NIH PUDIIC ACCESS

Author Manuscript

Vet Parasitol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2008 November 10.

Published in final edited form as:

Vet Parasitol. 2007 November 10; 149(3-4): 172–177.

Serological survey of *Leishmania infantum* and *Trypanosoma* cruzi in dogs from urban areas of Brazil and Colombia

A. C. Rosypal^a, J. A. Cortés-Vecino^b, S. M. Gennari^c, J. P. Dubey^d, R. R. Tidwell^a, and D. S. Lindsay^{e*}

aSchool of Medicine, Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, CB #7525, Room 805 Brinkhous-Bullitt Building, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC 25799-7525, USA

bLaboratorio de Parasitología, Facultad de Medicina Veterinaria y de Zootecnia, Universidad Nacional de Colombia-Sede Bogotá, Bogota, Colombia, South America

cFaculdade de Medicina Veterinária e Zootecnia, Departamento de Medicina Veterinária Preventiva e Saúde Animal, USP, São Paulo, SP, Brazil

dUnited States Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service, Animal and Natural Resources Institute, Animal Parasitic Diseases Laboratory, Building 1001, Beltsville, MD 20705-2350, USA

eCenter for Molecular Medicine and Infectious Diseases, Department of Biomedical Sciences and Pathology, Virginia-Maryland Regional College of Veterinary Medicine, Virginia Tech, 1410 Prices Fork Road, Blacksburg, Virginia 24061, USA

Abstract

Leishmania infantum and *Trypanosoma cruzi* are zoonotic parasites that are endemic throughout many parts of Latin America. Infected dogs play an important role in transmission of both parasites to humans. A serological survey of *Leishmania* and *Trypanosoma* infection was conducted on 365 dogs from São Paulo, Brazil and Bogatá, Colombia, South America. Serum samples were examined by the indirect immunofluorescent antibody test (IFAT). Anti-*Leishmania* IgG antibodies were detected in 5 of 107 from Brazil (4.7 %) and in 4 of 258 dogs (1.6%) from Colombia. Titers ranged from 1:25 to 1:100. Anti-*T. cruzi* antibodies were not detected in any of the dogs from either Brazil or Colombia. The results show a low prevalence of anti-*Leishmania* antibodies and no antibodies against *T. cruzi* in these canine populations. Our study suggests that dogs play a limited role in the spread of *L. infantum* and *T. cruzi* in these urban areas of Brazil and Colombia.

Keywords

Leishmania infantum; Trypanosoma cruzi; Dog; Antibody; Indirect immunofluorescent antibody test; Brazil; Colombia

1. Introduction

Leishmania and *Trypanosoma* spp. are closely related hemoflagellates that are endemic in Central and South America. Visceral leishmaniasis (VL) is a potentially fatal disease caused

^{*}Corresponding author. Phone: 540-231-6302; Fax: 540-231-3426; e-mail: lindsavd@vt.edu (D.S. Lindsay).

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

by infection with protozoan parasites in the *Leishmania donovani* complex, which includes *L. infantum* (syn. *L. chagasi*, Mauricio et al., 2000). In Latin America, vertebrate hosts become infected with *L. infantum* by infected blood feeding phlebotomine sand flies, primarily *Lutzomyia longipalpis* (Miles et al., 1999). *T. cruzi* is the etiologic agent of American trypanosomiasis, or Chagas' disease, and is vectored by hematophagous triatomine arthropods, including the domestic vectors, *Triatoma infestans* and *Rhodnius prolixus* (Dias et al., 2002). Both *L. infantum* and *T. cruzi* have been detected in a wide range of domestic and wild animals, including dogs, cats, and rodents. Domestic dogs are principal reservoir hosts for human infections with both parasites and they play an important role in the epidemiology of VL and Chagas' disease.

