



NIH PUBLIC ACCESS

Author Manuscript

Scand J Work Environ Health. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2014 January 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Scand J Work Environ Health. 2013 January ; 39(1): 112–119. doi:10.5271/sjweh.3294.

The Effect of Job Strain on Nighttime Blood Pressure Dipping among Men and Women with High Blood Pressure

Lin-bo Fan, M.D.^{a,b}, James A. Blumenthal, Ph.D.^a, Alan L. Hinderliter, M.D.^c, and Andrew Sherwood, Ph.D.^a

^aDepartment of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC 27710, USA

^bDepartment of Occupational and Environmental Health, School of Public Health, Kunming Medical University, People's Republic of China

^cDepartment of Medicine, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, NC 27599, USA12

Abstract

Objectives—Blunted nighttime blood pressure dipping is an established cardiovascular risk factor. This study examined the effect of job strain on nighttime blood pressure dipping among men and women with high blood pressure.

Methods—The sample consisted of 122 blue collar and white collar workers (men=72, women=50). Job psychological demands, job control and social support were measured by the Job Content Questionnaire. Job strain was assessed by the ratio of job demands/job control. Nighttime blood pressure dipping was evaluated from 24-hour ambulatory blood pressure monitoring performed on three workdays.

Results—Men with high job strain had a 5.4 mm Hg higher sleep systolic blood pressure ($P=0.03$) and 3.5 mm Hg higher sleep pulse pressure ($P=0.02$) compared to men with low job strain. Men with high job strain had a smaller fall in systolic blood pressure and pulse pressure from awake to sleep than those with low job strain ($P<0.05$). Hierarchical analyses showed that job strain was an independent determinant of systolic blood pressure dipping ($P=0.03$) among men after adjusting for ethnicity, body mass index, anxiety and depression symptoms, current smoking status, and alcohol consumption. Further exploratory analyses indicated that job control was the salient component of job strain associated with blood pressure dipping ($p=.03$).

Conclusions—High job strain is associated with a blunting of the normal diurnal variation in blood pressure and pulse pressure, which may contribute to the relationship between job strain and cardiovascular disease.

Key terms

ambulatory blood pressure; diurnal variation; job strain; pulse pressure

Introduction

Growing evidence indicates that job strain is an independent risk factor for hypertension (1–4). In terms of the etiology of hypertension, job strain is conceived as a chronic stressor that contributes to a progressive rise in blood pressure (BP). Using ambulatory blood pressure monitoring (ABPM), employees with high job strain have been observed to have higher BP

at home and during sleep, as well as at work(5–10). The relationship of work stress and high ambulatory blood pressure (ABP) has been documented utilizing the demand-control model or effort-reward imbalance model, which are the two contemporary approaches to assessing work related stress(11). Job strain was associated with elevated BP during sleep, implying the possibility that job strain may impact the circadian rhythm of BP (5). A blunting of the normal diurnal BP variation, or “non-dipping” of nighttime BP, is a risk factor for cardiovascular disease. A number of studies have reported that hypertensive patients with a non-dipping BP pattern (<10% nighttime BP fall) show more end-organ damage and higher cardiovascular morbidity and mortality than those who show normal BP dipping (10% nighttime BP fall)(12, 13). However, there are few studies that have explored the relationship between job strain and blunted nighttime BP dipping (14, 15). The present study was designed to evaluate the impact of job strain on nighttime BP dipping in a study sample of untreated men and women with high normal or mildly elevated BP. In addition, gender was examined as a potential moderator of the effects of job strain on nighttime BP dipping because previous studies have shown that job strain tends to elevate BP in men rather than women (1, 16–18).

Methods

Study design and participants

This report provides a secondary analysis of a study designed to explore the causes and consequences of nighttime BP dipping. Participants were recruited from newspaper, television advertisements, and posting of study brochures in hypertension clinics and primary health care offices in the hospitals of piedmont region of North Carolina. Advertisements and brochures sought volunteers with high blood pressure to participate in a research study of 24-hour ABPM. No information about the plan to evaluate job strain was mentioned prior to enrollment. Volunteers were eligible to participate if they were between 30 and 60 years old, had a regular job, had a systolic blood pressure (SBP) level between 130 and 159 mm Hg and diastolic blood pressure (DBP) level between 85 and 99 mm Hg (which includes the JNC 7 criteria (19) for Stage 1 hypertension and the upper half of the Pre-hypertension range). Subjects were excluded if they had a body mass index (BMI) higher than 35 kg/m², had a history of antihypertensive medication usage, drug abuse in the previous 12 months, diabetes mellitus, or coronary heart disease. The process of participant selection included three clinic BP measurements and health history screening.

