Kent Academic Repository Full text document (pdf)

Citation for published version

Gardner, Charlie J. (2016) Intended and unintended outcomes in fisheries learning exchanges: lessons from Mexico and Madagascar. Marine Policy, 77. pp. 219-226. ISSN 0308-597X.

DOI

Link to record in KAR

https://kar.kent.ac.uk/84416/

Document Version

Author's Accepted Manuscript

Copyright & reuse

Content in the Kent Academic Repository is made available for research purposes. Unless otherwise stated all content is protected by copyright and in the absence of an open licence (eg Creative Commons), permissions for further reuse of content should be sought from the publisher, author or other copyright holder.

Versions of research

The version in the Kent Academic Repository may differ from the final published version. Users are advised to check http://kar.kent.ac.uk for the status of the paper. Users should always cite the published version of record.

Enquiries

For any further enquiries regarding the licence status of this document, please contact: **researchsupport@kent.ac.uk**

If you believe this document infringes copyright then please contact the KAR admin team with the take-down information provided at http://kar.kent.ac.uk/contact.html





ARTICLE TYPE: SUBMISSION FOR SPECIAL ISSUE

Intended and unintended outcomes in fisheries learning exchanges: lessons from Mexico and Madagascar

Charlie J. Gardner ^{1,2*}, Julia E. Latham ³, Steve Rocliffe ¹

¹ Blue Ventures Conservation, Level 2 Annex, Omnibus Business Centre, 39-41 North Road, London, N7 9DP, UK.

²Durrell Institute of Conservation and Ecology (DICE), University of Kent, Canterbury

CT2 7NR, UK

1

³ Independent Researcher, Devon, UK, julialatham@gmail.com

* Corresponding author: Charlie J. Gardner, cg399@kent.ac.uk

Word Count: 5857 (+ Abstract 268, References 693)

1 Figure

2 Tables

2 Abstract

3 Fisheries learning exchanges (FLEs) bring together fisher communities to exchange 4 knowledge and experiences, with the goal of building social capital and 5 disseminating management techniques. However, the effectiveness of the approach 6 has not yet been widely evaluated and no best practice guidelines have been 7 published. In 2015 two groups of octopus fishers from Bahia de los Angeles, Mexico 8 and Sarodrano, Madagascar travelled to Andavadoaka, southwest Madagascar to 9 learn about the temporary fishing closures for octopus used in the region. Octopus 10 fisheries in Madagascar and Mexico differ in several respects, particularly harvesting 11 techniques. The FLE was qualitatively evaluated through participant observation and 12 semi-structured key informant (KI) interviews. Thirty before-and-after interviews 13 were carried out with 16 KIs including visitors, hosts and organisers. Informants 14 suggested that holding the FLE at the same time as the closure openings allowed for 15 learning benefits but carried an important opportunity cost for organisers and host 16 participants, and that shortcomings of planning and translation capacity limited 17 learning opportunities. Several KIs were concerned about the applicability of the 18 Malagasy management model to the Mexican context concerned, and the FLE may 19 have had unforeseen consequences since Malagasy fishers were excited to learn a 20 new fishing method (trapping) from the visitors: if effective, trapping could 21 negatively impact Malagasy octopus stocks. The exchange of knowledge in the FLE 22 was primarily one-way, from host to visitor, and most organisers did not view 23 themselves as participants. Recommendations to improve the effectiveness of future 24 FLEs include: i) improving facilitation and translation capacity to promote dialogue,

- ii) focusing on key messages, iii) selecting appropriate participants and iv) recruiting
 a specialist to organise and lead exchanges.
- 27

28 **Keywords**: Community; Fisheries management; Knowledge exchange; Marine

29 conservation; Natural resources management; Social learning

30

31 **1. Introduction**

32 There is increasing recognition that effective forms of knowledge exchange are 33 required to enhance environmental sustainability (Fazey et al. 2012). Fisheries 34 learning exchanges (FLEs), in which representatives of fisher communities are 35 brought together to exchange knowledge and experiences, are recognised as a 36 valuable tool for improving fisheries management, in particular for sharing 37 management challenges and solutions, empowering fisher leaders, building social 38 capital and communities of practice, and developing conservation solutions (Jenkins 39 et al. In press; Heyman et al. 2011). However, the effectiveness of the approach has 40 thus far received minimal assessment and few guidelines for practitioners to 41 maximise the utility of such exchanges exist (Bretos et al. 2013; Thompson et al. 42 2016). To help address this, a research collaboration led by the University of 43 Washington and SmartFish International entitled FLExCELL (Fishermen Learning 44 Exchanges for Conservation: an Evaluation of Lessons Learned), was launched in 45 2013.

46

The FLExCELL project comprises two phases. Phase 1 consisted of a workshop in May
2013 that brought together 22 participants from 11 countries to establish a

49 community of practice for FLEs, achieve a shared understanding of what defines an 50 FLE, and compile lessons learned (Thompson et al. 2014). Several outputs from the 51 workshop discuss FLEs in detail, including their scope and history (Jenkins et al. In 52 press), key characteristics of successful FLEs (Thompson et al. In press), and 53 suggested guidelines for conducting an FLE (Thompson et al. 2014). Phase 2 of the 54 project consists of a number of in-depth case studies of FLEs across the world, 55 intended to elucidate best practice for designing and conducting FLEs. This paper 56 presents the findings of the first of these Phase 2 case studies. The objective of the 57 paper is to critically evaluate the effectiveness of the FLE as a tool for learning and 58 generate recommendations for the development of best practice, based primarily on 59 the testimonies of organisers and participants themselves.

