

University of Kentucky UKnowledge

Entomology Faculty Publications

Entomology

8-25-2020

Dietary Antioxidants Impact DDT Resistance in *Drosophila* melanogaster

Gamal A. M. Abdu-Allah *Michigan State University*

Keon-mook Seong Kyungpook National University, South Korea

Omprakash Mittapalli University of Kentucky, Om.Mittapalli@uky.edu

James Adebayo Ojo Kwara State University, Nigeria

Weilin Sun Michigan State University

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://uknowledge.uky.edu/entomology_facpub

Part of the Entomology Commons

Right click to open a feedback form in a new tab to let us know how this document benefits you.

Repository Citation

Abdu-Allah, Gamal A. M.; Seong, Keon-mook; Mittapalli, Omprakash; Ojo, James Adebayo; Sun, Weilin; Posos-Parra, Omar; Mota-Sanchez, David; Clark, John M.; and Pittendrigh, Barry R., "Dietary Antioxidants Impact DDT Resistance in *Drosophila melanogaster*" (2020). *Entomology Faculty Publications*. 198. https://uknowledge.uky.edu/entomology_facpub/198

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Entomology at UKnowledge. It has been accepted for inclusion in Entomology Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of UKnowledge. For more information, please contact UKnowledge@lsv.uky.edu.

Authors

Gamal A. M. Abdu-Allah, Keon-mook Seong, Omprakash Mittapalli, James Adebayo Ojo, Weilin Sun, Omar Posos-Parra, David Mota-Sanchez, John M. Clark, and Barry R. Pittendrigh

Dietary Antioxidants Impact DDT Resistance in *Drosophila melanogaster*

Notes/Citation Information

Published in PLOS ONE, v. 15, issue 8, e0237986.

© 2020 Abdu-Allah et al.

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Digital Object Identifier (DOI) https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0237986



Citation: Abdu-Allah GAM, Seong KM, Mittapalli O, Ojo JA, Sun W, Posos-Parra O, et al. (2020) Dietary antioxidants impact DDT resistance in *Drosophila melanogaster*. PLoS ONE 15(8): e0237986. https:// doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0237986

Editor: John Vontas, University of Crete, GREECE

Received: April 1, 2020

Accepted: August 6, 2020

Published: August 25, 2020

Copyright: © 2020 Abdu-Allah et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the manuscript and its Supporting Information files.

Funding: This work was primarily supported by MSU Foundation Professor and AgBioResearch funds provided to BRP and partially funded by an Egyptian government grant (No: SAB-2154). The funder provided support in the form of salaries for authors [GA, WS, BRP], but did not have any additional role in the study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript. The specific roles of these authors are articulated in the 'author contributions' section. RESEARCH ARTICLE

Dietary antioxidants impact DDT resistance in Drosophila melanogaster

Gamal A. M. Abdu-Allah^{1,2}, Keon Mook Seong³, Omprakash Mittapalli⁴, James Adebayo Ojo⁵, Weilin Sun¹, Omar Posos-Parra¹, David Mota-Sanchez¹, John M. Clark⁶, Barry R. Pittendrigh¹*

 Department of Entomology, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI, United States of America,
Department of Plant Protection, Assiut University, Assiut, Egypt, 3 Department of Applied Biology, Kyungpook National University, Sangju, Gyeongbuk, Republic of Korea, 4 Department of Entomology, University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY, United States of America, 5 Department of Crop Production, Kwara State University, Malete, Ilorin, Nigeria, 6 Department of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA, United States of America

* pittendr@msu.edu

Abstract

Insects experience a diversity of subtoxic and/or toxic xenobiotics through exposure to pesticides and, in the case of herbivorous insects, through plant defensive compounds in their diets. Many insects are also concurrently exposed to antioxidants in their diets. The impact of dietary antioxidants on the toxicity of xenobiotics in insects is not well understood, in part due to the challenge of developing appropriate systems in which doses and exposure times (of both the antioxidants and the xenobiotics) can be controlled and outcomes can be easily measured. However, in Drosophila melanogaster, a well-established insect model system, both dietary factors and pesticide exposure can be easily controlled. Additionally, the mode of action and xenobiotic metabolism of dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane (DDT), a highly persistent neurotoxic organochlorine insecticide that is detected widely in the environment, have been well studied in DDT-susceptible and -resistant strains. Using a glass-vial bioassay system with blue diet as the food source, seven compounds with known antioxidant effects (ascorbic acid, β -carotene, glutathione, α -lipoic acid, melatonin, minocycline, and serotonin) were orally tested for their impact on DDT toxicity across three strains of D. melanogaster: one highly susceptible to DDT (Canton-S), one mildly susceptible (91-C), and one highly resistant (91-R). Three of the antioxidants (serotonin, ascorbic acid, and β -carotene) significantly impacted the toxicity of DDT in one or more strains. Fly strain and gender, antioxidant type, and antioxidant dose all affected the relative toxicity of DDT. Our work demonstrates that dietary antioxidants can potentially alter the toxicity of a xenobiotic in an insect population.

Introduction

Pesticide resistance is an ongoing problem for those tasked with pest management [1]. Resistance can occur through multiple mechanisms including target site insensitivity, reduced

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

penetration, and sequestration as well as through changes in phase I, II, or III detoxification enzymes and transporters [2–6]. The chemical composition of the active toxin, including the pesticide class, level of exposure, duration of selection, genetic variation of the target species, and possibly other biotic and abiotic factors may also play roles in the evolution of resistance [1]. One well-studied pesticide insect model has been DDT (dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane) resistance in the fruit fly, *Drosophila melanogaster*, which has served as a model organism for more than 70 years. *D. melanogaster* is easy to rear; several strains are available to use; its diet can be tightly controlled, which allows exposure to known levels of xenobiotics over defined periods of time; and there is a bioassay system with which toxicity outcomes can be easily measured [7, 8]. *D. melanogaster* is also a genetically tractable system, with a considerable number of molecular tools that have allowed the molecular basis of DDT resistance to be studied in detail [9–12].

