

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Schizophr Res. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2009 October 1.

Published in final edited form as:

Schizophr Res. 2008 October ; 105(1-3): 68–77. doi:10.1016/j.schres.2008.05.018.

Attentional-Shaping as a Means to Improve Emotion Perception Deficits in Schizophrenia

Dennis R. Combs^{a,*}, Aneta Tosheva^b, David L. Penn^c, Michael R. Basso^b, Jill L. Wanner^b, and Kristen Laib^a

aUniversity of Texas at Tyler, Department of Psychology, 3900 University Blvd., Tyler, TX 75799

bUniversity of Tulsa, Department of Psychology, 600 South College Ave. Tulsa, OK 74104

cUniversity of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Department of Psychology, Chapel Hill, NC 27599

Abstract

Inability to recognize emotional expressions of others (emotion perception) is one of the most common impairments observed among individuals with schizophrenia. Such deficits presumably contribute much to the social dysfunction characteristic of schizophrenia. This study examined the efficacy of a novel attentional-shaping intervention to improve emotion perception abilities. Sixty participants with schizophrenia were randomly assigned to one of three intervention conditions: 1) attentional-shaping, 2) contingent monetary reinforcement, or 3) repeated practice. Participants completed the Face Emotion Identification Test (FEIT) at pre-test, intervention, post-test, and one week follow-up. Participants also completed the Bell-Lysaker Emotion Recognition Test (BLERT) and the Social Behavior Scale at pre-test and follow-up to measure generalization.

The results showed that the attentional-shaping condition had significantly higher scores on the FEIT at intervention, post-test, and follow-up compared to monetary reinforcement and repeated practice. Improvement was also found on the BLERT and a trend was found for improved social behaviors at one-week follow-up. Results will be discussed in terms of face scanning and attentional deficits present in schizophrenia and potential uses of this intervention in the remediation of emotion perception deficits.

Keywords

Social Cognition; Emotion Perception; Attention; Shaping; Social Behaviors

1.0. Introduction

Impairments in social functioning are among the hallmark characteristics of schizophrenia (American Psychiatric Association, 2000) and these impairments are more pronounced in schizophrenia than in any other psychiatric disorder (Mueser and Bellack, 1998). Unfortunately, the reasons for these problems are not well understood. One area of promise has been in the study of social cognition, which is defined as the way we understand, perceive,

^{*}*Corresponding Author:* Dennis R. Combs, Ph.D., Department of Psychology, University of Texas at Tyler, 3900 University Blvd., Tyler, Texas 75799, Phone: (903) 565-5880, Fax: (903) 565-5660, Email: Dcombs@uttyler.edu.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

and interpret our social world (Penn et al., 1997). Social cognition appears to have a stronger relationship with functional outcome than neurocognition (Penn et al., 1996; Pinkham and Penn, 2006) and may serve as a direct predictor (Brune et al., 2007) or mediator (Addington et al., 2006) et al., 2006) of functional outcome. Taken as a whole, this body of research strongly supports the importance of social cognition in schizophrenia (Couture et al., 2006).

One of the most consistent social-cognitive deficits in schizophrenia is the impaired recognition of emotional expressions in others (emotion perception; Edwards et al., 2002; Mandal et al., 1998; Penn et al., 2006). Deficits in emotion perception appear to be stable, and occur across different phases of the disorder (Gaebel and Wölwer, 1992, Penn and Combs, 2000; Penn et al., 2000). They are also thought to contribute heavily to the social impairments found in schizophrenia (Hooker and Park, 2002, Ihnen et al., 1998, Kee et al., 2003; Mueser et al., 1996). If emotion perception could be improved, it may lead to improved social functioning and a better quality of life for persons with the disorder.

Researchers have become increasingly interested in the role of cognition as a possible underlying cause of emotion perception deficits (Green, 1993; Green et al., 2000; Penn et al., 2001). Even though persons with schizophrenia have a wide-variety of cognitive impairments (Heinrichs, 2005), it appears that emotion perception largely depends on intact attentional abilities (Bruce and Young, 1986; Pinkham et al., 2003) (Although there are findings from the autism literature which demonstrate that social perception can be impaired in the presence of intact cognitive and attentional abilities; Sasson et al., 2007). Despite this issue, emotion perception requires that the perceiver select parts of the person's face upon which to attend, and then sustain attention in order to collect important information about the person's emotional state (Morrison et al., 1988b). Finally, the brevity of emotion expressions during social interactions places a high demand on the already impaired attentional system in schizophrenia (Bellack et al., 1996). Quite simply, if a person cannot fully attend to facial stimuli, then his or her capacity to recognize emotional expressions will be impaired.

Several areas of clinical research have supported the role of attentional processes in emotion perception. First, studies have demonstrated a consistent positive correlation between neuropsychological measures of attention and emotion perception. In particular, vigilance, attentional span, and the ability to shift attention are most predictive of emotion perception scores as compared to language, memory, and executive functioning (Addington and Addington, 1998; Combs and Gouvier, 2004; Kee et al., 1998; Morrison et al., 1988a). Second, persons with schizophrenia show evidence of abnormal or restricted visual scan paths on face and emotion recognition tasks in which they tend to focus on non-essential areas of the face or even peripheral aspects of the face (Phillips and David, 1997; Streit et al., 1997). There is also evidence for a reduction in the amount of time they view relevant facial features such as the eyes and mouth, which is especially important since emotions are recognized during the early stages of the perceptual process (Gordon et al., 1992; Loughland et al., 2002; Swartz et al., 1999; Williams et al., 2003). In schizophrenia, it has been hypothesized that problems in facial scanning reflect a data gathering bias in which important facial information is not attended to or even missed (Green et al., 2001). In terms of neural activity, the Fusiform Gyrus, a key part of the social cognitive network, tends to be activated when viewing faces (see Dalton et al., 2007; Morris et al., 2007). Overall, there seems to be converging evidence from neuropsychological, visual scanning, and imaging studies for the importance of visual attention to the face in emotion perception (see Adolphs et al., 2005; Combs and Gouvier, 2004).