Of 598 people screened, 129 people met the BP eligibility criteria based on the average of 3 clinic BP readings and physical examination; 7 participants were excluded due to incomplete 24-hour ABP assessments. The remaining 122 subjects (72 men, 50 women) were included in the present analyses. Participants' occupations ranged from white collar to blue collar including professor, manager, educational consultant, educational analyst, teacher, physician, nurse, director, inspector, statistician, financial advisor, social worker, business owner, server/waitress, drivers, sales, cook/dish washer, housekeeping, and courier. Among all subjects there were 8 rotating shift workers (Workday: day shifts; Weekend: night shifts) and 3 night-shift workers. The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board at Duke University Medical Center. All eligible individuals provided written informed consent prior to participation in the study.

Job strain measurement

Job strain was measured by the Job Content questionnaire derived from the demand/control model (20). Participants completed this questionnaire after they had met all eligibility criteria and had been enrolled into the study. This 49-item scale yields measures of job psychological demands, job control (or decision latitude) and job-related social support. Job

demands were measured by five items including work fast, work hard, excessive work, time constraints, and conflicting demands. Job control was defined as the sum of two subscales given equal weight: skill discretion measured by six items (learning new things, creativity requirement, skill development, skill requirement, task variety, repetition) and decision authority measured by three items (freedom to make decisions, choice of ways to perform work, influence over job requirements). Social support consisted of supervisor support and coworker support. All questions were scored on a 4-point Likert scale, and job psychological demands and job control were constructed to have a range of 12 to 48. Job strain was evaluated by the ratio of job psychological demands divided by job control(21). A ratio over 1.00 was regarded as being high job strain, and a ratio less than or equal to 1.00 was regarded as low job strain.

24-Hour ambulatory blood pressure monitoring

24-Hour ABPM was conducted on three separate occasions during regular weekdays with an interval of one week between monitoring sessions. The Suntech Oscar II (Suntech Medical Inc, Raleigh, NC) was utilized and programmed to record ABP every 20-min during waking hours and every 30-min during the sleep-period. The average awake BP and average sleep BP in each ABPM occasion was computed through waking and sleep times defined by self-report and confirmed by actigraphy (see below).

Physical Activity

Wrist actigraphy (Mini-Mitter Actiwatch 64) was worn during the same 24-hour ABPM period and used to derive total wake time, total sleep time, time in bed, and assess daytime physical activity(22). The actigraph recorded cumulative activity on a minute-by-minute basis. Waking physical activity was derived as the mean physical activity per minute (in arbitrary units) multiplied by the total number of waking minutes during the day.

Related Psychosocial Factors Measurements

Depressive symptoms were assessed by the Beck Depression Inventory (BDI-II), which is a 21-item self-report scale(23). BDI-II depressive symptoms score can range from 0 to 63.

Trait anxiety was evaluated by the Spielberger Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI). This scale includes 20 self-report items (24). Trait anxiety score can range from 20 to 80.

Sample demographics

Participants' demographic information was collected through self-report questionnaires including age, gender, marital status, ethnicity, occupation, work schedule, work time, educational level, recent one year personal income, smoking status, alcohol consumption, and family history.

Statistical analyses

BDI-II scores and Trait anxiety scores were trimmed at the 95th percentile in order to prevent excessive influence of outliers. Student's t-tests and Chi-square tests were used to compare the characteristics among subgroups defined by job strain and gender, among subgroups defined by two psychological dimensions and gender. Paired t-tests were used to test for within-group differences between awake and sleep averages of BP and pulse pressure (PP). In our study, diurnal variation in BP was evaluated by: (1) BP dipping defined as the difference between mean awake and mean sleep BPs; (2) PP dipping defined as the difference between mean awake and mean sleep PPs. Analysis of covariance(ANCOVA) was used to assess differences between awake and sleep averages of BP and PP among subgroups by two psychosocial dimensions and gender, and among subgroups by job strain

and gender; awake SBP, awake DBP, BMI, physical activity, shift work, BDI-II score and trait anxiety score were included as covariates, where appropriate. Hierarchical regression analyses were used to evaluate the association between diurnal SBP and job strain, and explore the association between diurnal SBP and job strain's component effects (job demands and job control), while accounting for ethnicity, BMI, BDI-II score, trait anxiety score, current smoking status, and alcohol consumption. The association of the job strain component dimensions of job demands and job control with participant characteristics and ABP were explored by contrasting these component scores in terms of tertiles. Data were analyzed by using SAS 9.2 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA) with significance set at $P < 0.05$.