DDT is an effective oxidative stressor, and its toxicity and cellular impacts associated with oxidative stress have been demonstrated through biomarkers known to produce free radicals that damage DNA [13, 14]. Lipid peroxidation, activation of free radicals, and changes in antioxidant enzymes and in the glutathione redox system are known indicators of oxidative stress in cells and tissues [15]. DNA fragmentation and cell death mediated by oxidative stress occur in the testes of male rats exposed to subacute levels of DDT [16]. Previous studies of hepatic oxidative stress markers revealed significant increases in lipid peroxide and 8-hydroxydeoxyguanosine in DDT-treated rats that developed hepatocellular tumors [17]. Increases in 8-hydroxydeoxyguanosine levels are thought to increase oxidative DNA damage and contribute to hepatocarcinogenesis in DDT-exposed rats [17]. Thus, DDT application may enhance overproduction of reactive oxygen species (ROS), leading to an imbalance in the production of free radicals and cellular antioxidants [18]. Many herbivorous insects consume antioxidants in their plant-based diets. Vitamins A, C, and E and carotenoids are some of the common environmental antioxidants obtained from plant-based diets [19]. Antioxidants and their related bioactive substances in plants and food supplements have the potential to alleviate pesticideinduced damage [20]. However, little work has been done to understand how dietary antioxidants may influence the toxicity of pesticides in insect populations.

Here we used the well-established *D. melanogaster* DDT bioassay system to characterize the impact of a series of dietary antioxidants on DDT resistance [21]. The objective of this study was to learn not only how seven different antioxidants (ascorbic acid, β -carotene, glutathione, α -lipoic acid, melatonin, and minocycline and serotonin [also a neurotransmitter]) impacted the resistance levels of *D. melanogaster* to DDT but also whether gender and genotype influenced the efficacy of antioxidants in modifying DDT toxicity.

Materials and methods

D. melanogaster strains

The DDT-susceptible strain *Canton-S* (originally obtained from the Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center, Bloomington, IN), the mildly DDT-resistant strain *91-C*, and the highly DDT-resistant *91-R* strain of *D. melanogaster* have been maintained in the Pittendrigh laboratory for almost two decades and reared at ~25°C as previously described by Strycharz et al. [6] and Seong et al. [22].

Chemicals used in this study

The insecticide DDT (\geq 98% pure); the antioxidant reagents ascorbic acid (vitamin C), β -carotene (provitamin A), glutathione, α -lipoic acid, melatonin, minocycline hydrochloride, and

serotonin; and the solvents (acetone and ethanol) were all purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). All chemicals were of analytical grade.

Antioxidant supplementation

Blue diet (Formula 4–24[®]) was purchased from Carolina Biological Supply Company (Burlington, NC, USA). Before use, 1 g of blue diet was dissolved in 3.5 ml of distilled water in a 20-ml vial. For the antioxidant-supplemented treatments, this same amount of blue diet was dissolved in freshly prepared antioxidant solution. The concentrations of test antioxidants were chosen based on levels used in previous studies [23, 24]. Solutions were prepared of ascorbic acid (0.36 mM), β -carotene (0.5 μ M), glutathione (0.13 mM), α -lipoic acid (1.08 mM), melatonin (0.43 mM), minocycline hydrochloride (0.05 mM), and serotonin, which was tested at an initial concentration of 860 µM followed by three additional concentrations of 0.86, 8.6, and 86 μ M due to the significant response to the initial concentration (see Results). Fresh solutions were prepared on the day of use. All antioxidants were dissolved in distilled water except β -carotene, α -lipoic acid, and melatonin, which were first dissolved in ethanol (50 mg/ml; 100% [molecular biology grade]) and then diluted in distilled water. For each tested combination of fly strain by sex, about 50-70 adult flies (1-3 days old) were transferred to 20-ml vials containing antioxidant-spiked diet or control diet (no antioxidant). The vials were capped with cotton plugs and the flies were allowed to feed on the antioxidant or control diet for 5 days. The antioxidant-treated flies and controls were reared on the same shelf under the natural daylight of a North American summer. The flies were tested for DDT toxicity after 5 days of feeding.

DDT acute toxicity bioassay

The DDT acute toxicity assay was conducted according to the method of Seong et al. [25] with slight modification: 200-ul aliquots of different dilutions of DDT stock (250 mg/ml) solutions prepared in acetone were added to individual 20-ml glass scintillation vials in three replicates. To coat the inside wall of the vials uniformly with DDT, the vials were rolled on a hot dog roller with no heat until the acetone evaporated. For the control, 200 μ l of acetone was used to coat the vials. After 5 days of feeding on antioxidant-spiked diet or control diet, flies were sorted by sex into vials coated with DDT or acetone (control). Ten flies (6-8 days old) per replicate (3 replicates per DDT concentration) were used in the DDT test. DDT concentrations for Canton-S without antioxidants were 0.25, 0.50, 1.00, 1.50, 2.00, 10.00, and 25.00 µg/vial; with antioxidants were 0.05, 0.10, 0.25, 0.50, 1.00, 2.50, 5.00, and 20.00 µg/vial. For 91-C, DDT concentrations without antioxidants were 2.00, 4.00, 5.00, 8.00, 10.00, 16.00, 25.00, and 50.00 µg/vial; with antioxidants were 0.10, 0.50, 1.00, 2.50, 5.00, 10.00, 25.00, 100.00, and 250.00 μg/vial. For 91-R, DDT concentrations without antioxidants were 25.00, 50.00, 500.00, 2500.00, 5000.00, and 10000.00 µg/vial; with antioxidants were 100.00, 500.00, 1000.00, 2500.00, 5000.00, 10000.00, and 50000.00 µg/vial. Survivorship was evaluated after 24 h at room temperature. The flies were considered dead when there was no longer any movement or leg twitching after probing. For each antioxidant treatment, all data were collected on the same day.

