STRENGTH OF DRILLING FLUID FILTER CAKES



Nikzad Falahati

Fitzwilliam College

Department of Chemical Engineering and Biotechnology

University of Cambridge

This thesis is submitted for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

August 2020

Declaration of originality

This thesis is the result of my own work and includes nothing which is the outcome of work done in collaboration except as declared in the Preface and specified in the text. It is not substantially the same as any that I have submitted, or, is being concurrently submitted for a degree or diploma or other qualification at the University of Cambridge or any other University or similar institution except as declared in the Preface and specified in the text. I further state that no substantial part of my thesis has already been submitted, or, is being concurrently submitted for any such degree, diploma or other qualification at the University of Cambridge or any other University or similar institution except as declared in the Preface and specified in the text. The work was carried out in the Department of Chemical Engineering and Biotechnology, University of Cambridge, and the BP Institute for Multiphase Flow between October 2016 and August 2020.

It does not exceed the prescribed word limit for the Engineering Degree Committee. This dissertation contains a total of 39752 words, 86 figures and 12 tables.

Nikzad Falahati

Abstract

Wellbore strengthening techniques are commonly used to prevent drilling fluid losses. Current methods generally require that particles are added to the drilling fluid to hinder fracture propagation, which creates practical difficulties as the particles are often relatively large. An alternative approach is the idea of using the filter cake that forms against the wellbore rock to create a robust seal, the efficacy of which will depend on cake strength. However, little is currently understood about filter cake strength and how it is impacted by typical particulates in the drilling fluid.

In this work, the strength of drilling fluid filter cakes is assessed. Furthermore, filter cake properties such as porosity and thickness that are altered by constituent particles and that affect cake strength are explored. The cake strength was measured using the hole punch test and particle properties such as particle concentration, size distribution and shape were evaluated.

Representative water-based and oil-based drilling fluids were analysed to establish benchmark results, which were based on the rheological and filtration behaviours as well as filter cake properties. These results produced similar trends to those of model water-based drilling fluids composed of typical drilling fluid components, such as an increase in cake strength and a decrease in cake porosity as the barite volume fraction in the fluid increased. For these model fluids, the cake strength also increased as the particle size and cake porosity decreased whilst calcium carbonate cakes were stronger than the barite equivalents. Cake strength may have been influenced by interparticle contact surface area, which was affected by cake porosity and thickness.

The relationships between particle size, pore distributions and thickness were better understood by visualising the internal structure of filter cakes, using images captured via Xray computed tomography. The images showed that the size of the pores decreased as the particle size decreased, the cakes had a more porous bottom layer than top and the porosity decreased with filtration time. Discrete element method simulations were compared with experimental results, and relationships between cake strength and the interparticle contact surface area, determined using cake porosity and particle size, were found. "Even after all this time

The sun never says to the earth,

"You owe me."

Look what happens

With a love like that.

It lights up the whole sky."

- Hafiz

Acknowledgements

Firstly, I would like to express my immense gratitude to my supervisor, Alex Routh, who has helped me throughout the entire journey and provided me with his invaluable guidance. Without his unwavering support, this thesis would not have been possible.

Secondly, I would like to express my great appreciation for the support and technical advice from my BP mentor, Kuhan Chellappah, who has also helped me throughout my entire PhD project.

I would like to acknowledge the funding and technical support from BP through the BP International Centre for Advanced Materials (BP-ICAM) which made this research possible. I would also like to thank the rest of my BP-ICAM group: Mark Aston and Ian Collins from BP for their advice; Giovanna Biscontin, Ramesh Kandasami and Gianmario Sorrentino from Geotechnical Engineering at the University of Cambridge for their discussions and assistance; Parmesh Gajjar, Tristan Lowe and Jose Godinho from X-Ray Imaging Facility at the University of Manchester for their technical advice and expertise; and Tara Love from Chemical Engineering at the University of Cambridge for her advice and discussions.

Then, I would like to thank Louise Bailey from Schlumberger for her help with the hole punch test, and Nathalie Vriend, Jonathan Tsang and Patrick Welche from the BP Institute at the University of Cambridge for their advice and support with the discrete element method simulations.

I would like to thank all of my group members and the people that I've met at the BP Institute, the Department of Chemical Engineering and Biotechnology as well as at Fitzwilliam College for their continuous assistance, encouragement and for the many fruitful discussions throughout my time in Cambridge.

And, of course, I am eternally grateful to my family and friends for their continuous care, patience and inspiration.

Publications

The work from this thesis has been published as follows:

Journal papers

[1] Nikzad Falahati, Alexander F. Routh, Kuhan Chellappah. *The effect of particle properties and solids concentration on the yield stress behaviour of drilling fluid filter cakes*. Chemical Engineering Science: X, 2020, 7: 100062. DOI: 10.1016/j.cesx.2020.100062.

Conference presentations

[1] Poster presentation at 16th European Student Colloid Conference. Florence, Italy (June 2017).

[2] Oral presentation at BP Institute Seminar Series. Cambridge, UK (November 2017)

[3] Oral presentation at *BP-ICAM Annual Conference*. Manchester, UK (November 2017 and 2018)

[4] Poster presentation at *BP-ICAM Annual Conference*. Manchester, UK (November 2017, 2018 and 2019)

[5] Oral presentation at 17th European Student Colloid Conference. Varna, Bulgaria (June 2019).

Contents

Declaration of originality	3
Abstract	5
Acknowledgements	7
Publications	8
Contents	9
List of Figures	12
List of Tables	19
Chapter 1. Introduction	21
1.1. Context of the study	21
1.1.1. Research aims	22
1.1.2. Filter cake strength	22
1.1.3. Thesis outline	23
1.2. Literature review	24
1.2.1. Drilling fluids	24
1.2.1.1. Functions	24
1.2.1.2. Components	26
1.2.1.3. Filtration characteristics	27
1.2.2. Filter cakes	28
1.2.2.1. Filter cake formation theory	28
1.2.2.2. Filter cake strength	31
1.2.2.3. Filter cake strength measurement techniques	33
1.2.2.4. Filter cake thickness measurement techniques	37
1.2.2.5. Filter cake porosity measurement techniques	38
1.2.2.6. Filter cake imaging	38
1.2.2.7. Discrete element modelling	42
Chapter 2. Experimental methods and materials	45
2.1. Introduction	45
2.2. Methods	46
2.2.1. Analysis of drilling fluids	46
2.2.1.1. Rheology	46
2.2.1.2. Static light scattering	46

2.2.1.3. Static image analysis	46
2.2.2. Analysis of filter cakes	47
2.2.2.1. Filtration	47
2.2.2.2. Hole punch tester	49
2.2.2.3. Filter cake thickness	49
2.2.2.4. Filter cake porosity	50
2.2.2.5. X-ray computed tomography	51
2.3. Materials	53
2.3.1. Representative and initial model drilling fluids	53
2.3.2. Model water-based drilling fluids	55
2.3.3. XRCT imaging samples	57
Chapter 3. Representative and model drilling fluids	59
3.1. Introduction	59
3.2. Drilling fluid properties	60
3.2.1. Rheological measurements	60
3.2.2. Filtration measurements	61
3.3. Filter cake properties	64
3.3.1. Filter cake thickness	64
3.3.2. Stress-strain measurements	65
3.4. Comparison with model filter cakes	66
3.4.1. Stress-strain measurements	66
3.4.2. Filter cake yield stress	67
3.5. Conclusions	68
Chapter 4. Filter cake yield stress behaviour	71
4.1. Introduction	71
4.2. Results	72
4.2.1. Stress-strain measurements	72
4.2.2. Varying solids volume fraction	74
4.2.3. Varying particle shape	75
4.2.4. Varying particle size distribution	76
4.3. Discussions	77
4.3.1. Yield stress and thickness	77
4.3.2. Yield stress and porosity	79

4.4. Conclusions	82
Chapter 5. Filter cake internal structure	83
5.1. Introduction	83
5.2. Filtration behaviour	84
5.2.1. Filtration measurements	84
5.2.2. Cake permeability	86
5.3. XRCT imaging	88
5.3.1. Varying particle size distribution	88
5.3.1.1. Ortho slices	
5.3.1.2. 3D representation of pore networks	93
5.3.1.3. Pore network analysis	97
5.3.2. Varying barite volume fraction	101
5.3.3. Varying filtration time	104
5.4. Conclusions	109
Chapter 6. Simulation of particle systems	111
6.1. Introduction	111
6.2. Discrete element method	112
6.2.1. Simulation timestep	113
6.2.2. Contact models	115
6.2.3. Numerical setup	119
6.3. Simulations	122
6.3.1. Hertz-Mindlin contact model	122
6.3.2. Linear viscoelastic friction contact model	127
6.3.3. Comparison with experimental results	128
6.3.3.1. Surface plots	136
6.4. Conclusions	139
Chapter 7. Conclusions and future work	141
7.1. Conclusions	141
7.2. Limitations and future work	144
References	148
Appendix A: code for DEM simulations	159

List of Figures

Figure 1.1: Diagram showing the circulation of drilling fluid (image reproduced from Encyclopaedia Britannica (2012))

Figure 1.2: Schematic showing the mud density window as determined by the pore pressure and fracture gradients. ECD window is equivalent to the fluid density window (image reproduced from Cook, Growcock and Hodder (2011))

Figure 1.3: Schematic of filter cake formation on wellbore rock surface (image reproduced from Hashemzadeh and Hajidavalloo (2016))

Figure 1.4: A plot of t/V versus V for constant pressure filtration showing examples of nonlinearities (image reproduced from Wakeman and Tarleton (2005))

Figure 1.5: Conceptual rupture mechanisms of filter cake: (a) stretching failure and (b) bending or squeezing failure

Figure 1.6: 'Lift-off' of filter cake from wellbore wall during flow-back (top); lift up and 'pinholing' of filter cake during flow-back (bottom) (image reproduced from Suri (2005))

Figure 1.7: Sample driving force vs. embedded area curve obtained from a bentonite filter cake test (image reproduced from Amanullah and Tan (2001))

Figure 1.8: Diagrammatic set-up of the split plate apparatus (image reproduced from Schubert (1975))

Figure 1.9: A schematic of the hole punch test as developed by Bailey et al. (1998) (from which the image was adapted)

Figure 1.10: SEM micrographs of filter cakes made from fluids of density 2.1 g cm⁻³ (left) and 2.3 g cm⁻³ (right) (image reproduced from Yao et al. (2014))

Figure 1.11: ESEM micrographs of filter cakes made from fluids without (left) and with (right) blast furnace slag (image reproduced from Nandurdikar, Takach and Miska (2002))

Figure 1.12: The porosity (top) and thickness (bottom) profiles throughout a cake made after different filtration times (image reproduced from Elkatatny, Mahmoud and Nasr-El-Din (2013))

Figure 1.13: Particles settling in a filling cylinder placed above a shear cell. Single spheres (left) and paired spheres used as non-spherical particles (right) were generated using DEM (image reproduced from Härtl and Ooi (2011))

Figure 2.1: The Kinexus rheometer used for viscometry tests (left) and representative shear viscosity vs shear stress curves (right)

Figure 2.2: Summary of experimental procedure showing the tests performed on filter cakes made in the API filter press (top left)

Figure 2.3: Schematic of hole punch test and conversion of force-displacement data

Figure 2.4: A cake sample placed on the sample holder used for imaging (left) and the High Flux Nikon XTEK bay at the University of Manchester used for XRCT imaging (right)

Figure 2.5: An ortho slice (left) and its corresponding binary image (right) where blue represents pores and black represents solid material. Scale bar represents 5 mm

Figure 2.6: Samples placed inside storage boxes for XRCT imaging

Figure 2.7: Particle size distributions of calcium carbonate and barite particles

Figure 3.1: Shear viscosity against shear stress curves of water-based drilling fluids, obtained using the Kinexus rheometer (see Section 2.2.1.1.)

Figure 3.2: Filtrate volume against filtration time curves of representative water-based drilling fluids for a static filtration at 690 kPa for 30 mins

Figure 3.3: Filtration time/filtrate volume against filtrate volume curves of representative water-based drilling fluids for a static filtration at 690 kPa for 30 mins

Figure 3.4: Stress-strain curves for representative water-based drilling fluids

Figure 3.5: Stress-strain curves for filter cakes composed of calcium carbonate (SCal samples) or barite particles (SC samples); the numbers inside the square brackets in the legend are the particles' d50 value (as measured by the Malvern Mastersizer 2000) and the particle volume fraction in each sample

Figure 3.6: Yield stresses of filter cakes composed of calcium carbonate (SCal samples) or barite particles (SC samples); the number inside the square brackets in the legend is the particle volume fraction in each sample. Repeats were run for the sample with a barite loading of 6.2 vol% (SC2) and the standard error was 8%; error bars are not shown for clarity

Figure 4.1: Stress-strain curves for samples with varying barite compositions

Figure 4.2: Stress-strain curves for samples that have varying particle shape; the number inside square brackets in the legend is the circularity of each particle

Figure 4.3: Yield stress for cakes made from fluids with varying volume percentage of barite or calcium carbonate. Repeats were run for the sample with a barite loading of 6.2 vol% (SC2) and the standard error was 8%; error bars are not shown for clarity

Figure 4.4: Cake yield stress for cakes of different porosities, made from samples with varying particle shape; the number inside square brackets in the legend is the circularity of each particle

Figure 4.5: Cake yield stress for cakes of different spans; the span of the calcium carbonate particles in the sample was used to create the graph

Figure 4.6: Cake yield stress and peak force results for barite samples filtered for 5, 10, 15, 20 and 30 minutes which gives differing cake thicknesses. Repeats were run for SC2 filtered for 30 minutes and the standard error of the yield stress was 8%; error bars are not shown for clarity

Figure 4.7: Cake yield stress for cakes of different thicknesses (made via static filtration at 690 kPa for 30 mins). The insert shows the effect on yield stress as the blended ratio (the number after the 'X' in the sample name), and so the proportion of coarser particles, increases

Figure 4.8: Calcium carbonate particles supplementing the core barite network

Figure 4.9: Cake yield stress for cakes of different porosities (made via static filtration at 690 kPa for 30 mins)

Figure 5.1: Filtration time/filtrate volume vs filtrate volume plots of some samples, displaying the general filtration behaviour. The numbers inside square brackets in the legend are the barite and calcium carbonate volume fractions in that order

Figure 5.2: Filtration time/filtrate volume vs filtrate volume plots of samples with varying particle shape. The number inside square brackets in the legend is the circularity of each particle

Figure 5.3: Filtration time/filtrate volume vs filtrate volume plots of samples with varying particle size distributions. The number inside square brackets in the legend is the d50 size of each sample

Figure 5.4: Cake permeability of samples made from solids such as barite and/or calcium carbonate, glass spheres, talc and cellulose microcrystalline

Figure 5.5: Ortho slices of DB1 (d50 of 65.0 μ m) near top of cake (top image), centre of cake (centre) and near bottom of cake (bottom). Scale bar represents 5 mm

Figure 5.6: Ortho slices of DB2 (d50 of 42.9 μ m) near top of cake (top image), centre of cake (centre) and near bottom of cake (bottom). Scale bar represents 5 mm

Figure 5.7: Ortho slices of DB3 (d50 of 21.8 μ m) near top of cake (top image), centre of cake (centre) and near bottom of cake (bottom). Scale bar represents 5 mm

Figure 5.8: Ortho slices of DB4 (d50 of 26.7 μ m) near top of cake (top image), centre of cake (centre) and near bottom of cake (bottom). Scale bar represents 5 mm

Figure 5.9: Ortho slices of DB5 (d50 of 16.0 μ m) near top of cake (top image), centre of cake (centre) and near bottom of cake (bottom). Scale bar represents 5 mm

Figure 5.10: Ortho slices of DB6 (d50 of 14.5 μ m) near top of cake (top image), centre of cake (centre) and near bottom of cake (bottom). Scale bar represents 5 mm

Figure 5.11: 3D slices of DB1 (d50 of 65.0 μ m) near top of cake (top image), centre of cake (centre) and near bottom of cake (bottom). Each colour represents an unconnected pore or a connected pore network. Scale bar represents 5 mm

Figure 5.12: 3D slices of DB2 (d50 of 42.9 μ m) near top of cake (top image), centre of cake (centre) and near bottom of cake (bottom). Each colour represents an unconnected pore or a connected pore network. Scale bar represents 5 mm

Figure 5.13: 3D slices of DB3 (d50 of 21.8 μ m) near top of cake (top image), centre of cake (centre) and near bottom of cake (bottom). Each colour represents an unconnected pore or a connected pore network. Scale bar represents 5 mm

Figure 5.14: 3D slices of DB4 (d50 of 26.7 μ m) near top of cake (top image), centre of cake (centre) and near bottom of cake (bottom). Each colour represents an unconnected pore or a connected pore network. Scale bar represents 5 mm

Figure 5.15: 3D slices of DB6 (d50 of 14.5 μ m) near top of cake (top image), centre of cake (centre) and near bottom of cake (bottom). Each colour represents an unconnected pore or a connected pore network. Scale bar represents 5 mm

Figure 5.16: VLP (volume fraction of large pores) in cake layers through cake samples with varying particle size distribution. A distance into cake of 0.0 is at the filter medium and 1.0 is at the top of cake. Note that DB6 has an average VLP of 0.0014

Figure 5.17: Cumulative volume distributions of pore sizes (larger than the resolution) in the bottom, middle and top layers of cake sample DB3 (d50 of 21.8 μ m)

Figure 5.18: Schematic showing bridging filtration as large particles (blue) form a large pore which is bridged over by smaller particles (green), blocking other particles (white) from entering. Arrows indicate the flow direction

Figure 5.19: Cumulative volume distribution of pore sizes (larger than the resolution) for samples with varying particle size distribution. Both plots show the same distribution except in the plot to the right, the largest pore has been removed from the distribution

Figure 5.20: A 3D representation of the pore network (left) and the connected pore network only (right) of the whole of DB1 (d50 of 65.0 μ m). Note that these pores are larger than the resolution

Figure 5.21: Ortho slices of SC2 (6.2 vol% barite) near top of cake (top image), centre of cake (centre) and near bottom of cake (bottom). Scale bar represents 5 mm

Figure 5.22: Ortho slices of SC5 (24.8 vol% barite) near top of cake (top image), centre of cake (centre) and near bottom of cake (bottom). Scale bar represents 5 mm

Figure 5.23: 3D representations of the whole of SC2 (top) and SC5 (bottom), where SC5 is 3.8 mm thicker than SC2. Each colour represents an unconnected pore or a connected pore network. Scale bar represents 5 mm

Figure 5.24: Cumulative volume distribution of pore sizes (larger than the resolution) for samples containing 6.2 vol% (SC2) and 24.8 vol% (SC5) barite

Figure 5.25: VLP (volume fraction of large pores) in cakes over cake thickness starting at the filter medium at 0.0 mm. Cakes were produced via filtration of the 6.2 vol% barite fluid after different filtration times

Figure 5.26: Ortho slices of SC25 (5 mins filtration of 6.2 vol% barite fluid) near top of cake (top image), centre of cake (centre) and near bottom of cake (bottom). Scale bar represents 5 mm

Figure 5.27: Ortho slices of SC220 (20 mins filtration of 6.2 vol% barite fluid) near top of cake (top image), centre of cake (centre) and near bottom of cake (bottom). Scale bar represents 5 mm

Figure 5.28: 3D slices of SC25 (5 mins filtration of 6.2 vol% barite fluid) near top of cake (top image), centre of cake (centre) and near bottom of cake (bottom). Each colour represents an unconnected pore or a connected pore network. Scale bar represents 5 mm

Figure 5.29: 3D slices of SC220 (20 mins filtration of 6.2 vol% barite fluid) near top of cake (top image), centre of cake (centre) and near bottom of cake (bottom). Each colour represents an unconnected pore or a connected pore network. Scale bar represents 5 mm

Figure 5.30: 3D slices of SC2 (30 mins filtration of 6.2 vol% barite fluid) near top of cake (top image), centre of cake (centre) and near bottom of cake (bottom). Each colour represents an unconnected pore or a connected pore network. Scale bar represents 5 mm

Figure 6.1: A schematic of forces included in DEM simulations

Figure 6.2: DEM simulation steps (image reproduced from O'Sullivan (2008))

Figure 6.3: A schematic showing particle overlap at contact due to normal forces

Figure 6.4: A schematic of a liquid bridge between two spheres of radii R_1 and R_2 with a separation distance of 2*S*. The liquid bridge has a volume *V*, surface tension γ , neck radius r_N , a liquid-solid contact angle φ and half-filling angles β_1 and β_2 (reprinted with permission from Willett et al. (2000). Copyright 2000 American Chemical Society)

Figure 6.5: A 2D schematic of the simulation setup. Each colour represents a different type of wall or boundary

Figure 6.6: 3D representations of the simulations using DEM data on ParaView. Images show an array of particles (or cake) at the start of the simulation (top), once the plunger has punched through a couple of particle layers (middle), and once the plunger has punched through the whole cake thickness (bottom). Note, the plunger is travelling in the negative zdirection and the colours indicate particle velocity (red particles are moving and blue particles are still)

Figure 6.7: Using the Hertz-Mindlin contact model, force required by the plunger over time to descend through cake at a constant velocity of 8 mm s⁻¹. The bottom graph shows the same results as the top graph zoomed in. The yellow box represents the forces that were summed to find the total energy. The legend shows the different particle radii

Figure 6.8: Using the Hertz-Mindlin contact model, total energy required to punch through simulated cakes of different thicknesses

Figure 6.9: Using the Hertz-Mindlin contact model, total energy required to punch through simulated 3 mm thick cakes (*Narea* only slightly varied due to a constant *Nz*) of different porosities and with particles of different radii. The legend shows the different particle radii

Figure 6.10: Using the Hertz-Mindlin contact model, total energy required to punch through simulated 8 mm thick cakes (*Narea* varied significantly due to a varying *Nz*) of different porosities and with particles of different radii. The legend shows the different particle radii

Figure 6.11: Using the linear viscoelastic friction contact model with the liquid bridge Willet species, force required by the plunger over time to descend through simulated 8 mm thick cakes at a constant velocity of 8 mm s⁻¹. For each curve in the legend, the cake porosity then the particle radius (in mm) are shown

Figure 6.12: Yield strain of simulated and experimental cakes of different porosities and with particles of different radii. All simulated cakes were 8 mm thick. The simulation results were found using the linear viscoelastic friction contact model with the liquid bridge Willet species (LVFLB DEM). The legend shows the different particle radii (in mm)

Figure 6.13: Yield stress of simulated and experimental cakes of different porosities and with particles of different radii. All simulated cakes were 8 mm thick. The simulation results were found using the linear viscoelastic friction contact model with the liquid bridge Willet species (LVFLB DEM). The legend shows the different particle radii (in mm)

Figure 6.14: Total energy required to punch through simulated and experimental cakes of different porosities and with particles of different radii. All simulated cakes were 8 mm thick. The simulation results were found using the linear viscoelastic friction contact model, with (LVFLB DEM) and without (LVF DEM) the liquid bridge Willet species, and the Hertz-Mindlin contact model (HM DEM). The legend shows the different particle radii (in mm)

Figure 6.15: Yield strain of simulated and experimental cakes of different porosities and with particles of different radii. All simulated cakes were 8 mm thick. The simulation results were found using the linear viscoelastic friction contact model with the liquid bridge Willet species (LVFLB DEM). The legend shows the different approximate porosities

Figure 6.16: Yield stress of simulated and experimental cakes of different porosities and with particles of different radii. All simulated cakes were 8 mm thick. The simulation results were found using the linear viscoelastic friction contact model with the liquid bridge Willet species (LVFLB DEM). The legend shows the different porosities to the nearest decimal point. The blue dotted line goes through the simulations and SC samples with the same porosity and has a slope of -2/3. The red dotted line goes through the SCal samples with the same porosity and has a slope of -2

Figure 6.17: Total energy required to punch through simulated and experimental cakes of different porosities and with particles of different radii. All simulated cakes were 8 mm thick. The simulation results were found using the linear viscoelastic friction contact model, with (LVFLB DEM) and without (LVF DEM) the liquid bridge Willet species, and the Hertz-Mindlin contact model (HM DEM). The legend shows the different porosities to the nearest decimal point. The blue dotted line goes through the simulations and SC samples with the same

porosity and has a slope of approximately 0. The red dotted line goes through the SCal samples with the same porosity and has a slope of -2

Figure 6.18: Surface plot using the relationship in Equation 6.30 between total energy, porosity and particle radius, for when *Nz* is used as the number of contacting particles. The red points represent the experimental data whilst the green points represent the simulation data

Figure 6.19: Surface plot using the relationship in Equation 6.31 between total energy, porosity and particle radius, for when *Narea* is used as the number of contacting particles. The red points represent the experimental data whilst the green points represent the simulation data

Figure 6.20: Surface plot using the relationship in Equation 6.32 between total energy, porosity and particle radius, for when *N* is used as the number of contacting particles. The red points represent the experimental data whilst the green points represent the simulation data

Figure 7.1: Images of the rupture resistant device (left and middle) developed by Giovanna Biscontin, Ramesh Kandasami and Gianmario Sorrentino from the Geotechnical Engineering department at the University of Cambridge, and the plug cut from the porous substrate (right) which is the filter medium

List of Tables

Table 2.1: Representative water-based drilling fluid compositions

Table 2.2: Representative oil-based drilling fluid compositions

Table 2.3: Model water-based drilling fluid compositions

Table 2.4: Model water-based drilling fluid compositions for varying barite and calcium carbonate concentration, and, varying particle size distribution samples. Each sample was prepared using the same mixing procedure and conditions

Table 2.5: Properties of the substances used for particle shape analysis

Table 3.1: Filter cake properties of representative and model water-based samples.Compositions are shown in Tables 2.1 and 2.3. Total filtrate volumes were for a static filtrationat 690 kPa for 30 mins

Table 3.2: Measured filter cake thickness and yield stress values for representative waterbased and oil-based drilling fluids. Cakes were made via static filtration at 690 kPa for 30 mins. Some yield stresses could not be obtained because the cakes were either too thin or had too strong an adhesion to the nylon filter membrane to be separated for testing. Repeat yield stress measurements were run for some samples and their standard errors are shown

Table 5.1: Properties of samples with varying particle size distribution. VLP is the volume fraction of large pores in the cake

Table 6.1: Summary of the setup dimensions used for DEM simulations of the hole punch testwith cake

Table 6.2: Summary of the particle properties and contact model parameters in DEM simulations using the Hertz-Mindlin contact model (HM DEM), where cakes were 3 or 8 mm thick

Table 6.3: Summary of the particle properties and contact model parameters in DEM simulations using the linear viscoelastic friction contact model (LVF DEM), where all cakes were 8 mm thick

Table 6.4: Summary of the particle properties and contact model parameters in DEM simulations using the linear viscoelastic friction contact model with the liquid bridge Willet species (LVFLB DEM), where all cakes were 8 mm thick

Chapter 1. Introduction

1.1. Context of the study

When hydrocarbons are produced from a reservoir, the fluid pressure in the rocks decreases over time (known as depletion). This has a weakening effect such that the rocks become difficult to subsequently drill. If during drilling the rock fractures, drilling fluid may be lost leading to difficulty in being able to maintain the wellbore full of fluid and to continue drilling. Techniques known as 'wellbore strengthening' are commonly used to address this issue (Chellappah, Kumar and Aston, 2015). Current methods generally require that particles are added to the drilling fluid to hinder fracture propagation; this creates practical difficulties as the particles are often relatively large (up to 2 mm in diameter). Soft particles, such as calcium carbonate, will quickly grind down during drilling, whereas hard materials can cause the erosion of tools and equipment. The large particles currently used are difficult to keep in suspension and often settle, plugging flow lines and accumulating at the bottom of tanks and/or wellbores. Another challenge of using larger particles is that in order to retain them in the circulating system it is necessary to use larger mesh sizes in or to bypass the sieve shakers, which are used at surface to remove the drilled cuttings from the system. This leads to a buildup of very high solids loadings in the drilling fluid, requiring that the fluid is regularly diluted to retain the desired rheological characteristics and density.

An alternative approach to conventional wellbore strengthening is the idea of using the filter cake that naturally forms against the rock to create a robust seal, arresting fracture propagation (Cook *et al.*, 2016). Such cakes typically contain particles no larger than a few hundred microns and would avoid the practical issues associated with the larger particles. The efficacy of the filter cake will depend to a large extent on cake strength. However, little is currently understood about the mechanical properties of filter cakes and how they are impacted by typical particulates in the drilling fluid.

The industrial interest in developing more effective or practical methods of wellbore strengthening comes from three main areas:

- Reducing the risk of having to curtail production to limit depletion effects
- Enabling access to deeper reserves that otherwise might be difficult to reach

Reducing operational complexity and cost in current wellbore strengthening designs

Production curtailment can involve shutting production from highly productive wells within a field. The threat of having to do this has been very real based on rapidly increasing depletion levels, leading to lower rock fracture pressures for wells that need to be subsequently drilled through the same formations. Drilling further wells through depleted zones is important to access untapped parts of an existing reservoir and to access deeper reserves.

