



NIH PUBLIC ACCESS

## Author Manuscript

*Psychol Med.* Author manuscript; available in PMC 2010 August 3.

Published in final edited form as:

*Psychol Med.* 2008 September ; 38(9): 1299–1308. doi:10.1017/S0033291707002346.

## Snus use and other correlates of smoking cessation in the Swedish Twin Registry

H. Furberg<sup>1,\*</sup>, P. Lichtenstein<sup>2</sup>, N. L. Pedersen<sup>2,3</sup>, C. M. Bulik<sup>4</sup>, C. Lerman<sup>5</sup>, and P. F. Sullivan<sup>1,2</sup><sup>1</sup> Department of Genetics, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, NC, USA<sup>2</sup> Department of Medical Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden<sup>3</sup> Department of Psychology, University of Southern California, CA, USA<sup>4</sup> Department of Psychiatry and Nutrition, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, NC, USA<sup>5</sup> Department of Psychiatry, Transdisciplinary Tobacco Use Research Center, University of Pennsylvania, PA, USA

### Abstract

**Background**—We investigated 12 variables and their interactions as correlates of smoking cessation among regular smokers in the population-based Swedish Twin Registry (STR).

**Method**—Detailed information on tobacco use and personal characteristics were available from 14 715 male and female twins aged 42–64 years who participated in a screening of the population-based STR and reported being regular smokers in their lifetime. A two-stage analytic design was used to examine correlates of smoking cessation. The sample was split at random and significant main effects and interactions identified in the testing set were examined in the validation set. Hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) describe the association between correlates and smoking cessation.

**Results**—Twelve main effects were significantly associated with smoking cessation in the testing set; eight were confirmed in the validation set. Of the nine interactions identified in the testing set, none remained significant when evaluated in the validation set after Bonferroni correction. HRs were highest for Swedish oral smokeless tobacco (snus) use (HR 2.70, 95% CI 2.30–3.20), >11 years of education (HR 1.57, 95% CI 1.43–1.73) and being married or cohabitating (HR 1.51, 95% CI 1.39–1.63). Although not statistically significant after Bonferroni correction, snus use also appeared important in the context of interactions, where lower nicotine dependence score, higher socio-economic status (SES) and greater body size were associated with smoking cessation only among participants who never used snus.

**Conclusions**—Snus use was the strongest independent correlate of smoking cessation. Further studies should investigate the mechanism of this association.

### Keywords

Cessation; correlates; smoking; snus; Sweden

---

\*Address for correspondence: Dr H. Furberg, Department of Genetics, CB#7264, 4109D Neurosciences Research Building, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC 27599-7264, USA. (helena\_furberg@med.unc.edu).

### Declaration of Interest

None.

## Introduction

To reduce the impact of tobacco use on health worldwide, tobacco users have been urged to quit for decades. Although the majority of smokers are aware of deleterious health effects of smoking, and over 46% make an active attempt to quit each year, only 2.3% achieve sustained abstinence (Silagy *et al.* 2004). An improved understanding of the factors, or combinations of factors, associated with smoking cessation is needed to develop more effective intervention strategies to achieve maximum reductions in death and disease from tobacco use.

A substantial amount of research has consistently identified individual factors associated with smoking cessation using different study designs in various populations, such as male gender, higher education and lower nicotine dependence score (Hyland *et al.* 2004). A tobacco-related variable that has received recent attention as a potential harm reduction product is Swedish snus, a moist smokeless tobacco product that contains lower concentrations of cancer-causing tobacco-specific nitrosamines than found in other smokeless tobacco products and cigarettes (Curvall *et al.* 1987; Foulds *et al.* 2003; Osterdahl *et al.* 2004). Snus appears to be important in decreasing the prevalence of cigarette smoking in Sweden (Ramstrom, 2000, 2003a, b; Rodu *et al.* 2002, 2003; Foulds *et al.* 2003; Gilljam & Galanti, 2003; Furberg *et al.* 2005, 2006; Stegmayr *et al.* 2005; Ramstrom & Foulds, 2006), as it delivers similar levels of nicotine as cigarettes but carries substantially lower risks of cancer than cigarettes (Lewin *et al.* 1998; Schildt *et al.* 1998; Ye *et al.* 1999; Lagergren *et al.* 2000; Accortt *et al.* 2002; Rodu & Jansson, 2004; Luo *et al.* 2007). Snus is available in Sweden and Norway but it is banned in the rest of the European Union. The recent introduction of Swedish snus into the American market by RJ Reynolds and Phillip Morris has forced the debate over the potential of snus as a harm-reduction product from the tobacco control community (Fagerstrom & Schildt, 2003; Gilljam & Galanti, 2003) to the international health community (Lambe, 2004, 2007; Gray, 2005; Savitz *et al.* 2006; Foulds & Kozlowski, 2007; Gartner *et al.* 2007; McKee & Gilmore, 2007).

Only one study has evaluated Swedish snus together with other characteristics as a potential predictor of smoking cessation (Lindstrom & Isacson, 2002), and this study requires replication. Other prior studies on predictors of smoking cessation are problematic because of the small, clinically based samples of participants, a focus on a limited set of individual variables, and the omission of a test of interactions between variables. Failure to examine interactions between factors disguises potentially important associations and may yield a distorted picture of smoking cessation. In the study presented here, we rigorously investigated the correlates of smoking cessation by evaluating 12 variables and their 66 two-way interactions in a large, population-based sample of male and female regular smokers in Sweden ( $n=14\ 715$ ).

## Method

### Swedish Twin Registry (STR)

The STR is the largest population-based registry of twin births in the world (Lichtenstein *et al.* 2002; Pedersen *et al.* 2002). The STR contains data on current vital status, marital status, address, and place of birth for >99% of all ~170 000 Swedish twins (ages 0–103 years). The data collection procedures were reviewed and approved by the Regional Ethics Committee of the Karolinska Institutet. All subjects provided verbal informed consent during the telephone interview, which was later confirmed by postcard.