Results

The psychosocial characteristics of subgroups by job strain and gender are shown in Table 1. Twenty participants (11 men and 9 women) were classified as high job strain; 61 men and 41 women had low job strain. The high job strain group was not significantly different from the low job strain group in screening BP, BMI, education level, the proportion of stage 1 hypertension, shift worker, ethnicity, income, current smoking status, and alcohol consumption. However, men with high job strain were younger [39 (SD 7.8) yrs vs. 46 (SD 8.3) yrs, $P = 0.02$], were less likely to be married (27.3% vs. 72.1%, $P = 0.01$), and had higher BDI-II and trait anxiety scores ($p < .01$) compared to men with low job strain. Both men and women with high job strain were characterized not only by higher job demands and lower job control, but also by lower coworker support and supervisor support compared to those with low job strain. Among tertile groups of job demands, men with high job demands were younger, and had higher BDI-II scores and trait anxiety scores compared to men with low job demands ($P < 0.05$). No difference of participants' characteristics was found among tertile groups of job control in men.

The average awake and sleep BP and PP of the high and low job strain groups are shown in Table 2. As expected, BP and PP during sleep were lower than that BP and PP during waking hours ($P < 0.01$), with the exception of high job strain men in which the PP during sleep was not different from PP during the day ($P = 0.87$). There was a significant job strain by gender interaction for SBP dipping ($P = 0.01$). Post-hoc analysis of covariance revealed that men with high job strain had a 5.4 mm Hg higher sleep SBP ($P = 0.03$) and 3.5 mm Hg higher sleep PP ($P = 0.02$) compared to men with low job strain, after controlling for covariates. Men with high job strain showed a smaller fall in SBP ($P = 0.03$) and PP ($P = 0.04$) from awake to sleep compared to those men with low job strain. No difference was found between the high and low job strain women.

The association of diurnal variation in BP with tertile groups of job demands, and job control among men is shown in Table 3. As predicted, the diurnal variations of SBP, DBP and PP from day to sleep were related ($P < 0.01$), with the exception of the high job demands group and low job control group, in which the PP during sleep was not different from PP during the day ($P > 0.05$). An association between job control and diurnal variation in BP was found after controlling for covariates. Men with low job control had a 5.2 mm Hg higher sleep SBP ($P < 0.01$) and a 2.8 mm Hg higher sleep PP ($P < 0.01$) compared to men with high job control. Men with low job control showed a smaller drop in SBP (14.8 mm Hg vs. 20.1 mm Hg, $P < 0.01$) and PP (1.2 mm Hg vs. 3.8 mm Hg, $P = 0.01$) from awake to sleep compared to men with high job control. No difference was found among tertile groups of job demands and job control in women.

Hierarchical regression analyses showed that job strain was a significant and independent determinant of SBP dipping among men (Table 4). Men with high job strain had a 5.5 mm Hg ($P = 0.03$) smaller day/night fall in SBP compared to those with low job strain. Additional

hierarchical regression analyses were conducted to explore the contribution of job strain's component factors, job control and job demands (Table 5). After controlling for relevant covariates associated with SBP dipping (Step 1), job control showed a significant and positive association with SBP dipping in the second step. However, when in a third step, job strain was added to the model, neither job control nor job strain was significantly related to SBP dipping; this effect is likely a consequence of the overlapping characteristics of job strain and job control.