Every year, there are approximately 500,000 new cases of VL (Desjeux, 2001; Desjeux, 2004) which cause 59,000 human deaths annually (WHO, 2002) and incidence is increasing (Desjeux, 2001). It is estimated that 90% of all new cases of VL occur in five developing countries: India, Nepal, Bangladesh, Sudan, and Brazil (Desjeux, 2004). Dogs are well recognized as important reservoirs for human VL in endemic areas (Ashford et al., 1998) and dog ownership is considered a risk factor for human infections (Gavagni et al., 2002). In the state of São Paulo, the first case of canine VL was reported in 1998 from the municipality of Araçatuba and one year later the first human VL case was detected (Camargo Neves, 2004). In Brazil, a public health campaign targeting dogs is used to reduce the incidence of human VL by culling seropositive dogs (Ashford et al., 1998). The effectiveness of this intervention strategy, however, has long been debated (Dye, 1996; Tesh, 1995).

The World Health Organization (WHO) estimates 16–18 million people are infected with *T. cruzi* in Latin America with 100 million people at risk (WHO, 2007). International eradication programs including Southern Cone countries (Brazil, Paraguay, Uruguay, Argentina, Chile, and Bolivia) and Andean countries (Colombia, Chile, Bolivia, Ecuador, Peru, Venezuela) were initiated as early as 1991 in an effort to eliminate Chagas' disease (Kirchoff, 2006). Control strategies are primarily focused on vector elimination by spraying pyrethroid insecticides and prevention of disease transmission by blood transfusion (Dias et al., 2002). Infected dogs, however, are common domestic reservoir hosts and they are a risk factor as a source of *T. cruzi* infection for humans (Crisante et al., 2006; Gürtler et al., 2006).

Public health concerns associated with *L. infantum* and *T. cruzi* infections reveal the need for investigation of these infections in dogs that can be sources for subsequent parasite transmission to humans. American trypanosomiasis and VL are focal diseases and tremendous variability exists in their epidemiology (Diosque et al., 2004; Moncayo et al., 2006; Dantas-Torres et al., 2006a). Both parasitoses are common in rural areas (Gomes et al., 2007; Cohen et al., 2001), but increasing reports suggest disease urbanization (Moncayo et al., 2006; França-Silva et al., 2003). Information regarding prevalence and geographic distribution of canine infections is essential for developing and monitoring strategic control measures. The purpose of the present study was to determine the prevalence of antibodies to *L. infantum* and *T. cruzi* in two canine populations from São Paulo, Brazil and Bogotá, Colombia.

2. Materials and methods

2.1 Dogs and study area

Samples were obtained from 365 domestic dogs from Brazil and Colombia, South America. A total of 258 unclaimed dogs (6 months or older) were collected between February and May 2006 from Bogotá, Colombia. After efforts to place the dogs as pets failed, they were humanely euthanized by intravenous injection (Euthanex®, Invet, S.A. Bogotá, Colombia) by Centro Distrital de Zoonosis, Bogotá.

One-hundred seven unwanted dogs (1 year or older) were killed between December 2005 and April 2006 from Säo Paulo, Brazil. The dogs were unclaimed pets or they were caught by the Municipality of Säo Paulo. They were taken to the Center for Zoonosis, Säo Paulo where the dogs were euthanized by overdose injection of phenobarbitol.

2.2 Collection of sera

Blood samples were collected at necropsy. Serum was separated by centrifugation, and refrigerated sera were originally sent by air to the United States Department of Agriculture Animal Parasitic Diseases Laboratory, Beltsville, MD as part of a *Toxoplasma gondii* prevalence and genetic characterization study (Dubey et al., 2007a,b). Serum samples were stored at -70 °C and frozen sera were subsequently sent to the Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, School of Medicine, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC for serologic testing.

2.3 Serology

The indirect immunofluorescent antibody test (IFAT) was performed to detect anti-*Leishmania* and anti-*Trypanosoma* IgG antibodies in canine sera as previously described (Dubey et al., 2005; Rosypal et al., 2005). For the *Leishmania* IFAT, acetone-fixed *L. infantum* promastigotes (American Type Culture Collection, (ATCC #PRA-149), Manassas, VA) originally isolated from a naturally infected dog (Rosypal et al., 2003a) were employed as antigens. Brazil strain *T. cruzi* epimastigotes were used as antigen in the *Trypanosoma* IFAT. Positive and negative controls were used on each IFAT slide. Sera obtained from dogs with proven *T. cruzi* and *L. infantum* infections were used as positive controls for respective IFAT tests. Negative control serum used in both IFATs was obtained from a dog determined to be uninfected by both culture and serology. Sera reactive at a dilution of 1:25 were considered positive and positive samples were titrated. Slides were viewed with an Olympus BHT microscope equipped with BH2-RFC reflected light epifluorescent optics.

