

University of Kentucky UKnowledge

International Grassland Congress Proceedings

21st International Grassland Congress / 8th International Rangeland Congress

Effect of Peat on the Soil Physical Properties

Jianyu Cui China Agricultural University, China

Yajia Liu China Agricultural University, China

Kangguo Mu China Agricultural University, China

Xiaoqing Zhang China Agricultural University, China

Lin Hu China Agricultural University, China

Follow this and additional works at: https://uknowledge.uky.edu/igc

Part of the Plant Sciences Commons, and the Soil Science Commons

This document is available at https://uknowledge.uky.edu/igc/21/2-2/14

The 21st International Grassland Congress / 8th International Rangeland Congress took place in Hohhot, China from June 29 through July 5, 2008.

Proceedings edited by Organizing Committee of 2008 IGC/IRC Conference

Published by Guangdong People's Publishing House

This Event is brought to you for free and open access by the Plant and Soil Sciences at UKnowledge. It has been accepted for inclusion in International Grassland Congress Proceedings by an authorized administrator of UKnowledge. For more information, please contact UKnowledge@lsv.uky.edu.

Effect of peat on the soil physical properties

Jianyu Cui , Yajia Liu , Kangguo Mu , Xiaoqing Zhang and Lin ${\it Hu}^*$

College of Resources and Environmental Sciences, China $A_{gricultural}$ University, Beijing 100094, China. * E-mail: Hulin @ cau.edu.cn

Key words : peat , sand-peat mixture , chemical properties , soil physical properties

Abstract Peat is a common soil amendment . We investigated differences in chemical properties among peat products and their effects on soil physical properties . The results showed that : (1) There were significant differences on the chemical properties of peats , such as organic matter , humic acid and CEC . (2) The physical properties of sand will be obviously influenced by adding peats . When sand was mixed with peat , the percolation rate , bulk density and air-porosity decreased . On the other hand , the total porosity , capillary porosity and water retention were increased . The more peats and the finer peats are , the more obvious influence on soil physical properties is .

Introduction Peat is a common amendment used on Green construction. The rapid development of China Golf Industry requires a better understanding of the degree to which different peat products alter important soil physical properties . Here we compare chemical properties of six peat products and their effects on soil physical properties with the aim that this information will improve our ability to select the most appropriate materials for rootzone amendment on Greens.

Materials and methods Five peat products were collected in China, signed as local products (LP), the other one was from Germany(GP). The particle size distribution of sand met the USGA recommendation. The pH, organic matter(OM), humic acid(HA) and cation exchangeable capacity(CEC) of peat were measured. The sand-peat mixture was analyzed for physical properties according to ASTM standards.

Results and discussion The properties of six peat products were shown in Table 1. There was lower pH for GP and LP No .1, 2, 3. Significant differences were observed among peat samples in OM, HA and CEC. For the five LPs, No .1, 2, 3 have better quality than No .4, 5. The GP and LP No .3 were chosen to be mixed with sand for the testing of physical properties (Table 2). Compared to sand, there were significant differences in percolation rate and bulk density of two sand-peat mixtures. With the amount of peat increased,

Table 1	The	chemical	p ro	perties o	f di	f	ferent	peats .	

Samples	$_{\mathrm{pH}}$	OM (%)	HA (%)	CEC(cmol/kg)
ĠP	4 .93c*	96 .0a	58 .3a	125a
LP No .1	5 20c	73.5b	44 .9b	73 .2b
LP No 2	5 .10e	61 .0c	40 2b	64 .4c
LP No .3	4.58d	45 .5d	30 .9c	53 .6d
LP No .4	6.81b	37 .7e	15 .0d	25 .9e
LP No .5	7 .60a	18 .1f	19 2d	31 &e
* N7 - 10 - 444				3 34.00

 * Note :Different letters in the same row indicate significantly difference at $p{\leq}$ 0 .05 .

percolation rate, bulk density and air-filled porosity significantly decreased, but water retention, total porosity and capillary porosity increased significantly. There was significant different performance between two peats, which was caused by the difference of chemical properties (Table 1).

The physical properties of sand-peat mixture were influenced by the size of peat (Table 3). With the particle size of peat became smaller, the percolation rate and air-filled porosity decreased significantly, but water retention and capillary porosity increased obviously.

Table 2 Eff	ect o f	different	peats on the 1	hysical	nronerties of	^c sand-nea	it mixture .

	S and	Sand mixed v	Sand mixed with GP		ith LP No .3
Items	Sand	8 : 2	7 : 3	8 : 2	7 : 3
Percolation Rate (cm/h)	40 2a*	19.7c	16 .5c	36 .1a	26.7b
Bulk Density (g/cm ³)	1 .62a	1.46b	1 .36d	1 .41c	1.30e
Water Retention (%)	5.63e	11 .7 d	16 .1b	12 .6c	18 .6a
Total Porosity (%)	40.6e	45 .0d	48 .5b	47 .0c	51 .1a
Capillary Porosity (%)	9.13d	17 .1c	22 .0b	17 .8c	24 2a
Air-filled Porosity (%)	31 4a	27 9c	26 4d	29 2h	26 9cd

* Note : Different letters in the same line indicate significantly difference at $p \leq 0.05$.

|--|

It	Sand	Sand-Peat (4mm) mixture		Sand-Peat (0.5	5mm) mixture
Items	Sand	8:2	7:3	8 * 2	7:3
Percolation Rate (cm/h)	40 2a*	19 .7b	16 .5b	7.79c	5 .23c
Bulk Density (g/cm ³)	1 .62a	1 .46c	1 .36e	1.49b	1 .42d
Water Retention (%)	5.63d	11 .7c	16 .1b	16 .1b	19 .0a
Total Porosity (%)	40.6c	45 .0b	48 .4a	44 .4b	45 .3b
Capillary Porosity (%)	9.13e	17 .1d	22 .0c	24 .0b	26 .9a
Air-filled Porosity (%)	31.4a	27 .9h	26.4c	20.4d	18.4e

* Note : Different letters in the same line indicate significantly difference at $p \leq 0.05$.