60

61 **2. Methods**

62 2.1 Study FLE

63 The case study FLE was the result of collaboration between Blue Ventures (BV; UK), 64 SmartFish International and Pronatura Noroeste (Mexico), and took place in 65 Andavadoaka, southwest Madagascar in August-September 2015 (Figure 1). Since 66 2004, the communities of Andavadoaka and surrounding villages, with the support of BV, have been implementing temporary octopus closures during which defined 67 68 areas of reef flat are closed to octopus fishing for 2-7 months (Benbow et al. 2014; 69 Oliver et al. 2015). The closures form the foundation of Velondriake, Madagascar's 70 first Locally-Managed Marine Area (LMMA; Harris 2007), which was officially 71 incorporated into the country's expanded protected area system in 2015 and 72 includes a number of permanent reef and mangrove reserves in addition to closure 73 areas. Velondriake spans 25 villages and is run by a committee of elected village 74 representatives (the Committee of the Velondriake Association) who spearhead 75 fisheries management in their communities, including the selection of reserve and 76 closure sites, the length of the closure period, and the application of rules 77 (Andriamalala and Gardner 2010; Oliver et al. 2015).

78

79 The temporary octopus closure model generates net economic benefits when 80 closures are well managed (Oliver et al. 2015), and as a result has influenced national 81 fisheries policy and spread throughout southwest Madagascar as well as elsewhere 82 in the Indian Ocean (e.g. Mozambique, Mauritius, Tanzania). An important tool in the 83 spread of the model has been FLEs, which have seen an estimated 494 84 representatives of fisher communities from around the region visit Andavadoaka to 85 meet with the Velondriake Association, discuss management issues and attend the 86 opening of a temporary octopus closure (i.e. the resumption of fishing). The case 87 study FLE involved visitors from two small-scale octopus fishing communities, Bahia 88 de los Angeles, Baja California (Mexico) and Sarodrano (southwest Madagascar), and 89 was designed to allow visiting fishers to learn about the temporary closure model 90 used in Velondriake with a view to implementing or improving similar initiatives in 91 their fisheries. The community from Sarodrano had previously implemented 92 temporary octopus closures, although these had been unsuccessful for reasons of 93 inadequate governance structures, and shared many social, cultural and 94 environmental characteristics with Velondriake. Both Malagasy fisheries, however, 95 differed substantially from the fishery in Bahia de los Angeles. The Madagascar 96 fisheries are un-motorised and largely in shallow water, with octopus harvested by gleaning (primarily women) and free-diving using spears (men) (Barnes-Mauthe et al.
2013; Westerman and Benbow 2013). In Mexico, however, octopus is caught
exclusively by men using traps and pump-assisted diving from motorised boats in
deeper waters (Valdez and Torreblanca 2008). Octopus and other marine resources
are overfished in both southwest Madagascar and Baja California (Cinti et al. 2014;
Harris 2007; Sala et al. 2004).

103

104 The FLE involved 16 participants, including both fishers and organisers (Table 1). The 105 FLE was timed to coincide with the simultaneous opening of the network of 106 temporary octopus closures within Velondriake; in addition to attending pre-opening 107 meetings of the Velondriake Association and the multi-stakeholder Comité de 108 Gestion de Poulpe (octopus management committee, which brings together fisher 109 associations and private sector buyers to agree on prices), attendees participated in 110 fishing activities during the openings and visited collectors (middlemen between 111 fishers and buyers) afterwards. A range of additional presentations and activities, 112 including a visit to a seaweed aquaculture project initiated as an alternative 113 livelihoods programme to help reduce harvesting pressure on marine resources, 114 were also included in the FLE (Table 2).

115

116 [TABLE 1]

117 [TABLE 2]

118

119 2.2 Data collection and analysis

120 The FLE was assessed through participant observation (the first author was present 121 throughout and participated in all FLE activities) and key informant (KI) interviews. 122 Interviews were semi-structured, guided by 'pre-exchange' and 'post-exchange' 123 interview protocols developed for FLExCELL case studies by L. Jenkins and K. 124 Thompson (University of Washington). However logistical constraints meant that no 125 time was available for face-to-face interviews either before or after the FLE, thus all 126 'pre-exchange' interviews were carried out on Day 1 and Day 2 of the exchange, and 127 most (n = 8) 'post-exchange' interviews were carried out on Day 6 and Day 7. All FLE 128 participants (10 men, six women; Table 1) were interviewed twice as key informants, 129 however only 30 interviews (16 'pre-exchange', 14 'post-exchange') were carried out 130 as two participants were not available for post-exchange interviewing. Interviews 131 were either face-to-face (n = 26), or carried out remotely following FLE completion (n 132 = 4), and were conducted in Vezo (the local Malagasy dialect), Spanish, French or 133 English with the assistance of translators. The purpose of the study was explained to 134 each KI before interviews took place and prior, informed consent was received from 135 all informants.

136

All interviews were directly transcribed where possible or paraphrased when translation assistance was required. Interview transcripts were analysed qualitatively as sample sizes precluded the use of quantitative methods. Transcripts were coded using an adapted form of categorised content analysis (Bernard 2006), with responses assigned to a coding frame of themes that were roughly equivalent to the interview themes. KIs were grouped by their role in the FLE (organiser, visitor or host) for ease of interpretation, and their identity coded to preserve anonymity. 144

145 **3. Results**

Informant interviews generated data on a range of issues: the following analyses focuses solely on aspects of relevance to management, i.e. data that can contribute to the development of FLE best practice. The data are presented in five themes: i) timing, organisation and preparation, ii) participant roles and expectations, iii) intended and unintended learning outcomes, iv) participant selection, and v) gender.

