



University of Groningen

Histories of Social Functioning and Mental Healthcare in Severely Dysfunctional Dual-**Diagnosis Psychiatric Patients**

van Kranenburg, Gryta; Diekman, Wout; Mulder, Wijnand; Pijnenborg, Gerdina Marieke; van den Brink, Robertus; Mulder, Cornelis L.

Published in: International Journal of Mental Health and Addiction

DOI: 10.1007/s11469-018-9992-7

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version Final author's version (accepted by publisher, after peer review)

Publication date: 2020

Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database

Citation for published version (APA): van Kranenburg, G., Diekman, W., Mulder, W., Pijnenborg, G. M., van den Brink, R., & Mulder, C. L. (2020). Histories of Social Functioning and Mental Healthcare in Severely Dysfunctional Dual-Diagnosis Psychiatric Patients. International Journal of Mental Health and Addiction, 18(4), 904-916. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11469-018-9992-7

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Take-down policy If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): http://www.rug.nl/research/portal. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

1	Histories of Social Functioning and Mental Healthcare in
2	Severely Dysfunctional Dual-Diagnosis Psychiatric Patients
3	
4	Grieke D. van Kranenburg ¹ , Wout J. Diekman ¹ , Wijnand G. Mulder ² Gerdina
5	H.M. Pijnenborg ^{3,4} , Rob H.S. van den Brink ⁵ , Cornelis L. Mulder ⁶
6	
7	
8	¹ Drenthe Mental Healthcare Organization, Sustainable Residence, Beilen,
9	the Netherlands.
10	² Bouman GGZ, Rotterdam, the Netherlands.
11	³ Drenthe Mental Healthcare Organization, Department of Psychotic
12	Disorders, Assen, the Netherlands.
13	⁴ Department of Clinical Psychology and Experimental Psychopathology,
14	Faculty of Behavioural and Social Science, University of Groningen,
15	Groningen, the Netherlands.
16	⁵ University of Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen, Department
17	of Psychiatry, Rob Giel Research Center, Groningen, the Netherlands.
18	⁶ Department of Psychiatry, Epidemiological and Social Psychiatric Research
19	Institute, Erasmus MC, Rotterdam, the Netherlands.
20	
21	
22	Corresponding author: Grieke D. van Kranenburg,
23	Drenthe Mental Healthcare Organization, Sustainable Residence,
24	Beilen, PO Box 30007, Assen 9400 AA, the Netherlands.
25	Email: Grieke.van.kranenburg@ggzdrenthe.nl
	1

26 Abstract

27 Disengagement from mental health services is a major obstacle to the treatment of homeless dual-diagnosis patients (i.e. those with severe mental illness and substance-use disorder). A 28 29 subgroup of these patients is considered to be treatment resistant and we aim to explore whether patients' reasons for disengagement may stem from negative experiences in their 30 lives and treatment histories. This retrospective, explorative study examined the medical files 31 32 of 183 severely dysfunctional dual-diagnosis patients who had been admitted involuntarily to a new specialized clinic for long-term treatment. Most patients shared common negative 33 experiences with respect to childhood adversities, low school achievement, high levels of 34 35 unemployment, discontinuity of care and problems with the judicial system. The lifetime histories of treatment-resistant, severely dysfunctional dual-diagnosis patients showed a 36 common pattern of difficulties that may have contributed to treatment resistance and 37 38 disengagement from services. If these adversities are targeted, disengagement may be prevented and outcome improved. 39

40

41

42 Keywords: Severely mentally ill, Dual diagnosis, Treatment resistance, Difficult-to-engage,
43 Compulsory treatment, Homeless.