Percent mortality was determined by probit analysis using SPSS software (Version 16.0 for Windows; SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). The same software was used to estimate the LC₅₀, the 95% confidence limit (CL) values, the slope, and the χ^2 . LC₅₀ values were considered significantly different when their 95% CLs did not overlap [26]. Log concentration versus percent mortality (probit) regression lines were generated to determine the DDT concentration that killed 50% of the flies (LC₅₀) from each treatment.

Results

DDT resistance profile of D. melanogaster strains

The toxicities of DDT following a 24 h contact exposure using the vial bioassay of the *Canton-S*, 91-C, and 91-R strains of *D. melanogaster* are given in Table 1 and S1 Fig. The *Canton-S* strain was the most susceptible to DDT, with LC₅₀ values of 4.32 and 0.87 μ g/vial DDT for females and males, respectively. The 91-C strain was significantly more resistant to DDT than *Canton-S* when compared within each sex, with LC₅₀ values of 9.65 and 3.35 μ g/vial DDT for females and males, respectively. The 91-R strain was the most resistant to DDT, with LC₅₀ values of 1857.04 and 1671.04 μ g/vial DDT for females and males, respectively.

In the absence of antioxidant treatment, the resistance ratios (RRs; LC_{50} of tested strain / LC_{50} of *Canton-S*) for 91-R were 430 and 1921 for females and males, respectively, and 2.23 and 3.85 for 91-C females and males, respectively. Interestingly, the highest slope value was obtained for *Canton-S* males (3.33), and the lowest slope was for 91-C females (1.36), indicating that the difference between LC_{10} and LC_{90} was largest for *Canton-S* males. Females were more resistant than males in two of the three strains, with the female LC_{50} value being significantly higher than the male value in *Canton-S* and 91-C, while there was no significant difference between females and males of 91-R. The gender effect (GE) values (female LC_{50} / male LC_{50}) were 4.97, 2.88, and 1.11 for *Canton-S*, 91-C, and 91-R, respectively. The GE reflects the body size, food intake and metabolism difference of female and male flies in these strains. These results are the basis for comparison with the effects of antioxidants described in the following sections.

Impact of antioxidants on DDT resistance

None of the antioxidants fed to DDT-susceptible *Canton-S* females had a significant effect on resistance to DDT except for serotonin (LC₅₀ of 10.25 vs control LC₅₀ of 4.32 µg/vial DDT; Table 2, Fig 1, S2 Fig). Serotonin had the highest RR (LC₅₀ of antioxidant / LC₅₀ of Control; 2.37), while minocycline had the lowest (0.42; Table 2, S2 Fig). In descending order, the antioxidant effectiveness based on RR was serotonin > ascorbic acid > α -lipoic acid > melatonin > glutathione > β -carotene > minocycline. *Canton-S* females showed relatively higher homogeneity in response to DDT than males, with slope values varying from 0.98 to 3.58 for females vs 1.66 to 6.69 for males (Table 2). In the case of *Canton-S* males, serotonin showed the highest RR (8.49), with an LC₅₀ (7.39 µg/vial) significantly higher than that of the control (0.87 µg/vial) (Table 2). Of the remaining treatments, only ascorbic acid resulted in an RR greater than 1 (1.72), but the LC₅₀ was not significantly different from that of the control (Table 2). None of

Strain	Sex	LC ₅₀ ^a (95% CL)	Slope ±SE	RR ^b	GE ^c	
Canton-S	ę	4.32 ^e (2.48–6.32)	1.68±0.27	1.00	4.97	
	ď	$0.87^{\mathbf{d}}$ (0.41–1.69)	3.33±0.53	1.00		
91-C	ę	9.65 ^f (6.90–15.79)	1.36±0.36	2.23	2.88	
	ď	3.35 ^e (1.75–4.73)	2.58±0.94	3.85		
91-R	Ŷ	1857.04 ^g (1346.60–2223.45)	1.78 ± 0.44	429.87	1.11	
	ď	1671.04 ^g (1263.30–2070.95)	1.68±0.44	1920.74		

Table 1. LC₅₀ (µg/vial DDT) values with 95% confidence limits (CL), slope, resistance ratio (RR), and gender effect (GE) for *D. melanogaster Canton-S*, 91-*C*, and 91-*R* adult females and males fed on diet without antioxidants.

 a LC₅₀ [Lethal concentration (µg/vial DDT) that killed 50% of the flies].

^b Resistance ratio (RR) = LC_{50} of the tested strain / LC_{50} of the *Canton-S* strain of the same gender.

 $^{\rm c}$ Gender effect (GE) = Female LC_{50} / Male LC_{50} of the same strain.

d.e.f.g LC50 values marked with different lower-case letters are significantly different based on non-overlap of 95% confidence limits.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0237986.t001

Treatment	Female					Male				
	LC ₅₀ (95% CL)	Slope ±SE	χ ²	Р	RR ^a	LC ₅₀ (95% CL)	Slope ±SE	χ ²	Р	RR ^a
Control	4.32 (2.48-6.32)	1.68±0.27	14.05	0.001	1.00	0.87 (0.41-1.69)	3.33±0.53	0.18	0.914	1.00
Ascorbic acid	4.23 (1.37-5.08)	1.61±0.26	10.69	0.013	0.98	1.50 (1.01-2.06)	2.03±0.31	2.41	0.299	1.72
β-Carotene	2.44 (1.44-3.55)	1.33±0.31	14.05	0.001	0.56	0.19 (0.12-0.46)	2.67±0.60	0.51	0.774	0.22
Glutathione	2.61 (1.45-4.22)	2.41±0.41	6.28	0.090	0.60	0.36 (0.20-3.20)	3.46±0.75	12.14	0.033	0.41
α-Lipoic acid	3.45 (1.63-5.11)	0.98±0.18	5.69	0.127	0.80	0.44 (0.31-0.62)	1.75±0.26	4.84	0.303	0.51
Melatonin	2.88 (2.06-4.60)	2.53±0.58	0.57	0.984	0.67	0.82 (0.66-1.08)	3.62±0.60	6.69	0.153	0.94
Minocycline	1.81 (1.20-3.13)	1.95±0.36	10.68	0.014	0.42	0.51 (0.4–0.88)	1.66±0.25	7.76	0.051	0.59
Serotonin	10.25 ^b (6.66–13.89)	3.58±0.47	11.98	0.007	2.37	7.39 ^b (4.45–10.81)	6.69±1.08	8.70	0.034	8.49

Table 2. LC₅₀ (μ g vial/DDT) values with 95% confidence limits (CL), slope, χ^2 , and resistance ratio (RR) for *D. melanogaster Canton-S* adult females and males fed on the indicated antioxidants.