151

152 3.1 Timing, organisation and preparation

153 Holding the FLE at the same time as the simultaneous opening of the network of 154 temporary closures entailed both costs and benefits, according to different 155 respondents. Both BV organisers and two hosts suggested that it greatly increased 156 organisational complexity and stretched the human resources of both BV and the 157 Velondriake Committee. For example, one organiser felt that Velondriake members 158 should have accompanied the visitors during the opening, but instead they were all 159 busy fishing and it was "each one for himself" (Interview 29, 17.09.2015). In addition, 160 one host felt that the timing of FLE activities had interfered with the closure opening, 161 causing it to open after the best tides, and suffer perceived reduced landings as a 162 result. On the other hand, all visitors from Mexico felt that visiting the opening and 163 the collectors afterwards had been amongst the best activities, since it had given 164 them a better understanding of the fishery. Three hosts and a visitor from Sarodrano 165 further highlighted the importance of visiting the collectors at the end of opening 166 day, as it gave the visitors an opportunity to see how successful the closures had been. ("[*It'*]s the proof that the closures are effective, it's not just a theory" Interview
168 16, 31.08.2015).

169

One organiser stated that preparation is hampered by a lack of guidelines on conducting FLEs. Furthermore, one BV informant noted that organising the FLE entailed a significant opportunity cost for the rest of the BV fisheries programme in terms of the human resources diverted away from it. Despite appreciating the opportunity to participate in the exchange, they felt that hosting visitors from abroad was not a priority activity for fisheries management in the region and thus that they would rather focus their efforts closer to home.

177

178	"There is still a lot of work to do before Velondriake is fully functional. Not
179	everyone in Velondriake believes in closures, so I would rather invest my
180	energies in them rather than people from abroad. I just feel a bit guilty
181	because our slogan is 'communities first' – communities here, Vezo
182	communities – and there are many villages in Velondriake that I haven't
183	visited yet, because of the lack of time. I've spent one full month involved
184	in international exchange trips. I could have used this time to visit these
185	villages and learn important things for my project, as I do every time I'm
186	in the field".
187	Interview 29, 19.09.2015

188

189 Several informants suggested that organisational shortcomings had limited the 190 potential for learning during the FLE. For example, four hosts (and one organiser)

191 lamented the lack of translation capacity or stated that there were other questions 192 they wanted to ask but had been unable to. Two hosts and three visitors (including 193 both from Sarodrano) further suggested that the visit to the seaweed aquaculture 194 project had not been worthwhile given the time necessary to reach it (an entire day). 195 In addition, three visitors from Mexico and both BV staff felt that either the visitors 196 or all participants were under-prepared, either lacking an understanding of the 197 objectives of the FLE or lacking information that would have been useful for the visit. 198 As one respondent stated: "Not being fully aware [of the objectives] becomes a 199 *barrier for people and stops them being fully involved*" (Interview 27, 11.09.15).

200

201 *3.2 Participant roles and expectations*

202 In pre-exchange interviewing, five hosts felt that their role was to share their 203 knowledge or teach the visitors, one felt that they were there to learn from the 204 visitors, and one believed their role to involve both teaching and learning. This 205 suggests a perceived imbalance amongst the host community, that the FLE is 206 primarily to allow the visitors to learn from the hosts, rather than a two-way 207 knowledge exchange. Only one visiting participant suggested that their role also 208 involved sharing their own knowledge/experiences with the host community, 209 suggesting that the imbalance in host-visitor knowledge exchange is also perceived 210 by the visitors. Both Mexican fishers stated that they came to learn about fishing 211 techniques, not management techniques.

212

All organisers stated that their role involved planning, organisation, facilitation and
 associated tasks. Only one mentioned any role in learning, sharing or other aspects

215 related to their own personal role in knowledge exchange. In other words, most 216 organisers did not appear to perceive themselves as participants in, or beneficiaries 217 from, exchange activities.

218

219 3.3 Intended and unintended learning outcomes

220 Most visitors felt that they had learned something that they could apply in their lives 221 or their work, and all participants were generally positive about their experiences of 222 the FLE when interviewed. Nevertheless, KI testimonies suggest that the impacts 223 may differ between Mexican and Malagasy visitors. Several informants shared 224 concerns regarding the applicability of the closure model to the Mexican context: 225 one Mexican fisher felt that they could apply nothing they had learnt back home 226 because the context was so different (although they did feel a renewed commitment 227 to resource conservation), while one organiser also felt that differences between the 228 fisheries were so great that short-term closures could perhaps not be replicated. A 229 further organiser felt that their initial idea that two fishermen could go back to their 230 communities and transform the fishery in an area that historically lacks social 231 cohesion was unrealistic. The two visitors from Sarodrano, on the other hand, both 232 talked enthusiastically about octopus closures when asked what they had learnt: one 233 felt that their effectiveness had been clearly demonstrated by the landings on 234 opening day while the other stated that they would try and implement closures 235 again if their community agreed.

236

Some knowledge exchanged, however, may have unintended consequences formanagement of the Madagascar octopus fishery. Specifically, knowledge of the

octopus trapping technique used in Mexico was consistently the most talked about aspect of the FLE by all (non-organiser) Malagasy respondents (both hosts and visitors), who all stated that they would try using them and may even try to adapt them to other species, such as lobster. One informant was pleased that, since trapping seemed less time-consuming than gleaning, even people with jobs could carry it out.

245

Apart from new fishing techniques and a little about life in Mexico, host informantsdid not believe that they had learnt anything from the visitors.

248

249 "I didn't really learn about how they conserve octopus, only how they250 catch them"

251 Interview 20, 31.08.15

252

In addition to the potential impact of octopus traps on the local fishery, one organiser was further concerned by potential problems between the Velondriake Committee and the private sector buyers once the hosts had learnt of the much higher landing prices received for octopus in Mexico.

257

258 3.4 Participant selection

The four NGOs/supporting agencies involved in the FLE had different approaches for selecting participants. Participants from Mexico were selected on the basis of their availability and attendance on a leadership course, although few community members had been willing to participate in the FLE due to the high opportunity costs 263 involved – they would not be fishing and would thus be unable to earn any income 264 during the time they were away and, unlike their Malagasy counterparts, were not 265 compensated for their time. Participants from Sarodrano were selected by the 266 community according to criteria from GIZ; i) must include one woman, ii) must be 267 able to communicate well, iii) must not have previously participated in an FLE. 268 Likewise, BV asked that women be represented (two from Ampela tsy Magnavake 269 and one from the Velondriake Committee) but otherwise left the selection process 270 to the Velondriake Committee.