In terms of intervention strategies, it has only been recently that social cognition has been considered an important treatment target (Combs et al., 2007). Typically, interventions for social cognition are categorized as either "broad-based" or "targeted" strategies (Penn et al., 2007). Broad-based interventions, such as cognitive remediation, focus on restoring the core

cognitive deficits in schizophrenia with the expectation that an improvement in lower level cognitive abilities will lead to an improvement in emotion perception (Spaulding et al., 1999; Van der Gaag et al., 2002). However, because these interventions use a large number of techniques, it becomes difficult to discern what treatment components exert beneficial effects (Kurtz et al., 2001; Twamley et al., 2003). Also, these interventions can be time consuming and expensive to conduct, thereby imposing practical limitations on their use.

In contrast, targeted interventions focus on improving a single area of social cognition such as emotion perception or theory of mind. In one of the first studies on emotion perception remediation, Penn and Combs (2000) found that a single session intervention of either facial mimicry, monetary reinforcement, or a combination of mimicry and reinforcement led to improved emotion perception, but the gains for each intervention were poorly maintained at a one-week follow-up. More recent studies have found that emotion perception can in fact be improved (Choi and Kwon, 2006; Frommann, et al., 2003; Russell, et al., 2005; Silver et al., 2004; Wölwer et al. 2005), but generalization and maintenance of the improvements has proven more elusive (Combs et al., 2007; see Horan et al., in press for a review). Also, little data exist to indicate whether improved emotion perception leads to enhanced social functioning. Moreover, none of these interventions directly address problems in facial scanning, which may in fact be the core substrate of poor emotion perception.

Based on the limitations of previous research and the role of problematic scanning of facial features found in schizophrenia, we propose that remedation of emotion perception deficits may be achieved by directing attention to key areas of the face. To this end, we developed a novel attentional-shaping intervention that uses computerized attentional prompts along with monetary reinforcement as a means to shape attention to portions of the face (eyes and mouth) which are most salient to emotional expressions. Thus, by keying visual attention to these areas of the face, we might be able to foster an alternative viewing strategy for recognizing emotional expressions. Attentional-shaping methods have been successful in improving attention on gross motor tasks and in psychosocial group settings and may be useful for social cognitive tasks as well (Menditto et al., 1991; Silverstein et al., in press, 2001, 2005).

In this study, we examined the efficacy of the attentional-shaping intervention with two comparison intervention methods used in previous research to improve emotion perception skills (see Combs et al., 2006b; Penn and Combs, 2000). Participants were randomly assigned to one of three intervention conditions: 1) attentional-shaping (use of attentional prompts with monetary reinforcement), 2) contingent monetary reinforcement only, or 3) repeated practice. Participants completed the same version of the Face Emotion Identification Test (FEIT; Kerr and Neale, 1993) at four time periods: pre-test, intervention, post-test, and at a one-week follow-up to measure efficacy of the interventions in improving emotion perception. A unique aspect of the current study was the integration of the FEIT into each intervention (see section 2.2. Intervention Conditions and Procedures). We predicted that participants in the attentionalshaping condition would show significantly higher FEIT scores during the intervention, posttest and follow-up phases compared to conditions using monetary reinforcement and repeated practice. Additionally, a second measure of emotion perception (Bell-Lysaker Emotion Recognition Test; Bell et al., 1997) that was not used in the interventions was completed at pre-test and follow-up to examine generalization. To determine if the intervention generalized to social functioning, blinded staff completed the Social Behavior Scale (SBS) at pre-test and follow-up. We predicted that participants in the attentional-shaping condition would show higher emotion perception scores on the BLERT and have higher levels of observer-rated social behaviors. Finally, because there are a number of variables that are important in emotion perception, we collected demographic (gender, ethnicity), pre-morbid social functioning, medication (atypical vs. typical, dosage), diagnostic (paranoid vs. non-paranoid), symptom (thought disorder, negative symptoms), and neuropsychological data (e.g. attention, face and

visual form perception) to examine the role of these variables in the intervention (Addington and Addington, 1998; Brekke et al., 2005; Combs et al., 2006a; Herbener et al., 2005; Kee et al., 1998; Loughland et al., 2002; Scholten et al., 2005).

2.0. Methods

2.1. Participants

Participants comprised sixty persons with schizophrenia who were recruited from an inpatient psychiatric hospital (see Table 1). The sample included more males (n = 39) than females $(n = 21), \chi^2 (N = 60) = 5.4, p < .05$, and more Caucasian-Americans (n = 26) and African Americans (n = 29) than Native Americans (n = 3), Hispanics (n = 1), or Asians $(n = 1), \chi^2 (N = 60) = 67.3$, p < .0001. All participants were required to have a diagnosis of schizophrenia (n = 42) or schizoaffective disorder (n = 18) based on the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV (SCID-I/P; First et al., 2001). Exclusion criteria consisted of a history of brain injury or neurological disease other than schizophrenia, or if they met DSM-IV diagnostic criteria for substance dependence within the last three months based on the SCID-P (to rule-out substance-induced conditions).

2.2. Intervention Conditions and Procedures

Participants were randomly assigned to one of three emotion perception intervention conditions: attentional-shaping, monetary reinforcement only, or repeated practice. The interventions used a computerized version of the FEIT, which was administered at pre-test, intervention, immediate post-test, and at a one-week follow-up for a total of four times (see Penn and Combs, 2000, for a similar procedure). The pre-test, intervention, and post-test occurred in the same session (conditions immediately followed each other with a 2 minute rest period between administrations) and the follow-up occurred one week later. The intervention condition occurred during the second administration of the FEIT and differed as follows. In the attentional-shaping condition, a large cross appeared over the center of the each image from the FEIT (DirectRT software was used to program the time and location of the prompts) to direct attention to the eye and mouth areas of the face. The cross appeared for three seconds and then gradually faded from view. Participants were not told to fixate on the cross when it appeared, thus making the prompt a passive intervention. The three-second prompt time was selected based on research that problems in emotion perception occur early in the perceptual process (Gordon et al., 1992; Swartz et al., 1999; Turetsky et al., 2007). In the shaping condition, if the participant correctly identified the emotional expression, they were reinforced with ten cents that was placed in a cup in front of the participant; incorrect responses were not reinforced (Penn and Combs, 2000). In the monetary reinforcement only condition, each correct response was reinforced in the same manner as the shaping condition, but no attentional prompts were used. The repeated practice condition had no active intervention and served as the control group.

