

## University of Kentucky UKnowledge

International Grassland Congress Proceedings

21st International Grassland Congress / 8th International Rangeland Congress

## The Influences of Land Use Patterns on Wind Erosion of Meadow Grasslands

Yaozhi Zhou Ministry of Agriculture, China

Xiaoping Xin Ministry of Agriculture, China

Guixia Yang Ministry of Agriculture, China

Xu Wang Ministry of Agriculture, China

Follow this and additional works at: https://uknowledge.uky.edu/igc

Part of the Plant Sciences Commons, and the Soil Science Commons

This document is available at https://uknowledge.uky.edu/igc/21/4-1/41

The 21st International Grassland Congress / 8th International Rangeland Congress took place in Hohhot, China from June 29 through July 5, 2008.

Proceedings edited by Organizing Committee of 2008 IGC/IRC Conference

Published by Guangdong People's Publishing House

This Event is brought to you for free and open access by the Plant and Soil Sciences at UKnowledge. It has been accepted for inclusion in International Grassland Congress Proceedings by an authorized administrator of UKnowledge. For more information, please contact UKnowledge@lsv.uky.edu.

## The influences of land use patterns on wind erosion of meadow grasslands

ZHOUYao-zhi<sup>12</sup>, XIN Xiao-ping<sup>12</sup>, YANG Gui-xia<sup>12</sup>, WANG-Xu<sup>12</sup> <sup>1</sup> Key Laboratory of Resource Remote Sensing and Digital Agriculture, Ministry of Agriculture, Beijing 100081, China; <sup>2</sup> Hulunbeier State Station of Grassland Ecosystem Field Observation and Scientific Research, Hailaer, 021012, China, Email zyzhn666@126 .com

Key words : meadow grassland , soil wind erosion , land use patterns , wind tunnel experiment

Introduction More than half of the world's pasturelands are overgrazed and subject to erosive degradation (Pimentel et al. 1995). Climate change and human economic activities in arid areas have increased the deleterious impacts of wind erosion (Shi P J et al 2004). This study examined the effects of land-use patterns on the ability meadow grasslands to resist wind erosion.

Materials and methods The research site was located in Xieertala in Hulunbeier, which is located in the transition area between the west Daxinanling and the Mongolian Plateau. The grazing intensity in these pastures was related to the vegetation cover which was , in turn , related to the distance from the inhabited area . The vegetation cover of pasture at the following distances from the inhabited area 150m, 1000m, 2500m was 20%, 40% and 60%, respectively with corresponding grazing intensities considered as mild, moderate and severe. Croplands were also present within the meadow grassland at a distance of 1300m, where summer wheat was grown that was then tilled after harvest . In a representative point within each sample plot, we excavated a soil sample of a length , width and height of 95cm ,30cm ,20cm in October 2006 . A vegetation survey was conducted around each sample point of the meadow grassland, in August 2006. The survey items included the vegetation cover. species composition and the aboveground biomass of various plant species .

Results As grazing intensity increased , the change of plant species and reduction of vegetation cover increased the potential for wind erosion of the soil . When the meadow grassland was mildly grazed , the vegetation cover maintained about 60% , which reduced the amount of soil erosion even at wind speeds of 25 m/s . When the vegetation cover of meadow grassland was reduced to less than 35% , soil erosion rapidly increased at lower wind speeds .

| Table 1 $E$ | f | fects of | land | using | on th | he ve | getation . |
|-------------|---|----------|------|-------|-------|-------|------------|
|             |   |          |      |       |       |       |            |

| Land using Patterns $g/m^2$ | total of plant<br>species | plant species<br>inper square | cover<br>meter | overground<br>% | litter biomass<br>g/m <sup>2</sup> |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|------------------------------------|
| mild grazing                | 49                        | 23 .8±4 .7                    | 63±5.7         | 188.55±57.99    | 91 .47±31 .62                      |
| moderate grazing            | 48                        | 18 .4±2 .8                    | 42±6 .4        | 99.20±11.64     | 64 .63±17 .31                      |
| severe grazing              | 35                        | $18 \pm 3.5$                  | $22 \pm 5.6$   | 46 .75±14 .8    | 23.61±8.4                          |
| No-tillage cropland         | 0                         | 0                             | 0              | 0               | 0                                  |

| mild grazing   |           | <u>moderate grazing</u> | 0 1       | severe grazing   |           |
|----------------|-----------|-------------------------|-----------|------------------|-----------|
| species        | dominance | species                 | dominance | species          | dominance |
| S .baicalensis | 0 21868   | A .chinense             | 0 .4008   | C .korshinskyi   | 0.3599    |
| A .chinense    | 0 .18298  | C .korshinskyi          | 0 .1795   | C .squarrosa     | 0 2253    |
| C . pediformis | 0.11203   | C .squarrosa            | 0.1093    | P .turczaninovii | 0 0220    |
| P .chinensis   | 0.07208   | A .laciniata            | 0.0411    | A .chinense      | 0 .0212   |

Table 2 Dominance of main plant species under different land using patterns.

| Table 3 | <u>Relationshi</u> p | <u>between l</u> | land us | e and | the | rate d | of | <u>w ind</u> | erosion | under | · di | fi | ferent | wind | $s_{D}eed$ | . g | . ( | $m^{2}$ | .min | ) -1 |
|---------|----------------------|------------------|---------|-------|-----|--------|----|--------------|---------|-------|------|----|--------|------|------------|-----|-----|---------|------|------|
|         |                      |                  |         |       |     |        |    |              |         |       |      |    |        |      |            |     |     |         |      |      |

| Wind speed $m \cdot s^{-1}$ | mild grazing | Moderate grazing | Severe grazing | No-tillage cropland |
|-----------------------------|--------------|------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| 15                          | 0            | 0                | 2.03           | 2.94                |
| 20                          | 0            | 0.88             | 3.16           | 7 21                |
| 25                          | 0.05         | 1.56             | 9.72           | 68.12               |

Conclusions When the Hulunbeier meadow grassland is mildly grazed with vegetation cover maintained about 60%, the dominant species of the community are Stipa baicalensis and A chinensis, and the vegetation system can maintain it's integrity against wind erosion. The mild grazing is, therefore, a sustainable land utilization mode. Correspondingly, the other land utilization modes in the experiment can cause serious wind erosion, especially in the no-tillage cropland within the meadow grassland . As the no-tillage cropland has reduced vegetation the soil wind erosion rates achieve 682 .1kg/hm<sup>2</sup> when the wind speed is 25 m/s, which approaches the average formation quantity of soil (1000kg/hm<sup>2</sup>) in a year.

Grasslands/Rangelands Resources and Ecology Indicators for Sustainable Use and Conservation of Grasslands/Rangelands Resources