^a Resistance ratio (RR) = LC_{50} of antioxidant / LC_{50} of Control.

^b LC₅₀ value is significantly different from that for blue diet alone (Control) for that gender, based on non-overlap of 95% confidence limits.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0237986.t002

the remaining antioxidants tested resulted in any significant effect when compared to control toxicity values (Table 2).

With 91-C flies (low-level DDT resistance), only serotonin resulted in significantly higher LC_{50} values than the controls for both females and males, with RRs of 2.09 and 3.20, respectively (Table 3; S3 Fig). None of the remaining treatments resulted significantly increased LC_{50} values from their controls for either female or male flies except for β -carotene, which had a significantly lower LC_{50} for both females and males (Table 3).

The results for the antioxidant treatments of female flies of the highly DDT-resistant 91-R strain are shown in Table 4, and S4 Fig. Treatments with serotonin, ascorbic acid, and β -carotene resulted in significantly higher LC₅₀ values (5652.39, 4339.08, and 4312.11 µg/vial DDT, respectively) than the control (1857.04 µg/vial DDT), with RR values of 3.04, 2.34, and 2.32, respectively. For 91-R males, serotonin treatment resulted in a significantly higher LC₅₀ value (3843.19 µg/vial DDT) than that of the control (1671.04 µg/vial), with an RR value of 2.30 (Table 4), whereas ascorbic acid and β -carotene did not have any significant effect. None of the remaining treatments resulted in LC₅₀ values that were significantly different from their controls for either female or male flies.

Effect of increasing concentrations of serotonin on DDT toxicity in Canton-S flies

The effects of varying concentrations of serotonin on DDT mortality of female *Canton-S* flies are shown in S1 Table and S5 Fig. In the single dose experiment (Table 2), serotonin at 860.00 μ M significantly increased the DDT LC₅₀ value (10.25 μ g/vial DDT) compared to the control (4.32 μ g/vial DDT), with an RR value of 2.37 for females. Similarly, serotonin at 860.00 μ M also significantly increased the DDT LC₅₀ value for *Canton-S* male flies (7.39 μ g/vial DDT) compared to the control (0.87 μ g/vial DDT), with an RR value of 8.49 (Table 2). Interestingly, all three lower concentrations of serotonin (0.86, 8.60, and 86.00 μ M) actually increased DDT toxicity in female flies (S1 Table), with the 8.60 and 86.00 μ M concentrations causing significant reductions in LC₅₀ compared with the controls for both female and male flies.

Discussion

A number of defense mechanisms in insect species help diminish the levels of oxidative damage induced by insecticides. In this study, three *Drosophila* strains with varying levels of DDT



Fig 1. Dose response curves for DDT toxicity for females and males of *Canton-S* (A and B), 91-C (C and D), and 91-R (E and F) strains of *Drosophila melanogaster* raised on blue diet containing serotonin. Adults (6–8 days old) were exposed to different doses of DDT and mortality was determined at 24 h of exposure. Data were analyzed using probit analysis in SPSS (Chicago, IL, USA). For each dose, 3–4 replicates were performed.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0237986.g001

resistance (*Canton-S*, 91-*C*, and 91-*R*) were tested for the effects of dietary antioxidants. Serotonin at 860 μ M increased the level of DDT resistance in all three strains, both male and female, based on LC₅₀ values. In addition, β -carotene and ascorbic acid had significant increased DDT resistance in the 91-*R* female flies, whereas the effects of α -lipoic acid, melatonin, glutathione, and minocycline hydrochloride were insignificant in all three strains,

Treatment	Female					Male				
	LC ₅₀ (95% CL)	Slope ±SE	χ ²	Р	RR ^a	LC ₅₀ (95% CL)	Slope ±SE	χ ²	Р	RR ^a
Control	9.65 (6.90–15.79)	1.36±0.36	2.69	0.262	1.00	3.35 (1.75-4.73)	2.58±0.94	0.34	0.955	1.00
Ascorbic acid	7.85 (5.61–11.22)	2.08±0.49	3.01	0.222	0.81	2.26 (0.34-3.48)	1.96±0.70	1.89	0.169	0.67
β-Carotene	4.26 ^b (3.04–5.78)	1.91±0.49	0.04	0.981	0.44	$1.07^{b} (0.70 - 1.45)$	3.23±0.66	1.10	0.575	0.32
Glutathione	10.56 (7.11-15.35)	1.63±0.29	4.50	0.480	1.09	3.17 (1.38-5.84)	1.75±0.26	20.36	0.001	0.95
α-Lipoic acid	14.59 (8.72-16.66)	4.44±1.53	0.002	0.963	1.51	2.18 (1.51-3.39)	1.15±0.37	1.14	0.887	0.65
Melatonin	12.32 (8.83-16.78)	1.17±0.18	11.16	0.023	1.28	1.85 (1.39–2.38)	3.05±0.54	3.35	0.500	0.55
Minocycline	14.34 (4.49–18.94)	0.89±0.25	3.03	0.219	1.49	0.44 (0.25-1.76)	1.63±0.30	3.68	0.595	0.13
Serotonin	20.17 ^c (15.43–25.44)	1.16±0.42	0.35	0.945	2.09	10.72 ^c (4.79–15.65)	1.18±0.38	2.03	0.364	3.20

Table 3. LC_{50} (µg/vial DDT) values with 95% confidence limits (CL), slope, χ^2 , and resistance ratio (RR) for *D. melanogaster 91-C* adult females and males fed on the indicated antioxidants.