2.3. Measures

2.3.1. Demographic, Diagnostic and Symptom Measures—Demographic data (e.g., age, education, medication type and dosage) was collected using chart information. The SCID-P was used to derive a DSM-IV-TR psychiatric diagnosis. Current severity of psychiatric symptoms for the previous one week period was assessed with the Expanded Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale (BPRS Version 4.0; Lukoff et al., 1986) and we examined the BPRS total score (overall level of symptom severity), thought disorder (positive symptoms) and anergia (negative symptom) subscales score due to their importance in emotion perception (Combs et al., 2007; see Green et al., in press for a discussion of symptoms and social cognition). The SCID and BPRS were administered by a doctoral student in clinical psychology who demonstrated good inter-rater reliability with a criterion-trained rater (Intra-class correlations)

= .80+). To reduce the effects of rater drift and bias, 20% of SCID and BPRS administrations were co-rated by one of the researchers (DC); feedback was provided for discrepant ratings. Level of pre-morbid social competence was measured by using the Zigler-Glick Social Competence Index (Glick et al., 1985) obtained from chart and interview data.

2.3.2. Neuropsychological Measures—Neuropsychological functioning was measured with the Repeatable Battery for the Assessment of Neuropsychological Status (RBANS; Randolph, 1998). For this study, we only report scores from the RBANS Total Score to provide information on global level of cognitive functioning and from the RBANS Attention Index score due to the potential importance in emotion perception (Combs and Gouvier, 2004). The Wechsler Test of Adult Reading (WTAR, Wechsler, 2001) was used to derive an estimate of the person's reading level. The Benton Test of Facial Recognition-short form (TFR; Benton et al., 1994) and the Visual Form Discrimination Task (VFDT; Benton et al., 2000).

2.3.3. Emotion Perception Measures—The Face Emotion Identification Test (FEIT; Kerr and Neale, 1993) served as the primary measure of emotion perception in the study and integrated into the intervention procedures described above. The FEIT is a 19 item presentation of six different emotional states: happiness, sadness, anger, surprise, afraid, and ashamed (each image shown for 15 seconds) and has been widely used in schizophrenia research (Penn et al., 2000). Participants used a large button response box to select the emotional label they felt was correct. The Bell-Lysaker Emotion Recognition Test (BLERT; Bell et al., 1997) served as a measure of generalization and was administered at pre-test and follow-up only. The BLERT is a 21 item videotaped presentation of seven different emotional states: happiness, sadness, anger, fear, disgust, surprise, and no emotion (each image shown for 10 seconds). Participants circled the correct emotional label on an answer sheet. For both the FEIT and BLERT, higher scores reflect better emotion perception abilities.

2.3.4. Social Functioning Measure—Social functioning was assessed using the Social Behavior Scale (SBS; Wykes and Stuart, 1986), which was completed by a minimum of 2 staff members (from a pool of 6 available trained raters) at pre-test and follow-up. The SBS is a semi-structured interview completed by the researcher based on staff observations of 21 social behaviors. The SBS comprised four factors (social mixing, inappropriate behaviors, reduced activity, and personal hygiene; see Penn et al., 1995). For this study, we report data for the social mixing subscale as these items measure the ability to interact appropriately with others and to engage in meaningful social conversation and likely to be related to improved emotion perception (Combs et al., 2007). The social mixing scale contains 6 items which are rated on a scale of 0-4 and lower scores on the SBS indicate better social mixing (Penn et al., 1995). SBS scores were averaged across raters to account for fluctuations in observed behaviors. Both the treatment staff informants and researchers underwent training on the SBS prior to rating participants and showed good levels of inter-rater reliability (ICC's = .70+). To reduce the effect of rater drift and bias, 20% of SBS administrations were co-scored by one of the researchers (DC); feedback was provided for discrepant scores.

2.4. Procedures

A doctoral-level clinical psychology student administered the study protocol, which was approved by the relevant Institutional Review Boards (IRB). Participants with schizophrenia were recruited for the study by referral from treatment staff and admission diagnoses. Following informed consent, participants completed the demographic, clinical, diagnostic, and neuropsychological measures. Upon entry in the study, participants were randomized into one of the three intervention conditions (shaping, monetary reinforcement, or repeated practice). During the intervention, all participants completed the FEIT at pre-test, intervention, and post-

test in a single session and completed the BLERT as well. At the one-week follow-up, participants completed the FEIT and BLERT for the final time. Staff raters completed the SBS at pre-test and follow-up.

3.0. Results

Prior to examining the intervention effects, we conducted a series of one-way ANOVA's on the neuropsychological, social, and clinical symptom scores to determine if the intervention groups differed on these measures at pre-test (see Table 2). Significant differences were treated as covariates in the primary analyses (corrected alpha level = .005 adjusted for number of comparisons). We found no significant differences on any of the measures. Specifically, the groups did not differ on the RBANS Total Score, F(2, 57) = .24, p = .78, $\eta_p^2 = .01$, R-BANS Attention Index score, F(2, 57) = .60, p = .55, $\eta_p^2 = .02$, Wechsler Test of Adult Reading, $F(2, 27) = 2.9, p = .07, \eta_p^2 = .18$, Benton Test of Facial Recognition, F(2, 57) = 1.3, p = .27, $\eta_p^2 = .04$, Benton Visual Form Discrimination Test, F(2, 57) = .95, p = .39, $\eta_p^2 = .03$, Zigler-Glick Pre-Morbid Social Competence index, F(2, 34) = .45, p = .64, $\eta_p^2 = .02$, BPRS total score, F(2, 54) = 2.9, p = .14, $\eta_p^2 = .10$, BPRS thought disorder subscale score, F(2, 56) =1.9, p = .15, $\eta_p^2 = .06$, BPRS anergia subscale score, F(2, 55) = 1.1, p = .32, $\eta_p^2 = .04$, or medication dosage in chlorpromazine equivalents, F(2, 57) = .83, p = .44, $\eta_p^2 = .02$. Furthermore, we did not find any significant differences according to gender, (all t's < 1) or ethnicity (All F's < 1) on the pre-test FEIT, BLERT, or SBS social mixing subscale. Finally, there were no differences according to medication type (atypical vs. typical, all t's < 1) or diagnostic classification (paranoid schizophrenia vs. non-paranoid schizophrenia, all t's < 1) on the pre-test FEIT, BLERT, or SBS social mixing subscale. Thus, the groups appear to be relatively equivalent in terms of cognitive, pre-morbid social functioning, and symptom status before the intervention training.