3. Results

A total of 365 dogs were tested in the current study for detectable IgG antibodies to *L. infantum*. Five of the 107 canine samples (4.7%) from Brazil were positive by IFAT. Two Brazilian dogs had IFAT titers of 1:25 and 2 were positive at 1:50. One Brazilian dog was seroreactive at a dilution of 1:100. Of the 258 dogs tested from Colombia, 4 (1.6%) had antibodies at titers of 1:25 to *L. infantum*.

Of the 365 serum samples assayed in this work, none of the Brazilian or Colombian dogs had IFAT titers to *T. cruzi*.

4. Discussion

We investigated the prevalence of antibodies to *L. infantum* and *T. cruzi* in 365 dogs from urban areas of Brazil and Colombia to provide epidemiological information on infection of these zoonotic parasites. We found 4.7% and 1.6% of dogs tested by IFAT from Brazil and Colombia, respectively, were positive for anti-*Leishmania* antibodies. None of the dogs had detectable antibodies to *T. cruzi*. Results obtained in the present study indicate a low or absent seroprevalence to *Leishmania* and *Trypanosoma* parasites, respectively, among dogs from these geographic regions.

A wide range of seroprevalence rates have been previously reported for anti-*Leishmania* antibodies in dogs in various parts of South America (Dantas-Torres et al., 2006b; França-Silva et al., 2003; Paranhos-Silva et al., 1996). In Brazil, antileishmanial antibody rates in urban

Rosypal et al.

areas have ranged from 9.9% (França-Silva et al., 2003) to 40.3% (Dantas-Torres et al., 2006b).

Human VL was registered in 34 municipalities in the northeast region of the state of São Paulo, including a few cases in the cities of Sãu João da Boa Vista and São Paulo (São Paulo, 2006). Although the city of São Paulo is not considered an endemic city, there have been increased reports of human VL cases in Sãu Paulo State (Moreira et al., 2007 in press) which indicates VL expansion (França-Silva et al., 2003). Results from the current study, however, suggest that the prevalence of canine infections is low in these urban areas and may indicate limited efficacy of canine culling as a control measure for human VL in these geographic regions. Urbanization, migration, poor sanitary conditions, co-infection with HIV and malnutrition are risk factors which may be responsible for increased cases of zoonotic VL in Latin America (Desjeux, 2001).

Seroprevalence rates are dependent on the selected cut-off titer and technical considerations. Failure to seroconvert, false-positive and false-negative IFAT results can all occur in *Leishmania*-infected dogs (Rosypal et al., 2005) and determination of the true prevalence of infection may be influenced by these factors. Serum samples reactive at a dilution of 1:80 are considered positive by the Ministry of Health of Brazil (Brasil, 2004; Dantas-Torres et al., 2006b). For the present study, we used a cut-off titer of 1:25. Use of a higher cut-off point potentially underestimates the actual number of infected dogs, however it increases the specificity of the test and may prevent destruction of false-positive dogs (Dantas-Torres et al., 2006b).

Diagnosing canine VL is challenging due to variable clinical presentations and a high proportion of asymptomatic dogs. Diagnosis is achieved by parasitological, serological, or molecular methods. Demonstration of the parasite by microscopy or culture provides definitive proof of infection, but serology is the standard diagnostic tool for detecting *Leishmania*-infected dogs in endemic areas due to convenience, cost, and sensitivity considerations (Reithinger and Davies, 1999).

There are several serological methods used for detection of *Leishmania*-specific antibodies including the IFAT, complement fixation, direct agglutination, ELISA, and immunochromatographic dipstick tests (Rosypal et al., 2003b; Lira et al., 2006). The IFAT is the most commonly used of all the serological assays (Dantas-Torres, 2006b) and it is the "gold standard" by which other serological tests are measured (Rosypal et al., 2003b). The IFAT is the recommended test for use in canine surveys by the Brazilian Ministry of Health (Lira et al., 2006).