^a Resistance ratio (RR) = LC_{50} of antioxidant / LC_{50} of Control.

b, c LC50 value is significantly different from that for blue diet alone (Control) for that gender, based on non-overlap of 95% confidence limits.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0237986.t003

regardless of gender. Thus, the significant increase in DDT resistance of flies treated with serotonin, and in some cases with β -carotene or ascorbic acid, could be a result of their antioxidant and scavenger properties against oxidant molecules induced by DDT.

Although the antioxidative properties of serotonin are less documented than those of the other compounds tested here, serotonin showed an antioxidative effect at 3- to 10-fold lower concentrations than melatonin in a previous study [27]. Serotonin also exhibited antioxidant and membrane-binding properties that protect lipids from oxidation when it was added to red blood cells and lipid membranes with different levels of unsaturation [28]. Serotonin could be functioning in a similar manner in *D. melanogaster* exposed to DDT: specifically, the external source of serotonin may function in scavenging the free radicals produced in response to DDT.

In addition to having antioxidant properties, serotonin is a neurotransmitter [29]. Given that DDT primarily targets the neuromuscular junction, resulting in accelerated spontaneous release of neurotransmitters, this mode of action of DDT could lead to depletion of neuro-transmitters [30]. Therefore, we propose that dietary intake of serotonin may help supplement the DDT-induced loss of neurotransmitters, thereby providing a higher tolerance level in *D*.

Table 4. LC₅₀ (μ g/vial DDT) values with 95% confidence limits (CL), slope, χ^2 , and resistance ratio (RR) for *D. melanogaster* 91-*R* adult females and males fed on the indicated antioxidants.

Treatment	Female					Male				
	LC ₅₀ (95% CL)	Slope ±SE	χ ²	Р	RR ^a	LC ₅₀ (95% CL)	Slope ±SE	χ ²	Р	RR ^a
Control	1857.04 (1346.60-2223.45)	1.78±0.44	1.52	0.466	1.00	1671.04 (1263.30-2070.95)	1.68±0.44	1.52	0.463	1.00
Ascorbic acid	4339.08 ^b (2500.23-5600.44)	0.91±0.31	11.62	0.003	2.34	2848.33 (2046.33-4048.72)	1.78±0.39	1.98	0.372	1.70
β-Carotene	4312.11 ^b (2418.36–5521.79)	1.25±0.52	1.68	0.197	2.32	1792.65 (1300.13-2175.59)	0.53±0.43	0.07	0.781	1.07
Glutathione	1797.45 (730.84-1807.31)	0.68±0.24	5.03	0.165	0.97	1257.34 (1162.17-1511.47)	1.37±0.27	0.81	0.844	0.75
α-Lipoic acid	2441.39 (1774.57-2910.28)	1.85±0.53	12.37	0.001	1.31	1283.46 (950.91–1947.85)	1.46±0.26	30.87	0.001	0.77
Melatonin	2148.03 (1962.12-2839.70)	1.94±0.35	6.98	0.072	1.16	1023.38 (860.12-2078.03)	1.64±0.41	4.13	0.247	0.61
Minocycline	1561.25 (956.70-2484.90)	1.01±0.26	3.75	0.289	0.84	1094.36 (753.72-1472.21)	1.05±0.19	4.06	0.540	0.65
Serotonin	5652.39 ^b (3650.55-7046.09)	0.81±0.12	1.34	0.714	3.04	3843.19 ^b (2372.67–6239.98)	1.61±0.29	3.79	0.154	2.30

 $^{\rm a}$ Resistance ratio (RR) = LC_{50} of antioxidant / LC_{50} of Control.

^b LC₅₀ value is significantly different from that for blue diet alone (Control) for that gender, based on non-overlap of 95% confidence limits.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0237986.t004

melanogaster. Serotonin is an inhibitory neurotransmitter that binds to 5-hydroxytryptamine receptors and glutamate-gated chloride channels, which then open up and hyperpolarize the cell [31]. This effect of serotonin is opposite that of DDT, providing a plausible explanation for how serotonin increases tolerance to DDT's neurotoxic effects. However, serotonin's functional roles as a neurotransmitter and as an effective antioxidant remain to be further elucidated in *D. melanogaster*.

Both β -carotene and ascorbic acid have been extensively studied regarding how their antioxidant activities protect DNA from oxidative free radical damage [32, 33]. Analogously, Cozzi et al. [34] proposed that high dietary ascorbic acid is considered an efficient antioxidant via its action as a modulator of oxidative damage. One hypothesis for the protective effects of ascorbic acid and β -carotene on 91-*R*, but not on the other two strains, is that the 91-*R* strain is known to have greater levels of cuticular hydrocarbons and oxidative metabolism of DDT to dicofol using cytochrome P450 monooxygenases [6]; thus, one would expect increased ROS levels due to increased P450 activity in this strain. If so, perhaps the 91-*R* flies are under more oxidative stress and hence are protected by powerful antioxidants, *i.e.*, ascorbic acid and β -carotene, in addition to serotonin. This hypothesis remains to be tested.

In a previous study, β -carotene and fucoxanthin enhanced extension of the flies' lifespan, reduced mortality of female flies, and enhanced resistance of female *D. melanogaster* to paraquat oxidative stress [35]. Notably, the effects of β -carotene and fucoxanthin on lifespan and expression of stress response genes were more pronounced in females than in males, which could be attributed to the physiological differences between sexes and to females consuming more food during their lifespan [36]. In the current study, β -carotene significantly increased tolerance to DDT exposure in *91-R* females as compared to non-treated control females. This result may suggest that β -carotene affects stress response gene expression involved in insecticide detoxification in *D. melanogaster*. Additionally, β -carotene plays a protective role in the antioxidant defense mechanism against imidacloprid in albino rats [37]. Also, el-Demerdash et al. [38] reported that β -carotene decreased the levels of harmful free radicals produced by the pyrethroid insecticide fenvalerate in rats. Therefore, the augmentative effect of β -carotene on development of tolerance against DDT toxicity may be due to its role as an antioxidant through quenching of ROS, this remains to be tested in the future studies.