45 Introduction

Drake, Osher and Wallach (1991) drew attention to a very vulnerable group of homeless 46 people who had been dually diagnosed with severe mental illness (SMI) and substance-use 47 disorder. Many of these people also had somatic illnesses, legal problems, behavioural 48 problems, skill deficits, histories of trauma and inadequate support systems. The authors 49 concluded that this group of patients has complex and poorly understood needs. 50 More recent studies have described a subgroup of dual-diagnosis patients with similar 51 traits, characterizing them as 'difficult-to-engage', 'therapy resistant' or 'non-responders to 52 treatment' (Smith, Easter, Pollock, Pope & Wisdom, 2013; Mulder, Torleif, Bahler, Kroon & 53 Priebe, 2014). While many of these patients are homeless or in prison, they are in great need 54 of psychiatric care, addiction care and somatic care and also in need of care by the social 55 services (Schanda, Stompe & Ortwein- Schwoboda, 2013). 56

57

58 Limitations in Dual-Diagnosis Treatment

In the 1980s Drake and Wallach (2000) introduced the term 'dual diagnosis' and raised 59 awareness of substance use by people with severe mental illness (SMI). Due partly to the 60 separation of psychiatric services and addiction services in many countries, the complex 61 62 negative interaction between substance use and SMI was long overlooked. However, the poor treatment outcomes in the two separate services led to innovations in the treatment of dual-63 diagnosis patients, for whom mental health and substance- abuse treatment were combined in 64 what was termed Integrated Dual Diagnosis Treatment (IDDT) (Kruszynski, Boyle, 2006). 65 Similarly, to improve the engagement and treatment of dual-diagnosis patients, several new 66 kinds of intervention and programme were developed, including assertive outreach, 67

motivational interventions, residential programmes, inpatient treatment and housing projects
(Planije, Van Rooijen & Kroon, 2006).

Despite these innovations, at least 50% of these patients do not respond well to outpatient
IDDT or to other outpatient psychosocial treatments (Brunette, Mueser & Drake, 2004;
Drake, Mueser, Brunette & McHugo, 2004). This may be partly because they lack safe and
stable living arrangements: many are homeless or live in neighbourhoods that are affected by
drug abuse (Brunette, Mueser & Drake, 2004).

In 2006 the Netherlands' national government started an active programme to address the needs of homeless people. Although a small subgroup of homeless people were well known to the mental- healthcare services, they were considered to be treatment resistant: over the years they had been treated by all available means - including frequent compulsory hospitaladmissions - without lasting improvements. Most of them were at risk of severe self-neglect and social deterioration, and they caused nuisance in the streets. In 2006 the government decided to build a new and unique treatment facility for them.

To develop and improve the treatment, we wished to gain insight into the characteristics of this group of patients, including their life-time history of social functioning and their previous use of mental-health services.

85

86 Aim of the Study

87 To analyse the life courses and mental-healthcare histories of a group of severely

88 dysfunctional dual-diagnosis patients, considered by the current services to be treatment

resistant but also to be at risk of lasting danger to themselves or others,

90 in order to explore whether patients' reasons for disengagement may stem from negative

91 experiences in their lives and treatment histories.

93 Methods

94

95 **Design and Setting**

96 This retrospective study was based on the medical files of all patients who had been
97 admitted involuntarily between 2007 and 2013, to a special facility for dual-diagnosis
98 patients.

The patients included in this study had been referred by the municipal health authorities of 99 three major Dutch cities (Amsterdam, Rotterdam and Groningen). They had lived on the 100 streets, causing nuisance, and were considered by the available services to be treatment 101 102 resistant. Ultimately they were also at high risk of severe self-neglect and social deterioration. 103 In 2006, the Dutch government decided to build 'Sustainable Residence' (SuRe), a new facility for these patients. On the basis of a civil-law court order, patients are admitted 104 105 involuntarily to SuRe for longer periods that are determined by an independent psychiatrist and a civil-law judge. Every six or twelve months, a judge decides whether the court order 106 107 should be extended.

Admission to SuRe is based on four criteria: (1) dual diagnosis (SMI and substance- use disorder); (2) a history of homelessness; (3) failure of earlier treatment to achieve lasting improvement despite the use of appropriate means, including multiple involuntary admissions; (4) the imposition of a civil-law court order for involuntary admission on the basis of the risk of lasting danger towards themselves or others.

The patient sample for the current study, comprised all the patients admitted to SuRe
between 2007 (its start of operations) and 2013. The study was approved by the Dutch
Medical Ethical Committee for the Mental Health Services.