Discussion

The average difference between waking and sleeping SBP or DBP is 10 to 20 percent among the normotensive population (25). Several studies (12, 13, 26, 27) have shown that blunted nighttime BP dipping, or non-dipping, is associated with end-organ damage, increased risk of future cardiovascular events and higher cardiovascular morbidity and mortality. One recent Belgian study suggests that job strain is an independent risk factor for non-dipping (14). Our study supports this possibility by demonstrating that men with high job strain had significantly blunted SBP and PP dipping compared to men with low job strain. We also found that circadian PP was attenuated among men characterized by high job strain. This observation appeared to reflect the impact of job strain on elevated SBP during sleep rather than during daytime hours. A similar observation is evident in a longitudinal study (7) in which job strain was related to elevations in SBP during the day as well as during sleep. To our knowledge, no previous study has evaluated the association of job strain with diurnal variation in PP. However, it is of interest that the diurnal variations in SBP and DBP reported in a previous longitudinal study, suggest that PP during sleep may have been more similar to PP during the day among participants who were classified by high job strain compared to those classified by low job strain (7). The disappearance of circadian PP implies that individuals with high job strain maintain higher ambulatory PP during the night compared to those with low job strain. Increased 24-hour PP is also associated with end-organ damage (28, 29).

While our primary analyses showed that job strain was an independent determinant of SBP dipping among men, exploratory analyses of job strain's component effects (job demands and job control) showed that job control may have largely accounted for our findings for job strain. A negative association between job control and nighttime SBP was found in our study. Some previous studies also have found an association of job control with SBP by ABPM (10, 30, 31). Our study further demonstrated that men with low job control had significantly blunted SBP and PP dipping compared to men with high job control, which is consistent with the effect of job strain on nighttime BP. This finding reflects job control being an important component of the job demand-control model (32), supporting the possibility that improving employee's job control may mitigate health problems caused by job stress (33). It is worth noting that circadian PP was attenuated among men characterized by high job demands, and low job control, in addition to high job strain. This observation suggests the possibility that circadian PP may be informative in other studies utilizing ABPM to explore the impact of job strain on BP.

The relationship between job strain and nighttime BP dipping was possibly due to the effect of job strain on sleep duration (34) and sleep quality (35). In a two-year Japanese prospective study, high job strain was independently associated with insomnia (OR 1.55; 95% CI 1.12–2.15)(36). At follow-up, among those who were not insomniacs at the baseline, high job strain at baseline was associated with insomnia at follow-up (OR 1.72; 95% CI 1.06–2.79)(37). This biobehavioral mechanism is consistent with the evidence of a relationship between poor sleep quality and BP non-dipping (38).

Our study found that job strain was associated with blunted SBP dipping in men, but not in women. These gender differences are consistent with the results from previous studies (1, 16–18) that have shown job strain tends to be associated with elevated BP in men rather than women. Among women, however, other studies have shown that there is an association between domestic stress and BP (39, 40), and higher home stress is also related to elevated sleep BP (41). It is worth noting that exposure to both job stress and domestic stress has a greater effect on women's BP than the exposure to either one of them alone (42, 43). These findings suggest the possibility that work-family conflict or domestic stress may be related to women's diurnal variation in BP. Further studies are needed to confirm this association.

In our study, both men and women participants with high job strain showed lower supervisor support and coworker support than those with low job strain. A study from Columbia University showed that low social support was associated with non-dipping (44). Increased perceived social support, in another study, was related to the magnitude of SBP and DBP dipping (45). These findings, therefore, raise the possibility that improving social support may be an effective intervention to promote normal diurnal variation in BP.

Our study showed that high job strain was related to elevated BP only during sleep, which is not consistent with previous studies that explored the effects of job strain on 24-hour ABP. There are several possible explanations for this finding, which may reflect limitations in our study design. Participants in our study were from a wide range of occupations and it has been shown previously that postural differences across occupations may have a significant influence on work BP (39, 46). Also, our study sample was relatively small and comprised of men and women selected for their high clinic blood pressure, and participants characterized by high job strain were relatively few, which may have limited our ability to detect an association between workday BP and job strain characteristics. Our findings may not generalize to populations characterized by optimal blood pressure. It is also possible that BP during sleep better reflects the effects of job strain on BP by minimizing the potentially confounding environmental influence. In addition to the limitations inherent in the cross-sectional design of our study, including the preclusion of cause-effect inferences, selection bias might have been introduced by the self-selection of participants. As shown in Table 1, men with high job strain had higher depressive symptoms scores and trait anxiety scores compared to men with low job strain. These psychological characteristics may also contribute to blunted nighttime BP dipping (14), and/or be a further manifestation of job strain (47). Importantly, however, in our study, the association of job strain with blunted nighttime BP dipping remained significant even after controlling for these psychological factors.