3.2. Intervention Effects on Emotion Perception and Social Functioning

The primary statistical analyses focused on changes in emotion perception scores (FEIT and BLERT) and social behaviors (SBS social mixing) resulting from the intervention conditions. Thirteen participants (21%) out of 60 did not complete the one-week follow-up test due to being discharged from the hospital. However, there were no differences between those that completed the study and those that were lost at follow-up on the demographic, social, cognitive, or clinical symptom measures (all t's < 1, ns). There were also no differential rates of attrition between the three intervention groups, χ^2 (N = 13) = 2.2, p = .32. To account for attrition, data for the FEIT and BLERT were analyzed using intention-to-treat procedures using last observation carried forward (Montori and Guyatt, 2001)

Intervention efficacy on the FEIT was examined using a mixed-factor ANOVA with Group as the between groups variable (Group: shaping, money, repeated practice) and Time as the within-subjects variable (Time: pre-test, intervention, post-test, and follow-up). Mean scores on the FEIT are presented in Table 3. There was a significant main effect for group, F (2, 57) = 26.7, p = .0001, $\eta_p^2 = .48$ and time, F (3, 171) = 3.5, p = .01, $\eta_p^2 = .06$. The simple effects of time using Sidak's test for post-hoc differences showed that participants in the attentionalshaping condition had a significant increase in FEIT at each time period scores from pre-test levels, F (3, 17) = 12.6, p = .0001, $\eta_p^2 = .69$, and the repeated practice group, F (3, 17) = 7.8, p = .002, $\eta_p^2 = .58$ showed a decrease in scores from pre-test to intervention; there was no change over time for the money condition, F (3,17) = .48, $p = .70 \eta_p^2 = .07$. In addition, a significant Group X Time interaction effect was found, F (6, 171) = 15.3, p = .0001, $\eta_p^2 = .$ 35. To probe the interaction effect, simple effects of group at each time interval were analyzed. There were no significant difference on pre-test FEIT score, F (2, 57) = .05, p = .94, but there were significant differences were found on the FEIT at intervention, F (2, 57) = 52.3, p = .

Combs et al.

0001, post-test, F(2, 57) = 26.7, p = .0001, and follow-up, F(2, 56) = 36.1, p = .0001. In all cases, the attentional-shaping group performed significantly better on the FEIT than both the monetary reinforcement and repeated practice group based on Sidak's test for post-hoc differences.

Intervention generalization to the BLERT was examined using a mixed factor ANOVA with Group as the between groups variable (Group: shaping, money, repeated practice) and Time as the within-subjects variable (Time: pre-test vs. follow-up). There was a significant main effect for group, F(2, 56) = 3.3, p = .04, $\eta_p^2 = .10$, but no effect for time, F(1, 56) = 1.2, p = .26, $\eta_p^2 = .02$. There was a significant Group X Time interaction found, F(2, 56) = 3.2, p = .04, $\eta_p^2 = .10$. The simple effect of group at each time interval showed no differences on the BLERT scores at pre-test, F(2, 56) = .85, p = .42, but there was a significant difference in BLERT scores at one-week follow-up, F(2,56) = 5.8, p = .005. Similar to the FEIT results, persons in the attentional-shaping group showed significantly higher BLERT total scores at follow-up compared to the monetary reinforcement and repeated practice groups based on Sidak's test for post-hoc differences (see Table 3 for BLERT mean scores). Finally, because some emotions on the BLERT, such as disgust and no emotion, were not part of the FEIT, we wanted to see if the interventions improved the recognition of these unique emotions (scores ranged from 0-6 for these emotional expressions). At follow-up, significant differences were found between the groups, F (2, 56) = 6.5, p = .003. The attentional-shaping condition (M =3.7) showed higher scores for than the repeated practice condition, but not the monetary reinforcement condition (M = 3.1) based on Sidak's test for post-hoc differences.

Intervention effects on social behaviors using the SBS social mixing subscale (data was included only if the participant had complete SBS ratings for both time periods; n = 35) was examined using a mixed factor ANOVA with Group as the between group variable (Group: shaping, money, repeated practice) and Time as the within-subjects variable (Time: pre-test vs. follow-up). There was no main effect for group, F(2, 32) = 1.4, p = .22, $\eta_p^2 = .08$ or time, F(1, 32) = .89, p = .35, $\eta_p^2 = .01$. There was a marginally significant trend for a Group X Time interaction, F(2, 32) = 2.7, p = .08, $\eta_p^2 = .14$, with persons in the attention-shaping condition showing better observer-rated social mixing at follow-up, but the difference was not found to be statistically significant (see Table 3 for SBS mean scores).

4.0. Discussion

Researchers have begun to look more closely at methods to improve emotion perception abilities in schizophrenia (Combs et al. 2007; Green et al., in press; Penn et al., 2007). If emotion perception can be reliably improved it may lead to better interpersonal relationships, social competence, and community functioning (Penn et al., 2001). In the present study, we developed a novel intervention that used attentional-shaping procedures to direct attention to the center of the face (eyes, nose, and mouth area) in order to possibly improve emotion perception. The study utilized a randomized controlled design (RCT) to provide data on intervention efficacy. Also, the intervention was theoretically-derived based on deficits in facial scanning found in schizophrenia. All participants underwent a neuropsychological, social, and symptom battery, but we did not find significant differences between the groups on these variables. Consequently, observed differences in emotion perception and social functioning are unlikely due to these confounds. Rather, they seem attributable to the novel treatment.