117 Materials

We studied the files of patients admitted to SuRe. These included referral letters, court orders, 118 treatment reports, personal interviews, and interviews with family members. Information was 119 also gathered by social workers and a cultural anthropologist working at SuRe, who collected 120 information from family members on the patients' overall and cultural backgrounds, including 121 information on the patients' family system, and on their childhood, school and job history. 122 123 To collect standardized information on the life and mental healthcare history from these files, we developed a case-record form with clear definitions of the variables to be assessed. 124 A research team screened the files for facts about these variables and scored them on the 125 126 form. When information in a file was not coherent or not available for a variable it was scored 127 as 'missing' data.

128

129 Variables

We studied the patients' life and mental-healthcare histories in three domains: (1) childhood
functioning (up to 18 years of age); (2) social functioning (18 years and above); and (3)
lifetime care-histories in mental health. The items in these domains were selected on the basis
of their potential risk to or protective influence on the patient's social and psychological
functioning.

For the first domain (the childhood period) we selected items on: - family structure (including parental loss, i.e. parental divorce, parental death and court custody, or caretaker with mental, addiction or judicial problems); - other childhood adversities (including migration or physical or sexual abuse); - educational achievement, drug and /or alcohol use, behavioural problems and contacts with professional care (e.g. youth or social care) or the judicial system.

141	For the second domain (the history of social functioning), we collected data on:
142	employment history, living arrangements (including having lived independently and history
143	of homelessness), financial problems, having children, and contact with the police or judicial
144	system (including detention history).
145	For the third domain (mental-health history - before admission to SuRe) we established the
146	age at onset of psychiatric and addiction problems, age at first contact with the services, the
147	number of voluntary and compulsory admissions, and periods of care in which functioning
148	appeared to be stable (including history of supported housing or supported independent
149	living).
150	As many patients had had unsettled lives, they often lose contact with mental health
151	services and consequently the information in their patient files was not complete for some of
152	the variables we studied.
153	Similarly, information on the patients' judicial history kept by the police and the
154	Department of Justice had been only partly documented and neither organization gave us
155	permission to access its files.
156	
157	
158	Results
159	We examined the files of all 183 patients admitted to the treatment programme at SuRe
160	between 2007 and 2013. Table I shows the demographic and clinical characteristics of the
161	study sample.
162	
163	

Table I. Demographic and clinical characteristics of severely 164

dysfunctional and treatment-resistant dual-diagnosis patients 165

admitted to Sustainable Residence between 2007 an	£ 2013
---	--------

	N = 183
Gender, N (%) #	
Male	152 (83.1)
Female	31 (16.9)
Age, mean (SD; range)	39.4 (8.4; 22-59)
Country of birth, N (%) [#]	
Netherlands	83 (46.9)
Suriname	39 (22.0)
Netherlands Antilles	14 (7.9)
Other ^a	41 (23.2)
Missing	6
Education (completed) ^b , N (%) [#]	
Low	97 (63.4)
Intermediate	48 (27.5)
High	8 (9.2)
Missing	30
Diagnosis at referral to SuRe, N (%)#	
DSM IV axis I	
Psychotic disorders	153 (90.0)
Substance abuse or dependence	158 (92.9)
Other axis 1 disorder	21 (12.4)
Missing	13
DSM-IV axis II	
Personality disorder	59 (36.4)
Borderline intellectual functioning or less (IQ < 85)	30 (18.6)
Missing	21
DSM-IV axis V	
GAF at admission, mean (SD; range)	35 (7.9; 15-55)
Missing	26

Relative frequencies (excluding patients with missing values).
 ^a Countries on the following continents: Africa (14.1%); Asia (5.1%), Europe (3%), South

America (1.1%), Oceania (0.6%)

167 168 169 170 171 172 ^bLow: elementary school or less. Intermediate: lower or intermediate vocational or general education. High: higher vocational or university education.

The study sample was predominantly male and represented a wide age range (from 22 to 59 174 175 years). Over half the patients had been born outside the Netherlands and had a low educational level (elementary school or less). Upon referral to SuRe they had, almost without 176 177 exception, been diagnosed with a psychotic disorder, particularly paranoid schizophrenia (58.2%) and disorganized schizophrenia (15.0%). In addition, almost all had a substance use 178 or dependence disorder (92.9%), usually involving multiple drugs. The substances most used 179 were cocaine (38.8%), cannabis (32.9%) and alcohol (22.4%). Eighty-four percent of the total 180 sample (142 patients) had a combination of a psychotic and substance-use disorder. In a few 181 cases (12.4%) other axis I disorders were stated, including mood disorders and substance-182 183 induced disorders. About one third of the patients also had a personality disorder: in 13.6% this consisted of an Antisocial Personality Disorder and in 16.7% it was Personality Disorder 184 Not Otherwise Specified. A substantial proportion of the patients had borderline intellectual 185 186 functioning or less (defined as an IQ less than 85). Overall, patients' psychosocial functioning was poor, with a mean GAF score of 35 at referral to SuRe. 187 188