271

272 Of the two Mexican fishers one stated that he "always wins such things" (i.e. is 273 always selected to represent his community) while the other suggested that nobody 274 else wanted to come because of their lost earning potential while away. Of the two 275 visitors from Sarodrano, one was previously in charge of the local closures there, 276 while the other felt that she was selected because she was a woman. Amongst hosts, 277 one stated that it is their job (as President of the Velondriake Association), while two 278 stated that they are always selected as they are members of the Velondriake Committee. Of the remainder, all suggested that they were selected either because 279 280 they are well known in their communities or because they are good at 281 communication.

282

283 One BV organiser stated that the same people – members of the Velondriake 284 Committee – always participated in all meetings and exchanges, and expressed a 285 concern that this may create jealousies and political problems within the community 286 (Interview 10, 29.08.15). One host stated that they had been disappointed by the 287 lack of enthusiasm on the part of the other hosts selected, while one Mexican visitor

288 felt that, while the hosts were willing to share their experiences with the visitors,

they did not seem particularly interested in learning from them.

290

291 *3.5 Gender*

292 Female participants differed in their opinions as to whether their gender brought 293 something different to the exchange, depending on their role. Two female hosts and 294 the female visitor from Sarodrano all felt that their attendance was important 295 because women play such a big role in the octopus fishery, and thus should also 296 have the opportunity to learn and share their experiences. Female NGO participants, 297 however, held mixed opinions as to the relevance of their gender – one respondent 298 felt that, as a woman, her organisational skills had been helpful in organising the FLE, 299 but two others felt that their gender was irrelevant.

300

301 Post-exchange, most respondents felt that the participation of women had 302 benefitted the FLE – their participation was thought to be critical given that they play 303 such an important role in the fishery, and because they may have different or 304 complementary ideas to men. Three respondents (one host, one visitor and one 305 organiser) specifically mentioned that there had been exchanges between the 306 women from Sarodrano and those from Ampela tsy Magnavake (outside of formal 307 FLE activities) which they had learned from – no such exchanges between the men 308 from Sarodrano and those from Velondriake were mentioned. Respondents also 309 mentioned that gender-related learning was not limited to fisheries management, as 310 the visitors were able to see how the women's association organised themselves,

while the hosts learned that women in Mexico work in other jobs unrelated to thesea.

313

4. Discussion and recommendations

315 If the stated purpose of the FLE was to facilitate learning about the success of 316 temporary fishery closures used in Velondriake by fishers from Mexico and 317 Sarodrano, then it can be deemed at least a partial success on the basis of 318 participants' testimonies: most visitors felt that they had learned something that 319 they could apply in their lives or their work, and all participants were generally 320 positive about their experiences of the FLE when interviewed. However, these 321 opinions should be treated with a degree of caution since they may have been 322 influenced by a desire on the part of respondents to provide answers that meet the approval of the interviewer, a form of acquiescence bias or 'yea-saying'. 323 324 Furthermore, many of the benefits thought to accrue from FLEs, such as building 325 social capital and communities of practice and empowering fisher leaders, are 326 somewhat intangible and may only become apparent in the medium- to long-term, 327 and thus the success or otherwise of the exchange should only be assessed at the 328 relevant timescale and using appropriate metrics (e.g. observed behaviour change). 329 Irrespective of the eventual impact of this FLE, however, the case study has 330 generated a range of insights that may contribute to the development of best 331 practice.

332

333 4.1 General findings

334 Much of conservation is about behaviour change (St John. et al. 2013), and in many 335 cases involves conservationists expecting rural people to do things that may not be 336 in their immediate economic interests. In this context, one of the keys to the success 337 of Velondriake and the viral replication of temporary octopus closures in southwest 338 Madagascar and elsewhere is the close alignment of interests between NGOs and 339 fishers: both benefit from the increased productivity of fisheries (Gardner et al. 340 2013; Pollini et al. 2014). Despite this apparent alignment, however, this research 341 suggests that the interests of fishers and the NGOs working with them remain 342 somewhat divergent: in essence, while the purpose of the FLE (from the organisers' 343 point of view) was to catalyse the spread of fisheries management techniques, the 344 principal interest of many participating fishers from both countries was to learn new 345 fishing techniques. In other words while organisers sought to promote fisheries 346 sustainability, fishers may have been more interested in learning how to improve 347 short-term productivity (i.e. increase their harvests).

348

349 Given the divergence of interests between fishers and NGOs, any forum for 350 information exchange between small-scale fisher communities risks unintended 351 consequences, i.e. the exchange of information that will work against, rather than 352 towards, the goal of improved fisheries sustainability. In the case of the Mexico-353 Madagascar FLE this may have occurred with the introduction of the concept of 354 octopus trapping to Vezo fishers. Traps are one of the two techniques employed in 355 the Mexican octopus fishery, and their use was consistently the most talked-about 356 subject during the FLE, and that which elicited the most excitement and interest amongst Malagasy fishers from both communities. The potential spread of traps wasalso cited as a concern by organisers.

359

The introduction of octopus traps to the Madagascar fishery could prove 360 361 problematic, since the use of this technique may have caused the collapse of stocks 362 in Mexico, and indeed is one of the reasons for the instigation of management 363 actions by fishers and NGOs in Bahia de los Angeles, including their attendance at 364 the FLE (Key Informants, pers. comm.). The successful employment of traps in Madagascar could have numerous deleterious consequences for the fishery, 365 366 including i) allowing fishing at greater depth than is currently possible, thus 367 eliminating the deep refugia that are thought critical to maintaining the octopus 368 population (Raberinary and Benbow 2012); ii) physical destruction of deep-water 369 corals that are currently undamaged by gleaning, and iii) opening up the fishery to 370 those that do not currently participate in it, such as people from inland who lack the 371 knowhow and materials to fish using conventional methods, or those that have jobs 372 and therefore no time to glean or dive. On the other hand, the traps may provide a conservation opportunity if they reduce rates of reef destruction by gleaning, since 373 374 this practice is the principal cause of local reef damage (Andréfouët et al. 2013). If 375 traps prove effective and are widely adopted, ensuring that they do not reduce the 376 sustainability of the fishery will require the strengthening and expansion of existing 377 management measures.

