

HHS Public Access

Author manuscript

Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2016 November 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol. 2015 November ; 50(11): 1669–1677. doi:10.1007/s00127-015-1120-4.

Anxious-Depression among Hispanic/Latinos from different backgrounds: Results from the Hispanic Community Health Study/Study of Latinos (HCHS/SOL)

Álvaro Camacho, MD, MPH^{1,2}, Patricia Gonzalez, PhD³, Christina Buelna, MA³, Kristen T. Emory, PhD^{3,4}, Gregory A. Talavera, MD, MPH³, Sheila F. Castañeda, PhD³, Rebeca A. Espinoza, MA,MPH³, Annie G. Howard, PhD⁵, Krista M. Perreira, PhD⁵, Carmen R. Isasi, MD, PhD⁶, Martha L. Daviglus, MD, PhD⁷, and Scott C. Roesch, PhD^{2,3}

¹Departments of Psychiatry, Family Medicine and Public Health. University of California San Diego

²Department of Psychology. San Diego State University. San Diego, CA

³Institute for Behavioral and Community Health, Graduate School of Public Health, San Diego State University

⁴Moores Cancer Center, University of California, San Diego

⁵Collaborative Studies Coordinating Center, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, NC

⁶Department of Epidemiology and Population Health, Albert Einstein College of Medicine. Bronx, NY

⁷Institute for Minority Health Research. University of Illinois at Chicago. Chicago, IL

Abstract

Background—Anxious-depression is a constellation of symptoms, frequently encountered among patients in primary care centers. There is a need to study how anxious-depression presents among Hispanic/Latinos of different backgrounds.

Objective—To study the construct of anxious-depression among 16,064 Hispanic/Latinos of different backgrounds participating in the Hispanic Community Health Study/ Study of Latinos (HCHS/SOL). We hypothesized that Hispanic/Latinos will cluster in 3 classes: low anxiety/high depression, high anxiety/low depression and a combined anxious-depression construct.

Methods—Using latent profile analysis, symptoms of depression and anxiety measured by the 10-item Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale (CES-D) and 10-item State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI) were evaluated to determine if an anxious-depression typology would result. A multinomial logistic regression analysis explored the association of the 3-class solution with different Hispanic/Latino backgrounds controlling for age, gender, language, education and income.

Address Correspondence to: Álvaro Camacho, MD, MPH, University of California, San Diego, acamacho@ucsd.edu. Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Results—A 3-class mixed anxious-depression structure emerged with 10% of Hispanic/Latinos in the high, 30% in the moderate and 60% in the low anxious-depression category. After adjusting for age, gender, language preference, income and education, individuals of Puerto-Rican background were more likely to experience high (OR=1.79,p<0.05) and moderate (OR=1.36,p<0.05) (vs.low) anxious-depression symptomatology compared to those of Mexican background. Individuals of Central-American and South-American background were less likely to experience high (OR=0.68,p<0.05) and moderate (OR=0.8,p<0.05) (vs.low) anxious-depression compared to those of Mexican background.

Conclusion—Anxious-depression symptomatology varied among this sample of Hispanic/ Latino groups. These classes should be investigated as to their relationship with different health outcomes relevant to the Hispanic/Latino of different backgrounds.

Introduction

Anxious-depression has been considered a controversial nosological construct and has several definitions in the psychiatric literature [1,2]. The literature reports that patients can manifest symptoms of depression and anxiety in different ways. Since the early 1990s, Clayton and colleagues characterized anxious-depression as a severe form of a major depression disorder with anxiety features [3,4]. It is also known that anxiety symptoms, in the context of a major depressive disorder, have been associated with higher disability, poor response to established treatments, and higher rates of suicide [5–9].

Even though anxious-depression was not considered as a formal diagnosis in the revised 5th version of the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-5), authors agreed that depressive disorders should include the category of anxious distress [10,11]. Comorbid anxiety and depressive symptoms, outside from the classic diagnostic definition, have gained attention of researchers, and clinicians attest that is a condition frequently observed among patients, especially in community health centers [12]. This construct was further validated by the work of Katon and colleagues who showed that anxious-depression states are commonly seen in primary care settings and are usually associated with chronic medical comorbidities such as diabetes [13]. Attempts to subtype coexisting anxiety and depression have resulted in minimal success due to heterogeneity and severity of the symptoms [14]. Experts agree that anxious-depression is a spectrum of symptoms that deserves more consistent research due to its high prevalence in clinical settings [15,16,14,17].

In fact, a recent review considered anxious-depression as a constellation of anxiety and depressive symptoms that do not meet criteria for a major depressive or an anxiety disorder as defined by the DSM [18] [17]. Little research has been done in this particular definition of anxious-depression [17]. Studies that have looked at this construct, derived the definition of anxious-depression from latent factors extracted from scales that measure symptoms of anxiety and depression separately such as the Hamilton Anxiety and Depression Rating Scale [18]. Clinical observations have suggested that symptoms of anxiety and depression or anxious-depression are related and often co-occur [19,20,1,21]. In order to advance the literature on this nosological controversy, it is important to rely on epidemiological studies

where symptoms of anxiety and depression are measured with standardized scales and patterns of symptoms could be observed cross-sectionally and over time.

According to Fava et al. the sociodemographic features associated with anxious-depression include individuals of older age, female, less educated and unemployed [7]. This characteristic could be applied to individuals from different ethnic backgrounds. Hispanic/ Latinos are one of the largest minority ethnic group in the United States and face considerable mental health disparities compared to Non-Latino Whites [22,23]. Hispanic/ Latinos in the United States are this heterogeneous group from different nationalities in which expression of emotions varied according to their region of origin. For example, reports have documented that prevalence of anxiety symptoms are higher among Puerto Ricans compared to Mexicans or individuals from South America [24–26]. Previous literature has shown that Hispanic/Latino individuals frequently present with mixed symptoms of anxiety and depression, which have been associated with low education, financial stress and chronic medical conditions [27]. This constellation of anxiety and depressive symptoms among Hispanic/Latinos from different backgrounds presents with such variability that has been considered an important cross-cultural psychiatric aspect that requires more research as noted by the DSM-5 [25]. Furthermore, there is a deficiency of studies examining this mixed class of anxiety and depressive symptomatology among Hispanics/Latinos of different backgrounds.

In order to study this construct of anxious-depression symptomatology we used latent profile analyses to examine whether anxiety and depressive symptoms as measured by validated scales overlap or were independent from each other in a large epidemiological study of Hispanic/Latinos. Our hypothesis uses the tripartite theory of Clark and Watson which has considered anxious depression as an orthogonal constellation of positive affectivity, hyperarousal and negative affectivity which has been used in empirical research as dichotomous construct (high anxiety and low depressive symptoms) [12,20]. Based on this rationale, we first hypothesized that Hispanic/Latino individuals of diverse backgrounds would cluster in 3 distinct classes of item groupings: a high anxiety/low depression group, a high depression/low anxiety group and a group with similar levels of anxiety and depression. Secondly, we hypothesized that Hispanic/Latino individuals would differ in the clustering of symptoms of anxious-depression by background of origin which has been described in the literature [28]. This approach served as an initial step to further study the construct of anxious-depression symptomatology among individuals from diverse Hispanic/Latino backgrounds [29,28].