## Assessment of smoking cessation

Over a 4-year period ending in December 2002, all living, contactable, interviewable, and consenting twins in the STR born 1 January 1935 to 31 December 1958 were screened for a range of disorders that included tobacco use (Lichtenstein *et al.* 2006). Detailed tobacco use information from a telephone interview was available on 31 213 participants aged 42–64 years. Less than 1% of the twins interviewed did not contribute tobacco use information ( $n=212$ , 0.7%) and they were more likely to be male and older (mean and standard deviation of age of those lacking tobacco use information  $55.9\pm 5.7$  v.  $53.7\pm 5.8$ ,  $p<0.001$ ). Participants answered questions regarding the types of tobacco they used during their lifetime (cigarettes and/or snus), age of initiation for each type of tobacco use, and whether they used tobacco at interview. In addition, participants provided information on the type of cigarette user they considered themselves to be; they could describe themselves either as a regular smoker, someone who smokes now and then, someone who smokes at parties, or someone who has never even tried cigarettes.

Our analytic interest in this report was to determine robust correlates of smoking cessation, which necessitated restricting analyses to participants who reported having ever been a regular smoker ( $n=15\ 956$ , 51.1%). The outcome measure in this analysis was smoking cessation, and we compared former to current smokers. Lifetime regular smokers who did not use cigarettes in the past month were considered former smokers; all other regular smokers were classified as current smokers.

## Candidate independent variables

We examined 12 dichotomous variables from three domains as possible correlates of smoking cessation. Sociodemographic factors included sex (male *versus* female), marital status (married or cohabitating *versus* divorced, separated or widowed), education level ( $>11$  years *versus*  $\leq 11$  years) and socio-economic status (SES; white-collar *versus* blue-collar SES). SES was assessed using the Swedish socio-economic classification of occupational categories (Statistics Sweden, 1983). Occupations requiring manual labor, such as construction workers, were grouped into blue-collar SES, whereas non-manual workers, such as office managers, were considered white-collar SES (Novak *et al.* 2006).

Tobacco use variables included the age each participant began smoking cigarettes (dichotomized at median;  $>16$  years *versus*  $\leq 16$  years), whether they ever used snus in their lifetime (yes *versus* no), and their Fagerstrom Test of Nicotine Dependence (FTND) score at the time in their lives of maximum cigarette use (FTND score  $\leq 5$  *versus*  $>5$ ) (Fagerstrom & Schneider, 1989; Heatherton *et al.* 1991; Radzius *et al.* 2003). FTND score was dichotomized based on prior literature where higher FTND score reflects greater nicotine dependence (Breslau & Johnson, 2000; Breslau *et al.* 2001).

Medical history variables of relevance to smoking cessation included self-reported lifetime history of alcohol abuse or dependence (APA, 1994), lifetime history of major depression (APA, 1994), and lifetime history of significant airway disease (SAD) (all yes *versus* no). Participants who reported a lifetime history of asthma, chronic bronchitis or emphysema were classified as having SAD. In addition, current body mass index (BMI) was calculated from self-reported height and weight at the time of interview and categorized into overweight ( $25\text{--}30$  kg/m<sup>2</sup>) or obese ( $>30$  kg/m<sup>2</sup>) *versus* non-overweight ( $<25$  kg/m<sup>2</sup>) (WHO, 1998). Finally, participants reported their current self-perceived health status by answering, 'Do you think that your health status prevents you from doing things that you want to do?' (no *versus* yes).

To be included in these analyses, all regular smokers had to have contributed a non-missing value for all independent variables. Of the 15 956 regular smokers, 1241 (7.7%) participants

had at least one missing value for any independent variable and were excluded from the analyses. The final sample size of this analysis was  $n=14\ 715$ . Compared to participants included in the analyses, participants excluded from the analyses were more likely to be former smokers, unmarried, have  $<11$  years of education, be classified as blue-collar SES, and report a later age at onset of cigarette smoking ( $p<0.01$ ). We speculate on the impact of these exclusions on the generalizability of our findings in the Discussion.

### Statistical analyses

Analyses were conducted with SAS version 9.1.3 (SAS Institute, 2004). Because of the large number of main effects and two-way interactions, we used a two-stage analytic design to help ensure that factors and interactions confirmed as significant correlates were not unreliable estimates due to the initial association overestimating the true effect size (Harrell *et al.* 1985, 1996; Hastie *et al.* 2001). We randomly assigned regular smokers from the STR ( $n=14\ 715$ ) into two groups: the test set ( $n=7357$ ) and the validation set ( $n=7358$ ). As expected, slight differences in proportions of variables were observed between the test and validation sets because we divided the sample at random. To verify that the randomization was successful, we confirmed that all associations between the independent variables and set status were not significant (all  $p>0.15$ ; data not shown).

First, in the test set, we evaluated the associations between smoking cessation and 12 independent variables and all 66 two-way interactions between these variables. Cox proportional hazards (CPH) models (Cox & Oakes, 1984) accounted for the length of time an individual had been a smoker and produced hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) that describe the hazard that an individual is a former smoker *versus* current smoker at the time of interview (Allison, 1995). Models containing all main effects and interactions were evaluated using stepwise selection (Shtatland *et al.* 2001).

Second, in the validation set, we attempted to verify which of the associations from the testing set could be confirmed in the second half of the sample. Variables identified as significant main effects or interactions ( $p<0.05$ ) in the testing set were examined in the validation set using a CPH model with no model selection. In the validation set, we applied a Bonferroni correction to account for multiple testing (Bonferroni, 1936). To meet criteria for statistical significance in the validation set, the  $\alpha$  level was 0.0006 [0.05 divided by 78 tests (12 main effects and 66 interactions)]. Interactions were interpreted in terms of a departure from the multiplicative scale (Rothman & Greenland, 1998).