378

A second potential unintended consequence concerns the differences in landingprice for octopus between Bahia de los Angeles and Andavadoaka: one respondent

381 was concerned that this knowledge may stimulate demands for higher prices in
382 Madagascar, which could cause problems with private sector partners that buy the
383 catch.

384

385 Although FLEs are conceived as exchanges of knowledge between two or more 386 groups, knowledge exchange in the case study FLE was explicitly intended to be one-387 way - from hosts to visitors. This objective was reflected in the perceptions of 388 participants (whether visitor, host or organiser), who tended to feel the visitors were 389 there to learn, and the role of the hosts was limited to sharing their experiences. 390 While it is natural for FLEs to focus on the hosts' experiences in situations where the 391 imbalance would be rectified on a future reciprocal trip to the visitors' community, in 392 one-off FLEs opportunities for learning by host communities will be limited if 393 mechanisms for reciprocal knowledge exchange are not programmed into the 394 agenda, and if hosts do not perceive the learning opportunities available to them.

395

396 Similarly, organisers tended not to see themselves as FLE participants in initial 397 interviews, perhaps reflecting a lack of clear goals and expectations in FLE planning: 398 post-exchange, however, some indicated they had gained knowledge that 399 contributed significantly to their personal and professional development. Building 400 the capacity and experience of participating NGO staff could be a major secondary 401 benefit of FLEs, particularly as these individuals may have multiple opportunities to 402 work with and influence small-scale fisheries during the course of their careers. 403 However, maximising the benefits accruing to participating staff will depend at least 404 partly on having the appropriate approach and mindset, and in future it might help 405 to emphasise that organisers are participating as much for their own professional 406 development as for facilitating the learning of fishers they accompany. Careful 407 organisation and sufficient resources are also required to allow organisers to 408 participate, since the added and ongoing burden of organising and facilitating on top 409 of other duties diminished the opportunities for staff to fully engage with FLE 410 activities.

411

412 All participants agreed that the participation of women was beneficial or even critical 413 to the FLE, because they play an important role in the fishery and because they have 414 ideas or perspectives that are different and complementary to those of men. 415 Although sample sizes are small, interviews and observations also provide some 416 preliminary indications that women may be important participants in FLEs for two 417 other reasons: i) female hosts appeared to display a greater concern for fisheries 418 sustainability than male respondents, who were more concerned with productivity 419 (i.e. how to catch more), and ii) female hosts appeared more engaged in the FLE and 420 willing to grasp the learning opportunities arising from it. Specifically, three 421 respondents stated that the female hosts had learned from informal discussions with 422 female visitors from Sarodrano, but no such inter-group discussions were reported 423 by men. Although no conclusions can be drawn and these perceptions should be 424 treated with caution on account of the sample sizes, the notion that women may be 425 more willing, engaged and receptive participants in FLEs or other social learning 426 merits further investigation.

428 With regard to participant selection, both organisers and hosts made it clear that the 429 same small number of community members – the Velondriake Committee – were 430 always selected to participate in meetings and FLEs. This makes sense in that these 431 are elected representatives of their villages, they presumably have some capacities 432 that others may lack (e.g. ability to communicate well, respect), and they are 433 experienced in the role and know what they are doing when presenting their 434 community and their management initiatives. In addition, selecting the same 435 individuals is convenient, averting the need for a potentially complex and sensitive 436 selection process. However, selecting the same people may have negative sides, 437 including causing jealousies and political problems within the host community 438 (Interview 10), and reducing the opportunities for learning by other members of the 439 host community who do not participate. In addition, it may be that the 'automatic' 440 selection of the same Velondriake members reduces the willingness of those 441 individuals to fully engage with the experience and make the most of the 442 opportunities presented. If individuals had to 'compete' for the right to attend, this 443 may serve as a filter so that only those with a desire to do so would be selected. For 444 example, the visiting Mexican fishers paid a high opportunity cost (i.e. lost revenue) 445 to attend the FLE, and were noticeably more keen to partake in learning activities 446 than their Malagasy counterparts who received a *per diem* payment for attendance. 447 The question of compensating FLE participants for their time may trade off reduced 448 participation for increased enthusiasm, and warrants further investigation, since we 449 are unaware of any research on this issue in community-based natural resource 450 management or social learning.

452 4.2 Improving the effectiveness of FLEs

453 4.2.1 Communication and animation capacity

454 Several participants lamented their inability to communicate with members of the 455 other parties, and recommended that more translators be involved in the FLE. The 456 only translators available were NGO staff, but since these individuals were constantly 457 engaged in organising either FLE events or the openings, they were not available to translate outside of formal FLE activities. However, the FLE was punctuated by 458 459 frequent break periods which could have been used for fruitful, informal discussions 460 between parties. Such informal discussions did take place between the participants 461 from the local women's group and female visitors from Sarodrano (who did not 462 require translators), and these were said to have been highly productive by 463 respondents. However, few such interactions appeared to take place between 464 Malagasy and Mexican groups.