Methods

Study Population

This study used baseline data from the Hispanic Community Health Study/Study of Latinos (HCHS/SOL). Briefly, HCHS/SOL is a multisite cohort study with the objective of identifying risk and protective factors for chronic disease among diverse Hispanic/Latino background groups living across the United States. The cohort included 16,415 men and women between 18 to 74 years of age at the time of recruitment. Participants were recruited from 2008 until 2011 from randomly selected households from 4 communities in the United

States (San Diego, CA; Bronx, NY; Chicago, IL; Miami, FL). The HCHS/SOL included first through third generation participants of Mexican, Cuban, Puerto Rican, Dominican Republic, Central and South-American background. Details of the study design and sampling methods are published elsewhere [30,31].

Data Collection

Census block groups were randomly selected in the participating field centers and households were randomly selected in each sample block group [30,32,31]. Standardized questionnaires were used to collect socio-demographic, Hispanic/Latino background and health information [31,30].

Measures of Depressive and Anxiety Symptoms

Depressive symptoms were measured with the Andresen version of the Center for Epidemiological Studies-Depression Scale (CES-D) which has a good predictive accuracy (k=0.97) when compared to the full 20-item version [33]. This 10-item scale assesses depressive symptoms over the past week. Respondents were asked to indicate on a scale from 0 (rarely or none of the time) to 3 (all of the time) how often they experience symptoms related to depression (e.g., I felt everything I did was an effort). The scale has a sensitivity of 97% and specificity of 84% to screen for depressive symptoms [34]. Internal consistency for the current sample was ($\alpha = 0.82$).

Anxiety symptoms were measured as a state with the 10-item State Trait Anxiety Inventory. Respondents were asked to indicate on a scale from 1 (almost never) to 4 (almost always) how often they experienced symptoms related to anxiety also over the last week (e.g., I feel nervous and restless). High scores reflect increased symptoms of anxiety. Internal consistency for the current sample was (α =0.81).

Other Demographics

Self-reported information on socio-demographic characteristics was obtained. These included age, gender, language of preference, socioeconomic status categorized in four distinct groups based on annual income (<\$20,000; \$20,001–50,000; >\$50,000; unreported) and level of education categorized as <high school; high school graduate and >high school [31].

Hispanic/Latino Background

Information on Hispanic/Latino background was based on the question: "Which of the following best describes your Hispanic/Latino heritage (Dominican or Dominican descent, Central-American or Central-American descent, Cuban or Cuban descent, Mexican or Mexican descent, Puerto Rican or Puerto Rican descent, South-American or South-American descent, More than one heritage, Other)?".

Statistical Methods

Continuous variables were described by means/standard errors (SE) and categorical variables as frequencies/percentages. Data were weighed to adjust for sampling probability and non-response [32,31,30].

Latent profile analysis (LPA) was used to identify group of individuals with similar anxiety and depressive symptoms and classify them into latent classes based on their similar symptoms [35,36,21]. LPA categorized individuals on the basis of their responses to the items on the CES-D and STAI. Variables used in the LPA included all observed continuous items from the CES-D and STAI. LPA not only allows the classification of participants into mutually exclusive classes, but provides each individual with its own set of symptom endorsement probabilities for each class [36,37,21]. The best-fitting model was selected based on the Akaike information criteria (AIC), Bayesian information criteria (BIC), sample sized adjusted Bayesian information criteria (sBIC), Entropy and bootstrapped likelihood ratio test (LRT) [37,38]. While there is evidence supporting the use of BIC as the recommended indicator to determine model fit, there is controversy in this topic and few simulation studies have been conducted on the indices used to determine the best fitting models for LPA [38]. Recent studies have suggested that the sBIC is the most accurate tool for deciding on the number of classes [39,40]. Based on the literature, we also considered the best model and interpretability of the classes based on the context, theory and hypothesis generated a priori [41,37].

The different classes generated were used to examine the hypothesis that a pure anxiety group, a pure depression group and a group with mixed anxiety and depressive symptoms would emerge from the CES-D and STAI items based on the tripartite theory of positive affectivity, hyperarousal and negative affectivity (3-Classes). Latent profile analysis for this study, due to the large size, allowed for the observation and classification of different homogenous groups [37].

Individuals were assigned to the latent class with the highest and most interpretable posterior probability as well as the previously outlined indicators to determine model fit. A multinomial logistic regression analysis was used to determine the association of the different classes of anxious-depression with the different Hispanic/Latino backgrounds after controlling for age, gender, language of preference, level of education and income. All analyses were done using MPlus version 7.3.

Results

Symptoms of depression and anxiety were analyzed based on data from a total of 16,064 participants from the HCHS/SOL. At baseline, the mean age of the target population was about 41 years and about half were women. Thirty nine percent had greater than high school education and 46% reported an annual income of <\$20,000. The reference population of Mexican background (37%) compromised the largest group, followed by those of Cuban (20%) and Puerto-Rican backgrounds (16%). The mean CES-D was 7.9 (SE=0.1) among women and 6.0 (SE=0.1) among men. The mean STAI was 17.8 (SE=0.1) among women and 16.2 (SE=0.09) among men. Table 1 summarizes these characteristics.

Classes of Anxious-Depression Symptomatology

Five class models are presented in Table 2. AIC, BIC and sBIC indices decreased as the number of cluster extracted decreased suggesting that the number of clusters fit the models progressively following statistical parsimony criteria. Indices for a 3 and 4- class model fit

were very similar. A 4-class solution showed the lowest BIC and sBIC, yet the posterior probabilities were not as readily interpretable as the 3-class solution. As described in the literature, we used not only the best fitting indices but also the context and theory used to generate the hypothesis [38,39,41]. Classification quality as measured by Entropy, which estimates classification uncertainty was around 0.90 for the classes of interest (3 and 4) which indicates low uncertainty in classification of classes [42,37]. Based on all these criteria, we selected a 3-class solution as the best fitting model. This 3-class solution supports our cited tripartite theory of anxious-depression (hyperarousal, positive and negative affect) [20].

Contrary to our first hypothesis that three subgroups representing high depression/low anxiety, high anxiety/low depression and mixed levels of anxiety/depression symptoms will emerge, the most interpretable result from the LPA was a 3-class model of (percentages rounded-up): Class 1: a high anxious-depressed group (10% of sample), Class 2: a moderate anxious-depressed group (30% of sample) and Class 3: a low anxious-depressed group (60% of the target population of sample), suggesting a spectrum of mixed anxious-depression symptomatology. Table 2 summarizes the 5 extracted classes with the different fit indices. Figure 1 illustrates the anxious-depression spectrum of 3-classes obtained based on the mean values of the variables across the three latent classes. Table 3 presents stratification of sociodemographic factors among the 3 obtained latent classes of anxious-depression.

Differences between Hispanic/Latino background and anxious-depression classes

Multinomial logistic regression analysis showed a significant difference in the class of anxious-depression present across Hispanic/Latino backgrounds groups after controlling for age, gender, language of preference, education and income. Individuals of Mexican background were the largest group, and thus were used as the reference group for all comparisons. In order to facilitate the interpretation of the findings, the low anxious-depression group was included as the reference class. For these analyses, the different Hispanic/Latino background groups predicted the latent outcome variable anxious-depression.