1.1.1. Research aims

The aim of this project is to assess the 'strength' of typical drilling fluid filter cakes and how they are affected by the constituent particles. The primary reason for this assessment is to evaluate how the filter cakes may contribute to wellbore strengthening. There are other reasons for undertaking this study; for example, a filter cake's failure mode (during clean-up prior to production) depends to a large extent on the cake strength.

Furthermore, the constituent particles influence filter cake strength by altering cake properties such as porosity and thickness. These cake properties are very important to understand from a drilling and production perspective. For instance, thick filter cakes can result in excessive torque when rotating the drill-string, excessive drag when pulling it, and issues such as differential sticking (Fisher *et al.*, 2000). Therefore, in this thesis, the relationships between cake porosity, thickness and strength are explored.

1.1.2. Filter cake strength

During drilling, a weak filter cake can lose its integrity and, subsequently, allow drilling fluid invasion into the rock, as well as increasing the tendency of wellbore stability issues. A competent filter cake can contribute to so-called wellbore strengthening phenomena whereby the maximum pressure the wellbore can withstand is effectively increased (Guo *et al.*, 2014). Wellbore strengthening is frequently employed when drilling through weak formations which are susceptible to fracturing during drilling. Cook et al. (2016) claim that the strength of a filter cake is particularly important in determining how effectively it can contribute to wellbore strengthening. This claim is backed up by experimental data from Guo et al. (2014).

Once a reservoir section is drilled and preparations are being made to produce hydrocarbons, the integrity of a filter cake across a reservoir is critical for different reasons. The function of a filter cake as a barrier to flow is no longer desirable. This is particularly significant for openhole completions where the operator does not have the option of, for instance, perforating past the near-wellbore region. In this case, filter cake failure is generally induced chemically (e.g. the use of breaker fluids), mechanically (e.g. using scrapers), or hydrodynamically (e.g. by back flow). The back-flow procedure is perhaps the most convenient. Two failure modes during backflow include the formation of pinholes in the filter cake (erosion channels through which flow can be achieved) or detachment of large slabs of cake from the rock surface. The latter mode can result in undesirable plugging of the completion (e.g. slotted liner or gravel pack) (Cerasi *et al.*, 2001). Which failure mode is likely to dominate is therefore a significant consideration during the design of drilling fluids and completion strategies, and will depend to a large extent on the strength of the filter cake.

Although some researchers have developed techniques to characterise filter cake strength (Zamora, Lai and Dzialowski, 1990; Bailey *et al.*, 1998; Cerasi *et al.*, 2001; Tan and Amanullah, 2001; Cook *et al.*, 2016), research involving direct measurements of cake strength has been relatively scarce considering its significance. For practical purposes, the strength of a filter cake is often characterised by its shear yield stress. This quantity is conceptually identical to the shear yield stress commonly used to describe drilling fluids (Bailey *et al.*, 1998), although the fluids tend to give lower yield stress values than their resulting filter cakes; this is not surprising considering a filter cake is essentially a concentrated drilling fluid. From cake strength tests, either an elastic or viscous response is expected from the stress-strain relationship, with a critical stress at the yield point. Filter cakes predominantly behave as elastic solids (Cerasi *et al.*, 2001), and the yield stress marks the transition from elastic behaviour, where the cake is considered competent to a plastic flow regime (Cook *et al.*, 2016). Above this stress threshold, the filter cake can be considered to have 'failed'.

1.1.3. Thesis outline

This thesis has been divided into the following chapters:

Chapter 2 outlines the experimental methods used to analyse drilling fluid and filter cake samples as well as the materials used for each sample.

Chapter 3 analyses representative water-based and oil-based drilling fluids to establish benchmark results, which are compared with those of model water-based drilling fluids. The cake strength, which is measured using the hole punch test, as well as other filter cake properties are compared.

Chapter 4 examines the effects of model water-based drilling fluid particle concentration, size distribution and shape on the properties of the resulting filter cakes. Relationships between cake properties such as porosity, thickness and the yield shear stress are explored.

Chapter 5 presents the filtration behaviour of different cake samples made from model waterbased drilling fluids. The internal structure of typical samples is investigated using images from X-ray computed tomography scans.

Chapter 6 investigates the strength of filter cakes by means of the discrete element method which simulates the hole punch test. The effects of particle size and cake porosity on the strength of filter cakes are studied, and these results are compared with experimental results.

Chapter 7 summarises conclusions from the work and offers directions for future study.

1.2. Literature review

1.2.1. Drilling fluids

1.2.1.1. Functions

During the drilling process, a drill bit, which is attached to the end of a drill string, drives down into the rock formation creating the wellbore (Figure 1.1). Drilling fluid is pumped down the drill string from surface and exits through nozzles in the drill bit. While the chemistry of drilling fluids has become much more complex, the concept has remained the same as drilling fluids continue to both maximise recovery and minimise the amount of time it takes to achieve first oil.

Drilling fluids perform several important tasks such as: maintaining a favourable pressure difference between the wellbore and the rock formation, maintaining wellbore stability, transporting drilled cuttings to surface, lubrication of the drill bit, and, cooling down the cutters. Therefore, preventing drilling fluid losses which occur through fractures that are induced during drilling is of great importance. Magzoub et al. (2020) define lost circulation as uncontrolled flow of drilling fluids from the wellbore into the surrounding rock formation. Maintaining the desired pressure in the wellbore and preventing hydrocarbons within the rock formation from entering the borehole during drilling becomes more difficult when losing drilling fluid. Consequently, loss of drilling fluid plays a significant part in non-productive time in the drilling industry (Cook, Growcock and Hodder, 2011). In addition to the loss of expensive drilling fluids, lost circulation can lead to difficulties such as differential sticking of the drill string, which can further add to the non-productive time and drilling costs (Shahri *et al.*, 2014). Lost circulation costs an estimated 2 to 4 billion dollars annually during well construction due to lost time and the loss of drilling fluid and lost circulation materials (Cook, Growcock and Hodder, 2011).



Figure 1.1: Diagram showing the circulation of drilling fluid (image reproduced from Encyclopaedia Britannica (2012))

In a wellbore, drilling fluid density is the primary source of hydrostatic pressure. Figure 1.2 shows a fluid density window that is determined by the pore pressure or wellbore collapse pressure (whichever is higher) and the fracture gradient. The density must be high enough to create a pressure that exceeds the pore pressure or wellbore collapse pressure, so that the inflow of formation fluids can be prevented, but not so high as to induce fractures in the surrounding rock formation (Cook, Growcock and Hodder, 2011). Maintaining wellbore pressure within the window, therefore, is critical during the drilling process. Depletion (prior

extraction of hydrocarbons) narrows this window and, in severe cases, can make it disappear altogether. The term wellbore strengthening is used to define the techniques applied to increase the pressure a wellbore can withstand prior to inducing fractures, which result in lost circulation (Guo *et al.*, 2014). This is achieved by plugging and sealing fractures whilst drilling

to prevent fracture propagation and increase the fracture gradient (Salehi, 2012).

Preventive methods are less expensive and less complicated than using corrective ones after lost circulation has occurred (Magzoub et al., 2020). A commonly used preventive method is to include engineered particulates in the drilling fluid (Aston et al., 2004; Fuh, Beardmore and Morita, 2007; Van Oort et al., 2011; Guo et al., 2014). For depleted wells, where the pore pressure has declined which in turn reduces the fracture gradient, increasing the proportion of large particles in the fluid has led to an increase in wellbore strengthening. Although using higher concentrations of large particles has been observed to prevent induced fractures from propagating, the mechanisms are not well understood. These large particles create practical issues during drilling such as particle attrition, settling and erosion of expensive equipment (Chellappah, Kumar and Aston, 2015).



Figure 1.2: Schematic showing the density window mud as determined by the pore pressure and fracture gradients. ECD window is equivalent to the fluid density window (image reproduced from Cook, Growcock and Hodder (2011))

1.2.1.2. Components

Drilling fluids are composed of a base fluid (water, nonaqueous or pneumatic), solids and additives (to control the properties of the fluid). Water-based and oil-based drilling fluids are more commonly used, and oil-based fluids tend to be emulsions. The properties that are controlled using additives are, for example, the drilling fluid density, viscosity, lubricity, fluid loss and chemical reactivity (such as pH, flocculation and emulsification). The most common material used to control fluid density in the field is barite. The amount of barite in the fluid

depends on the fluid density required to remain within the fluid density window. Calcium carbonate particles, used primarily as bridging agents, also contribute to fluid density. Since they come in a wide range of sizes and are acid-soluble making the cleanup process before production easier, calcium carbonate particles are used to control fluid loss by acting as bridging agents: blocking pore throats or fractures thereby developing a filter cake that prevents fluid losses.

The rheological properties of drilling fluids are also vitally important in the success of the drilling operation. These properties strongly influence the ability to suspend and transport drilled cuttings, and unsatisfactory performance can lead to major problems such as stuck pipe, loss of circulation, and even a blowout. Xanthan gum is used to control the rheology of water-based drilling fluids, enhancing the low-shear rate viscosity (Caenn, Darley and Gray, 2011). Xanthan gum improves the shear-thinning capabilities of water-based drilling fluids, which was shown to increase the drill rate (Deily et al., 1967) due to the ease of pumping at high shear rates, and, can adequately suspend drilled cuttings at low shear rates (Caenn, Darley and Gray, 2011). Blkoor and Fattah (2013) investigated the effect of XC-Polymer (xanthan gum) on drilling fluid filter cake properties by varying the concentration of the polymer in water-based drilling fluid samples. They found that as the XC-Polymer concentration increased, filter cake thickness decreased and there was a slight decrease in porosity and permeability. Fattah and Lashin (2016) compared water-based fluids at different densities using barite as the weighting material to find the optimum fluid weight and compared barite with calcite as weighting material. They observed an increase in filter cake porosity with a decrease in fluid density, whilst filter cakes containing calcite instead of barite were less porous.

1.2.1.3. Filtration characteristics

Cake filtration occurs inherently in many in situ hydrocarbon reservoir exploitation processes (Civan, 2015). For instance, as fresh rock surface is exposed during overbalanced drilling (the wellbore pressure less the fluid pressure in the rock pores), the drilling fluid is forced into the rock pores whilst particulates build up on the rock surfaces to form a filter cake (see Figure 1.3). Properties of this cake largely depend on the pressure gradient driving its growth, drilling fluid properties and the rock's porous structure. Ideally, drilling fluid filter cakes should form

rapidly and be of low permeability, thin and tough (Bailey et al., 1998). Low permeability cakes protect the rock formation from excessive invasion of drilling fluids, which can impair the formation's permeability and subsequently hydrocarbon production. Thick cakes can lead to excessive torque when rotating the drill-string, excessive drag when pulling it, and lead to other issues such as differential sticking (Fisher et al., 2000).



Figure 1.3: Schematic of filter cake formation on wellbore rock surface (image reproduced from Hashemzadeh and Hajidavalloo (2016))

During drilling, two types of filtration processes occur: *static filtration*, which occurs when there is no circulation of drilling fluid such that the filter cake grows undisturbed, and, *dynamic filtration*, which occurs when the fluid is being circulated in the wellbore and cake growth is constrained by the erosive action of the circulating drilling fluid. Most of the filtrate seeping into the wellbore formation does so by dynamic filtration via cross flow, whereby the drilling fluid permeates tangentially through the wellbore rock. This means that filtration properties of drilling fluids obtained using the standard API fluid loss test (a static test) ought to consider variations which arise from dynamic conditions (Caenn, Darley and Gray, 2011).

1.2.2. Filter cakes

1.2.2.1. Filter cake formation theory

The process of particle deposition on a filter medium to form a filter cake can be described using relationships, developed from Darcy's Law, between process variables. The equations are valid for incompressible cakes, and are modified to describe compressible systems (Wakeman and Tarleton, 2005). Much of the mathematical formulation and interpretation originates from the works of Ruth (1946) and Tiller (1953 to 1975).

The filtrate flux, u, of fluid passing through a filter medium is related to the pressure difference $(p - p_0)$ driving the flow by an appropriate form of Darcy's Law, where R_m is the filter medium resistance and μ is the filtrate viscosity (Equation 1.1).

$$u = \frac{p - p_0}{\mu R_m} \tag{1.1}$$

The cumulative volume of filtrate, *V*, is often measured during filtration experiments and converted to a volume flow rate dV/dt. Equation 1.2 shows the relationship between filtrate flux and volume flow rate, where *A* is the area of the filter medium.

$$u = \frac{1}{A} \frac{dV}{dt} \tag{1.2}$$

Hence, Equation 1.3 shows the instantaneous filtrate flux through a cake-medium interface, where R_c is the cake resistance and Δp is the total pressure drop over the cake and filter medium.

$$\frac{1}{A}\frac{dV}{dt} = \frac{\Delta p}{\mu(R_c + R_m)} \tag{1.3}$$

The deposited mass of dry solids per unit area of filter medium, *w*, is directly proportional to the cake resistance, which is inversely proportional to cake permeability, *k*, as shown by Equation 1.4, where ρ_s is the density of the solids and ε is the cake porosity. Using the specific cake resistance, α , as a proportionality constant and Equation 1.4, the relationship between *w* and cake resistance can be written as Equation 1.5.

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{\rho_s (1-\varepsilon)k} \tag{1.4}$$

$$R_c = \alpha w = \frac{w}{\rho_s (1 - \varepsilon)k}$$
(1.5)

A material balance of the filtration system provides a relationship between the mass of dry cake, M_s , and the filtrate volume. During the formation of a cake which comprises M_s of dry solids and with moisture content (mass of wet cake divided by mass of dry cake), m, the total filtrate volume, V, is collected. The liquid material balance can be rearranged to give Equation 1.6, where s is the mass fraction of solids in the slurry to be filtered and ρ_l is the liquid density.

The material balance follows the assumption that all the feed slurry is filtered to form a cake. The term $\rho_{ls}/(1-ms)$ in Equation 1.6 may be considered as a concentration, *c*, adjusted for the liquid retained in the cake.

$$M_s = wA = \frac{\rho_l sV}{1 - ms} \tag{1.6}$$

The basic filtration equation (Equation 1.7) is given by substituting for the cake resistance, R_c , in Equation 1.3 using Equations 1.5 and 1.6. For compressible cakes, an average cake permeability, k_{av} , can be used instead of the cake permeability, which includes the compressibility index, n.

$$\frac{1}{A}\frac{dV}{dt} = \frac{A\Delta p}{\mu(\frac{cV}{\rho_s(1-\varepsilon)k} + AR_m)}$$
(1.7)

For filtration experiments at constant pressure, Equation 1.7 may be integrated to provide a relationship between filtrate volume and time (as shown in Equations 1.8 and 1.9).

$$\int_{t_i}^t dt = \int_{V_i}^V (K_1 V + K_2) dV$$
(1.8)

$$\frac{t - t_i}{V - V_i} = \frac{K_1}{2} (V + V_i) + K_2$$
(1.9)

where
$$K_1 = \frac{c\mu}{\rho_s(1-\varepsilon_{av})k_{av}A^2\Delta p}$$
 and $K_2 = \frac{\mu R_m}{A\Delta p}$

Matching the start of filtration with the start of the integration so that $t_i = V_i = 0$, a plot of t/V against V may give a straight line from which cake properties, such as permeability, can be determined. Figure 1.4 shows an example of a t/V vs V plot for a constant pressure filtration setup. From Equation 1.9, the gradient of the plot gives $K_1/2$ from which the cake resistance can be evaluated, and the intercept on the t/V axis gives K_2 from which the filter medium resistance can be evaluated. Near the start of filtration, non-linearities can be observed because K_1 and K_2 are not necessarily constant in these early stages. Initially, most of the total pressure decline is over the filter medium since the cake is very thin, but, as the cake grows, more of the total pressure decline is lost over the cake. At this point, cake resistance dominates the medium resistance.



Figure 1.4: A plot of t/V versus V for constant pressure filtration showing examples of non-linearities (image reproduced from Wakeman and Tarleton (2005))

1.2.2.2. Filter cake strength

The ability of the filter cake to seal the mouth of a crack, withstand the wellbore pressure and prevent lost circulation depends on several factors (Cook *et al.*, 2016):

- i. the ratio between thickness of the cake and crack opening width;
- ii. the shear and tensile strength of filter cakes;
- iii. the adhesion of the cake to the wellbore rock;
- iv. the particle size distribution of the drilling fluid.

A thicker cake is more resistant to both shear and tensile failures, and a narrower crack also makes it harder for these types of failures to occur. If there are sufficient barite particles in the cake that are larger than the fracture opening, the particles are likely to screen over the opening and form a seal. If these particles are no longer able to screen over the opening, the integrity of the cake and seal across the opening depends on its continuum strength.

During the drilling process, when overbalanced pressures (wellbore pressure is higher than pore pressure) are used, two main mechanisms of cake rupture over fracture openings have been identified, as shown in Figure 1.5: stretching the cake apart (tensile failure) or forcing a section of cake into the opening by wellbore pressure (shear failure). The filter cake which forms within the wellbore rock will not affect fracture behaviour, as it fails as soon as the rock fails and is considerably weaker than the rock itself (Cook *et al.*, 2016).



Figure 1.5: Conceptual rupture mechanisms of filter cake: (a) stretching failure and (b) bending or squeezing failure

Once drilling has been completed and back-production is initiated, the filter cake can fail affecting well cleanup. These failure mechanisms (Figure 1.6) depend on the extent of cake-rock adhesion in relation to the filter cake strength: 'pinholing' may occur, allowing flow, if the adhesion is strong in relation to the internal strength of the cake, or, the cake may detach ('lift-off') from the wellbore wall if the adhesive forces are overcome. During 'lift-off' some of the internal cake remains and the filter cake is not cleanly detached from the wellbore surface.

This means that, with stress being normal to the wellbore surface, filter the cake undergoes a tensile failure. This is also the case for pinholing except that the stress is parallel to the surface (Bailey et al., 1998). The tensile failure stress of filter cakes depends on the material properties and the stresses applied to them (Atkinson and Bransby, 1978).





Figure 1.6: 'Lift-off' of filter cake from wellbore wall during flow-back (top); lift up and 'pinholing' of filter cake during flow-back (bottom) (image reproduced from Suri (2005))

The strength of a material is described as the maximum shear stress it can sustain before failure. The stress at the yield point (the point on a stress-strain curve that signifies the transition from elastic to plastic behaviour) is called the *yield stress*, and is usually a more important property than strength, because once the yield stress is surpassed, deformation occurs beyond acceptable limits. For filter cakes, the shear strength is often characterised by the shear yield stress, τ_y , which is the maximum applied stress it can sustain before cake failure (Cook *et al.*, 2016). Therefore, studies focus on finding the shear yield stress of filter cakes, as discussed in Section 1.2.2.3.

A filter cake will grow, as more filtrate permeates into the rock formation, until the differential pressure is exactly counteracted by the stress in the cake, which is derived from the sum of the interparticle forces within the cake's structure. This stress within the filter cake is therefore a compressive yield stress (uniaxial in API fluid loss cells), P_y , and for further strain to happen a stress greater than P_y must be applied. Using the approximation that suspensions exhibit linear elasticity up until the yield point, Meeten (1994) suggested a relation (Equation 1.10) between τ_y and P_y as observed in a study on bentonite suspensions, where v is Poisson's ratio of the drained filter cake structure (network of particles or flocs).

$$\frac{P_y}{\tau_y} = \frac{2(1-\nu)}{(1-2\nu)}$$
(1.10)

Although a convenient order-of-magnitude estimate can be obtained, Channell and Zukoski (1997) realised that slight variations in v cause large variations in τ_y , making it unreliable to find τ_y from a simple measurement of P_y . Hence, methods of measuring yield stress directly must be used instead.

1.2.2.3. Filter cake strength measurement techniques

There are several techniques in the literature for assessing filter cake strength. One of the earliest was a cake penetrometer, which records the force as a probe drives into the cake and the depth is increased. It was highlighted that the point at which the force readings begin may not necessarily be the top of the cake, but, instead, may be the top of a highly gelled fluid region (Zamora, Lai and Dzialowski, 1990). An embedment device (Tan and Amanullah, 2001)

was made to force a cylindrical foot into the filter cake at a controlled rate to find the embedment modulus, which is defined as the secant slope of the line intersecting the origin and the point on the driving force vs. embedded area curve with an embedded area of 1000 mm² (Figure 1.7).



Figure 1.7: Sample driving force vs. embedded area curve obtained from a bentonite filter cake test (image reproduced from Amanullah and Tan (2001))

The curves are composed of an initial linear section followed by a non-linear section of upward concavity. According to Amanullah and Tan, the linear section indicates the top viscoplastic material of the filter cake. Here the driving force is proportional to the embedded area due to the shear stress between probe and cake. As the indenter drives further into the cake, the inner layer is penetrated, and the non-linear section begins. The embedment device was used to test filter cakes made from water, bentonite and a range of additives. Baritebentonite filter cakes displayed the highest embedment resistance as the barite particles filled in the bentonite structure, reducing cake porosity and increasing the structural rigidity. NaCl-bentonite-polyanionic polymer cakes had comparable embedment resistances, possibly due to the PAC polymer chains entangling with other particles to produce a cake with a higher structural rigidity (Tan and Amanullah, 2001).

Rheometers in a parallel plate configuration have been used to assess filter cake yield stresses and dynamic shear moduli via continuous stress ramping and small strain oscillation modes. The main concern arises when a particle-depleted zone forms next to the plate, because if slip occurs, the measured yield stress does not relate to the shearing in the bulk of the cake, but rather the stress required to overcome the static friction with the plates. An alternative is the scraping method which entails running a razor blade across the surface of the external filter cake at a constant speed. The shear stress is related to the cake yield stress and a shear strength profile of the whole filter cake is obtained. However, since the theory behind this calculation is oversimplified, only an order of magnitude estimate of the yield stress can be obtained (Cerasi *et al.*, 2001). Methods used for tensile strength testing of agglomerates can be replicated for cakes, since they are both bulk materials with low tensile strength (Dawes, 1952). Schubert (1975) proposed a split plate method (Figure 1.8) as a way of testing slightly compacted bulk materials. Factors such as the adhesion between the material and plates can significantly influence the measured tensile strength values. A way around this is to assume the filter cake strength to be predominantly a result of the cohesive forces between particles, instead of the external stresses applied to the cake. This assumption allows the tensile strength to be estimated as proportional to the shear strength or shear yield stress (Cook *et al.*, 2016).



Figure 1.8: Diagrammatic set-up of the split plate apparatus (image reproduced from Schubert (1975))

Bailey et al. (1998) developed a hole punch test (Figure 1.9) to directly measure the shear failure of filter cakes. Nandurdikar et al. (2002) and Hao et al. (2016) used a similar hole punch method to perform cake strength tests. The technique directly measures the force required for cake failure so that the yield stress can be determined. Bailey et al. compared the hole punch method with the vane method, which uses a bladed vane sensor to find the maximum torque through a cake sample, and the squeeze-film method, which uses parallel plates to squeeze a cake sample whilst recording the force. These methods produced consistent results suggesting each to be reliable, but the hole punch method nor the complex equipment used in the squeeze-film method.

Bailey et al. (1998) pressed barite weighted bentonite-based drilling fluids at 2000 kPa, whilst varying the barite concentration to manipulate fluid density. Their hole punch test results exhibited a peak yield strength at a critical barite concentration. Bailey et al. explain this trend by suggesting that below a critical concentration, barite particles behaved as a filler to bolster the bentonite network, increasing its yield stress. However, beyond this critical concentration, there is sufficient barite to form the core load-bearing matrix, and the bentonite-water gel fills the available space. The roles that the barite and bentonite-water fluid serve essentially switch past this critical concentration. Since the cohesive strength of the barite matrix is much lower than that of the bentonite gel it replaces, the yield stress declines.



Figure 1.9: A schematic of the hole punch test as developed by Bailey et al. (1998) (from which this image was adapted)

Bailey et al. (1998) also used their technique to study the effects of particle size on cake yield stress by adding barite and constant volume fractions of different grades of calcium carbonate to fluids composed of polymer dissolved in water. Their results showed that higher solids loadings led to an increase in yield stress, and that barite weighted filter cakes gave lower yield stress values than correspondingly sized calcium carbonate weighted filter cakes. Furthermore, they found finer particles to form stronger cakes. The coarser particles formed cakes with low cohesive strength and poor fluid loss control. It was suggested that the surface chemistry of the weighting agents and particularly the surface area of the particles affect the particle interactions, and hence, the measured yield stress.
Similar observations on the effects of solids content and fine particles on cake strength were made by Cerasi et al. (2001), who performed strength tests using a rheometer with a parallelplate geometry. In addition to finding out that oil-based fluid cakes had orders of magnitude lower yield stresses than water-based ones, they investigated the effects of increasing the drill solids content whilst keeping the overall fluid density constant (presumably by decreasing the calcium carbonate particle concentration). Cerasi et al. found the increasing concentration of drill solids, which were finer than the calcium carbonate particles, to increase yield stress. In a second series of experiments, Cerasi et al. increased the drill solids loading keeping the calcium carbonate particle concentration fixed. In this case, the extent of yield stress variation was less than with the first series of experiments. Based on these findings, Cerasi et al. proposed that the increase of fines in the filter cake had a more significant influence on yield stress than the increased solids loading in the fluid.

1.2.2.4. Filter cake thickness measurement techniques

Filter cake thickness is an important property required to convert force-displacement data to stress-strain values. With regards to the drilling process, a maximum limit of cake thickness is recommended to avoid problems such as differential sticking of the drill bit. Typical methods of experimentally finding cake thickness can be split into destructive and non-destructive techniques. Destructive techniques lead to changes in cake structure that make any further testing unreliable, and, the methods of thickness measurement tend to cause uncertainties. For example, tracking cake growth using pressure probes (Murase *et al.*, 1987) or controlling the filtration rate and, hence, cake growth via disks with a sharp central hole (Fathi-Najafi and Theliander, 1995) may affect cake build-up. To overcome this, Tarleton (1999) used electrode type sensing probes, which were small relative to the filter cell, to measure filter cake properties with minimal impact on the cake structure. Non-destructive methods of determining cake thickness generally pass a signal (acoustical or optical) through the cake and monitor the changes in intensity (Takahashi *et al.*, 1991; Amanullah and Tan, 2001; Hamachi and Mietton-Peuchot, 2001). The methods depend on more complex equipment and require calibration relationships between the measured parameters and cake thickness.

1.2.2.5. Filter cake porosity measurement techniques

Non-destructive methods for finding filter cake porosity include electrical conductivity (Shirato et al., 1971), NMR imaging (La Heij et al., 1996; Hall et al., 2001), and gamma and xray attenuation measurements (Shen, Russel and Auzerais, 1994; Tiller, Hsyung and Cong, 1995; Sedin, Johansson and Theliander, 2003). Although the non-destructive nature enables the measurement of cake porosity profiles over time in a single filtration experiment, these methods require calibration and/or development of algorithms for signal processing (Tien, 2006). The most common method to determine filter cake porosity, without requiring complicated and expensive equipment, is to measure the wet cake mass, then completely dry the cake and measure the dry cake mass (Dewan and Chenevert, 2001; Tien, 2006). By dissecting the cake and weighing each segment, a porosity profile per 0.5 mm of cake thickness can be obtained (Meeten, 1993). Using the drying method to determine the void ratio (defined as the volume of liquid in the cake over the volume of solid), Sherwood and Meeten (1997) found heterogenous void ratio profiles for filter cakes made from bariteweighted drilling fluids, whereby the bottom of the cake near the filter paper had the lowest void ratios and the top of the cake had the highest. In addition, they found that the cake void ratio decreased with increasing filtration pressure.

1.2.2.6. Filter cake imaging

Although the formation of cake layers is important in affecting the filtration performance of drilling fluids, there is a lack of literature information about cake structures. Understanding the structure of filter cakes should enable a better control of filtration processes and lessen wellbore stability issues (Yao *et al.*, 2014). More specifically, an understanding of the cake structure may elucidate how constituent particles contribute to cake strength. Hence, visualisation techniques to investigate the internal structure of filter cakes have been explored.

From captured scanning electron microscopy (SEM) micrographs, Yao et al. (2014) found that filter cake porosity increased as the drilling fluid density, which was controlled by the barite and hematite volume fractions, increased, as shown in Figure 1.10. They also found the

bottom layer of the cake to comprise larger particles and a lower polymer content compared to the top layer. Similarly, Elkatatny et al. (2012) found, from SEM scans, that the cake layer closer to the filter medium contained large grains whilst both small and large grains were found in the cake surface layer. A shock-freezing/freeze-drying method has been used to keep the filter cake's basic structure intact for SEM imaging. Plank and Gossen (1991) validated this method by comparing freeze-dried and frozen-hydrated samples. They found the typical arrangement of bentonite platelets in a honeycomb structure using both methods. Chenevert and Huycke (1991) concluded from over a hundred viewings that the freeze-drying method produced samples that are a near representation of the real pore structure with minimal alterations. They highlighted that due to liquid nitrogen being a poor thermal conductor and the resulting Leidenfrost¹ effect, large ice crystals may form if liquid nitrogen was used to shock-freeze samples. More recent papers have used nitrogen slush for freezing with cryo-SEM (Argillier, Audibert and Longeron, 1997).