465

466 Several respondents stated that there were other subjects that they would have 467 liked to discuss, but that the opportunity to do so had not arisen. While this may 468 partly be due to a lack of translators, it may also reflect the natural reluctance of 469 each group to overcome cultural and linguistic barriers and genuinely mingle and 470 engage with other parties. Some efforts were made to overcome this, e.g. by asking people to seat themselves next to someone they didn't know during the 471 472 introductory meal, and playing an 'ask any questions' game during the closing 473 session, but these appear to have been insufficient. Much depends on the attitude of 474 the participants – if they are genuinely thirsty for knowledge then they will find a 475 way to communicate and ask questions, but if they are simply content to be there then it is easy to coast through without making any effort to interact. In such cases the role of facilitator becomes critical – they must constantly probe and encourage participants to engage and participate in order to facilitate the active exchange of knowledge between parties, if it is not happening passively. Ideally there should be several such facilitators for such a large group, allowing small groups to break off into discussions pertinent to their interests. Organisers must devote sufficient resources to FLEs if they are to derive maximum impact from them.

483

484 4.2.2 Focus on key messages

485 Both organisers and visitors sometimes appeared unsure as to what the purpose of 486 the exchange was, and several respondents had expectations that were not met, 487 perhaps because they were never communicated to the organisers. To overcome 488 this, the objectives and agenda for FLEs should be clearly articulated during project 489 design, ideally through a participatory process involving at least some participants, 490 to help ensure that the expectations of all parties are integrated into planning. Even 491 when FLE design is not participatory, ensuring the effective communication of the 492 objectives and agenda to all participants prior to the start of activities should ensure 493 shared understandings and expectations.

494

In addition, organisers have to decide on the key messages to be transmitted and ensure that sufficient time and appropriate learning activities are built into the agenda, to ensure that these messages are effectively transmitted. For example, this FLE was focused on temporary closures, and there were many subjects associated with the topic (such as community organisation, private sector partnerships, 500 relationships with the authorities, and rule development and enforcement) that 501 visitors expressed an interest in exploring in greater detail. Given this, it seems 502 illogical that one third of the available time in Andavadoaka was dedicated to visiting 503 a distant seaweed aquaculture project. More thorough, critical and participatory 504 planning would have helped ensure that only priority activities that contribute to 505 meeting participant goals and expectations were included within the available time, 506 and that participants understood what each activity contributed to the goals of the 507 exchange.

508

509 4.2.3 Selection of participating communities

510 Given the effort and expense that goes into the planning and carrying out of fisheries 511 learning exchanges, every effort must be made to ensure that the most appropriate 512 fisher communities are selected to participate. At the individual level, participants 513 should either be fishers who have a high probability of changing their behaviour as a 514 result of the exchange, or community members of sufficient standing to be able to 515 convince others to alter their behaviour. At the community level, the fisheries 516 context must be sufficiently similar that the specific interventions that participants 517 see and learn about can be replicated when they return home. If the initiatives they 518 visit are not appropriate for them, then there is little opportunity to catalyse change 519 in the fishery and it is difficult to justify the value of the FLE. Previous exchanges to 520 Andavadoaka have largely focused on communities with low awareness of 521 community-based marine resource management (CBMRM) and have used the 522 octopus closures as a demonstration of the economic and social benefits of such 523 approaches, with a view to catalysing broader management and conservation 524 actions (Oliver et al. 2015). For this case study, since the Mexican visitors had already 525 expressed interest in no-take closures and gear restrictions prior to arrival, the value 526 of the FLE lay less in demonstrating the need for and potential benefits of CBMRM, 527 and more in building community interest in it to level where it would spill over into 528 action. The distinction is a subtle one and both approaches appear to have value, but 529 in order to maximise the utility and cost-effectiveness of future FLEs identifying the 530 characteristics of small-scale fisher communities that make them good candidates 531 for behaviour change triggered by such FLEs should be considered a research 532 priority.

533

534 4.2.4 Dedicated human resources

535 FLEs entail a major cost to organising institutions in terms of human resources, 536 affecting existing staff duties. One BV organiser felt strongly that their role in the FLE 537 negatively impacted their duties with regard to fisheries management in southwest 538 Madagascar, as it did not help to meet their programme objectives. Both BV 539 organisers also stated that holding the FLE at the same time as the opening of the 540 temporary octopus closures caused an important logistical problem, as openings are 541 the most important day in the calendar and require much organisation. There are 542 benefits to hosting FLEs on opening day, as visitors experience the 'pay-off' from the 543 management intervention by witnessing impressive landings, which can act as a 544 persuasive argument for adopting the management measure. However, the 545 scheduling does stretch the capacity of both organisers and hosts, and can have 546 negative impacts on the smooth implementation of both the opening and the FLE.

548 If FLEs were to increase in frequency, one solution to the staffing problem would be 549 to recruit a dedicated staff member to manage FLE planning and execution. This 550 would not only reduce the organisational burden on other programmes, but also 551 permit recruitment to be carried out on the basis of experience and capacities that 552 would benefit the role, such as facilitation, education and languages skills. In 553 addition, a dedicated manager would assist learning and development of best 554 practice between FLEs, ensuring that future exchanges are designed and executed to 555 ensure maximum benefits for all parties. Learning exchanges could subsequently be 556 extended beyond fisheries to other types of resource management, such as 557 community-based mangrove management.

558

559 **5. Conclusions**

560 As a preliminary evaluation of an FLE, this case study contributes to the development 561 of best practice through participant observation and the testimonies of a range of 562 FLE participants including hosts, visitors and organisers. Although sample sizes were 563 small, findings suggest that the exchange was at least a partial success, as all 564 participants felt they had learned something of value to them. However, there is the 565 possibility that unintended consequences may arise if Malagasy fishers adopt 566 effective or destructive fishing methods used in Mexico. Nevertheless, the effectiveness of the exchange should only be judged on the basis of future behaviour 567 568 change on the part of participating fishers. Initial indications are positive: on their 569 return to Bahia de los Angeles, the participants from Mexico held a village meeting 570 to share their news and learning from the exchange with the rest of the community. 571 As a result of this meeting, the village agreed to close part of its octopus fishing area 572 for 3 months to see what effect this would have on stocks. Participant responses also 573 provided a number of insights into how future exchanges could be improved, 574 including ensuring sufficient translation and facilitation capacity, clearly defining 575 objectives and focusing on key messages, ensuring the selection of appropriate 576 participants, and professionalising the role of FLE organiser. Adoption of these 577 lessons learned should allow the implementation of more effective and efficient 578 fisheries learning exchanges in future.