Puerto Rican Background—These participants were more likely to show high (vs.low) (OR=1.79; 95% CI:1.48,2.16) and moderate (OR=1.36;95% CI:1.19,1.56) (vs. low) anxious-depression symptomatology compared to those of Mexican background.

Central-American Background—These participants were less likely to experience high (OR=0.68; 95%CI:0.56,0.82) (vs. low) anxious-depression compared to those of Mexican background.

South-American Background—These individuals were less likely to show moderate (OR=0.80;95%CI:0.68,0.94) (vs.low) anxious-depression compared to individuals of Mexican background. Conversely, participants from "*Other*" Hispanic/Latino background were more likely to show moderate (OR=1.32;95%CI:1.06,1.64) (vs.low) anxious-depression compared to individuals of Mexican background.

There were no significant differences between individuals from Dominican and Cuban background and the different classes of anxious-depression compared to individuals of Mexican background. Table 4 summarizes these findings.

Discussion

Individuals from different Hispanic/Latino backgrounds were clustered in 3 distinct classes of anxious-depression symptomatology. Using the latent class approach allowed us to better visualize the percentage of individuals that fall into a low, moderate and high category of the constellation of anxious-depression symptomatology. Sixty percent, 30% and 10% of participants had low, moderate, and high anxious-depression symptomatology, respectively. Our results provided evidence that 30% of participants reported a moderate anxious-depression symptomatology demonstrating the clinical importance of this construct. Our findings highlight the importance of screening for anxious-depression symptomatology as a dimensional construct rather than screening for anxiety and depression separately. A recent study demonstrated that the clinically useful depression outcome scale (CUDOS) was a reliable and valid measure to identify the anxious distress specifier among patients with major depression symptomatology could be used as a unified construct. The application of this construct in daily busy clinical settings remains to be further studied.

Our study found that individuals of Puerto Rican background had higher prevalence of anxious-depression symptomatology compared to individuals of Mexican background. This finding is consistent with previous psychiatric literature which reports that individuals from Puerto Rican background tend to have a spectrum of high anxiety, irritability and grief that falls into the culturally-bound "ataque de nervios" category [44,25]. Interestingly individuals from Cuban background did not show any significant difference between high or moderate (vs. low) anxious-depression compared individuals from Cuban background. Our findings differ from existing studies where individuals from Cuban background tend to have higher rates of anxiety symptomatology compared to Hispanic/Latinos from other backgrounds, except Puerto Ricans [25,45].

Individuals from Central-American background were less likely to experience high anxiousdepression compared to those of Mexican background. Our results show a different outcome from published studies that showed higher depression, anxiety and stress among Central-Americans associated with acculturation and immigration compared to individuals of Mexican background [46]. Our results contribute to the importance of studying the association of anxious-depression symptomatology with acculturation and discrimination among individuals from Central American compared to individuals from Mexican background. There is a dearth in the literature studying the difference in psychosocial stressors among Hispanic/Latinos from Central-America compared to those of Mexican background.

Among Hispanic/Latino adults, the constellation of symptoms that constitute this construct of anxious-depression could be associated with expressions of distress that are culturally associated with family disruption, socio-economic status, migration process and diverse

concerns about health problems [44]. Among Hispanic/Latinos, the combination of anxiety and depression are complex symptoms belonging to an anxiety spectrum. These clustered of symptoms that does not meet criteria for a formal DSM diagnosis are frequently encountered in clinical setting and more research is needed to determine the association with other psychosocial and health outcomes [47].

The prevalence of major depression among US Hispanic/Latino individuals has been reported to be between 8% and 15% and for anxiety between 5% and 11% compared to approximately 23% of anxiety and depression in Caucasian individuals [26,48,49]. Our study did not examine the level of impairment associated with depression or anxiety symptomatology. The importance of our results is the different manifestation of anxious-depression symptomatology among different Hispanic/Latino groups. Recent studies have emphasized the need to further study symptoms of anxiety and depression among different Hispanic/Latinos due to the severity of the symptoms that are usually unreported [50,51]. The anxious-depression construct presented here is an important step in studying how these symptoms varied among Hispanic/Latino groups and how they can predict outcomes related to health, socio-economic stressors, family cohesion and past emotional experiences and/or resilience [52,53,51]. Our results illustrated in Table 3 provide evidence that there are significant differences among classes of anxious-depression symptomatology stratified by different socio-demographic factors.

Another important issue regarding the high prevalence of moderate anxious-depression symptomatology in our sample is the fact that these symptoms may have been present for quite some time. A recent cross-sectional study found a high prevalence of anxiousdepression among Hispanic/Latino youth suggesting that this constellation of symptoms may manifest early in life among this ethnic group [54]. Additionally, the odds of developing anxiety and depression among Hispanic/Latinos were higher when there were considerable immigration and psychosocial stressors [54]. Another cross-sectional study of Hispanic/Latinos showed that anxious-depression symptomatology is high when immigration stressors and poor acculturation are present [55]. Conversely, and consistent with the literature, having social and familial support reduced the odds of developing anxiety and depressive symptoms [54,56,55]. For practitioners and policy leaders, it would be important to identify clusters of anxious-depression symptomatology among Hispanic/ Latino persons attending their community health centers. Knowing the prevalence of anxious-depression clusters among attendees to the community health centers will provide valuable information to tailor psychosocial interventions, preventive programs, support and linkage to community services that consumers might need. One of the challenges is the lack of an adequate time-efficient instrument to screen for anxious-depression in busy community health centers.

A limitation of this study was the cross-sectional design and the lack of control for important psychosocial covariates such as perceived discrimination, level of social support and comorbid psychiatric, and medical conditions. This study controlled for language preference, level of education and income that are usual stressors reported in the Hispanic/ Latino community. Additionally, we used the CES-D and STAI which are not frequently used in community health centers. We also did not find a similar study to compare

measurements of the anxious-depression construct with the same scales used in our study. At the same time, our study has the strengths of the large sample size of a comprehensive epidemiological study, and data on symptoms of anxiety and depression among Hispanic/ Latino adults from different backgrounds and different geographical areas of the United States.

In conclusion, our study contributes to the literature by demonstrating the value of identifying a spectrum of anxious-depression symptomatology, the relevance to clinical settings and that these classes vary among Hispanic/Latinos from different backgrounds. The different classes of anxious-depression symptomatology should be further explored, and may serve as meaningful predictors or outcomes in future public health, psychosocial interventions or evaluation of ongoing community health programs.

Acknowledgment

Funded by T32 HL079891-06 UCSD Integrated Cardiovascular Epidemiology Fellowship, R25HL105430 Mentoring Latinos in Health Disparities Research from the National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute (NHLBI)and The Hispanic Community Health Study/Study of Latinos, which was supported by contracts from the NHLBI to the University of North Carolina (N01-HC65233), University of Miami (N01-HC65234), Albert Einstein College of Medicine (N01-HC65235), Northwestern University (N01-HC65236), and San Diego State University (N01-HC65237). The following Institutes/Centers/Offices contribute to the HCHS/SOL through a transfer of funds to the NHLBI: National Center on Minority Health and Health Disparities, the National Institute of Deafness and Other Communications Disorders, the National Institute of Dental and Craniofacial Research, the National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases, the National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke, and the Office of Dietary Supplements. Its contents are solely the responsibility of the authors and on tecessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health. We thank the participants and staff of the HCHS/SOL for their time invested in this study.