Figure 1.10: SEM micrographs of filter cakes made from fluids of density 2.1 g cm⁻³ (left) and 2.3 g cm⁻³ (right) (image reproduced from Yao et al. (2014))

Environmental scanning electron microscopy (ESEM) has been used as an alternative to SEM (Tare *et al.*, 1999; Nandurdikar, Takach and Miska, 2002), because the filter cake can be imaged in its wet state and the cake surface does not need to be treated as the ESEM functions under a weak vacuum. Nandurdikar et al. (2002) used ESEM to relate the structure of filter cakes with the measured strengths. In particular, they wanted to find the variation of the pore size distribution throughout the cake thickness as well as at the cake surface and

¹ The Leidenfrost effect is a phenomenon where a liquid, which is close to a surface with a temperature much higher than the liquid's boiling point, generates an insulating vapour layer that prevents the liquid from boiling.

cake-filter disk interface. The shape and size of the crystals, the linkages between particles and pore spaces are described qualitatively. Figure 1.11 shows that the addition of blast furnace slag led to a cake with fewer large pores, and this cake was found to have a higher shear strength. According to Nandurdikar et al. (2002), the cake exhibiting the greatest strength had more consolidated particles and fewer open pores.





containing two distinct layers with different properties. Arthur et al. (1988) postulated that as the drag force on particles caused by the permeating fluid increases, compaction of the cake will occur leading to non-linear porosity and permeability profiles. Such compressible filter cakes are expected to have a minimum porosity at the filter medium surface and a maximum porosity at the cake surface.

For static filtration conditions, Elkatatny et al. (2013) found that cakes formed within the first 7.5 mins of filtration had a more porous surface layer (closer to the drilling fluid) compared to the layer closer to the filter medium. This was due to settling and the drag force on the particles which increased in the latter layer as the liquid pressure declined (as described by Al-Abduwani et al. (2005) and Zinati et al. (2007)). Figure 1.12 shows that the porosity of both layers gradually decreased with filtration time, however, after 7.5 mins, the layer closer to the filter medium was more porous than the cake surface layer, as the porosity of the cake surface layer reduced significantly. According to Elkatatny et al. (2013), this was due to an accumulation of solids in the cake surface layer which was a blend of large and small particles,

resulting in poor sorting and a very low porosity by 30 mins of filtration. As discussed in Section 1.2.2.5., Sherwood and Meeten (1997) also found heterogeneous filter cakes forming for static filtration conditions, but found the opposite behaviour whereby the layer closer to the filter medium had the lowest porosities. However, their cakes were filtered until completion (until no further fluid was pressed from the cake), so filtration times were significantly longer than 30 mins.



Figure 1.12: The porosity (top) and thickness (bottom) profiles throughout a cake made after different filtration times (image reproduced from Elkatatny, Mahmoud and Nasr-El-Din (2013))

Figure 1.12 shows the cake compression and buildup stages during the static filtration process as the cake layers decrease then increase in thickness. The figure also shows that the layer closer to the filter medium is initially thicker than the cake surface layer due to the settling of large particles in the former layer. During the buildup stage, this relationship reverses as the cake surface layer becomes thicker. According to Elkatatny et al. (2013), this was due to the decreasing porosity in this layer which prevented particles from reaching the filter medium. During static filtration, the cake mainly developed via compression then switched to buildup, whilst cake formation was dominated by buildup throughout dynamic filtration.

1.2.2.7. Discrete element modelling

The discrete element method (DEM) was first introduced by Cundall and Strack (1979) as a numerical model to simulate the mechanical behaviour of a collection of discs and spheres. Explicit numerical methods were used to monitor the contacts in every particle interaction and to model the motion of particles. A DEM simulation calculates the movement of a defined set of particles based on their body forces, which is assumed to act from each particle's centre of mass, and contact forces, acting at the contact point between particles. Once the particles' preliminary properties are specified, the movement of each particle is simulated based on Newton's laws of motion.

DEM has been used to understand the effects of particle and fluid properties on filter cake formation. Dong et al. (2009) developed a DEM model to simulate cake formation and growth via constant flow rate and constant pressure filtration. For constant pressure filtrations, increasing the pressure drop across the cake increased the filtrate flow rate and, hence, the cake growth rate. They found that decreasing the fluid viscosity and density increased cake porosity, whilst, for a given filtrate flow rate, increasing particle size in the cake decreased cake porosity. Deshpande et al. (2020) coupled DEM with computational fluid dynamics to simulate the filtration of suspensions with particles of varying sphericities. Using a multisphere model to control particle sphericity, they found that the porosity of filter cakes increased as particle sphericity decreased and as the coefficient of sliding friction increased. When fluid was passed through dry filter cakes with a constant inlet velocity, the pressure drop per unit length of cake increased as particle sphericity decreased from 0.93 to 0.82. According to Deshpande et al.

(2020), the increase in pressure drop was due to the reduced sphericity as the cake porosity was unchanged.

DEM has been used to study particle systems under bulk compression. Wiacek et al. (2012) performed DEM simulations of uniaxial compression to investigate the effects of particle shape and interparticle coefficient of friction on the mechanical behaviour of granular systems. They found that as the aspect ratio of the particles increased from 1.0 to 1.6, the granular systems became less porous and had a higher modulus. From an aspect ratio of 1.6 to 2.8, the modulus did not vary much but the porosity increased. However, increasing the particle aspect ratio increased the number of particles in the container: 1000 for spherical particles and 1650 for particles with an aspect ratio of 2.8. In similar compression tests, Feng et al. (2009) found that, for particles of the same shape, decreasing the particle size, and so increasing the number of particles, led to an increase in modulus due to the increase in interparticle contacts. Thus, it is not clear whether the effects of aspect ratio on modulus in Wiacek's work were caused by the changes in particle shape and/or the number of

interparticle contacts. Hartl and Ooi (2011) performed DEM simulations of a direct shear test on spherical and nonspherical particles, as shown in Figure 1.13, to investigate the relationship between particle shape and the bulk internal friction. They found that as the particle aspect ratio increased the bulk friction increased, which was due to an increase in particle interlocking as well as in the mobilised particle contact friction and coordination number. Comparing the DEM with experimental data, they concluded that there was quantitative agreement with regards to the bulk friction results if the initial packing densities were similar.



Figure 1.13: Particles settling in a filling cylinder placed above a shear cell. Single spheres (left) and paired spheres used as non-spherical particles (right) were generated using DEM (image reproduced from Härtl and Ooi (2011))

Chapter 2. Experimental methods and materials

2.1. Introduction

To assess the strength of drilling fluid filter cakes and how they are affected by constituent particles, drilling fluids composed of particles with a range of properties were made. Although most of the filtrate seeping into wellbore formations does so via dynamic cross flow filtration, static filtration was used in this work to produce filter cakes from drilling fluids. This meant that a range of samples could be tested as the equipment and analysis required are simpler. The hole punch tester (Bailey *et al.*, 1998) was used to determine filter cake strength, since the test has been shown to produce consistent results when compared to other methods (as discussed in Section 1.2.2.3.) and it simulates the shear rupture of a filter cake without the need for complex equipment.

Filter cake thickness was found by tracking the force on a probe descending through the cake, and, since the suspension/cake is poorly defined, a drying rate method was developed to determine cake porosity. X-ray computed tomography was used to visualise the internal pore structures in a non-destructive manner, with minimal sample preparation required. The distribution of pores and their sizes were obtained from images, and these data were used to compare cake structures so that the influence of constituent particles on cake properties could be understood. The hole punch tester was simulated using the discrete element method (DEM), which, as discussed in Section 1.2.2.7., has been used in the literature (Feng, Owen and Loughran, 2009; Härtl and Ooi, 2011; Wiacek *et al.*, 2012) to evaluate the granular response of particulate systems. Discussions on the development of the DEM code are in Chapter 6.

2.2. Methods

2.2.1. Analysis of drilling fluids

2.2.1.1. Rheology

Parallel-plate rheometer tests were performed on drilling fluids. For the initial samples, small globules of xanthan gum were often visible in the sample which indicated improper mixing. To check the mixing, viscometry tests using the Kinexus rheometer (Figure 2.1) were run to compare viscosity and yield stress values for different samples. A low viscosity at low shear stresses (Figure 2.1) could also indicate that the xanthan gum had not been mixed properly.



Figure 2.1: The Kinexus rheometer used for viscometry tests (left) and representative shear viscosity vs shear stress curves (right)

2.2.1.2. Static light scattering

Static light scattering is a technique that uses the angle and intensity of light scattered from particles to calculate their size. In this work, a Malvern Mastersizer 2000 was used to measure the size distributions in samples as outlined in Section 2.3.1.

2.2.1.3. Static image analysis

Static image analysis is a technique which uses microscopy imaging for the dry analysis of particles. The particles are dispersed on a glass slide which is placed beneath a digital camera with a magnifying lens. Images are captured of each particle in a selected area of the sample and algorithms are used to calculate size and shape parameters from the particle perimeters

(Entegris, 2019). In this work, a Morphologi G3 Microscope was used to measure the particle size and shape in samples as outlined in Section 2.3.2.

2.2.2. Analysis of filter cakes

2.2.2.1. Filtration

Filter cakes were made using an API filter press (Figure 2.2) at a constant pressure of 690 kPa for 30 minutes using a 0.45 μ m rated nylon membrane. After an experiment, the filter press was dismantled, and, the cake was removed with the membrane and sealed in a petri dish to avoid drying. The sample removal was performed carefully to avoid excess fluid on the top of the cake surface and to ensure the cake structure was not disrupted. Three separate filter cake samples were made for each fluid composition: one was weighed and left to dry to obtain the porosity, another was placed on the texture analyser to measure thickness and the last was placed on the hole punch platform, which was attached to the texture analyser, to measure mechanical properties.



Figure 2.2: Summary of experimental procedure showing the tests performed on filter cakes made in the API filter press (top left)

2.2.2.2. Hole punch tester

The cake yield stress was found by punching a plunger attached to a Texture Analyser through the cake sample, having carefully peeled off the attached nylon membrane, which sits on top of an opening; see Figure 2.2 for images of the test and Figure 2.3 for a schematic description. The opening in the platform, as shown in Figure 2.3, was made with a slightly larger diameter than the plunger to prevent friction between the plunger and the inner walls of the opening. This device, called the hole punch tester, is expected to simulate shear rupture in a simple and inexpensive manner. Bailey et al. (1998), Nandurdikar et al. (2002) and Hao et al. (2016) all used a similar hole punch method to carry out cake strength tests. Bailey et al. (1998) compared the yield stress results using this hole punch method to two other techniques: the vane method and the squeeze film method. The hole punch data seemed to correspond well with data from these two other approaches, as discussed in Section 1.2.2.3., suggesting it to be a reliable technique. Using the Texture Analyser, force-displacement data were obtained with this technique. The shear stress was determined by dividing the force by the cake surface area that is being sheared (πDT , where D is the opening diameter and T is the cake thickness), and the strain was calculated by normalising the displacement with the cake thickness. When the peak force is used, the resulting shear stress corresponds to the filter cake's yield stress. Repeat hole punch tests were run on cakes made from the SC2 sample (see Section 2.3.2.) with measured yield stresses of 35.7, 43.9 and 46.8 kPa, giving a mean value of 42.1 kPa and a standard error of 8%.



Figure 2.3: Schematic of hole punch test and conversion of force-displacement

2.2.2.3. Filter cake thickness

The cake thickness was determined by using the Texture Analyser's force measurements through the cake sample (Figure 2.2). A similar method was used by Chen and Hsiau (2009)

who used an on-line powder pressure-displacement system to measure the thickness of dust filter cakes. The cake sample is placed on a flat surface below the Texture Analyser probe which is set at a fixed height and approaches the cake at a constant speed, measuring the force as it descends. A force is recorded as soon as the probe contacts the filter cake's top surface and increases further as the probe traverses the cake to approach the bottom surface. Near the bottom surface, the force increases exponentially until it reaches a maximum force of 40 N at which point the test is stopped. The height difference between the top and bottom surfaces is then recorded as the filter cake thickness. Repeat thickness measurements were run on a cake made from each of the SC samples (see Section 2.3.2.), giving an average intrasample standard error of less than 1%.

2.2.2.4. Filter cake porosity

A challenge often faced with drilling fluid filter cakes is that the suspension/filter cake interface is poorly defined, which makes it difficult to accurately determine the cake's moisture content. Hence, for this work, a method was developed to track the drying rate of the cake over time, and it was detected when the rate declined significantly (Figure 2.2). The shift in the mass transfer rate as water is evaporated from the cake, as opposed to the fluid on top of the cake, becomes evident in the drying rate curve: the point at which the curve experiences a sharp transition can be approximated as the point at which the cake itself begins to dry. With this approach the liquid content of the cake can be determined, and assuming the void spaces in the filter cake to be saturated with liquid, the average porosity, ε_{av} , is calculated using Equations 2.1 and 2.2, where ρ_l is the liquid density and ρ_s is the density of the solids. In preliminary tests, repeat porosity measurements were run on cakes made from different samples giving standard errors that ranged from 2 to 6%.

$$\varepsilon_{av} = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_s}} \tag{2.1}$$

$$\propto = \frac{net \ wet \ weight}{net \ dry \ weight} - 1 \tag{2.2}$$

2.2.2.5. X-ray computed tomography

X-ray computed tomography (XRCT) is a technique used to capture 2D slices of a sample that can be digitally assembled to produce a 3D image. The use of x-rays enables a non-destructive visualisation of internal structures. XRCT imaging devices are composed of an x-ray source and detector with the sample placed in between. X-rays pass through the rotating sample and are collected by the detector to produce a 2D projection, typically referred to as a 'slice', of the 3D object. The density of the material and the energy of the x-rays dictate the amount of x-ray attenuation. This means that each material of a different density will attenuate x-rays differently. For the devices used in this work, the energy of the x-rays could be controlled and was varied according to the composition of the sample being studied to allow enough x-rays to penetrate the sample. The number of x-rays that reach the detector is represented by the greyscale value of each pixel in the slice (University of Manchester, 2013).

In this work, samples of interest were selected for XRCT imaging, as discussed in Section 2.3.3., to visualise the internal structure of the cake. For each sample, once the filtration test was completed, the cake was placed into a petri dish and sealed to prevent the cake from drying. For imaging, the cakes were put onto a sample holder (Figure 2.4) which held the cake at 45° to the horizontal. The sample holder was then placed in the XRCT device ready for imaging (Figure 2.4). Scans were kept under 90 mins to prevent the cake from drying excessively and their structure was kept unaltered.



Figure 2.4: A cake sample placed on the sample holder used for imaging (left) and the High Flux Nikon XTEK bay at the University of Manchester used for XRCT imaging (right)
Amira-Avizo (ThermoFisher Scientific, 2020), which is a 3D visualisation and analysis software, was used to obtain 3D representations and quantitative data of the pores. Orthogonal slices,

also known as ortho slices, with three different orientations were extracted from the XRCT data set. Using the threshold tool on Amira-Avizo, portions of each ortho slice with certain greyscale values were designated to give a binary image, as shown in Figure 2.5, where blue represents pores and black represents solid material. Using a direct volume rendering tool on Amira-Avizo, these binary images were stacked to produce a 3D image of the pores, from which porosity and pore size distribution data were obtained.



Figure 2.5: An ortho slice (left) and its corresponding binary image (right) where blue represents pores and black represents solid material. Scale bar represents 5 mm

Due to the sample size, the resolution of these images was 14 to 20 microns, and so, some of the smaller pores may not have been captured. In addition, drying led to cake shrinkage which may have affected the scans. Therefore, some scans were performed on a smaller slice of the cake, which were contained to prevent any drying of the sample. Figure 2.6 shows central

slices of three cake samples, which were stacked inside the plastic storage boxes. The lid was screwed on and the scans were performed with minimal drying. Using this technique, images with a resolution of 6 microns were captured. However, due to the destructive nature of the sample preparation (a central slice had to be cut from the cake) and since cake shrinkage still occurred, the sample holder shown in Figure 2.4 was preferred. In addition, there were difficulties in extracting 3D representations of these samples using Amira-Avizo, possibly due to the lack of distinct peaks in greyscale value in the ortho slices.



Figure 2.6: Samples placed inside storage boxes for XRCT imaging

2.3. Materials

2.3.1. Representative and initial model drilling fluids

Samples were made using representative water-based and oil-based compositions. For the water-based drilling fluids, the volume fraction of barite was varied to control fluid density and the volume fractions of starch and Drispac were varied to control cake permeability (see Table 2.1 for the compositions). The samples were made up to 400 ml using deionised water. For the oil-based drilling fluids, the samples were made up to 400 ml using a base fluid composed of oil (Versaclean CBE and Saraline 185V) and deionised water. The oil/water ratios were 80/20 vol% for OBM128 and OBM188 and 60/40 vol% for OBM126. Table 2.2 shows the components that were added to make up each sample. The model water-based drilling fluids were made with typical drilling fluid components and the solid particulate additives were varied, as shown in Table 2.3. All fluid samples were made up to 400 ml using deionised water, 1.37 g of xanthan gum and 0.4 g of magnesium oxide (to maintain pH 10). Ultra-fine, fine, medium and coarse calcium carbonate refer to Fordacal 8, 22, 50 and 150, where the number represents the batch's d50 value (LKAB Minerals, 2016). Size distributions of the particulate additives were eadditives were determined using a Malvern Mastersizer 2000, with the data shown in Figure 2.7.

The components were sequentially added to the base fluids and stirred at 6000 RPM using a Silverson Laboratory Mixer. For the water-based fluids, a general-purpose mixing head was used, and the total mixing time was 1 hour for WBM1 and WBM HD and 50 mins for WBM HF and WBM HF(HD). Viscometry tests were performed on these fluids to check for good mixing and consistency between samples. For the oil-based fluids, the total mixing time was 1 hour (excluding the addition of Safe-Carb 20) and a small square hole shear head was used on the mixer. The Safe-Carb 20 was added after the initial 1-hour mix and, with the shear head removed, the fluid was then mixed for another 10 minutes. For the model water-based fluids, the total mixing time was 35 minutes and a general-purpose mixing head was used on the Silverson to avoid particle size reduction.

Sample	Barite	Starch	Drispac	Potassium	НМР	Xanthan	Magnesium
	(vol%)	(vol%)	(vol%)	chloride	clay	gum	oxide
				(vol%)	(vol%)	(vol%)	(vol%)
WBM1	6.2	0.6	0.2	3.6	1.2	0.2	0.1
WBM HD	24.8	0.6	0.2	3.6	1.2	0.2	0.1
WBM HF	6.2	-	-	3.6	1.2	0.2	0.1
WBM HF(HD)	24.8	-	-	3.6	1.2	0.2	0.1

Table 2.1: Representative water-based drilling fluid compositions

Table 2.2: Representative oil-based drilling fluid compositions

Sample	Truvis	Versaclean	Ecotrol	Saraline	Calcium	Water	Barite	Versatrol	Safe-	Lime
	(vol%)	CBE (vol%)	RD	185V	chloride	(vol%)	(vol%)	M (vol%)	Carb	(vol%)
			(vol%)	(vol%)	(vol%)				20	
									(vol%)	
OBM188	0.5	3.9	0.7	49.5	0.3	13.1	26.5	2.0	2.1	1.0
OBM126	0.6	3.9	-	48.1	1.0	34.0	7.0	2.0	2.1	1.0
OBM128	1.8	3.0	-	64.4	0.4	16.6	8.5	2.0	2.1	1.0

 Table 2.3: Model water-based drilling fluid compositions

Sample		Calcium carbonate (vol %)					
	Barite (vol %)	Ultra-fine	Fine	Medium	Coarse		
SCal8	-	6.2	-	-	-		
SCal22	-	-	6.2	-	-		
SCal50	-	-	-	6.2	-		
SCal150	-	-	-	-	6.2		
SC1	3.1		-	-			
SC2	6.2		-	-			
SC5	24.8		-	-			



Figure 2.7: Particle size distributions of calcium carbonate and barite particles

2.3.2. Model water-based drilling fluids

Samples were made with typical water-based drilling fluid components and the solid particulate additives were varied. All fluid samples were made up to 400 ml using deionised water, 1.37 g of xanthan gum and 0.4 g of magnesium oxide (to maintain pH 10). Samples containing graded calcium carbonate and/or barite are given in Table 2.4. Ultra-fine, fine, medium and coarse calcium carbonate refer to Fordacal 8, 22, 50 and 150, where the number represents the batch's d50 value (LKAB Minerals, 2016). The volume of calcium carbonate particles in SCal samples are equal to the volume of barite particles in the SC equivalents. Medium-sized calcium carbonate particles were further graded into size ranges using a column of sieves on a mechanical shaker. These sieved size ranges were then used to control the proportion of fine and coarse particles in the blended ratio samples (B1X and B2X): as the number after the 'X' in the sample name increases (see Table 2.4), the proportion of coarser particles in the sample increases. The total volume fraction of calcium carbonate in each blended ratio sample was kept constant.

Samples with particles of varying shape were made up of solid particles with a volume fraction of 6.2 vol% as in SC2 (Table 2.4); these particles were calcium carbonate (SCal2), talc (Stal), cellulose microcrystalline (Scell) and glass spheres (Sglass). The circularity, given as the circumference of the equivalent area circle divided by the actual perimeter of the particle, of these particles is given in Table 2.5. Particle sizes and circularities of the particles used to test the effect of particle shape were measured in the dry state using a Morphologi G3 Microscope.

Table 2.4: Model water-based drilling fluid compositions for varying barite and calcium carbonate concentration, and, varying particle size distribution samples. Each sample was prepared using the same mixing procedure and conditions

	Barito	Calciun	n carbo	onate (vol %	6)	Sieved calcium carbonate (vol %)					
Sample		Ultra-	Fino	Madium	Coarco	20-	38-	53-	63-	75-	108-
	fine fine	Cuarse	38µm	53µm	63µm	75µm	108µm	150µm			
SC1	3.1	-			-	1	1	1	I		
SC2	6.2	-				-					
SC3	12.4	-	-								
SC4	18.6	-				-					
SC5	24.8	-				-					
DB1	6.2	-	-	1.5	1.5	-					
DB2	6.2	-	1.5	-	1.5	-					
DB3	6.2	1.5	-	-	1.5	-					
DB4	6.2	-	1.5	1.5	-	-					
DB5	6.2	1.5	-	1.5	-	-					
DB6	6.2	1.5	1.5	-	-	-					
DB7	6.2	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	-					
DB8	6.2	-	1.0	1.0	1.0	-					
DB9	6.2	1.0	1.0	1.0	-	-					
B1X2.0	3.1	-				0.2	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.5	1.7
B1X1.5	3.1	-				0.4	0.2	0.1	0.4	0.5	1.4
B1X1.0	3.1	-				0.7	0.2	0.1	0.4	0.5	1.0
B1X0.5	3.1	-				1.5	0.2	0.1	0.3	0.3	0.5
B2X2.0	6.2	-				0.2	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.5	1.7
B2X1.5	6.2	-			0.4	0.2	0.1	0.4	0.5	1.4	
B2X1.0	6.2	-			0.7	0.2	0.1	0.4	0.5	1.0	
B2X0.5	6.2	-			1.5	0.2	0.1	0.3	0.3	0.5	
SCal1	-	3.1	-	-	-	-					
SCal2	-	6.2	-	-	-	-					
SCal5	-	24.8	-	-	-	-					

Substance	Circularity	D50 (µm)
Glass	0.88	12.9
Calcium carbonate	0.82	19.2
Barite	0.81	9.7
Talc	0.71	15.6
Cellulose microcrystalline	0.77	66.5

Table 2.5: Properties of the substances used for particle shape analysis

The components were sequentially added to the water-based fluid and stirred at 6000 RPM using a Silverson Laboratory Mixer with a total mixing time of 35 minutes. The mixing time was long enough to ensure that the components were homogeneously dispersed in the fluid, but short enough to avoid excess heating. A general-purpose mixing head was used on the Silverson to avoid particle size reduction. Particle size distributions were determined using a Malvern Mastersizer 2000, with the data shown in Figure 2.7.

2.3.3. XRCT imaging samples

From the samples outlined in Section 2.3.2., those which exhibited an extreme range of cake thickness, porosity and yield stress values were selected for XRCT imaging. These samples were DB1, DB2, DB3, DB4, DB5, DB6, SC2 and SC5 (compositions are in Table 2.4). Samples SC25 and SC220, which had the same composition as SC2 but were filtered for 5 and 20 mins respectively, were also imaged. The samples were made using the same preparation procedure as outlined in Section 2.3.2. and were sealed in a petri dish for transportation to the XRCT device. The mass of each sample was checked before and after transportation, and minimal changes in mass were recorded.

Chapter 3. Representative and model drilling fluids

3.1. Introduction

This chapter explores the properties of drilling fluids, which are representative of those used in the field, and the properties of the filter cakes produced from such fluids. Water-based and oil-based drilling fluids, the composition and mixing procedure of which are discussed in Section 2.3.1., were prepared, and, after mixing, a sample was taken for rheology testing as discussed in Section 2.2.1.1. Then, these fluids were filtered via static filtration using an API filter press and the filtrate collected over time. Three batches of each fluid were made to produce three filter cake samples for thickness, porosity and strength testing, as outlined in Section 2.2.2. The purpose of testing these representative fluids was to establish benchmark results, which could then be used to compare with model water-based drilling fluids made using typical drilling fluid components. The model fluids were used to obtain the experimental results presented in Section 3.4 as well as in Chapters 4 and 5.

Typical drilling fluids are composed of a base fluid (water and oil-based drilling fluids are both commonly used), solids and additives (to control the fluid properties). Barite is commonly used to control fluid density and varying volume fractions of it was used in the representative fluids, as shown in Tables 2.1 and 2.2. Calcium carbonate particles, used primarily as bridging agents since they come in a wide range of sizes, also contribute to fluid density. A constant volume fraction of calcium carbonate was added to the representative oil-based drilling fluids in the form of Safe-Carb 20. The rheological properties of drilling fluids influence the removal of drilled cuttings, and unsatisfactory performance can lead to major problems such as stuck pipe, loss of circulation, and even a blowout. Xanthan gum is used to control the rheology of water-based drilling fluids, enhancing the low-shear rate viscosity (Caenn, Darley and Gray, 2011). Xanthan gum improves the shear-thinning capabilities of water-based drilling fluids, which was shown to increase the drill rate (Deily *et al.*, 1967) due to the ease of pumping at high shear rates, and, which means it can adequately suspend drilled cuttings at low shear rates (Caenn, Darley and Gray, 2011).

In this chapter, representative water and oil-based drilling fluids and their filter cakes are analysed. Shear viscosity against shear stress curves of the water-based fluids are used to check their rheological behaviour and to ensure uniform mixing. Filtrate volume curves are used to assess the filtration and to evaluate filter cake permeability. The thickness of these filter cakes is measured, and, for the water-based fluids, the cake porosity and strength are determined. The yield stresses of cakes made from representative water-based fluids are compared with those of model water-based fluids. For the model fluids, the yield stress variation with particle size and particle volume fraction in the fluid are also discussed.

3.2. Drilling fluid properties

The compositions of the representative water and oil-based drilling fluids are shown in Tables 2.1 and 2.2. These compositions were selected to capture the range of typical drilling fluid performance and to establish holistic benchmark results, which were then used to compare with the model fluids. For the representative fluids, compositions commonly used in the field were explored, which included typical drilling fluids (WBM1, OBM126 and OBM128), high density fluids (WBM HD and OBM188), high fluid loss fluids (WBM HF), and, high fluid loss and high density fluids (WBM HF(HD)). The rheological and filtration results obtained were generally in agreement with those found at the BP Wells laboratories in Sunbury, validating the drilling fluid preparation procedure.

3.2.1. Rheological measurements

Rheology curves of the representative water-based drilling fluids are shown in Figure 3.1. As discussed in Section 2.2.1.1., the Kinexus rheometer was used to perform viscometry tests on fluid samples, from which shear viscosities at fixed shear stresses were obtained. In Figure 3.1, the water-based fluids exhibit high viscosities at low shear stresses, and, as the shear stress increases, the fluids yield and the viscosities decrease until a constant low value at high shear stresses. This shear-thinning behaviour is consistent with water-based drilling fluids that contain xanthan gum, and, as discussed in Section 2.2.1.1., the high viscosity at low shear stresses indicates that the xanthan gum has been well mixed. As shown in Table 2.1, WBM1 and WBM HF as well as WBM HD and WBM HF(HD) have the same barite volume fraction, but WBM HF and WBM HF(HD) are without fluid loss materials (Drispac and starch). Comparing

the rheology curves given by these representative water-based fluids in Figure 3.1, for fluids with the same barite volume fraction, the addition of Drispac and starch increases fluid viscosity at low and high shear stresses. This increase in fluid viscosity is more pronounced at intermediate shear stresses when the fluids yield. Figure 3.1 also shows that a four-fold increase in the barite volume fraction, from WBM1 to WBM HD and from WBM HF to WBM HF(HD), leads to a greater increase in fluid viscosity at low and high shear stresses. Again, this increase is more pronounced at intermediate shear stresses. At all shear stresses, the rheology curve given by the model water-based fluid, SC2, lies roughly in between the WBM1 and WBM HF, and, is only composed of water, barite, xanthan gum and magnesium oxide, the rheological behaviour of the representative fluids is dominated by these materials.