579

580 Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Helmsley Charitable Trust. We thank Xavier Vincke and Tinah Voahangy Martin for logistical support, and Tinah Voahangy Martin, Jimena Betancourt, Symphorien and Saholy for translation assistance. We are also grateful to Lekelia Jenkins, Katie Thompson, Kama Dean and Steven Victor for insightful suggestions that helped improve the manuscript.

586

587 **References**

588 Andréfouët S, Guillaume MMM, Delval A, Rasoamanendrika FMA, Blanchot J,

589 Bruggemann JH (2013) Fifty years of changes in reef flat habitats of the Grand Récif

- 590 of Toliara (SW Madagascar) and the impact of gleaning. *Coral Reefs* 13: 757–768.
- 591

592 Andriamalala G, Gardner CJ (2010) L'utilisation du *dina* comme outil de gouvernance

593 des ressources naturelles: leçons tirés de Velondriake, sud-ouest de Madagascar.

594 Tropical Conservation Science 3: 447–472.

596	Barnes-Mauthe M, Olesen KLL, Zafindrasilivonona B (2013) The total economic value
597	of small-scale fisheries with a characterization of post-landing trends: an application
598	in Madagascar with global relevance. Fisheries Research 147: 175–185.
599	
600	Benbow S, Humber F, Oliver TA, Oleson KLL, Raberinary D, Nadon M, Ratsimbazafy H,
601	Harris A (2014) Lessons learnt from experimental temporary octopus fishing closures
602	in south-west Madagascar: benefits of concurrent closures. African Journal of Marine

603 *Science* 36: 31–37.

604

Bernard HR (2006) *Research Methods in Anthropology: Qualitative and Quantitative Approaches*, 4th Edition. Altamira Press, Lanham.

607

608 Bretos F, Heyman W, Jenkins L, Peckham SH (2013) Fishermen learning exchanges for

609 conservation: an analysis of lessons learned/Intercambios de pescadores para la

610 conservación: un análisis de lecciones aprendidos/Echanges d'apprentissage des

611 pêcheurs pour la conservation: une analyse des leçons apprises. In *Proceedings of*

612 the 66th Gulf and Caribbean Fisheries Institute November 4–8 2013, Corpus Christi,

613 *Texas USA*, pp. 47–50.

614

615 Cinti A, Duberstein JN, Torreblanca E, Moreno-Báez M (2014) Overfishing drivers and

616 opportunities for recovery in small-scale fisheries of the Midriff Islands Region, Gulf

of California, Mexico: the roles of land and sea institutions in fisheries sustainability.

618 Ecology and Society 19: 15. DOI: /10.5751/ES-05570-190115

620	Fazey I, Evely AC, Reed MS, Stringer LC, Kruijsen J, White PCL, Newsham A, Jin L,
621	Cortazzi M, Phillipson J, et al. (2012) Knowledge exchange: a review and research
622	agenda for environmental management. Environmental Conservation 40: 19–36.
623	
624	Gardner CJ, Nicoll ME, Mbohoahy T, Oleson KLL, Ratsifandrihamanana AN,
625	Ratsirarson J, René de Roland LA, Virah-Sawmy M, Zafindrasilivonona B, Davies ZG
626	(2013) Protected areas for conservation and poverty alleviation: experiences from

- 627 Madagascar. *Journal of Applied Ecology* 50: 1289–1294.
- 628
- Harris A (2007) "To live with the sea": development of the Velondriake community-
- 630 managed protected area network, southwest Madagascar. *Madagascar*
- 631 *Conservation & Development* 2: 43–49.
- 632
- 633 Heyman WD, Stronza A (2011) South-south exchanges enhance resource
- 634 management and biodiversity conservation at various scales. *Conservation and*
- 635 *Society* 9: 146–158.

636

637 Jenkins LD, Thompson KR, Bourillon L, Peckham SH (In press). The Scope of Fisheries

- 638 Learning Exchanges for Natural Resource Management. *Marine Policy*.
- 639
- 640 Oliver TA, Olesen KLL, Ratsimbazafy H, Raberinary D, Benbow S, Harris A (2015)
- 641 Positive catch & economic benefits of periodic octopus fishery closures: do effective,
- 642 narrowly targeted actions 'catalyze' broader management? *PLoS ONE* 10: e0129075.