### Addressing the twin structure of the data

In the test set, there were 937 complete twin pair sets ( $n=1874$ ; 25.5%), and in the validation set there were 864 complete twin pair sets ( $n=1728$ , 23.5%). As twin pairs may contribute correlated data that can influence the standard errors of the parameter estimates (Liang & Zeger, 1986), we dropped one twin from each pair at random from each set and reran the analyses. Excluding these individuals did not substantially alter the results. The same main effects and interactions were confirmed as significant in the validation set. The only consistent difference we observed between the results was the wider CIs of the non-twin subset, probably due to the diminished sample size. Therefore, the findings presented in this paper were derived from the complete dataset containing all twins.

## Results

### Description of study sample and creation of test and validation sets

Of the entire sample screened ( $n=31\ 213$ ), about half reported having smoked regularly at some point in their lives ( $n=15\ 947$ , 51.1%). The second column of Table 1 presents the

distributions of participant characteristics of lifetime regular smokers included in this analysis (after excluding subjects with missing data,  $n=14\,715$ , 47.1%). Fifty-six per cent of the regular smokers were former smokers and 44.0% were current smokers at the time of interview.

In the first part of our two-stage analytic design, we randomly split the sample of lifetime regular smokers into a test set ( $n=7357$ ) and a validation set ( $n=7358$ ). Table 1 also stratifies the test and validation sets by former and current smoking status. Inspection of these proportions shows that there were profound differences between former and current smokers (e.g. male sex or snus use). Moreover, these differences are similar between the test and validation sets. The mean total number of years smoked was ~19 years for former smokers and ~35 years for current smokers in both sets, underscoring the need to use HRs in our analytic approach.

### Screening correlates of smoking cessation in the test set

Within the test set, we evaluated the statistical significance of 12 independent variables and all 66 two-way interactions in relation to smoking cessation ( $\alpha<0.05$ ). Table 2 presents the adjusted HRs and 95% CIs of smoking cessation from each set. In the test set, all of the variables were significantly associated with smoking cessation as main effects. Of the 66 interaction terms examined in relation to smoking cessation in the test set, nine interactions were found to be statistically significant (data not shown).

### Confirmation of correlates of smoking cessation in the validation set

In the second part of our two-stage analytic design, all variables that were significant main effects or interactions in the test set were re-examined in the validation set (Table 2). Of the 12 main effects identified as significant correlates of smoking cessation in the test set, eight were confirmed as significant correlates of smoking cessation in the validation set after Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons ( $p<0.0006$ ). In the validation set, a lifetime history of snus use exhibited the highest HR for smoking cessation. Participants who had ever used snus were nearly three times as likely to be former smokers than those who had never used snus (HR 2.7, 95% CI 2.30–3.20). Other factors significantly associated with smoking cessation included being married/cohabitating, higher education, white-collar SES, later age at onset of smoking, lower FTND score, no lifetime history of alcohol abuse or dependence, and higher BMI.

**Interactions with snus use**—Of the nine interactions identified as significant in the test set, none were regarded as statistically significant after Bonferroni correction in the validation set (all  $p<0.0006$ ; data not shown). However, as this is the only study, to our knowledge, that has examined interactions between variables with respect to smoking cessation, we interpreted several interactions as suggestive. Three of the four suggestive interactions involved snus use. A lifetime history of snus use modified the associations between smoking cessation and FTND score, SES and BMI. Among people who had never used snus, lower FTND score, white-collar SES and higher BMI remained significant correlates of smoking cessation. However, among people who had used snus, the HRs for these variables and smoking cessation were attenuated, suggesting that these factors are not associated with smoking cessation among snus users.

**Interaction with sex**—The association between education and smoking cessation differed slightly between women and men. Higher education level was more strongly associated with smoking cessation among women (HR 1.58, 95% CI 1.43–1.75) than men (HR 1.23, 95% CI 1.11–1.35).

## Conclusions

Based on the magnitude of the HRs, lifetime history of snus use was the strongest individual correlate of smoking cessation. Although not statistically significant after Bonferroni correction, several suggestive interactions were observed. Snus use appeared to modify associations between several variables and smoking cessation, such that lower FTND score, white-collar SES and higher BMI were only associated with smoking cessation among people who had never used snus. A higher proportion of men than women were former smokers, but sex was not confirmed as a significant independent correlate of smoking cessation in this analysis. Instead, sex modified the association between smoking cessation and education.

### Disentangling the effects of snus on smoking cessation

Only one previous study has evaluated the impact of Swedish snus together with other participant characteristics as predictors of smoking cessation, and their findings are consistent with this report. Lindstrom & Isacson (2002) examined predictors of smoking cessation in a longitudinal study of daily smokers aged 45–69 years in Sweden and found that baseline daily smokers who became intermittent smokers at 1-year follow-up reported higher snus consumption, particularly among men.

Snus use modified the associations between smoking cessation and three variables: FTND score, SES and BMI. Lower nicotine dependence, higher SES and higher BMI have been consistently associated with a greater likelihood of cessation in previous studies (Kaprio & Koskenvuo, 1988; Osler *et al.* 1999; Fernandez *et al.* 2001; Broms *et al.* 2004; Hyland *et al.* 2004; Janzon *et al.* 2005; van Loon *et al.* 2005). We observed these patterns only among people who had never used snus. Among those who had used snus, the effects of these factors were diminished or eliminated, suggesting that the effects of these factors on smoking cessation were less important in the presence of snus use.