The overall sensitivity and specificity of IFAT employed in this work has been shown to be 89–92% and 27–29%, respectively, depending on cut-off values, in experimentally infected dogs (Rosypal et al., 2005). Cross-reactivity in the *T. cruzi* IFAT was previously reported among *Leishmania*-infected dogs with titers of as low as 1:25 (Rosypal et al., 2005), but cross-reactions were not observed in the current study.

A commercially available IFAT kit used in Brazil utilizes *L. major* antigens (Dantas-Torres et al., 2006b; Lira et al., 2006) and previous work indicates that antibody tests using antigens of different *Leishmania* species may impact serological results (Baleeiro et al., 2006; Dantas-Torres et al., 2006b). *Leishmania braziliensis*, a causative agent of American cutaneous leishmaniasis, is also endemic in Latin America. *L. braziliensis*-infected dogs may be seroreactive by IFAT leading to cross-reactivity in areas where both *Leishmania* species are present (Madiera et al., 2005). We cannot exclude the possibility that the dogs with detectable anti-*Leishmania* antibodies were infected with *L. braziliensis*. However, *L. infantum*

promastigotes were used as antigens in our IFAT and Baleeiro et al. (2006) suggests that test performance is improved by using antigens of the same species.

Several researchers have emphasized the utility of PCR for diagnosis of canine VL due to its high sensitivity and specificity (Moreira et al., 2007 in press; Gomes et al., 2007). Prevalence of infection is usually higher using molecular-based diagnostic methods than serological methods because many dogs are PCR-positive and serologically negative (Leontides et al., 2002). The sensitivity and specificity of the IFAT used in this work, however, is similar to PCR performed on dogs from Brazil which exhibited a sensitivity of 92.3% and specificity of 37.5% (Andrade et al., 2006). Canine culling programs to control VL in endemic areas, however, are based on serological tests and despite their use, there are increasing reports of human VL in parts of Brazil (Moreira et al., 2007 in press).

International initiatives to control Chagas' disease have significantly reduced the impact of disease burden in Latin America resulting in a 67% decrease incidence of new human infections with *T. cruzi* (Moncayo et al., 2006). Control interventions for American trypanosomiasis started in 1991 in contiguous endemic areas in an effort to eliminate insect vectors. By 2005, Brazil was certified free of *T. cruzi* transmission to humans (Moncayo et al., 2006) and in Colombia, 3 million known patients with Chagas' disease exist (Gutierrez et al., 2004). Indeed, even in areas where transmission has been interrupted, the normal life-cycle of *T. cruzi* involves domestic or sylvatic animals and triatomine bugs without a requirement for humans (Moncayo et al., 2006).

Dogs are a major source of *T. cruzi* infection in the domestic transmission cycle and although vector control measures reduce insect numbers, canine infections recover quickly (Gürtler et al., 2006). Estrada-Franco et al. (2006) demonstrated a correlation between seropositive dogs and humans. *T. cruzi* is divided into two main phylogenetic groups, *T. cruzi* I and II (Higo et al., 2004). *T. cruzi* I occurs in Colombia and *T. cruzi* I and II are present in Brazil (Higo et al., 2004). Both major genotypes are responsible for human and canine cases of American trypanosomiasis (Crisante et al., 2006).

Immunodiagnosis of *T. cruzi* is primarily based on detection of IgG antibodies by serological tests including indirect hemagglutination, ELISA, and IFAT (Moncaya et al., 2006). In one study comparing four serological assays, IFAT detected the highest number of seropositive individuals (Gutierrez et al., 2004). The use of multiple antigens, such as whole organisms used in the present IFAT, increases sensitivity over tests that use recombinant or single purified antigens (Moncaya et al., 2006). Additionally, it is recommended that serological tests should use authochthonous parasite strains for reliable serodiagnosis (Gutierrez et al., 2004). There is also a possibility of cross-reactions with *Leishmania* spp. and *Trypanosoma rangeli* in areas with overlapping geographic distribution (Gutierrez et al., 2004; Moncayo et al., 2006). In the present work, however, we did not observe any cross-reactivity in the *T. cruzi* IFAT.