644	Pollini J, Hockley N, Muttenzer FD, Ramamonjisoa BS (2014) The transfer of natural
645	resource management rights to local communities. In Conservation and
646	Environmental Management in Madagascar, IR Scales (Ed.), pp. 172–192. Routledge,
647	Abingdon.
648	
649	Raberinary D, Benbow S (2012)The reproductive cycle of Octopus cyanea in
650	southwest Madagascar and implications for fisheries management. Fisheries
651	Research 125–126: 190–197.
652	
653	Sala E, Aburto-Oropeza O, Reza M, Paredes G, López-Lemus LG (2004) Fishing down
654	coastal food webs in the Gulf of California. <i>Fisheries</i> 29: 19–25.
655	
656	St. John FAV, Keane A, Milner-Gulland EJ (2013) Effective conservation depends upon
657	understanding human behaviour. In Key Topics in Conservation Biology 2, DW
658	Macdonald KI Willis (Eds.) pp. 244-261 Wiley Blackwell, Chichoster
	Wacuonald, KJ Willis (Lus.), pp. 344–301. Wiley-blackwell, chichester.
659	Wacubhald, KJ Willis (Lus.), pp. 344–301. Wiley-blackwell, chichester.
659 660	Thompson KR, Jenkins LD, Peckham SH (2014) <i>Fishermen Learning Exchanges for</i>
659 660 661	Thompson KR, Jenkins LD, Peckham SH (2014) <i>Fishermen Learning Exchanges for</i> <i>Conservation: an Examination of Lessons Learned (FLExCELL). Workshop Summary</i>
659 660 661 662	Thompson KR, Jenkins LD, Peckham SH (2014) <i>Fishermen Learning Exchanges for</i> <i>Conservation: an Examination of Lessons Learned (FLExCELL). Workshop Summary</i> <i>and Outputs</i> . National Socio-Environmental Synthesis Centre (SESYNC), Annapolis.
659 660 661 662 663	Thompson KR, Jenkins LD, Peckham SH (2014) <i>Fishermen Learning Exchanges for</i> <i>Conservation: an Examination of Lessons Learned (FLExCELL). Workshop Summary</i> <i>and Outputs</i> . National Socio-Environmental Synthesis Centre (SESYNC), Annapolis.
659 660 661 662 663 664	Thompson KR, Jenkins LD, Peckham SH (2014) <i>Fishermen Learning Exchanges for</i> <i>Conservation: an Examination of Lessons Learned (FLExCELL). Workshop Summary</i> <i>and Outputs</i> . National Socio-Environmental Synthesis Centre (SESYNC), Annapolis. Thompson KR, Heyman WD, Peckham SH, Jenkins LD (2016) Key characteristics of
 659 660 661 662 663 664 665 	Thompson KR, Jenkins LD, Peckham SH (2014) <i>Fishermen Learning Exchanges for</i> <i>Conservation: an Examination of Lessons Learned (FLExCELL). Workshop Summary</i> <i>and Outputs</i> . National Socio-Environmental Synthesis Centre (SESYNC), Annapolis. Thompson KR, Heyman WD, Peckham SH, Jenkins LD (2016) Key characteristics of successful fisheries learning exchanges. <i>Marine Policy</i> DOI:
659 660 661 662 663 664 665 666	Thompson KR, Jenkins LD, Peckham SH (2014) <i>Fishermen Learning Exchanges for</i> <i>Conservation: an Examination of Lessons Learned (FLExCELL). Workshop Summary</i> <i>and Outputs</i> . National Socio-Environmental Synthesis Centre (SESYNC), Annapolis. Thompson KR, Heyman WD, Peckham SH, Jenkins LD (2016) Key characteristics of successful fisheries learning exchanges. <i>Marine Policy</i> DOI: 10.1016/j.marpol.2016.03.019

668	Thompson KR, Wea	/er AH, Jenkins LD	, Zenny N, Pilche	r N, Peckham SI	H. (In press)
-----	------------------	--------------------	-------------------	-----------------	---------------

669 Guidelines for organizing a fisheries learning exchange. *Marine Policy*.

670

- 671 Valdez VM, Torreblanca E (2008) *Diagnóstico pesquero de la Reserva de la Biosfera*
- 672 'Bahía de los Ángeles, Canales de Ballenas y de Salsipuedes. Pronatura Noroeste,
- 673 Ensenada, Mexico.

674

- 675 Westerman K, Benbow S (2013) The role of women in community-based small-scale
- 676 fisheries management: the case of the south west Madagascar octopus fishery.
- 677 Western Indian Ocean Journal of Marine Science 12: 119–132.

679 Tables

680 **Table 1.** Breakdown of participants in case study fisheries learning exchange on the

Role	Andavadoaka	Mexico	Sarodrano
Visitor		2 (2M)	2 Association
			TAMIA (1F
			1M)
Host	5 Velondriake Committee (1F		
	4M)		
	2 Ampela tsy Magnavake (2F)		
Organiser	2 Blue Ventures (1F 1M)	1 SmartFish International	1 GIZ (1F)
		(1F)	
		1 Pronatura Noroeste (1M)	

basis role and the community they represent/work with. F = female, M = male.

684	Table 2. Simplified programme of activities for participants from Bahia de los
685	Angeles (Mexico) and Sarodrano (Madagascar) visiting Andavadoaka as part of the
686	Mexico-Madagascar fisher learning exchange, August-September 2015. In addition
687	to the formal activities shown, communal mealtimes provided the opportunity for
688	informal interaction between hosts, visitors and organisers. CGP = Comité de Gestion
689	de Poulpe (a multi-stakeholder platform through which private sector buyers discuss

690 prices with fisher associations).

Date	Location	Activity
24.08	Antananarivo	Arrival in Antananarivo
25.08	Antananarivo	Meeting at Ministry of Fisheries
		Travel to Toliara
26.08	Toliara	Meeting with Murex (seafood export company)
		Presentation about Blue Ventures
		Meeting and Ministry of Fisheries
27.08	Toliara	Further presentations about BV and the fishery in Bahia
		de los Angeles
		Attendance at CGP (Comité de Gestion de Poulpe)
		meeting
28.08	Andavadoaka	Travel to Andavadoaka
29.08	Andavadoaka	Presentations on i) Tsinjoriake protected area and local
		associations (by GIZ), ii) Bahia de los Angeles octopus
		fishery, iii) History of octopus reserves and Velondriake
		LMMA, iv) Structure of Velondriake and octopus
		reserve management
		Visit to data collectors, intermediate buyers and
		collectors
		Attendance at pre-opening community meetings
30.08	Andavadoaka	Attend reserve opening at Nosy Fasy, gleaning for
		octopus
		Visit to intermediate buyers and collectors post
		opening
		Meeting to announce the day's catch data
31.08	Andavadoaka	Visit to seaweed aquaculture and octopus closure site
		in Lamboara
		Debriefing meeting
01.09	Antananarivo	Travel to Toliara and Antananarivo
03.09	Antananarivo	Departure

- 693 Figures
- 694 **Figure 1.** Map of southwest Madagascar, showing location of Sarodrano and
- 695 Andavadoaka, as well as the boundaries of the Velondriake locally managed marine
- 696 area.