References

- 1. Ionescu DF, Niciu MJ, Henter ID, Zarate CA. Defining anxious depression: a review of the literature. CNS Spectr. 2013 Mar 14. Epub Ahead of print:1–9.
- Ionescu DF, Luckenbaugh DA, Niciu MJ, Richards EM, Slonena EE, Vande Voort JL, Brutsche NEZCJ. Effect of baseline anxious depression on initial and sustained antidepressant response to ketamine. J Clin Psychiatry. 2104; 75(9):e932–e938. [PubMed: 25295436]
- Clayton PJ. Depression subtyping: treatment implications. J Clin Psychiatry. 1998; 59(Suppl 16):5– 12. [PubMed: 9796860]
- Clayton PJ, Grove WM, Coryell W, Keller M, Hirschfeld R, Fawcett J. Follow-up and family study of anxious depression. Am J Psychiatry. 1991; 148(11):1512–1517. [PubMed: 1928465]
- Goldberg, DP.; Simms, LJ.; Gater, R.; Krueger, RF. Integration of Dimensional Spectra for Depression and Anxiety Into Categorical Diagnoses for General Medical Practice. In: Regier, DA.; Narrow, WE.; Kuhl, EA.; Kupfer, DJ., editors. Evolution of DSM-V Conceptual Framework: Development, Dimensions, Disability, Spectra, and Gender/Culture. Arlington, VA: American Psychiatric Association; 2010.
- Goldberg DP, Prisciandaro JJ, Williams P. The primary health care version of ICD-11: the detection of common mental disorders in general medical settings. General Hospital Psychiatry. 2012; 34(6): 665–670. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.genhosppsych.2012.06.006. [PubMed: 22832134]
- Fava M, Alpert JE, Carmin CN, Wisniewski SR, Trivedi MH, Biggs MM, Shores-Wilson K, Morgan D, Schwartz T, Balasubramani GK, Rush JA. Clinical correlates and symptom patterns of anxious depression among patients with major depressive disorder in STAR*D. Psychological Medicine. 2004; 34(7):1299–1308. doi: [PubMed: 15697056]
- Goldberg DP. Anxious forms of Depression. Depression and Anxiety. 2014; 31(4):344–351. [PubMed: 24281827]

- Fawcett J, Kravitz HM. Anxiety syndromes and their relationship to depressive illness. J Clin Psychiatry. 1983; 44(8Pt2):8–11. [PubMed: 6874657]
- Himle JA, Baser RE, Taylor RJ, Campbell RD, Jackson JS. Anxiety disorders among African Americans, blacks of Caribbean descent, and non-Hispanic whites in the United States. J Anxiety Disord. 2009; 23(5):578–590. [PubMed: 19231131]
- 11. American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders. DSM-5. Washington DC: American Psychiatric Publishing; 2013. p. 184-185.
- Andreescu C, Lenze EJ. Anxiety depression comorbidity bête noire or quick fix? The British journal of psychiatry : the journal of mental science. 2012; 200(3):179–181. [PubMed: 22383763]
- Katon W, Roy-Byrne PP. Mixed anxiety and depression. J Abnorm Psychol. 1991; 100(3):337– 345. [PubMed: 1918612]
- Wadsworth ME, Hudziak JJ, Heath AC, Achenbach TM. Latent Class Analysis of Child Behavior Checklist Anxiety/Depression in Children and Adolescents. Journal of the American Academy of Child & Child & Children Psychiatry. 2001; 40(1):106–114. [PubMed: 11195551]
- Zimmerman M, Chelminski I, Young D, Dalrymple K, Walsh E, Rosenstein L. A clinically useful self-report measure of the DSM-5 anxious distress specifier for major depressive disorder. J Clin Psychiatry. 2014; 75(6):601–607. [PubMed: 24813209]
- Bjelland I, Lie SA, Dahl AA, Mykletun A, Stordal E, Kraemer HC. A dimensional versus a categorical approach to diagnosis: Anxiety and depression in the HUNT 2 study. International Journal of Methods in Psychiatric Research. 2009; 18(2):128–137. [PubMed: 19507162]
- Silverstone PH, von Studnitz E. Defining anxious depression: going beyond comorbidity. Canadian journal of psychiatry. 2003; 48(10):675–680.
- Wiethoff K, Bauer M, Baghai TC, Möller HJ, Fisher R, Hollinde D, Kiermeir J, Hauth I, Laux G, Cordes J, Brieger P, Kronmüller KT, Zeiler J, Adli M. Prevalence and treatment outcome in anxious versus nonanxious depression: results from the German Algorithm Project. J Clin Psychiatry. 2010; 71(8):1047–1054. [PubMed: 20673545]
- Bollen K. Latent variables in psychology and the social sciences. Annu Rev Psychol. 2002; 53(605-34)
- Clark LA, Watson D. Tripartite model of anxiety and depression: psychometric evidence and taxonomic implications. J Abnorm Psychol. 1991; 100(3):316–336. [PubMed: 1918611]
- Llabre MM, Fitzpatrick SL. Revisiting measurement models in psychosomatic medicine research: a latent variable approach. Psychosom Med. 2012; 74(2):169–177. (2):169–177. [PubMed: 22286856]
- 22. Ai A, Aisenberg E, Weiss S, Salazar D. Racial/Ethnic Identity and Subjective Physical and Mental Health of Latino Americans: An Asset Within? Am J Community Psychol. 2014; 53(1–2):173–184. [PubMed: 24464428]
- Alegría M, Molina KM, Chen C-N. Neighborhood characteristics and differential risk for depressive and anxiety disorder across racial/ethnic groups in the United States. Depression and Anxiety. 2014; 31(1):27–37. [PubMed: 24123668]
- 24. Wassertheil-Smoller S, Arredondo EM, Cai J, Castaneda SF, Choca JP, Gallo LC, Jung M, LaVange LM, Lee-Rey ET, Mosley T Jr, Penedo FJ, Santistaban DA, Zee PC. Depression, anxiety, antidepressant use, and cardiovascular disease among Hispanic men and women of different national backgrounds: results from the Hispanic Community Health Study/Study of Latinos. Annals of Epidemiology. 2014; 24(11):822–830. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/ j.annepidem.2014.09.003. [PubMed: 25439033]
- Lewis-Fernández R, Hinton DE, Laria AJ, Patterson EH, Hofmann SG, Craske MG, Stein DJ, Asnaani A, Liao B. Culture and the anxiety disorders: recommendations for DSM-V. Depression and Anxiety. 2010; 27(2):212–229. [PubMed: 20037918]
- 26. Alegría M, Canino G, Shrout PE, Woo M, Duan N, Vila D, Torres M, Chen CN, Meng XL. Prevalence of mental illness in immigrant and non-immigrant U.S. Latino groups. Am J Psychiatry. 2008; 165(3):359–369. [PubMed: 18245178]
- Fisher L, Chesla CA, Mullan JT, Skaff MM, Kanter RA. Contributors to Depression in Latino and European-American Patients With Type 2 Diabetes. Diabetes Care. 2001; 24(10):1751–1757. [PubMed: 11574437]