Efforts to control *T. cruzi* have been successful in significantly impacting the incidence, morbidity and mortality associated with Chagas' disease (Dias et al., 2002). The biggest challenge facing the success of intervention programs is sustainability (Moncayo et al, 2006). Even in areas where transmission is interrupted, there is a risk of disease recrudescence (Dias et al., 2002). Animal reservoirs and humans immigrating into non-endemic areas with infected domestic animals could result in the spread of *T. cruzi* (Estrada-Franco et al., 2006). The need for active epidemiological surveillance programs is clear.

The low seroprevalence of antibodies to *L. infantum* and the lack of antibodies to *T. cruzi* found in the present study indicate that domestic dogs do not play an important role in the epidemiology of these zoonotic parasites in the urban areas studied in this work. Due to the public health concerns regarding these parasites, further epidemiological studies should be

Vet Parasitol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2008 November 10.

conducted to determine the presence of *L. infantum* and *T. cruzi* in dog populations in other parts of Brazil and Colombia in order to determine the associated risk factors.

Acknowledgements

We thank the staffs of the Centro de Zoonosis in Bogatá, for procuring dogs in Colombia, and the Centro de Controle de Zoonoses from the municipality of São Paulo, for providing dogs in Brazil. The authors gratefully acknowledge Dr. Stephen Barr from the College of Veterinary Medicine, Cornell University, for providing *T. cruzi*-positive dog sera. This work was supported by a grant from the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation to R.R.T. The contribution of A.C.R. was supported by the National Institute of General Medical Sciences GM 00678. A.C.R. is a fellow with the SPIRE (Seeding Postdoctoral Innovators in Research and Education) postdoctoral training program.

References

- Ashford DA, David JR, Freire M, David R, Sherlock I, Eulalio MC, Sampaio DP, Badaro R. Studies on the control of visceral leishmaniasis: impact of dog control on canine and human visceral leishmaniasis in Jacobina, Bahia, Brazil. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg 1998;59:53–57. [PubMed: 9684628]
- Baleeiro CO, Paranhos-Silva M, dos Santos JC, Oliveira GGS, Nascimento EG, de Carvalho LP, dos-Santos WLC. Montenegro's skin reactions and antibodies against different *Leishmania* species in dogs from a visceral leishmaniasis endemic area. Vet. Parasitol 2006;139:21–28. [PubMed: 16567046]
- Manual de vigilância e controle da leishmaniose visceral. Brasil: Ministério da Saúde. Secretaria de Vigilância em Saúde; 2004. 122 p.
- Camargo Neves VLF. A leishmaniose visceral Americana no Estado de São Paulo: situação atual. Bol. Epi. Paulista 2004;1:1–4.
- Cohen JE, Gürtler RE. Modeling household transmission of American trypanosomiasis. Science 2001;293:694–698. [PubMed: 11474111]
- Crisante G, Rojas A, Teixeira MMG, Anez N. Infected dogs as a risk factor in the transmission of human *Trypanosoma cruzi* infection in western Venezuela. Acta Trop 2006;98:247–254. [PubMed: 16797466]
- Dantas-Torres F, Brandão-Filho SP. Visceral leishmaniasis in Brazil: revisiting paradigms of epidemiology and control. Rev. Inst. Med. Trop. S. Paulo 2006a;48:151–156. [PubMed: 16847505]
- Dantas-Torres F, de Brito MEF, Brandão-Filho SP. Seroepidemiological survey on canine leishmaniasis among dogs from an urban area of Brazil. Vet. Parasitol 2006b;140:54–60. [PubMed: 16621286]
- Desjeux P. The increase in risk factors for leishmaniasis worldwide. Trans. R. Soc. Trop. Med. Hyg 2001;95:239–243. [PubMed: 11490989]
- Desjeux P. Leishmaniasis: current situation and new perspectives. Comp. Immunol. Microbiol. Infect. Dis 2004;27:305–318. [PubMed: 15225981]
- Dias JCP, Silveira AC, Schofield CJ. The impact of Chagas disease control in Latin America- a review. Mem. Inst. Oswaldo Cruz 2002;97:603–612. [PubMed: 12219120]
- Diosque P, Padilla AM, Cimino RO, Cardozo RM, Negrette OS, Marco JD, Zacca R, Meza C, Juarez A, Rojo H, Rey R, Corrales RM, Nasser JR, Basombrío MA. Chagas disease in rural areas of Chaco Province, Argentina: epidemiologic survey in humans, reservoirs, and vectors. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg 2004;71:590–593. [PubMed: 15569789]
- Dubey JP, Rosypal AC, Pierce V, Scheinberg SN, Lindsay DS. Placentitis associated with leishmaniasis in a dog. J. Vet. Med. Assoc 2005;227:1266–1269.
- Dubey JP, Gennari SM, Sundar N, Vianna MCB, Bandini LM, Yai LEO, Kwok OCH, Su C. Diverse and atypical genotypes identified in *Toxoplasma gondii* from dogs in São Paulo, Brazil. J. Parasitol 2007a; 93:60–64. [PubMed: 17436942]
- Dubey JP, Cortés-Vecino JA, Vargas-Duarte JJ, Sundar N, Velmurugan GV, Bandini LM, Polo LJ, Zambrano L, Mora LE, Kwok OCH, Smith T, Su C. Prevalence of *Toxoplasma gondii* in dogs from Colombia, South America and genetic characterization of *T. gondii* isolates. Vet. Parasitol 2007b; 145:45–50. [PubMed: 17257761]
- Dye C. The logic of visceral leishmaniasis control. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg 1996;55:125–130. [PubMed: 8780448]

