# **TBM**

### PRACTICE AND PUBLIC HEALTH POLICIES

## Background and rationale for the Society of Behavioral Medicine's position statement: expand United States health plan coverage for diabetes self-management education and support

Lisa K. Sharp, PhD,<sup>1</sup> Edwin B. Fisher, PhD,<sup>2</sup> Ben S. Gerber, MD, MPH<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Pharmacy Systems, Outcomes, and Policy, College of Pharmacy, University of Illinois at Chicago,

<sup>2</sup>Department of Health Behavior, Gillings School of Global Public Health.

University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC, USA

<sup>3</sup>Section of Health Promotion Research, Department of Medicine, University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago, IL, USA

Correspondence to: L Sharp sharpl@uic.edu

Cite this as: *TBM* 2015;5:354–356 doi: 10.1007/s13142-015-0328-x

#### Abstract

The Society of Behavioral Medicine (SBM) recognizes that diabetes self-management (DSM) education and support are fundamental to teaching people how to manage their diabetes and decrease disease-related complications. Implementation of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act provides an opportunity to expand DSM education and support to many people who are currently excluded from such services due to lack of insurance coverage, current policy barriers, or simple failure of healthcare systems to provide them. Extending the range and provision of such services could translate into reduced diabetic complications, a reduction in unnecessary healthcare utilization, and significant health-related cost savings on a national level. SBM recommends that public and private insurers be required to reimburse for 12 h of DSM education and support annually for anyone with diabetes. Further, SBM recognizes that a range of modes and providers of DSM education and support have been shown effective, and that patient preferences and resources may influence choice. To address this, SBM urges health organizations to increase and diversify approaches toward DSM education and support they offer.

#### Keywords

Diabetes mellitus, Diabetes self-management, Diabetes education, Diabetes support, Health policy

#### INTRODUCTION

Diabetes currently affects approximately 26 million Americans or 12 % of the US population based on national data [1]. Rates among racial/ethnic minority populations are even higher. Diabetes-related health outcomes are optimized with successful long-term management of blood glucose and blood pressure [2]. However, these therapeutic goals have been difficult for many to attain and sustain. Exceeding goals set by the American Diabetes Association [3], 50 % of people with diabetes have a hemoglobin A1c level above 7.0 %, and 53 % have a blood pressure above 130/80 mmHg. As a result, diabetes is the leading cause of kidney failure, nontraumatic lower-limb amputation, and new cases of blindness [4]. It is also a major cause of cardiovascular

#### **Implications**

**Practice**: increased provision of DSM education and support can improve diabetes outcomes when provided in a consistent and effective manner to patients with diabetes.

**Policy**: SBM proposes that federal legislation require changes to reimbursement for DSM education and support that will increase the availability of services for everyone with diabetes.

**Research**: research is needed to understand how to individualize content and delivery of DSM education and/or support to meet patients' unique needs (i.e., literacy, knowledge, resources).

disease. From a societal perspective, diabetes costs the USA an estimated \$245 billion in 2012 [5].

Diabetes-related outcomes can be improved with diabetes self-management education (DSME) programs that teach people how to manage their diabetes.

Building upon that initial education, diabetes self-management support (DSMS) programs help people sustain efforts toward diabetes control. Together, DSM education and support help people adopt—and sustain—healthier diets, exercise routines, and medication regimens [6].

Research demonstrates that DSM education and support improve diabetes self-management and reduce devastating complications, hospitalizations, and healthcare costs [6–11]. Currently, resources are available for DSME and DSMS within the healthcare setting including dietitians, diabetes educators, nurses, pharmacists, peer community health workers, psychologists, physical activity specialists, and others. Individuals with diabetes who have access to them can learn about diabetes or receive disease management support, depending on insurance, environment, and availability. Without adequate education and support, short- and long-term health complications from diabetes are far more likely.