- Sánchez M, Cardemil E, Adams ST, Calista JL, Connell J, Depalo A, Ferreira J, Gould D, Handler JS, Kaminow P, Melo T, Parks A, Rice E, Rivera I. Brave new world: mental health experiences of Puerto Ricans, immigrant Latinos, and Brazilians in Massachusetts. Cultur Divers Ethnic Minor Psychol. 2014; 20(1):16–26. [PubMed: 24491125]
- Familiar I, Borges G, Orozco R, Medina-Mora M-E. Mexican migration experiences to the US and risk for anxiety and depressive symptoms. Journal of Affective Disorders. 2011; 130(1–2):83–91. [PubMed: 20934221]
- Sorlie PD, Avilés-Santa LM, Wassertheil-Smoller S, Kaplan RC, Daviglus ML, Giachello AL, Schneiderman N, Raij L, Talavera G, Allison M, LaVange L, Chambless LE, Heiss G. Design and Implementation of the Hispanic Community Health Study/Study of Latinos. Annals of Epidemiology. 2010; 20(8):629–641. [PubMed: 20609343]
- 31. Daviglus ML, Talavera GA, Avilés-Santa ML, Allison M, Cai J, Criqui MH, Gellman M, Giachello AL, Gouskova N, Kaplan RC, LaVange L, Penedo F, Perreira K, Pirzada A, Schneiderman N, Wassertheil-Smoller S, Sorlie PD, Stamler J. Prevalence of major cardiovascular risk factors and cardiovascular diseases among Hispanic/Latino individuals of diverse backgrounds in the United States. JAMA. 2012; 308(17):1775–1785. [PubMed: 23117778]
- 32. Lavange LM, Kalsbeek WD, Sorlie PD, Avilés-Santa LM, Kaplan RC, Barnhart J, Liu K, Giachello A, Lee DJ, Ryan J, Criqui MH, Elder JP. Sample design and cohort selection in the Hispanic Community Health Study/Study of Latinos. Ann Epidemiol. 2010; 20(8):642–649. [PubMed: 20609344]
- Andrese EM, Malmgren JA, Carter WB, Patrick DL. Screening for depression in well older adults: evaluation of a short form of the CES-D (Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale). Am J Prev Med. 1994; 10(2):77–84. [PubMed: 8037935]
- Irwin M, Artin KH, Oxman MN. Screening for depression in the older adult: criterion validity of the 10-item Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression Scale (CES-D). Arch Intern Med. 1999; 159(15):1701–1704. [PubMed: 10448771]
- Eaton WW, McCuthceon A, Dryman A, Sorenson A. Latent Class Analysis of Anxiety and Depression. Sociological Methods & Research. 1989; 18(1):104–125.
- 36. Merz EL, Roesch SC. A latent profile analysis of the Five Factor Model of personality: Modeling trait interactions. Personality and Individual Differences. 2011; 51:915–919. [PubMed: 21984857]
- Roesch SC, Villodas M, Villodas F. Latent class/profile analysis in maltreatment research: A commentary on Nooner et al., Pears et al, and looking beyond. Child Abuse & Neglect. 2010; 34:155–160. [PubMed: 20207416]
- Nylund KL, Asparouhov T, Muthén BO. Deciding on the number of classes in latent class analysis and growth mixture modeling: A Monte Carlo simulation study. Structural equation modeling. 2007; 14(4):535–569.
- Tofighi, DEC. Greenwich, CT: Information Age; 2007. p. 317-341.Hancock, GR.; Sameulsen, KM., editors. Advances in latent variable mixture models. 2007. Identifying the correct number of classes in growth mixture models. Hancock, GR.; Samuelsen, KM., editors. Advances in latent variable mixture models. Greenwich, CT: Information Age; p. 317-341.
- 40. Yang C. Evaluating latent class analyses in qualitative phenotype ideintification. Computational Statistica and Data Analysis. 2006; 50:1090–1104.
- 41. Raftery, AE. Bayesian model selection in structural equation models. In: Bollen, KA.; Long, SJ., editors. Tesint Structural Equion Models. Sage Focus Editions. Vol. 154. 1993. p. 163-163.
- 42. Arguelles W, Llabre MM, Sacco RL, Penedo FJ, Carnethon M, Gallo LC, Lee DJ, Catellier DJ, González HM, Holub C, Loehr LR, Soliman EZ, Schneiderman N. Characterization of metabolic syndrome among diverse Hispanics/Latinos living in the United States: Latent class analysis from the Hispanic Community Health Study/Study of Latinos (HCHS/SOL). International Journal of Cardiology. 2015; 184(0):373–379. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijcard.2015.02.100. [PubMed: 25745986]
- Zimmerman M, Chelminski I, Young D, Dalrymple K, Walsh E, Rosenstein L. A clinically useful self-report measure of the DSM-5 anxious distress specifier for major depressive disorder. J Clin Psychiatry. 2014; 29 [Epub ahead of print].

- 44. Guarnaccia PJ, DeLaCancela V, Carrillo E. The multiple meanings of ataques de nervios in the Latino community. Medical Anthropology. 1989; 11(1):47–62. [PubMed: 2725213]
- 45. Polo AJ, Alegría M, Chen CN, Blanco C. The prevalence and comorbidity of social anxiety disorder among United States Latinos: a retrospective analysis of data from 2 national surveys. J Clin Psychiatry. 2011; 72(8):1096–1105. [PubMed: 21899817]
- Hovey JD. Acculturative Stress, Depression, and Suicidal Ideation Among Central American Immigrants. Suicide and Life-Threatening Behavior. 2000; 30(2):125–139. [PubMed: 10888053]
- 47. Lewis-Fernández R, Guarnaccia P, Martínez I, Salmán E, Schmidt A, Liebowitz M. Comparative Phenomenology of Ataques de Nervios, Panic Attacks, and Panic Disorder. Culture, Medicine and Psychiatry. 2002; 26(2):199–223.
- 48. González HM, Tarraf W, Whitfield KE, Vega WA. The epidemiology of major depression and ethnicity in the United States. J Psychiatr Res. 2010; 44(15):1043–1045. [PubMed: 20537350]
- Hoppe SK, Leon RL, Realini JP. Depression and anxiety among Mexican Americans in a family health center. Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology. 1989; 24(2):63–68. [PubMed: 2499054]
- Aponte-Rivera V, Dunlop BW, Ramirez C, Kelley ME, Schneider R, Blastos B, Larson J, Mercado F, Mayberg H, Craighead WE. Enhancing Hispanic participation in mental health clinical research: development of a Spanish-speaking depression research site. Depression and Anxiety. 2014; 31(3):258–267. [PubMed: 23959771]
- Ortega A, Feldman J, Canino G, Steinman K, Alegría M. Co-occurrence of mental and physical illness in US Latinos. Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology. 2006; 41(12):927–934. [PubMed: 17013767]
- 52. Ruiz-Beltran M, Kamau JK. The socio-economic and cultural impediments to well-being along the US-Mexico border. J Community Health. 2001; 26(2):123–132. [PubMed: 11322752]
- Kales HC, Valenstein M. Complexity in Late-Life Depression: Impact of Confounding Factors on Diagnosis, Treatment, and Outcomes. Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry and Neurology. 2002; 15(3): 147–155. [PubMed: 12230085]
- Potochnick SR, Perreira KM. Depression and anxiety among first-generation immigrant Latino youth: key correlates and implications for future research. J Nerv Ment Dis. 2010; 198(7):470– 477. [PubMed: 20611049]
- Potochnick SR, Perreira KM. Depression and anxiety among first-generation immigrant Latino youth: key correlates and implications for future research. J Nerv Ment Dis. 2010; 198(7):470– 477. [PubMed: 20611049]
- 56. Crockett LJ, Iturbide MI, Torres-Stone RA, McGinley M, Raffaelli M, Carlo G. Acculturative stress, social support, and coping: relations to psychological adjustment among Mexican American college students. Cultur Divers Ethnic Minor Psychol. 2007; 13(4):347–355. [PubMed: 17967103]

Camacho et al.