It is reasonable to speculate that FTND score was a less important correlate of smoking cessation among snus users because snus contains similar levels of nicotine as cigarettes (Holm *et al.* 1992; Lunell & Lunell, 2005), perhaps helping all people quit smoking regardless of their physiological dependence on cigarettes. It is possible that white-collar SES was not a significant correlate of smoking cessation among snus users because snus is widely available in Sweden and relatively inexpensive. In our sample, the proportion of ever lifetime snus use among participants classified as white-collar or blue-collar SES was similar (50.2% and 49.8% respectively).

The finding that higher BMI was not associated with smoking cessation among people who used snus deserves further attention. Our data are cross-sectional and we did not have information about the timing of weight gain or body size in relation to smoking cessation. However, it is possible that higher BMI was not associated with smoking cessation among snus users because the nicotine in snus could inhibit weight gain through appetite suppression or an increase in metabolism (Audrain *et al.* 1995; Li *et al.* 2003). Consistent with this hypothesis, Rodu *et al.* (2004) reported that although cessation of either cigarettes or snus led to increased weight gain in Swedish males, smokers who switched to snus gained significantly less weight.

Swedes appear to be using snus as a form of nicotine replacement therapy (NRT) despite a lack of clinical trials data to support its use as a smoking cessation aid. Ramström & Foulds (2006) reported that, among Swedish men trying to quit smoking, 24% of them used snus on their latest quit attempt. They also found that both men and women using snus as a cessation aid were significantly more likely to quit smoking successfully than those using nicotine

patches or nicotine gum. These estimates are similar to another Swedish study that found 29% of male former smokers had used snus to quit smoking (Gilljam & Galanti, 2003).

Formal clinical trials are needed to test and compare the efficacy and side-effects of Swedish snus with existing NRT products. In the USA, the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) has approved five NRT products (transdermal patch, chewing gum, nasal spray, lozenge and inhaler) and two non-nicotine pharmacotherapies (bupropion and varenicline) for the treatment of nicotine dependence (Foulds *et al.* 2006; Schnoll & Lerman, 2006). Approved NRT products are generally equally effective in aiding long-term cessation, roughly doubling the odds of quitting as compared with placebo (Silagy *et al.* 2004). However, even with effective NRTs, only one-quarter to one-third of smokers are able to quit smoking in the long term (Silagy *et al.* 2004). Snus may be an effective NRT product because it delivers approximately double the nicotine dose contained in approved NRT products, a level comparable to that obtained by cigarettes (Holm *et al.* 1992; Lunell & Lunell, 2005). Furthermore, unlike approved NRT products that are intended for short-term use, snus is often used long term (Ramstrom & Foulds, 2006).

Data on the health consequences of long-term snus use at the individual level and population level are accumulating. Among Swedish males followed up for 20 years, snus use was associated with increased pancreatic cancer, but not with oral or lung cancer (Luo *et al.* 2007). The high levels of nicotine in snus may contribute to the increased risks in metabolic syndrome (Norberg *et al.* 2006) and type II diabetes (Persson *et al.* 2000), but not all studies support this hypothesis (Eliasson *et al.* 2004). Data on the risk of circulatory disease, including cardiovascular disease and stroke, with snus use are conflicting (Huhtasaari *et al.* 1992, 1999; Bolinder *et al.* 1994; Asplund, 2003; Asplund *et al.* 2003; Hergens *et al.* 2005; Johansson *et al.* 2005; Haglund *et al.* 2007; Lee, 2007). As nicotine is a neuroteratogen, nicotine-containing products, including snus, should be avoided during pregnancy (Ginzel *et al.* 2007).

At the population level, Gartner *et al.* (2007) assessed the potential population health effects of Swedish snus across different patterns of use in Australia and found a net benefit to health if adult smokers switched to snus. Similarly, in an assessment of a potential harm reduction policy by introducing low-nitrosamine smokeless tobacco (such as Swedish snus) under strict regulations in the USA, Levy *et al.* (2006) reported that it would probably yield substantial health benefits.

### **Disentangling the effects of sex on smoking cessation**

Consistent with previous research, a higher proportion of men than women were former smokers in our study (Hyland *et al.* 2004). However, this difference became non-significant in the adjusted analysis. Instead, sex was a potential modifier of the association between education and smoking cessation. Higher education was a stronger correlate of smoking cessation among women than men in our study. The suggestive interaction that we observed between sex and education on smoking cessation has been reported previously by Osler *et al.* (1999), who speculated that poorly educated women may continue smoking because of the stress from additional caring responsibilities and restricted access to material resources. Another possible explanation of our finding is that women are more accurate at acknowledging health risks than men; this may be particularly true for highly educated women (Perrin *et al.* 2005).

### **Study limitations and strengths**

We acknowledge the cross-sectional design of this study and assert that the associations observed are not necessarily causal. For several of the medical history variables, temporality

was not clear; we did not know whether the medical condition prompted the participant to quit smoking or vice versa. This may explain why we did not observe strong associations between some of these variables and smoking cessation (e.g. history of SAD).

Selection bias may have threatened the validity of our results, and nearly 8% of the regular smokers were excluded from the analysis as they contributed a missing value on any of the potential correlates of smoking cessation. To address this issue, we examined associations between correlates of smoking cessation among those excluded from the analysis because they had partial data. Patterns among those excluded were identical to those in this report (data not shown), thereby alleviating our concern of selection bias.

The strengths of this study include its population-based design, the detailed assessment of cigarette and snus use, the diverse set of variables that were evaluated as correlates of smoking cessation as main effects and interactions and, most notably, the efficient two-stage analysis design within a large sample that enabled us to validate factors associated with smoking cessation in one data source. There is compelling evidence that data from twin registries are generalizable to the population at large and are a sound resource for epidemiologic investigations, despite twins having higher morbidity and mortality during the first year of life than singletons and comprising only 1–2% of the adult population (Lichtenstein *et al.* 2002). Evans & Martin (2000) concluded that twins are representative of the general population with respect to most health and behavioral outcomes because twins exhibit similar means, frequencies and prevalences as singletons for many traits and adult diseases.

