



University of Groningen

The importance of knowing why TB patients stop anti-TB treatment

Akkerman, OW; Tiberi, S

Published in: International Journal of Tuberculosis and Lung Disease

DOI: 10.5588/ijtld.20.0591

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date: 2020

Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database

Citation for published version (APA): Akkerman, O. W., & Tiberi, S. (2020). The importance of knowing why TB patients stop anti-TB treatment. *International Journal of Tuberculosis and Lung Disease*, *24*(10), 989-990. https://doi.org/10.5588/ijtld.20.0591

Copyright Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverneamendment.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): http://www.rug.nl/research/portal. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

The importance of knowing why TB patients stop anti-TB treatment

IN MAY 2014, THE WHO LAUNCHED its End TB Strategy with the ultimate goal of a world free of TB. The WHO aimed to reach a target of 90% reduction in incidence and absolute numbers by 2035, leading to a global incidence of <10 per 100 000 population.¹ To reach this target several milestones, need to be achieved. The first milestone is set for 2020, with a reduction of 20%, leading to an incidence of <85/ 100 000. However, in 2018 the cumulative reduction in global incidence was only 6.3%.² Therefore, even without taking into account the impact of COVID-19, it is easy to conclude that massive efforts are required to reach these goals.

In their strategy, the WHO mentioned key actions or pillars to reach the goals. Part of Pillar one is treatment of all people with TB, including drugresistant TB (DR-TB), and patient support. In the 2019 WHO report, the global treatment success rates improved from 82% to 85%. This success was mostly due to a reduction in the absolute numbers of cases lost to follow up (LTFU) in India's private sector. Among DR-TB cases, 15% of the multidrug-resistant TB (MDR-TB) patients and 18% of the extensively drug-resistant TB patients were LTFU in 2018. It is safe to conclude that the LTFU group makes a significant contribution to reducing success rates.

Therefore, the paper by Kim et al. in this issue of the Journal is relevant and timely.³ The study describes the reasons for LTFU in 94,872 patients treated in public-private mix (PPM) hospitals in South Korea, where 2957 or 3.1% were LTFU. Out of the surveyed and selected LTFU patients, three major groups emerged based on the reason for abandoning treatment. The largest (387/780) consisted of those who were LTFU due to the adverse effects of anti-TB medication. Those who refused treatment constituted the second largest LTFU group; this was the result of a lack of knowledge or lack of microbiologically confirmed diagnosis. The third group comprised the marginalised, where homelessness, alcoholism and social deprivation were major causative factors.

Kim et al. reports that one third of the LTFU group was over 65 years of age and linked with adverse events, whereas the younger LTFU patients were more likely to have treatment experience and/or prior negative outcomes.³ A large global study on adverse events in the treatment of MDR-TB showed that 75% of the patients experiencing side effects had a median age below 53.⁴ This indicates that education about TB treatment and prevention of side effects needs to be prioritised, and measures for their management implemented. Individualised treatment using therapeutic drug monitoring (TDM) can help in certain situations.⁵ TDM can be used to optimise the therapeutic range, increasing the efficacy of some drugs, but also lower side effects by lowering the dose while maintaining efficacy.⁶ A recent report showed no severe adverse events in a selected population when the linezolid dose was lowered below 300 mg daily, whereas linezolid is often associated with side effects.⁷

Reducing LTFU rates need much more work, regardless of which patients are treated. As mentioned by the WHO in their End TB Strategy, patientcentred care that addresses the psychosocial and socio-economic needs of individuals are urgently needed.⁸ A less paternalistic approach is appreciated by patients and can help understanding of the disease and perhaps, encourage discussions about possible adverse effects at an earlier stage of treatment.⁹ Furthermore, new options for monitoring treatment adherence that take into account patients' self-esteem need to be explored. The recent introduction of videoobserved therapy can be of help for both treatment adherence and the identification of side effects.¹⁰

Overall, PPM in South Korea has improved outcomes; Kim et al. highlight where more effort is required to reduce LTFU.³ In particular, we need to prevent side effects as much as possible, and encourage the development of an equal partnership between patients and health care workers, so that optimal outcomes can be achieved.

> O. W. AKKERMAN^{1,2} S. TIBERI^{3,4} ¹Department of Pulmonary Diseases and Tuberculosis University Medical Center Groningen Groningen, The Netherlands ²Tuberculosis Center Beatrixoord University Medical Center Groningen University of Groningen Haren, The Netherlands ³Department of Infection

Article submitted 1 August 2020. Final version accepted 3 August 2020.

Barts Health NHS Trust London, UK ⁴Blizard Institute Queen Mary University of London London, UK e-mail: o.w.akkerman@umcg.nl

Conflicts of interest: none declared.

References

- 1 World Health Organization. The End TB Strategy. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO, 2020. https://www.who.int/tb/strategy
- 2 World Health Organization. Global tuberculosis report, 2019. WHO/CDS/TB/2019.15. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO, 2019. https://www.who.int/tb/publications/global_report
- 3 Kim H W, et al. Reasons why patients with tuberculosis in South Korea stop anti-tuberculosis treatment—a cross-sectional study. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis 2020; 24(10): 1016–1023.
- 4 Borisov S, et al. Surveillance of adverse events in the treatment

of drug-resistant tuberculosis: first global report. Eur Respir J 2019; 54(6): 1901522.

- 5 Nahid P, et al. Official American Thoracic Society/Centers for Disease Control and Prevention/Infectious Diseases Society of America Clinical Practice Guidelines. Treatment of drugsusceptible tuberculosis. Clin Infect Dis 2016; 63(7): e147–e195.
- 6 Ghimire S, et al. Incorporating therapeutic drug monitoring into the World Health Organization hierarchy of tuberculosis diagnostics. Eur Respir J 2016; 47(6): 1867–1869.
- 7 Bolhuis M S, et al. Treatment of multidrug-resistant tuberculosis using therapeutic drug monitoring: first experiences with sub-300 mg linezolid dosages using in-house made capsules. Eur Respir J 2019; 54(6): 1900580.
- 8 Furin J, et al. "A very humiliating illness": a qualitative study of patient-centered care for rifampicin-resistant tuberculosis in South Africa. BMC Public Health 2020; 20(1): 76.
- 9 Zelnick J R, et al. Training social workers to enhance patientcentered care for drug-resistant TB-HIV in South Africa. Public Health Action 2018; 8(1): 25–27.
- 10 Story A, et al. Smartphone-enabled video-observed versus directly observed treatment for tuberculosis: a multicentre, analyst-blinded, randomised, controlled superiority trial. Lancet 2019; 393(10177): 1216–1224.