Figure 1.

Illustration of different classes of *anxious-depression* based on their conditional mean response of the items from the CES-D and STAI.

Annotation: Class 1: High anxious-depression (9.7%); Class 2: Moderate anxious-depression (30.8%); Class 3: Low anxious-depression (59.6%)

Table 1

Characteristics of participants from the HCHS/SOL (N=16064¹)

Variables Mean (SE) or Proportion	Female (51%)	Male (47%)
Age (SE)	41.4 (.27)	39.9 (.29)
Hispanic/Latino Background (SE)		
Mexican	38.8% (1.69)	36.8% (1.81)
Cuban	18.5% (1.63)	22.1% (1.88)
Puerto-Rican	14.8% (0.82)	16.8% (0.98)
Dominican	11.1% (0.83)	7.9% (0.74)
C. American	7.5% (0.57)	7.3% (0.64)
S. American	5.1% (0.38)	4.6% (0.37)
> than one/other Heritage	3.9% (0.40)	4.3% (0.41)
Language of Preference (SE)		
Spanish	77.0% (1.02)	72.91 (1.18)
English	23.0 (1.02)	27.09 (1.18)
Level of Education (SE)		
<high school<="" td=""><td>32.7% (0.86)</td><td>31.6% (0.93)</td></high>	32.7% (0.86)	31.6% (0.93)
High School	26.3% (0.74)	30.1% (0.83)
>High School	40.9% (0.99)	38.1% (1.04)
Socioeconomic Status (SE)		
<\$20,000	45.5% (0.88)	37.7% (1.18)
\$20,001-50,000	34.6% (0.83)	39.8% (0.98)
>\$50,000	8.8% 0.65)	15.0% (1.08)
Unreported	10.8% (0.52)	7.3% (0.50)
CES-D (SE)	7.91(0.11)	6.01(0.10)
STAI (SE)	18.69(0.34)	16.81 (0.33)

¹This N represents the un-weighted and unadjusted sample with data on the 10-item Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale (CES-D) and 10-item State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI)

SE= Standard Error

Author Manuscript

Model fit for the latent construct anxious-depression among Hispanic/Latinos from the HCHS/SOL (N=16,064)

Latent Class Model	# parameters	AIC	BIC	sBIC	Entropy	LRT (p)
2-Class	32	807594.5	807839.9	807738.2	0.96	90446.2 (<0.001)
3-Class	64	773723.7	774214.5	774011.1	06.0	19786.8(<0.001)
4-Class	125	764418.7	765377.3	764980.1	0.92	9673.1(<0.001)
5-Class	146	747014.2	748133.9	747669.9	0.95	8433.7 (0.06)

Abbreviations: AIC, Akaike information criteria; BIC, Bayesian information criteria; ABIC, sample size adjusted Bayesian information criteria; LRT, bootstrapped likelihood ratio test.

Author Manuscript

Table 3

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Socio-demographic factors across different classes of anxious-depression