As expected, the attentional-shaping intervention showed improvements in FEIT scores over time (at intervention, post-test and one-week follow-up) Not only were their improvements over time, but the shaping intervention showed higher scores than both monetary reinforcement and repeated practice at each time interval as well. This finding is especially impressive given that the groups were relatively equivalent at pre-test on the FEIT. The attentional-shaping

condition also led to higher total scores at follow-up on a second measure of emotion perception (BLERT) not used in the intervention. The improvement to unique emotional expressions such as disgust and no emotion on the BLERT was significant, but less impressive and suggests the intervention was stronger for emotions that were part of the training. The BLERT was chosen as a measure of generalization because it contains both visual and auditory cues and is considered a more ecologically valid measure of emotion perception (Bellack et al., 1996). There was no change in FEIT scores over time for the monetary reinforcement condition and the repeated practice scores actually showed a decrease in FEIT scores from pre-test to intervention, although monetary reinforcement has led to improved emotion perception scores in our earlier study (Penn and Combs, 2000). The lack of success for monetary reinforcement alone may be due to a lack of motivating incentives regarding the amount of money offered (10 cents for each correct answer) or perhaps the participants were distracted by the money and not attending to the facial expressions as in the attentional-shaping condition. Based on the results of the study, we speculate that the crucial factor may be drawing attention to salient facial features. Unfortunately, there was less evidence for improved social behaviors as a result of the intervention. The attentional-shaping condition produced a non-significant trend for more appropriate and frequent social interactions at follow-up based on blinded staff observational ratings. Given that the intervention was a one-time treatment it may be unrealistic to expect wide-spread changes in social functioning, but we are encouraged of the effects of this intervention.

The attentional-shaping intervention can be considered a compensatory type of intervention in which the person may be learning a strategy for recognizing emotions rather than restoring their attentional skills as in cognitive remediation (Bellack et al., 1999; Green et al., 2000; Van der Gaag et al., 2002). The possible function of the attentional prompts may be to quickly orient the person where to look and when the prompt fades from view, the person is looking at the most important areas of the face. Thus, the use of attentional prompts may provide the participant with better "data" upon which emotions can be recognized (Green et al., 2001). The repetitive shaping and strengthening of proper face viewing strategies may be why the intervention was successful in improving emotion perception.

As with any new intervention, continued research into refining and strengthening the methods is needed. There are three primary avenues for future research based on limitations of the current study. First, given the lack of clear improvement in social behaviors, it may be possible to increase the strength of the training by having the person complete the attentional-shaping condition several times a week or for longer periods of time. It is important to determine the correct "dose" or intensity of the treatment that may lead to more consistent changes in social functioning (Brekke et al., 2007; Medalia and Richardson, 2005). Currently, we are enhancing the shaping intervention by adding more faces which will allow repeated administration of the intervention. Second, having longer-follow-up periods would provide additional data on the maintenance of the gains, and if, as we suggest, we are teaching the person a strategy for emotion perception the gains should be consistently maintained regardless of time duration. Thirdly, although the treatment is effective in modifying emotion perception, we do not know if there are actually any changes in visual scanning following the use of attentional-shaping. It is important to know if the shaping intervention normalizes visual scanning behavior for emotional expressions or directly activates areas of the brain involved in social cognition (e.g., amygdala, medial pre-frontal, superior temporal, and fusiform gyrus; Pinkham et al., 2003). If successful, the attentional prompt intervention could be easily incorporated into a computerized training program for improving emotion perception, or added to existing treatments to enhance their effectiveness. In closing, we hope that this study will further stimulate methods to enhance emotion perception as this skill impacts many areas of social functioning that are important in helping our clients recover from the effects of schizophrenia.

References

- Addington J, Addington D. Facial affect recognition and information processing in schizophrenia and bipolar disorder. Schizophr. Res 1998;32:171–181. [PubMed: 9720122]
- Addington J, Saeedi H, Addington D. Facial affect recognition: A mediator between cognitive and social functioning in psychosis. Schizophr. Res 2006;85:142–150. [PubMed: 16678388]
- Adolphs R, Gosselin F, Buchanan TW, Tranel D, Schyns P, Damasio AR. A mechanism for impaired fear recognition after amygdale damage. Nature 2005;433:68–72. [PubMed: 15635411]
- American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders, fourth ed. text revision. Washington DC: APA; 2000.
- Bellack AS, Blanchard JJ, Mueser KT. Cue availability and affect perception in schizophrenia. Schizophr. Bull 1996;22:535–544. [PubMed: 8873303]
- Bellack AS, Gold JM, Buchanan RW. Cognitive rehabilitation for schizophrenia: Problems, prospects, and strategies. Schizophr. Bull 1999;25:257–274. [PubMed: 10416730]
- Bell M, Bryson G, Lysaker P. Positive and negative affect recognition in schizophrenia: A comparison with substance abuse and normal control subjects. Psychiatry Res 1997;73:73–82. [PubMed: 9463840]
- Benton, A.; VanAllen, M.; Hamsher, K.; Levin, H. Test of Facial Recognition Manual. Iowa City, IA: Benton Laboratory of Neuropsychology; 1978.
- Brekke JS, Hoe M, Long J, Green MF. How neurocognition and social cognition influence functional change during community-based psychosocial rehabilitation for individuals with schizophrenia. Schizophr. Bull 2007;33:1247–1256. [PubMed: 17255120]
- Brekke JS, Nakagami E, Kee KS, Green MF. Cross-ethnic differences in the perception of emotion in schizophrenia. Schizophr. Res 2005;77:289–298. [PubMed: 15923111]
- Bruce V, Young A. Understanding face recognition. Br. J. of Clin. Psychol 1986;77:305–327.
- Brune M, Abdel-Hamid M, Lehmkamper C, Sonntag C. Mental state attribution, neurocognitive functioning, and psychopathology: What predicts poor social competence in schizophrenia best? Schizophr. Res 2007;92:151–159. [PubMed: 17346931]
- Choi KH, Kwon JH. Social cognition enhancement training for schizophrenia: A preliminary randomized controlled trial. Community Ment Health J 2006;42:177–187. [PubMed: 16732472]
- Combs DR, Adams SD, Penn DL, Roberts DL, Tiegreen JA, Stem P. Social cognition and interaction training for schizophrenia spectrum disorders: Preliminary findings. Schizophr. Res 2007;91:112– 116. [PubMed: 17293083]
- Combs DR, Gouvier WD. The role of attention in affect perception: An examination of Mirsky's four factor model of attention in chronic schizophrenia. Schizophr. Bull 2004;3:727–738. [PubMed: 15954187]
- Combs DR, Michael CO, Penn DL. Paranoia and emotion perception across the continuum. Br. J. of Clin. Psychol 2006a;45:19–31. [PubMed: 16480564]
- Combs DR, Tosheva A, Wanner J, Basso MR. Remediation of emotion perception deficits in schizophrenia: The use of attentional prompts. Schizophr. Res 2006b;87:340–341. [PubMed: 16809024]
- Couture S, Roberts D, Penn DL. The functional significance of social cognition in schizophrenia. Schizophr. Bull 2006;32:S44–S63. [PubMed: 16916889]
- Dalton KM, Nacewicz BM, Alexander AL, Davidson RJ. Gaze-fixation, brain activation, and amygdale volume in unaffected siblings of individuals with autism. Biol. Psychiatry 2007;61:512–520. [PubMed: 17069771]
- Edwards J, Jackson H, Pattison PE. Emotion recognition via facial expression and affective prosody in schizophrenia: A methodological review. Clin. Psychol. Rev 2002;22:789–832. [PubMed: 12214327]
- First, MB.; Spitzer, RL.; Gibbon, M.; Williams, JBW. Structured clinical interview for DSM-IV axis I disorders, patient edition. New York: Biometrics Research Department; 2001.
- Frommann N, Streit M, Wölwer W. Remediation of facial affect recognition in patients with schizophrenia: A new training program. Psychiatry Res 2003;117:281–284. [PubMed: 12686371]