Figure 3.1: Shear viscosity against shear stress curves of water-based drilling fluids, obtained using the Kinexus rheometer (see Section 2.2.1.1.)

3.2.2. Filtration measurements

The filtrate volume over time curves of the representative water-based drilling fluids are shown in Figure 3.2. Over a filtration time of 30 mins, all the oil-based fluids had a total filtrate volume of less than 4 ml, producing almost impermeable filter cakes within the first minute of filtration. Therefore, these curves were omitted from Figure 3.2. The filtrate volume curves

in Figure 3.2 show that the water-based fluids containing fluid loss materials have significantly lower filtrate volumes over time than the fluids without fluid loss materials. The filtrate volume curves of the water-based fluids without fluid loss materials are non-linear near the start of filtration, which may be due to cake restructuring.



Figure 3.2: Filtrate volume against filtration time curves of representative water-based drilling fluids for a static filtration at 690 kPa for 30 mins

The permeability of each cake made from representative water-based drilling fluids was obtained using the linear portion of the *t/V* vs *V* curves in Figure 3.3 and Equation 1.9. The cake permeabilities as well as the total filtrate volumes and cake porosities are summarised in Table 3.1. Although different pressures were used for filtration, drilling fluid filter cakes have been found with porosities below 0.4 (Elkatatny, Mahmoud and Nasr-El-Din, 2013) whilst filter cakes made from particulate-based fluids have been found with porosities exceeding 0.4 (Chellappah, Tarleton and Wakeman, 2010; Lorenzen, Keiding and Christensen, 2017), which agree with the porosities in Table 3.1. The representative water-based fluids containing fluid loss materials (Drispac and starch) have total filtrate volumes that are at least 16 ml lower than the corresponding fluids without fluid loss materials, as shown in Table 3.1. The addition of fluid loss materials also leads to a reduction in cake porosity and permeability. A four-fold increase in the barite volume fraction from sample WBM1 to WBM HD leads to an increase in total filtrate volume and a decrease in cake porosity. However, the total filtrate volume is expected to decrease to be consistent with observations from Figure 5.1, where the

total filtrate volume decreases as the barite volume fraction increases. For the fluids without fluid loss materials, a four-fold increase in the barite volume fraction leads to a 1.5 ml decrease in total filtrate volume and a decrease of 0.09 in cake porosity (comparing WBM HF with WBM HF(HD) in Figure 3.3 and Table 3.1).



Figure 3.3: Filtration time/filtrate volume against filtrate volume curves of representative water-based drilling fluids for a static filtration at 690 kPa for 30 mins

The total filtrate volumes of the representative drilling fluids are lower than those of all the model drilling fluids, as shown by comparing Figure 3.3 with Figures 5.1, 5.2 and 5.3. In Table 3.1, for the model drilling fluids, a four-fold increase in the barite volume fraction, from SC2 to SC5, leads to a 17 ml decrease in total filtrate volume and a decrease of 0.08 in cake porosity. The significant reduction in the filtrate volume of these model fluids, compared to the representative fluids, is due to the lack of potassium chloride and HMP clay (which are present in the representative fluids WBM HF and WBM HF(HD)), since these are the only materials that differ. The addition of potassium chloride and HMP clay also leads to a significant reduction in cake porosity and permeability as shown in Table 3.1. However, the trends are similar for the representative and model water-based fluids. The porosity decreases by roughly 20% whilst the permeability increases for all the sample pairs in Table 3.1 in which the barite volume fraction increases by four-fold and the volume fractions of the other components stay constant.

Sample	Total filtrate volume (ml)	Permeability (x10 ⁻¹⁶ m ²)	Porosity			
WBM1	4.2	0.01	0.27			
WBM HD	7.7	0.17	0.21			
WBM HF	25.0	0.32	0.36			
WBM HF(HD)	24.0	1.28	0.27			
SC2	44.0	1.74	0.50			
SC5	27.0	1.94	0.42			

Table 3.1: Filter cake properties of representative and model water-based samples. Compositions are shown in Tables 2.1 and 2.3. Total filtrate volumes were for a static filtration at 690 kPa for 30 mins

3.3. Filter cake properties

3.3.1. Filter cake thickness

The thickness of filter cakes of the representative drilling fluids was obtained using the procedure outlined in Section 2.2.2.3. Table 3.2 shows that filter cakes made from waterbased fluids containing fluid loss materials have lower thicknesses than those without such materials, for an equivalent volume fraction of barite. A four-fold increase in the volume fraction of barite leads to an increase in thickness (1.0 mm with fluid loss materials and 2.4 mm without). For the oil-based fluids, changing the oil-water ratio does not affect cake thickness: OBM126 with a ratio of 60/40 has the same thickness as OBM128 with a ratio of 80/20. A three-fold increase in the volume fraction of barite, from OBM128 to OBM188, increases the cake thickness by 0.3 mm.

Table 3.2: Measured filter cake thickness and yield stress values for representative waterbased and oil-based drilling fluids. Cakes were made via static filtration at 690 kPa for 30 mins. Some yield stresses could not be obtained because the cakes were either too thin or had too strong an adhesion to the nylon filter membrane to be separated for testing. Repeat yield stress measurements were run for some samples and their standard errors are shown

Filter cake sample	Thickness (mm)	Yield stress (kPa)
WBM1	0.3	-
WBM HD	1.3	9.3 ± 2.2
WBM HF	1.0	18.1 ± 1.2
WBM HF(HD)	3.4	57.4
OBM188	1.5	-
OBM126	1.2	-
OBM128	1.2	-

3.3.2. Stress-strain measurements

The strength of filter cakes made from representative drilling fluids was analysed using the procedure outlined in Section 2.2.2.2. Filter cakes produced from oil-based fluids had a strong adhesion to the nylon membrane, which meant that these cakes could not be detached for strength testing. The cake made from WBM1 was extremely thin with a thickness of 0.3 mm (Table 3.2), which meant that the cake lacked a rigid enough structure for strength testing. Figure 3.4 shows the stress-strain curves for the water-based fluids. The stress initially increases linearly as force is applied onto the cake surface. As the filter cake undergoes deformation, there is an approximately linear relationship between stress and strain in the elastic region preceding the critical yield stress, as expected from a predominantly elastic material such as filter cakes (Cerasi et al., 2001). For some filter cakes, such as WBM HF(HD), there is a lag before this linear portion where the stress-strain curve is almost flat. This lag may represent the plunger contacting a thin fluid layer which has remained on the cake surface and has no structural integrity; hence, low stresses are seen as this layer is strained. At the yield stress, the integrity of the filter cake is broken and the cake 'fails'. Beyond this point, the cake continues to strain but the structure can no longer sustain the applied stress, and, the shape of the curve is likely to be influenced by friction with the walls, as the plunger forces cake material into the opening.



Figure 3.4: Stress-strain curves for representative water-based drilling fluids

Figure 3.4 shows that the samples with a high barite volume fraction (WBM HD and WBM HF(HD)) have stress-strain curves with steeper gradients, meaning they are more resistant to being strained. In Table 3.2, for the water-based fluids with a high barite volume fraction, the addition of fluid loss materials decreases the cake yield stress by 48.1 kPa, whilst, as shown in Figure 3.4, the strains at which the cakes yield are similar. Conversely, as shown in Figure 3.1, the addition of fluid loss materials to these fluids increases the fluid yield stress, as measured using the Kinexus rheometer. In Figure 3.4, for the samples that lack fluid loss materials (WBM HF and WBM HF(HD)), a four-fold increase in the barite volume fraction leads to a three-fold increase in cake yield stress. As shown in Figure 3.1, the yield stresses of these fluids follow a similar trend as the fluid yield stress increases with barite volume fraction.

3.4. Comparison with model filter cakes

3.4.1. Stress-strain measurements

Strength tests were performed on filter cakes made from model water-based drilling fluids, the compositions of which are outlined in Table 2.3. The solid particulate additives in these fluids were either barite or calcium carbonate. Size distributions of the particulate additives are shown in Figure 2.7. In Figure 3.5, the stress-strain curves of filter cakes composed of calcium carbonate are shown, except for that of SCal150 since the stresses are negligible. The stress-strain curves in Figure 3.5 are analogous to the curves in Figure 3.4 for representative fluids, as there is an approximately linear relationship between stress and strain whilst the filter cake deforms. For SC2, a lag before this linear portion is observed (similar to that of the WBM HF(HD) curve in Figure 3.4) which may represent the fluid layer on the cake surface being strained, as discussed in Section 3.3.2. The cake composed of the smallest calcium carbonate particles, SCal8, has a linear portion (preceding the yield stress) with the steepest gradient. All the calcium carbonate samples (with the same volume fraction of particles) yield at strains within a narrow range of between 0.44 and 0.48. For the barite-based cakes, the strain at which they yield decreases from 0.96 to 0.42 as the barite volume fraction is increased four-fold. As shown in Figure 3.4, this is analogous to the stress-strain response of filter cakes made from representative water-based drilling fluids, highlighting the similarities between representative and model fluids: a four-fold increase in the barite volume fraction leads to a decrease in yield strain from 0.92 to 0.58. Also, in Figure 3.4, for representative

fluids, cakes with the same barite volume fraction yield at a narrower range of strains of between 0.49 and 0.58. Therefore, the particle volume fraction strongly influences the strain at which filter cakes yield. This is shown more clearly for model fluids in Figure 4.1, where the yield strain decreases as the barite volume fraction increases.



Figure 3.5: Stress-strain curves for filter cakes composed of calcium carbonate (SCal samples) or barite particles (SC samples); the numbers inside the square brackets in the legend are the particles' d50 value (as measured by the Malvern Mastersizer 2000) and the particle volume fraction in each sample

3.4.2. Filter cake yield stress

As shown in Figure 3.6, as the particle size in the model fluids decreases, the filter cake yield stress increases. The barite samples show that for particles of the same size, varying the particle volume fraction can lead to a variation in cake strength: cake yield stress increases by 49.1 kPa as the volume fraction of barite in the fluid increases from 3.1 vol% to 24.8 vol%. The rate of increase of yield stress with volume fraction is greatest at lower volume fractions, as the yield stress increases by 8.8 kPa per vol% between 3.1 vol% and 6.2 vol%, whilst between 6.2 vol% and 24.8 vol%, the rate decreases to 1.2 kPa per vol%.

In Table 3.2, for the representative water-based fluids, as the barite volume fraction increases from 6.2 vol% to 24.8 vol%, the yield stress increases by 39.3 kPa. For the barite-based cakes made from model fluids in Figure 3.6, the increase is 21.7 kPa for the same increase in barite

volume fraction, and these samples have yield stresses that are significantly higher than the representative samples with an equivalent volume fraction of barite. This suggests that potassium chloride and HMP clay in the representative fluids (since these are the only materials that differ) are weakening the filter cakes and increasing the dependence of yield stress on barite volume fraction. However, the trends are similar for the representative and model water-based samples, as the cake yield stress increases with barite volume fraction, which suggests that the model samples capture the particulate phase behaviour of the representative samples.



Figure 3.6: Yield stresses of filter cakes composed of calcium carbonate (SCal samples) or barite particles (SC samples); the number inside the square brackets in the legend is the particle volume fraction in each sample. Repeats were run for the sample with a barite loading of 6.2 vol% (SC2) and the standard error was 8%; error bars are not shown for clarity

3.5. Conclusions

In this chapter, the rheological and filtration behaviour of representative water and oil-based drilling fluids were studied. The properties of their filter cakes were explored and compared to those produced from model water-based drilling fluids. The shear-thinning behaviour, which is consistent with fluids containing xanthan gum, was shown by the shear viscosity against shear stress curves of the water-based fluids. Filtrate volume curves were used to evaluate the filtration of water-based fluids, and oil-based fluids produced almost impermeable filter cakes with filtrate volumes of less than 4 ml. The addition of fluid loss materials, Drispac and starch, to water-based fluids led to a reduction in cake porosity and permeability, and a reduction of at least 16 ml in total filtrate volume. For both water-based and oil-based fluids, cake thickness increased significantly as the volume fraction of barite in the fluid increased. For the oil-based fluids, changing the oil-water ratio did not affect cake thickness. The stress-strain response and yield stress of filter cakes made from representative water-based fluids were analysed. Water-based fluids with fluid loss materials produced cakes with a yield stress 48.1 kPa lower than those without, whilst a four-fold increase in the barite volume fraction led to a three-fold increase in yield stress.

The benchmark results obtained from representative water-based drilling fluids were used to compare with model water-based drilling fluids. The rheology curve given by the model fluid, SC2, was roughly in between those of the representative fluids with the same barite volume fraction. Therefore, the rheological behaviour of the representative fluids was captured by having similar volume fractions of barite and xanthan gum in the model fluids. Comparing the filtration of representative and model fluids with the same barite volume fractions, the addition of potassium chloride and HMP clay significantly reduced the total filtrate volume, cake porosity and permeability, and the addition of fluid loss materials, Drispac and starch, reduced these further. However, when the barite volume fraction was increased by four-fold, the trends were similar for the representative and model fluids as the porosity decreased by roughly 20% whilst the permeability increased. By increasing the barite volume fraction by the same amount in both representative and model fluids, a similar decrease in the cake yield strain was observed. For an equivalent volume fraction of barite, the cakes made from model fluids had significantly higher yield stresses than those made from representative fluids. This implies that potassium chloride and HMP clay in representative fluids weakened the filter cakes. However, the trends were similar for the representative and model fluids, as the cake yield stress increased with barite volume fraction. Overall, by capturing the barite-dominated particulate phase behaviour of the representative fluids, the model fluids were able to produce similar trends in the rheological, filtration and stress-strain results to the representative fluids.

Chapter 4. Filter cake yield stress behaviour

The results from this chapter have been published in Chemical Engineering Science: X:

Nikzad Falahati, Alexander F. Routh, Kuhan Chellappah. *The effect of particle properties and solids concentration on the yield stress behaviour of drilling fluid filter cakes*. Chemical Engineering Science: X, 2020, 7: 100062. DOI: 10.1016/j.cesx.2020.100062.

4.1. Introduction

The strength of a filter cake is important during drilling. If a cake ruptures, drilling fluid will be allowed to infiltrate the wellbore rock and the occurrence of wellbore stability issues may increase. A strong filter cake can contribute to wellbore strengthening, increasing the maximum pressure a wellbore can withstand (Guo *et al.*, 2014). Filter cakes predominantly behave as elastic solids (Cerasi *et al.*, 2001), and the yield stress marks the transition from elastic behaviour, where the cake is considered competent to a plastic flow regime (Cook *et al.*, 2016), beyond which the cake begins to rupture. In this chapter, filter cakes made from model water-based drilling fluids were tested to determine cake properties such as porosity, thickness and yield stress. The effects of drilling fluid particle concentration, size distribution and shape on the properties of the resulting cakes were investigated. The model fluids, the composition and mixing procedure of which are discussed in Section 2.3.2., were made using typical drilling fluid components. As discussed in Chapter 3, some of the model fluids made of calcium carbonate or barite were used to compare with representative drilling fluids.

As discussed in Section 1.2.2.3., techniques have been developed to characterise filter cake strength (Zamora, Lai and Dzialowski, 1990; Bailey *et al.*, 1998; Cerasi *et al.*, 2001; Tan and Amanullah, 2001; Cook *et al.*, 2016), but, in general, research involving direct measurements of cake strength has been relatively scarce. Bailey et al. (1998) developed a hole punch test to simulate the shear rupture of a filter cake in a simple and inexpensive manner. The technique directly measures the force required for cake rupture so that the yield stress can be determined. Nandurdikar et al. (2002) and Hao et al. (2016) also used a similar hole punch method to carry out cake strength tests. To check the reliability of the hole punch method, Bailey et al. (1998) compared it with the vane method, which uses a bladed vane sensor to

find the maximum torque through a cake sample, and the squeeze-film method, which uses parallel plates to squeeze a cake sample whilst recording the force. All three methods produced consistent results suggesting each to be reliable, but the hole punch method was favoured because it required neither the thick filter cakes used in the vane method nor the complex equipment used in the squeeze-film method.

In this work, an experimental setup similar to that of Bailey et al. (1998) was used to find the shear stress of filter cakes, obtaining a yield stress from the measured peak force. Typical stress-strain curves obtained using the hole punch test are presented, and the influence of solids volume fraction, shape and size distribution on the cake yield stress as well as other cake properties are investigated. The relationships between cake properties, such as porosity, thickness and yield stress, are explored.

4.2. Results

4.2.1. Stress-strain measurements

Typical stress-stain curves for samples with varying solids volume fraction and particle shape are shown in Figures 4.1 and 4.2. From Figure 4.1, the stress is seen to initially increase linearly as force is applied onto the cake surface. The filter cake undergoes deformation due to this applied load, accounted for via monitoring displacement of the plunger and used to calculate the strain. In the elastic region preceding the critical yield stress, there is an approximately linear relationship between stress and strain, as expected from a predominantly elastic material such as filter cakes. At the yield stress, the integrity of the filter cake is broken and the cake 'fails'. Applying load past this point continues to strain the cake, but the structure can no longer sustain the applied stress. The shape of the curve after the yield point may also be influenced by friction with the walls, as the plunger forces cake material into the opening.


Figure 4.1: Stress-strain curves for samples with varying barite compositions

Figure 4.2 shows that the particles with the highest circularity, glass spheres and calcium carbonate, produce cakes with stress-strain curves that have the steepest gradients. This suggests that these cakes are more resistant to being strained. The cakes made from lower circularity particles have more gradual stress-strain curves up to the yield stress. For barite and talc particles, the strain at which these cakes yield is significantly higher at 0.96 and 0.88, than with the more spherical base particles.



Figure 4.2: Stress-strain curves for samples that have varying particle shape; the number inside square brackets in the legend is the circularity of each particle

4.2.2. Varying solids volume fraction

In Figure 4.1, from a barite solids loading of 6.2 vol% to 24.8 vol%, the strain at which the cake fails decreases from 0.96 to 0.42. Also noted is that the yield stress increases with increasing solids content in the fluid; from a yield stress of 16.5 kPa at 3.1 vol% to 65.6 kPa at 24.8 vol%. The effects of varying the solids volume fraction in the fluid on the cake yield stress is illustrated in Figure 4.3. The yield stress increases with increasing solids volume fraction for both ultra-fine calcium carbonate and barite samples. Calcium carbonate samples were stronger than the barite equivalents with yield stresses showing an increase of between 29% and 56%. Bailey et al. (1998) who performed filtration to completion with cake compaction and had yield stresses that were around 10 times higher than those presented here, also found that correspondingly sized calcium carbonate filter cakes gave higher yield stresses than barite weighted filter cakes.



Figure 4.3: Yield stress for cakes made from fluids with varying volume percentage of barite or calcium carbonate. Repeats were run for the sample with a barite loading of 6.2 vol% (SC2) and the standard error was 8%; error bars are not shown for clarity

4.2.3. Varying particle shape

Particles of varying circularities were tested: glass spheres, calcium carbonate, barite, talc and cellulose microcrystalline. These particles were mixed into fluids at a constant volume fraction of 6.2 vol%. Apart from cellulose microcrystalline, these particles had similar median particle sizes (see Table 2.5 for d50 values and circularities).

Figure 4.4 shows a plot of yield stress versus porosity for the filter cakes containing the various shaped particles. It is seen that cakes containing glass spheres and calcium carbonate (most circular) were strongest with yield stress values of around 64.0 kPa. These cakes also contained the highest filter cake solids fractions (lowest porosity); glass spheres produced the lowest porosity cakes out of all the samples tested at 0.34. Conversely, the less circular talc and cellulose microcrystalline particles produced filter cakes with high porosities of around 0.65. These filter cakes were also amongst the weakest, with talc and cellulose microcrystalline cakes giving yield stress values of 22.1 kPa and 2.9 kPa, respectively.



Figure 4.4: Cake yield stress for cakes of different porosities, made from samples with varying particle shape; the number inside square brackets in the legend is the circularity of each particle

4.2.4. Varying particle size distribution

The spans of the particle size distributions used were calculated using Equation 4.1 and plotted against yield stress as shown in Figure 4.5. In Equation 4.1, *D*10, *D*50 and *D*90 are the particle sizes given by the intercepts for 10%, 50% and 90% of the cumulative volume of particles. Generally, filter cake yield stress increases as the span increases. However, DB3, which is composed of a combination of ultra-fine and coarse calcium carbonate, has the largest span at 12.8 but a significantly lower yield stress than is expected from the general trend. This trend may be due to a decrease in porosity as the span increases up to a span of 6 (Kinnarinen, Tuunila and Häkkinen, 2017), thereby increasing the interparticle contact surface area. Whilst for larger spans, as is the case with DB3, porosity has been found to increase with span (Peronius and Sweeting, 1985). The blended ratio (B1X and B2X) samples display opposite trends: the yield stress increases for the 6.2 vol% samples.



$$Span = \frac{D90 - D10}{D50}$$
 (4.1)

Figure 4.5: Cake yield stress for cakes of different spans; the span of the calcium carbonate particles in the sample was used to create the graph

4.3. Discussions

4.3.1. Yield stress and thickness

To understand how cake thickness and yield stress vary with filtration time, tests were performed on cakes produced over different filtration times of 5, 10, 15, 20 and 30 minutes. Samples SC2 (6.2 vol%) and SC5 (24.8 vol%) were chosen since, after 30 minutes of filtration, these samples produced cakes with a wide range of yield stresses, as shown in Figure 4.3. In Figure 4.6, the thickness of SC2 and SC5 cakes increased with filtration time. For SC2, both the measured peak force and the yield stress increase exponentially with filtration time and cake thickness. For SC5, there is an almost linear increase in both the measured peak force and yield stress.



Figure 4.6: Cake yield stress and peak force results for barite samples filtered for 5, 10, 15, 20 and 30 minutes which gives differing cake thicknesses. Repeats were run for SC2 filtered for 30 minutes and the standard error of the yield stress was 8%; error bars are not shown for clarity

The relationship between cake yield stress and cake thickness for all samples tested (made via static filtration at 690 kPa for 30 mins) is shown in Figure 4.7, including the SC2 and SC5 cakes in Figure 4.6 that were produced after 30 minutes of filtration. With low solids volume fraction in the fluid (SC1 and SC2), increasing the volume fraction increases the yield stress

significantly. As expected, the cake thickness increases with solids volume fraction. Replacing the barite with an equivalent volume of calcium carbonate in the fluid produced filter cakes that are thicker, by between 0.50 and 0.93 mm, and are also stronger.



Figure 4.7: Cake yield stress for cakes of different thicknesses (made via static filtration at 690 kPa for 30 mins). The insert shows the effect on yield stress as the blended ratio (the number after the 'X' in the sample name), and so the proportion of coarser particles, increases

The addition of calcium carbonate particles of different sizes to the barite samples generally increased the cake yield stress, as shown by comparing the B1X samples to SC1 and the B2X and DB samples to SC2. This could mean that the calcium carbonate is supplementing the core barite structure as shown in Figure 4.8, whilst for two DB samples (DB3 and DB4), the calcium carbonate is having a detrimental effect. The DB samples are of similar thickness, yet the strongest cake, DB7, has a yield stress that is approximately double that of DB3, which may be due to DB7 having a lower porosity than DB3. Again, the blended ratio samples display opposite trends. As shown in the insert in Figure 4.7, for samples with 3.1 vol% barite, the yield stress decreases as the blended ratio, and so the proportion of coarser particles, increases; for samples with 6.2 vol% barite, the yield stress increases as the blended ratio increases.



Figure 4.8: Calcium carbonate particles supplementing the core barite network

As was shown in Figure 4.7, the calcium carbonate particles generally seem to be supplementing the core barite network, leading to higher yield stresses for the samples that include both particles as opposed to barite only. The strength enhancement observed could be a result of either an increase in the interparticle contact surface area and/or a material property of calcium carbonate. It has been found for barite and calcium carbonate samples with a similar particle size distribution, the latter were observed to form filter cakes with significantly higher yield stresses (Bailey *et al.*, 1998). From Figure 4.3, a similar observation can be made as the calcium carbonate samples have yield stresses that are between 9.2 kPa (3.1 vol%) and 19.8 kPa (6.2 vol%) higher than the barite equivalents. It seems consistent that the increase in yield stress is more pronounced at the higher solids loading as more particle contacts will amplify any effects of increased cohesion between calcium carbonate particles. Also, the calcium carbonate only cakes are thicker (Figure 4.7) and less porous (Figure 4.9) than their barite only equivalents, hence will have a higher interparticle contact surface area. The yield stress is likely to be proportional to this surface area and so these cakes have a higher yield stress.

4.3.2. Yield stress and porosity

The relationship between cake yield stress and cake porosity for all samples tested (made via static filtration at 690 kPa for 30 mins) is shown in Figure 4.9, including the cakes made from samples with varying particle shape as shown in Figure 4.4. As porosity decreases, yield stress increases, with the cakes containing the various shaped particles giving the most extreme values. From Figure 4.9, it can be seen that glass spheres give around the highest yield stress

for the least porous cake; conversely, talc and cellulose microcrystalline give the lowest yield stresses with the highest porosities. The talc particles for instance have a plate-like shape (Bumiller, Carson and Prescott, 2002) and low circularity, and hence, may pack in sheet-like layers that are individually quite porous. Note that an arrangement of overlapping sheets may yet form a barrier of low permeability despite the filter cake's high porosity (due to the porous sheets). This sheet-like structure may be the reason for the low measured yield stress, because the resistance is derived from breaking these sheets as opposed to overcoming numerous particle contacts. Conversely, the high solids content glass sphere filter cakes gave a higher yield stress, presumably due to the high resistance required to restructure the tighter and more rigid packing structure.



Figure 4.9: Cake yield stress for cakes of different porosities (made via static filtration at 690 kPa for 30 mins)

As the barite volume fraction increases, the porosity decreases and the yield stress increases almost linearly. All the DB and B2X cakes have porosities that are between 0.08 and 0.14 lower than that of SC2, and, the B1X cakes have porosities that are between 0.10 and 0.14 lower than that of SC1. This reduction in cake porosity could be a consequence of the introduction of different particle sizes as calcium carbonate is added to the barite: the barite only samples (SC1 and SC2) have a span of 2.0, which is relatively low compared to the DB samples (Figure 4.5). As a filter cake grows, fresh particles approaching the cake could either deposit on the cake's surface or within interstitial voids. When the homogeneous suspension being filtered consists of particles of a broad size distribution, this promotes the occurrence of the latter scenario whereby the finer particles in the distribution can migrate into pre-existing void spaces within the filter cake structure. This interstitial filling decreases porosity as the cake grows. Conversely, with a narrow size distribution, fewer particles are available which are fine enough to penetrate the filter cake's structure. Hence, filter cake growth will tend to involve a greater proportion of particles depositing on the cake's surface. This layered deposition will increase filter cake thickness more rapidly but has less of an impact on porosity. Both scenarios lead to an increase in total interparticle contact as the cake grows, and hence the cake's yield stress increases with filtration time (see Figure 4.6 for example).

Furthermore, the data gathered suggests that a broad size distribution tends to give cakes with a higher yield stress (Figure 4.5). Hence it may be postulated that the invasion of new particles into the filter cake structure followed by deposition within pre-existing voids is more beneficial to cake strength than a layered deposition mechanism. Intuitively, this could be due to two reasons: (a) particles depositing within pre-existing voids tend to form more contact points (per particle) compared to particles depositing on the cake's surface, and (b) the decreased void space surrounding particles make it more difficult for the structural rearrangements required during shearing. The preceding arguments are also consistent with Figures 4.7 and 4.9 which show the DB filter cake samples to give similar thicknesses but a range of porosity and yield stress values. However, the DB3 sample, which is composed of ultra-fine and coarse calcium carbonate (in addition to barite), gave the largest span of the samples tested but also an unexpectedly low yield stress. This apparent anomaly may be explained by the formation of segregated packing zones (non-homogeneous) within the filter cake when the size distribution is broad and concentrated at both ends of the distribution. In this case, the voids left behind by the coarse particles may be too large to effectively trap individual (or small clusters of) fine particles. This is analogous to the Brazil nut effect caused by vibrations in granular systems (Rosato et al., 1987), but in the case of filter cakes, it is caused by pressure-induced flow. It has been found that the Brazil nut segregation effect is strongest for mixtures with the largest particle size ratio (Liao, 2016) and the introduction of intermediate particle sizes have been found to reduce segregation of the particle mixture (Metzger, Remy and Glasser, 2011).