In summary, our study demonstrated that men, but not women, with high job strain had a significantly blunted SBP dipping and blunted PP dipping compared to men with low job strain. For men, the association between job strain and diurnal variation in SBP and in PP may be a mechanism contributing to the observed relationship between job strain and increased risk of cardiovascular disease. These findings require replication in other designs and settings to establish their validity. Our findings suggest the possibility that modifying the work environment may help protect against the development of cardiovascular disease and preventive efforts should be directed not only at the individual but also at the work setting.

Acknowledgments

We thank Julie Bower, Amy Franklin, and Angela Kirby for their work in supporting the conduct of this study. This study was supported by Grant HL072390 from the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, and grant M01-RR -30 from the General Clinical Research Center program, National Center for Research Resources, National Institutes of Health.

References

1. Gerin W, James GD. Psychosocial determinants of hypertension: laboratory and field models. *Blood Press Monit.* 2010; 15:93–9. [PubMed: 20220517]
2. Van Vegchel N, De Jonge J, Bosma H, Schaufeli W. Reviewing the effort-reward imbalance model: drawing up the balance of 45 empirical studies. *Soc Sci Med.* 2005; 60:1117–31. [PubMed: 15589679]
3. Kawakami N, Haratani T. Epidemiology of job stress and health in Japan: Review of current evidence and future direction. *Ind Health.* 1999; 37:174–86. [PubMed: 10319566]
4. Pickering TG, Devereux RB, James GD, Gerin W, Landsbergis P, Schnall PL, et al. Environmental influences on blood pressure and the role of job strain. *J Hypertens Suppl.* 1996; 14:S179–85. [PubMed: 9120676]
5. Van Egeren LF. The relationship between job strain and blood pressure at work, at home, and during sleep. *Psychosom Med.* 1992; 54:337–43. [PubMed: 1620809]
6. Schnall PL, Schwartz JE, Landsbergis PA, Warren K, Pickering TG. Relation between job strain, alcohol, and ambulatory blood-pressure. *Hypertension.* 1992; 19:488–94. [PubMed: 1568768]
7. Schnall PL, Schwartz JE, Landsbergis PA, Warren K, Pickering TG. A longitudinal study of job strain and ambulatory blood pressure: results from a three-year follow-up. *Psychosom Med.* 1998; 60:697–706. [PubMed: 9847028]
8. Vrijkotte TG, Van Doornen LJ, De Geus EJ. Effects of work stress on ambulatory blood pressure, heart rate, and heart rate variability. *Hypertension.* 2000; 35:880–6. [PubMed: 10775555]
9. Landsbergis PA, Schnall PL, Pickering TG, Warren K, Schwartz JE. Life-course exposure to job strain and ambulatory blood pressure in men. *Am J Epidemiol.* 2003; 157:998–1006. [PubMed: 12777363]
10. Clays E, Leynen F, De Bacquer D, Kornitzer M, Kittel F, Karasek R, et al. High job strain and ambulatory blood pressure in middle-aged men and women from the Belgian Job Stress Study. *J Occup Environ Med.* 2007; 49:360–7. [PubMed: 17426519]
11. De Jonge J, Bosma H, Peter R, Siegrist J. Job strain, effort-reward imbalance and employee well-being: a large-scale cross-sectional study. *Soc Sci Med.* 2000; 50:1317–27. [PubMed: 10728851]
12. Verdecchia P, Angeli F, Sardone M, Borgioni C, Garofoli M, Reboldi G. Is the definition of daytime and nighttime blood pressure prognostically relevant? *Blood Press Monit.* 2008; 13:153–5. [PubMed: 18496291]
13. Pierdomenico SD, Lapenna D, Cucurullo F. Risk of atrial fibrillation in dipper and nondipper sustained hypertensive patients. *Blood Press Monit.* 2008; 13:193–7. [PubMed: 18635973]
14. Clays E, Van Herck K, De Buyzere M, Kornitzer M, Kittel F, De Backer G, et al. Behavioural and psychosocial correlates of nondipping blood pressure pattern among middle-aged men and women at work. *J Hum Hypertens.* 2011 Epub 2011 May 5.
15. Sjolín-Israelsson BA, Enstrom IE. The impact of work on the night blood pressure dipping profile. *Blood Press.* 2007; 16:45–9. [PubMed: 17453751]
16. Light KC, Turner JR, Hinderliter AL. Job strain and ambulatory work blood pressure in healthy young men and women. *Hypertension.* 1992; 20:214–8. [PubMed: 1639463]
17. Cesana G, Sega R, Ferrario M, Chiodini P, Corrao G, Mancia G. Job strain and blood pressure in employed men and women: A pooled analysis of four northern Italian population samples. *Psychosom Med.* 2003; 65:558–63. [PubMed: 12883105]
18. Peter R, Alfredsson L, Hammar N, Siegrist J, Theorell T, Westerholm P. High effort, low reward, and cardiovascular risk factors in employed Swedish men and women: baseline results from the WOLF Study. *J Epidemiol Community Health.* 1998; 52:540–7. [PubMed: 10320854]
19. Chobanian AV, Bakris GL, Black HR, Cushman WC, Green LA, Izzo JLJ, et al. Seventh report of the Joint National Committee on Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Pressure. *Hypertension.* 2003; 42:1206–52. [PubMed: 14656957]
20. Karasek, R.; Pieper, C.; Schwartz, J.; Fry, L.; Schrier, D. Job Content Instrument Questionnaire and Users Guide. New York: Columbia Univ Job/Heart Project; 1985.