GenderFemale $46.01 (0.71)$ $56.54 (1.11)$ $74.20 (1.57)$ Male $54.00 (0.71)$ $43.46 (1.11)$ $25.80 (1.57)$ Language Preference $54.00 (0.71)$ $43.46 (1.11)$ $25.80 (1.57)$ Language Preference $76.43 (0.94)$ $72.83 (1.20)$ $72.51 (2.18)$ Ballish $23.57 (0.94)$ $72.83 (1.20)$ $72.51 (2.18)$ Language Preference $76.43 (0.94)$ $72.83 (1.20)$ $72.51 (2.18)$ Ballish $23.57 (0.94)$ $27.83 (1.20)$ $72.51 (2.18)$ Age $18-44$ $61.52 (0.87)$ $60.04 (1.08)$ $49.59 (2.01)$ Age $18-44$ $61.52 (0.87)$ $60.04 (1.08)$ $49.59 (2.10)$ Age $18-44$ $61.52 (0.57)$ $8.06 (0.57)$ $7.89 (0.86)$ Age $-4H2$ $61.94 (0.50)$ $8.06 (0.57)$ $7.99 (0.86)$ Age $-4H3$ $29.94 (0.50)$ $8.06 (0.57)$ $7.99 (0.86)$ Education $-4H3$ $27.43 (0.88)$ $37.61 (1.08)$ $43.28 (1.91)$ Hs $28.19 (0.76)$ $8.06 (0.57)$ $7.89 (0.86)$ Hs $24.39 (1.04)$ $34.49 (1.14)$ $27.79 (1.82)$ Income $20.001-50.000$ $35.57 (1.04)$ $34.49 (1.10)$ $27.79 (1.84)$ Note Denoted $35.57 (1.04)$ $35.57 (1.04)$ $25.17 (1.66)$ Motome $50.001+$ $15.31 (1.05)$ $8.33 (0.70)$ $27.40 (0.50)$ Note Denoted $50.001+$ $25.70 (0.02)$ $242 (0.50)$ Note Denoted $52.70 (0.02)$ $242 (0.50)$ Advelored 50.0		Class 1 (High Anx-Dep) (%, SE)	Class 2 (Mod Anx-Dep) (%, SE)	Class 3 (Low Anx-Dep) (%, SE)	<i>p</i> -value [*]
Female46.01 (0.71)56.54 (1.11)74.20 (1.57)Male54.00 (0.71)43.46 (1.11)25.80 (1.57)Language Preference74.30 (0.71)43.46 (1.11)25.80 (1.57)Language Preference76.43 (0.94)72.83 (1.20)72.51 (2.18)Spanish76.43 (0.94)27.83 (1.20)72.51 (2.18)Age18-4461.52 (0.87)60.04 (1.08)49.59 (2.01)Age18-4461.52 (0.87)60.04 (1.08)49.59 (2.01)Age29.94 (0.66)31.91 (0.93)42.52 (1.93)Age29.94 (0.66)31.91 (0.93)43.28 (1.91)Age29.94 (0.66)31.91 (0.93)43.28 (1.91)Age29.94 (0.66)31.91 (0.93)28.94 (2.10)Heation $< 27.43 (0.88)$ 37.61 (1.08)43.28 (1.91)Education $< 44.39 (1.04)$ $34.49 (1.14)$ $27.79 (1.82)$ Income $20,001-50,000$ $40.75 (0.82)$ $34.49 (1.17)$ $61.97 (1.84)$ Noteme $56,001+$ $15.31 (1.05)$ $8.33 (0.70)$ $242 (0.50)$ Moteme $50,001+$ $15.31 (1.05)$ $8.33 (0.70)$ $242 (0.50)$	Gender				
Male 54.00 (0.71) 43.46 (1.11) 25.80 (1.57) Language Preference 72.51 (2.18) 72.51 (2.18) Spanish 76.43 (0.94) 72.83 (1.20) 72.51 (2.18) Budglish 23.57 (0.94) 72.83 (1.20) 72.51 (2.18) Age 18-44 61.52 (0.87) 60.04 (1.08) 49.59 (2.10) Age 18-44 61.52 (0.87) 60.04 (1.08) 49.59 (2.01) Age 18-44 61.52 (0.87) 60.04 (1.08) 49.59 (2.10) Age 18-44 61.52 (0.87) 8.06 (0.57) 7.89 (0.86) Age 29.94 (0.60) 31.91 (0.93) 42.52 (1.93) Age 28.19 (0.76) 8.06 (0.57) 7.89 (0.86) Hucation $-HS$ 28.19 (0.76) 27.90 (0.93) 28.94 (2.10) Hucome 28.04 (1.04) 34.49 (1.14) 27.79 (1.82) 28.94 (2.10) Income 28.04 (1.06) 34.49 (1.16) 27.79 (1.82) 28.94 (2.10) Income 28.0001 35.57 (1.04) 34.49 (1.14) 27.79 (1.82)	Female	46.01 (0.71)	56.54 (1.11)	74.20 (1.57)	0001
Language Preference 76.43 (0.94) 72.83 (1.20) 72.51 (2.18) Spanish 76.43 (0.94) 72.83 (1.20) 72.51 (2.18) Biglish 23.57 (0.94) 27.33 (1.20) 27.49 (2.18) Age $60.04 (1.08)$ 49.59 (2.01) 49.59 (2.01) Age $65+$ $29.94 (0.66)$ $31.91 (0.93)$ 42.52 (1.93) Age $65+$ $29.94 (0.66)$ $31.91 (0.93)$ 42.52 (1.93) Age $65+$ $8.54 (0.50)$ $8.06 (0.57)$ 7.89 (0.86) Education <45.64 $29.94 (0.66)$ $31.91 (0.93)$ $42.52 (1.93)$ Education $<45.40 (1.04)$ $37.61 (1.08)$ $43.28 (1.91)$ Hs $28.19 (0.76)$ $37.61 (1.08)$ $43.28 (1.91)$ Hs $28.19 (0.76)$ $37.61 (1.08)$ $43.28 (1.91)$ hcome $44.39 (1.04)$ $37.61 (1.08)$ $23.94 (2.10)$ Hs $28.04 (0.50)$ $37.61 (1.08)$ $23.94 (2.10)$ Hs $28.19 (0.76)$ $37.61 (1.08)$ $23.94 (2.10)$ hcome $28.19 (0.76)$ $34.9 (1.14)$ $27.79 (1.82)$ hc	Male	54.00 (0.71)	43.46 (1.11)	25.80 (1.57)	
Spanish 76.43 (0.94) 72.83 (1.20) 72.51 (2.18) English 23.57 (0.94) 27.83 (1.20) 72.51 (2.18) Age 18–44 61.52 (0.87) 60.04 (1.08) 49.59 (2.01) Age 18–44 61.52 (0.57) 60.04 (1.08) 49.59 (2.01) Age 18–44 61.52 (0.57) 8.06 (0.57) 7.89 (0.86) Age 29.94 (0.66) 31.91 (0.93) 42.52 (1.93) Action $45-64$ 29.94 (0.50) 8.06 (0.57) 7.89 (0.86) Education $45-64$ 29.94 (0.50) 8.06 (0.57) 7.89 (0.86) Education $41-39$ (1.04) 37.61 (1.08) 43.28 (1.91) Hcome 44.39 (1.04) 37.61 (1.08) 43.28 (1.91) hcome 35.57 (1.04) 34.49 (1.14) 27.79 (1.82) hcome $36.001-50.000$ 40.75 (0.82) 34.49 (1.14) 27.79 (1.84) Not branced $30.001-50.000$ 35.57 (1.04) 34.40 (1.10) 25.17 (1.66) Not branced $50.001-50.000$ 32.57 (1.04) 50.701 52.42 (0.50) Not branced $52.10.000$ <	Language Preference				
English23.57 (0.94)27.83 (1.20)27.49 (2.18) Age 18-4461.52 (0.87)60.04 (1.08)49.59 (2.01) $45-64$ 29.94 (0.66)31.91 (0.93)42.52 (1.93) $45-64$ 29.94 (0.66)31.91 (0.93)42.52 (1.93) $65+$ 8.54 (0.50)8.06 (0.57)7.89 (0.86) $65+$ 29.94 (0.66)31.91 (0.93)42.52 (1.93) $65+$ 29.94 (0.66)31.91 (0.93)42.52 (1.93) $65+$ 29.40 (0.93)8.06 (0.57)7.89 (0.86) $Fducation$ $< 28.19 (0.76)$ 37.61 (1.08)43.28 (1.91) HS 28.19 (0.76)27.90 (0.93)28.94 (2.10) HS 28.19 (0.76)27.90 (0.93)28.94 (2.10) HS 28.19 (0.76)277.90 (0.93)28.94 (2.10) $hcome$ 28.19 (0.76)27.90 (0.93)28.94 (2.10) $hcome$ 28.19 (0.76)27.90 (0.93)28.94 (2.10) $hcome$ 28.19 (0.76)34.94 (1.14)27.79 (1.82) $hcome$ 20.00035.57 (1.04)46.86 (1.17)61.97 (1.84) $floothe20,0001+15.31 (1.05)8.33 (0.70)25.17 (1.66)floothe50,001+15.31 (1.05)8.33 (0.70)242 (0.50)hcomee_{2.270,400}e_{2.270,400}29.80 (0.60)10.44 (1.00)$	Spanish	76.43 (0.94)	72.83 (1.20)	72.51 (2.18)	.0027