4.4. Conclusions

Filter cakes made from different formulations were tested to assess the cake strength in relation to other cake properties and properties of the drilling fluid. Cake strength, measured as the yield stress, was improved by several factors. By increasing the barite solid loading in the drilling fluid from 3.1 vol% to 24.8 vol%, the cake yield stress increased from 16.5 kPa to 65.6 kPa. A similar trend was observed for cakes made from ultra-fine calcium carbonate. Furthermore, these cakes were stronger with yield stresses increasing by between 29% and 56% as compared to the barite equivalents. The increase in strength may be due to a higher interparticle contact surface area, which is a result of the calcium carbonate cakes being thicker and less porous than their barite equivalents. Fresh particles approaching the cake can either deposit on the cake's surface, increasing the cake's thickness, or within interstitial voids, decreasing the cake's porosity. A broad particle size distribution in the fluid encourages interstitial filling as finer particles migrate into pre-existing voids. Both scenarios lead to an increase in the total interparticle contact as the cake grows. However, broadening the particle size distribution in the cake tended to give stronger cakes of a similar thickness, as was illustrated by adding calcium carbonate of different sizes to barite-loaded fluids. Hence it was postulated that the deposition of new particles within pre-existing voids is more beneficial to cake strength than a layered deposition mechanism.

Chapter 5. Filter cake internal structure

5.1. Introduction

This chapter explores the filtration behaviour and internal pore structure of cake samples made via static filtration tests. For the filtration behaviour analysis, the theory describing the relationship between filtrate volume and time, as discussed in Section 1.2.2.1., was used to produce filtration plots and to calculate cake permeability. To gain a better understanding of the filtration, images of the internal pore structure of filter cake samples were captured using X-ray Computed Tomography (XRCT) imaging. Furthermore, this analysis supports the work in Chapter 4 on filter cake strength.

As discussed in Section 1.2.2.6., techniques such as SEM, ESEM and CT have been used to analyse filter cake structures. SEM with shock-freezing/freeze-drying to prepare the cake sample for imaging has been used (Chenevert and Huycke, 1991; Plank and Gossen, 1991; Argillier, Audibert and Longeron, 1997; Yao et al., 2014). However, the process of shockfreezing and obtaining a sample of an appropriate size is destructive and may leave an altered cake structure. In addition, the small samples required for SEM imaging may not be representative of the cake structure. ESEM has been used as an alternative to SEM (Tare et al., 1999; Nandurdikar, Takach and Miska, 2002) since the cake can be imaged in its wet state and does not need to be treated. However, small sections of the cake still need to be prepared to use ESEM (Nandurdikar, Takach and Miska, 2002). CT scans of filter cakes, on ceramic disks as filter medium, have been performed in their wet and dry states to determine filter cake thickness and porosity (Elkatatny, Mahmoud and Nasr-El-Din, 2012, 2013). Filter cake samples made via static filtration were scanned in their original state, and porosity and thickness profiles were obtained. CT scanning is a non-destructive imaging approach, with minimal sample preparation required, and allows for the entire filter cake to be scanned, which means that more representative data of the cake structure can be obtained.

For this work, XRCT imaging was used on cake samples (as discussed in Section 2.2.2.5.) to visualise pore structures in the filter cakes. Quantitative data were acquired by producing 3D representations of the pore network. The pore distribution within each cake layer as well as the pore size distribution over all cake layers were analysed. In addition, pore volume fraction

profiles through the cake were evaluated. An investigation of the filtration behaviour was complemented by this knowledge of the pore structure.

5.2. Filtration behaviour

5.2.1. Filtration measurements

The filtration behaviour of samples with varying particle volume fractions are shown in Figure 5.1. The filtration plots are generally linear in most parts, but in some cases the permeability gradually declines towards the latter stages of filtration, as indicated by an upward curvature of the plots (increasing gradient). The non-linearity observed in the latter stages of some filtration plots (such as those for SCal1, SCal2 and SC1) may be due to cake restructuring during filtration in the form of dynamic cake compression (Christensen *et al.*, 2011). Since the filtration plots become more linear as the volume fraction of barite increases, less restructuring may be occurring in the filter cake. Arthur et al. (1988) also found that above a certain volume fraction of barite, filter cakes become less compressible as the barite volume fraction increases from 3.1 vol% to 24.8 vol%, the total filtrate volume over 30 mins decreases and the rate of filtrate volume decrease with volume fraction decreases: the filtrate volumes of samples with 18.6 vol% and 24.8 vol% barite are almost identical.

The addition of calcium carbonate leads to a significant decrease in the total filtrate volume, as seen by comparing the plots of SC1 with B1X1.0 and SC2 with B2X1.0. The addition of calcium carbonate (an amount that is equivalent to 3.0 vol%) seems to have the same effect on the filtration plots as doubling the barite volume fraction. This is shown in Figure 5.1 by comparing the plots of B1X1.0 and SC2 with that of SC1, and, the plots of B2X1.0 and SC3 with that of SC2. The samples which only contain calcium carbonate show a larger range in total filtrate volumes, when compared to the barite only samples, but the trend with volume fraction is consistent: increasing the calcium carbonate volume fraction leads to a decrease in the filtrate volume. However, whilst the samples with 3.1 vol% and 6.2 vol% calcium carbonate have much greater filtrate volumes than the barite equivalents, the sample with 24.8 vol% calcium carbonate has a similar filtration plot to the barite equivalent.



Figure 5.1: Filtration time/filtrate volume vs filtrate volume plots of some samples, displaying the general filtration behaviour. The numbers inside square brackets in the legend are the barite and calcium carbonate volume fractions (in %) in that order



Figure 5.2: Filtration time/filtrate volume vs filtrate volume plots of samples with varying particle shape. The number inside square brackets in the legend is the circularity of each particle

The filtration plots for samples with varying particle shapes are presented in Figure 5.2. As the particle circularity decreases, the total filtrate volume decreases; the total filtrate volume of the sample composed of glass spheres is almost four times greater at 107 ml than that of the cellulose microcrystalline sample at 27 ml. The filtrate volumes of the samples containing talc and cellulose microcrystalline are equivalent to that of the 24.8 vol% barite sample. The filtration plots for samples with varying particle size distributions are shown in Figure 5.3. All the samples have a similar filtration behaviour with roughly the same total filtrate volumes. However, samples with size distributions of the highest d50 (DB1 and DB2) have slightly lower filtrate volumes than those with the lowest d50 (DB5 and DB6).



Figure 5.3: Filtration time/filtrate volume vs filtrate volume plots of samples with varying particle size distributions. The number inside square brackets in the legend is the d50 size of each sample

5.2.2. Cake permeability

Figure 5.4 shows the variation of cake permeability, which was calculated using Equation 1.9, with solids vol% in the fluid sample. For the barite only samples (SC), there is a minimum cake permeability for the sample with 12.4 vol% barite, and a linear increase in cake permeability beyond this minimum. The calcium carbonate only sample has a permeability that is $3.76 \times 10^{-16} \text{ m}^2$ higher than the barite only equivalent, which may be due to the difference in particle size. For porous media, the Carman-Kozeny model (Equation 5.1) provides an estimate for

permeability, *k*, where ε is the porosity, S is the specific surface of the particles and *x* is the equivalent spherical particle size. As shown in Table 2.5, the calcium carbonate particles in SCal2 have a d50 of 19.2 µm whereas the barite particles in SC2 have a d50 of 9.7 µm, and, these particles have similar circularities. As shown in Figure 4.4, the calcium carbonate only cake has a similar porosity at 0.46 to that of the barite only cake at 0.50. According to Equation 5.1, for particles of similar shape and cakes of similar porosity, an increase in particle size leads to an increase in cake permeability.

$$k = \frac{\varepsilon^3}{5S^2(1-\varepsilon)^2} = \frac{\varepsilon^3 x^2}{180(1-\varepsilon)^2}$$
(5.1)

The samples with varying particle size distributions (DB) produced cakes with permeabilities that are within a narrow range of 0.46 x 10⁻¹⁶ m². The samples that contain talc and cellulose microcrystalline produced lower permeability cakes than the barite and calcium carbonate equivalents, whilst the cake containing glass spheres is the most permeable. Since the talc and cellulose microcrystalline cakes have high porosities of around 0.65 as shown in Figure 4.4, the low permeability that these cakes exhibit is unexpected. This may be a consequence of the plate-like shape of talc particles (Bumiller, Carson and Prescott, 2002), which may pack in sheet-like layers that are individually quite porous. An arrangement of such overlapping layers may form a barrier of low permeability despite the cake's high porosity.



Figure 5.4: Cake permeability of samples made from solids such as barite and/or calcium carbonate, glass spheres, talc and cellulose microcrystalline

5.3. XRCT imaging

5.3.1. Varying particle size distribution

The samples with varying particle size distributions (DB1 to DB6) were composed of water, barite, xanthan gum, magnesium oxide and calcium carbonate particles, as outlined in Section 2.3.2. The d50 of the calcium carbonate particles in each sample are shown in Table 5.1. The ortho slices for each sample are in Figures 5.5 to 5.10, with each figure displaying an ortho slice from the top, middle and bottom layers of each sample. The cakes shown in each ortho slice appear to be of different shapes, which is due to the image reconstruction process, but they are all circular with a diameter of between 23 and 30 mm. Images for all the samples were captured with a resolution of between 14 and 20 µm, except for samples DB1, DB3 and DB5 which had a resolution of 6 µm. The resolution of 6 µm was achieved using the stacked method, but, due to the destructive nature of the sample preparation in this method (as discussed in Section 2.2.2.5.), only DB1, DB3 and DB5 were scanned in this way. In addition, there were difficulties in extracting 3D representations of these samples using Amira-Avizo, possibly due to the lack of distinct peaks in greyscale value in the ortho slices (see Section 2.2.2.5.). Therefore, with the cake sample kept intact for scanning, 3D representations and quantitative data of the pore network were obtained from images with a resolution of between 14 and 20 µm. Scans of DB1 and DB3 were repeated at these resolutions to obtain quantitative data. At these resolutions, the smallest pores cannot be captured clearly, but, data on the pores larger than the resolution can be found, including the volume fraction of large pores (VLP) in the cake.

As shown in Table 5.1, the volume fraction of large pores in the entire cake (XRCT VLP) decreases from samples DB1 to DB6 whilst the average porosity of the cakes (measured using the drying rate method as discussed in Section 2.2.2.4.) stays roughly the same. This result is expected for cakes with similar packing structures: cakes consisting of coarse particles such as DB1 tend to give larger pores than cakes consisting of fine particles such as DB6. The total pore volume (and so the average porosity) stays roughly constant because there tends to be a larger number of smaller pores in the fine particle cake.

Sample	D50 (µm)	XRCT VLP	Average porosity
DB1	65.0	0.18	0.40
DB2	42.9	0.14	0.40
DB3	21.8	0.14	0.45
DB4	26.7	0.042	0.43
DB5	16.0	-	0.43
DB6	14.5	0.0014	0.43

Table 5.1: Properties of samples with varying particle size distribution. VLP is the volume fraction of large pores in the cake

5.3.1.1. Ortho slices

The visible pores in Figures 5.5 to 5.10 show that the VLP and pore sizes in the cake generally decrease with decreasing d50 of calcium carbonate particles in the sample. The XRCT VLPs in Table 5.1 reflect this observation. This trend is expected since DB1 is composed of calcium carbonate particles with a d50 of 65.0 μ m, which are likely to disrupt the network of the finer (d50 of 5.1 μ m) barite particles and produce larger pores, whilst DB6 has the finest particles with a d50 of 14.5 μ m, which produce a more tightly packed network with the barite. An anomaly to this trend is DB3 because, as shown by Figures 5.5 and 5.7, it has a VLP and pore sizes that are similar to those of DB1, whilst having particles with a d50 that is significantly lower. For most cakes, the VLP increases slightly traversing from the top layer to the bottom layer closest to the filter medium. DB1 and DB6 are mostly homogeneous throughout the layers.



Figure 5.5: Ortho slices of DB1 (d50 of 65.0 μ m) near top of cake (top image), centre of cake (centre) and near bottom of cake (bottom). Scale bar represents 5 mm

Figure 5.6: Ortho slices of DB2 (d50 of 42.9 μ m) near top of cake (top image), centre of cake (centre) and near bottom of cake (bottom). Scale bar represents 5 mm



Figure 5.7: Ortho slices of DB3 (d50 of 21.8 μ m) near top of cake (top image), centre of cake (centre) and near bottom of cake (bottom). Scale bar represents 5 mm

Figure 5.8: Ortho slices of DB4 (d50 of 26.7 μ m) near top of cake (top image), centre of cake (centre) and near bottom of cake (bottom). Scale bar represents 5 mm



Figure 5.9: Ortho slices of DB5 (d50 of 16.0 μ m) near top of cake (top image), centre of cake (centre) and near bottom of cake (bottom). Scale bar represents 5 mm



Figure 5.10: Ortho slices of DB6 (d50 of 14.5 μ m) near top of cake (top image), centre of cake (centre) and near bottom of cake (bottom). Scale bar represents 5 mm

5.3.1.2. 3D representation of pore networks

3D representations of the pores (larger than the resolution) in each sample were constructed using Amira-Avizo. The 3D pores are coloured using a default cyclic colourmap so that pores in close proximity are shown in a different colour. Each colour may represent an unconnected pore or a network of connected pores (as deemed by Amira-Avizo). A black background was used in some images (Figures 5.12, 5.14 and 5.15) to make the pore network clearer. Each image is composed of a stack of ten ortho slices, and the thickness of each stack is 0.23 mm for DB1, 0.21 mm for DB3, and 0.13 mm for DB2, DB4 and DB6. In Figures 5.11, 5.12 and 5.13, the VLP is lower in the top layer than in the middle and bottom layers, whilst in Figure 5.14, the middle layer has the highest VLP and the top layer the lowest. For DB2 (Figure 5.12) and DB4 (Figure 5.14), the pores in the top layer seem to be more concentrated in one area, which could be due to the top layer being more of a gel (composed of drilling fluid and filter cake) which has been newly formed. Therefore, the top layer is not as developed as other parts of the cake leading to the heterogenous structure observed. Comparing Figures 5.11 to 5.15, the VLP and pore sizes in each cake sample generally decrease as the d50 of the calcium carbonate in the fluid decreases.



Figure 5.11: 3D slices of DB1 (d50 of 65.0 μ m) near top of cake (top image), centre of cake (centre) and near bottom of cake (bottom). Each colour represents an unconnected pore or a connected pore network. Scale bar represents 5 mm



Figure 5.12: 3D slices of DB2 (d50 of 42.9 μ m) near top of cake (top image), centre of cake (centre) and near bottom of cake (bottom). Each colour represents an unconnected pore or a connected pore network. Scale bar represents 5 mm



Figure 5.13: 3D slices of DB3 (d50 of 21.8 μ m) near top of cake (top image), centre of cake (centre) and near bottom of cake (bottom). Each colour represents an unconnected pore or a connected pore network. Scale bar represents 5 mm



Figure 5.14: 3D slices of DB4 (d50 of 26.7 μ m) near top of cake (top image), centre of cake (centre) and near bottom of cake (bottom). Each colour represents an unconnected pore or a connected pore network. Scale bar represents 5 mm



Figure 5.15: 3D slices of DB6 (d50 of 14.5 μ m) near top of cake (top image), centre of cake (centre) and near bottom of cake (bottom). Each colour represents an unconnected pore or a connected pore network. Scale bar represents 5 mm

5.3.1.3. Pore network analysis

VLP profiles for the DB samples are shown in Figure 5.16. The cake VLP decreases as the d50 of the calcium carbonate particles in the sample decreases, as was also shown in Table 5.1. An anomaly to this trend is DB3 because it has a VLP similar to DB2, although, still following the trend, with a d50 of 21.8 µm, DB3's profile should be below that of DB4. Therefore, DB3 has a cake VLP that is higher than expected for the size of the particles in the fluid sample. This may be due to DB3 having the largest span at 12.8, as discussed in Section 4.2.4., which can lead to more porous cakes (Peronius and Sweeting, 1985). When the particle size distribution is concentrated at opposite ends of the distribution, as is the case with DB3, segregated packing zones may form within the filter cake. The coarse particles may be creating pores that are too large to effectively trap individual ultra-fine particles. This is similar to the Brazil nut effect caused by vibrations in granular systems (Rosato *et al.*, 1987). The Brazil nut segregation effect is found to be strongest for mixtures with larger particle size ratios (Liao, 2016), and so, for DB3, the voids left behind by the coarse particles are not being filled, hence, a greater VLP than expected is obtained.



Figure 5.16: VLP (volume fraction of large pores) in cake layers through cake samples with varying particle size distribution. A distance into cake of 0.0 is at the filter medium and 1.0 is at the top of cake. Note that DB6 has an average VLP of 0.0014

Figure 5.16 also shows that cake VLP increases traversing from the top layer to the bottom layer closest to the filter medium (DB6 appears flat due to its minimal VLP but the VLP increases from the top to the bottom of the cake also). This supports the idea of having segregated packing zones, as discussed in Section 4.3.2. Elkatatny et al. (2013) obtained porosity profiles that followed a similar trend to the VLP profiles in Figure 5.16. As discussed in Section 1.2.2.6., they found that for cakes made after 7.5 mins of filtration, the layer closer to the filter medium was more porous than the cake surface layer. However, since the VLP values in Figure 5.16 represent the volume fraction of pores larger than the resolution, it may be the case that there are a greater proportion of larger pores as compared to smaller pores in the bottom layers as opposed to the top layers, and so, the cake porosity may be more homogeneous than is suggested by Figure 5.16. Alternatively, pores smaller than the resolution could be more concentrated in the top layer, leading to the top layer being more porous than the bottom layer.



Figure 5.17: Cumulative volume distributions of pore sizes (larger than the resolution) in the bottom, middle and top layers of cake sample DB3 (d50 of 21.8 μ m)

The higher VLP observed in the bottom layers of each cake, as shown in Figure 5.16, contradicts the theory that the Brazil nut segregation effect may be occurring in some cakes. If it were occurring, there would be a greater proportion of larger pores in the top layers,

which would not be able capture the finer particles allowing them to pass through to the bottom layers. In Figure 5.17, the pore size distributions throughout sample DB3 show that there are larger pores in the bottom and middle layers compared to the top. Large pores at the bottom of the cake could be a result of the simultaneous settling of large particles during filtration, which leads to preferential packing of the large particles in the bottom layer. In addition, bridging filtration (Wakeman and Tarleton, 2005) may be occurring in the cake layers whereby, since the fluid sample contains a high concentration of particles, particles smaller than the size of the pores (created by the large particles) form a stable and permeable



Figure 5.18: Schematic showing bridging filtration as large particles (blue) form a large pore which is bridged over by smaller particles (green), blocking other particles (white) from entering. Arrows indicate the flow direction

bridge over the pore entrance, as shown in Figure 5.18. Such particle bridges can destabilise if the flow direction is varied considerably, but this is less likely to occur during static filtration.

Figure 5.19 shows the size distribution of pores larger than the resolution in each DB sample. Both plots in Figure 5.19 show the same pore size distribution, except the plot on the right has had the largest pore removed from the distributions of DB1, 2 and 3. The reason for this exclusion is that Amira-Avizo treated a cluster of pores to be one very large pore (significantly larger than any other pore in the samples, at around 4.5 mm for samples DB1 and DB2, and, 2.8 mm for sample DB3). For example, the large cluster in sample DB1 is illustrated in Figure 5.20 as the purple cluster in the left image and the blue cluster in the right image. Consequently, for samples DB1, 2 and 3, the plots on the left of Figure 5.19 exhibit pore size distributions skewed to the larger pore size range, which is not an accurate representation, and the corrected plots are shown on the right of Figure 5.19. Samples with calcium carbonate particles of a lower d50 have a greater proportion of smaller pores. Calcium carbonate particles that are closer in size to the fine barite particles with a d50 of 5.1 μ m, which form the core structure of the cake, are likely to be less disruptive to the structure. Hence, having a greater proportion of smaller particles is likely to produce a more densely packed cake (Andreasen, 1930; Dick *et al.*, 2000; Chellappah and Aston, 2012), resulting in a greater

proportion of smaller pores. Again, an anomaly to this trend is DB3 because it has the lowest proportion of smaller pores, although, still following the trend, with a d50 of 21.8 μ m, DB3's distribution should be in between that of DB4 and DB6. Having the largest span of 12.8, the coarse particles in DB3 may be creating pores that are too large to effectively trap individual ultra-fine particles, leading to a greater proportion of larger pores in DB3.



Figure 5.19: Cumulative volume distribution of pore sizes (larger than the resolution) for samples with varying particle size distribution. Both plots show the same distribution except in the plot to the right, the largest pore has been removed from the distribution



Figure 5.20: A 3D representation of the pore network (left) and the connected pore network only (right) of the whole of DB1 (d50 of 65.0 μ m). Note that these pores are larger than the resolution

5.3.2. Varying barite volume fraction

The samples with varying barite volume fractions (SC2 and SC5) were composed of water, xanthan gum, magnesium oxide and barite particles, as outlined in Section 2.3.2. The ortho slices for each sample are in Figures 5.21 and 5.22, with each figure displaying an ortho slice from the top, middle and bottom layers of each sample. Images for these samples were captured with a resolution of between 14 and 20 μm. As shown in Figures 5.21 and 5.22, the samples containing 6.2 vol% and 24.8 vol% barite produce cakes with a homogeneous pore structure within the cake. However, since these samples are composed of one species of fine particles (barite with a d50 of 5.1 μ m), the pores are likely to be smaller and more homogeneous than in the DB samples, which contain coarse calcium carbonate particles in addition to the barite. Hence, a greater proportion of the pores may have been below the resolution limit, and so, only the largest pores are visible. As shown in Figures 5.21 and 5.22 as well as in Figure 5.23, which shows the visible pore networks of the 6.2 vol% and 24.8 vol% cakes, the 24.8 vol% cake has a couple of large pores whilst the 6.2 vol% cake contains more medium and small-sized pores. The pore size distributions in Figure 5.24 show that the 24.8 vol% cake contains pores concentrated at both ends of the size distribution, where the finer end starts at 0.0175 mm due to the resolution limit, with few pores in between 0.03 mm and 0.3 mm. For the 6.2 vol% cake, the pore size distribution is much more linear, which means there is a similar volume of pores of each size.



Figure 5.21: Ortho slices of SC2 (6.2 vol% barite) near top of cake (top image), centre of cake (centre) and near bottom of cake (bottom). Scale bar represents 5 mm

Figure 5.22: Ortho slices of SC5 (24.8 vol% barite) near top of cake (top image), centre of cake (centre) and near bottom of cake (bottom). Scale bar represents 5 mm



Figure 5.23: 3D representations of the whole of SC2 (top) and SC5 (bottom), where SC5 is 3.8 mm thicker than SC2. Each colour represents an unconnected pore or a connected pore network. Scale bar represents 5 mm



Figure 5.24: Cumulative volume distribution of pore sizes (larger than the resolution) for samples containing 6.2 vol% (SC2) and 24.8 vol% (SC5) barite

5.3.3. Varying filtration time

Figure 5.25 shows the VLP in each 6.2 vol% barite cake produced after different filtration times and shows that cake VLP decreases with filtration time. Figures 5.26, 5.27 and 5.21 reflect this observation as they show that the 6.2 vol% barite cake produced after 30 mins of filtration has a noticeably lower VLP than those produced after 5 and 20 mins. This reduction in VLP may be the reason why the strength of the cake increases significantly from 20 to 30 mins as shown in Figure 4.6. The decrease in VLP may be due to the compression of the cake layers and/or the infiltration of smaller particles into the pre-existing voids. However, the samples are composed of barite particles only, which has a narrow size distribution (Figure 2.7), so the likelihood of smaller particles infiltrating pre-existing voids is low. The non-linearity of the filtration plot of the 6.2 vol% cake, as shown in Figure 5.1, also indicates cake restructuring during filtration in the form of dynamic cake compression (Christensen *et al.*, 2011).

Figures 5.28, 5.29 and 5.30 show 3D representations of the pores for the 6.2 vol% cake after 5, 20 and 30 mins of filtration. Each image is composed of a stack of ten ortho slices with a thickness of 0.13 mm. Figures 5.28 and 5.29 show that for the cakes produced after 5 and 20 mins, the VLP of each layer decreases traversing from top to bottom as the number of smaller

pores decreases. However, for the cake produced after 30 mins (Figure 5.30), the top layer has almost no pores whilst there are several scattered pores in the middle and bottom layers. This observation is supported by Figure 5.25 which shows the VLP in each cake layer: the 30 mins cake increases in VLP traversing from top to bottom, whilst the 20 mins and 5 mins cake display the opposite behaviour. Elkatatny et al. (2013) found analogous results for their filter cakes made from water-based polymer drilling fluids. As discussed in Section 1.2.2.6., cakes formed within the first 7.5 mins of filtration had a more porous top layer compared to the bottom layer whilst, after 7.5 mins, the bottom layer became the more porous layer. This reversal in porosity is also observed in Figure 5.25, except the reversal happens after 20 mins. The longer filtration time required for reversal may be due to the lower pressure used for filtration, 690 kPa, as compared to the pressure used by Elkatatny el al. of 2070 kPa. They also observed that the porosity of both layers decreased with filtration time. However, since the VLP values in Figure 5.25 represent the volume fraction of pores larger than the resolution, they are significantly lower than the average porosity (as measured using the drying rate method discussed in Section 2.2.2.4.) of the 6.2 vol% barite cake at 0.50, as shown in Figure 4.9. This means that the cakes may be more homogeneous or the porosity may vary differently than depicted in Figure 5.25.



Figure 5.25: VLP (volume fraction of large pores) in cakes over cake thickness starting at the filter medium at 0.0 mm. Cakes were produced via filtration of the 6.2 vol% barite fluid after different filtration times



Figure 5.26: Ortho slices of SC25 (5 mins filtration of 6.2 vol% barite fluid) near top of cake (top image), centre of cake (centre) and near bottom of cake (bottom). Scale bar represents 5 mm

Figure 5.27: Ortho slices of SC220 (20 mins filtration of 6.2 vol% barite fluid) near top of cake (top image), centre of cake (centre) and near bottom of cake (bottom). Scale bar represents 5 mm



Figure 5.28: 3D slices of SC25 (5 mins filtration of 6.2 vol% barite fluid) near top of cake (top image), centre of cake (centre) and near bottom of cake (bottom). Each colour represents an unconnected pore or a connected pore network. Scale bar represents 5 mm

Figure 5.29: 3D slices of SC220 (20 mins filtration of 6.2 vol% barite fluid) near top of cake (top image), centre of cake (centre) and near bottom of cake (bottom). Each colour represents an unconnected pore or a connected pore network. Scale bar represents 5 mm



Figure 5.30: 3D slices of SC2 (30 mins filtration of 6.2 vol% barite fluid) near top of cake (top image), centre of cake (centre) and near bottom of cake (bottom). Each colour represents an unconnected pore or a connected pore network. Scale bar represents 5 mm
5.4. Conclusions

The filtration behaviour and pore structure of filter cakes made from different formulations were studied. The analysis supports the understanding of the cake strength studies in Chapter 4. The filtration behaviour was analysed using filtration plots based on the volume of filtrate collected over time. The plots showed that as the solids (barite or calcium carbonate) volume fraction increased from 3.1 vol% to 24.8 vol%, the total filtrate volume decreased. The addition of calcium carbonate to the barite only samples led to a significant decrease in the total filtrate volume. For the barite only samples, the filtration plots became more linear as the volume fraction increased, which may have been due to a decrease in cake restructuring during filtration. For the barite only samples, there was a minimum cake permeability at a volume fraction of 12.4 vol%, whilst the calcium carbonate only sample had a permeability that was 3.76 x 10⁻¹⁶ m² higher than the barite only equivalent. As shown by the Carman-Kozeny model, for particles of the same shape and cakes of the same porosity, this increase in permeability may have been due to the calcium carbonate particles being larger than the barite particles. Filter cakes made from talc and cellulose microcrystalline had the lowest permeability despite their high porosities. For talc, this may have been due to the plate-like shape of the particles, leading to overlapping layers that are individually porous but form a barrier to fluid flow.

XRCT images of the filter cake samples were analysed and Amira-Avizo was used to produce 3D representations of the pore network. Amira-Avizo was also used to obtain quantitative data such as pore size distributions and VLP within the cake layers. The images and data showed that as the d50 of calcium carbonate particles increased, the VLP and pore sizes increased. For the samples with varying particle size distributions, there was a higher VLP in the bottom layers of the cake. This is evidence of segregated packing zones being formed. However, the top layer was expected to have a higher VLP than the bottom layer, so, the segregated packing zones may have been formed via bridging filtration in the cake layers. For the 6.2 vol% barite cakes produced after different filtration times, the cake produced after 30 mins had a noticeably lower VLP than those produced after 5 and 20 mins. This reduction in VLP may be the reason why, as shown in Section 4.3.1., the strength of the cake increased significantly from 20 to 30 mins. The 30 mins cake increased in VLP traversing from top to

bottom, whilst the 20 mins and 5 mins cake displayed the opposite behaviour. This suggests that segregation may be strongest during the initial 20 mins of filtration, after which bridging filtration may be more dominant.