Page 9

- Gaebel W, Wölwer W. Facial expression and emotional face recognition in schizophrenia and depression. European Archives of Psychiatry & Clinical Neuroscience 1992;242:46–52. [PubMed: 1390955]
- Glick M, Zigler E, Zigler B. Developmental correlates of age of first hospitalization in nonschizophrenic psychiatric patients. J Nerv and Ment Dis 1985;173:677–684. [PubMed: 4056782]
- Gordon E, Coyle S, Anderson J, Healy P, Cordaro J, Latimer C, Mears R. Eye movement response to a facial stimulus in schizophrenia. Biol. Psychiat 1992;31:626–629. [PubMed: 1581444]
- Green MF. Cognitive remediation in schizophrenia: Is it time yet? Am J Psychiatry 1993;150:178–187. [PubMed: 8422068]
- Green MF, Kern RS, Braff DL, Mintz J. Neurocognitive deficits and functional outcome in schizophrenia: Are we measuring the "right stuff"? Schizophr. Bull 2000;26(1):119–136. [PubMed: 10755673]
- Green MF, Penn DL, Bentall RP, Carpenter WT, Gaebel W, Gur EC, Kring AM, Park S, Silverstein SM, Heinssen R. Social cognition in schizophrenia: An NIMH workshop on definitions, assessment, and research opportunities. Schizophr. Bull. in press
- Green MJ, Williams LM, Davidson DJ. Processing of threat-related affect is delayed in delusion-prone individuals. Br. J. of Clin. Psychol 2001;40:157–165. [PubMed: 11446237]
- Heinrichs RW. The primacy of cognition in schizophrenia. American Psychologist 2005;60(3):229–242. [PubMed: 15796677]
- Herbener ES, Hill SK, Marvin RW, Sweeney JA. Effects of antipsychotic treatment on emotion perceptions deficits in first-episode schizophrenia. Am J Psychiatry 2005;162:1746–1748. [PubMed: 16135639]
- Hooker C, Park S. Emotion processing and its relationship to social functioning in schizophrenia patients. Psychiatry Res 2002;112:41–50. [PubMed: 12379449]
- Horan WP, Kern RS, Penn DL, Green MF. Social cognition training for individuals with schizophrenia: Emerging evidence. Am J Psychiatr. Rehabil. in press
- Ihnen GH, Penn DL, Corrigan PW, Martin J. Social perception and social skill in schizophrenia. Psychiatry Res 1998;80:275–286. [PubMed: 9796943]
- Kee KS, Green MF, Mintz J, Brekke JS. Is emotion processing a predictor of functional outcome in schizophrenia? Schizophr. Bull 2003;29:487–497. [PubMed: 14609242]
- Kee KS, Kern RS, Green MF. Perception of emotion and neurocognitive functioning in schizophrenia: What's the link? Psychiatry Res 1998;81:57–65. [PubMed: 9829651]
- Kerr SL, Neale JM. Emotion perception in schizophrenia: Specific deficit or further evidence of generalized poor performance? J. Abnorm. Psychol 1993;102:312–318. [PubMed: 8315144]
- Kurtz MM, Moberg PJ, Gur RC, Gur RE. Approaches to cognitive remediation of neuropsychological deficits in schizophrenia: A review and meta-analysis. Neuropsychology Review 2001;11:197–210. [PubMed: 11883669]
- Loughland CM, Williams LM, Gordon E. Visual scan paths to positive and negative facial emotions in an outpatient schizophrenia sample. Schizophr. Res 2002;55:159–170. [PubMed: 11955975]
- Lukoff D, Nuechterlein KH, Ventura J. Manual for the Expanded Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale. Schizophr. Bull 1986;12:594–602.
- Mandal MK, Pandey R, Prasad AB. Facial expressions of emotions and schizophrenia: A review. Schizophr. Bull 1998;24:399–412. [PubMed: 9718632]
- Medalia A, Richardson R. What predicts a good response to cognitive remediation interventions? Schizophr. Bull 2005;31(4):942–953. [PubMed: 16120830]
- Menditto AA, Baldwin LJ, O'Neal LG, Beck NC. Social learning procedures for increasing attention and improving basic skills in severely regressed institutionalized patients. Journal of Behavior Therapy & Experimental Psychiatry 1991;22:265–269. [PubMed: 1823661]
- Montori VM, Guyatt GH. Intention to treat principle. Canadian Medical Association Journal 2001;165:1339. [PubMed: 11760981]
- Morris JP, Pelphrey KA, McCarthy G. Controlled scanpath variation alters fusiform face activation. Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience 2007;2:31–38. [PubMed: 18176625]
- Morrison RL, Bellack AS, Bashore TR. Perception of emotion among schizophrenic patients. Journal of Psychopathology and Behavioral Assessment 1988a;10:319–332.