## Research ethics

Presenting these data for publication poses an ethical dilemma for a biomedical researcher mindful of the business practices used by the tobacco industry. On the one hand, our data suggest the potential importance of snus in smoking cessation. Clearly, eliminating all forms of tobacco use would have the greatest benefit on world health; however, many smokers are unable to achieve lasting smoking cessation. From a harm reduction perspective, if snus demonstrates: (a) superior efficacy as a smoking cessation aid in randomized clinical trials (conducted by investigators independent of the tobacco industry and including fair comparisons with the best smoking cessation regimens currently available) and (b) far lower health consequences, then there may be a role for its use in public health interventions for smoking cessation.

On the other hand, given the historical corruption of the scientific method by the tobacco industry, we are aware that publishing data that support the use of one addictive tobacco industry product (snus) to diminish the harm from another (cigarettes) is controversial and opens the possibility for misuse of our findings. On balance, we have chosen to publish these findings. We stress that substantial positive evidence should be required prior to any incorporation of snus use into public health policy.

## Summary

Our findings underscore the importance of snus use in relation to smoking cessation in Sweden, and highlight the need to consider interactions between several variables and smoking cessation. Snus use was a strong independent correlate of smoking cessation and, as a part of a suggestive interaction, appeared to overshadow the independent effects of FTND score, SES and BMI on smoking cessation. Future research should evaluate prospectively the ramifications of snus use, with special emphasis on overall tobacco cessation rates among snus users and the health effects of long-term snus use.



## Acknowledgments

This work was supported by CA085739 from the US National Cancer Institute of the National Institutes of Health to P.F.S., and CA118412 to H.F.

## References

- Accortt NA, Waterbor JW, Beall C, Howard G. Chronic disease mortality in a cohort of smokeless tobacco users. *American Journal of Epidemiology* 2002;156:730–737. [PubMed: 12370161]
- Allison, PD. *Survival Analysis Using the SAS System: A Practical Guide*. SAS Institute, Inc; Cary, NC: 1995.
- APA. *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders*. 4. American Psychiatric Press; Washington, DC: 1994.
- Asplund K. Smokeless tobacco and cardiovascular disease. *Progress in Cardiovascular Diseases* 2003;45:383–394. [PubMed: 12704595]
- Asplund K, Nasic S, Janlert U, Stegmayr B. Smokeless tobacco as a possible risk factor for stroke in men: a nested case-control study. *Stroke* 2003;34:1754–1759. [PubMed: 12775887]
- Audrain JE, Klesges RC, Klesges LM. Relationship between obesity and the metabolic effects of smoking in women. *Health Psychology* 1995;14:116–123. [PubMed: 7789346]
- Bolinder G, Alfredsson L, Englund A, de Faire U. Smokeless tobacco use and increased cardiovascular mortality among Swedish construction workers. *American Journal of Public Health* 1994;84:399–404. [PubMed: 8129055]
- Bonferroni CE. Statistical theory of classification and calculation of probabilities [in Italian]. *Pubblicazioni del R Istituto Superiore di Scienze Economiche e Commerciali di Firenze* 1936;8:3–62.
- Breslau N, Johnson EO. Predicting smoking cessation and major depression in nicotine-dependent smokers. *American Journal of Public Health* 2000;90:1122–1127. [PubMed: 10897192]
- Breslau N, Johnson EO, Hiripi E, Kessler R. Nicotine dependence in the United States: prevalence, trends, and smoking persistence. *Archives of General Psychiatry* 2001;58:810–816. [PubMed: 11545662]
- Broms U, Silventoinen K, Lahelma E, Koskenvuo M, Kaprio J. Smoking cessation by socioeconomic status and marital status: the contribution of smoking behavior and family background. *Nicotine and Tobacco Research* 2004;6:447–455. [PubMed: 15203778]
- Cox, DR.; Oakes, D. *Analysis of Survival Data*. Chapman & Hall; London: 1984.
- Curvall M, Romert L, Norlen E, Enzell CR. Mutagen levels in urine from snuff users, cigarette smokers and non tobacco users – a comparison. *Mutation Research* 1987;188:105–110. [PubMed: 3295535]
- Eliasson M, Asplund K, Nasic S, Rodu B. Influence of smoking and snus on the prevalence and incidence of type 2 diabetes amongst men: the northern Sweden MONICA study. *Journal of Internal Medicine* 2004;256:101–110. [PubMed: 15257722]
- Evans DM, Martin NG. The validity of twin studies. *GeneScreen* 2000;1:77–79.
- Fagerstrom KO, Schildt EB. Should the European Union lift the ban on snus? Evidence from the Swedish experience. *Addiction* 2003;98:1191–1195. [PubMed: 12930202]
- Fagerstrom KO, Schneider NG. Measuring nicotine dependence: a review of the Fagerstrom Tolerance Questionnaire. *Journal of Behavioral Medicine* 1989;12:159–182. [PubMed: 2668531]
- Fernandez E, Garcia M, Schiaffino A, Borrás JM, Nebot M, Segura A. Smoking initiation and cessation by gender and educational level in Catalonia, Spain. *Preventive Medicine* 2001;32:218–223. [PubMed: 11277678]
- Foulds J, Kozłowski L. Snus – what should the public-health response be? *Lancet* 2007;369:1976–1978. [PubMed: 17498796]
- Foulds J, Ramstrom L, Burke M, Fagerstrom K. Effect of smokeless tobacco (snus) on smoking and public health in Sweden. *Tobacco Control* 2003;12:349–359. [PubMed: 14660766]