Age $18-44$ $61.52 (0.87)$ $60.04 (1.08)$ $49.59 (2.01)$ $45-64$ $29.94 (0.66)$ $31.91 (0.93)$ $42.52 (1.93)$ $45-64$ $29.94 (0.56)$ $31.91 (0.93)$ $42.52 (1.93)$ $65+$ $8.54 (0.50)$ $8.06 (0.57)$ $7.89 (0.86)$ $65+$ $8.54 (0.50)$ $8.06 (0.57)$ $7.89 (0.86)$ $Education$ $ 27.43 (0.88) 37.61 (1.08) 43.28 (1.91) Hs 28.19 (0.76) 27.90 (0.93) 28.94 (2.10) Hs 28.19 (0.76) 27.90 (0.93) 28.94 (2.10) Hs 28.19 (0.76) 27.90 (0.93) 28.94 (2.10) hcome 44.39 (1.04) 34.49 (1.14) 27.79 (1.82) hcome 20,000 35.57 (1.04) 34.49 (1.17) 61.97 (1.84) hcome 20,000 40.75 (0.82) 34.94 (1.10) 25.17 (1.66) 50,001+ 15.31 (1.05) 8.33 (0.70) 2.42 (0.50) hcome 9.257 (0.40) 0.96 (0.50) 10.44 (0.50)$	English	23.57 (0.94)	27.83 (1.20)	27.49 (2.18)	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Age				
45-64 29.94 (0.66) 31.91 (0.93) 42.52 (1.93) $65+$ 8.54 (0.50) 8.06 (0.57) 7.89 (0.86) <i>Education</i> $ 3.54 (0.50) 8.06 (0.57) 7.89 (0.86) Education 3.54 (0.50) 8.06 (0.57) 7.89 (0.86) Education 37.61 (1.08) 43.28 (1.91) HS 28.19 (0.76) 27.90 (0.93) 28.94 (2.10) HS 28.19 (0.76) 27.90 (0.93) 28.94 (2.10) HS 28.19 (0.76) 27.90 (0.93) 28.94 (2.10) hcome 28.19 (1.04) 34.49 (1.14) 27.79 (1.82) hcome 20,000 35.57 (1.04) 46.86 (1.17) 61.97 (1.84) hcome 20,001 40.75 (0.82) 34.94 (1.10) 25.17 (1.66) Soudit+ 15.31 (1.05) 8.33 (0.70) 242 (0.50) $	18-44	61.52 (0.87)	60.04 (1.08)	49.59 (2.01)	, 000
65+ 8.54 (0.50) 8.06 (0.57) 7.89 (0.86) Education $ 37.61 (1.08) 43.28 (1.91) Education 27.43 (0.88) 37.61 (1.08) 43.28 (1.91) HS 28.19 (0.76) 27.90 (0.93) 28.94 (2.10) >HS 24.39 (1.04) 34.49 (1.14) 27.79 (1.82) Income 20,000 35.57 (1.04) 46.86 (1.17) 61.97 (1.84) Note 20,000 40.75 (0.82) 34.94 (1.10) 25.17 (1.66) Note 50,001+ 15.31 (1.05) 8.33 (0.70) 20.40 (0.50) $	4564	29.94 (0.66)	31.91 (0.93)	42.52 (1.93)	1000.>
Education $<$ HS 27.43 (0.88) 37.61 (1.08) 43.28 (1.91) HS 28.19 (0.76) 27.90 (0.93) 28.94 (2.10) HS 28.19 (0.76) 27.90 (0.93) 28.94 (2.10) $>$ HS 28.19 (0.76) 27.90 (0.93) 28.94 (2.10) $>$ HS 28.19 (0.76) 27.90 (0.93) 28.94 (2.10) $>$ hts 44.39 (1.04) 34.49 (1.14) 27.79 (1.82) <i>income</i> 35.57 (1.04) 46.86 (1.17) 61.97 (1.84) 20,001 40.75 (0.82) 34.94 (1.10) 25.17 (1.66) 50,001+ 15.31 (1.05) 8.33 (0.70) 2.42 (0.50) Mot browned $\circ 2.77.0400$ $\circ 0.000$ 2.42 (0.50)	65+	8.54 (0.50)	8.06 (0.57)	7.89 (0.86)	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Education				
HS 28.19 (0.76) 27.90 (0.93) 28.94 (2.10) >HS 44.39 (1.04) 34.49 (1.14) 27.79 (1.82) <i>Income</i> 20,000 35.57 (1.04) 46.86 (1.17) 61.97 (1.84) 20,001-50,000 40.75 (0.82) 34.94 (1.10) 25.17 (1.66) $50,001+$ 15.31 (1.05) 8.33 (0.70) 2.42 (0.50) Mot branced $\circ 27.0400$ $\circ 27.0400$ 0.0800 0.0400	<hs< td=""><td>27.43 (0.88)</td><td>37.61 (1.08)</td><td>43.28 (1.91)</td><td>1000 /</td></hs<>	27.43 (0.88)	37.61 (1.08)	43.28 (1.91)	1000 /
>HS 44.39 (1.04) 34.49 (1.14) 27.79 (1.82) <i>Income</i> 20,000 35.57 (1.04) 46.86 (1.17) 61.97 (1.84) 20,001-50,000 40.75 (0.82) 34.94 (1.10) 25.17 (1.66) 50,001+ 15.31 (1.05) 8.33 (0.70) 2.42 (0.50)	SH	28.19 (0.76)	27.90 (0.93)	28.94 (2.10)	
Income 20,000 35.57 (1.04) 46.86 (1.17) 61.97 (1.84) 20,001-50,000 40.75 (0.82) 34.94 (1.10) 25.17 (1.66) 50,001+ 15.31 (1.05) 8.33 (0.70) 2.42 (0.50) Mort Branced 2.27 (0.40) 0.020 (0.01) 2.42 (0.50)	SH<	44.39 (1.04)	34.49 (1.14)	27.79 (1.82)	
20,000 35.57 (1.04) 46.86 (1.17) 61.97 (1.84) 20,001-50,000 40.75 (0.82) 34.94 (1.10) 25.17 (1.66) 50,001+ 15.31 (1.05) 8.33 (0.70) 2.42 (0.50) Mot Branchold 8.27 (0.40) 0.88 (0.60) 10.44 (1.03)	Income				
20,001–50,000 40.75 (0.82) 34.94 (1.10) 25.17 (1.66) 50,001+ 15.31 (1.05) 8.33 (0.70) 2.42 (0.50) Not Demoted 8.27 (0.40) 0.88 (0.60) 10.44 (1.03)	20,000	35.57 (1.04)	46.86 (1.17)	61.97 (1.84)	, 000
50,001+ 15.31 (1.05) 8.33 (0.70) 2.42 (0.50) Not browned 2.27 (0.40) 0.86 (0.50) 10.44 (1.03)	20,001-50,000	40.75 (0.82)	34.94 (1.10)	25.17 (1.66)	1000.>
Not Dominad 8 27 (0.40) 0.08 (0.60) 10.44 (1.02)	50,001+	15.31 (1.05)	8.33 (0.70)	2.42 (0.50)	
$(c_{0,1}) \pm 101$ $(c_{0,0}) \otimes 2$ $(c_{1,0}) (c_{0,0})$ $(c_{1,0}) = 0.010$	Not Reported	8.37 (0.49)	9.88 (0.60)	10.44 (1.03)	

Author Manuscript

Table 4

Hispanic/Latino Background Predicting Class Membership: Multinomial Regression Results Comparing High and moderate anxious-depression with low anxious-depression and Mexican background as reference.

	Ar	High ixious-Depre	ssion	AI	Moderate ixious-Depre	ssion
Ethnic Background	OR	12 % 56	d	OR	95% CI	d
Puerto Rican vs. Mexican	1.79	1.48, 2.16	<0.001	1.36	1.19, 1.56	<0.001
Dominican vs. Mexican	0.93	0.71, 1.21	0.67	6.0	0.85, 1.11	0.74
Central-American vs. Mexican	0.68	0.56, 0.82	0.001	68.0	0.79, 1.01	0.16
Cuban vs. Mexican	1.14	0.96, 1.36	0.19	0.91	0.82, 1.00	0.11
South-American vs. Mexican	0.81	0.66, 1.01	0.12	08.0	0.68, 0.94	0.02
Other vs. Mexican	1.42	0.87, 2.22	0.23	1.32	1.06, 1.64	0.03

* Adjusted for age, gender, language preference, education and income. Weighted to the 2010 census.