Chapter 6. Simulation of particle systems

6.1. Introduction

The study of particle systems, which represent the filter cake, was performed using the discrete element method (DEM) (Cundall and Strack, 1979). Code was developed to simulate the hole punch test on a predefined system of spherical particles. Particle interactions were controlled by using different force contact models such as the Hertz-Mindlin contact model (Hertz, 1882; Mindlin, 1949; Mindlin and Deresiewicz, 1953). As the simulated plunger descended, force-time data were produced at regular timesteps and converted to stress-strain data. From this data, the total energy required to punch through the cake as well as the yield stress and strain for each sample were obtained.

Previous studies have used DEM to investigate the effects of particle and fluid properties on filter cake formation. For filtration at constant pressure, the effects of fluid viscosity and density as well as particle size on cake porosity were investigated by Dong et al. (2009). The filtration of suspensions with particles of varying sphericities were simulated by Deshpande et al. (2020) using DEM coupled with computational fluid dynamics. Particle sphericity was controlled using conjoined sub-spheres. They investigated the influence of particle sphericity and the coefficient of sliding friction on cake porosity and pressure drop per unit length of cake. Furthermore, various studies have explored particle systems under bulk compression. Uniaxial compression simulations were performed by Wiacek et al. (2012) to understand the mechanical behaviour of granular systems. The effects of particle aspect ratio and interparticle coefficient of friction on the porosity and modulus of the granular system were studied. Direct shear simulations were performed by Hartl and Ooi (2011) on spherical and non-spherical particles to investigate the relationship between particle shape and the bulk internal friction.

In this chapter, the development of a DEM code is outlined and the simulation results are explored. The simulated hole punch test was used to investigate the effects of particle size and cake porosity on the strength of filter cakes. The findings from DEM simulations were compared with the experimental results discussed in Chapters 3 and 4.

6.2. Discrete element method

Cundall and Strack (1979) first introduced DEM to simulate granular material as an arrangement of rigid particles, where such particles overlap upon contact instead of deforming. For this work, MercuryDPM, which is an open-source software package using object-orientated C++ code, was used to simulate particle systems with DEM (Weinhart *et al.*, 2020). A DEM simulation calculates the movement of a defined set of particles based on their body forces, which are assumed to act from each particle's centre of mass, and contact forces, acting at the contact point between particles. Once the particles' preliminary properties are specified, the movement of each particle is simulated based on Newton's laws of motion. Spherical particles are the simplest to simulate, although it is recognised that granular material is typically non-spherical. Simulating non-spherical particles is computationally more expensive because, at every contact point, non-linear equations must be used to calculate the particle overlaps. A set of walls and boundaries can be implemented to restrict motion. A simple schematic of the setup including the forces involved in the simulations is shown in Figure 6.1.



Figure 6.1: A schematic of forces included in DEM simulations

With the system geometry, including the particle positions and boundary conditions, and the contact model parameters specified, DEM simulations comprise a recurring loop of steps for a set number of time increments known as timesteps, as shown in Figure 6.2. Contacting particles are identified at the start of each timestep and contact models are used to evaluate the magnitude of interparticle forces, which is a function of the distance between contacting

particles. The resultant force acting on each particle, found by summing forces, is then used to calculate particle accelerations. The particle displacements over the set timestep, calculated using central difference integration, is then used to update the particle positions. This ends the current timestep and the next timestep begins with the updated arrangement of particles.

6.2.1. Simulation timestep

The timestep in a DEM simulation is the time between which the particle positions are updated and when the contacting particles are identified, restarting the simulation loop shown in Figure 6.2. The timestep is important in ensuring numerical stability, but a balance should be found as a smaller timestep can rapidly increase runtimes. The Rayleigh wave equation (Equation 6.1), proposed by Thornton and Randall (1988), has been used in DEM



Figure 6.2: DEM simulation steps (image reproduced from O'Sullivan (2008))

studies (Li, Xu and Thornton, 2005; Washino et al., 2016; Wilkinson et al., 2017; Ghasemi, Razi and Banisi, 2020) to find an appropriate timestep, known as the critical timestep. In Equation 6.1, the Rayleigh time, T_R , is calculated using the particle radius, R, the Poisson's ratio, v, the particle density, ρ , and the shear modulus, G (calculated using the Young's modulus, E). Methods have been used to artificially increase the timestep, such as density and stiffness scaling, to reduce simulation runtimes (C. and Anthony, 1998; O'Sullivan and Bray, 2004; O'Sullivan, Cui and O'Neill, 2008; Yousefi and Ng, 2017). The critical timestep is set as a fraction, known as the safety factor, of the Rayleigh time. According to O'Sullivan and Bray (2004), the critical timestep, and so the safety factor, is a function of the particle packing configuration and coordination number. However, particle coordination numbers vary throughout the simulation, and so, a safety factor is often set which keeps the assembly of particles stable whilst allowing the simulations to be completed within reasonable runtimes. Some authors (Itasca Consulting Group, 1998; O'Sullivan and Bray, 2004; Li, Xu and Thornton, 2005; Wilkinson et al., 2017; Ghasemi, Razi and Banisi, 2020) have found safety factors that work for specific conditions. An example is Washino et al. (2016) who found that using 24% of the Rayleigh time produced stable simulations for dry particles whilst below 1% was best for wet particles. Suitable safety factors will vary depending on the particle properties and setup.

$$T_{R} = \frac{\pi R}{0.01631\nu + 0.8766} \sqrt{\rho/G}$$
(6.1)
where $G = \frac{E}{2(1+\nu)}$

For this work, a timestep of 2.5×10^{-6} s was used for particles with a density of 1100 kg m⁻³, a Poisson's ratio of 0.24 and a scaled Young's Modulus of 70 MPa, which represents a safety factor of between 33% and 45% (depending on the particle radius) of the Rayleigh time. For the particle setup used, this timestep enabled stable simulations to be run within reasonable runtimes.

6.2.2. Contact models

Contact models are used in the DEM code to simulate particle interactions by evaluating the forces acting between contacting pairs of particles. Numerous contact forces can be found in

the literature (Johnson, 1985; Thornton, 1999; O'Sullivan, 2011; Coetzee, 2017; Weinhart *et al.*, 2020) which can be split into three types: normal, frictional and adhesive. Normal forces are elastic, plastic and dissipative forces that

act normal to the contact surface,



Figure 6.3: A schematic showing particle overlap at contact due to normal forces

and are denoted f^n . Frictional forces are sliding, rolling and torsion friction that act tangentially or torsionally to the contact surface denoted by f^t . Adhesive forces act normal to the surface between nearby particles that may not be in contact, and are denoted f^a . Therefore, for a pair of contacting particles, the total contact force, **f**, is given by Equation 6.2, where **n** is the unit normal force (Weinhart *et al.*, 2020).

$$\mathbf{f} = (\mathbf{f}^a + \mathbf{f}^n)\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{f}^t \tag{6.2}$$

The normal force, f^n , is nonzero if the surfaces of two particles are overlapping. The most commonly used normal force contact models are the linear and Hertz spring-dashpot models (Coetzee, 2017). The linear spring-dashpot model is defined as shown in Equation 6.3 for overlapping particles, as shown in Figure 6.3, where k^n is the stiffness (or spring constant), δ^n is the particle overlap, γ^n is the dissipation coefficient and v^n is the relative velocity.

$$f^n = k^n \delta^n + \gamma^n v^n \tag{6.3}$$

The Hertz spring-dashpot model is based on Hertz theory which describes contacts between two perfectly elastic spherical particles (Hertz, 1882). This non-linear model uses material properties, such as the elastic modulus and Poisson's ratio, and is given by Equation 6.4. The stiffness, k^n , is calculated using the effective modulus, E^{eff} , and the effective radius, R^{eff} , as shown by Equation 6.5.

$$f^{n} = k^{n} (\delta^{n})^{3/2} + \gamma^{n} v^{n} (\delta^{n})^{1/4}$$
(6.4)

$$k^n = \frac{4}{3} E^{eff} \sqrt{R^{eff}} \tag{6.5}$$

The effective modulus is calculated using the elastic moduli, *E*, and Poisson's ratios, *v*, of both particles. For particles with the same properties, the effective modulus can be calculated using Equation 6.6. The effective radius is calculated using Equation 6.7 for particles with radii R_1 and R_2 .

$$E^{eff} = \frac{E}{2(1-\nu^2)}$$
(6.6)

$$R^{eff} = \frac{R_1 R_2}{R_1 + R_2} \tag{6.7}$$

The dissipation coefficient, γ^n , is dependent on the coefficient of restitution, e, as shown in Equation 6.8, where m^{eff} is the effective mass, which can be calculated using Equation 6.9 for particles with masses m_1 and m_2 .

$$\gamma^n = \frac{\ln e}{\sqrt{\ln^2 e + \pi^2}} \sqrt{m^{eff} k^n} \tag{6.8}$$

$$m^{eff} = \frac{m_1 m_2}{m_1 + m_2} \tag{6.9}$$

The forces acting in the tangential direction can be described by Equation 6.10, which is analogous to Equation 6.3 for the normal forces.

$$\mathbf{f}^t = k^t \delta^t + \gamma^t \mathbf{v}^t \tag{6.10}$$

The particle begins to slide once the tangential force exceeds a specified fraction, known as the coefficient of sliding friction, μ^t , of the normal force. Once the Coulomb yield criterion (Equation 6.11) is surpassed, the tangential force is evaluated as the product of the coefficient of sliding friction and the normal force. Rolling and torsion torques, opposing the angular motion of particles in contact, are modelled in a similar manner, whereby the relative velocity, v^t , in Equation 6.10 is replaced by the product of an effective length and angular velocity, and the coefficient of sliding friction, μ^t , in Equation 6.11 is replaced by a coefficient of rolling or torsion friction.

$$\mathbf{f}^t \le \boldsymbol{\mu}^t \mathbf{f}^n \tag{6.11}$$

If the normal force is described by the Hertz spring-dashpot model, then the tangential force is more appropriately described using models derived from the works of Mindlin (1949) and Mindlin and Deresiewicz (1953). The Mindlin model, as shown by Equation 6.12, calculates the tangential force using a tangential stiffness, k^t , that depends on the effective shear modulus, G^{eff} , as shown by Equation 6.13.

$$\mathbf{f}^t = k^t \delta^t + \gamma^t \mathbf{v}^t (\delta^t)^{1/4}$$
(6.12)

$$k^t = 8G^{eff}\sqrt{R^{eff}}\delta^n \tag{6.13}$$

For identical particles, the effective shear modulus is calculated using Equation 6.14, where *G* is the particle shear modulus. Equation 6.15 is used to calculate the tangential dissipation coefficient, γ^{t} .

$$G^{eff} = \frac{G}{2(2-\nu)}$$
(6.14)

$$\gamma^{t} = \frac{\ln e}{\sqrt{\ln^{2} e + \pi^{2}}} \sqrt{m^{eff} k^{t}}$$
(6.15)

Adhesive force models are used to evaluate the forces between particles arising from dry or wet cohesion. In MercuryDPM (Weinhart *et al.*, 2020), linear elastic-dissipative short-range forces are modelled using the reversible adhesive contact model. For this adhesive force model, reversible means that the adhesive force is the same during loading and unloading (before and after particle contact). The model is used to simulate dry cohesion due to attractive forces, such as van der Waals forces. A linear irreversible adhesive contact model, where the adhesive force only acts during unloading, is used to simulate wet cohesion. Liquid bridges that form between particles cause wet cohesion and are effective throughout unloading until they collapse. In addition, a non-linear model has been developed in MercuryDPM to simulate liquid bridges between particles, as shown in Figure 6.4. The liquid bridge Willet contact model is based on work by Willet et al. (2000), who derived a force-displacement relation using the Young-Laplace equation and Equation 6.16. In Equation 6.16, the total downward force on the upper sphere (Figure 6.4), *F*, is calculated using the neck radius, *r*_N, the liquid surface tension, *y*, the liquid density, *p*_I, the hydrostatic pressure

difference across the air-liquid interface, ΔP , the volume of the upper sphere, V_s , and the acceleration due to gravity, g. In this model, the adhesive force only acts during unloading and follows a non-linear path.

$$F = 2\pi r_N \gamma - \pi r_N^2 \Delta P - V_s \rho_l g \tag{6.16}$$

The level of water saturation in a filter cake and the associated capillary forces (derived from liquid bridges) will depend on environmental conditions. At equilibrium, the chemical potential of water in the liquid bridge will balance the chemical potential of water in the atmosphere. Any humidity will ensure a level of saturation within the filter cake, and it will take time for evaporation to reduce the water saturation level to the equilibrium value. This means that a filter cake in the hole punch test will be partially saturated, hence, liquid bridges will exist between particles.



Figure 6.4: A schematic of a liquid bridge between two spheres of radii R_1 and R_2 with a separation distance of 2S. The liquid bridge has a volume V, surface tension γ , neck radius r_N , a liquid-solid contact angle φ and half-filling angles β_1 and β_2 (reprinted with permission from Willett et al. (2000). Copyright 2000 American Chemical Society)

6.2.3. Numerical setup

A 2D schematic of the simulation setup, including the hole punch test and cake, is shown in Figure 6.5. The setup dimensions are summarised in Table 6.1 and the code used to perform simulations is shown in Appendix A. The platform surface of the test is constructed using walls, the plunger and test opening using axisymmetric walls, the bottom of the opening has a deletion boundary, and the edges of the cake are defined by periodic boundaries. Periodic boundaries are used to simulate a periodic section between two parallel planes, which is achieved by using ghost particles (copies of the existing particles) at the periodic boundary to transfer forces across the boundary. Periodic boundaries, by limiting the number of particles required, ensure that simulations are completed within reasonable runtimes. In Figure 6.6, which shows a 3D representation of the array of spherical particles representing the cake, periodic boundaries are set at the edges of the cake in the x and y directions. Initially, the spherical particles are evenly spaced and their centres are aligned in all three dimensions. Then, still before the start of a simulation, the amount of space allocated between the particles, which is dependent on the cake porosity, is randomised as shown in Figure 6.5. Figure 6.6 shows the simulation setup in operation as the cylindrical plunger (not shown) punches the cake into the opening. Tables 6.2, 6.3 and 6.4 summarise the particle properties and contact model parameters in simulations using different contact models.



Figure 6.5: A 2D schematic of the simulation setup. Each colour represents a different type of wall or boundary



Figure 6.6: 3D representations of the simulations using DEM data on ParaView. Images show an array of particles (or cake) at the start of the simulation (top), once the plunger has punched through a couple of particle layers (middle), and once the plunger has punched through the whole cake thickness (bottom). Note, the plunger is travelling in the negative z-direction and the colours indicate particle velocity (red particles are moving and blue particles are still)

Table 6.1: Summary of the setup dimensions used for DEM simulations of the hole punch test with cake

Cake thickness	3 or 8 mm
Cake width (x axis)	14 mm
Cake width (y axis)	14 mm
Plunger diameter	8 mm
Plunger speed	8 mm s ⁻¹

Table 6.2: Summary of the particle properties and contact model parameters in DEM simulations using the Hertz-Mindlin contact model (HM DEM), where cakes were 3 or 8 mm thick

Density	1100 kg m ⁻³
Young's Modulus	70 MPa
Poisson Ratio	0.24
Coefficient of restitution	0.8
Sliding friction coefficient	0.6
Rolling friction coefficient	0.1
Particle radius	0.25 to 0.34 mm
Number of particles	588 to 8750

Table 6.3: Summary of the particle properties and contact model parameters in DEM simulations using the linear viscoelastic friction contact model (LVF DEM), where all cakes were 8 mm thick

Density	1100 kg m ⁻³
Collision time	5 x 10 ⁻⁵ s
Coefficient of restitution	0.8
Sliding friction coefficient	0.6
Rolling friction coefficient	0.1
Particle radius	0.34 mm
Number of particles	1568 to 4400

Table 6.4: Summary of the particle properties and contact model parameters in DEM simulations using the linear viscoelastic friction contact model with the liquid bridge Willet species (LVFLB DEM), where all cakes were 8 mm thick

Density	1100 kg m ⁻³
Collision time	5 x 10 ⁻⁵ s
Coefficient of restitution	0.8
Sliding friction coefficient	0.6
Rolling friction coefficient	0.1
Liquid bridge volume	6 x 10 ⁻¹¹ m ³
Liquid surface tension	0.073 N m ⁻¹
Contact angle	29°
Particle radius	0.30 to 0.34 mm
Number of particles	1568 to 6292

6.3. Simulations

6.3.1. Hertz-Mindlin contact model

The force curves obtained from simulations using the Hertz-Mindlin contact model are shown in Figure 6.7. The plunger contacts the top of the cake within 0.025 s, and all the cake has been punched through the opening by 1.025 s. The top graph in Figure 6.7 shows the entire force curves for cakes made of particles with different radii. The two sharp peaks observed for each curve at around 0.9 s are likely due to a few individual particles that have become stuck between the platform and plunger, because, beyond around 0.9 s, most of the cake has been punched through the opening. This leads to a contained explosion of particles within a section of the cake. Hence, the force curve beyond 0.9 s is taken to be noise that does not represent the shearing of the cake. The bottom graph in Figure 6.7 shows the same force curves zoomed in so that the cake shearing in the first 0.9 s can be observed. These force peaks (shown in the yellow box) are summed to find the total energy required to punch through each cake sample.

The hole punch test simulations were performed on cakes of different thicknesses, but with the same porosity of 0.5 using particles with a radius of 0.34 mm. These simulations were used to validate the method of summing up the forces to find a total energy. Figure 6.8 shows that the total energy increases as the cake thickness increases, which is expected as more particle layers would lead to more interparticle contacts as the cake is sheared.



Figure 6.7: Using the Hertz-Mindlin contact model, force required by the plunger over time to descend through cake at a constant velocity of 8 mm s⁻¹. The bottom graph shows the same results as the top graph zoomed in. The yellow box represents the forces that were summed to find the total energy. The legend shows the different particle radii



Figure 6.8: Using the Hertz-Mindlin contact model, total energy required to punch through simulated cakes of different thicknesses

Simulations were run for cakes with a thickness of 3 mm and 8 mm. The number of contacting particles being sheared by the plunger within the plunger surface area, *Narea*, has been approximated using Equation 6.17, where *D* is the plunger diameter, *T* is the cake thickness, ε is the cake porosity and *R* is the particle radius. At 8 mm, as *R* is varied, *Narea* varied significantly because the number of particles in the vertical direction (z axis), *Nz*, varied from 8 to 14, whilst *Narea* only slightly varied at 3 mm because *Nz* stayed roughly constant at around 4. The difference in the *Narea* variation affected the relationship between total energy and particle radius, as shown in Figures 6.9 and 6.10. In Figure 6.9, for a constant *Nz*, the total energy increased as the porosity decreased and as the particle radius increased: the 0.25 mm curve had the lowest total energy whilst the 0.32 mm and 0.34 mm curves had the highest total energies. In Figure 6.10, the total energy also increased as the porosity decreased, but the opposite trend with particle radius is shown: the total energy decreased as the particle radius is shown: the total energy decreased as the particle radius increased.

$$Narea \approx \frac{DT(1-\varepsilon)}{R^2}$$
(6.17)



Figure 6.9: Using the Hertz-Mindlin contact model, total energy required to punch through simulated 3 mm thick cakes (*Narea* only slightly varied due to a constant *Nz*) of different porosities and with particles of different radii. The legend shows the different particle radii



Figure 6.10: Using the Hertz-Mindlin contact model, total energy required to punch through simulated 8 mm thick cakes (*Narea* varied significantly due to a varying *Nz*) of different porosities and with particles of different radii. The legend shows the different particle radii

The opposite trends may be due to the variation in the total interparticle contact surface area, *TSA*, as the particle radius is varied. The total energy required to punch through the cake, *E*_t, is a function of the *TSA*, which is the product of the particle-particle contact surface area, *PSA*, the total number of contacting particles in the cake, *N*, and a fraction of the particle coordination number, *CN*, as shown in Equation 6.18. In the Hertz theory (Hertz, 1882), the radius of the circle of contact, *a*, is a function of the particle radius, *R*, the load, *F*, and the equivalent elastic modulus, *E*, as shown in Equation 6.19 for particles of the same size. This gives an approximate *PSA* as shown in Equation 6.20. *N* is found using the total volume of the cake, *V*, and the cake porosity, ε , as shown in Equation 6.21. This leads to Equation 6.22 which shows that the total energy is proportional to *R*^{-7/3}, therefore, the total energy should always increase as the particle radius decreases.

$$E_t \propto TSA = PSA \times N \times CN \tag{6.18}$$

Hertz theory:
$$a^3 = \frac{RF}{2E}$$
 (6.19)

$$\therefore PSA = \pi \ a^2 = \pi (\frac{RF}{2E})^{2/3}$$
(6.20)

$$N = \frac{V(1-\varepsilon)}{\frac{4}{3}\pi R^3} \tag{6.21}$$

$$\therefore E_t \propto TSA = \frac{V(1-\varepsilon)}{\frac{4}{3}} \times (\frac{F}{2E})^{2/3} \times CN \times R^{-7/3}$$
(6.22)

However, the opposite occurs when the number of particles in the vertical direction, *Nz*, is constant (whilst *Narea* increases slightly and *N* increases significantly) as *R* decreases, which suggests that the total energy is dictated by *Nz* and *Narea* as opposed to *N*. A small variation in *Narea* (due to a constant *Nz*) means that the number of particles sheared whilst punching through the cake varies insignificantly as the particle radius is varied. Therefore, if *N* in Equation 6.18 is replaced by *Narea*, as shown in Equation 6.23, the total energy would increase as the particle radius increases for a roughly constant *Narea*. This is observed in Figure 6.9. When a thicker cake is used, *Narea* varies more as *Narea* is high for small particle radii and vice versa, leading to the opposite trend with particle radius as shown in Figure 6.10.

$$E_t \propto TSA = \pi \left(\frac{F}{2E}\right)^{2/3} \times CN \times R^{2/3} \times Narea$$
(6.23)

In Figure 6.10, for an 8 mm thick cake of varying *Narea*, the total energy decreased as the particle radius increased for a cake porosity greater than 0.55, but this trend is reversed for a cake porosity of 0.55. According to Equation 6.23, for a thick enough cake where *Narea* varies significantly with particle radius and is proportional to the reciprocal of R^2 , as shown in Equation 6.17, the total energy should decrease as the particle radius increases. This is assuming the particle coordination number, *CN*, remains constant. However, for spherical particles, the particle coordination number has been shown to vary with porosity (Suzuki and Oshima, 1988) and particle size (Ouchiyama and Tanaka, 1981). Hence, the total energy trend with particle radius may reverse for a cake porosity of 0.55, as shown in Figure 6.10, due to the variance in particle coordination number.

6.3.2. Linear viscoelastic friction contact model

When the liquid bridge Willet species is implemented in the linear viscoelastic friction contact model, the force curves show significant force values as the plunger punches through the cake, as shown in Figure 6.11. Beyond around 0.9 s, most of the cake has been punched through the opening, and the sharp peaks observed after 0.9 s are again likely to be a few particles stuck between the platform and plunger. This leads to a contained explosion of particles within a section of the cake. Hence, the force curve beyond 0.9 s is again discarded. Therefore, yield stress values were obtained from peak force values preceding 0.9 s. The distance travelled by the plunger at each peak was used to calculate the yield strain, and the total energy required to punch through the cake was found by summing of the forces up to the peak force value.

Figure 6.11 shows the force curves over time for particles of different radii (0.30 and 0.34 mm) and for cakes of different porosities (0.5 to 0.8). The force curves show that a lower cake porosity leads to greater forces required to punch through the cake. For a set porosity, a smaller particle radius leads to greater forces, except for a porosity of 0.5. This exception may be due to the variance in particle coordination number at a porosity of 0.5, as explained in Section 6.3.1.



Figure 6.11: Using the linear viscoelastic friction contact model with the liquid bridge Willet species, force required by the plunger over time to descend through simulated 8 mm thick cakes at a constant velocity of 8 mm s⁻¹. For each curve in the legend, the cake porosity then the particle radius (in mm) are shown

6.3.3. Comparison with experimental results

Figures 6.12, 6.13, 6.15 and 6.16 show a comparison of the yield stress and strain for cakes in experiments and in DEM simulations. The force data using the Hertz-Mindlin contact model could not be used to obtain yield stress and strain values due to the lack of a curve, as shown in Figure 6.7. Figures 6.14 and 6.17 show a comparison of the total energy required to punch through the cake in experiments and in DEM simulations, for the Hertz-Mindlin and linear viscoelastic friction (with and without the liquid bridge Willet species) contact models. The particles are monosized in simulations whilst, in experiments, half the d50 of the particle size distribution in the fluid (see Figure 2.7) is used as the particle radius. The experimental samples selected for comparison in Figures 6.12 to 6.17 were composed of one species of particles with a circularity of above 0.80 (see Table 2.5). In Figures 6.15 to 6.17, the porosity value quoted in the legend is the cake porosity to the nearest decimal point.

The yield strain results for simulated and experimental cakes with different porosities are shown in Figure 6.12. For the SC samples, the yield strain decreases as the porosity decreases, and, the SCal samples have similar yield strains of around 0.45, which may be due to the samples having the same volume fraction of particles in the model fluid, as discussed in Section 3.4.1. Except for the simulation with a cake porosity of 0.54 and particle radii of 0.30 mm, the simulations follow a similar trend whereby the yield strain decreases as the porosity decreases. The yield strains of simulations using a particle radius of 0.34 mm curve towards most of the experimental results as porosity is decreased, even though the samples used in experiments have much lower particle radii.



Figure 6.12: Yield strain of simulated and experimental cakes of different porosities and with particles of different radii. All simulated cakes were 8 mm thick. The simulation results were found using the linear viscoelastic friction contact model with the liquid bridge Willet species (LVFLB DEM). The legend shows the different particle radii (in mm)

The yield stress results for simulated and experimental cakes with different porosities are shown in Figure 6.13. For the experiments, the yield stress increases with decreasing porosity for the SC samples, and, the yield stress increases with decreasing particle radii for the SCal samples. For the simulations, the yield stress increases with decreasing cake porosity and particle radii, except for the porosity of 0.5. This exception may be due to the variance in particle coordination number, as discussed in Section 6.3.1. At a similar porosity of around 0.53, there is an order of magnitude difference between the simulation yield stresses and the yield stress of the SC sample.



Figure 6.13: Yield stress of simulated and experimental cakes of different porosities and with particles of different radii. All simulated cakes were 8 mm thick. The simulation results were found using the linear viscoelastic friction contact model with the liquid bridge Willet species (LVFLB DEM). The legend shows the different particle radii (in mm)

Figure 6.14 shows that as the cake porosity decreases, the total energy required to punch through the cake increases in both experiments and simulations, resembling the trend with yield stress in Figure 6.13. The experimental results show similar trends to those for yield stress in Figure 6.13, as the total energy increases with decreasing porosity for the SC samples, and, the total energy increases with decreasing particle radii for the SCal samples. For the SCal samples, the relationship of total energy with porosity is not as clear, possibly showing the opposite behaviour. The simulation results follow a similar trend with particle radii, which is shown most clearly by the LVFLB curves in Figure 6.14.



Figure 6.14: Total energy required to punch through simulated and experimental cakes of different porosities and with particles of different radii. All simulated cakes were 8 mm thick. The simulation results were found using the linear viscoelastic friction contact model, with (LVFLB DEM) and without (LVF DEM) the liquid bridge Willet species, and the Hertz-Mindlin contact model (HM DEM). The legend shows the different particle radii (in mm)

Comparing HM with LVF simulation results in Figure 6.14, the switch from a non-linear to linear contact model increases the total energy by slightly more than two orders of magnitude. This may be due to the difference in stiffness and dissipation coefficient used in each model. Comparing LVFLB with LVF simulation results, the inclusion of the liquid bridge Willet species increases the total energy required by between one and two orders of magnitude. This suggests that the adhesion due to liquid bridges in between particles contributes significantly to cake strength. Although there is a significant difference in radii between the particles in experiments and simulations, the total energies of the simulations with liquid bridges almost align with those from experiments, with the SC sample at a porosity of 0.53 almost overlapping the LVFLB curves at a similar porosity.

The yield strain results for simulated and experimental cakes with particles of different radii are shown in Figure 6.15. The simulated cakes with a porosity of 0.5 as well as the cakes made

from SC samples seem to have yielded at a broad range of strains. Particle radius does not affect the yield strain as the range of strains in the simulations is similar to that of some of the SC samples, despite a difference in particle radius of two orders of magnitude. In addition, the SCal samples, in which the particle radii are varied, show a narrow range of yield strains.