189 Childhood Functioning

190

191 Table II shows the childhood experiences of the patients.

192

Table II. Childhood experiences of severely dysfunctional and treatment-

resistant dual-diagnosis patients admitted to Sustainable Residence

between 2007 and 2013

	N = 183
Childhood adversities <18 years, N (%) [#]	
Parental loss ^a	105 (69.5)
Missing	32
Abuse (physical or sexual)	53 (51.5)
Missing	80
Caretaker's mental illness/ substance abuse/ criminality	50 (65.8)
Missing	107
Migration <18 years	77 (46.7)
Missing	18
Any childhood adversity	142 (92.8)
Missing	30
Onset of alcohol or drug use <18 years, N (%) [#]	92 (71.3)
Missing	54
Behavioural problems <18 years	101 (87,1)
Missing	61
Contact with professional care <18 years b N (%) [#]	50 (44.2)
Missing	70

Relative frequencies (excluding patients with missing values).
 ^a Parental death, parental divorce, and other loss of contact with parents or caregivers.
 ^b Youth care, social work, etc..

198 199 200

The files of over three quarters of the patients contained references to a form of childhood 203 204 adversity; most had an accumulation of various types of adversity. The most prevalent being parental loss (69.5%) which included parental divorce, parental death, and court custody. 205 206 Fewer than one third of the patients had been raised by both their own parents. In addition, over half had had a caretaker with mental, addiction or judicial problems, had been physically 207 or sexually abused during childhood or had migrated before their eighteenth birthday. They 208 209 had migrated at a vulnerable age (mean: 13.6 years) which may have affected their educational achievements and options for social adjustment. 210 Before age 18, over a third had had contacts with professional care services such as youth 211 212 care services or social services. The reasons for these contacts lay in behavioural problems that, by that age had already started in 87.1%. 33.6% already having experienced psychiatric 213 214 symptoms and 19.4% having received mental healthcare treatment. By that age 71.3% had 215 also experienced their first drug or alcohol use.

216

217 Social Functioning

218 Table III shows the aspects of adult social functioning.

219

221 **Table III.** Adult social functioning and judicial

222 history of severely dysfunctional and treatment-

resistant dual-diagnosis patients admitted to

224	Sustainable	Residence	between	2007	and 2013
-----	-------------	-----------	---------	------	----------

	N = 183
Independent housing, N (%) [#]	124 (81.6)
Missing	31
Homelessness, N (%) [#]	140 (90.3)
Missing	28
Paid job, N (%) [#]	107 (77.5)
Missing	45
Having Children, N (%) [#]	49 (32.2)
Missing	31
Detention, N (%) [#]	131 (87.9)
Missing	34

#Relative frequencies (excluding patients with missing values) of

patients who had experienced the phenomenon once or more duringtheir lifetime.

228

229

230

231 During adulthood most patients had lived on their own for at least a short period. Almost all had also experienced homelessness for periods ranging from six months to five years. 232 Although fifteen had not been homeless, they had spent periods without accommodation of 233 their own in which they had been hospitalized or incarcerated, or had stayed with family. For 234 a period during their lifetime, most had also had a paid job. In many cases the duration of 235 these jobs was unknown although the information in the files suggested that it had often been 236 237 rather brief. When specified in the patient files the periods with a job had ranged from under a 238 month to over a year. However, most patients' working careers had lasted no longer than a year. Only fifteen patients (10.9%) were documented to have had a paid job for five years or 239 more. Financial problems were mentioned in the patient files but usually without any details. 240 241 When admitted to SuRe, 79.5% had serious financial debts that amounted to a mean of 8,516 euro per patient. One third of the patients had children which may indicate a period of 242 243 relatively stable social functioning.