Ascorbic acid is a primary antioxidant found in insects [39], and our investigation supports earlier studies of ascorbic acid performing the role of scavenger [40, 41]. The antioxidant vitamins C and E provided pretreated erythrocytes with significant protection against the cytotoxic effects of dimethoate-induced oxidative stress by decreasing lipid peroxidation via the induction of cellular antioxidant enzymes superoxide dismutase (SOD) and catalase (CAT) [42]. The combination of ascorbic acid and E alleviated the harmful effects of copper by decreasing lipid peroxidation and hepatic enzymes in broiler chickens [43]. In insects, dietary studies have demonstrated that ascorbic acid supplementation affects the aging process, extending the average life span of wild-type D. melanogaster [40]. Furthermore, ascorbic acid increased the activation of glutathione-S-transferases (GSTs), which plays an important role in converting DDT to nontoxic dichlorodiphenyldichloroethylene (DDE), in honey bees [41]. Therefore, the effects of ascorbic acid on DDT resistance level in this study may suggest that the dietary source of antioxidant ascorbic acid triggers the induction of internal antioxidant enzymes such as SOD, CAT, and GSTs that ultimately contribute to the defense response to DDT in D. melanogaster strains. However, additional analysis will likely be required to investigate the enzyme activity changes in response to antioxidant exposure, so this hypothesis has to be taken with caution. Indeed, a number of studies have revealed that low-molecular-weight antioxidants such as vitamin C and E exert protective effects against oxidative stress [44]. In this study, both serotonin and vitamin C increased resistance to DDT in 91-R, whereas the

effect of minocycline was insignificant in all three *D. melanogaster* strains. These results possibly indicate that the low molecular weights of serotonin and ascorbic acid (176.21 and 176.12 g/mol, respectively), as compared to minocycline hydrochloride (457.48 g/mol), may result in higher tolerance to oxidative stress induced by DDT.

Conclusion

Our results hold out the possibility that increases in antioxidants in the diet may have the potential to impact resistance to pesticides. This hypothesis needs to be tested across pesticide classes, species, and dietary levels of antioxidants to better understand this relationship. The most practical impacts of these outcomes may come in understanding the impacts of dietary antioxidants that insects may experience in their diets (e.g., *D. suzukii* living on blueberries) in relationship to the evolution of resistance. Recently, Huang and co-workers [45] demonstrated that dietary ascorbic acid can influence the evolution of DDT resistance in *D. melanogaster*. The impact that other dietary antioxidants have on multi-generational selection by pesticides and the evolution of tolerance/resistance, if any, remains to be determined.

Supporting information

S1 Fig. LC₅₀ (μg/vial DDT) values with 95% confidence limits for adult females and males of *D. melanogaster* strains *Canton-S*, 91-*C*, and 91-*R* without antioxidant treatment. LC₅₀ values marked with different lower-case letters are significantly different based on non-overlap of 95% confidence limits.

(PNG)

S2 Fig. Dose response curves for DDT toxicity for females (A, B) and males (C, D) of *D. melanogaster* strain *Canton-S* fed on blue diet plus antioxidants (ascorbic acid, β -carotene, glutathione, α -lipoic acid, melatonin, minocycline hydrochloride, serotonin). Adults (6–8 days old) were exposed to different doses of DDT and mortality was determined 24 h after exposure. Data were analyzed using probit analysis in SPSS (Chicago, IL, USA). For each dose, 3–4 replicates were conducted.



S3 Fig. Dose response curves for DDT toxicity for females (A, B) and males (C, D) of *D. melanogaster* strain 91-*C* fed on blue diet plus antioxidants (ascorbic acid, β -carotene, glutathione, α -lipoic acid, melatonin, minocycline hydrochloride, serotonin). Adults (6–8 days old) were exposed to different doses of DDT and mortality was determined 24 h after exposure. Data were analyzed using probit analysis in SPSS (Chicago, IL, USA). For each dose, 3–4 replicates were conducted.

(PNG)

S4 Fig. Dose response curves for DDT toxicity for females (A, B) and males (C, D) of *D. melanogaster* strain *91-R* fed on blue diet plus antioxidants (ascorbic acid, β -carotene, glutathione, α -lipoic acid, melatonin, minocycline hydrochloride, serotonin). Adults (6–8 days old) were exposed to different doses of DDT and mortality was determined 24 h after exposure. Data were analyzed using probit analysis in SPSS (Chicago, IL, USA). For each dose, 3–4 replicates were conducted.

(PNG)

S5 Fig. Dose response curves for DDT toxicity for females (A) and males (B) of *D. melanogaster* strain *Canton-S* fed on blue diet plus different doses of serotonin. Adults (6–8 days old) were exposed to different doses of DDT and mortality was determined 24 h after exposure. Data were analyzed using probit analysis in SPSS (Chicago, IL, USA). For each dose, 3–4 replicates were conducted.

(PNG)

S1 Table. LC₅₀ (μ g/vial DDT) values with 95% confidence limits (CL), slope, χ^2 , and resistance ratio (RR) for *D. melanogaster Canton-S* adult females and males fed on the indicated serotonin doses. (DOCX)

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Barry R. Pittendrigh.

Data curation: Gamal A. M. Abdu-Allah.

Formal analysis: Gamal A. M. Abdu-Allah.

Funding acquisition: Gamal A. M. Abdu-Allah.

Project administration: Barry R. Pittendrigh.

Supervision: Barry R. Pittendrigh.

Validation: Gamal A. M. Abdu-Allah.

Visualization: Gamal A. M. Abdu-Allah.

Writing - original draft: Gamal A. M. Abdu-Allah.

Writing – review & editing: Gamal A. M. Abdu-Allah, Keon Mook Seong, Omprakash Mittapalli, James Adebayo Ojo, Weilin Sun, Omar Posos-Parra, David Mota-Sanchez, John M. Clark, Barry R. Pittendrigh.