Figure 6.15: Yield strain of simulated and experimental cakes of different porosities and with particles of different radii. All simulated cakes were 8 mm thick. The simulation results were found using the linear viscoelastic friction contact model with the liquid bridge Willet species (LVFLB DEM). The legend shows the different porosities to the nearest decimal point

The yield stress results for simulated and experimental cakes with particles of different radii are shown in Figure 6.16. For the simulation results, the yield stress increases as the particle radius decreases, except for the porosity of 0.5. The yield stress values of the cakes in simulations are more than one order of magnitude lower than those of the SC samples with a similar porosity of around 0.5, as shown by the blue dotted line. Since the particles used in the simulations have radii that are two orders of magnitude larger than those in the SC samples, this suggests that particle radius may influence cake yield stress, more than it influences the total energy required to punch through the cake as shown in Figure 6.17.



Figure 6.16: Yield stress of simulated and experimental cakes of different porosities and with particles of different radii. All simulated cakes were 8 mm thick. The simulation results were found using the linear viscoelastic friction contact model with the liquid bridge Willet species (LVFLB DEM). The legend shows the different porosities to the nearest decimal point. The blue dotted line goes through the simulations and SC samples with the same porosity and has a slope of -2/3. The red dotted line goes through the SCal samples with the same porosity and has a slope of -2

Particle radius is expected to affect yield stress, *YS*, according to Equations 6.24, 6.25 and 6.26 for monosized particles, where Δp is the capillary pressure across the air-liquid interface of a liquid bridge (Willett *et al.*, 2000), and *Nz*, *Narea* and *N* (as defined in Section 6.3.1.) are the number of contacting particles. If Δp is written in terms of the particle radius, *R*, as shown in these equations, then the yield stress is proportional to the reciprocal of R^2 , R^3 or R^4 , depending on which parameter is used for the number of contacting particles.

$$YS = \Delta p \times Nz \propto \frac{1}{R} \times \frac{1}{R} = \frac{1}{R^2}$$
(6.24)

$$YS = \Delta p \times Narea \propto \frac{1}{R} \times \frac{1}{R^2} = \frac{1}{R^3}$$
(6.25)

133

$$YS = \Delta p \times N \propto \frac{1}{R} \times \frac{1}{R^3} = \frac{1}{R^4}$$
(6.26)

The yield stress seems to be proportional to the reciprocal of R^2 according to the red dotted line in Figure 6.16, which goes through the SCal samples with the same porosity (to the nearest decimal point) and has a slope of -2. This relationship can be written as shown in Equation 6.24. The blue dotted line goes through the simulations and SC samples with the same porosity (to the nearest decimal point) and has a slope of -2/3. The relationships in Equations 6.25 and 6.26 are not observed in Figure 6.16. The variation of yield stress with porosity, which has not been accounted for, may influence the relationships in Equations 6.24, 6.25 and 6.26.

In Figure 6.17, for the simulations and the SCal samples, the total energy increases slightly with decreasing particle radius, except for the simulations with a cake porosity of 0.5. This exception may be due to the variance in particle coordination number at a porosity of 0.5, as explained in Section 6.3.1. Figure 6.17 shows that the experimental cakes required higher total energies than those in DEM simulations. However, in the LVFLB simulations, the total energies at a porosity of 0.5 have a similar order of magnitude than the lowest total energies in the experiments, despite a difference of two orders of magnitude in radii between the particles used in simulations and experiments. This suggests that particle radius may not influence the total energy significantly, as shown by the blue dotted line which goes through the simulations and SC samples with similar porosities.

Particle radius is expected to affect the total energy according to Equations 6.27, 6.28 and 6.29 for monosized particles, where Δp is the capillary pressure across the air-liquid interface of a liquid bridge (Willett *et al.*, 2000), *A* is the contact area between two particles, *d* is the distance over which the force is applied and *Nz*, *Narea* and *N* (as defined in Section 6.3.1.) are the number of contacting particles. If each parameter is written in terms of the particle radius, *R*, as shown in these equations, then the total energy is proportional to the reciprocal of R^0 , R^1 or R^2 , depending on which parameter is used for the number of contacting particles. The distance over which the force is applied, *d*, is related to the cake strain, and, as shown in Figure 6.15, yield strain is not a function of *R*. Hence, *d* is proportional to R^0 in Equations 6.27, 6.28 and 6.29.

$$E_t = \Delta p \times A \times d \times Nz \propto \frac{1}{R} \times R^2 \times R^0 \times \frac{1}{R} = 1$$
(6.27)

$$E_t = \Delta p \times A \times d \times Narea \propto \frac{1}{R} \times R^2 \times R^0 \times \frac{1}{R^2} = \frac{1}{R}$$
(6.28)

$$E_t = \Delta p \times A \times d \times N \propto \frac{1}{R} \times R^2 \times R^0 \times \frac{1}{R^3} = \frac{1}{R^2}$$
(6.29)

In Figure 6.17, the blue dotted line goes through the simulations and SC samples with the same porosity (to the nearest decimal point) and has a slope of approximately 0, whilst the red dotted line goes through the SCal samples with the same porosity (to the nearest decimal point) and has a slope of -2. Therefore, the total energy seems to be proportional to the reciprocal of any value in between R^0 and R^2 , following each of the relationships in Equations 6.27, 6.28 and 6.29. The variation of total energy with porosity, which has not been accounted for, may influence the relationships in these equations.



Figure 6.17: Total energy required to punch through simulated and experimental cakes of different porosities and with particles of different radii. All simulated cakes were 8 mm thick. The simulation results were found using the linear viscoelastic friction contact model, with (LVFLB DEM) and without (LVF DEM) the liquid bridge Willet species, and the Hertz-Mindlin contact model (HM DEM). The legend shows the different porosities to the nearest decimal point. The blue dotted line goes through the simulations and SC samples with the same porosity and has a slope of approximately 0. The red dotted line goes through the SCal samples with the same porosity and has a slope of -2

6.3.3.1. Surface plots

Surface plots were produced using the experimental and LVFLB DEM data shown in Figures 6.12 to 6.17. In Figures 6.18 to 6.20, the experimental data are represented by the red points whilst the simulation data are represented by the green points. The surfaces are plotted using Equations 6.30 to 6.32, for which relationships between total energy, E_t , particle radius, R, and cake porosity, ε , have been established. In these equations, the relationship with R depends on how the number of contacting particles is defined: Nz, *Narea* or N (as defined in Section 6.3.1.). The filter cake solids fraction $(1 - \varepsilon)$ has been included in Equation 6.31 to calculate *Narea* and in Equation 6.32 to calculate N. The particle coordination number, CN, has been determined using Equation 6.33, which is from Rumpf (1958). For each surface plot, the least squares regression method was used on the data points to determine the value of proportionality between E_t , R and ε .

The total energy peaks at a porosity of 0.4 and below a particle radius of 0.01 mm, where the experimental data are situated. The total energy has a minimum at a porosity of 0.8 and above a particle radius of 0.30 mm, where the simulation data are situated. The surface plots produced using Equations 6.31 and 6.32 reflect these observations in the data points, as shown in Figures 6.19 and 6.20. The surface plot produced using Equation 6.30 does not vary with particle radius, hence, it has a minimum at a porosity of around 0.8 irrespective of the particle radius, as shown in Figure 6.18. In Figure 6.19, when Narea is used as the number of contacting particles, and so, the total energy is proportional to the reciprocal of *R* (Equation 6.31), the surface plot seems to fit the data points better, as it intersects some of the experimental and simulation data points, than when using Nz or N. This result is expected since *Narea* more accurately represents the number of contacting particles being sheared in the filter cake (as the plunger descends in the hole punch test). However, the data points show a greater dependence of total energy on porosity as compared to the surface plots. This means that a relationship between CN and ε that has a greater dependence on ε than in Equation 6.33 may be required or that ε should appear in Equations 6.30 to 6.32 aside from via Narea, N and CN.

$$E_t = \Delta p \times A \times d \times Nz \times CN \propto \frac{1}{R} \times R^2 \times R^0 \times \frac{1}{R} \times \frac{1}{\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon}$$
(6.30)

$$E_t = \Delta p \times A \times d \times Narea \times CN \propto \frac{1}{R} \times R^2 \times R^0 \times \frac{1-\varepsilon}{R^2} \times \frac{1}{\varepsilon} = \frac{1-\varepsilon}{R\varepsilon}$$
(6.31)

$$E_t = \Delta p \times A \times d \times N \times CN \propto \frac{1}{R} \times R^2 \times R^0 \times \frac{1-\varepsilon}{R^3} \times \frac{1}{\varepsilon} = \frac{1-\varepsilon}{R^2\varepsilon}$$
(6.32)

$$CN = \frac{3.1}{\varepsilon} \tag{6.33}$$



Figure 6.18: Surface plot using the relationship in Equation 6.30 between total energy, porosity and particle radius, for when *Nz* is the number of contacting particles. The red points represent the experimental data whilst the green points represent the simulation data



Figure 6.19: Surface plot using the relationship in Equation 6.31 between total energy, porosity and particle radius, for when *Narea* is the number of contacting particles. The red points represent the experimental data whilst the green points represent the simulation data



Figure 6.20: Surface plot using the relationship in Equation 6.32 between total energy, porosity and particle radius, for when *N* is the number of contacting particles. The red points represent the experimental data whilst the green points represent the simulation data

6.4. Conclusions

The hole punch test was simulated using the discrete element method (DEM) on a predefined system of spherical particles. Particle interactions were controlled by using different force contact models and force-time data were produced at regular timesteps, from which the total energy required to punch through the cake as well as the cake yield stress and strain were obtained. Since DEM was used to evaluate the granular response of particulate systems, the disparity between the simulation and experimental force curves may have been due to the difference in the particle radii and the number of particles used. Filter cakes would have been better represented by using a greater number of smaller particles in simulations, but this would have increased the computational effort required, leading to increased simulation runtimes. The force curves obtained from simulations using the Hertz-Mindlin contact model showed that the total energy increases as the cake thickness increases, which was expected as more particle layers would lead to more interparticle contacts as the cake is sheared. The relationship between total energy and particle radius, R, may have been affected by the total interparticle contact surface area in the cake, TSA, which was shown to be a function of $R^{2/3}$ and the number of contacting particles being sheared by the plunger, *Narea*. The total energy increased as R decreased since the resulting increase in Narea led to an increase in TSA. For cakes with a roughly constant Narea, the total energy increased as R increased since TSA increased. In both cases, the total energy increased as the cake porosity decreased.

Comparisons were made between simulation and experimental data using the total energy as well as cake yield stress and strain results. For the simulations, the Hertz-Mindlin and linear viscoelastic friction (with and without the liquid bridge Willet species) contact models were used. For both simulations and experiments, a decrease in cake porosity led to an increase in yield stress and total energy as well as a decrease in yield strain. The total energy was increased by between one and two orders of magnitude due to the inclusion of the liquid bridge Willet species, which suggests that the adhesion due to liquid bridges contributed significantly to cake strength. For cakes of the same porosity, the total energies of the simulations with liquid bridges almost aligned with those from experiments, despite a difference of two orders of magnitude in the particle radii used. For both simulations and experiments, a decrease in particle radius led to an increase in yield stress and total energy but did not affect yield strain. The cake yield stress was found to be proportional to the reciprocal of R^2 whilst the total energy was proportional to the reciprocal of any value in between R^0 and R^2 . Surface plots were produced to investigate the combined effect of cake porosity and particle radius on the total energy. Despite the data points showing a greater dependence of total energy on porosity as compared to the surface plots, the surface plot for which the total energy was proportional to the reciprocal of R fitted the data points well. A relationship between particle coordination number and porosity with a greater dependence on porosity may be required, possibly in addition to porosity being included elsewhere in the total energy equation.

Chapter 7. Conclusions and future work

7.1. Conclusions

In this work, the strength of drilling fluid filter cakes was evaluated. To understand how such cakes are affected by constituent particles in the drilling fluids, the influence of particle properties on cake properties was explored. Particles properties such as size, shape and concentration in the fluids were measured, and their relationships with cake porosity, thickness and strength as well as the relationships between these properties were assessed.

Filter cakes made via static filtration (for 30 mins at 690 kPa) of typical drilling fluids were tested to determine cake properties such as porosity, thickness and yield stress, and were imaged to visualise the cake's internal structure. The hole punch tester, which was used to obtain cake yield stress by punching a plunger through the sample, was used to simulate the shear rupture of a filter cake. The filter cake thickness was recorded as the height difference between the top and bottom surfaces, found by analysing the force on the Texture Analyser's probe as it descended through a cake sample. The suspension/filter cake interface is often poorly defined, which made it difficult to accurately determine the cake's moisture content. The shift in the mass transfer rate as water evaporated from the cake, as opposed to the fluid on top of the cake, became evident when tracking the drying rate of the cake over time. With this approach the liquid content of the cake, and so the cake porosity, was determined. XRCT imaging was used to visualise internal pore structures of cake samples, and, from these images, the pore size distributions and pore volume fractions were obtained by producing 3D representations of the pore network on Amira-Avizo.

The rheological and filtration behaviour of representative drilling fluids as well as the properties of their filter cakes were studied. The water and oil-based compositions captured the range of typical drilling fluid performance, and holistic benchmark results were established. The water-based fluids had high viscosities at low shear stresses which indicate that the xanthan gum had been well mixed. The rheological and filtration results obtained were generally in agreement with those found at the BP Wells laboratories in Sunbury, validating the drilling fluid preparation procedure. The benchmark results obtained from representative water-based drilling fluids were used to compare with model water-based

drilling fluids. The rheological behaviour of the representative fluids was captured by having similar volume fractions of barite and xanthan gum in the model fluids. The trends in filter cake properties were similar for the representative and model fluids. When the barite volume fraction was increased by four-fold in representative and model fluids, the cake porosity decreased by roughly 20% whilst the permeability increased. The strain at which a filter cake yielded was also influenced by particle volume fraction in a similar manner. For an equivalent volume fraction of barite, the cakes made from model fluids had significantly higher yield stresses than those made from representative fluids. However, for both representative and model fluids, the cake yield stress increased with barite volume fraction. Overall, by capturing the barite-dominated particulate phase behaviour of the representative fluids, the representative fluids, the model fluids produced similar trends in filtration and stress-strain results to the representative fluids.

Filter cakes made from a range of model fluids were tested to assess the cake strength in relation to properties of the drilling fluid and to other cake properties. Cake strength, measured as the yield stress, was improved by several factors. The cake yield stress increased as the volume fraction of barite in the drilling fluid was increased. A similar trend was observed for cakes made from ultra-fine calcium carbonate. Furthermore, these cakes were stronger with significantly higher yield stresses as compared to the barite equivalents. The increase in strength may have been due to a higher interparticle contact surface area, which was a result of the calcium carbonate cakes being thicker and less porous than their barite equivalents. Fresh particles approaching the cake can either deposit on the cake's surface, increasing the cake's thickness, or within interstitial voids, decreasing the cake's porosity. A broad particle size distribution in the fluid encourages interstitial filling as finer particles migrate into pre-existing voids. Both scenarios lead to an increase in the total interparticle contact as the cake grows. However, broadening the particle size distribution in the cake tended to give stronger cakes of a similar thickness, as was illustrated by adding calcium carbonate of different sizes to barite-loaded fluids. Hence it was postulated that the deposition of new particles within pre-existing voids was more beneficial to cake strength than a layered deposition mechanism.

The filtration behaviour and pore structure of filter cakes made from model fluids were studied. The filtration plots showed that the total filtrate volume, after 30 mins of filtration at 690 kPa, decreased as the solids (barite or calcium carbonate) volume fraction was

increased. For the barite only samples, the filtration plots became more linear as the volume fraction increased, which may have been due to a decrease in cake restructuring during filtration. The calcium carbonate only sample had a permeability that was significantly higher than the barite only equivalent which, as shown by the Carman-Kozeny model, may have been due to the calcium carbonate particles being larger than the barite particles. Filter cakes made from talc and cellulose microcrystalline had the lowest permeability despite their high porosities. For talc, this may have been due to the plate-like shape of the particles, leading to overlapping layers that are individually porous but form a barrier to fluid flow.

The XRCT images and Amira-Avizo data showed that as the d50 of calcium carbonate particles increased, the volume fraction of large pores (VLP) and pore sizes increased. For the samples with varying particle size distributions, there was a higher VLP in the bottom layers of the cake, which is evidence of segregated packing zones being formed. However, the top layer was expected to have a higher VLP than the bottom layer, so, the segregated packing zones may have been formed via bridging filtration in the cake layers. The barite cake produced after 30 mins of filtration had a noticeably lower VLP than those produced after 5 and 20 mins. This reduction in VLP may be the reason why the strength of the cake increased significantly from 20 to 30 mins. The 30 mins cake increased in VLP traversing from top to bottom, whilst the 20 mins and 5 mins cake displayed the opposite behaviour. This suggests that segregation may be strongest during the initial 20 mins of filtration, after which bridging filtration may be more dominant.

The hole punch test was simulated using the discrete element method on a predefined system of spherical particles, from which the total energy required to punch through the cake as well as the cake yield stress and strain were obtained. The relationship between total energy and particle radius, *R*, may have been affected by the total interparticle contact surface area in the cake, which was shown to be a function of $R^{2/3}$ and the number of contacting particles being sheared by the plunger. For both simulations and experiments, a decrease in cake porosity led to an increase in yield stress and total energy as well as a decrease in yield strain. Including liquid bridges in the simulations led to a significant increase in total energy, which suggests that the adhesion due to liquid bridges in between particles contributed significantly to cake strength. For cakes of the same porosity, the total energies of the simulations with liquid bridges almost aligned with those from experiments, despite a significant difference in the particle radii used. For both simulations and experiments, a decrease in particle radius led to an increase in yield stress and total energy but did not affect yield strain. The cake yield stress was found to be proportional to the reciprocal of R^2 whilst the total energy was proportional to the reciprocal of any value in between R^0 and R^2 . Surface plots were produced to investigate the combined effect of cake porosity and particle radius on the total energy. Despite the data points showing a greater dependence of total energy on porosity as compared to the surface plots, the surface plot for which the total energy was proportional to the reciprocal of *R* fitted the data points well.

Overall, the strength of drilling fluid filter cakes, which was measured using the hole punch test and DEM simulations, was compared for cakes made from different constituent particles. The model water-based fluids, made from typical drilling fluid components, were found to produce similar trends to the representative drilling fluids. For model fluids, the effects of particle concentration, size distribution and shape on the properties of the resulting filter cakes were explored. Cake strength may have been influenced by interparticle contact surface area, which was affected by cake porosity and thickness. Using XRCT to visualise the internal structure of filter cakes, the relationships between particle size distribution, pore distributions and thickness were better understood. DEM simulations were compared with experimental results, and relationships between cake strength and the interparticle contact surface area, determined using cake porosity and particle size, were found. Samples with extreme values for cake strength, porosity and thickness could be advanced for further studies to learn more about the parameter space. Such samples would include those with the lowest and highest volume fractions of barite (SC1 and SC5), and, those with the least and most circular particles (Stal and Sglass), as well as the samples with varying particle size distributions that made the strongest cakes whilst being under 2 mm thick (DB5 and DB7). In addition, further studies could include testing more of the representative drilling fluids, particularly oil-based drilling fluids.

7.2. Limitations and future work

The hole punch tester was used in this work to obtain the cake yield stress by punching a plunger through a filter cake. The hole punch tester was developed to simulate a shear rupture of a filter cake. Although yield stress values for a range of cake samples were obtained
using this device, the rupture mechanism is not exactly what is occurring in a well, with the actual mechanism being difficult to assess. In a well, the main difference is that the filter cake would be under high wellbore pressures whilst shear rupturing occurs. Also, tensile rupturing may occur due to the opening of a crack. A rupture resistant device (Figure 7.1) has been developed by Giovanna Biscontin, Ramesh Kandasami and Gianmario Sorrentino from the Geotechnical Engineering department at the University of Cambridge, which can produce and shear a filter cake under differential pressures with different fracture geometries. Before a test, the centre of the porous substrate, which is the filter medium, is cut at an angle to produce a plug, as shown in Figure 7.1. During a test, a differential pressure is applied across the substrate and the plug can be displaced upward or downward depending on the orientation of the angled cut. The displacement of the plug in the substrate simulates a fracture opening, which can be carefully controlled. Therefore, the differential pressure at which a filter cake ruptures for a certain displacement (fracture width) or vice versa can be recorded. Throughout a test, abrupt declines in the pressure indicate the differential pressure at which a filter cake ruptures. The rupture resistant device is also being designed to produce filter cakes via cross flow or dead-end filtration.



Figure 7.1: Images of the rupture resistant device (left and middle) developed by Giovanna Biscontin, Ramesh Kandasami and Gianmario Sorrentino from the Geotechnical Engineering department at the University of Cambridge, and the plug cut from the porous substrate (right) which is the filter medium

The XRCT images were captured with a resolution of between 14 and 20 μ m and, using Amira-Avizo, quantitative data on the pores were obtained from these images. At this resolution, data on the large pores could be analysed. A resolution of 6 μ m was achieved using a method that required sample preparation which was quite destructive, and the images could not be analysed using Amira-Avizo, hence, this method was not pursued. To capture images with a better resolution, samples could be imaged using a synchrotron, which has a brilliance (in terms of the brightness and the angular divergence of the beam) that is over a billion times higher than that of the XRCT device used in this work (Diamond, 2020; ESRF - The European Synchrotron, 2020). Due to the higher brilliance as well as the fact that the synchrotron-based X-rays are almost monochromatic, image artefacts are reduced and the beam hardening effect is avoided, improving the image quality (Thompson *et al.*, 1984; Li and Tang, 2019). In addition, the in-situ analysis capabilities of a synchrotron could enable temperature and pressure control of the imaging environment, which could be used to prevent drying of the cake sample during imaging.

DEM simulations were used to understand the strength response of different particle systems and to compare the trends in simulation and experimental results. The trends were compared in relation to particle radii and cake porosity, but it was difficult to make a direct comparison because the values of these properties in the simulations were not within the range of those in experiments. In simulations, cake porosities below around 0.5 could be achieved by arranging the particles to fill in any voids whilst ensuring that particles are not in contact at the start, otherwise an explosion of particles may occur. Simulating with smaller particle radii would lead to a greater number of particles (for a cake of fixed volume), which would increase the simulation runtime.

The simulation runtime, related to the computational effort required, was the dominant limitation. The computational effort required was a function of the number of particles in the simulation, as this would determine the number of contacts, and so, the number of force calculations required at each timestep. In addition, the computational effort was influenced by the contact models used. For example, for the normal force contact models, the non-linear Hertz contact model was computationally more expensive than the linear one, and including an adhesive contact model, such as the liquid bridge Willet contact model, was also computationally more expensive. The runtime can be reduced by increasing the simulation timestep, however, this may lead to numerical instabilities. Increasing the computational power can also reduce the runtime and allow more computationally expensive simulations to be completed within reasonable runtimes. This can be achieved by using high performance

computing with parallelisation. Parallelisation can be done with MercuryDPM, which uses a parallel computing algorithm that is domain-decomposition based and utilises MPI. Tests have been performed to assess the efficiency of the parallel algorithm, varying the number of cores and nodes for each simulation. These tests were performed using a rotating drum of varying width (Weinhart *et al.*, 2020). Initially, tests would have to be performed using the hole punch tester DEM code, to find the number of cores and nodes which give efficient parallelised simulations. With an efficient parallelised method established, the number of particles can be increased and/or different force contact models used until reasonable runtimes of these more computationally expensive simulations are achieved.

References

Al-Abduwani, F. A. H. *et al.* (2005) 'External filter cake erosion: Mathematical model and experimental study', *SPE - European Formation Damage Conference, Proceedings, EFDC*, pp. 257–270. doi: 10.2523/94635-ms.

Amanullah, M. and Tan, C. P. (2001) 'A Field Applicable Laser-Based Apparatus for Mudcake Thickness Measurement', *Society of Petroleum Engineers*, (SPE 68673), pp. 1–11.

Andreasen, A. H. M. (1930) 'Über die Beziehung zwischen Kornabstufung und Zwischenraum in Produkten aus losen Körnern (mit einigen Experimenten).', *Kolloid-Zeitschrift*, 50(3), pp. 217–228.

Argillier, J.-F., Audibert, A. and Longeron, D. (1997) 'Performance Evaluation and Formation Damage Potential of New Water Based Drilling Formulations', *Society of Petroleum Engineers*, (SPE 38152). doi: 10.2523/38152-ms.

Arthur, K. G. and Peden, J. M. (1988) 'Evaluation of drilling fluid filter cake properties and their influence on fluid loss', *Society of Petroleum Engineers*, (SPE 17617), pp. 705–717. doi: 10.2523/17617-ms.

Aston, M. S. *et al.* (2004) 'Drilling fluids for wellbore strengthening', *Proceedings of the Drilling Conference*, pp. 321–328. doi: 10.2523/87130-ms.

Atkinson, J. H. and Bransby, P. L. (1978) The mechanics of soils. London: McGraw-Hill.

Bailey, L. *et al.* (1998) 'Filtercake Integrity and Reservoir Damage', in *SPE Formation Damage Control Conference*. Society of Petroleum Engineers. doi: 10.2523/39429-MS.

Blkoor, S. O. and Fattah, K. A. (2013) 'The Influence of XC-Polymer on Drilling Fluid Filter Cake Properties and Formation Damage', *Journal of Petroleum & Environmental Biotechnology*, 4(5), pp. 1–10. doi: 10.4172/2157-7463.1000157.

Bumiller, M., Carson, J. and Prescott, J. (2002) 'A preliminary investigation concerning the effect of particle shape on a powder's flow properties', in *World congress on particle technology*, pp. 21–25.

C., T. and Anthony, S. J. (1998) 'Quasi-static deformation of particulate media', Phil. Trans. R.

Soc. Lond. A, 356, pp. 2763–2782.

Caenn, R., Darley, H. C. H. and Gray, G. R. (2011) *Composition and Properties of Drilling and Completion Fluids, Composition and Properties of Drilling and Completion Fluids*. doi: 10.1016/C2009-0-64504-9.

Cerasi, P. *et al.* (2001) 'Measurement of the Mechanical Properties of Filtercakes', in *SPE European formation damage conference*. Society of Petroleum Engineers. doi: 10.2118/68948-ms.

Channell, G. M. and Zukoski, C. F. (1997) 'Shear and Compressive Rheology of Aggregated Alumina Suspensions', *AIChE Journal*, 43(7), pp. 1700–1708. doi: 10.1002/aic.690430707.

Chellappah, K. and Aston, M. S. (2012) 'A New Outlook on the Ideal Packing Theory for Bridging Solids', *Society of Petroleum Engineers*, (SPE 151636), pp. 1–11. doi: 10.2118/151636-ms.

Chellappah, K., Kumar, A. and Aston, M. (2015) 'Drilling depleted sands: Challenges associated with wellbore strengthening fluids', *SPE/IADC Drilling Conference, Proceedings*, pp. 1013–1025. doi: 10.2118/173073-ms.

Chellappah, K., Tarleton, S. and Wakeman, R. (2010) 'The porosity, permeability and restructuring of heterogeneous filter cakes', *Chemical Engineering and Technology*, 33(8), pp. 1283–1289. doi: 10.1002/ceat.201000093.

Chen, Y. S. and Hsiau, S. S. (2009) 'Cake formation and growth in cake filtration', *Powder Technology*. Elsevier B.V., 192(2), pp. 217–224. doi: 10.1016/j.powtec.2008.12.014.

Chenevert, M. E. and Huycke, J. (1991) 'Filter cake structure analysis using the scanning electron microscope', *Society of Petroleum Engineers*, (SPE-22208-MS).

Christensen, M. L. *et al.* (2011) 'Nonlinear filtration behavior of soft particles: Effect of dynamic cake compression', *Powder Technology*, 207(1–3), pp. 428–436. doi: 10.1016/j.powtec.2010.11.031.

Civan, F. (2015) Reservoir Formation Damage. Gulf Professional Publishing.

Coetzee, C. J. (2017) 'Review : Calibration of the discrete element method'. Elsevier B.V., 310, pp. 104–142. doi: 10.1016/j.powtec.2017.01.015.

Cook, J. *et al.* (2016) 'The Role of Filtercake in Wellbore Strengthening', *IADC/SPE Drilling Conference and Exhibition*. doi: 10.2118/178799-MS.

Cook, J., Growcock, F. and Hodder, M. (2011) 'Stabilizing the Wellbore to Prevent Lost Circulation', *Oilfield Review*, 23(4), pp. 26–35. Available at:

http://www.slb.com/resources/publications/industry_articles/oilfield_review/2011/or2011 win03_stabilizing.aspx.

Cundall, P. A. and Strack, O. D. L. (1979) 'A discrete numerical model for granular assemblies', *Géotechnique*. ICE Publishing, 29(1), pp. 47–65. doi: 10.1680/geot.1979.29.1.47.

Dawes, J. G. (1952) *Research Report No. 36, 46, 49*. Sheffield: Safety in Mines Research Establishment.

Deily, F. H. *et al.* (1967) 'New biopolymer low-solids mud speeds drilling operation', *Oil Gas J.*, pp. 62–70.