Before admission to SuRe all patients had caused serious nuisance in their surroundings; this had often ended in police intervention. Most patients had been detained once or more. Overall, their criminal activities had been related to substance use and drug dealing; these activities included substance use in public, disturbing the public order, begging, misbehaving and stealing.

In particular, 23.9% of the patients had been incarcerated under the Dutch Persistent Offenders Act (POA), which is intended for frequent offenders, and in practice often involved drug-related – misdemeanours. Under this Act patients had been detained for two years in a special prison facility where training programmes had been available in the first year and vocational skills had been further developed in the second.

The files also reported serious crimes. However, due to the lack of exact data provided by the police or Justice Department we can do no more than provide examples: stealing, burglary, aggressive behaviour, menace, violence and physical abuse.

By way of illustration, the two boxes provide case descriptions of typical patients who hadbeen admitted involuntarily to SuRe in the period under study.

Patient X

This patient was born in South-America. His parents died when he was five years old and he was placed in a foster home. Due to problems with his foster-father he was finally was adopted by a Dutch couple at the age of eight. In the adoptive family he was seriously physically abused; at age 11 he started to use heroine. At 12 he attempted suicide. After a long period of physical recovery, he was placed in a boarding school where his behaviour was out of control. He ran away and started a life of wandering, often in Amsterdam. Later he lived with a girlfriend. They had a baby. In this period, when he was a regular cocaineuser, he started to beat his girlfriend. Eventually he asked for help and his girlfriend went to a safe house. From then on he started to use more alcohol and drugs, which led to aggressive behaviour and paranoid symptoms. Over the next few years many attempts were made to treat him, including compulsory admissions. These did not lead to lasting improvements. For a year he lived in a supported housing facility. When he was drunk he became very aggressive; neither were outpatient care providers able to handle his dangerous behaviour. He was involved in many aggressive incidents on the street. He got infected with HIV and struggled with loneliness and hopelessness. Due to the risk of social and personal deterioration he was admitted involuntarily to SuRe.

260

Patient Y

Mr. Y had an overprotective mother and an alcoholic father. At elementary school he had learning problems and failed twice. At 12 he started to use cannabis and, some years later, tranquilizers. Due to aggressive behaviour, he was removed from school at 14. He then had several jobs: in an abattoir, at sea, and in gardening. When he was 16, his parents threw him out because they were unable to control his behaviour problems. He then lived on the streets for many years. He was convicted many times for criminal activities such as bicycle theft, shoplifting, begging and burglary. At 23 he was admitted to a mental healthcare clinic due to psychotic symptoms. In that period he was a regular cocaine and heroin-user. He had his first treatment in addiction care eleven years later. Repeated hospitalizations followed for his psychotic disorder (schizophrenia) and for his addiction problems. Upon discharge, he consistently returned to the streets and continued to use drugs. Over the years he was incarcerated 19 times. After his last detention, when he was 40, he was admitted involuntarily to SuRe.

261

263 Mental Healthcare History

- 264 One of the conditions for referral to SuRe is a 'history of treatment by all appropriate means
- 265 (including compulsory treatment)'. In this part of the study we review the patients' mental
- 266 healthcare history before their admission to SuRe. Mental healthcare includes both psychiatric
- and addiction services.
- 268 In table IV the lifetime mental healthcare history of the patients.

269

Table IV. Lifetime history of mental healthcare of severely dysfunctional

and treatment-resistant dual-diagnosis patients admitted to Sustainable

Residence between 2007 and 2013

	N = 183
Age at onset of psychiatric disorders ^a (mean; SD)	21.2 (7.3)
Missing	57
Age at onset substance use (mean; SD)	16.9 (5.9)
Missing	52
Age at first contact with psychiatric services, (mean; SD)	24.0 (7.6)
Missing	21
Age at first contact with addiction services, (mean; SD) #	30.5 (8.8)
Missing	35
History of mental healthcare by category, N (%)#	
Admission to psychiatric services	135 (96.4)
Admission to addiction services	71 (50.7)
Admission in forensic setting	26 (18.6)
Supported housing or supported independent living	102 (72.9)
Missing	43
Number of admissions to psychiatric services, N (%)#	
0	6 (3.9)
1-5 times	62 (40.0)
6-10 times	45 (29.0)
11 times or more	42 (27.1)
Missing	33
Number of admissions to addiction services, N (%) [#]	
0	74 (48.4)
1-5 times	71 (46.4)
6-10 times	6 (3.9)
11 times or more	2 (1.3)
Missing	30
History of compulsory admission, N (%)#	167 (96.0)
Missing	9

275

(Relative frequencies (excluding patients with missing values). a According to DSM-IV criteria; excluding substance abuse or dependence.