- Foulds J, Steinberg MB, Williams JM, Ziedonis DM. Developments in pharmacotherapy for tobacco dependence: past, present and future. *Drug and Alcohol Review* 2006;25:59–71. [PubMed: 16492578]
- Furberg AH, Bulik C, Lichtenstein P, Pedersen N, Sullivan PF. Patterns and prevalence of tobacco use in the Swedish Twin Registry. *Addiction* 2006;101:1509–1515. [PubMed: 16968353]
- Furberg H, Bulik C, Lerman C, Lichtenstein P, Pedersen N, Sullivan P. Is Swedish snus associated with smoking initiation or smoking cessation? *Tobacco Control* 2005;14:422–424. [PubMed: 16319367]
- Gartner CE, Hall WD, Chapman S, Freeman B. Should the health community promote smokeless tobacco (snus) as a harm reduction measure? *Public Library of Science Medicine* 2007;4:e185. [PubMed: 17608560]
- Gilljam H, Galanti MR. Role of snus (oral moist snuff) in smoking cessation and smoking reduction in Sweden. *Addiction* 2003;98:1183–1189. [PubMed: 12930201]
- Ginzel KH, Maritz GS, Marks DF, Neuberger M, Pauly JR, Polito JR, Schulte-Hermann R, Slotkin TA. Critical review: nicotine for the fetus, the infant and the adolescent? *Journal of Health Psychology* 2007;12:215–224. [PubMed: 17284486]
- Gray N. Mixed feelings on snus. *Lancet* 2005;366:966–967. [PubMed: 16168760]
- Haglund B, Eliasson M, Stenbeck M, Rosen M. Is moist snuff use associated with excess risk of IHD or stroke? A longitudinal follow-up of snuff. users in Sweden. *Scandinavian Journal of Public Health* 2007;6:1–5.
- Harrell FE Jr, Lee KL, Mark DB. Multivariable prognostic models: issues in developing models, evaluating assumptions and adequacy, and measuring and reducing errors. *Statistics in Medicine* 1996;15:361–387. [PubMed: 8668867]
- Harrell FE Jr, Lee KL, Matchar DB, Reichert TA. Regression models for prognostic prediction: advantages, problems, and suggested solutions. *Cancer Treatment Reports* 1985;69:1071–1077. [PubMed: 4042087]
- Hastie, T.; Tibshiranti, R.; Friedman, J. *The Elements of Statistical Learning: Data Mining, Inference and Prediction*. Springer; New York: 2001.
- Heatheron TF, Kozlowski LT, Frecker RC, Fagerstrom KO. The Fagerstrom Test for Nicotine Dependence: a revision of the Fagerstrom Tolerance Questionnaire. *British Journal of Addiction* 1991;86:1119–1127. [PubMed: 1932883]
- Hergens MP, Ahlbom A, Andersson T, Pershagen G. Swedish moist snuff and myocardial infarction among men. *Epidemiology* 2005;16:12–16. [PubMed: 15613940]
- Holm H, Jarvis MJ, Russell MA, Feyerabend C. Nicotine intake and dependence in Swedish snuff takers. *Psychopharmacology* 1992;108:507–511. [PubMed: 1410167]
- Huhtasaari F, Asplund K, Lundberg V, Stegmayr B, Wester PO. Tobacco and myocardial infarction: is snuff less dangerous than cigarettes? *British Medical Journal* 1992;305:1252–1256. [PubMed: 1477567]
- Huhtasaari F, Lundberg V, Eliasson M, Janlert U, Asplund K. Smokeless tobacco as a possible risk factor for myocardial infarction: a population-based study in middle-aged men. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology* 1999;34:1784–1790. [PubMed: 10577570]
- Hyland A, Li Q, Bauer JE, Giovino GA, Steger C, Cummings KM. Predictors of cessation in a cohort of current and former smokers followed over 13 years. *Nicotine and Tobacco Research* 2004;6 (Suppl 3):S363–S369. [PubMed: 15799599]
- Janzon E, Engstrom G, Lindstrom M, Berglund G, Hedblad B, Janzon L. Who are the ‘quitters’? A cross-sectional study of circumstances associated with women giving up smoking. *Scandinavian Journal of Public Health* 2005;33:175–182. [PubMed: 16040457]
- Johansson SE, Sundquist K, Qvist J, Sundquist J. Smokeless tobacco and coronary heart disease: a 12-year follow-up study. *European Journal of Cardiovascular Prevention and Rehabilitation* 2005;12:387–392. [PubMed: 16079648]
- Kaprio J, Koskenvuo M. A prospective study of psychological and socioeconomic characteristics, health behavior and morbidity in cigarette smokers prior to quitting compared to persistent smokers and non-smokers. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology* 1988;41:139–150. [PubMed: 3335880]