Deshpande, R., Antonyuk, S. and Iliev, O. (2020) 'DEM-CFD study of the filter cake formation process due to non-spherical particles', *Particuology*. Chinese Society of Particuology, pp. 1–10. doi: 10.1016/j.partic.2020.01.003.

Dewan, J. T. and Chenevert, M. E. (2001) 'A model for filtration of water-base mud drilling: determination of mud cake parameters', *Petrophysics*, 42(3), pp. 237–250.

Diamond (2020) Tomography for Industry. Available at:

https://www.diamond.ac.uk/industry/Industry-News/Latest-News/Synchrotron-Industry-News--Focus-Tomography.html (Accessed: 26 June 2020).

Dick, M. A. *et al.* (2000) 'Optimizing the Selection of Bridging Particles for Reservoir Drilling Fluids', *Society of Petroleum Engineers*, (SPE 58793), pp. 1–8. doi: 10.2523/58793-ms.

Dong, K. J. *et al.* (2009) 'DEM simulation of cake formation in sedimentation and filtration', *Minerals Engineering*. Elsevier Ltd, 22(11), pp. 921–930. doi: 10.1016/j.mineng.2009.03.018.

Elkatatny, S. M., Mahmoud, M. A. and Nasr-El-Din, H. A. (2012) 'Characterization of filter cake generated by water-based drilling fluids using CT scan', *SPE Drilling and Completion*, 27(2), pp. 282–293. doi: 10.2118/144098-PA.

Elkatatny, S., Mahmoud, M. and Nasr-El-Din, H. A. (2013) 'Filter Cake Properties of Water-Based Drilling Fluids Under Static and Dynamic Conditions Using Computed Tomography Scan', *Journal of Energy Resources Technology*, 135(4), pp. 1–9. doi: 10.1115/1.4023483.

Encyclopædia Britannica (2012) *Encyclopædia Britannica*. Available at: https://www.britannica.com/technology/drilling-mud#/media/1/171655/170695 (Accessed: 17 April 2020).

Entegris (2019) Automated Static Image Analysis. Available at: https://www.entegris.com/content/en/home/resources/technicalinformation/glossary/automoted-static-imagine-analysis.html (Accessed: 9 January 2020).

ESRF - The European Synchrotron (2020) *Why use the ESRF?* Available at: https://www.esrf.eu/home/Industry/why-use-the-esrf.html (Accessed: 26 June 2020).

Fathi-Najafi, M. and Theliander, H. (1995) 'Determination of local filtration properties at constant pressure', *Separations Technology*, 5(3), pp. 165–178. doi: 10.1016/0956-9618(94)00115-9.

Fattah, K. A. and Lashin, A. (2016) 'Investigation of mud density and weighting materials effect on drilling fluid filter cake properties and formation damage', *Journal of African Earth Sciences*, 117, pp. 345–357. doi: 10.1016/j.jafrearsci.2016.02.003.

Feng, Y. T., Owen, K. H. and Loughran, D. R. J. J. (2009) 'On upscaling of discrete element models: similarity principles', *Engineering Computations*. Edited by A. Munjiza. Emerald Group Publishing Limited, 26(6), pp. 599–609. doi: 10.1108/02644400910975405.

Fisher, K. A. *et al.* (2000) 'Numerical modelling of cake formation and fluid loss from non-Newtonian muds during drilling using eccentric/concentric drill strings with/without rotation', *Chemical Engineering Research and Design*, 78(A), pp. 707–714.

Fuh, G. F., Beardmore, D. and Morita, N. (2007) 'Further development, field testing, and application of the Wellbore Strengthening technique for drilling operations', *SPE/IADC Drilling Conference, Proceedings*, (SPE/IADC 105809), pp. 1–12. doi: 10.2523/105809-ms.

Ghasemi, A. R., Razi, E. and Banisi, S. (2020) 'Determining a lower boundary of elasticity modulus used in the discrete element method (DEM) in simulation of tumbling mills',

Advanced Powder Technology. Society of Powder Technology Japan, pp. 1–7. doi: 10.1016/j.apt.2020.01.014.

Guo, Q. *et al.* (2014) 'A Comprehensive Experimental Study on Wellbore Strengthening', in *IADC/SPE Drilling Conference and Exhibition*. Society of Petroleum Engineers. doi: 10.2118/167957-MS.

Hall, L. D. *et al.* (2001) 'Magnetic resonance imaging for industrial process tomography', *Journal of Electronic Imaging*, 10(3), pp. 601–607. doi: 10.1117/1.1377307.

Hamachi, M. and Mietton-Peuchot, M. (2001) 'Cake thickness measurement with an optical laser sensor', *Chemical Engineering Research and Design*, 79(2), pp. 151–155. doi: 10.1205/02638760151095962.

Hao, H. *et al.* (2016) 'Comparative study on cementation of cement-mudcake interface with and without mud-cake-solidification-agents application in oil & gas wells', *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*. Elsevier, 147, pp. 143–153. doi: 10.1016/j.petrol.2016.05.014.

Härtl, J. and Ooi, J. Y. (2011) 'Numerical investigation of particle shape and particle friction on limiting bulk friction in direct shear tests and comparison with experiments', *Powder Technology*. Elsevier B.V., 212(1), pp. 231–239. doi: 10.1016/j.powtec.2011.05.022.

Hashemzadeh, S. M. and Hajidavalloo, E. (2016) 'Numerical investigation of filter cake formation during concentric/eccentric drilling', *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*. Elsevier, 145, pp. 161–167. doi: 10.1016/j.petrol.2016.03.024.

La Heij, E. J. *et al.* (1996) 'Determining Porosity Profiles during Filtration and Expression of Sewage Sludge by NMR Imaging', *AIChE Journal*, 42(4), pp. 953–959. doi: 10.1002/aic.690420408.

Hertz, H. (1882) 'Ueber die Berührung fester elastischer Körper', *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik*, 92, pp. 156–171.

Itasca Consulting Group (1998) *PFC2D 2.00 Particle Flow Code in Two Dimensions*. Minneapolis, Minnesota, MN.

Johnson, K. (1985) Contact Mechanics. Cambridge University Press.

Kinnarinen, T., Tuunila, R. and Häkkinen, A. (2017) 'Reduction of the width of particle size distribution to improve pressure filtration properties of slurries', *Minerals Engineering*. Elsevier Ltd, 102, pp. 68–74. doi: 10.1016/j.mineng.2016.12.009.

Li, Y., Xu, Y. and Thornton, C. (2005) 'A comparison of discrete element simulations and experiments for "sandpiles" composed of spherical particles', *Powder Technology*, 160(3), pp. 219–228. doi: 10.1016/j.powtec.2005.09.002.

Li, Z. Sen and Tang, L. S. (2019) 'Using Synchrotron-Based X-Ray Microcomputed Tomography to Characterize Water Distribution in Compacted Soils', *Advances in Materials Science and Engineering*, 2019, pp. 1–12. doi: 10.1155/2019/7147283.

Liao, C. C. (2016) 'Multisized immersed granular materials and bumpy base on the Brazil nut effect in a three-dimensional vertically vibrating granular bed', *Powder Technology*. Elsevier B.V., 288, pp. 151–156. doi: 10.1016/j.powtec.2015.10.054.

LKAB Minerals (2016) Fordacal Marble. Available at:

https://www.lkabminerals.com/en/products/fordacal-marble/ (Accessed: 3 February 2020).

Lorenzen, S., Keiding, K. and Christensen, M. L. (2017) 'The effect of particle surface charge density on filter cake properties during dead-end filtration', *Chemical Engineering Science*, 163, pp. 155–166. doi: 10.1016/j.ces.2017.01.044.

Magzoub, M. I. *et al.* (2020) 'Loss circulation in drilling and well construction: The significance of applications of crosslinked polymers in wellbore strengthening: A review', *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*. Elsevier B.V., 185(106653). doi: 10.1016/j.petrol.2019.106653.

Meeten, G. H. (1993) 'A dissection method for analysing filter cakes', *Chemical Engineering Science*, 48(13), pp. 2391–2398. doi: 10.1016/0009-2509(93)81060-9.

Meeten, G. H. (1994) 'Shear and compressive yield in the filtration of a bentonite suspension', *Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects*, 82(1), pp. 77–83. doi: 10.1016/0927-7757(93)02613-J.

Metzger, M. J., Remy, B. and Glasser, B. J. (2011) 'All the Brazil nuts are not on top: Vibration induced granular size segregation of binary, ternary and multi-sized mixtures', *Powder*

Technology. Elsevier B.V., 205(1–3), pp. 42–51. doi: 10.1016/j.powtec.2010.08.062.

Mindlin, R. D. (1949) 'Compliance of elastic bodies in contact', *J. Appl. Mech., Trans. ASME*, 16, pp. 259–267.

Mindlin, R. D. and Deresiewicz, H. (1953) 'Elastic spheres in contact under varying oblique forces', J. Appl. Mech., Trans. ASME, 20, pp. 327–344.

Murase, T. *et al.* (1987) 'DETERMINATION OF FILTRATION CHARACTERISTICS DUE TO SUDDEN REDUCTION IN FILTRATION AREA OF FILTER CAKE SURFACE', *JOURNAL of CHEMICAL ENGINEERING of JAPAN*, 20(3), pp. 246–251.

Nandurdikar, N. S., Takach, N. E. and Miska, S. Z. (2002) 'Chemically Improved Filter Cakes for Drilling Wells', *Journal of Energy Resources Technology*, 124(4), pp. 223–230. doi: 10.1115/1.1492841.

O'Sullivan, C. (2008) 'Particle-based discrete element modelling: A geomechanics overview', *12th International Conference on Computer Methods and Advances in Geomechanics 2008*, pp. 498–505.

O'Sullivan, C. (2011) *Particulate Discrete Element Modelling: A Geomechanics Perspective*. CRC Press. Available at: https://books.google.co.uk/books?id=-UJZDwAAQBAJ.

O'Sullivan, C. and Bray, J. D. (2004) 'Selecting a suitable time step for discrete element simulations that use the central difference time integration scheme', *Engineering Computations (Swansea, Wales)*, 21(2–4), pp. 278–303. doi: 10.1108/02644400410519794.

O'Sullivan, C., Cui, L. and O'Neill, S. C. (2008) 'Discrete element analysis of the response of granular materials during cyclic loading', *Soils and Foundations*, 48(4), pp. 511–530. doi: 10.3208/sandf.48.511.

Van Oort, E. *et al.* (2011) 'Avoiding losses in depleted and weak zones by constantly strengthening wellbores', *SPE Drilling and Completion*, 26(4), pp. 519–530. doi: 10.2118/125093-PA.

Ouchiyama, N. and Tanaka, T. (1981) 'Porosity of a Mass of Solid Particles Having a Range of Sizes', *Industrial and Engineering Chemistry Fundamentals*, 20(1), pp. 66–71. doi: 10.1021/i100001a013.

Peronius, N. and Sweeting, T. J. (1985) 'On the correlation of minimum porosity with particle size distribution', *Powder Technology*, 42(2), pp. 113–121. doi: 10.1016/0032-5910(85)80043-7.

Plank, J. P. and Gossen, F. A. (1991) 'Visualization of fluid-loss polymers in drilling-mud filter cakes', *SPE drilling engineering*, 6(3), pp. 203–208. doi: 10.2118/19534-PA.

Rosato, A. *et al.* (1987) 'Why the Brazil nuts are on top: Size segregation of particulate matter by shaking', *Physical Review Letters*, 58(10), pp. 1038–1040. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.58.1038.

Rumpf, H. (1958) 'No Title', Chernie Ing. Techn., 30, p. 144.

Ruth, B. F. (1946) 'Correlating filtration theory with industrial practice', *Ind. Eng. Chem.*, 38, pp. 564–571.

Salehi, S. (2012) 'Numerical simulations of fracture propagation and sealing: implications for wellbore strengthening', p. 222. Available at:

http://laurel.lso.missouri.edu/record=b10719057~S5.

Schubert, H. (1975) 'Tensile Strength of Agglomerates', *Powder Technology*, 11, pp. 107–119.

Sedin, P., Johansson, C. and Theliander, H. (2003) 'On the measurement and evaluation of pressure and solidosity in filtration', *Chemical Engineering Research and Design*, 81(10), pp. 1393–1405. doi: 10.1205/026387603771339618.

Shahri, M. P. *et al.* (2014) 'Advanced Geomechanical Analysis of Wellbore Strengthening for Depleted Reservoir Drilling Applications', *SPE/IADC Drilling Conference, Proceedings*, 1, pp. 526–537. doi: 10.2118/167976-MS.

Shen, C., Russel, W. B. and Auzerais, F. M. (1994) 'Colloidal gel filtration: Experiment and theory', *AIChE Journal*, 40(11), pp. 1876–1891. doi: 10.1002/aic.690401111.

Sherwood, J. D. and Meeten, G. H. (1997) 'The filtration properties of compressible mud filtercakes', *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*, 18(1–2), pp. 73–81. doi: 10.1016/S0920-4105(97)00005-3.

Shirato, M. et al. (1971) 'Porosity variation in filter cake under constant-pressure filtration',

Journal of Chemical Engineering of Japan, 4(2), pp. 172–177. doi: 10.1252/jcej.4.172.

Suri, A. (2005) *Cleanup of Internal Filter Cake during Flowback*. The University of Texas at Austin.

Suzuki, M. and Oshima, T. (1988) 'The relationship between the average coordination number and void fraction in randomly packed systems of uniform-sized spheres developed by four kinds of computer simulation.', *Journal of the Society of Powder Technology, Japan*, 25(4), pp. 204–208. doi: 10.4164/sptj.25.204.

Takahashi, K. *et al.* (1991) 'Measurement of cake thickness on membrane for microfiltration of yeast using ultrasonic polymer concave transducer', *JOURNAL of CHEMICAL ENGINEERING of JAPAN*, 24(5), pp. 599–603.

Tan, C. P. and Amanullah, M. (2001) 'Embedment Modulus of Mudcakes - Its Drilling Engineering Significance', AADE National Technology Conference & Exhibition, pp. 1–15.

Tare, U. A. *et al.* (1999) 'Investigation of Drilling Fluids Containing Blast Furnace Slag for Their Potential Impact on Formation Damage—A Laboratory Study', *Journal of Energy Resources Technology*, 121(3), pp. 149–153. doi: 10.1115/1.2795974.

Tarleton, S. (1999) 'The use of electrode probes in determinations of filter cake formation and batch filter scale-up', *Minerals Engineering*, 12(10), pp. 1263–1274. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0892-6875(99)00111-9.

ThermoFisher Scientific (2020) *3D Visualization & Analysis Software*. Available at: https://www.thermofisher.com/uk/en/home/industrial/electron-microscopy/electronmicroscopy-instruments-workflow-solutions/3d-visualization-analysis-software.html (Accessed: 19 June 2020).

Thompson, A. C. *et al.* (1984) 'Computed tomography using synchrotron radiation', *Nuclear Instruments and Methods In Physics Research*, 222(1–2), pp. 319–323. doi: 10.1016/0167-5087(84)90550-7.

Thornton, C. (1999) 'Interparticle relationships between forces and displacements', in Oda, M. and Iwashita, K. (eds) *Mechanics of Granular Materials*. A.A. Balkema, pp. 207–217.

Thornton, C. and Randall, C. W. (1988) 'Applications of theoretical contact mechanics to

solid particle system simulation', *Studies in Applied Mechanics*, 20, pp. 133–142. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-70523-5.50023-0.

Tien, C. (2006) *Introduction to Cake Filtration: Analyses, Experiments and Applications*. Elsevier. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-044452156-9/50001-1.

Tiller, F. M. (1953) 'The role of porosity in filtration', Chem. Eng. Prog., 49, pp. 467–479.

Tiller, F. M. (1955) 'The role of porosity in filtration 2: Analytical formulas for constant rate filtration', *Chem. Eng. Prog.*, 51, pp. 282–290.

Tiller, F. M. (1958) 'The role of porosity in filtration 3: Variable pressure-variable rate filtration', *AIChE Journal*, 2, pp. 171–174.

Tiller, F. M. (1974) 'Bench scale design of SLS systems', Chem. Engng., 81, pp. 117–119.

Tiller, F. M. (1975a) 'Compressible cake filtration', in Ives, K. J. (ed.) *The Scientific Basis of Filtration*. Leyden: Noordhoff.

Tiller, F. M. (1975b) Solid-Liquid Separation. 2nd edn. University of Houston.

Tiller, F. M., Hsyung, N. B. and Cong, D. Z. (1995) 'Role of porosity in filtration: XII. Filtration with sedimentation', *AIChE Journal*, 41(5), pp. 1153–1164. doi: 10.1002/aic.690410511.

University of Manchester (2013) *Manchester X-Ray Imaging Facility - The Fundamentals*. Available at: http://www.mxif.manchester.ac.uk/resources/introduction/fundamentals (Accessed: 10 January 2020).

Wakeman, R. and Tarleton, S. (2005) *Solid Liquid Separation: Principles of Industrial Filtration*. Elsevier Advanced Technology.

Washino, K. *et al.* (2016) 'Time step criteria in DEM simulation of wet particles in viscosity dominant systems', *Powder Technology*. Elsevier B.V., 302, pp. 100–107. doi: 10.1016/j.powtec.2016.08.018.

Weinhart, T. *et al.* (2020) 'Fast, flexible particle simulations — An introduction to MercuryDPM', *Computer Physics Communications*. Elsevier B.V., 249, p. 107129. doi: 10.1016/j.cpc.2019.107129.

Wiacek, J. et al. (2012) 'Influence of grain shape and intergranular friction on material

behavior in uniaxial compression: Experimental and DEM modeling', *Powder Technology*, 217, pp. 435–442. doi: 10.1016/j.powtec.2011.10.060.

Wilkinson, S. K. *et al.* (2017) 'A parametric evaluation of powder flowability using a Freeman rheometer through statistical and sensitivity analysis : A discrete element method (DEM) study', *Computers and Chemical Engineering*. Elsevier Ltd, 97, pp. 161–174. doi: 10.1016/j.compchemeng.2016.11.034.

Willett, C. D. *et al.* (2000) 'Capillary bridges between two spherical bodies', *Langmuir*, 16(24), pp. 9396–9405. doi: 10.1021/la000657y.

Yao, R. *et al.* (2014) 'Effect of water-based drilling fluid components on filter cake structure', *Powder Technology*. Elsevier B.V., 262, pp. 51–61. doi: 10.1016/j.powtec.2014.04.060.

Yousefi, A. and Ng, T. T. (2017) 'Dimensionless input parameters in discrete element modeling and assessment of scaling techniques', *Computers and Geotechnics*. Elsevier Ltd, 88, pp. 164–173. doi: 10.1016/j.compgeo.2017.03.017.

Zamora, M., Lai, D. T. and Dzialowski, A. K. (1990) 'Innovative devices for testing drilling muds', *SPE drilling engineering*, 5(1), pp. 11–16. doi: 10.2118/17240-pa.

Zinati, F. F. *et al.* (2007) 'Modeling of External Filter - Cake Build up in Radial Geometry', *SPE - European Formation Damage Conference, Proceedings, EFDC*, (SPE 107638).

Appendix A: code for DEM simulations

```
#include <DPMBase.h>
#include <Mercury30.h>
#include <Walls/InfiniteWall.h>
#include <Walls/InfiniteWallWithHole.h>
#include <Walls/CylindricalWall.h>
#include <Walls/CylindricalWall.h>
#include <Walls/IntersectionOfWalls.h>
#include <Walls/Coll.h>
#include <Particles/BaseParticle.h>
#include <Boundaries/DeletionBoundary.h>
#include <Boundaries/PeriodicBoundary.h>
#include <Boundaries/PeriodicBound
#include "Species/NormalForceSpecies/LinearViscoelasticNormalSpecies.h"
#include "Species/NormalForceSpecies/LinearViscoelasticNormalSpecies.h"
#include "Species/LinearViscoelasticFrictionSpecies.h"
#include "Species/NormalForceSpecies/HertzianViscoelasticNormalSpecies.h"
#include "Species/NormalForceSpecies/HertzianViscoelasticNormalSpecies.h"
#include "Species/HertzianViscoelasticMindlinSpecies.h"
#include "Species/FrictionForceSpecies/SlidingFrictionSpecies.h"
#include "Species/AdhesiveForceSpecies/ReversibleAdhesiveSpecies.h"
#include "Species/AdhesiveForceSpecies/IrreversibleAdhesiveSpecies.h"
#include "Species/AdhesiveForceSpecies/IrreversibleAdhesiveSpecies.h"
#include "Species/AdhesiveForceSpecies/LiquidBridgeWilletSpecies.h"
#include
    #include <Math/RNG.h>
    #include <cmath>
    #include <iostream>
    #include <fstream>
    #include <string;</pre>
      #include
    using namespace std;
  class NikzadsExperiment : public DPMBase {
    public:
                           NikzadsExperiment(string parsfile)
                                                   ifstream file(parsfile);
                                                 string name;
double var;
while (file >> name >> var)
                                                                         pars[name] = var;
                                                   setName(parsfile.erase(parsfile.find last of('.')));
                          }
                            void setupInitialConditions()
                                                   setSystemDimensions(3);
                                                 setTimeMax(1.1);
setGravity(Vec3D(0.0, 0.0, -0.00034));
                                                 dataFile.setFileType(FileType::ONE_FILE);
                                                    fStatFile.setFileType(FileType::ONE_FILE);
                                                   if (pars.find("particleRadius") == pars.end())
    logger(ERROR, "You haven't specified particleRadius");
                                                     else
                                                    {
                                                                          particleRadius = pars.at("particleRadius");
logger(INF0, "Set particleRadius to %", particleRadius);
                                                   }
                                                 cellThickness = 8.0e-3;
cellXWidth = 7.0e-3;
cellYWidth = 7.0e-3;
wellDepth = 10.0e-3;
openingRadius = 4.3e-3;
plungerRadius = 4.0e-3;
plungerSpeed = 8.0e-3;
                                                    youngsModulus = 70e6;
poissonsRatio = 0.24;
elasticModulus = 37.1e6;
                                                   CoR = 0.8;
porosity = 0.6;
                                                     setXMin(-cellXWidth):
                                                     setXMax(+cellXWidth);
setYMin(-cellYWidth);
setYMax(+cellYWidth);
                                                     setZMin(-wellDepth);
```

```
setZMax(cellThickness);
                    LinearViscoelasticFrictionSpecies species;
LinearViscoelasticFrictionSpecies species;
species.setDensity(100.0);
species.setCollisionTimeAndNormalAndTangentialRestitutionCoefficient(0.00005, CoR, CoR,
pow(particleRadius, 3) * M_PI * 4.0 / 3.0 * species.getDensity());
species.setRollingStiffness(2.0 / 5.0 * species.getStiffness());
species.setSlidingFrictionCoefficient(0.6);
species.setRollingFrictionCoefficient(0.1);
species.setRollingFrictionCoefficient(0.1);
speciesHandler.copyAndAddObject(species);
/*LinearViscoelasticFrictionLiquidBridgeWilletSpecies species;
species.setDensity(1100.0);
species.setCollisionTimeAndNormalAndTangentialRestitutionCoefficient(0.00005, CoR, CoR,
pow(particleRadius, 3) * M P1 * 4.0 / 3.0 * species.getDensity());
species.setRollingFrictionCoefficient(0.6);
species.setSlidingFrictionCoefficient(0.1);
species.setEnglingFrictionCoefficient(0.1);
species.setLiquidBridgeVolume(6.0e-11);
species.setLiquidBridgeVolume(6.0e-11);
species.setLiquidBridgeVolume(6.0;);
species.setLiquidBridgeVolume(6.0;);
species.setContactAngle(0.5);
species.setContactAngle(0.5);
                     /*HertzianViscoelasticMindlinRollingTorsionSpecies species;
                    /*HertzianViscoelasticMindlinRollingTorsionSpecies species;
species.setDensity(1100.0);
species.setElasticModulusAndRestitutionCoefficient(elasticModulus, CoR);
species.setElasticModulus(0.5 * elasticModulus/(1.0 + poissonsRatio));
//species.setRollingStiffness(2.0 / 5.0 * species.getStiffness());
species.setSlidingFrictionCoefficient(0.6);
species.setRollingFrictionCoefficient(0.1);
species.setRollingFrictionCoefficient(0.1);
                    auto perbX = boundaryHandler.copyAndAddObject(PeriodicBoundary()); perbX->set(Vec3D(1, \theta, \theta), -cellXWidth, cellXWidth);
                    auto perbY = boundaryHandler.copyAndAddObject(PeriodicBoundary());
perbY->set(Vec3D(0, 1, 0), -cellYWidth, cellYWidth);
                    auto delb = boundaryHandler.copyAndAdd0bject(DeletionBoundary());
delb->set(Vec3D(0, 0, -1), wellDepth);
                    plunger = wallHandler.copvAndAddObject(AxisymmetricIntersectionOfWalls()):
                    plunger = wallHandler.copyAndAddUbject(Axisymmetricintersection0TWall:
plunger-sestSpecies(speciesHandler.getObject(0));
plunger-setVelocity(Vec3D(0,0,1));
plunger-sedVelocity(Vec3D(0,0,-plungerSpeed));
plunger-seddObject(Vec3D(0,0,0,)vec3D(plungerRadius,0,0));
plunger-seddObject(Vec3D(0,0,1),Vec3D(0,0, cellThickness + margin));
                    platform = wallHandler.copyAndAddObject(AxisymmetricIntersectionOfWalls());
                    platform = wallHandler.copyAndAdudbject(Ax1symmetricIntersect
platform->setSpecies(speciesHandler.getObject(0));
platform->setPosition(Vec3D(0,0,0));
platform->setOrientation(Vec3D(0,0,1));
platform->addObject(Vec3D(1,0,0), Vec3D(openingRadius,0,0));
platform->addObject(Vec3D(0,0,-1), Vec3D(openingRadius,0,0));
                    particleHandler.clear();
                    SphericalParticle p0;
p0.setSpecies(speciesHandler.getObject(0));
p0.setVelocity(Vec3D(0, 0, 0));
                    p0.setRadius(particleRadius);
                     int Nx = static_cast<int> (std::floor((getXMax() - getXMin()) * pow((1.0 - porosity),
 1.0/3.0) / (1.612 * particleRadius)));
 int Ny = static_cast<int> (std::floor((getYMax() - getYMin()) * pow((1.0 - porosity),
1.0/3.0) / (1.612 * particleRadius)));
 int Nz = static_cast<int> (std::floor((cellThickness) * pow((1.0 - porosity), 1.0/3.0) /
(1.612 * particleRadius)));
 logger(WARN, "Nx = %, Ny = %, Nz = %, dt=%, Lower=%, Higher=%, LBpositive=%", Nx, Ny, Nz,
getTimeStep(), getGravity(), θ);
                    Mdouble distance;
                    Vec3D normal:
                for (int i = 0; i < Nx; i++)</pre>
                    {
                              for (int j = \theta; j < Ny; j ++)
                                        for (int k = 0; k < Nz; k++)
p0.setPosition(Vec3D(getXMin() + (getXMax() - getXMin())*(0.5 + i) / Nx +
random.getRandomNumber(-(((getXMax() - getXMin()) - Nx*2*particleRadius)/(Nx*2)), (((getXMax() -
getXMin()) - Nx*2*particleRadius)/(Nx*2)), getYMin() + (getYMax() - getYMin())*(0.5 + j) / Ny +
random.getRandomNumber(-(((getYMax() - getYMin()) - Ny*2*particleRadius)/(Ny*2)), (((getYMax() -
getYMin()) - Ny*2*particleRadius)/(Ny*2)), (cellThickness)*(0.5 + k) / Nz + random.getRandomNumber(
((cellThickness - Nz*2*particleRadius)/(Nz*2)), ((cellThickness - Nz*2*particleRadius)/(Nz*2))));
```

```
particleHandler.copyAndAddObject(p0);
```

```
}
}
}
       void printTime() const override
{
    logger(INF0, "t=%, N = %, force=%", getTime(), particleHandler.getNumberOfObjects(), plunger-
    tocord());
logger(INFU, 'L=0, m - ", total
>getForce());
FILE* forceFile4 = fopen("forceFile4", "a+");
fprintf(forceFile4, "%f %f %f %f(n", getTime(), plunger->getForce().X, plunger->getForce().Y, plunger->getForce().Z);
fclose(forceFile4);
private:
       AxisymmetricIntersectionOfWalls *platform;
AxisymmetricIntersectionOfWalls *plunger;
       DeletionBoundary *delb;
Mdouble particleRadius;
Mdouble plungerRadius, cellXWidth, cellYWidth, cellThickness, margin, plungerSpeed, wellDepth,
openingRadius, CoR, youngsModulus, poissonsRatio, porosity, elasticModulus , newporosity;
       RNG generator;
map<string,double> pars;
};
int main(int argc, char *argv[])
{
       if (argc > 1)
       {
             auto problem = new NikzadsExperiment(argv[1]);
argv[1] = argv[0];
problem->solve(argc-1, argv+1);
delete problem;
return 0;
       }
else
{
               fprintf(stderr, "Usage: %s config-file [options]\n", argv[0]);
              exit(-1);
       }
 }
```