A large majority of the patients (79.2%) were reported to have had psychiatric symptoms
(other than addiction) before the age of 25. The mean age at first contact with mental
healthcare services (including addiction services) was 23.9 years; 79.6% had had mentalhealthcare treatment before the age of 31 – meaning of course that there is also a subgroup of
patients (20.4%) who had first contact with mental healthcare professionals after the age of
30.

Almost all patients had been admitted to a psychiatric hospital. Those who had not had been in an addiction clinic. With few exceptions – i.e. patients referred to SuRe after detention - all patients had experienced involuntary admissions. Given the dual diagnoses in this patient group, there is a remarkable difference between the number admitted to psychiatric services (96.4%) and those admitted to addiction services (50.7%).

With regard to the lifetime duration of inpatient treatment in psychiatric or addiction services, 8.5% of the patients had been hospitalized for less than a total of 1.5 years. At the opposite end of the scale, 17.5 % had been hospitalized for more than 4 years.

In addition to inpatient psychiatric and addiction care, roughly one in five of the patients had experienced inpatient treatment in forensic settings due to serious criminal acts.

Two thirds of all patients had lived in supported housing or supported independent living, which may be taken as an indication that they also had experienced periods of relatively stable psychiatric functioning and care. Although seven patients had lived in such settings for 4 - 5 years, all had been discharged due to a worsening of their psychiatric symptoms and/or addiction. In most cases, their eviction had been due to the behavioural problems that had accompanied this deterioration.

In summary: almost all patients had been admitted to a psychiatric and / or addiction
hospital and had also experienced compulsory admissions. Only two patients had not, and had
been referred to SuRe after their detention. Over half of the patients had been admitted to both

304 psychiatric and addiction clinics and had had residential care in supported housing or305 supported independent living.

The lifetime provision of treatment by Assertive Outreach Teams had not been recorded in the patient files well enough to provide specific findings over patients' lifetimes, but most patients had been in care with these teams.

Figure 1 summarizes the findings presented above by showing an average life trajectory for the patient group. It shows that there was a mean period of 15 years between first treatment by the mental healthcare services and admission to SuRe. Overall, between the onset of psychiatric problems and admission to SuRe there was a mean 18.4 -year period of treatment

- 313 inputs, homelessness, police contacts, detentions, addiction problems and unemployment.
- 314
- 315

Fig 1. An average lifeline overview⁵ of the developmental and care history of severely

317 dysfunctional and treatment-resistant dual-diagnosis patients admitted to Sustainable

318 Residence between 2007 and 2013

319

320 Insert figure 1 here

321

322

324 Discussion

This study describes the life- and mental-health-service histories of severely dysfunctional dual-diagnosis patients who showed dangerous behaviour to self or others and were considered to be treatment resistant by the current services. They had been referred to a new facility called Sustainable Residence (SuRe).

The life histories showed an accumulation of risk factors and losses, and hardly any 329 330 protective factors. The patients had experienced many childhood adversities, had few educational achievements and had used substances before the age of eighteen. Their 331 psychiatric problems – usually psychotic symptoms - had become apparent at around the age 332 333 of 21. In approximately the same period they had showed disruptive behaviour, which in many cases led to police interventions. Most had been unable to keep a job for a longer 334 period, and had also had financial problems. Most had been diagnosed with schizophrenia 335 (paranoid type) and multiple substance-use disorder. The mental health histories showed a 336 pattern either of many brief hospitalizations and crisis interventions, or of a smaller number of 337 338 long hospitalizations. In neither case had there had been lasting improvements in functioning. 339 Life histories with ongoing stressful events such as found in our patient group were described by Padgett, Smith, Henwood and Tiderington (2012) as a 'chain of risk in which 340 341 one exposure tends to lead to another'. The authors hypothesized that an accumulation of 342 adversities and life stress creates sources of emotional destabilization, many of them latent and poorly understood. This permanent emotional instability undermines the efforts of care 343 344 providers to address the manifest problems, such as psychotic symptoms, homelessness and substance abuse. In the same authors view, treatment of this patient group should also address 345 the 'often hidden psychological burdens or traumas as well as the chronic stress of poverty 346 347 and social isolation'.