- Lagergren J, Bergstrom R, Lindgren A, Nyren O. The role of tobacco, snuff and alcohol use in the aetiology of cancer of the oesophagus and gastric cardia. *International Journal of Cancer* 2000;85:340–346.
- Lambe M. Tobacco control, ethics and Swedish snus. *Acta Oncologica* 2004;43:3–4. [PubMed: 15068311]
- Lambe M. Swedish snus for tobacco harm reduction. *Lancet* 2007;370:1206. [PubMed: 17920911]
- Lee PN. Circulatory disease and smokeless tobacco in Western populations: a review of the evidence. *International Journal of Epidemiology* 2007;36:789–804. [PubMed: 17591642]
- Levy DT, Mumford EA, Cummings KM, Gilpin EA, Giovino GA, Hyland A, Sweanor D, Warner KE, Compton C. The potential impact of a low-nitrosamine smokeless tobacco product on cigarette smoking in the United States: estimates of a panel of experts. *Addictive Behaviors* 2006;31:1190–1200. [PubMed: 16256276]
- Lewin F, Norell SE, Johansson H, Gustavsson P, Wennerberg J, Biorlund A, Rutqvist LE. Smoking tobacco, oral snuff, and alcohol in the etiology of squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck: a population-based case-referent study in Sweden. *Cancer* 1998;82:1367–1375. [PubMed: 9529030]
- Li MD, Kane JK, Konu O. Nicotine, body weight and potential implications in the treatment of obesity. *Current Topics in Medical Chemistry* 2003;3:899–919.
- Liang KY, Zeger SL. Longitudinal data analysis using general linear models. *Biometrika* 1986;73:13–22.
- Lichtenstein P, De Faire U, Floderus B, Svartengren M, Svedberg P, Pedersen NL. The Swedish Twin Registry: a unique resource for clinical, epidemiological and genetic studies. *Journal of Internal Medicine* 2002;252:184–205. [PubMed: 12270000]
- Lichtenstein P, Sullivan PF, Cnattingius S, Gatz M, Johansson S, Carlstrom E, Bjork C, Svartengren M, Wolk A, Klareskog L, de Faire U, Schalling M, Palmgren J, Pedersen NL. The Swedish Twin Registry in the third millennium: an update. *Twin Research and Human Genetics* 2006;9:875–882. [PubMed: 17254424]
- Lindstrom M, Isacson SO. Smoking cessation among daily smokers, aged 45–69 years: a longitudinal study in Malmo, Sweden. *Addiction* 2002;97:205–215. [PubMed: 11860392]
- Lunell E, Lunell M. Steady-state nicotine plasma levels following use of four different types of Swedish snus compared with 2-mg Nicorette chewing gum: a crossover study. *Nicotine and Tobacco Research* 2005;7:397–403. [PubMed: 16085507]
- Luo J, Ye W, Zendejdel K, Adami J, Adami HO, Boffetta P, Nyren O. Oral use of Swedish moist snuff (snus) and risk for cancer of the mouth, lung, and pancreas in male construction workers: a retrospective cohort study. *Lancet* 2007;369:2015–2020. [PubMed: 17498797]
- McKee M, Gilmore A. Swedish snus for tobacco harm reduction. *Lancet* 2007;370:1206. [PubMed: 17920913]
- Norberg M, Stenlund H, Lindahl B, Boman K, Weinehall L. Contribution of Swedish moist snuff to the metabolic syndrome: a wolf in sheep's clothing? *Scandinavian Journal of Public Health* 2006;34:576–583. [PubMed: 17132590]
- Novak M, Ahlgren C, Hammarstrom A. A life-course approach in explaining social inequity in obesity among young adult men and women. *International Journal of Obesity (London)* 2006;30:191–200.
- Osler M, Prescott E, Godtfredsen N, Hein HO, Schnohr P. Gender and determinants of smoking cessation: a longitudinal study. *Preventive Medicine* 1999;29:57–62. [PubMed: 10419801]
- Osterdahl BG, Jansson C, Paccou A. Decreased levels of tobacco-specific N-nitrosamines in moist snuff on the Swedish market. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* 2004;52:5085–5088. [PubMed: 15291479]
- Pedersen NL, Lichtenstein P, Svedberg P. The Swedish Twin Registry in the third millennium. *Twin Research* 2002;5:427–432. [PubMed: 12537870]
- Perrin EM, Flower KB, Ammerman AS. Pediatricians' own weight: self-perception, misclassification, and ease of counseling. *Obesity Research* 2005;13:326–332. [PubMed: 15800291]
- Persson PG, Carlsson S, Svanstrom L, Ostenson CG, Efendic S, Grill V. Cigarette smoking, oral moist snuff use and glucose intolerance. *Journal of Internal Medicine* 2000;248:103–110. [PubMed: 10947888]