21. Landsbergis PA, Schnall PL, Warren K, Pickering TG, Schwartz JE. Association between ambulatory blood pressure and alternative formulations of job strain. *Scand J Work Environ Health*. 1994; 20:349–63. [PubMed: 7863299]
22. Kario K, Schwartz JE, Pickering TG. Ambulatory physical activity as a determinant of diurnal blood pressure variation. *Hypertension*. 1999; 34:685–91. [PubMed: 10523347]
23. Beck AT, Steer RA, Carbin MG. Psychometric properties of the Beck Depression Inventory: Twenty-five years of evaluation. *Clin Psychol Rev*. 1988; 8:77–100.
24. Spielberger, CD. *Manual for the state-trait anxiety inventory*. Palo Alto, CA: Consulting Psychologist Press; 1983.
25. Pickering TG, Shimbo D, Haas D. Ambulatory blood-pressure monitoring. *N Engl J Med*. 2006; 354:2368–74. [PubMed: 16738273]
26. Tsigvoulis G, Vemmos KN, Zakopoulos N, Spengos K, Manios E, Sofia V, et al. Association of blunted nocturnal blood pressure dip with intracerebral hemorrhage. *Blood Press Monit*. 2005; 10:189–95. [PubMed: 16077264]
27. Fagard RH, Celis H, Thijs L, Staessen JA, Clement DL, De Buyzere ML, et al. Daytime and nighttime blood pressure as predictors of death and cause-specific cardiovascular events in hypertension. *Hypertension*. 2008; 51:55–61. [PubMed: 18039980]
28. Mancia G, Giannattasio C, Failla M, Sega R, Parati G. Systolic blood pressure and pulse pressure: role of 24-h mean values and variability in the determination of organ damage. *J Hypertens Suppl*. 1999; 17:S55–61. [PubMed: 10706328]
29. Muxfeldt ES, Salles GF. Pulse pressure or dipping pattern: which one is a better cardiovascular risk marker in resistant hypertension? *J Hypertens*. 2008; 26:878–84. [PubMed: 18398329]
30. Steptoe A, Willemsen G. The influence of low job control on ambulatory blood pressure and perceived stress over the working day in men and women from the Whitehall II cohort. *J Hypertens*. 2004; 22:915–20. [PubMed: 15097230]
31. Melamed S, Kristal-Boneh E, Harari G, Froom P, Ribak J. Variation in the ambulatory blood pressure response to daily work load—the moderating role of job control. *Scand J Work Environ Health*. 1998; 24:190–6. [PubMed: 9710371]
32. Karasek, RA.; Theorell, T. *Healthy work: stress, productivity, and the reconstruction of working life*. New York: Basic Books; 1990.
33. Bond FW, Bunce D. Job control mediates change in a work reorganization intervention for stress reduction. *J Occup Health Psychol*. 2001; 6:290–302. [PubMed: 11605824]
34. Utsugi M, Saijo Y, Yoshioka E, Horikawa N, Sato T, Gong Y, et al. Relationships of occupational stress to insomnia and short sleep in Japanese workers. *Sleep*. 2005; 28:728–35. [PubMed: 16477960]
35. Nomura K, Nakao M, Takeuchi T, Yano E. Associations of insomnia with job strain, control, and support among male Japanese workers. *Sleep Med*. 2009; 10:626–9. [PubMed: 18974022]
36. Ota A, Masue T, Yasuda N, Tsutsumi A, Mino Y, Ohara H. Association between psychosocial job characteristics and insomnia: an investigation using two relevant job stress models—the demand-control-support (DCS) model and the effort-reward imbalance (ERI) model. *Sleep Med*. 2005; 6:353–8. [PubMed: 15978518]
37. Ota A, Masue T, Yasuda N, Tsutsumi A, Mino Y, Ohara H, et al. Psychosocial job characteristics and insomnia: a prospective cohort study using the Demand-Control-Support (DCS) and Effort-Reward Imbalance (ERI) job stress models. *Sleep Med*. 2009; 10:1112–7. [PubMed: 19464233]
38. Loreda JS, Nelesen R, Ancoli-Israel S, Dimsdale JE. Sleep quality and blood pressure dipping in normal adults. *Sleep*. 2004; 27:1097–103. [PubMed: 15532203]
39. James GD, Pickering TG. The influence of behavioral factors on the daily variation of blood pressure. *Am J Hypertens*. 1993; 6:170S–3S. [PubMed: 8347312]
40. Thurston RC, Sherwood A, Matthews KA, Blumenthal JA. Household responsibilities, income, and ambulatory blood pressure among working men and women. *Psychosom Med*. 2011; 73:200–5. [PubMed: 21217097]
41. Kario K, James GD, Marion R, Ahmed M, Pickering TG. The influence of work-and home-related stress on the levels and diurnal variation of ambulatory blood pressure and neurohumoral factors in employed women. *Hypertens Res*. 2002; 25:499–506. [PubMed: 12358133]