- Morrison RL, Bellack AS, Mueser KT. Deficits in facial-affect recognition and schizophrenia. Schizophr. Bull 1988b;14:67–83. [PubMed: 3291095]
- Mueser, KT.; Bellack, AS. Social skills and social functioning. In: Mueser, KT.; Tarrier, N., editors. Handbook of social functioning in schizophrenia. Boston: Allyn and Bacon; 1998. p. 79-96.
- Mueser KT, Doonan R, Penn DL, Blanchard JJ, Bellack AS, Nishith P, et al. Emotion recognition and social competence in chronic schizophrenia. J. Abnorm. Psychol 1996;105:271–275. [PubMed: 8723008]
- Penn, DL.; Addington, J.; Pinkham, A. Social cognitive impairments. In: Lieberman, JA.; Stroup, TS.; Perkins, DO., editors. American psychiatric association textbook of schizophrenia. Arlington, VA: American Psychiatric Publishing Press, Inc.; 2006. p. 261-274.
- Penn DL, Combs DR. Modification of affect perception deficits in schizophrenia. Schizophr. Res 2000;46:217–229. [PubMed: 11120434]
- Penn, DL.; Combs, DR.; Mohamed, S. Social cognition and social functioning in schizophrenia. In: Corrigan, PW.; Penn, DL., editors. Social cognition in Schizophrenia. Washington, DC: APA Press; 2001. p. 97-121.
- Penn DL, Combs DR, Ritchie M, Francis J, Cassissi J, Morris S. Emotion recognition in schizophrenia: Further investigation of generalized versus specific deficit models. J. Abnorm. Psychol 2000;109:512–516. [PubMed: 11016120]
- Penn DL, Corrigan PW, Bentall RP, Racenstein JM, Newman L. Social cognition in schizophrenia. Psychol. Bull 1997;121:114–132. [PubMed: 9000894]
- Penn DL, Mueser KT, Doonan R, Nishith P. Relations between social skills and ward behavior in chronic schizophrenia. Schizophr. Res 1995;16:225–232. [PubMed: 7488568]
- Penn DL, Roberts DL, Combs DR, Sterne A. The development of the social cognition and interaction training program for schizophrenia spectrum disorders. Psychiatric Serv 2007;58:449–451.
- Penn DL, Spaulding W, Reed D, Sullivan M. The relationship of social cognition to ward behavior in chronic schizophrenia. Schizophr. Res 1996;20:327–335. [PubMed: 8827860]
- Phillips ML, David AS. Visual scan paths are abnormal in deluded schizophrenics. Neuropsychologia 1997;35:99–105. [PubMed: 8981382]
- Pinkham AE, Penn DL. Neurocognitive and social cognitive predictors of social skill in schizophrenia. Psychiatry Res 2006;143:167–178. [PubMed: 16859754]
- Pinkham AE, Penn DL, Perkins DO, Lieberman J. Implications for the neural basis of social cognition for the study of schizophrenia. Am J Psychiatry 2003;160:815–824. [PubMed: 12727681]
- Randolph, CR. Repeatable Battery for the Assessment of Neuropsychological Status (RBANS). San Antonio, TX: The Psychological Corporation; 1998.
- Russell TA, Chu E, Phillips ML. A pilot study to investigate the effectiveness of emotion recognition remediation in schizophrenia using the micro-expression training tool. Br J Clin Psychol 2006;45:579–584. [PubMed: 17076965]
- Sasson N, Tsuchiya N, Hurley R, Couture SM, Penn DL, Adolphs R, Piven J. Orienting to social stimuli differentiates social cognitive impairment in autism and schizophrenia. Neuropsychologia 2007;45:2580–2588. [PubMed: 17459428]
- Scholten MRM, Aleman A, Montagne B, Kahn RS. Schizophrenia and processing of facial emotions: Sex matters. Schizophr. Res 2005;78:61–67. [PubMed: 16084696]
- Sergi MJ, Rassovsky Y, Nuechterlein KH, Green MF. Social perception as a mediator of influence of early visual processing on functional status in schizophrenia. Am J Psychiatry 2006;163:448–454. [PubMed: 16513866]
- Silver H, Goodman C, Knoll G, Isakov V. Brief emotion training improves recognition of facial emotions in chronic schizophrenia: A pilot study. Psychiatry Res 2004;128:147–154. [PubMed: 15488957]
- Silverstein SM, Hatashita-Wong M, Solak B, Uhlhaas P, Landa Y, Wilkniss SM, Goicochea C, Carpiniello K, Schenkel LS, Savitz A, Smith TE. Effectiveness of a two-phase cognitive rehabilitation intervention for severely impaired schizophrenia patients. Psychol. Med 2005;35:829–837. [PubMed: 15997603]
- Silverstein SM, Menditto AA, Stuve P. Shaping attention span: An operant conditioning procedure to improve neurocognition and functioning in schizophrenia. Schizophr. Bull 2001;27:247–257. [PubMed: 11354592]