- Radzins A, Gallo JJ, Epstein DH, Gorelick DA, Cadet JL, Uhl GE, Moolchan ET. A factor analysis of the Fagerstrom Test for Nicotine Dependence (FTND). *Nicotine Tobacco Research* 2003;5:255–260. [PubMed: 12745499]
- Ramstrom, L. Snuff – an alternative nicotine delivery system. In: Ferrence, R., editor. *Nicotine and Public Health*. American Public Health Association; Washington, DC: 2000. p. 159-178.
- Ramstrom L. Patterns of use: a gate leading to smoking or a way out? *Nicotine and Tobacco Research* 2003a;5:268.
- Ramstrom L. Snus: part of the problem or part of the solution? *Addiction* 2003b;98:1198–1199. discussion 1204–1207. [PubMed: 12930204]
- Ramstrom LM, Foulds J. Role of snus in initiation and cessation of tobacco smoking in Sweden. *Tobacco Control* 2006;15:210–214. [PubMed: 16728752]
- Rodu B, Jansson C. Smokeless tobacco and oral cancer: a review of the risks and determinants. *Critical Reviews in Oral Biology and Medicine* 2004;15:252–263. [PubMed: 15470264]
- Rodu B, Stegmayr B, Nasic S, Asplund K. Impact of smokeless tobacco use on smoking in northern Sweden. *Journal of Internal Medicine* 2002;252:398–404. [PubMed: 12528757]
- Rodu B, Stegmayr B, Nasic S, Cole P, Asplund K. Evolving patterns of tobacco use in northern Sweden. *Journal of Internal Medicine* 2003;253:660–665. [PubMed: 12755962]
- Rodu B, Stegmayr B, Nasic S, Cole P, Asplund K. The influence of smoking and smokeless tobacco use on weight amongst men. *Journal of Internal Medicine* 2004;255:102–107. [PubMed: 14687245]
- Rothman, KJ.; Greenland, S. *Modern Epidemiology*. Lippincott-Raven; Philadelphia: 1998.
- SAS Institute. *SAS/STAT Software: Version 9*. SAS Institute, Inc; Cary, NC: 2004.
- Savitz DA, Meyer RE, Tanzer JM, Mirvish SS, Lewin F. Public health implications of smokeless tobacco use as a harm reduction strategy. *American Journal of Public Health* 2006;96:1934–1939. [PubMed: 17018821]
- Schildt EB, Eriksson M, Hardell L, Magnuson A. Oral snuff, smoking habits and alcohol consumption in relation to oral cancer in a Swedish case-control study. *International Journal of Cancer* 1998;77:341–346.
- Schnoll RA, Lerman C. Current and emerging pharmacotherapies for treating tobacco dependence. *Expert Opinion in Emerging Drugs* 2006;11:429–444.
- Shtatland, ES.; Cain, E.; Barton, MB. The perils of stepwise logistic regression and how to escape them using information criteria and the output delivery system. *Proceedings of the 26th Annual SAS Users Group International Conference; Long Beach, CA. 22–25 April 2001; Cary, NC: SAS Institute Inc; 2001. Paper 222–26*
- Silagy C, Lancaster T, Stead L, Mant D, Fowler G. Nicotine replacement therapy for smoking cessation. *Cochrane Database System Review* 2004:CD000146.
- Statistics Sweden. *Meddelande i samordningsfrågor 1982: 4 (Swedish Socio-economic Classification)*. Statistics Sweden; Stockholm: 1983. Socioekonomisk indelning (SEI).
- Stegmayr B, Eliasson M, Rodu B. The decline of smoking in northern Sweden. *Scandinavian Journal of Public Health* 2005;33:321–324. discussion 243. [PubMed: 16087495]
- van Loon AJ, Tjshuis M, Surtees PG, Ormel J. Determinants of smoking status: cross-sectional data on smoking initiation and cessation. *European Journal of Public Health* 2005;15:256–261. [PubMed: 15923210]
- WHO. *Report of a WHO consultation on obesity*. World Health Organization; Geneva: 1998. Obesity: Preventing and Managing the Global Epidemic.
- Ye W, Ekstrom AM, Hansson LE, Bergstrom R, Nyren O. Tobacco, alcohol and the risk of gastric cancer by sub-site and histologic type. *International Journal of Cancer* 1999;83:223–229.

**Table 1**  
Distributions of potential correlates of smoking cessation among regular smokers in the Swedish Twin Registry

Variable	Test set (n=7357)		Validation set (n=7358)		
	All lifetime regular smokers [n=14 715 (100%)]	Former smokers [n=4112 (55.9%)]	Current smokers [n=3245 (44.1%)]	Former smokers [n=4134 (56.2%)]	Current smokers [n=3224 (43.8%)]
Male sex	49.6	55.0	42.0	54.5	44.0
Married or cohabitating	75.9	80.9	69.0	81.8	69.0
White-collar SES	52.6	57.1	45.7	57.8	47.2
>11 years of education	36.2	40.9	29.3	41.3	30.6
Age at onset of smoking >16 years	47.8	47.7	48.7	47.6	47.4
Low FTND score ( $\leq 5$ )	70.6	75.4	64.7	73.6	66.4
Ever used snus in lifetime	20.1	26.4	11.7	26.9	11.9
No lifetime history of alcohol abuse/dependence	91.7	93.9	88.7	93.6	89.4
No lifetime history of major depression	74.8	78.0	71.1	76.8	72.0
Lifetime history of significant airway disease	11.3	10.6	11.6	11.4	11.8
Health does not limit activities	86.0	87.0	85.4	86.4	85.0
Overweight or obese	45.6	50.8	38.3	50.3	40.0
Mean age at interview (years)	53.6 (5.5)	53.7 (5.6)	53.4 (5.5)	53.8 (5.5)	53.4 (5.5)
Mean years smoked	26.1 (12.3)	19.0 (10.7)	35.3 (7.3)	18.9 (10.4)	35.3 (7.2)

SES, Socio-economic status; FTND, Fagerstrom Test of Nicotine Dependence; S.D., standard deviation. Values are % or mean (S.D).

Table 2

Hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for smoking cessation and 12 potential correlates

Main effects	Test set			Validation set		
	p value	HR	95% CI	p value	HR	95% CI
Male sex	0.0110	1.12	1.03–1.22	0.1421	1.07	0.98–1.16
Married or cohabitating	<0.0001	1.34	1.24–1.45	<0.0001	1.51	1.39–1.63
>11 years of education	<0.0001	2.20	1.69–2.87	<0.0001	1.57	1.43–1.73
White collar SES	<0.0001	1.45	1.31–1.60	<0.0001	1.26	1.16–1.36
Age at onset of smoking >16 years	<0.0001	1.47	1.23–1.77	<0.0001	1.18	1.11–1.26
Low FTND ( $\leq 5$ )	<0.0001	1.56	1.43–1.69	<0.0001	1.45	1.34–1.58
Ever used snus in lifetime	<0.0001	1.95	1.51–2.50	<0.0001	2.70	2.30–3.20
No lifetime history of alcohol abuse/dependence	0.0360	1.37	1.02–1.84	<0.0001	1.43	1.27–1.62
No lifetime history of major depression	0.0015	1.13	1.05–1.22	0.1867	1.05	0.98–1.13
Lifetime history of significant airway disease	0.0375	1.15	1.01–1.32	0.7829	1.01	0.92–1.11
Health does not limit activities	0.0328	0.72	0.53–0.97	0.6046	0.98	0.90–1.07
Overweight or obese	<0.0001	1.49	1.35–1.65	<0.0001	1.28	1.19–1.38

SES, Socio-economic status; FTND, Fagerstrom Test of Nicotine Dependence.

HRs describe the association between each variable and former *versus* current smoking status after adjustment for all main effects and interactions.