42. Brisson C, Laflamme N, Moisan J, Milot A, Masse B, Vezina M. Effect of family responsibilities and job strain on ambulatory blood pressure among white-collar women. *Psychosom Med.* 1999; 61:205–13. [PubMed: 10204974]
43. Xu L, Siegrist J, Cao W, Li L, Tomlinson B, Chan J. Measuring job stress and family stress in Chinese working women: a validation study focusing on blood pressure and psychosomatic symptoms. *Women Health.* 2004; 39:31–46. [PubMed: 15130860]
44. Rodriguez CJ, Burg MM, Meng J, Pickering TG, Jin Z, Sacco RL, et al. Effect of social support on nocturnal blood pressure dipping. *Psychosom Med.* 2008; 70:7–12. [PubMed: 17991817]
45. Cooper DC, Ziegler MG, Nelesen RA, Dimsdale JE. Racial differences in the impact of social support on nocturnal blood pressure. *Psychosom Med.* 2009; 71:524–31. [PubMed: 19321852]
46. Schwartz JE, Warren K, Pickering TG. Mood, location and physical position as predictors of ambulatory blood pressure and heart rate: application of a multi-level random effects model. *Ann Behav Med.* 1994; 16:210–20.
47. Stansfeld S, Candy B. Psychosocial work environment and mental health--a meta-analytic review. *Scand J Work Environ Health.* 2006; 32:443–62. [PubMed: 17173201]

Table 1

Sample psychosocial characteristics by gender and job strain group [ns=not significant (P 0.05)].

Psychosocial characteristics	Men				Women				P	
	Low strain (n=61)		High strain (n=11)		Low strain (n=41)		High strain (n=9)			
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD		
Job demand	29.3	5.2	35.8	5.5	<0.01	29.8	6.4	36.4	4.3	<0.01
Job control	37.9	6.4	27.3	6.0	<0.01	38.7	5.6	28.3	3.6	<0.01
Coworker support	6.0	1.1	4.8	1.4	<0.01	6.2	1.1	5.0	1.3	<0.01
Supervisor support	11.6	2.5	9.6	2.4	0.019	12.4	2.3	9.9	2.4	<0.01
BDI-II ^a total score	4.3	4.7	9.6	6.4	<0.01	5.0	5.45	6.11	6.4	ns
Trait anxiety score	32.6	7.1	39.3	8.3	<0.01	33.3	8.1	36.3	7.1	ns

^aBeck Depression Inventory (BDI-II)

Table 2

Mean and standard error (SE) for ambulatory blood pressure (ABP) and pulse pressure by gender and job strain group.