#### **LIMITED ACCESS AND CHOICES**

Despite the evidence showing that DSM education and support reduces unnecessary healthcare costs and prevents complications, only 30 to 40 % of people with diabetes receive these services [12]. Cost is a major barrier even among insured populations. Availability of services, however, is also a problem. A survey of 10 state Medicaid plans and 40 private insurance plans found that only half covered DSM education and support [13].

For those with insurance coverage, enrollment to receive DSM education and/or support is hindered by the requirement that a healthcare provider certify in writing that the person has diagnosed diabetes. This added step is a burden for both patients and providers. There is also a limited need for such restriction. DSM education and support are unlikely to do harm, and it is extremely unlikely that those without diabetes would abuse availability by seeking out these services. For those who are able to obtain certification, coverage for DSM education and support is limited. Medicare covers 10 h of DSM education (1 individual, 9 group) and 3 h of medical nutrition therapy as a separate but complementary service. However, these are only covered during the first year following diagnosis with diabetes, when diabetes medications are initiated, or the person is certified in writing by a provider as being at high risk for complications [14]. Otherwise, Medicare covers 2 hours of educational follow-up and 2 hours of medical nutrition therapy with no DSM support [13, 15].

Research shows that DSM education and support [16], as well as interventions for other health behaviors such as smoking cessation [17] or weight loss [18, 19], are most effective when continuously delivered over time. Generally, ongoing DSM education and support are needed as people with diabetes experience new barriers to self-management over time and newer treatment strategies become available. Additionally, a variety of facts underscore the importance of not decreasing reimbursable hours of education and support after the first year:

- Diabetes is a progressive disease leading to inevitable changes in treatment and management, for which patients need continuing education and support
- Diabetes imposes an unremitting responsibility on patients to self-manage their disease 24 h a day, 365 days a year.
- Those who are doing well should receive ongoing support to help sustain the behavior leading to good self-management; without this support, patient outcomes worsen [6, 16].

Current options available for DSM education and support are limited and designed as "one size fits all." Additional options are needed that consider patients' characteristics including age, cultural background, and literacy level. Options must address the unique needs, challenges, and resources of individual patients, noting that these fluctuate throughout their lives. Providing choices in how DSM education and support are delivered will increase the total number of patients receiving these necessary services in ways most relevant for them.

#### **SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

Diabetes health-related outcomes and healthcare costs are positively impacted by receipt of DSM education and support. Access to these services is currently limited by (1) the cost, (2) the requirement that a healthcare provider certify the patient has diabetes prior to receiving DSM education, and (3) restrictive insurance coverage. In a policy brief, Expand United States Health Plan Coverage for diabetes self-management education and support<sup>1</sup>, SBM has endorsed the following policy recommendations:

- Because the vast majority of those with diabetes receive little or no self-management education or ongoing self management support, federal legislation and policies should
  - Require Medicare, Medicaid, and private insurers to reimburse for DSM education and support 12 h each year for everyone with diabetes.
  - Eliminate the unnecessary and burdensome requirement that physicians and other providers certify patients prior to reimbursing for DSM education and support.
- 2. Expand reimbursement for and require health provider organizations to offer varied approaches to DSM support, including group medical visits, faceto-face meetings, visits with community health workers or peer supporters, and use of technology-enabled support such as mobile phone apps or text messages, networked remote monitoring devices, and other Web-assisted interventions with empirical support.

Acknowledgments: This manuscript was supported by the National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases award number R01DK091347 (Sharp and Gerber). The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health and policy of the Department of Veterans Affairs or the United States government. The authors wish to gratefully acknowledge the expert review provided by the Society of Behavioral Medicine's Health Policy Committee.

Conflict of interest: Lisa Sharp and Ben Gerber declare that they have no

**Conflict of interest:** Lisa snarp and Ben Gerber declare that they have no conflict of interest. Ed Fisher is supported by Peers for Progress, a program of the American Academy of Family Physicians Foundation that promotes peer support in health care and prevention, including diabetes self management support and education.