With respect to the characteristics of the patients we studied, three deserve special 348 349 attention. First, the patients' educational levels were particularly low: only 36.7% had finished secondary education, which is substantially lower than the 67.0% found in a study of 350 351 homeless people in the four major cities in the Netherlands (Van der Laan, Straaten, Boersma, Schrijvers, Van der Mheen & Wolf, 2013). This raises the question of whether they had been 352 screened properly for learning disabilities during their periods of psychiatric or addiction 353 354 treatment. Early diagnosis of learning disability might improve insight into problems at school – which, if unrecognized, might otherwise spread to other domains. Although, upon 355 referral to SuRe, only 18% of patients in our study had been diagnosed with borderline 356 357 intellectual functioning or less, this diagnosis may have been unrecognized in other patients. The second characteristic that deserves attention is the fact that almost all patients had been 358 diagnosed with a psychotic disorder - besides substance misuse or dependence. In other Dual 359 360 Diagnosis clinics in the Netherlands, only 24.0% of the patients are diagnosed with a psychotic disorder (De Weert-van Oene, Holsbeek & De Jong, 2011). While substance use 361 has a destabilizing effect on psychotic problems, some drugs can also attenuate the psychotic 362 symptoms, thereby encouraging a patient to use substances. This can result in a circle that 363 364 should be targeted in treatment.

365 The third characteristic is that substance use usually started much earlier in the patients' lives than the psychiatric problems did. Nevertheless, the mean age at which patients entered 366 addiction care was almost seven years higher than their age at first contact with psychiatric 367 care, and the number of admissions to addiction services was substantially lower than that to 368 psychiatric services. This might indicate that despite the IDDT programmes, the separation of 369 psychiatric care and addiction care is still an issue. To prevent the long care trajectories 370 described in this article, we therefore argue that dual-diagnosis treatment for young people 371 should be provided earlier. 372

373 Limitations

374 Our study has two major limitations. First, the data were obtained from patient files,

which, by definition, had not been compiled for research purposes. These data had been

376 collected retrospectively and were sometimes incomplete. When studying patients whose

377 care-avoidance often causes them to lose contact with the services such problems are probably

inevitable. Second, as we had received no permission to access the files of the Justice

379 Department, our information on the patients' judicial history was incomplete.

380

381

382 Conclusion and Clinical Implications

The life histories of this group of severely dysfunctional and treatment-resistant dualdiagnosis patients showed a common pattern of difficulties that may provide a target for prevention by mental-health and social services. A broad range of well-known risk factors had accumulated in these patients' lives. If such factors are recognized at an early stage, it might be possible to prevent 'the chain of risk' that leads to psychological conditions that can undermine the care providers' efforts.

The patients' mental-healthcare histories demonstrate the failure – at some expense- of many inpatient and outpatient treatment inputs. Our results therefore underscore the importance of integrated and assertive treatment, and also of continuity of care to attempt to improve patients' outcome. Better care may help to reduce the high costs not only for the mental health services but also to society as a whole (including the police and Department of Justice).

In the patient group we studied, fragmentary treatment efforts were succeeded by periods of homelessness, criminality, crisis interventions, imprisonment and active outreach. Reasons for dropping out of treatment are often formulated in terms of patients' disruptive behaviour.

398	Instead, it might be more helpful if the focus shifted to care providers' difficulties in forming
399	a working alliance with them.
400	Research should therefore establish and develop the following: strategies for improving
401	engagement of this patient group, interventions that meet their needs, and in particular,
402	timely, effective and cost-effective, treatment programmes for dual- diagnosis patients who
403	do not benefit from current outpatient or (assertive) outreach treatment.
404	
405	
406	Abbreviations
407	SuRe: Sustainable Residence. Facility for dual-diagnosis treatment in the Netherlands.
408	SMI: Severe Mental Illness.
409	IDDT: Integrated Dual Diagnosis Treatment.
410	POA: Persistent Offenders Act in the Netherlands.
411	
412	Acknowledgements
413	We thank Durk Wiersma for his contribution to the study design and the interpretation
414	of results.
415	
416	Conflict of Interest
417	The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.
418	
419	Authors' contributions
420	GDvK contributed to the study design, literature search, data acquisition, and interpretation of
421	results. She was also responsible for manuscript writing and revision.