References

- 1. Whalon ME, Mota-Sanchez D, Hollingworth RM. (ed.) Global pesticide resistance in arthropods. CABI 2008.
- Daborn P, Boundy S, Yen J, Pittendrigh B, ffrench-Constant R DDT resistance in *Drosophila* correlates with *Cyp6g1* over-expression and confers cross-resistance to the neonicotinoid imidacloprid. Mol Genet Genomics 2001; 266(4): 556–563. https://doi.org/10.1007/s004380100531 PMID: 11810226
- 3. Feyereisen R. Insect P450 enzymes. Annu Rev Entomol. 1999; 44(1): 507-533.
- Kim JH, Moreau JA, Zina JM, Mazgaeen L, Yoon KS, et al. Identification and interaction of multiple genes resulting in DDT resistance in the 91-R strain of *Drosophila melanogaster* by RNAi approaches. Pest Biochem Physiol. 2018; 151: 90–99.
- Pittendrigh B, Reenan R, ffrench-Constant RH, Ganetzky B. Point mutations in the *Drosophila* sodium channel gene *para* associated with resistance to DDT and pyrethroid insecticides. Mol Gen Genet, 1997; 256(6): 602–610. https://doi.org/10.1007/s004380050608 PMID: 9435785
- Strycharz JP, Lao A, Li H, Qiu X, Lee SH, et al. Resistance in the highly DDT-resistant 91-R strain of Drosophila melanogaster involves decreased penetration, increased metabolism, and direct excretion Pest Biochem Physiol. 2013; 107(2): 207–217.
- 7. Wilson TG. Drosophila melanogaster (Diptera: Drosophilidae): a model insect for insecticide resistance studies. J Econ Entomol, 1988; 81(1): 22–27. https://doi.org/10.1093/jee/81.1.22 PMID: 3127447
- Zuk M, Garcia-Gonzalez F, Herberstein ME, Simmons LW. Model Systems, Taxonomic Bias, and Sexual Selection: Beyond *Drosophila*. Annu Rev Entomol. 2014; 59(1): 321–338.
- Chhabra R, Kolli S, Bauer JH. Organically grown food provides health benefits to *Drosophila melanogaster*. PLoS One. 2013; 8(1): e52988–e52988. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0052988 PMID: 23326371
- Dionne MS, Schneider DS. Models of infectious diseases in the fruit fly *Drosophila melanogaster*. Dis Model Mech. 2008; 1(1): 43–49. https://doi.org/10.1242/dmm.000307 PMID: 19048052

- Remnant EJ, Good RT, Schmidt JM, Lumb C, Robin C, et al. Gene duplication in the major insecticide target site, Rdl, in *Drosophila melanogaster*. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2013; 110(36): 14705–14710. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1311341110 PMID: 23959864
- Rubin GM. Drosophila melanogaster as an experimental organism. Science. 1988; 240(4858): 1453– 1459. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.3131880 PMID: 3131880
- Jin X-T, Song L, Zhao JY, Li ZY, Zhao MR. Dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane exposure induces the growth of hepatocellular carcinoma via Wnt/β-catenin pathway. Toxicol Lett. 2014; 225(1): 158–166. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.toxlet.2013.12.006 PMID: 24355586
- Tebourbi O, Sakly M, Rhouma KB. (ed.) Molecular mechanisms of pesticide toxicity: intechopen.com 2011; https://doi.org/10.5772/17952
- Kurutas EB. The importance of antioxidants which play the role in cellular response against oxidative/ nitrosative stress: current state. Nutr J. 2016; 25: 15(1):71. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12937-016-0186-5 PMID: 27456681; PMCID: PMC4960740.
- Marouani N, Hallegue D, Sakly M, Benkhalifa M, Ben Rhouma K, et al. (2017) p,p'-DDT induces testicular oxidative stress-induced apoptosis in adult rats. Reprod Biol Endocrinol. 2017; 15(1): 40–40. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s12958-017-0259-0</u> PMID: 28549437
- Harada T, Takeda M, Kojima S, Tomiyama N. Toxicity and Carcinogenicity of Dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane (DDT). Toxicol Res. 2016; 32(1): 21–33. https://doi.org/10.5487/TR.2016.32.1.021 PMID: 26977256
- World Health Organization. Global Malaria Programme & World Health Organization. Malaria Unit. Indoor residual spraying: use of indoor residual spraying for scaling up global malaria control and elimination: WHO position statement. World Health Organization. 2006; https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/ 10665/69386
- 19. Drummond F, Ballman E, Judith C. Population Dynamics of Spotted Wing *Drosophila* (*Drosophila suzukii* (Matsumura)) in Maine Wild Blueberry (*Vaccinium angustifolium* Aiton). Insects 2019; 10, 205.
- Milatovic D, Gupta RC, Aschner M. Anticholinesterase toxicity and oxidative stress. Sci. World J. 2006; 6: 295–310.
- Magwere T, West M, Riyahi K, Murphy MP, Smith RA. Partridge L: The effects of exogenous antioxidants on lifespan and oxidative stress resistance in *Drosophila melanogaster*. Mech Ageing Dev. 2006; 127(4): 356–370. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mad.2005.12.009 PMID: 16442589
- 22. Seong KM, Coates BS, Sun W, Clark JM, Pittendrigh BR. Changes in Neuronal Signaling and Cell Stress Response Pathways are Associated with a Multigenic Response of *Drosophila melanogaster* to DDT Selection. Genome Biol Evol. 2017; 9(12): 3356–3372. https://doi.org/10.1093/gbe/evx252 PMID: 29211847
- Bonilla E, Medina-Leendertz S, Villalobos V, Molero L, Bohorquez A. Paraquat-induced oxidative stress in *Drosophila melanogaster*: effects of melatonin, glutathione, serotonin, minocycline, lipoic acid and ascorbic acid. Neurochem Res. 2006; 31(12): 1425–1432. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11064-006-9194-8 PMID: 17086442
- Lashmanova E, Proshkina E, Zhikrivetskaya S, Shevchenko O, Marusich Em, et al. Fucoxanthin increases lifespan of *Drosophila melanogaster* and *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Pharmacol Res, 2015; 100:228–241. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phrs.2015.08.009 PMID: 26292053
- Seong KM, Sun W, Clark JM, Pittendrigh BR. Splice form variant and amino acid changes in MDR49 confers DDT resistance in transgenic *Drosophila*. Sci Rep. 2016; 6: 23355. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/</u> srep23355 PMID: 27003579
- Schenker N, Gentleman JF. On Judging the Significance of Differences by Examining the Overlap Between Confidence Intervals. Am Stat 2001; 55(3): 182–186.
- Wölfler A, Abuja PM, Schauenstein K, Liebmann PM. N-acetylserotonin is a better extra- and intracellular antioxidant than melatonin. FEBS Letters. 1999; 449(2–3): 206–210. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0014-5793(99)00435-4 PMID: 10338133
- Azouzi S, Santuz H, Morandat S, Pereira C, Cote F, et al. Antioxidant and Membrane Binding Properties of Serotonin Protect Lipids from Oxidation. Biophys J. 2017; 112(9): 1863–1873. <u>https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.bpj.2017.03.037</u> PMID: 28494957
- 29. Frazer A, Hensler JG. Basic Neurochemistry: Molecular, Cellular and Medical Aspects. 1999; 6th edition, 6th edn. Philadelphia: Lippincott-Raven.
- Perry AS, Yamamoto I, Ishaaya I, Perry RY. The organochlorine insecticides. In: Insecticides in Agriculture and Environment Retrospects and Prospects. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg 1998.
- Ciranna L. Serotonin as a Modulator of Glutamate- and GABA-Mediated Neurotransmission: Implications in Physiological Functions and in Pathology. Curr Neuropharmacol. 2006; 4(2): 101–114 https://doi.org/10.2174/157015906776359540 PMID: 18615128