TBM page 355 of 356

http://www.sbm.org/UserFiles/file/diabetes-brief\_ statement\_short.pdf

**ADHERENCE TO ETHICAL STANDARDS:** All procedures were conducted in accordance with ethical standards.

- Cheng YJ, Imperatore G, Geiss LS, et al. Secular changes in the agespecific prevalence of diabetes among U.S. adults: 1988–2010. Diabetes Care. 2013; 36: 2690-2696.
- 2. Saydah SH, Fradkin J, Cowie CC. Poor control of risk factors for vascular disease among adults with previously diagnosed diabetes. JAMA. 2004; 291: 335-342.
- 3. American Diabetes Association. Standards of medical care in diabetes—2014. Diabetes Care. 2014; 37: S14-S80.
- Center for Disease Control and Prevention. National Diabetes Statistics Report: Estimates of Diabetes and its Burden in the United States. Atlanta, GA: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services; 2014.
- 5. American Diabetes Association. Economic costs of diabetes in the U.S. in 2012. Diabetes Care 2013;36:1033–46.
- Haas L, Maryniuk M, Beck J, et al. National standards for diabetes self-management education and support. Diabetes Care. 2014; 37(Suppl 1): S144-S153.
- Gillett M, Dallosso HM, Dixon S, et al. Delivering the diabetes education and self management for ongoing and newly diagnosed (DESMOND) programme for people with newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes: cost effectiveness analysis. BMJ (Clinical research ed) 2010;341:c4093.
- 8. Brown HS 3rd, Wilson KJ, Pagan JA, et al. Cost-effectiveness analysis of a community health worker intervention for low-income Hispanic adults with diabetes. Prev Chronic Dis. 2012; 9: E140.

- Healy SJ, Black D, Harris C, Lorenz A, Dungan KM. Inpatient diabetes education is associated with less frequent hospital readmission among patients with poor glycemic control. Diabetes Care. 2013; 36: 2960-2967.
- Gilmer TP, Roze S, Valentine WJ, et al. Cost-effectiveness of diabetes case management for low-income populations. Health Serv Res. 2007; 42: 1943-1959.
- Schechter CB, Cohen HW, Shmukler C, Walker EA. Intervention costs and cost-effectiveness of a successful telephonic intervention to promote diabetes control. Diabetes Care. 2012: 35: 2156-2160.
- 12. Austin MM. Diabetes educators: partners in diabetes care and management. Endocr Pract. 2006; 12: 138-141.
- Carpenter DM, Fisher EB, Greene SB. Shortcomings in public and private insurance coverage of diabetes self-management education and support. Popul Health Manag. 2012; 15: 144-148.
- Center for Medicare and Medicaid Services. Medicare's Coverage of Diabetes Supplies and Services. Baltimore, MD: U.S.: Department of Health and Human Services; 2013.
- Powell MP, Glover SH, Probst JC, Laditka SB. Barriers associated with the delivery of Medicare-reimbursed diabetes selfmanagement education. Diabetes Educ. 2005; 31: 890-899.
- Norris SL, Lau J, Smith SJ, Schmid CH, Engelgau MM. Self-management education for adults with type 2 diabetes: a meta-analysis of the effect on glycemic control. Diabetes Care. 2002; 25: 1159-1171.
- Fiore M, Jaen C, Baker T, et al. Treating tobacco use and dependence: 2008 update. Rockville, MD: Public Health Service; 2009.
- Wadden TA, West DS, Neiberg R, et al. One-Year Weight Losses in the Look AHEAD Study: Factors Associated with Success. Obesity (Silver Spring, Md) 2009;17:713–22.
- Wing RR, Tate DF, Gorin AA, Raynor HA, Fava JL. A self-regulation program for maintenance of weight loss. N Engl J Med. 2006; 355: 1563-1571.

page 356 of 356