- 422 WJD contributed to the study design, literature search, data acquisition, interpretation of
- 423 results and revision of the manuscript.
- 424 WGM contributed to the study design, and also revised the manuscript critically for important
- 425 intellectual content.
- 426 GHM Pijnenborg contributed to the interpretation of results and revision of the manuscript.
- 427 RHSvdB was responsible for the study design, and contributed to literature search,
- 428 interpretation of results and revision of the manuscript.
- 429 CLM was responsible for the management of the study, and contributed to the interpretation
- 430 of results and revision of the manuscript.
- 431 All authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

432

434 **References**

- 435 Brunette, M.F., Mueser, K.T. & Drake, R.E. (2004). A review of research on residential
- 436 programs for people with severe mental illness and co-occurring substance use disorders.
- 437 Drug and Alcohol Review, 23, 471-481.
- 438 Drake, R.E., Mueser, K.T, Brunette, M.F. & McHugo, G.J. (2004). A Review of Treatments
- for People with Severe Mental Illnesses and Co-Occurring Substance Use Disorders.
- 440 Psychiatric Rehabilitation Journal, 27(4), 360–374.
- 441 Drake, R.E., Osher, F.C. & Wallach, M.A. (1991). Homelessness and Dual Diagnosis.
- 442 American Psychologist, 46(11), 1149-1158.
- 443 Drake, R.E. & Wallach, M.A. (2000). Dual Diagnosis: 15 years of Progress. Psychiatric
- 444 Services, 51(9), 1126-1129.
- Laan, van der J., Straaten, B., Boersma, S., Schrijvers, C., Mheen, van der D. & Wolf, J.
- (2013). Cohortstudie Daklozen in de vier grote steden (Cohortstudy of the homeless in the
 four major cities in the Netherlands). Nijmegen: UMC St. Radboud.
- 448 Mulder, C.L., Torleif, R., Bahler, M., Kroon, H. & Priebe, S. (2014). The availability and
- quality across Europe of outpatient care for difficult-to-engage patients with severe mental
- 450 illness: A survey among experts. International Journal of Social Psychiatry, 60(3), 304-
- 451 310.
- 452 Padgett, D.K., Smith, B.T., Henwood, B.F. & Tiderington, E. (2012). Life course adversity in
- the lives of formerly homeless persons with serious mental illness: context and meaning.
- 454 American Journal of Orthopsychiatry, 82(3), 421-430.
- 455 Planije, M., Rooijen, van, S., Kroon, H. (2006). Inventarisatie van het zorgaanbod voor
- 456 dubbele diagnose clienten in de GGZ en verslavingszorg in Nederland (Inventory of care-
- 457 services for dual diagnosis clients in mental healthcare and addiction care in the
- 458 Netherlands). Trimbos-Institute, Utrecht, NL.

- 459 Schanda, H., Stompe, T. & Ortwein-Swoboda, G. (2013). Psychiatric Reforms and increasing
- 460 Criminal Behavior of the Severely Mentally Ill: Any Link? International Journal of

461 Forensic Mental Health, 8(2), 105-114.

- 462 Kruszynski, R., Boyle, P.E. (2006). Implementation of the Integrated Dual Disorders
- 463 Treatment Model: Stage-Wise Strategies for Service Providers. Journal of Dual Diagnosis,

464 2(3), 147-155.

Smith, T.E., Easter, A., Pollock, M., Pope, L.G. & Wisdom, J.P. (2013). Disengagement From
Care: Perspectives of Individuals With Serious Mental Illness and of Service Providers.

467 Psychiatric Services, 64(8), 770-775.

- 468 Weert-van Oene, G.H., Holsbeek, T., De Jong C.A.J. (2011). Monitor Dubbele Diagnose
- 469 2011 (Monitor Dual Diagnosis 2011), Nijmegen: Nijmegen Institute for Scientist-

470 Practitioners in Addiction.

471