	Men			Women		
	Low strain (n=61)		High strain (n=11)	Low strain (n=41)		High strain (n=9)
	Mean	SE	p ^b	Mean	SE	p ^b
Systolic BP (mm Hg)						
Awake	136.9	1.2	0.885	134.2	1.6	0.304
Sleep ^a	117.9	0.9	0.026	117.8	0.8	0.289
Awake-sleep	18.8	0.9	0.026	17.1	0.7	0.289
Diastolic BP (mm Hg)						
Awake	84.5	0.9	0.279	82.7	1.4	0.747
Sleep ^a	68.1	0.6	0.166	68.9	0.6	0.230
Awake-sleep	16.0	0.6	0.166	14.0	0.7	0.230
Pulse pressure (mm Hg)						
Awake	52.4	0.6	0.143	51.7	0.9	0.518
Sleep	49.8 ^a	0.5	0.018	48.8 ^a	0.4	0.787
Awake-sleep	2.9	0.6	0.044	3.1	0.5	0.937

^aPaired t test for comparing awake and sleep ABP and pulse pressure among within-group, means are significantly greater than zero (P<0.01).

^bAnalysis of covariance among subgroups adjusted for awake ABP, body mass index, physical activity, shift work, depressive symptoms (BDI-II score) and trait anxiety score.

Table 3

Average ambulatory blood pressure (ABP) and pulse pressure (PP) by tertile groups of job demands and job control ^a (Men=72).

N	Systolic ABP (mm Hg)		Diastolic ABP (mm Hg)		Pulse pressure (mm Hg)					
	Awake	Sleep ^b	Awake-Sleep	Awake	Sleep	Awake-Sleep				
Job demands										
Low	22	138.3	118.1	18.7	85.2	68.5	15.6	52.4	49.7 ^b	3.0
Middle	27	134.6	118.7	18.1	82.4	68.5	15.5	53.2	50.2 ^b	2.7
High	23	137.9	119.5	17.2	84.9	68.3	15.7	52.5	51.1	1.5
Job control										
High	20	135.9	116.7	20.1	84.3	67.6	16.4	52.0	48.8 ^b	3.8
Middle	29	137.1	117.8	19.0	83.8	67.5	16.5	53.2	50.5 ^b	2.4
Low	23	137.1	121.9 ^c	14.8 ^c	84.1	70.4	13.7	52.9	51.6 ^c	1.2 ^c

^aAnalysis of covariance among subgroups adjusted for awake ABP, body mass index, physical activity, shift work, depressive symptoms ((BDI-II score) and trait anxiety score.

^bPaired t test for comparing awake and sleep ABP and pulse pressure among within-group, means are significantly greater than zero(P<0.01).

^cMeans are significantly different from High job control group(P<0.05).

Table 4

Hierarchical regression models evaluation the association of systolic blood pressure dipping with job strain ^a (Men=72). [95% CI =95% confidence interval]

	<i>B</i>	β	<i>P</i>
Step 1 ^b			
Job strain group	-4.77	-0.25	0.038
Step 2 ^b			
Job strain group	-5.53	-0.28	0.028
Ethnicity	-1.54	-0.10	0.424
BMI	-0.32	-0.15	0.221
BDI-II Total score	-0.16	-0.14	0.374
Trait anxiety score	0.15	0.19	0.231
Current smoking status	-3.64	-0.16	0.169
Alcohol consumption	3.03	0.15	0.211

^aAdjusted for ethnicity, body mass index (BMI), depressive symptoms (BDI-II score), trait anxiety score, current smoking status, alcohol consumption

^bAdjusted R²=0.047 for step1; adjusted R²=0.124 for step 2.

Table 5

Hierarchical regression models evaluation the association of systolic blood pressure dipping with main effects and job strain (Men=72).

	<i>B</i>	β	<i>P</i>
Step 1			
Ethnicity	-2.65	-0.17	0.171
BMI	-0.27	-0.12	0.326
BDI-II Total score	-0.18	-0.16	0.326
Trait anxiety score	0.08	0.10	0.534
Current smoking status	-3.30	-0.15	0.225
Alcohol consumption	2.04	0.10	0.404
Step 2			
Job demands	-0.88	-0.10	0.429
Job control	2.35	0.26	0.029
Step 3			
Job demands	-0.35	-0.04	0.772
Job control	1.54	0.17	0.228
Job strain	-3.51	-0.18	0.261

Step 1 included covariates; Adjusted $R^2=0.149$.

Step 2 main effects (job demands and job control) were added; Adjusted $R^2=0.214$.

Step 3 job strain was added; Adjusted $R^2=0.229$.