Rosypal et al.

- Estrada-Franco JG, Bhatia V, Diaz-Albiter H, Ochoa-Garcia L, Barbabosa A, Vazquez-Chagoyan JC, Martinez-Perez MAM, Guzman-Bracho C, Garg N. Human *Trypansoma cruzi* infection and seropositivity in Dogs, Mexico. Emerg. Infect. Dis 2006;12:624–630. [PubMed: 16704811]
- França-Silva JC, da Costa RT, Siqueira AM, Machado-Coelho GL, da Costa CA, Mayrink W, Vieira EP, Costa JS, Genaro O, Nascimento E. Epidemiology of canine visceral leishmaniosis in the endemic area of Montes Claros municipality, Minas Gerais State, Brazil. Vet. Parasitol 2003;111:161–173. [PubMed: 12531292]
- Gavgani AS, Mohite H, Edrissian GH, Mohebali M, Davies CR. Domestic dog ownership in Iran is a risk factor for human infection with *Leishmania infantum*. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg 2002;67:511–555. [PubMed: 12479553]
- Gomes AH, Ferreira IM, Lima ML, Cunha EA, Garcia AS, Araujo MF, Pereira-Chiccola VL. PCR identification of *Leishmania* in diagnosis and control of canine leishmaniasis. Vet. Parasitol 2007;144:234–241. [PubMed: 17196339]
- Gürtler RE, Cecerre MC, Lauricella MA, Cardinal MV, Kitron U, Cohen JE. Domestic dogs and cats as sources of *Trypanosoma cruzi* infection in rural northwestern Argentina. Parasitol 2006;134:69–82.
- Gutierrez R, Angulo VM, Tarazona Z, Britto C, Fernandes O. Comparison of four serological tests for the diagnosis of Chagas disease in a Colombian endemic area. Parasitology 2004;129:439–444. [PubMed: 15521632]
- Higo H, Miura S, Horio M, Mimori T, Hamano S, Agatsuma T, Yanagi T, Cruz-Reyes A, Uyema N, Rojas de Arias A, Matta V, Akahane H, Hirayama K, Takeuchi T, Tada I, Himeno K. Genotypic variation among lineages of *Trypanosoma cruzi* and its geographic aspects. Parasitol. Int 2004;53:337–344. [PubMed: 15464443]
- Kirchoff, LV. American trypanosomiasis (Chagas' disease). In: Guerrant, RL.; Walker, DH.; Weller, PF., editors. Tropical Infectious Diseases. Philadelphia, PA: Elsevier; 2006. p. 1082-1094.
- Leontides LS, Saridomichelakis MN, Billinis C, Kontos V, Koutinas AF, Galatos AD, Mylonakis ME. A cross-sectional study of *Leishmania* spp. infection in clinically healthy dogs with polymerase chain reaction and serology in Greece. Vet. Parasitol 2002;109:19–27. [PubMed: 12383622]
- Lira RA, Paiva Cavalcanti M, Nakazawa M, Ferreira AGP, Silva ED, Abth FGC, Alves LC, Souza WV, Gomes YM. Canine visceral leishmaniosis: a comparative analysis of the EIE-leishmaniose-visceralcanina-Bio-Manguinhost and the IFI-leishmaniose-visceral-canina-Bio-Manguinhos kits. Vet. Parasitol 2006;137:11–16. [PubMed: 16446034]
- Madeira MF, Shubach AO, Schubach TMP, Serra CMB, Pereira SA, Figueiredo FB, Confort EM, Quintella LP, Marzochi MCA. Is *Leishmania (Viannia) braziliensis* preferentially restricted to the cutaneous lesions of naturally infected dogs? Parasitol. Res 2005;97:73–76. [PubMed: 15986254]
