², A. Rango², and J.E. Herrick²

USDA ARS, ¹High Plains Grasslands Research Station, 8408 Hildreth Road, Cheyenne, Wyoming, 82009 .E-mail: Terry.Booth@ars.usda.gov, ²Jornada Experimental Range, 2995 Knox Street, Las Cruces, NM 88003, USA

Key words : ecological assessment, photography, ground cover, remote sensing

Introduction Sustainable rangeland management is enhanced by accurate ecological assessments and these, in turn, can be enhanced by advancing technology and decreasing labor costs. Image-based, multi-scale monitoring can reduce data-collection costs and reveal pattern and process (Wu, 1999) to allow, for example, assessing the relationship between the functional integrity of ecosystems and biodiversity across regions (Ludwig et al., 2004). Here we examine the potential benefits of image-based, multi-scale monitoring protocols.

Materials and methods Fifty plots were located in 4 plant communities of the Jornada Experimental Range (JER), NM, USA. Ground cover for the plots was obtained using, (a) 1-mm ground sample distance (GSD) ground-based images analyzed with object-based image-analysis methods, and (b) the line-point-intercept (LPI) sampling method (Laliberte et al., 2007a). A QuickBird satellite image acquired over the study area was segmented at 4 different scales, resulting in a hierarchical network of image objects representing the image information in different spatial resolutions (Laliberte et al., 2007b). This allowed for differentiation of individual shrubs at fine scales and delineation of broader vegetation classes at coarser scales. At the Central Plains Experimental Range (CPER), CO, USA, 1-mm GSD images were acquired for 200 locations across 3 pastures using ground and aerial photography (Booth and Cox, In Press). Ground cover was measured from the CPER images using SamplePoint software.

Results and discussion Image acquisition and object-based analysis for ground cover at JER gave 80% correlation with LPI data but required half the labor. Work at CPER complemented that at JER in that 1-mm GSD imagery obtained from the ground or the air was equally effective for detecting ground-cover differences due to pasture stocking rate, thus demonstrating the potential to save data-collection time and cost by aerial image acquisition. The combination of multi-resolution image segmentation and decision tree analysis of the QuickBird image facilitated the selection of input variables and helped in determining the appropriate image-analysis scale, thus enhancing vegetation-mapping accuracy over conventional methods.

Conclusions Image-based monitoring using 1-mm GSD ground or aerially acquired images reduces data-collection costs; multi-scale data expands pattern detection possibilities enhancing vegetation mapping accuracy. Together these technologies contribute to our rangeland monitoring and sustainable-management capacity.

References

- Booth, D.T. and S.E. Cox. Image-based monitoring to measure ecological change in rangeland. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*. (In Press).
- Laliberte, A.S., Rango, A., Herrick, J.E., Fredrickson, E.L. and Burkett, L.M. 2007a. An object-based image analysis approach for determining fractional cover senescent and green vegetation with digital plot photography. *Journal of Arid Environments* 69 :1-14.
- Laliberte, A.S., E.L. Fredrickson, and A. Rango. Combining decision trees with hierarchical object-oriented image analysis for mapping arid rangelands. 2007b. *Photogramm Eng Rem S* 73 :197-207.
- Ludwig, J.A., David J. Tongway, Gary N. Bastin, and Craig D. James. 2004. Monitoring ecological indicators of rangeland functional integrity and their relation to biodiversity at local to regional scales. *Austral Ecology* 29 :108-120.
- Wu, J. 1999. Hierarchy and scaling: extrapolating information along a scaling ladder. *Canadian Journal of Remote Sensing* 25 : 367-380.