- 32. Burton GW, Ingold KU. beta-Carotene: an unusual type of lipid antioxidant. Science. 1984; 224(4649): 569–573. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.6710156 PMID: 6710156
- Sies H, Stahl W, Sundquist AR. Antioxidant functions of vitamins. Vitamins E and C, beta-carotene, and other carotenoids. Ann N Y Acad Sci. 1992; 669: 7–20. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-6632.1992</u>. tb17085.x PMID: 1444060
- Cozzi R, Ricordy R, Aglitti T, Gatta V, Perticone P, et al. Ascorbic acid and beta-carotene as modulators of oxidative damage. Carcinogenesis.1997; 18(1): 223–228. https://doi.org/10.1093/carcin/18.1.223 PMID: 9054610
- 35. Gupta RC, Milatovic D, Dettbarn WD. Depletion of energy metabolites following acetylcholinesterase inhibitor-induced status epilepticus: protection by antioxidants. Neurotoxicology. 2001; 22(2): 271–282. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0161-813x(01)00013-4 PMID: 11405258
- Wong R, Piper MDW, Wertheim B, Partridge L. Quantification of Food Intake in *Drosophila*. PLoS One. 2009; 4(6): e6063. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0006063 PMID: 19557170
- 37. Bashandy MA, Zawahry EIE, Bashandy SA, Abdel Naby MF. The Protective Role of β-Carotene and Hesperidin on Some Hematological and Myocardial Measurements against Imidacloprid Toxicity in Albino Rats. J Pharm Pharmacol. 2017; 5:798–806.
- el-Demerdash FM, Yousef MI, Kedwany FS, Baghdadi HH. Role of alpha-tocopherol and beta-carotene in ameliorating the fenvalerate-induced changes in oxidative stress, hemato-biochemical parameters, and semen quality of male rats. J Environ Sci Health B. 2004; 39(3): 443–459. <u>https://doi.org/10.1081/</u> pfc-120035929 PMID: 15186033
- Felton GW, Summers CB. Antioxidant systems in insects. Arch Insect Biochem Physiol. 1995; 29(2): 187–197. https://doi.org/10.1002/arch.940290208 PMID: 7606043
- 40. Bahadorani S, Bahadorani P, Phillips JP, Hilliker AJ. The effects of vitamin supplementation on *Drosophila* life span under normoxia and under oxidative stress. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci. 2008; 63(1): 35–42. https://doi.org/10.1093/gerona/63.1.35 PMID: 18245758
- 41. Jin X, Song L, Liu X, Chen M, Li Z, et al. Cheng L, Ren H: Protective efficacy of vitamins C and E on p, p'-DDT-induced cytotoxicity via the ROS-mediated mitochondrial pathway and NF-kappaB/FasL pathway. PLoS One. 2014; 9(12):e113257. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0113257 PMID: 25464339
- Abdallah FB, Gargouri B, Bejaoui H, Lassoued S, Ammar-Keskes L. Dimethoate-induced oxidative stress in human erythrocytes and the protective effect of vitamins C and E in vitro. Environ Toxicol. 2011; 26(3): 287–291. https://doi.org/10.1002/tox.20555 PMID: 20077558
- 43. Cinar M, Yildirim E, Yigit AA, Yalcinkaya I, Duru O, et al. Effects of dietary supplementation with vitamin C and vitamin E and their combination on growth performance, some biochemical parameters, and oxidative stress induced by copper toxicity in broilers. Biol Trace Elem Res. 2014; 158(2):186–196. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s12011-014-9926-6 PMID: 24615553</u>
- 44. Podda M, Grundmann-Kollmann M. Low molecular weight antioxidants and their role in skin ageing. Clin Exp Dermatol. 2001; 26(7): 578–582. <u>https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2230.2001.00902.x</u> PMID: 11696061
- 45. Huang J, Sun W, Seong KM, Mittapalli O, Ojo J, et al. Dietary antioxidant vitamin C influences the evolutionary path of insecticide resistance in *Drosophila melanogaster*, Pest Biochem. Physiol. 2020 10.1016