- Mauricio IL, Stothard JR, Miles MA. The strange case of *Leishmania chagasi*. Parasitol. Today 2000;16:188–189. [PubMed: 10782075]
- Miles, MA.; Vexenat, JA.; Furtado Campos, JH.; Fonseca de Castro, JA. Canine leishmaniasis in Latin America: control strategies for visceral leishmaniasis. In: Killick-Kendrick, R., editor. Canine Leishmaniasis: An Update, Proceedings of the International Canine Leishmaniasis Forum. Barcelona (Spain): Hoechst Roussel Vet.; 1999. p. 46-53.
- Moncayo A, Ortiz Yanine MI. An update on Chagas disease (human American trypanosomiasis). Ann. Trop. Med. Parasitol 2006;100:663–677. [PubMed: 17227647]
- Moreira MAB, Luvizotto MCR, Garcia JF, Corbett CEP, Laurenti MD. Comparison of parasitologicial, immunological and molecular methods for the diagnosis of leishmaniasis in dogs with different clinical signs. Vet. Parasitol. 2007in press
- Paranhos-Silva M, Freitas LA, Santos WC, Grimaldi G Jr, Pontes-de-carvalho LC, Oliveira-dos-Santos AJ. A cross-sectional serodiagnostic survey of canine leishmaniasis due to *Leishmania chagasi*. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg 1996;55:39–44. [PubMed: 8702020]
- Reithinger R, Davies CR. Is the domestic dog (*Canis familiaris*) a reservoir host of American cutaneous Leishmaniasis? A critical review of the current evidence. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg 1999;61:530–541. [PubMed: 10548285]
- Rosypal AC, Troy GC, Zajac AM, Duncan RB Jr, Waki K, Chang K-P, Lindsay DS. Emergence of zoonotic canine leishmaniasis in the United States: Isolation and immunohistochemical detection of *Leishmania infantum* from foxhounds from Virginia. J. Eukaryot. Microbiol 2003a;50:S691–S693.

Vet Parasitol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2008 November 10.

- Rosypal AC, Zajac AM, Lindsay DS. Canine visceral leishmaniasis and its emergence in the Unitd States. Vet. Clin. N. Am. Small Anim. Pract 2003b;33:921–937.
- Rosypal AC, Troy GC, Duncan RB Jr, Zajac AM, Lindsay DS. Utility of diagnostic tests used in diagnosis on infection in dogs experimentally inoculated with a North American isolate of *Leishmania infantum infantum*. J. Vet. Intern. Med 2005;19:802–809. [PubMed: 16355672]
- Manual de vigilância e controle da leishmaniose americana do Estado de São Paulo. São Paulo (Estado): Secretaria de Estado da Saúde, Superintendência de Controle de Endemias e Coordenadoria de Controle de Doenças; 2006. 158 p.
- Tesh RB. Control of zoonotic visceral leishmaniasis: is it time to change strategies? Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg 1995;52:287–292. [PubMed: 7694973]

WHO. 2007. http://www.who.int/ctd/chagas/disease.htm