- Silverstein SM, Spaulding WD, Menditto AA, Savitz A, Liberman RP, Berten S, Starobin H. Attention shaping: A reward-based learning method to enhance skills training outcomes in schizophrenia. Schizophr Bull. in press
- Spaulding WD, Reed D, Sullivan M, Richardson C, Weiler M. Effects of cognitive treatment in psychiatric rehabilitation. Schizophr. Bull 1999;25:657–676. [PubMed: 10667738]
- Streit M, Wölwer W, Gaebel W. Facial-affect recognition and visual scanning behaviour in the course of schizophrenia. Schizophr. Res 1997;24:311–317. [PubMed: 9134591]
- Swartz BL, Rosse RB, Johri S, Deutsch SI. Visual scanning of facial expressions in schizophrenia. J. Neuropsych. Clin. N 1999;11:103–106.
- Turetsky BI, Kohler CG, Indersmitten T, Batí MT, Charbonnier D, Gur RC. Emotion recognition in schizophrenia: When and why does it go awry? Schizophr. Res 2007;94:253–263. [PubMed: 17583481]
- Twamley EE, Jeste DV, Bellack AS. A review of cognitive training in schizophrenia. Schizophr. Bull 2003;29:359–382. [PubMed: 14552510]
- Van der Gaag, Kern RS, van den Bosch RJ, Liberman RP. A controlled trial of cognitive remediation in schizophrenia. Schizophr.Bull 2002;28(1):167–176. [PubMed: 12047016]
- Wechsler, D. Wechsler Test of Adult Reading manual. San Antonio, TX: The Psychological Corporation; 2001.
- Williams LM, Loughland CM, Green MJ, Harris AWF, Gordon E. Emotion perception in schizophrenia: An eye movement study comparing the effectiveness of risperidone vs. haloperidol. Psychiatry Res 2003;120:13–27. [PubMed: 14500110]
- Wölwer W, Frommann N, Halfmann S, Piaszek A, Streti M, Gaebel W. Remediation of impairments in facial affect recognition in schizophrenia: Efficacy and specificity of a new training program. Schizophr. Res 2005;80:295–303. [PubMed: 16125367]
- Woods SW. Chlorpromazine equivalent doses for the newer atypical antipsychotics. J Clin Psychiatry 2003;64:663–667. [PubMed: 12823080]
- Wykes T, Sturt E. The measurement of social behavior in psychiatric patients: An assessment of reliability and validity of the SBS schedule. Br. J. Psychiatry 1986;148:1–11. [PubMed: 3082403]

Table 1

Summary of Participant Demographics

Variable	Mean (SD)
V	60
Age (years)	38.7 (13.7)
Educational level (years)	12.1 (2.7)
% Male	65%
% White	43%
% Schizophrenia	70%
Length of illness (years)	14.6 (12.4)
% On Atypical Antipsychotics	88%
CPZ equivalents (mg/day)	646.2 (435.0)
BPRS Total Score (range 24–168)	54.7 (12.5)
Affect (range 5–35)	10.1 (3.8)
Anergia (range 4–28)	8.5 (3.4)
Disorganization (range 3-21)	5.2 (1.6)
Thought Disorder (range 4–28)	15.7 (5.4)

Note. CPZ = Chlorpromazine Equivalents (see Woods, 2003); BPRS = Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale

Table 2

Summary of Cognitive, Social, and Clinical Scores by Intervention Condition

Variable	Shaping	Money	Repeated
Wechsler Test of Adult Reading (0-50)	26.9 (11.5)	31.6 (8.5)	17.8 (13.3)
RBANS Total Score ^a	67.9 (12.4)	65.4 (14.6)	65.1 (14.0)
RBANS Attention Index ^a	77.0 (18.3)	71.1 (20.0)	70.9 (20.7)
Test of Facial Recognition (0-27)	21.2 (3.0)	20.6 (2.5)	19.8 (2.6)
Visual Form Discrimination Test (0-32)	23.2 (7.4)	23.4 (7.7)	26.0 (5.9)
Pre-Morbid Social Competence (0-10)	3.4 (2.0)	3.2 (2.0)	2.5 (2.2)
BPRS Total Score (24–168)	58.7 (14.4)	49.6 (10.4)	56.2 (11.1)
BPRS Anergia (4-21)	9.1 (4.0)	7.6 (2.5)	9.0 (3.6)
BPRS Thought Disorder (4-28)	17.7 (5.4)	14.9 (5.2)	14.8 (4.9)
CPZ equivalents (mg/day)	697.9 (445.2)	543.5 (314.4)	697.2 (524.5

Note. RBANS= Repeatable Battery for the Assessment of Neuropsychological Status; BPRS = Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale; CPZ = Chlorpromazine Equivalents (see Woods, 2003)

^{*a*}RBANS scores are standard scores (M = 100, SD = 10)

Table 3	
Intervention Effects on Emotion Perception and Social Behavior	

Variable	Shaping	Money	Repeated
N	20	20	20
FEIT Pre-Test (0–19)	9.2 (3.4)	9.0 (4.1)	9.4 (1.9)
FEIT Intervention (0–19)	13.7 (1.4) ^{<i>a</i>,<i>b</i>}	8.9 (2.2)	6.7 (2.6) ^b
FEIT Post-Test (0–19)	13.5 (1.7) <i>a,b</i>	8.8 (2.6)	8.4 (2.7)
FEIT Follow-up (0–19)	13.7 (2.3) <i>a,b</i>	8.2 (2.4)	8.5 (2.0)
BLERT Pre-Test (0-21)	11.9 (4.1)	10.2 (3.4)	9.6 (4.6)
BLERT Follow-up (0–21)	13.3 (3.6) ^{<i>a</i>}	10.0 (3.7)	9.3 (4.2)
SBS Social Mixing Pre-Test (0–24)	3.5 (2.4)	2.7 (2.7)	3.2 (2.2)
SBS Social Mixing Follow-up (0–24)	1.3 (1.0)	2.8 (1.8)	3.9 (2.4)

Note. FEIT = Face Emotion Identification Task; BLERT= Bell-Lysaker Emotion Recognition Test; SBS = Social Behavior Scale

^a significant post-hoc difference at p < .05 from money and repeated practice condition at same time interval (Group X Time interaction effect)

 $b_{\rm significant}$ post-hoc difference from pre-test FEIT score at p <.05 (time effect)